

A KOMLÓS-TYPE THEOREM FOR THE SET-VALUED
HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL-PETTIS INTEGRAL AND APPLICATIONS

B. SATCO, Brest

(Received November 12, 2004)

Abstract. This paper presents a Komlós theorem that extends to the case of the set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral a result obtained by Balder and Hess (in the integrably bounded case) and also a result of Hess and Ziat (in the Pettis integrability setting). As applications, a solution to a best approximation problem is given, weak compactness results are deduced and, finally, an existence theorem for an integral inclusion involving the Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis set-valued integral is obtained.

Keywords: Komlós convergence, Henstock-Kurzweil integral, Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis set-valued integral, selection

MSC 2000: 28A20, 28B20, 26A39

1. INTRODUCTION

Komlós's classical theorem (see [17]) yields that from any L^1 -bounded sequence of real functions one can extract a subsequence such that the arithmetic averages of all its subsequences converge pointwise almost everywhere. Similar results were then obtained in the vector-valued case and, moreover, in the case of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued functions, X being a separable Banach space: in Theorem 2.5 in [2] an integrable boundedness condition is imposed, while Theorem 3.1 in [16] requires Pettis integrability of the multifunctions.

Through the present work, we extend these results providing a Komlós-type theorem for $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued functions under Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrability assumptions. The set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral was introduced in [19] in the same manner as the Pettis set-valued integral (see e.g. [9]), but the support functionals are integrated in the Henstock-Kurzweil sense instead of the Lebesgue one.

Our method is based on an abstract Komlós-type result (Theorem 2.1 in [1]), which was also used to obtain a Komlós theorem for Pettis integrable (multi)functions in [3]. As a corollary, a Komlós result similar to that obtained in [16] for the Pettis set-valued integral is given.

In the second part of the work, we apply the results obtained in the first part to give a solution to a best approximation problem. Such a problem was investigated under different assumptions in [5] for integrably bounded multifunctions, as well as in [16] for Pettis integrable set-valued applications.

The third section contains several weak compactness criteria in the set-valued HKP-integration, using Komlós's results given above and a uniform integrability condition specific to the HK integrability. In particular, a weak compactness result for the family of all integrable multi-selections of an HKP-integrable weakly compact convex-valued multifunction is proved.

Recently, many authors have investigated the existence of solutions of differential (or integral) equations under Henstock-Kurzweil (e.g. [7], [10], [11] and [20]) and Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrability assumptions (e.g. [8]). In that line, we obtain an existence result for a set-valued integral equation involving the Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integral which represents an extension of Theorem VI-7 in [6] (where the Pettis integrability is required).

2. TERMINOLOGY AND NOTATION

Let us begin by introducing the basic facts on the Henstock-Kurzweil integrability, a concept that on the real line extends the classical Lebesgue one.

A positive function δ on a real interval $[0, T]$ provided with the Lebesgue σ -algebra Σ and the Lebesgue measure $\mu = ds$ is called a gauge. A partition of $[0, T]$ is a finite family $(I_i, t_i)_{i=1}^k$ of nonoverlapping intervals that covers $[0, T]$ with the associated so-called tags $t_i \in I_i$. A partition is said to be δ -fine if for each i , $I_i \subset]t_i - \delta(t_i), t_i + \delta(t_i)[$.

Definition 1. A function $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Henstock-Kurzweil (shortly, HK-) integrable if there exists a real, denoted by $(HK) \int_0^T f(t) dt$, satisfying that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ one can find a gauge δ_ε such that, for every δ_ε -fine partition $(I_i, t_i)_{i=1}^k$, $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \mu(I_i) - (HK) \int_0^T f(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon$. The function f is HK-integrable on a measurable $E \subset [0, T]$ if $f \chi_E$ is HK-integrable on $[0, T]$.

Remark 2. Theorem 9.8 in [14] yields that an HK-integrable function is HK-integrable on any subinterval and, by Theorem 9.12 in [14], its primitive $(HK) \int_0^\cdot f(t) dt$ is continuous.

Let us recall the properties that connect this kind of integrability with the Lebesgue one:

Proposition 3 (Theorem 9.13 in [14]). *Let $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be HK-integrable on $[0, T]$. Then*

- a) *f is measurable;*
- b) *if f is nonnegative on $[0, T]$, then it is Lebesgue integrable;*
- c) *f is Lebesgue integrable on $[0, T]$, if and only if it is HK-integrable on every measurable subset of $[0, T]$.*

The Lebesgue integrability is preserved under multiplication by essentially bounded real functions. The following result states that the HK-integrability is preserved under multiplication by functions of bounded variation.

Lemma 4 (Theorem 12.21 in [14]). *Let $f: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an HK-integrable function and let $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be of bounded variation. Then fg is HK-integrable.*

We will also use the following uniform integrability notion, specific to the HK-integrability, that allows to obtain a Vitali-type convergence result (Theorem 13.16 in [14]):

Definition 5. A family \mathcal{F} of HK-integrable functions defined on $[0, T]$ is said to be uniformly HK-integrable if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ_ε such that for every δ_ε -fine partition of $[0, T]$ and every $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f(t_i) \mu(I_i) - (\text{HK}) \int_0^T f(t) dt \right| < \varepsilon$.

Let us note that this concept does not allow us to ignore the μ -null sets, as is shown by the following example.

Example 6 (see [14], p. 209). The sequence $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by $f_n(t) = 0 \ \forall t \in]0, 1]$ and $f_n(0) = n$, is not uniformly HK-integrable, although all functions of this sequence differ only at one point.

Remark 7. The class of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions (which coincides with the class of Denjoy and Perron integrable functions, cf. [14]) is contained in the class of Khintchine integrable functions (see [14], Chapter 15). In [13] and [12], Khintchine integrability is called Denjoy integrability. This will not lead to any confusion, because we will use only the HK-integral and, when appealing to the results in [13] and [12], we will mean the integration in Khintchine sense.

Through the paper, X is a separable Banach space, X^* and X^{**} denote its topological dual and bi-dual, respectively, and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ stands for the family of its weakly compact convex subsets. On $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ the Hausdorff distance D is considered and, for every $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$, we put $|A| = D(A, \{0\})$.

A well known extension of the Lebesgue integral to the Banach-valued case is the Pettis integral (see [18]). One can generalize this notion of integrability by considering for the canonical bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the HK-integral instead of the Lebesgue one as follows:

Definition 8. A function $f: [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is said to be Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis (shortly, HKP-) integrable if

- 1) f is scalarly HK-integrable, i.e. for all $x^* \in X^*$, $\langle x^*, f(\cdot) \rangle$ is HK-integrable;
- 2) for each $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$ there exists $x_{[a,b]} \in X$ such that

$$\langle x^*, x_{[a,b]} \rangle = (\text{HK}) \int_a^b \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds,$$

for all $x^* \in X^*$.

We denote $x_{[a,b]}$ by $(\text{HKP}) \int_a^b f(s) ds$ and call it the HKP-integral of f on $[a, b]$.

If in the condition 2) we require only $x_{[a,b]} \in X^{**}$, then f is called Henstock-Kurzweil-Dunford (shortly, HKD-) integrable.

Remark 9.

- i) Following Remark 2, if f is HKP-integrable, then its primitive $(\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(t) dt$ is weakly continuous.
- ii) Obviously, any Pettis integrable function is HKP-integrable. The converse is not true: the function considered in Section 4 in [12] provides an example.

One can consider (via Lemma 4) the space of HKP-integrable X -valued functions equipped with the topology induced by the tensor product of the space of real functions of bounded variation and X^* (we call it the weak-Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis topology and denote it by w-HKP). That is: $f_\alpha \rightarrow f$ if, for every $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of bounded variation and every $x^* \in X^*$, $(\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(s) \langle x^*, f_\alpha(s) \rangle ds \rightarrow (\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(s) \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds$. Our considerations arise naturally from Pettis integrability setting, where the topology induced on the space of Pettis integrable functions by the tensor product $L^\infty([0, T]) \otimes X^*$ is called the weak-Pettis topology.

Let us recall various kinds of set-valued measurability and integrability that will be used in the sequel. The support functional of $A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ is denoted by $\sigma(\cdot, A)$ and is defined by $\sigma(x^*, A) = \sup\{\langle x^*, x \rangle, x \in A\}$ for all $x^* \in X^*$. A set-valued function $F: [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is said to be measurable if, for every open subset $O \subset X$, the set $F^{-1}(O) = \{t \in [0, T]; F(t) \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$ is measurable. F is called scalarly measurable if, for every $x^* \in X^*$, $\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot))$ is measurable. According to Theorem III-37 in [6], in the case when X is separable, a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunction is measurable if and only if it is scalarly measurable. A function $f: [0, T] \rightarrow X$ is called a selection of F if $f(t) \in F(t)$ a.e.

Definition 10.

- i) A multifunction Γ is said to be integrably bounded if the real function $|\Gamma(\cdot)|$ is Lebesgue integrable.
- ii) Γ is said to be scalarly (resp. scalarly HK-) integrable if, for every $x^* \in X^*$, $\sigma(x^*, \Gamma(\cdot))$ is Lebesgue (resp. HK-) integrable.
- iii) A $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function Γ is “Pettis integrable in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ ” (or, simply, Pettis integrable since we will work only with $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$) if it is scalarly integrable, and for every $A \in \Sigma$ there exists $I_A \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ such that $\sigma(x^*, I_A) = \int_A \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) dt$ for each $x^* \in X^*$. We denote I_A by $(P) \int_A \Gamma(t) dt$.
- iv) A $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function Γ is “HKP-integrable in $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ ” (shortly, HKP-integrable) if it is scalarly HK-integrable, and for every $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$ there exists $I_a^b \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$, such that $\sigma(x^*, I_a^b) = (\text{HK}) \int_a^b \sigma(x^*, \Gamma(t)) dt$, $\forall x^* \in X^*$. We denote I_a^b by $(\text{HKP}) \int_a^b \Gamma(t) dt$.

Obviously, in the particular case of a single-valued function, these concepts coincide with those given previously in the vector case.

It is worthwhile to restate here the characterizations of HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions given in Theorem 1 in [19]:

Theorem 11. *Let $\Gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ be a scalarly HK-integrable multifunction. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) Γ is HKP-integrable;
- ii) Γ has at least one HKP-integrable selection and for every HKP-integrable selection f there exists $G: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ Pettis integrable, such that $\Gamma(t) = f(t) + G(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$;
- iii) each measurable selection of Γ is HKP-integrable.

In the set-valued setting, we will use the following Komlós-type convergence (see [17]), involving the support functionals:

Definition 12. A sequence $(F_n)_n$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions is said to be Komlós-convergent (shortly, K-convergent) to a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunction F if for every subsequence $(F_{k_n})_n$ there exists a μ -null set $N \subset [0, T]$ (depending on the subsequence) such that for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every $t \in [0, T] \setminus N$,

$$\sigma(x^*, F(t)) = \lim_n \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right).$$

3. A KOMLÓS THEOREM FOR THE SET-VALUED HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL-PETTIS INTEGRAL

By using an abstract Komlós-type theorem proved in [1], we obtain a Komlós-type result for the Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis set-valued integral. For the convenience of the reader, we recall here Theorem 2.1 in [1], for the presentation of which we need some notation.

Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space and Y a convex cone, provided with a topology compatible with the operations of addition and multiplication by positive scalars. $\mathcal{B}(Y)$ will denote its Borel σ -algebra. Consider a collection \mathcal{A} of $\Sigma \otimes \mathcal{B}(Y)$ -measurable functions $a: \Omega \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $a(\omega, \cdot)$ is affine and continuous on Y . A function $f: \Omega \rightarrow Y$ is said to be \mathcal{A} -scalarly measurable if for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the real function $a(\cdot, f(\cdot))$ is Σ -measurable. Suppose that there exists a sequence $(a_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ which separates the points of Y . This means that for every $\omega \in \Omega$, $y = z$ if and only if $a_j(\omega, y) = a_j(\omega, z)$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a function $h: \Omega \times Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$, we say that $h(\omega, \cdot)$ is (sequentially) inf-compact if for every $\omega \in \Omega$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\{y \in Y; h(\omega, y) \leq \alpha\}$ is sequentially compact.

Theorem 13 (Theorem 2.1 in [1]). *Let $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{A} -scalarly measurable Y -valued functions defined on Ω and satisfying that there exists $h: \Omega \times Y \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that $h(\omega, \cdot)$ is convex and sequentially inf-compact and*

- 1) $\sup_n \int_{\Omega} |a_j(\omega, f_n(\omega))| \mu(d\omega) < +\infty$, $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$;
- 2) $\sup_n \int_{\Omega}^* h(\omega, f_n(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) < +\infty$.

Then there exists a subsequence $(f_{k_n})_n \subset (f_n)_n$ that Komlós-converges to an \mathcal{A} -scalarly measurable function f such that $\int_{\Omega}^ h(\omega, f(\omega)) \mu(d\omega) < +\infty$.*

In the preceding theorem, \int_{Ω}^* is the outer integration with respect to μ , that is, for a (possibly non-measurable) function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we have $\int_{\Omega}^* \varphi d\mu = \inf\{\int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu, \varphi \in L^1(\mu), \varphi \geq \varphi \text{ a.e.}\}$.

Applying this result to an appropriate convex cone Y and a suitable family \mathcal{A} of affine continuous functions, we obtain, in the set-valued Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrability setting, the following Komlós-type result:

Theorem 14. *Let X be a separable Banach space which is weakly sequentially complete and let $F_n: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ be a sequence of HKP-integrable multifunctions. Suppose that*

- i) *for every $x^* \in X^*$*
 - ia) *there exists a real HK-integrable function f_{x^*} such that*

$$f_{x^*}(t) \leq \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N};$$

- ib) $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)) dt < +\infty$;
- ii) *there exist a function $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that, for every $t \in [0, T]$, $h(t, \cdot)$ is convex and sequentially inf-compact, and a countable measurable partition $(B_m)_m$ of $[0, T]$ satisfying, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the following conditions:*
- iia) $\sup_n \int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, F_n(t))| dt < +\infty, \forall x^* \in X^*$;
- iib) $\sup_n \int_{B_m}^* h(t, F_n(t)) dt < +\infty$.

Then there exist an HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F and a subsequence of $(F_n)_n$ which K -converges to F . Moreover, $\int_{B_m}^* h(t, F(t)) dt < +\infty$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. By the separability assumption on X , we can find a Mackey-dense sequence $(x_k^*)_k$ in the unit ball of X^* . Consider the convex cone $Y = \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ provided with the coarsest topology with respect to which all support functionals are continuous. Consider also the family $\mathcal{A} = \{a_{x^*}: x^* \in X^*\}$ of functions $a_{x^*}: [0, T] \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined as $a_{x^*}(t, C) = \sigma(x^*, C)$, which are affine and continuous on Y . Take the countable subfamily $\{a_{x_k^*}: k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ that, by the Mackey-density assumption, separates the points of Y . Applying Theorem 13 on each B_m , after a diagonal process we obtain a subsequence $(F_{k_n})_n$ which is Komlós-convergent to a scalarly measurable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F . Moreover, $\int_{B_m}^* h(t, F(t)) dt < +\infty$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

In order to prove the scalar HK-integrability of the limit multifunction, fix $x^* \in X^*$ and use the hypotheses ia) and ib). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the positive function $-f_{x^*} + \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)$ is HK-integrable, therefore, by Theorem 9.13 in [14], it is Lebesgue integrable. We are now able to apply Fatou's Lemma to the sequence $\left(-f_{x^*} + \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)\right)_n$ in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^T (-f_{x^*}(t) + \sigma(x^*, F(t))) dt \\
& \leq \liminf_n \int_0^T -f_{x^*}(t) + \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\
& = (\text{HK}) \int_0^T -f_{x^*}(t) dt + \liminf_n (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\
& \leq (\text{HK}) \int_0^T -f_{x^*}(t) dt + \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)) dt < +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $-f_{x^*}(\cdot) + \sigma(x^*, F(\cdot))$ is Lebesgue integrable and, since f_{x^*} is HK-integrable, the HK-integrability of $\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot))$ follows.

Every measurable selection f of F is scalarly HK-integrable since, for each $x^* \in X^*$,

$$-\sigma(-x^*, F(t)) \leq \langle x^*, f(t) \rangle \leq \sigma(x^*, F(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T].$$

By Remark 7, f is Khintchine integrable too. Theorem 3 in [12] yields that, for every $[a, b] \subset [0, T]$, there exists an element of the bi-dual $x_{[a,b]}^{**} \in X^{**}$ such that, for every $x^* \in X^*$, $\langle x^*, x_{[a,b]}^{**} \rangle = \int_a^b \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds$, the integral being in the Khintchine sense. As the function to integrate is HK-integrable too, we have $\langle x^*, x_{[a,b]}^{**} \rangle = (\text{HK}) \int_a^b \langle x^*, f(s) \rangle ds$. The Banach space being weakly sequentially complete by Theorem 40 in [13], we have $x_{[a,b]}^{**} \in X$ for every subinterval. Thus every measurable selection of F is HKP-integrable.

Finally, the implication iii) \Rightarrow i) in Theorem 11 ensures the HKP-integrability of the limit set-valued function. \square

The following Blaschke-type compactness criteria (e.g. Lemma 5.1 in [15]) will allow us to obtain a useful consequence.

Lemma 15. *Let X be a separable Banach space and let $M \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$. Then the family of all weakly compact convex subsets of M is compact with respect to the coarsest topology of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ for which $\sigma(x^*, \cdot)$ is continuous for every $x^* \in X^*$.*

Corollary 16. *Let X be a weakly sequentially complete separable Banach space and let $(F_n)_n$ be a sequence of HKP-integrable multifunctions $F_n: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$. Suppose that i) of the preceding theorem holds and that there is a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunction \tilde{F} such that $F_n(t) \subset \tilde{F}(t)$ a.e. for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exist an HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F and a subsequence of $(F_n)_n$ which K-converges to F .*

Proof. Let us define $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ by

$$h(t, C) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } C \subset \tilde{F}(t), \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is convex and sequentially inf-compact with respect to the second variable. Indeed, fix $t \in [0, T]$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\alpha < 0$, then $\{C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X); h(t, C) \leq \alpha\} = \emptyset$. Otherwise, $\{C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X); h(t, C) \leq \alpha\} = \{C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X); C \subset \tilde{F}(t)\}$ which, by Lemma 15, is compact with respect to the topology of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$.

The countable measurable partition $(B_m)_m$ of the real interval given by

$$B_m = \{t \in [0, T]; m-1 \leq |\tilde{F}(t)| < m\}, \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$$

satisfies hypothesis ii) in the preceding theorem: for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, F_n(t))| dt \leq \int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, \tilde{F}(t))| dt \leq \int_{B_m} |\tilde{F}(t)| dt < +\infty;$$

therefore, we are able to apply Theorem 14. \square

The next consequence is a Komlós-type result similar to Theorem 3.1 in [16] for the set-valued Pettis integral:

Theorem 17. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space and $(F_n)_n$ a sequence of HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions satisfying hypothesis i) in Theorem 14 and*

ii') *one can find a measurable countable partition $(B_m)_m$ of $[0, T]$ such that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B_m} |F_n(t)| dt < +\infty.$$

Then there exist an HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F and a subsequence of $(F_n)_n$ which K-converges to F . Moreover, $\int_{B_m} |F(t)| dt < +\infty$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Alaoglu-Bourbaki's theorem yields that the function $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ defined by $h(t, C) = |C|$ is convex and inf-compact in the second variable, whence, thanks to Theorem 14, we obtain the announced result. \square

Applying Biting Lemma, we can prove a stronger property of the above mentioned subsequence and its Komlós-limit. Let us recall the Biting Lemma: for any $L^1([0, T])$ -bounded sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$, there exist a subsequence $(\varphi_{k_n})_n$ and a sequence $(A_p)_p \subset \Sigma$ decreasing to \emptyset such that the sequence $(\chi_{A_p^c} \varphi_{k_n})_n$ is uniformly integrable.

Proposition 18. *In the setting of Theorem 17, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $T_\varepsilon \in \Sigma$ with $\mu(T_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ such that for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every measurable $A \subset [0, T] \setminus T_\varepsilon$ we have*

$$\sigma\left(x^*, \int_A F(t) dt\right) = \lim_n \sigma\left(x^*, \int_A F_{k_n}(t) dt\right),$$

where the set-valued integrals are Aumann integrals.

Proof. Since the sequence of measurable sets $(B_m)_m$ covers the set of finite measure $[0, T]$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$, one can find $m_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{m=m_\varepsilon+1}^\infty B_m\right) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. By hypothesis ii') in the preceding theorem, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m} |F_n(t)| dt < +\infty$, whence

the Biting Lemma yields a measurable set $\widetilde{T}_\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m$ such that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m \setminus \widetilde{T}_\varepsilon\right) < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ and the sequence $(|F_n(\cdot)|)_n$ is uniformly integrable on $\widetilde{T}_\varepsilon$. Thus, $T_\varepsilon = \left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m \setminus \widetilde{T}_\varepsilon\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=m_\varepsilon+1}^{\infty} B_m\right)$ has $\mu(T_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and, for every $x^* \in X^*$, $(\sigma(x^*, F_n(\cdot)))_n$ is uniformly integrable on $[0, T] \setminus T_\varepsilon$. Vitali's convergence theorem yields then that for every $x^* \in X^*$ and $A \subset [0, T] \setminus T_\varepsilon$ we have $\sigma(x^*, \int_A F(t) dt) = \lim_n \sigma(x^*, \int_A F_{k_n}(t) dt)$.

Finally, let us remark that any such measurable A is contained in $\bigcup_{m=1}^{m_\varepsilon} B_m$ and since on each B_m all F_n and F are integrably bounded, their selections are Bochner integrable on A , thus the set-valued integrals in the statement are Aumann integrals. \square

Remark 19. We can also prove Theorem 17 using a Komlós result for integrably bounded multifunctions (Theorem 2.5 in [2]) in a manner similar to that in which Theorem 3.1 in [16] was obtained.

4. APPLICATION TO A BEST APPROXIMATION PROBLEM

We are looking for a solution to the following best approximation problem: given two $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions H and F defined on $[0, T]$, we want to get a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction F_0 with $F_0(t) \subset F(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$ such that

$$(1) \quad \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt \\ = \inf \left\{ \int_0^T D(H(t), G(t)) dt; G \text{ HKP-integrable, } G(t) \subset F(t), \forall t \in [0, T] \right\}.$$

Solutions to this problem were already found in [5] in the integrably bounded setting and in [16] in the Pettis integrable one.

If the Banach space and its topological dual have the Radon-Nikodym property, then the above problem has a solution. We use the following lower semi-continuity property of the Hausdorff distance (Lemma 5.1 in [16]):

Lemma 20. *Let $(C_n)_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ converge to $C_0 \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ with respect to the topology of convergence of all support functionals. Then, for every $C \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$,*

$$D(C, C_0) \leq \liminf_n D(C, C_n).$$

Theorem 21. *Suppose that X and X^* have the Radon-Nikodym property and let H and F be two $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions defined on $[0, T]$. Then there is a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction F_0 with $F_0(t) \subset F(t), \forall t \in [0, T]$ such that the equality (1) is satisfied.*

P r o o f. By Theorem 11 there exist HKP-integrable functions f, h and $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunctions F_1, H_1 such that $F(t) = f(t) + F_1(t)$ and $H(t) = h(t) + H_1(t)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$. We can suppose that $m < \infty$, where m denotes the infimum in the equality (1), and consider a sequence $(G_n)_n$ of HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions contained in F such that

$$m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T D(H(t), G_n(t)) dt.$$

Let us note that every $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction G_n contained in F can be written as the sum of f and a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction G_n^1 contained in F_1 . Indeed, since $G_n(t) \subset F(t) = f(t) + F_1(t)$ for every $t \in [0, T]$, we obtain that $G_n^1(t) = -f(t) + G_n(t) \subset F_1(t)$. Moreover, G_n^1 is $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued and thus, since F_1 is Pettis integrable, by the characterization of Pettis integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions (see [9]), Pettis integrability of G_n^1 follows.

We claim that $(G_n^1)_n$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3 in [16].

Indeed, since

$$-\sigma(-x^*, F_1(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, G_n^1(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, F_1(t))$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $t \in [0, T]$ and, since $-\sigma(-x^*, F_1(\cdot))$ and $\sigma(x^*, F_1(\cdot))$ are Lebesgue integrable, it follows that the sequence $(\sigma(x^*, G_n^1(t)))_n$ is uniformly integrable.

Considering $B_m = \{t \in [0, T]; m-1 < |F_1(t)| \leq m\}$, we obtain a countable measurable partition of the interval $[0, T]$ satisfying that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{B_m} |G_n^1(t)| dt \leq \int_{B_m} |F_1(t)| dt < +\infty$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and, $\overline{\text{co}}\left(\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_A G_n^1(t) dt\right) \subset \int_A F_1(t) dt \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ for all $A \subset B_m$.

Then, applying Theorem 3.3 in [16] gives us a Pettis integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F_0^1 and a subsequence $(G_{k_n}^1)_n$ that Komlós-converges to F_0^1 .

Therefore, $(G_{k_n})_n$ Komlós-converges to $F_0 = f + F_0^1$ which is HKP-integrable and, thanks to the weak compactness and convexity of the values of F , F_0 is a.e. contained in F .

Then, using Lemma 20 and Fatou's Lemma, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
m &\leq \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt \leq \int_0^T \liminf_n D\left(H(t), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\
&\leq \liminf_n \int_0^T D\left(H(t), \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_{k_i}(t)\right) dt \\
&\leq \liminf_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^T D(H(t), G_{k_i}(t)) dt \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T D(H(t), G_n(t)) dt = m,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore $m = \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt$ and thus F_0 is a solution to our minimisation problem. \square

The best approximation problem (1) has a solution in the case of a weakly sequentially complete Banach space too:

Theorem 22. *Let X be weakly sequentially complete and let H, F be two $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions defined on $[0, T]$. There exists a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction F_0 with $F_0(t) \subset F(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$ such that the equality (1) is satisfied.*

Proof. As in the proof of the preceding theorem, we can suppose that $m < \infty$ and consider a sequence $(F_n)_n$ of HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions contained in F such that $m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T D(H(t), F_n(t)) dt$. We claim that $(F_n)_n$ verifies the hypothesis of Corollary 16. Indeed, for every $x^* \in X^*$ there exists $-\sigma(-x^*, F)$ that is a real HK-integrable function such that $-\sigma(-x^*, F(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, F_n(t))$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Obviously, $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F_n(t)) dt \leq (\text{HK}) \int_0^T \sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt < +\infty$.

Then, applying Corollary 16 gives us an HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F_0 and a subsequence of $(F_n)_n$ which K-converges to F_0 .

Similarly to the second part of the proof of the preceding theorem, we obtain that $m = \int_0^T D(H(t), F_0(t)) dt$, so F_0 is a solution to problem (1). \square

5. APPLICATION TO WEAK COMPACTNESS IN THE SPACE OF
HKP-INTEGRABLE MULTIFUNCTIONS

Let F be a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction.

Definition 23. $G: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ is said to be a multi-selection of F if $G(t) \subset F(t)$ a.e.

Obviously, every selection is a multi-selection. Consider the family of all HKP-integrable multi-selections of F and denote it by \tilde{S}_F^{HKP} . It is nonempty by Theorem 11.

On the space of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions, by the \tilde{w} -HKP topology, we will understand the coarsest one with respect to which the HK-integrals of the products of support functionals with real bounded variation functions are convergent. That is $F_\alpha \rightarrow F$ if for every $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of bounded variation and every $x^* \in X^*$,

$$(\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F_\alpha(t)) dt \rightarrow (\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt.$$

This is an extension of the w -HKP topology to the set-valued case.

We give now a weak compactness result.

Proposition 24. *Let X be a separable Banach space and let F be a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunction. Then \tilde{S}_F^{HKP} is \tilde{w} -HKP sequentially compact.*

Proof. Let $(F_n)_n$ be a sequence of HKP-integrable multi-selections of F . Applying Theorem 11 one can find an HKP-integrable function f and a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction G such that, for all $t \in [0, T]$, $F(t) = f(t) + G(t)$.

As in the proof of Theorem 21 we can prove that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a Pettis integrable multi-selection of G , denoted by G_n , such that $F_n(t) = f(t) + G_n(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$.

Proposition 2.6 in [4] yields that one can find a subsequence $(G_{k_n})_n$ and a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction G_∞ such that, for every $g \in L^\infty([0, T])$ and any $x^* \in X^*$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, G_{k_n}(t)) dt = \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, G_\infty(t)) dt.$$

Moreover, on every measurable A ,

$$\int_A \sigma(x^*, G_\infty(t)) dt = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A \sigma(x^*, G_{k_n}(t)) dt \leq \int_A \sigma(x^*, G(t)) dt,$$

whence, for every $x^* \in X^*$, we have $\sigma(x^*, G_\infty(t)) \leq \sigma(x^*, G(t))$ a.e. Therefore, by passing through a Mackey-dense sequence and using the weak compactness of the values of G_∞ and G , we obtain that G_∞ is a multi-selection of G .

It follows that $(F_{k_n})_n$ \tilde{w} -HKP-converges to $F_\infty = f + G_\infty$, which is a multi-selection of F , and so the \tilde{w} -HKP sequential compactness of the family of multi-selections is proved. \square

In particular, the family of all HKP-integrable selections is w -HKP sequentially compact.

Using the Komlós theorems obtained in the first section we can get two weak compactness criteria in the space of all $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions. We will use the following two lemmas:

Lemma 25. *Let $(f_n)_n$ be a uniformly HK-integrable, pointwise bounded sequence of real functions defined on $[0, T]$ and let $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function of bounded variation. Then*

- i) *the sequence $\tilde{f}_n(\cdot) = (\text{HK}) \int_0^\cdot f_n(t) dt$ is uniformly equicontinuous on $[0, T]$;*
- ii) *\tilde{f}_n is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to g uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$;*
- iii) *the sequence $(gf_n)_n$ is uniformly HK-integrable.*

Proof. i) Let us define $\tilde{f}: [0, T] \rightarrow l_\infty$ by $\tilde{f}(t) = (\tilde{f}_n(t))_n$, $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Let us first verify that \tilde{f} is l_∞ -valued. Take $c \in [0, T]$. By the uniform HK-integrability hypothesis, there exists a partition of $[0, c]$ such that $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f_n(t_i)(c_{i+1} - c_i) - \tilde{f}_n(c) \right| < 1$, $\forall n$. The pointwise boundedness assumption on $(f_n)_n$ allows to choose $M < \infty$ such that $|f_n(t_i)| \leq M$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $|\tilde{f}_n(c)| \leq 1 + Mc$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so the assertion follows.

To prove the equicontinuity of the above defined sequence is equivalent to proving that the function \tilde{f} is continuous with respect to the sup-norm on l_∞ (thus uniformly continuous, since the definition domain is compact).

Fix $c \in [0, T]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis, one can find $M_c < +\infty$ such that $|f_n(c)| \leq M_c$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and a gauge δ_ε satisfying $\left| \sum_{i=1}^k f_n(t_i)(c_{i+1} - c_i) - (\tilde{f}_n(c_{i+1}) - \tilde{f}_n(c_i)) \right| < \varepsilon$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every δ_ε -fine partition. Then every $x \in [0, T]$ with $|x - c| \leq \eta_{\varepsilon, c}$, where $\eta_{\varepsilon, c} = \min(\delta_\varepsilon(c), \varepsilon/M_c)$, satisfies, by Saks-Henstock's Lemma (Lemma 9.11 in [14]), the inequality

$$|\tilde{f}_n(x) - \tilde{f}_n(c)| \leq |\tilde{f}_n(x) - \tilde{f}_n(c) - f_n(c)(x - c)| + |f_n(c)(x - c)| \leq 2\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

since the interval (x, c) with the tag c is an element of a δ_ε -fine partition of $[0, T]$.

Consequently, $\|\tilde{f}(x) - \tilde{f}(c)\|_\infty \leq 2\varepsilon$ for every x with $|x - c| \leq \eta_{\varepsilon, c}$ so the continuity is proved.

ii) follows, by virtue of the equicontinuity of the sequence $(\tilde{f}_n)_n$, by the straightforward adaptation of the proof of the fact that every continuous function is Riemann-Stieltjes integrable with respect to a function of bounded variation (e.g. Theorem 12.15 in [14]).

Finally, the assertions i) and ii) allow us to follow the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 4 in order to obtain iii). \square

We have already noticed that the concept of uniform HK-integrability does not allow to ignore the μ -null sets (see Example 6). We have, nonetheless, the following property:

Lemma 26. *Any pointwise bounded sequence of functions $f_k: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which are null except on a set of null measure is uniformly HK-integrable.*

Proof. Let N be the μ -null set from the hypothesis.

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, put $N'_n = \{t \in N: 0 < |f_k(t)| \leq n, \forall k\}$ and let $(N_n)_n$ be the associated pairwise disjoint sequence. By the pointwise boundedness assumption, the sequence $(N_n)_n$ covers the set N . For each n one can find an open set O_n such that $N_n \subset O_n$ and $\mu(O_n) < \varepsilon/n2^n$. Define a gauge $\delta_\varepsilon: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\delta_\varepsilon(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \in [0, T] \setminus N, \\ d(t, (O_n)^c) & \text{if } t \in N_n. \end{cases}$$

Then for every δ_ε -fine partition \mathcal{P} of $[0, T]$, denote by \mathcal{P}_n the subset of \mathcal{P} that has tags in N_n . If I is an interval of \mathcal{P}_n , then $I \subset O_n$. If we denote by $f(\mathcal{P})$ the HK-integral sum associated to f and to the partition \mathcal{P} , then, for every k , $|f_k(\mathcal{P})| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f_k(\mathcal{P}_n)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\mu(O_n) < \varepsilon$. Thus the sequence considered is uniformly HK-integrable. \square

Proposition 27. *Let X be a weakly sequentially complete separable Banach space and \mathcal{K} a family of $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued HKP-integrable multifunctions on $[0, T]$ satisfying*

- i') *for every $x^* \in X^*$, the family $\{\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot)): F \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is uniformly HK-integrable and \mathcal{K} is pointwise bounded;*
- ii) *there exist a function $h: [0, T] \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that, for every $t \in [0, T]$, $h(t, \cdot)$ is convex and sequentially inf-compact, and a countable measurable partition $(B_m)_m$ of $[0, T]$ such that, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*
- iiia) $\sup\{\int_{B_m} |\sigma(x^*, F(t))| dt: F \in \mathcal{K}\} < +\infty, \forall x^* \in X^*;$

iib) $\sup\{\int_{B_m}^* h(t, F(t)) dt : F \in \mathcal{K}\} < +\infty$.

Then \mathcal{K} is relatively \tilde{w} -HKP sequentially compact.

Proof. Let $(F_n)_n$ be a sequence in \mathcal{K} . The existence of a subsequence $(F_{k_n})_n$ that Komlós converges to a measurable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued function F follows in the same way as in the first part of the proof of Theorem 14.

The scalar HK-integrability of the limit multifunction follows from Theorem 13.16 in [14] applied, for each $x^* \in X^*$, to the sequence $\left(\sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)\right)_n$. Indeed, it is obvious that our condition i') implies the uniform HK-integrability of the latter sequence and the pointwise boundedness assumption allows us (thanks to Lemma 26) to suppose that this sequence converges everywhere to $\sigma(x^*, F)$ (on the exceptional null set, we redefine all multifunctions by 0).

Applying Lemma 25, we obtain that for any g of bounded variation,

$$\left(g\sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}\right)\right)_n$$

is uniformly HK-integrable whence, again by Theorem 13.16 in [14], we conclude that

$$(\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt = \lim_n (\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma\left(x^*, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n F_{k_i}(t)\right) dt.$$

This equality can be written as

$$(\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F_{k_i}(t)) dt$$

and, since this is true for every subsequence of $(F_{k_n})_n$, it follows that $(F_{k_n})_n$ satisfies that for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every $g: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of bounded variation one has

$$(\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F(t)) dt = \lim_n (\text{HK}) \int_0^T g(t) \sigma(x^*, F_{k_n}(t)) dt.$$

In other words, the subsequence $(F_{k_n})_n$ \tilde{w} -HKP converges, whence the relative \tilde{w} -HKP sequential compactness of \mathcal{K} follows. \square

In the same way, applying Theorem 17, we get

Proposition 28. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space. Let \mathcal{K} be a family of HKP-integrable $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued multifunctions satisfying the following conditions:*

- i') *for every $x^* \in X^*$, the family $\{\sigma(x^*, F), F \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is uniformly HK-integrable and \mathcal{K} is pointwise bounded;*
- ii) *there is a countable measurable partition $(B_m)_m$ of $[0, T]$ such that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sup\{\int_{B_m} |F(t)| dt : F \in \mathcal{K}\} < +\infty$.*

Then \mathcal{K} is relatively \tilde{w} -HKP sequentially compact.

6. AN INTEGRAL INCLUSION INVOLVING THE HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL-PETTIS SET-VALUED INTEGRAL

In the sequel, we consider the space X provided with its weak topology, denoting it by X_w , and the vector space $C([0, T], X_w)$ of all X_w -valued continuous functions on $[0, T]$ provided with the topology of uniform convergence.

The following theorem extends an existence result for solutions of a set-valued integral equation (Theorem VI-7 in [6]) that imposed a Pettis integrability condition.

Theorem 29. *Let an open subset U of X_w , an HKP-integrable set-valued function $\Gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ and $F: [0, T] \times U \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ satisfy*

- 1) $F(t, x) \subset \Gamma(t), \forall t \in [0, T], \forall x \in U$;
- 2) $F(t, \cdot)$ is upper semi-continuous for every $t \in [0, T]$;
- 3) $\sigma(x^*, F(\cdot, x))$ is measurable for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every $x \in U$.

Then, for every fixed $\xi \in U$, there exists $T_0 \in]0, T]$ such that $\xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^{T_0} \Gamma(s) ds \subset U$ and the integral inclusion

$$x(t) \in \xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t F(s, x(s)) ds$$

has a solution in $C([0, T_0], X_w)$. Moreover, the set of solutions is compact in $C([0, T_0], X_w)$.

Proof. Theorem 11 yields that there exist an HKP-integrable function f and a $\mathcal{P}_{\text{wkc}}(X)$ -valued Pettis integrable multifunction G satisfying that, for every $t \in [0, T]$, we have $\Gamma(t) = f(t) + G(t)$. By Theorem 3, f is scalarly measurable and, as the Banach space is separable, f is measurable.

Fix $\xi \in U$ and consider a weakly open subset U_1 of X and a weak neighborhood U_2 of the origin such that $\xi \in U_1$ and $U_1 + U_2 \subset U$. Since $(\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(t) dt$ is weakly continuous, there exists $T_1 \in]0, T]$ such that $(\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(t) dt \in U_2$ for every $t \in$

$[0, T_1]$. Then the set-valued function $\tilde{F}: [0, T_1] \times U_1 \rightarrow X$ defined by $\tilde{F}(t, x) = -f(t) + F(t, x + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau)$ satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $\tilde{F}(t, x) \subset G(t)$, $\forall t \in [0, T_1]$, $\forall x \in U_1$;
- 2) $\tilde{F}(t, \cdot)$ is upper semi-continuous for every $t \in [0, T_1]$;
- 3) $\sigma(x^*, \tilde{F}(\cdot, x))$ is measurable for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every $x \in U_1$.

Applying then Theorem VI-7 in [6] we obtain that there exists $T_0 \in]0, T_1]$ such that $\xi + (\text{P}) \int_0^{T_0} G(s) ds \subset U_1$, the integral inclusion

$$\tilde{x}(t) \in \xi + (\text{P}) \int_0^t \tilde{F}(s, \tilde{x}(s)) ds$$

has a solution in $C([0, T_0], X_w)$ and the set of solutions is compact in $C([0, T_0], X_w)$.

Therefore, $\xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^{T_0} \Gamma(s) ds = \xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^{T_0} f(s) ds + (\text{P}) \int_0^{T_0} G(s) ds \subset U$ and we can find $\tilde{x} \in C([0, T_0], X_w)$ such that

$$\tilde{x}(t) \in \xi + (\text{P}) \int_0^t -f(s) + F\left(s, \tilde{x}(s) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau\right) ds,$$

in other words

$$\tilde{x}(t) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t f(s) ds \in \xi + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^t F\left(s, \tilde{x}(s) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^s f(\tau) d\tau\right) ds.$$

Thus $x(\cdot) = \tilde{x}(\cdot) + (\text{HKP}) \int_0^\cdot f(\tau) d\tau$ is a continuous function mapping $[0, T_0]$ into X_w and it is a solution of our integral inclusion. \square

Acknowledgement. The author is very grateful to Prof. C. Godet-Thobie for her careful reading of the paper and valuable help.

References

- [1] *E. Balder*: New sequential compactness results for spaces of scalarly integrable functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 151 (1990), 1–16. [Zbl 0733.46015](#)
- [2] *E. Balder, C. Hess*: Two generalizations of Komlós theorem with lower closure-type applications. J. Convex Anal. 3 (1996), 25–44. [Zbl 0877.49014](#)
- [3] *E. Balder, A. R. Sambucini*: On weak compactness and lower closure results for Pettis integrable (multi)functions. Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 52 (2004), 53–61.
- [4] *C. Castaing*: Weak compactness and convergences in Bochner and Pettis integration. Vietnam J. Math. 24 (1996), 241–286.
- [5] *C. Castaing, P. Clauzure*: Compacité faible dans l'espace L_E^1 et dans l'espace des multifonctions intégrablement bornées, et minimisation. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 140 (1985), 345–364. [Zbl 0606.28006](#)
- [6] *C. Castaing, M. Valadier*: Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions. Lect. Notes Math. Vol. 580. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. [Zbl 0346.46038](#)

- [7] *T. S. Chew, F. Flordeliza*: On $x' = f(t, x)$ and Henstock-Kurzweil integrals. *Differential Integral Equations* 4 (1991), 861–868. [Zbl 0733.34004](#)
- [8] *M. Cichón, I. Kubiacyk, A. Sikorska*: The Henstock-Kurzweil-Pettis integrals and existence theorems for the Cauchy problem. *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 54 (2004), 279–289.
- [9] *K. El Amri, C. Hess*: On the Pettis integral of closed valued multifunctions. *Set-Valued Analysis* 8 (2000), 329–360. [Zbl 0974.28009](#)
- [10] *M. Federson, R. Bianconi*: Linear integral equations of Volterra concerning Henstock integrals. *Real Anal. Exchange* 25 (1999/00), 389–417. [Zbl 1015.45001](#)
- [11] *M. Federson, P. Táboas*: Impulsive retarded differential equations in Banach spaces via Bochner-Lebesgue and Henstock integrals. *Nonlinear Anal. Ser. A: Theory Methods* 50 (2002), 389–407. [Zbl 1011.34070](#)
- [12] *J. L. Gamez, J. Mendoza*: On Denjoy-Dunford and Denjoy-Pettis integrals. *Studia Math.* 130 (1998), 115–133. [Zbl 0971.28009](#)
- [13] *R. A. Gordon*: The Denjoy extension of the Bochner, Pettis and Dunford integrals]. *Studia Math.* 92 (1989), 73–91. [Zbl 0681.28006](#)
- [14] *R. A. Gordon*: The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron and Henstock. *Grad. Stud. Math.* Vol 4. AMS, Providence, 1994. [Zbl 0807.26004](#)
- [15] *C. Hess*: On multivalued martingales whose values may be unbounded: martingale selectors and Mosco convergence. *J. Multivariate Anal.* 39 (1991), 175–201. [Zbl 0746.60051](#)
- [16] *C. Hess, H. Ziat*: Théorème de Komlós pour des multifonctions intégrables au sens de Pettis et applications. *Ann. Sci. Math. Québec* 26 (2002), 181–198. [Zbl 1042.28009](#)
- [17] *J. Komlós*: A generalization of a problem of Steinhaus. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 18 (1967), 217–229. [Zbl 0228.60012](#)
- [18] *K. Musiał*: Topics in the theory of Pettis integration. In: *School of Measure theory and Real Analysis*, Grado, Italy, May 1992. *Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste* 23 (1991), 177–262. [Zbl 0798.46042](#)
- [19] *L. Di Piazza, K. Musiał*: Set-valued Kurzweil-Henstock-Pettis integral. *Set-Valued Analysis* 13 (2005), 167–179. [Zbl pre 05021507](#)
- [20] *S. Schwabik*: The Perron integral in ordinary differential equations. *Differential Integral Equations* 6 (1993), 863–882. [Zbl 0784.34006](#)

Author's address: Université de Bretagne Occidentale, UFR Sciences et Techniques, Laboratoire de Mathématiques CNRS-UMR 6205, 6 Avenue Victor Le Gorgeu, CS 93837, 29283 BREST Cedex 3, France, e-mail: bianca.satco@univ-brest.fr.