

ACADEMY OF SOCIAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCES
INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY

MIHAI GRAMATOPOL
GH. POENARU BORDEA

**AMPHORA STAMPS FROM CALLATIS
AND SOUTH DOBRUDJA**

PUBLISHING HOUSE OF THE ACADEMY OF THE SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF ROMANIA

ACADEMY OF SOCIAL AND POLITICAL SCIENCES
INSTITUTE OF ARCHAEOLOGY

**MIHAI GRAMATOPOL
GH. POENARU BORDEA**

AMPHORA STAMPS FROM CALLATIS AND SOUTH DOBRUDJA

**ABSTRACT FROM
DACIA XIII, 1969**

**PUBLISHING HOUSE OF THE ACADEMY OF THE SOCIALIST REPUBLIC OF ROMANIA
BUCHAREST 1970**

AMPHORA STAMPS FROM CALLATIS AND SOUTH DOBRUDJA *

MIHAI GRAMATOPOL
and GH. POENARU BORDEA

As a younger branch of the epigraphics, whose important achievements were realized in a recent period, the ceramics epigraphy has brought till now and certainly will bring henceforth too many considerable services to the history. Of a special significance for the hellenistic age are the amphora stamps put on the handles or on the neck of the jars; they afford precious data for the economic history because generally speaking their centres of production can be easily identified, in every case with less difficulties than for any other archaeological material. Consequently it clearly appears that the amphora stamps mean for an archaeologist or a historian a concrete document in establishing the directions and the intensity of the trade relations of a Greek city. These data complete the information otherwise obtained, mainly from the historical sources of the antiquity or from the decrees or funeral inscriptions of a town whose citizens are sometimes mentioned in the inscriptions of other cities¹.

From the standpoint of the material we are concerned with, Callatis by the great number of the amphora stamps discovered, ranks first among the towns founded by Greek colonists on the western coast of the Black Sea.

Although our information is not exhaustive as to the number of stamps taken into account for the other towns of the western coast of the Pontus Euxinus, which we must compare with those from Callatis, we have considered the publishing of the Callatian lot as a necessary step to the carrying out of the corpus of all amphora stamps found in Romania².

At Tyras a situation was established in 1960 on the basis of 647 stamps³ to which must be added a number of 66 pieces inaccessible to the author⁴; it gives a total which does not top with much the figure of 700 amphora stamps.

* The commentary was written by Gh. Poenaru Bordea and Mihai Gramatopol; the catalogue (completing, classification, dating and bibliography of the amphora stamps) and the indices were drawn up by Mihai Gramatopol.

¹ L. Robert, *Les inscriptions grecques de Bulgarie*, in *Revue de philologie, de littérature et d'histoire ancienne*, III, XXXIII (185 of the collection), 11nd fascicle, 1959, pp. 180–181.

² V. Eftimie, *Imports of Stamped Amphorae in the Lower Danubian Regions and a Draft Romanic Corpus of Am-*

phora Stamps, in «Dacia», N. S., III, 1959, pp. 195–215.

³ O. G. Salnikov, *До питання про торговельні зв'язки стародавніх поселень на узбережжі Дністровського лиману в Грецію в VI–II ст. до н.е. (Попередні повідомлення)* in *Матеріали Археології північного Причорномор'я*, III, Odessa, 1960, pp. 28–29.

⁴ Gr. Avachian, *Știri nouidini Tyras*, in *CNA*, V, 1924, nos. 49–50, p. 3–16, nos. 1–32; *ibidem*, nos. 53–54, p. 37–46, nos. 45, 47–63, and p. 50, nos. 69; *ibidem*, VI, 1925, nos. 59–62, p. 27–38, nos. 70, 73, 79, 83, 84, 87, 88, 90, 92, 93–99.

As regards Histria, to the number of 1081 stamps published in 1957⁵ a more important lot was added, amounting in some years to about 1300⁶ pieces; we consider today the figure as being of approx. 1600⁷ items.

Recently the gap in our information about Tomis was surpassed: a first lot of 97 stamps, one of which from the Roman period, was published⁸.

For Bizone no attempt was made to gather the material, but even so a figure of 119 items⁹ is known.

Our knowledge about the material discovered at Dionysopolis is for the moment very scanty. Only two stamps were published¹⁰ and excepting one case¹¹ the information about other discoveries is not sure.

The situation is better at Odesus whence result 234 amphora stamps published till now¹², two of which from the Roman period¹³. At this figure will be added, we hope in a short time, a new lot rich enough¹⁴. In any case the stamps discovered at Varna do not reach yet the amount of 300 pieces.

From Messambria only two stamps¹⁵ are published till now; some dozen will be studied and published henceforth¹⁶.

In spite of the many archaeological researches carried out at Apollonia the number of amphora stamps is very low: 13 items¹⁷.

After this brief review we arrive at the amphora stamps discovered at Callatis. As a result of the publication of our article, Callatis becomes one of the most important centres

⁵ V. Canarache, *Importul amforelor șampilate la Istria*, Bucharest, 1957, we obtain this number after the subtraction from the total sum of 1162 stamps, of 81 pieces discovered at Sinoe-Zmeica (nos. 23, 78, 176, 214, 215, 248, 293, 331, 337, 376, 416, 426, 433, 488, 490, 492, 503, 507, 512, 516, 517, 523, 546, 552, 554, 558, 562, 564, 571, 589, 593, 600, 601, 603, 604, 609, 615, 632, 636, 649, 692—694, 700, 703, 705, 720, 723, 745, 773 = 64 pieces), at Tariverdi (nos. 15, 62, 76, 135—138, 297, 303, 497 = 11 pieces), at Baia-Hamangia, (nos. 64, 467, 579, 597, 611, 630 = pieces) which were mingled in the catalogue with those discovered at Histria.

⁶ V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, p. 198 and the note 5.

⁷ Information from V. Eftimie—Andronescu who prepares now the lot for the volume «Histria», III. In the period after 1957, no stamp was published, excepting those in P. Alexandrescu's *Necropola tumulară*, in «Histria», II, Bucharest, 1967, p. 192, XXVI, 17 and 18, pl. 77, p. 509 (determined by V. Eftimie—Andronescu).

⁸ M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *Amfore șampilate din Tomis*, in SCIV, 19, 1968, 1, pp. 41—61.

⁹ O. Mărculescu, *Bizone-Portul Cavarna, monografie istorică*, in AND, XV, 1934, p. 149, photographs without readings; Idem, *Descoperiri arheologice dobrogene*, in AND, XVI, 1935, pp. 127—129, fig. 10—19; K. Shkorpil, *Печати върху амфори от Черноморското крайбрежие*, in «Izvestiia Institut», VIII, 1934, nos. 1—26, pp. 28—32; M. Mirtchev, *Амфорните печати от Музея във Варна*, Sofia, 1958, nos. 1, 5, 16—17, 33, 43—44 (Thasos), 63, 79, (identified as Thasian), 86—87, 92—93, 98, 105, 117, 120, 125, 134—135, 138, 148, 154, 156, 159, 161, 165, 173 (Rhodes), 180—185, 189—190, 192—196, 202—209, 212—213, 215, 222 (Sinope), 225—226 (Chersonese), 235 (Paros), 237—254, 258, 260, 262, 264 (Heraclea Pontica), 275, 288—290, 296—297, 303—304 (unknown centers) = 85 pieces, among which are also those published by Shkorpil (numbers in round brackets). To these must be added the stamps published by

G. Tontcheva, see M. Mirtchev, G. Tontcheva, D. Dimitrov, *Бизоне-Карпуна*, in «Izvestiia-Varna», XIII, 1962, pp. 37—42, nos. 1—25.

¹⁰ M. Mirtchev, *op. cit.*, no. 23, 188; cf. K. Shkorpil, *op. cit.*, nos. 28—29.

¹¹ It is an amphora from Heraclea Pontica discovered in 1968 with an engraved stamp on the neck: ΔΟΛΟΥ, determined by Gh. Poenaru Bordea, here mentioned by the kind permission of Mr. P. Petkov.

¹² M. Mirtchev, *op. cit.*, specifies a number of 225 pieces from Varna and surroundings. In fact, only 218 are from Varna. Besides those discovered at Cavarna (cf. note 9) and Baltchic (cf. note 10), the following pieces are to be removed as having different origins: nos. 14, 34, 68, 221, 228, 284. To the Varna lot must be also added a number of 13 pieces published by A. Balkanska, *Новооткрити амфорни печати във Варна*, in *Археология*, IV, 1962, 4, pp. 61—65.

¹³ M. Mirtchev, *op. cit.*, nos. 313—314.

¹⁴ The stamps and the intact stamped amphorae will be published by G. Tontcheva. Gh. Poenaru Bordea has examined the whole material in the Varna Museum with the kind permission of G. Tontcheva.

¹⁵ A. Aleksieva, *Амфорни печати в Бургаския Музей*, in «Izvestiia-Burgas», I, 1950, p. 4, a, nos. 13—14.

¹⁶ Thanks to I. Venedikov and L. Ognenova, Gh. Poenaru Bordea has seen these pieces at Nesebar, put at his disposal by J. Tchimbuleva. At a first examination it seemed to be stamps from Thasos, Rhodes, Cos, Paros and perhaps Sinope. The lot will be published by M. Lazarov, warden of Burgas Museum.

¹⁷ T. Ivanov, *Керамиката от некропола на Аполония, Разкопки и проучивание II*, 1948, p. 44, fig. 44 a; A. Aleksieva, *op. cit.*, pp. 46—47, no. 5; T. Ivanov, *Антична керамика от некропола на Аполония*, in *Аполония, разкопките в некропола на Аполония през 1947—1949 г.*, Sofia, 1963, pp. 260—263, nos. 762—771 a.

on the western coast of Pontus Euxinus, thus giving the possibility of knowing better the imports of stamped jars.

Thanks to the endeavour of Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, who gathered and published regularly these materials¹⁸ in the archaeological reports or in other papers, even if most of them were and remained in the minute local private collections, the lot offered by the earlier researches is considerable enough and amounts, if our rough estimate is right, to 344 pieces, including O. Tafrali's¹⁹ and later G. Cantacuzino's²⁰ contributions to this task.

It is also true that a numerous lot of special importance (gathered from excavations) is yet unpublished²¹. On the other hand a great part of the published stamps are useless due to the lack of necessary elements to establish their origin; there is no possibility to check the readings because the originals are unrecoverably lost.

However, a reappraisal of these stamps is possible which we shall try to do in the following lines, being firmly convinced that they would find their place among the stamps discovered at Callatis and in the corpus of amphora stamps found in Romania. Some of them, a few pieces, we hope would perhaps at a closer examination be included in the group of various unidentified centers.

The lot we publish now consists of 1106 items discovered at Callatis, most of which in the collection of Constantza Museum except the nos. 20, 58, 65–66, 70, 76, 87, 96–98, 181, 189, 195–197, 243, 306, 313, 316, 360–361, 375, 386–387, 406, 429, 433, 478, 491, 492, 498, 521–522, 574, 590, 592, 625, 638, 728, 894, that is a total of 40 pieces. These last ones are in Maria and Dr. G. Severeanu Collection, section of the Museum of History of Bucharest²².

We have considered necessary to mark « Mangalia 1962 » the lot discovered in the excavations made for the foundation of the new secondary school. On this occasion a restricted research was made too²³. The numbers of this lot are: 654, 707, 708, 717, 804, 814, 833, 838, 842, 844, 854, 859, 867, 965, 969, 1011, 1018, 1083–1084 = 19 pieces differing from the lot marked M.A.C. before the inventory number which lacks at some items. The « Mangalia 1962 » lot is originated in an *extra muros* zone, rich in ceramic discoveries from the hellenistic period, fact which justifies the supposition that this part was inhabited. The extent of this zone is still unknown; it was not included in the area excavated by C. Preda in the last years²⁴.

¹⁸ Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, *Callatis*, I, in « Dacia », I, 1924, nos. 1–28, pp. 148–156; *Callatis*, II, in « Dacia », II, 1925, nos. 1–4, pp. 130–131; *Callatis*, III, in « Dacia », III–IV, 1927–1932, the letters c–l, n, o, p., 427–430 and *Callatis*, IV, the letters a–n, pp. 458–462; *Callatis*, V, in « Dacia », V–VI, 1935–1936, nos. 1–45, pp. 250–259 and *Callatis*, VI, nos. 1–68, pp. 290–304; *Callatis*, VII, in « Dacia », VII–VIII, 1937–1940, nos. 1–67, pp. 354–370; *Callatis*, VIII, in « Dacia », IX–X, 1941–1944, pp. 243–244; see also, *Trei capete de figurine și vreo câteva ansae signatae din Callatis*, in AnD, XVIII, 1937, pp. 104–111 and *Callatis*, in *L'archéologie en Roumanie*, Bucharest, 1938, pp. 67–68, figs. 92–103 and 105.

¹⁹ O. Tafrali, *La cité pontique de Callatis, recherches et fouilles*, in RA, XXI, 1925, I, p. 274; *La cité pontique de Callatis*, in AArh, I, 1927, I, pp. 17–55, nos. 9–14, 17–19, 23–28; *Les tumuli de Callatis*, in AArh, 1928, p. 48; *Noi achizițiuni ale Muzeului de anticități din Iași*, in AArh, II, 4, 1930, pp. 29–32, nos. 1–16; *Notes sur la Petite Scythie*, in AArh, 9–10, 1933–1934, pp. 6–8, nos. 1–12.

²⁰ G. Cantacuzino, *Timbres amphoriques inédits trouvés en Roumanie*, in « Dacia », III–IV, 1927–1932, nos.

4–5, pp. 617–618; *Timbres amphoriques trouvés à Callatis*, in RHSEE, 1935, p. 298–313; *Nouveaux timbres amphoriques trouvés à Callatis*, in « Dacia », V–VI, nos. 1–7, pp. 321–327; *Trois sceaux thasiens de Callatis concernant les cultes de Thasos*, in « Dacia », VII–VIII, pp. 283–291; cf. also *Considérations sur les timbres amphoriques découverts en Roumanie sur les côtes du Pont Euxin*, in RHSEE, 1939, pp. 44–52.

²¹ R. Vulpe, *Noutăți arheologice dobrogene, 1931*, in AnD, XII, 1931, fascicle 1–12, pp. 296–297, announces the discovery of 321 amphora stamps in the excavations carried out in the Monte Testaccio; cf. R. Vulpe, *Deux terres cuites grecques de Callatis*, in « Dacia », V–VI, 1935–1936, p. 336–337, note 7.

²² V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, p. 202, note 25, indicates a number of 36 stamps.

²³ Cornel Popa, at that time warden of Mangalia Museum, supervised the works.

²⁴ The main results are summarized by C. Preda in *Callatis*, in the collection « Monumentele Patriei noastre ». Bucharest, 1963, for the callatian *extra muros* ward see, p. 28; Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *Un tezaur de monede callatiene din perioada autonomiei*, in SCN, IV, 1968, pp. 103–104.

The other stamps have different origins: a numerous lot was discovered on the occasion of urbanistic works in the stadium and in the park near it²⁵; unfortunately these stamps and the rest of pieces discovered at Mangalia were afterwards mingled. Some pieces are now in the Mangalia Museum, nos. 119, 175, 206, 718. It is to be mentioned that the stamps quoted before as published and those which we publish now do not exhaust the material found at Callatis.

Together with the stamps discovered in the so-called *Monte Testaccio*, before mentioned, a lot of 440 pieces is in the stores of the National Museum of Antiquities in Bucharest, to which must be added the material accidentally found after 1962, now in the Constantza Museum. At the Museum of History of Bucharest there are also 63 pieces²⁶.

Besides these, the underwater researches which we also hope successful in the future and which were initiated by the Central Military Museum in collaboration with the Institute of Archaeology, afforded a number of 50 stamps²⁷ discovered in the area of the ancient harbour in the surroundings of a wreck supposed of Greek period²⁸.

Another number of stamps which must be comprised in the general corpus is scattered in several private collections as for instance that of Dr. Horia Slobozeanu²⁹ or Eugen Jelebeanu³⁰, in Bucharest.

An estimate of all amphora stamps formerly published, here published or yet unpublished amounts to 3000 pieces for Callatis³¹. It is possible to object that the lot in the Constantza Museum which constitutes the main material of this work consists mostly of the stamps coming from the excavations directed by Th. Sauciu-Săveanu³². For this reason we are obliged to cast a comparative glance at the relations between the lot formerly published and the pieces we publish now.

In order to analyse these relations and to obtain at the same time statistical data, we give a repartition by centers of all the amphora stamps formerly published by Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, O. Tafrales and G. Cantacuzino.

Maintaining the same order used in the catalogue, we shall begin with the stamps from Thasos, followed by those from Sinope, Rhodes, Heraclea Pontica and from other centers. The stamp will be quoted by specifying the author, the published work, the page and number³³. Prospectively, with the occasion of the drawing up of the corpus, this first step has to be followed by a more complex and comprehensive development of the material. Only then the classification we have applied here could become conclusive, in the measure it will be proved to be true.

²⁵ C. Preda, Em. Popescu and P. Diaconu, *Săpăturile arheologice de la Mangalia (Callatis)*, in «Materiale», VIII, 1962, pp. 445–451; as regards the discoveries in the zone of the stadium, cf. M. Gramatopol, *Un port comercial la Callatis*, in *Revista Muzeelor*, 1966, 4, pp. 335–336, approx. 500 stamps.

²⁶ V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, p. 202, note 25.

²⁷ There are stamps from Sinope, Rhodes, Thasos; some pieces seem not to be yet attested at Callatis. The information about these stamps was received from Christian Vlădescu; the lot will be published by M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea and Chr. Vlădescu.

²⁸ Chr. Vlădescu, *Cercetările arheologice subacvatice de la Callatis*, in *Viața Militară*, 1968, 7, pp. 6–7.

²⁹ V. Eftimie, *loc. cit.*, gives the figure of 100 stamps as originated in Callatis.

³⁰ Approx. 150 stamps were examined by M. Gramatopol in 1961.

³¹ We include in this figure the stamps considered by Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, *Callatis*, VIII, in «Dacia», IX–X, pp. 243–244, as too numerous to be listed in an excavation report; he hoped to publish them apart but unfortunately his hopes were not accomplished. We believe that this lot was of 300 pieces approximately, today irreparably lost. Perhaps a certain number of these amphora stamps are to be recovered from private collections of less importance than those just mentioned.

³² V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, p. 202, note 26.

³³ The realoting of these stamps to the producing centers is not always sure due to the incomplete data in their publication and consequently our determinations are sometimes arbitrary. For example, O. Tafrales, *op. cit.*, in *AARh*, 9–10, 1933–1934, p. 8 reads ΘΑCΙ(ΩN) on a handle in "red clay with black grains as at no. 1 and 2", but at no. 1 and 2 there are stamps from Sinope. In such cases we have put the stamps in the unclassified group.

THASOS

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », I, p. 149, no. 2, p. 150, no.6 ; « Dacia », II, p. 129, no. 1, p. 130, no. 2, p. 131, no. 3 ; « Dacia », III—IV, p. 427, c, p. 428—429, g ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 251—252, no. 1, p. 252, nos. 2, 3, p. 252—253, no. 4, p. 253, nos. 5—10, p. 290—291, no. 1, p. 291, nos. 2, 3, p. 291—292, no. 4, p. 292, nos. 5—7, p. 292—293, no. 8, p. 293, nos. 9, 10, p. 302—303, no. 57 ; « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 254, no. 5, p. 256, no. 11, p. 264 nos. 55, 56, p. 265, nos. 57—61, p. 265—266, nos. 63—65, p. 270, no. 89 ; AnD, XVIII, p. 106, no. 2, p. 106—107, no. 3, p. 107, no. 4.

O. Tafrali : AArh, I, 1, p. 38, no. 14, p. 39, no. 19 ; AArh, II, 4, p. 29—30, no. 1, p. 30, nos. 2—4, p. 31, no. 11, p. 31—32, no. 12 ; AArh, 9—10, p. 7, nos. 10, 11, p. 7—8, no. 12, p. 8, no. 13.

G. Cantacuzino : « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 283—285, A, B, C, ; RHSEE, XII, p. 310—313, nos. XVIII—XXI.

SINOPE

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », I, p. 151, nos. 9—11, p. 152, nos. 12—14 ; « Dacia », III—IV, p. 427, d, p. 428, f, p. 430, n, p. 458, b, p. 459, c, d, p. 459—460, e, p. 460, f, p. 460—461, g, p. 461, h, i, j, p. 462, k, l ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 253—254, no. 11, p. 254, nos. 12—15, p. 254—255, no. 16, p. 255, nos. 17—19, p. 256, nos. 23, 24, p. 257, nos. 27, 29—31, p. 295, nos. 17—20, p. 295—296, no. 21, p. 296, nos. 22—25, p. 296—297, no. 26, p. 297, nos. 27—30, p. 298, nos. 32—34, p. 298—299, no. 35, p. 299, nos. 36—39, p. 300, no. 41 ; « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 254, nos. 1, 2, 4, p. 255, nos. 6—9, p. 256, nos. 12, 14, p. 256—257, no. 15, p. 257, nos. 17, 19, 20, p. 257—258, no. 21, p. 259, nos. 26—30, p. 260, nos. 31—35, p. 261, nos. 36—39, p. 262, nos. 41—46, p. 263, nos. 49—50, p. 263—264, no. 51, p. 267, nos. 72, 74, p. 267—268, no. 75, p. 268, nos. 76—78, p. 269, nos. 79—81 ; AnD, XVIII, p. 108, no. 5, p. 108—109, no. 6, p. 109, no. 7, p. 109—110, no. 8, p. 110, nos. 9, 10, p. 111, nos. 12, 13.

O. Tafrali : AArh, I, I, p. 39, nos. 18, 23—25 ; AArh, II, 4, p. 30, no. 5, p. 30—31, no. 6, p. 31, nos. 7, 8, p. 32, nos. 14—16 ; AArh, 9—10, p. 6, nos. 1—3, p. 6—7, no. 4, p. 7, nos. 7, 8, p. 8, no. 15.

G. Cantacuzino : « Dacia », V—VI, p. 321—322, no. I, p. 322—323, no. II, p. 323, no. III, p. 324—325, no. V, p. 325, no. VI, p. 326, no. VII ; RHSEE, XII, p. 298—307, nos. I—XII, p. 308—309, no. XV. To these are to be added some producers' stamps published by Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », V—VI, p. 300, no. 40 ; « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 257, no. 16, p. 263, no. 48, p. 264, no. 54 ; AnD, XVIII, p. 110, no. 11.

RHODES

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », I, p. 149, no. 4, p. 153, nos. 17—19, p. 154, no. 21 ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 293, nos. 11—14, p. 294, no. 15, p. 300, nos. 42—44, p. 301, no. 48 ; « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 258, nos. 23—25, p. 261, no. 40, p. 264, no. 53, p. 266, nos. 66, 67, p. 267, no. 71 ; AnD, XVIII, p. 105, no. 1.

O. Tafrali : AArh, II, 4, p. 31, no. 9, p. 32, no. 13.

G. Cantacuzino : « Dacia », V—VI, p. 323—324, no. IV.

HERACLEA PONTICA

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », I, p. 151, no. 8, p. 155, nos. 25—27 ; « Dacia », II, p. 131, no. 4 ; « Dacia », III—IV, p. 428, e, p. 429—430, j, p. 430, o, p. 458, a, p. 462, n ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 255—256, no. 20, p. 256, no. 26, p. 257—258, no. 32, p. 301, no. 45 ; « Dacia », IX—X, p. 244.

O. Tafraли : AArh, I, 1, p. 38, nos. 9, 11, 13.

CHERSONESE

G. Cantacuzino : RHSEE, XII, p. 309—310, nos. XVI—XVII.

PAROS

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », V—VI, p. 302, no. 51.

COS

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », I, p. 150, no. 7, p. 153, nos. 15, 16 ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 259, no. 43, p. 301, no. 49.

VARIOUS UNIDENTIFIED CENTRES

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu : « Dacia », I, p. 150, no. 5, p. 154, nos. 20, 22 ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 256, no. 22, p. 301, no. 46, p. 302, nos. 52, 53, 54 ; « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 254, no. 3, p. 258, no. 22, p. 263, no. 47, p. 264, no. 52, p. 266, no. 68.

O. Tafraли : AArh, 9—10, p. 7, no. 6.

G. Cantacuzino : « Dacia », V—VI, p. 327, no. VIII, RHSEE, XII, p. 307—308, nos. XIII—XIV.

For the moment it has been impossible to reassign a number of 55 pieces which we have preferred to leave unclassified instead of an erroneous classification :

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », I, p. 148—149, no. 1, p. 155, nos. 23, 24 ; « Dacia », III—IV, p. 429, h, i, p. 430 : k, l, p. 462, m ; « Dacia », V—VI, p. 256, no. 21, p. 257, no. 28, p. 258, nos. 33—40, p. 259, nos. 41, 42, 44, 45, p. 294—295, no. 16, p. 298, no. 31, p. 301, nos. 47, 50, p. 302, nos. 55, 56, p. 303, nos. 58—62, p. 304, nos. 63—68 ; « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 255—256, no. 10, p. 256, no. 13, p. 257, nos. 69, 70, p. 269, no. 82, p. 270, no. 88 ;

O. Tafraли, AArh, I, 1, p. 38, nos. 10, 12, 17, p. 39, nos. 26—28 ; AArh, 9—10, p. 7, nos. 5, 9, 14.

As to the comparisons between the old and the new lot, we can observe : there are 65 Thasian stamps in the old lot and 300 pieces in the new one. From these there are comparable³⁴ approx. 40 pieces in the old lot and 216 in the new lot. The following items from our catalogue are analogous with pieces from the old lot : 60, 67, 82, 135, 139, 141, 143, 144, 199, 206. Of these analogies 3 items (nos. 139, 143, 199) correspond to pieces published by O. Tafraли and G. Cantacuzino.

³⁴ We have considered as comparable only the stamps preserving the essential element of the inscription.

The stamps from Sinope are 155 in the old lot and 395 in the new one. Comparable are approx. 90 in the old lot and 327 in the new. These items from the catalogue are analogous with pieces in the old lot: 305, 314, 317, 318–320, 324, 330, 349–351, 355–356, 358, 359, 367, 375–376, 377–378, 382, 383, 384, 393–394, 411, 425, 426, 433, 452, 463–470, 471–472, 473, 480, 486–487, 491, 511, 521–522, 524, 525–528, 567, 575, 583, 587, 600–601, 607–609, 612, 615–619. From these 40 items, two are analogous (nos. 384, 473) with pieces published by O. Tafrali. In the case of three positions in the catalogue (nos. 377–378, 452, 511), the analogy is only partial, the stamps having different symbols.

The Rhodian stamps are 26 in the old lot and 102 in the new one. The items from the catalogue, nos. 697, 702, 714, 721, 750, 754, 760 are analogous with pieces in the old lot; no. 750 is analogous with a piece published by G. Cantacuzino.

The stamps from Heraclea Pontica are 18 in the old lot and 75 in the new one. Analogous are the items 815 and 818. Only two stamps from Chersonese are surely identified in the old lot. Certainly the Parian stamp of the old lot is analogous with some pieces among the 23 items of the new lot.

It was impossible to detect the stamps from Cnidus in the old lot. One of the 5 Coan stamps in the old lot is analogous with no. 956 in the new lot.

As for the stamps classified in the group of various unidentified centres, there are 15 pieces in the old lot, three of them being analogous with three items (nos. 971, 1022, 1042) among the 146 of the new lot.

Considering then the situation on a more concrete basis, though not firmly established, the resulting conclusion doesn't meet the possible objection formerly exposed, about the composition of the lot now in the Constantza Museum.

It is to be mentioned that among the analogies of the new Callatian lot there are also stamps published by O. Tafrali, most of which are now stored in the Museum of Archaeology in Iași,³⁵ and by G. Cantacuzino, which we don't know if entered at that time the collections of the Constantza Museum³⁶.

On the other hand among the analogies in the old lot there are some stamps in the Severeanu Collection, as for instance those from Sinope, nos. 375, 433, 491 in our catalogue. We have attempted a closer examination by drawing a parallel between the two lots especially in the case of Sinope which is represented by numerous stamps in both lots. The analogies are: 314, 349–351, 359, 367, 382–383, 393–394, 425, 433 (Severeanu Collection) 463–470, 471–472, 480, 567, in all 12 analogies. At nos. 463–470 there are 8 stamps in the new lot; at nos. 382–383, 393–394, 471–472 two pieces of the same stamp. These seem to be stamps which are frequently met at Callatis.

The fact that nos. 359, 367 have two analogies in the old lot, at O. Tafrali and at Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, is eloquent. For nos. 393–394 there are two analogies at Th. Sauciu-Săveanu; no. 433 (Severeanu Collection) has an analogy at Th. Sauciu-Săveanu and one at G. Cantacuzino; then in these cases too, there are stamps frequently met at Callatis, in all 5 cases of this category³⁷.

³⁵ We thank Prof. D. Tudor for this information; he reexamined this material, making the necessary corrections. The manuscript will be used perhaps in drafting the Callatian section of the Romanian corpus of amphora stamps.

³⁶ The stamps published by G. Cantacuzino, *op. cit.*, RHSEE, XII, 1935, 10–12, pp. 298–313, nos. I–XXI were in the collection of the Bucharest Municipal Museum

and consequently they are through the 63 pieces before mentioned, p. 130 the note 26. The analogies in the Severeanu Collection are to be considered only as coincidences.

³⁷ Among those before mentioned but not retained as possible identities, nos. 317 and 330 have two analogies in Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, nos. 525–528 have also two analogies, one in Th. Sauciu-Săveanu and one in O. Tafrali.

It seems also that a great number of stamps from those published by Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu did not enter the collection of the former Mangalia Museum to be transferred later to the Constantza Museum, but remained in those several local private collections where they were examined by their editor.

Taking into account the foresaid, it is improbable that the old lot was comprised into the new one. In that case the analogies between the lot we publish here and the old lot would be more numerous. There we can consider these stamps rather "duplicates" without excluding a certain contamination between the two lots. In every case the percentage of the old material in the lot we publish now is scanty, if not insignificant. Surely it is one of the tasks of the corpus to work out for good this problem, we hope in the sense of the facts above mentioned. Coming now to the general figure of the old lot, we summary it here, reminding that we are not sure of the correct determination for all the pieces :

Thasos	= 65 pieces	= 18.8%
Sinope	= 155	„ = 45.0%
Rhodes	= 26	„ = 7.6%
Heraclea	= 18	„ = 5.2%
Chersonese	= 2	„ = 0.6%
Paros	= 1 piece	= 0.3%
Cos	= 5 pieces	= 1.4%
Various unidentified centres	= 15	„ = 5 %
Unidentified	= 55	„ = 16 %
<hr/>		
Total	= 344 pieces	

We shall calculate the percentage separately for the new lot and only afterwards we shall try to propose a general situation including the old lot, in the terms which were adscribed to it.

We draw out from our catalogue the general situation, giving the percentage by centres for the lot we publish :

Thasos	= 300 pieces	= 27.15%
Sinope	= 395	„ = 35.7 %
Rhodes	= 102	„ = 9.25%
Heraclea	= 75	„ = 6.8 %
Chersonese	= 43	„ = 3.9 %
Paros	= 23	„ = 2 %
Cnidus	= 11	„ = 1 %
Cos	= 11	„ = 1 %
Various centres	= 146	„ = 13.2 %
<hr/>		
	1106	100%

As regards the group of various unidentified centres, it consists, among others, of circular stamps in quarters (nos. 961–983), of circular stamps divided into three fields (nos. 984–985), in two fields (nos. 987–988), of circular stamps with monograms, groups of let-

ters or single letters (nos. 989—1011, 1022). Many scholars believe that these are of Thasian origin³⁸; in the last years this idea was prevalent although other opinions were also expressed³⁹. We have not considered the stamps of these categories as Thasians and consequently we have not included them into the calculation of the percentage for Thasos because this assignment is neither unanimously accepted, nor accepted for all the categories under discussion. A special research is to be done in the future on as numerous a material as possible and only this could demonstrate what is and what is not Thasian in the categories of these circular stamps.

In the same group of various unidentified centres were classed the stamps nos. 1083—1084, 1094—1095, which according to Pridik are of Cretan origin⁴⁰. We have also included here an important stamp from Abydos, no. 1103, whose first assigning was done with the occasion of the publication of the Histrian lot⁴¹. About the last three stamps, nos. 1104—1106, we shall discuss in other part of this work.

We have not considered as necessary to calculate the percentage for either of the categories above mentioned; the Cretan stamps are to be regarded with reserves. The stamp from Abydos is an unicum and the stamps nos. 1104—1106 are of uncertain origin, as about all the material in the group of various unidentified centres.

The percentages undermentioned are not unchangeable values; even if we should assign to Thasos the stamps classified by us in the group of various unidentified centres this would not change considerably the figures, without taking into account the fact that we do not dispose of all the material discovered at Callatis⁴². In order to obtain comparable situations we are obliged to proceed to the division by two of the Rhodian handles⁴³.

Thasos	= 300 pieces	= 28.4%
Sinope	= 395 „	= 37.4%
Rhodes	= 51 „	= 4.8%
Heraclea	= 75 „	= 7.1%
Chersonese	= 43 „	= 4.1%
Paros	= 23 „	= 2.2%
Cnidus	= 11 „	= 1 %
Cos	= 11 „	= 1 %
Various centres	= 146 „	= 14 %

³⁸ Anne-Marie Bon and Antoine Bon, *Les timbres amphoriques de Thasos*, in *Etudes thassiennes*, IV, Paris, 1957, p. 35 and 43; see also A. Balkanska, *Към въпроса о колелообразните амфорни печати*, in «Izvestiia-Varna», 14, 1963, pp. 35—37; for the circular stamps divided in sectors, see I. Iurukova, *Biblioteca classica orientalis*, II, 1966, 4, col. 203—204.

³⁹ See mainly E. M. Shterman, *Керамические клейма из Тирь в связи с вопросам неизвестных центров*, in *KS*, XXXVI, 1951, p. 46—48 and M. Mirtchev, *op. cit.*, pp. 9—11 and the French summary; cf. further the p. 138 and 139.

⁴⁰ In the lot of stamps from Tomis there is also a piece whose Cretan origin was certified by Virginia Grace, M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, p. 60, no. 95 and p. 46, note 16.

⁴¹ V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 305, no. 793; L. Robert, *Bulletin épigraphique*, in *REG*, 1958, no. 31, p. 184—185; *ibid.*, 1959, p. 155, no. 30; see also L. Robert, *Monnaies antiques en Troade*, Geneva-Paris, 1966, p. 51, the note 4.

⁴² M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, p. 47.

⁴³ *Ibidem* and the note 19. This method affects unfavourably Rhode sin comparison with Sinope where the system of a second stamp is sometimes used. For the moment the estimation cannot be done equitably and we are obliged to compute in the traditional manner. Perhaps for Sinope we could admit the following solution: to divide by two the number of producers' stamps, adding then to this those stamps having only the names of the astynoms; to the result is to be added the number of stamps with astynom and producer.

Adding now the old lot, except the unidentified stamps, known from the former publications, in order to have a general situation, even if provisional, we obtain the following results :

Thasos	= 365 pieces	= 27.4%
Sinope	= 550 „	= 41.3%
Rhodes	= 64 „	= 4.8%
Heraclea	= 93 „	= 6.9%
Chersonese	= 45 „	= 3.4%
Paros	= 24 „	= 1.9%
Cnidus	= 11 „	= 0.8%
Cos	= 16 „	= 1.2%
Various centres	= 163 „	= 12.3%

From the comparison of the lots and from the examination of the final situation, results the same order of the exporting centres whose amphorae were found at Callatis: I Sinope, II Thasos, III Heraclea Pontica, IV Rhodes⁴⁴. The new lot brings the number of Thasian stamps next to that of Sinopean stamps. The discrepancy between these two centres is greater in the case of the stamps published by Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, O. Tafrales and G. Cantacuzino. Before their division by two, the stamps from Rhodes were more numerous than the Heracleian stamps, afterwards they came in a second position.

If we had to apply a certain reduction in the calculation of Sinopean handles a considerable approach would take place in the front of the established classification⁴⁵.

Even if with only two pieces in the old lot⁴⁶, the stamps from Chersonese follow in the fifth row. In the sixth position are the imports from Paros. In the new lot the number of stamps from Cnidus is equal to that from Cos. Because Coan stamps were identified in the old lot, this centre follows in the seventh row. Due to the fact that it was impossible to identify its stamps in the old lot in which it seems they were registered⁴⁷, Cnidus comes in the eighth position. After it follow the "Cretan" stamps and a last identified centre, Abydos.

These specifications exposed, we shall now integrate the percentages of the new Callatian lot in the general situation, as known till now, of the Greek colonies on the western coast of the Pontus Euxinus⁴⁸. We give in round brackets the percentages resulting from the addition of the old lot to the new.

⁴⁴ Cf. V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, pp. 202–203.

⁴⁵ Perhaps in the manner suggested in note 43, but such methods remain more or less arbitrary. Only the knowledge of a greater number of certain pairs of stamps on both handles could offer a conclusive solution. There would be a greater approach between Thasos and Sinope if more or less of circular stamps left by us in the group of various, unidentified centres, would be included in Thasos.

⁴⁶ In the photographs given by Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, *Callatis*, in *L'archéologie en Roumanie*, it is possible to recognise such a stamp, pl. L, fig. 95, right of the second row from below.

⁴⁷ About this Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu says categorically, *op. cit.*, p. 68: "Celle-ci entretenait à en juger par les sceaux des anses trouvées à Callatis, des relations particulièrement suivies avec les villes de Thasos, de Rhodes, de Cnidus, Cos et d'Istros ou Sinope". Surely, the trade relations between Callatis and Cnidus are of less importance. It is interesting to underline the idea of a corpus of stamps for Scythia Minor expressed by the author in the same article. G. Cantacuzino too, *op. cit.*, in RHSEE, 1939, pp. 44–52, suggests a general Pontic corpus of amphora stamps.

⁴⁸ We follow here the method of calculation used by

Salnikov who takes into account only the stamps precisely determined, removing those classified in the group of various, unidentified centres. We remain at the percentages established by this scholar for Tyras which must anyway be revised by adding the stamps published by Avachian, inaccessible to the scholar before mentioned see p. 127, note 3. For Histria, cf. V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 359 and p. 392. For Tomis the situation was established by M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, p. 48. Calculating the figure for Bizone it clearly appeared to us that the percentages of Salnikov, *loc. cit.*, for Odesus like those of V. Canarache, based only on K. Shkorpil's work, *op. cit.*, p. 392, are wrong. This error was taken over in our work about the amphora stamps from Tomis. Both scholars have given for Odesus a figure based on the total of the material existing in the collections of the Varna Museum. But this reflects the situation of the collection, not of Odesus as an import centre whose percentage is necessarily different. In establishing the percentages for Bizone and Odesus we have used the information specified in the bibliography mentioned in the notes 9 and 12. The method was exactly the same, followed now for Callatis and formerly for Tomis.

	<i>Tyras</i>	<i>Histria</i>	<i>Tomis</i>	<i>Callatis</i>	<i>Bizone</i>	<i>Odesus</i>
THASOS	8.4	30.9	20.2	33.0(31.2)	11.7	32.7
SINOPE	25.7	38.2	26.0	43.4(47)	31.9	18.6
RHODES	41.8	15.1	33.5	5.5(5.4)	11.7	33.3
HERACLEA	7.9	3.7	8.7	8.3(8)	39.4	8.6
CHERSONESE	2.0	3.9	—	4.7(3.8)	2.1	2.3
PAROS	—	below 1	—	2.7(2)	2.1	1.5
CNIDUS	4.5	5.8	8.7	1.2(1)	2.1	1.5
COS	9.7	2.3	2.9	1.2(1.3)	—	1.5

The comparison among these centres brings, in the present stage of our knowledge, some interesting data. At Callatis like at Histria in the first rank are the imports from Sinope followed by Thasos. The secondary role of Rhodes is striking; it comes after Heraclea Pontica whereas at Histria the Rhodian trade plays for all that an important role. The trade with Sinope was very important at Bizone, that is coming second after Heraclea Pontica.

Now it is obvious that at Callatis and at Histria the situation is more different than supposed as compared to the situation of Tomis and Tyras⁴⁹, where Rhodes is dominant followed by Sinope, closely in the case of Tomis, remotely in the case of Tyras. In this last centre the trade with Thasos has a quite remote position in contrast with Tomis on whose market the Thasians also played an important role. On the other hand, at Odesus the position of the Sinopean trade, which on the basis of a wrong calculation of the percentages, now corrected, appeared as equal with that of Rhodes and Thasos, remains fairly behind these centres.

As concerns Callatis we must underline the quite unimportant role played by Cnidus whose stamps are coming after those of Chersonese and even of Paros.

These are for the moment the formal differences suggested by the comparison of the percentages which reflect the specific weight of the imports from one centre or an other in the economy of the Greek cities on the western coast of the Pontus Euxinus in a period when stamps were applied on jars. Certainly we speak about the classic and hellenistic periods because the amphora stamps of Roman times are extremely rare on the western coast of the Black Sea and seem to be quite lacking at Callatis⁵⁰.

We shall try now a more adequate understanding of the economic phenomenon, considering it in the light of the chronology of the stamps wherever this was possible to be established. It is true that in the future great progress is to be made in the sense of a more precise dating of the stamps and of checking the present datings on the one hand, and in establishing chronological series for the stamps with known or unknown centres of production, on the other hand. Unfortunately from this point of view the Callatian material cannot bring its expected contribution because we have no possibility to apply the stratigraphic method⁵¹.

We have shown at proper place that, except some pieces⁵², the amphora stamps discovered at Callatis, have no indication as to where they were found and that the numerous lot gathered

⁴⁹ Cf. M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, p. 49, is to be revised in the sense of those before mentioned. Our image of this problem at Callatis was at that time too vague; as regards Odesus we were thoroughly wrong.

⁵⁰ *Ibidem*, p. 47, note 18 and p. 61, no. 97, for Tomis. See

before p. 128, note 13, for Odesus. Cf. further p. 142, note 82.

⁵¹ We have tried to apply at Tomis, cf. M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, pp. 43–46.

⁵² See the stamps before mentioned at p. 129 (and also the note 23) which are marked in the catalogue «Mangalia 1962».

on the occasion of the works in the stadium and in the surrounding park was mingled in the ensemble of the collection. Also due to this fact, we were not able to use this rich material in order to obtain new data about the topography of the ancient town, as we have tried to do at Tomis⁵³.

Even so, on the basis of the chronological data available in other cities where stamped amphorae were found, we shall try to draw a sketch, indispensable for historical interpretation. We intend to establish the period and the quantity of the imports from one centre or another, evidently in comparison with the same data of other centers. This investigation will be made for every center apart in order to obtain a final balance sheet. The inquiry is important not only for Callatis but also for the study of the other exporting centers as well as their dialectics of economy on the same market. After all, the comparison with the other importing towns will help us to draw a more actual representation of the trade relations in the western area of the Pontus Euxinus in the IVth—Ist centuries B. C. The material discovered at Callatis being very rich in comparison with that of the Greek colonies of the western coast of the Black Sea, the presence of some "novelties" in this numerous lot is quite natural.

A considerable number of stamps have new names of producers, astynoms and eponyms, or new combinations of names and symbols, or other new elements; such stamps were not listed in the principal works used as current bibliography of the catalogue⁵⁴. The purpose of this article is not to study these stamps; the rich bibliography was consequently not exhausted in order to verify whether similar pieces were elsewhere discovered. We believe however useful to put them in relief in the pages dedicated to the chronology.

We begin the analysis before announced with the stamps from Thasos, in the same order of the centers as in the catalogue. The Thasian stamps are considered to be produced beginning with the Vth century till the IInd, perhaps Ist century B.C.⁵⁵. In the lot from Callatis here published, the most ancient stamps dated in the Vth—IVth centuries B. C. are lacking. From the old lot are also lacking the stamps having as symbol the kneeling Herakles shooting his bow, that were dated on the basis of the analogy with Thasian coins of the same type around 390 B. C. and the stamps with the legend on three rows that were dated immediately after 370 B. C.⁵⁶. According to an opinion⁵⁷, the Thasian stamps with legend on three rows were applied on amphorae before 390 B. C. that is before the type with kneeling Herakles; other scholars date them in the second half of the IVth century⁵⁸.

The Thasian jars arrived at Callatis are of common types, with symbol, ethnicon and one or rarely two proper names from the second and third (only few pieces) groups, dated respectively between 350—270 and 270—220 B. C.⁵⁹ This dating is to be cautiously considered⁶⁰. In fact this is of no practical use. The second group coincides with the datings of the stamps without symbols, at Apollonia. The almost running writing admitted for the third group is to our mind a little earlier. The IVth group dated between 220—180 is not very

⁵³ M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, pp. 42—43. See also M. Gramatopol, *Un port comercial la Callatis*, in *Revista Muzeelor*, 1966, no. 4, pp.335—336.

⁵⁴ See the list of abbreviations at the beginning of the catalogue.

⁵⁵ Recently, Iulia Krushkol, *Клейменные амфоры и их изучение в СССР*, in *Клио*, 41, 1963, pp. 272—274.

⁵⁶ E. M. Shterman, *op. cit.*, p. 35 (*apud* Grakov), see also V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 36—37; cf. D. B. Shelov, *Керамические клейма из раскопок Фанагории*, in *MIA*, 57, 1956, pp. 129—133, who dates both types in the second quarter of the IVth century. The remote antiquity of the Thasian jars was stratigraphically verified

in 1949 at Phanagoria where they appeared together with Chian amphorae, M. M. Kobilina, *Раскопки в Фангории* in *KS*, 37, 1951, p. 234. See at I. Krushkol, *op. cit.*, p. 273 and other examples for the dating in the stratigraphic contexts of certain Thasian stamps in the IVth century.

⁵⁷ The hypothesis of their earlier dating belongs to Virginia Grace; for the dating before 390 B. C., see V. Canarache *op. cit.*, p. 37.

⁵⁸ Theophil Ivanov, *op. cit.*, p. 261, no. 762.

⁵⁹ For these chronological groups see V. Canarache *op. cit.*, pp. 36—39 and fig. 19, p. 38.

⁶⁰ See the very cautious datings for Thasos in V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, pp. 199—200 and for the bibliography the note 9, p. 199.

clear. The question is what happened after the beginning of the second century. The sealing would have continued by the circular stamps dated between the IIIrd – Ist centuries B. C.⁶¹, but also between the IVth – IIIrd centuries B. C.⁶². These were found at Callatis, but are they really produced by Thasos? Our opinion cannot be conclusive; in any case some of the circular stamps could be of Thasian origin. As concerns their dating, in our opinion, the doubt is no more possible. Circular stamps were found at Seuthopolis and were stratigraphically dated for the end of the IVth century and in the IIIrd century B. C.⁶³. In the excavations at Histria these appeared in the level superposed on the fortifications built in the Vth century B. C.⁶⁴. This level is dated before the end of the IVth century B. C.⁶⁵, confirming in this way the early date of the stamps, before proposed.

Most of the Thasian stamps (except some pieces, nos. 6, 9, 10, 102–103, 105, 194) belong to the category with symbol, ethnicon and proper name. The exceptions are rare also in the old lot⁶⁶. We suppose they can be dated in the second half of the IVth century and generally in the IIIrd century B. C.

The following items from our catalogue, nos. 2, 3, 4, 12, 17, 19, 20, 21, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 42, 43, 46–49, 50–51, 52, 54, 72, 73, 74, 80, 87, 88, 89, 91, 92, 93, 96, 98, 99, 100, 102–103, 108, 109–112, 113, 114–115, 123–124, 125, 127, 167–170, 173, 174, 175, 200, 203, 204, 205, 209, 210, 211, that is 52 stamps, have combinations symbol + producer unknown in this region and even not listed in the corpus of Thasian stamps. The stamps no. 6 and no. 129 are quite unknown. We mention also the stamps nos. 184, 185 which have analogies in Bon (without the monogram Δ) and the stamp no. 186 of the same producer whose symbol, the cock, is unknown; this piece has too the monogram Δ . The stamps, nos. 297–300, Thasian by the clay, are anepigraphic and unknown to Bon⁶⁷.

The most frequent names on the Thasian stamps discovered at Callatis are: Πυθίων 19(30)⁶⁸, Χαίρεας 10, Θεόπομπος 9(12), Ἰδνάδης 8(9), Δημάλλης 7(11), Σάτυρος 7(8), Κλεόστρατος 7, Ἀριστοφάνης 6(9), Ἀριστόδικος 6, Πολύων 6, Κύχρις 5(10), Δέαλλος 5.

Sinope is the best represented center on the Callatian market: 298 stamps out of 395 in the catalogue were distributed in the chronological groups established by B. N. Grakov⁶⁹. As consequence of a minute analysis of the Sinopean stamps, a new division in groups was tried on the basis of a revised chronology, but this seems to have not the chance to meet the general consent of the scholars⁷⁰.

⁶¹ Anne-Marie Bon and Antoine Bon, *op. cit.*, p. 35 and p. 43.

⁶² A. Balkanska, *op. cit.*, in «Izvestiia-Varna», 14, 1963, pp. 35–37; see chiefly E. M. Shtaerman, *op. cit.*, pp. 46–48, other assigning but the same early dating (IVth century B. C.).

⁶³ A. Balkanska, *Die Handelbeziehungen von Seuthopolis*, in AAPh, Sofia, 1963, p. 56.

⁶⁴ As to the dating of these stamps, Maria Coja was very kind to inform us that at Histria they appear in the sector Z₂ in contexts da tedat the end of the IVth century, continuing also to be present in the IIIrd century.

⁶⁵ M. Coja, *Zidul de apărare al cetății Histria și împrejurările istorice ale distrugerii lui în sec. IV î.e.n.* in SCIV, XV, 1964, pp. 383–398; cf. D. M. Pippidi, *Străinii de peste mări*, in the volume D. M. Pippidi, D. Berciu, *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, pp. 215–218 and mainly p. 218, the note 149.

⁶⁶ Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, in «Dacia», II, p. 129, no. 1, p. 131, no. 3.

⁶⁷ Zofia Szetyllo, *Quelques problèmes relatifs à l'ico-*

nographie des timbres amphoriques, la représentation des statues, in *Travaux du centre d'archéologie méditerranéenne de l'Académie Polonaise des Sciences*, vol. 3, pp. 46–80 and Idem, *Quelques remarques en marge des études sur l'icographie des timbres amphoriques grecs*, in *Mélanges offerts à K. Michatowski*, Warsaw, 1966, pp. 669–674.

⁶⁸ The first figure indicates the number of types, the second, in round brackets, the number of pieces.

⁶⁹ B. N. Grakov, *Древне-греческие клейма с именами астиномов*, Moscow, 1928.

⁷⁰ V. I. Tzehmistrenko, *К вопросу о периодизации синопских керамических клейм*, in SA, 1958, no. 1, p. 56; Idem, *Синопские керамические клейма с именами гончарных мастеров*, in SA, 1960, no. 3, p. 68 and follow. About these studies see the critical examination of I. B. Brashinski, *Успехи керамической эпиграфики*, in SA, 1961, no. 2, pp. 301–302. Recently, V. I. Tzehmistrenko, *О принадлежности вторых имен в синопских клеймах*, in NE, VII, 1968, pp. 23–36, gives a synopsis of producers, astynoms and symbols on Sinopean amphorae.

More sure are, to our opinion, the observations about the dates of the beginning and the end of the production of this important center. Thus, it seems, as Grakov himself has shown, that the stamping of the jars begins at Sinope in the middle of the IVth century B. C. and not at its end⁷¹. This new dating is especially important for the first group and perhaps for the second, at least for a part of the stamps from the second group as recently certified by the stratigraphical data⁷². In the case of the VIth group, its lower chronological limit is to be established in the IInd century B.C. and consequently a number of its stamps must receive other datings⁷³.

Surely such classifications are to be continuously improved by their checking with materials resulting from excavations, found in well dated levels, and with their sequence in successive archaeological levels. The data supplied by the present classification are not considered as absolute. The fact that we cannot verify them in the very numerous lot from Callatis does not impede us to analyse the situation of the imports from Sinope in the light of this classification.

The Sinopean lot from Callatis is divided in groups as follows :

II nd	group	270—220 =	26 pieces
III rd	„	220—180 =	14 „
IV th	„	180—150 =	176 „
V th	„	150—120 =	62 „
VI th	„	120— 70 =	20 „

Three facts concerning the chronology are very important : the imports from Sinope appear on the Callatian market before the middle of the IIIrd century B. C. but are scanty till 180 B. C. ; after this date they are numerous in the period 180—150 which comprises 60% of the dated material ; after 150, a sudden reduction takes place and becomes more prominent during 120—70 B. C. The situation is different at Histria where the imports were intensified after 180 B. C., remaining relatively high in the following two periods⁷⁴.

Even should we not be inclined to take as absolute the statistical data resulting from the application of B. N. Grakov's classification still it seems to us that both the great flourishing of the period 180—150 B. C. and the sudden decline after this date are facts to which we must pay attention ; in the final part of this analysis we shall return to their significances.

⁷¹ B. N. Grakov, *Каменское городище на Днепре*, in MIA, 36, 1954, pp. 90—91 and idem, *Клейменная керамическая тара эпохи эллинизма как источник для истории производства и торговли*, (manuscript), p. 28, quoted from I. B. Brashinski, *op. cit.*, p. 301, note 73.

⁷² As for exemple, recently, V. I. Pruglo, *Синопские амфорные клейма из Мирмекия*, in KS, 109, 1967, pp. 42—48. Considering the stratigraphical observations made at Olbia, I. B. Brashinski, *Комплекс кровельной черепицы из раскопок Ольвийской агоры 1959—1960*, in *Ольвия*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1964, pp. 285—313, has dated the first group between 360—330 and the second group between 320—270 B. C. This established, the same author, *Экономические связи Синопы в IV—II вв. до н.э. в Античный город*, Moscow, 1963, p. 133 proposes a new chronology for all the Grakov groups which he maintains as such. In the absolute chronology the groups could be dated as follows : I 360—320 ; II 320—270 ; III 270—220 ; IV 220—183 ; V 183—150 ; VI 150—100 B. C. In our opinion the main drawback of this chronology is the stopping of the stamping process at Sinope around 100 B. C. in order to oblige the

other groups to follow the changed dates of the first and second group ; in fact the datation is absolute only for the first group. Following the Grakov's chronology, the scholar must however to take into account the Brashinski's new data. A summary of the recent opinions in this matter, at V. Eftimie-Andronescu, *Încercări de schimbare a cronologiei amforelor din Sinope*, paper presented in 1968 at the Archaeological Institute. Only firm stratigraphical data could decide about the justness of these solutions and about the detail changes to be done in the chronological groups, as for instance the transfer of certain stamps from one group to another.

⁷³ V. Grace, *Pnyx : Stamped Wine Jar Fragments*, in *Hesperia*, suppl. X, 1956, p. 165, no. 194. See I. B. Brashinski, *op. cit.*, p. 301, the note 75 (the dissertation of A. A. Neihardt). The difference between the Vth and the VIth groups would disappear in that case.

⁷⁴ V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 183—188 ; V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in « Dacia », N. S., III, 1959, pp. 198—199 indicates the highest frequency of Sinopean stamps in the groups V and VI (particularly in the last). For Tomis see M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, pp. 49—50.

Among the numerous Sinopean stamps from Callatis there are many pieces less known till now. Most of them have known producers unattested for the group in which the stamp was classified on the basis of the astynom's name. For these pieces we give in round brackets the groups in which producers with the same names were attested.

Second group : nos. 301 (IV, V, VI), 306 (IV, V, VI), 307 (IV), 309 (I, III, V), 311 (V), 312 (IV, V, VI), 313 (IV), 316 (III, IV, V, VI), 318–320 (IV–V), 326 (III). Two stamps found at Callatis have the unattested till now patronymic of a known astynom : nos. 303

'Απολλώνιος τοῦ Ἰστρώνος; 321 Εὐχάριστος τοῦ Διονυσίου.

Third group : nos. 327 (VI), 328 (IV, VI), in Grakov at nominative. The stamp no. 333 has monogram, in Grakov it is without symbol and monogram.

Fourth group : nos. 355–356 (I, 379 (V), 397 (I, III, V), 398 (I, III, V), 399–400 (V), 409 (II, III, V), 426 (II, III), 427–429 (I, III, V), 430, 439, 440 (V), 450 (I, V), 486–487 (V). The novelty of, some stamps is a symbol unknown at the respective astynom : nos. 446, 451, 463–470, 471–472,

473, 484, 494, 511, 512 (II, III), 513, 514, 515–516. The Sinopean lot from Callatis gives in the fourth group the producer Κλέων, absent if not hidden by Ἡρακλέων (in Grakov), nos. 385, 410, 441, (?). Very important is the stamp no. 420 with the unknown astynom Ἄσπων to whom is added the same producer, Κλέων. The producer Κτησικλῆς attested by the item 491 is unknown. To some astynoms the Callatian material gives their patronymics, nos. 482 Ἐστιαίος ὁ Θρα[σιθίκου] ? ; 500 Κρατίσταρχος ὁ Μένωνος ; 503 Μητριδάτης ὁ Ἄριστ ; 508 Μιλτιάδης ὁ Τεισάνδρου ; and 509, the same astynom with another producer. Several problems, vague in Grakov, are precised in the light of this material, nos : 448, 525–528, 529, 545–547, 548–549, 550–551.

Fifth group : nos. 517, 530 (IV), 534 (II, IV), 562 (IV), 569 (VI). The stamp no. 552 attests the patronymic of an astynom who in Grakov has no patronymic. Unfortunately the name cannot be completed. At nos. 577 and 578 the patronymic of the astynom Πυθόχρηστος is more probably Ἄπολλωνίδης.

Sixth group : nos. 581 (IV), 586 (V) and 596 which gives an unknown symbol for the respective astynom.

Among all the producers' stamps only Νίκων, no. 621, is unknown. There are also among the incomplete undated stamps several which seem to have some novelties, but it is not the case to list them here.

The Rhodian stamps are not so numerous at Callatis and, as we have shown, few pieces are datable on the basis of the eponym's name⁷⁵. We have not used here the dating by the jars profiles, or by the handles which would place the stamps in a group or another⁷⁶. In final the corpus must complete in this direction too, this preliminary publication. On the other hand such dating has no chance to be sure as long as we have only fragments of handles, often unconvulsive as concerns their general shape. The situation of the dated stamps is :

IV th century B. C.	5 pieces (nos. 725, 733, 777–779?)
end of the IV th , begin. of the III rd century	2 „
280–220	1 piece
220–180	7 pieces
180–150	2 „
150–100	4 „

⁷⁵ In the catalogue, nos. 725, 733 (IVth century); 698–699 (end of the IVth century–IIIrd century); 712, (280–220); 696, 705, 708, 709, 710, 730 (220–180). 714, 726 (180–150); 702, 703, 707, 718 (150–100).

⁷⁶ V. Grace, *The Eponyms Named on Rhodian Amphora*

Stamps, in Hesperia, XXII, 1953, 2, pp. 116–128, pl. 42: Ist group–IVth cent.; IIrd group–IIIrd cent.; IIIrd group–Ist cent. B. C., used, for instance, by A. Balkanska, *op. cit.*, in *Αρχεολογία*, IV, 1962, 4, pp. 61–62.

It is impossible to consider in parallel, as it was done for Tomis⁷⁷, the Rhodian and Sinopean activities on the Callatian market, due to the scanty number of dated Rhodian stamps; it is obvious however that the Sinopean imports are dominant whilst the Rhodian are very low in general⁷⁸. With reserve as to the dating of other Rhodian stamps, we can make some remarks. So, the early presence of the ware from this center at Callatis is worth mentioning⁷⁹. During the period 280–220 only one piece is listed which perhaps comes later⁸⁰. The most important number of dated Rhodian stamps is to be placed during 220–180 B. C., that is in the most intense period of the Rhodian trade, which is followed by a slight decline in the next 80 years: between 180–100 B. C. 6 stamps are dated instead of 7 in the previous period.

The Rhodian novelties discovered at Callatis also require scholars' attention. Their early appearance in this city, on the one hand, and the fact that the amphora stamps from the expansion period of the Rhodian trade as well as those of the later period are generally well known, on the other hand, evince the possibility that a great part of these novelties might be dated in the IVth century B. C. and eventually between 320–280 B. C.

Among the stamps with eponyms there are some novelties in the case of 13 pieces. From these, 6 pieces have complete names, nos: 711, 'Αριστόνικος; 713, 'Αρμία; 715, Δαμόνικος; 728–729, Δημήτριος with the eponym Πρόταρχος; 736, 'Ηραγόρας. Other two names are not sure, nos. 717, Δηξτάνα ΠΑ; 720, 'Ιαστυδεύς. On the stamp no. 734 we read ἐπὶ Αἶα... /συφοно?, perhaps two names, while other four stamps give only the beginning of their names, nos. 706, 'Αρισταγ...; 722–723, 'Ιπ...; 732, Φιλτα. Two stamps, nos. 703 and 704 have other months for their known eponyms.

To the list of producers drawn up by Virginia Grace some new names are to be added: nos. 742–743, 'Αγάθων; 744, 'Αγη... Π; 750, 751, 752, three variants with Βοίσκος; 753, Βούλα, in two rows in a circular stamp; 755, Δημήτριος; 760 (and perhaps 761), Εὔφοно⁸¹; 769, if it will be verified Μικύονι, corruption from Μικίων; 791, 792, Φίλιππος⁸², 793, Φιλόδαμος; and 794, Φρασίλας.

If for Heraclea Pontica the beginning of the stamping is accepted to be the first half of the IVth century B. C. or even the end of the Vth century⁸³, and the close of its commercial activity after the middle of the IIIrd century⁸⁴, it would be difficult to make a chronologic classification without complete jars⁸⁵. In fact the two chronological groups esta-

⁷⁷ M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, p. 49.

⁷⁸ The Sinopean percentage of 43.4 compared with the Rhodian 5.5 is eloquent. In spite of this Rhodes played a prominent part in the stamped amphorae trade in the Black Sea, see for exemple D. B. Shelov, *К истории связей эллинистического Востора с Родосом*, in SA, XXVIII, 1958, pp. 333–336; I. Krushkol, *Основные пункты и направления торговли Северного Причерноморья с Родосом в эллинистическую эпоху*, in VDI, 1957, 4, pp. 110–115; Idem, *op. cit.*, in *Klio*, 41, 1963, pp. 277–280.

⁷⁹ In the same sense was, at the National Conference for archaeology held in Iași in 1968, C. Preda's speech based on the material resulted from underwater, archaeological researches. For the datings we have used V. Grace's additional list of 1961. Until the Histrian lot will be reexamined and completed with the stamps discovered after 1954 (V. Eftimie-Andronescu is preparing this material), we have an impression that there are not Rhodian stamps from IVth cent., or in every case they are very rare.

⁸⁰ We note that at Tomis no stamp from this period was registered, cf. M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea,

op. cit., it seems that they lack also at Histria, cf. V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 272–273.

⁸¹ At the stamp no. 761 the completion is very probable: a second line was certainly at the left and at the right of the symbol.

⁸² A stamp with the inscription [ΦΙΛΙΠΠΟΥ] is known as found at Romula, cf. D. Tudor, *Comunicări epigrafice*, IV, in SCIV, 17, 1966, 3, p. 598, no. 13, fig. 25/12; idem, *Oltenia romană*, 3, p. 504, no. 161 and p. 122 dated in the IInd–IIIrd cent. Between this stamp and the Callatian stamp which is surely Rhodian is an entirely coincidental occurrence.

⁸³ I. B. Brashinski, *op. cit.*, in SA, 1961, 2, pp. 301–302; I. S. Krushkol, *op. cit.*, pp. 275–276.

⁸⁴ Cf. further the note 86, I. S. Krushkol, *loc. cit.* and V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in «Dacia», N. S., III, 1959, p. 201, the first dates them in IVth–IInd cent., the second in IVth–IIInd.

⁸⁵ An exception at Callatis could be the two amphorae mentioned by V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 193, but at the p. 191 it is specified that these were found somewhere near Callatis.

blished, which are to be revised today in the light of the new datings, comprise five types of amphorae: three types dated between 350—300 and two types between 300—250⁸⁶. As concerns the stamps, we do not know whether they were or not classified in chronological groups.

Consequently the material from Callatis can be generally dated in the IVth century B. C. and in the first half of the IIIrd century. The stamps which seem to be less known are frequent in the last group. There are new names, some to be left still in capital letters: nos. 811, 812, 813, 814, 819—820, 825, 830, 832, 839, 840, 841, 844, 847, 848, 850, 851, 853, 855, 857, 862. Tree stamps (nos. 865—867) are anepigraphic, but on the basis of their particularities are to be classified with much probability also at Heraclea Pontica.

The amphorae from Chersonese have recently been precised⁸⁷. The stamps were classified in four chronological groups in which also we shall try to distribute the pieces listed in the following catalogue⁸⁸. There is also a short chronology which melts into one group the former IInd and IIIrd groups; it is dated in the second half of the IIIrd century to the beginning of the IInd century, that is within the same limits as the former second and third group, cancelling only the differences of detail⁸⁹.

The Callatian material is divided as follows:

Second group: nos. 874, 875—877, 893, 896—900, 902, 903, 905, 906.

Third group: nos. 873, 878—880, 881—883, 888, 901.

The stamps nos. 890—891, theoretically classified in the Ist — IInd groups, were assigned by us to the second group because the stamps from the first group are lacking at Callatis. The stamp no. 892, attested for the IIIrd — Ist groups was assigned to the IIIrd group. Taking into account the dated stamps we have the following situation:

second group	250—200	16 pieces
third group	200—180	10 pieces

We can conclude that the ware from Chersonese does not appear on the Callatian market before 250 B. C. and that the imports from this center cease towards 180 B. C.

For the centers which follow, the chronology is not yet well established⁹⁰. As concerns the amphorae from Cnidus and Cos a late dating (IInd — Ist century) was proposed; but Cnidus begins the stamping in the IIIrd century B. C.⁹¹ The stamping at Paros could be dated, as it was proposed, in the IIIrd century and at the beginning of the IInd century B.C.⁹² Such hesitations are quite natural because the material is not abundant and serious observation is still required. These centers had not played an important role in the Callatian trade but, if a late dating was accepted, in a period when the stamping was less used, the general picture would have to be corrected a little. As regards Cnidus and Cos, especially in the case of the last, amphorae or amphora fragments are easy to recognize, so their searching in the

⁸⁶ I. B. Zeest, *О типах гераклейских амфор*, in KS, XXII, 1949, pp. 47—48 based on the works of B. N. Grakov.

⁸⁷ R. B. Ahmerov, *Амфоры древнегреческого Херсонеса*, in VDI, 1947, 1, pp. 160—176; see I. S. Krushkol, *op. cit.*, pp. 276—277 and other references. Long before them G. Cantacuzino, *op. cit.*, RHSEE, 1935, pp. 309—310, no. XVI, justly classified a stamp at this center.

⁸⁸ R. B. Ahmerov, *Об астиномных клеймах еллинистического Херсонеса*, in VDI, 1949, 4, pp. 99—123.

⁸⁹ About this chronology proposed by A. A. Neihardt in a dissertation yet unpublished, see I. B. Brashinski, *op. cit.*, p. 303.

⁹⁰ We have not a special work about these amphorae or a chronology in detail; the corpus of the Coan amphora stamps will be prepared by Virginia Grace.

⁹¹ For Cnidus see the bibliography at V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in «Dacia», N. S., III, 1959, pp. 200—201 and note 18; cf. V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 282—285. For Cos see E. M. Shtaerman, *op. cit.*, pp. 39—49 and V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 277—279. A corpus of Cnidian amphorae is also necessary to be realized in the future, cf. V. Grace, in *Year-book of the American Philosophical Society*, 1959, pp. 472—477.

⁹² I. B. Zeest, *Паросская амфора*, in KS, XLVIII, 1952, pp. 120—121.

excavations is to be done in the future, in order to take them into account for a more complete picture of the imports.

Returning now to the Callatian material, it is to be mentioned as less usual the stamp no. 938 from Paros which has the inscription Π APA. The last letter may be also Λ. In every case the form and the clay of the handle are Parian.

All the material from Cnidus in the catalogue (nos. 939—949) is new and to some measure uncertain, except no. 946. The determination was made on the basis of stamps and of the clay. Surely, we must be cautious in the case of this center.

The Coan stamps bring novelties with nos. 950, 951, 954, 959. Attention must be paid to the monograms which are very rare or even unattested on the double handles.

From the group of various unidentified centers we mention the "Cretan" stamps nos. 1083—1084 and 1094—1095, and the stamp from Abydos, no. 1103, which complete the picture of the exporting centers on the Callatian market. Chronologically the circular stamps divided in fields are dated in the IVth—IIIrd centuries B. C. The stamps nos. 1036—1038, are rather strange: they have signs impossible to explain.

Stamps from Callatis imitating the Rhodian stamps were recorded in the literature of the problem on the occasion of the publishing of a stamp with a difficult reading which was considered Geto-Dacian⁹³. This stamp, found at Cetățeni, belongs to the same family as the stamps from Cabyle and Delos⁹⁴, but the stamps nos. 1036—1038 found at Callatis imitate, at least the first two items, the stamps with monograms⁹⁵. The third piece is difficult to classify but it seems to be similar with the two stamps just mentioned than with those from Cetățeni, Cabyle and Delos. For the moment it is quite impossible to have for these three items a satisfactory exegesis. Even it is to be specified that these stamps are neither from the family of Getic anepigraphic stamps put on amphorae which imitate in great number the Rhodian amphorae, a material which is now well known⁹⁶, and which was discussed long time ago⁹⁷, nor from the class of Getic stamps with human representations⁹⁸. From a formal standpoint there are three main categories of imitations of the Greek stamps:

1 — *anepigraphic*, with the variant of human representations;

2 — *illegible*, put, as the first, on amphorae imitating the Rhodian amphorae; they are similar with the rectangular stamps;

3 — *deriving from* the stamps with monograms, like those discovered at Callatis.

As to the first category, they are now undeniably considered as Getic, belonging to well delimited cultural zones like the Walachian plain, where also were discovered the Getic cups with relief decoration⁹⁹ and the coins of the type Virteju-Bucharest¹⁰⁰.

⁹³ V. Eftimie-Andronescu, *Stampilă pe o amforă de producție locală cu imitarea literelor grecești*, in SCIV, 18, 1967, 3, pp. 401—420, cf. p. 415 (information from M. Gramatopol) and p. 417.

⁹⁴ A. Balkanska, *Местна имитация на гречки амфора печат, in Археология*, V, 1963, 4, p. 42—43, unknown to V. Eftimie-Andronescu. In theory a local production is more probable at Cabyle than at Cetățeni. For the piece found at Delos see V. Grace, *Timbres amphoriques trouvés à Délos*, in BCH, LXXIV, 1952, II, pp. 530—531; V. Eftimie-Andronescu, *op. cit.*, p. 414.

⁹⁵ In the catalogue, nos. 1028—1034.

⁹⁶ D. Tudor, *Amforele grecești ștampilate în Moldova, Muntenia și Oltenia*, in *Arheologia Moldovei*, V, 1967, pp. 37—79.

⁹⁷ D. Tudor, *Amfore elenistice descoperite în adâncul teritoriului R.P.R. (Referat asupra legăturilor economice*

între cetățile sclavagiste grecești și triburile dintre Carpați și Dunăre), in *Studii și referate privind istoria R.P.R.*, I, Bucharest, 1954, pp. 81—88; V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 383—390; V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in « Dacia », III, 1959, pp. 205—211, the last two works were based in this respect on the manuscript of D. Tudor, afterwards published in *Arheologia Moldovei*, V.

⁹⁸ Al. Vulpe, *Reprezentări umane pe cupele getice de la Popești*, in SCIV, 16, 1965, 2, pp. 347—348.

⁹⁹ Ir. Casan-Franga, *Contribuții cu privire la cunoașterea ceramicii geto-dacice. Cupele deliene getice pe teritoriul României*, in *Arheologia Moldovei*, V, pp. 7—34.

¹⁰⁰ C. Preda, *Monedele geto-dacice de tip Virteju-București*, in SCN, V, (in press).[†] Clearly defined by these three aspects of the material culture, the population of the south Romania in the IInd—Ist cent. B. C. seems to be strongly hellenised, attaining a high level of development.

The second category is not to be considered Getic on the whole. These stamps are now known in the Greek world at Delos and Callatis¹⁰¹, in the Thracian world at Cabyle¹⁰², a very important center, in Dobrudja at Costinești¹⁰³ and Albești¹⁰⁴, consequently the argument that they are not known in the south of the Danube is no more valid. The stamp found at Cetățeni-Muscel is not an unicum among the stamps discovered there or in other Geto-Dacian sites in the north of the Danube¹⁰⁵. This piece may be Getic but it does not mean that all the similar stamps found in such different places are produced in the Geto-Dacian world. When the problem will be reexamined, it would be also necessary to take into account the possibility that these amphorae had been produced by several centers in the period succeeding to the flourishing of the Rhodian trade. Some stamps from this category could be at last theoretically considered as produced by Rhodes in a period when stamping was diminishing and becoming in every way unofficial. The fact does not prevent us to label them as pseudo-Rhodian stamps.

As regards the third category which has given rise to the present classification, an attempt to a complex discussion is difficult because we are unable to precise even the centers producing eventually the prototypes. Part of the stamps with monograms was allotted to Thasos as similar with the circular stamps divided in fields or with the circular stamps with monograms¹⁰⁶; the south Thracian world could be considered as a possible origin of these pieces¹⁰⁷.

The question is if in the case of the imitated stamps we could not suppose even a Callatian origin. Nothing more natural than this question. It is well known that the cereals and the wines played a main role in the life of the Callatians and were the support of the development and of the flourishing of the town in the long period of its ancient history.

Let us refer only to this last produce; there are known many monetary issues representing Dionysos¹⁰⁸ whose popular worship is attested by the epigraphic sources¹⁰⁹. It is clear that Dionysos and Demeter, seriously competing with Herakles, the mythic founder of Callatis and of its metropolis¹¹⁰, are two of the most popular deities of the Callatian pantheon.

We think that the wine production was considerable and consequently the need of amphorae for its sale was proportional. So, an amphorae production at Callatis appears as necessary, but, if theoretically its existence could be accepted, the material proof is yet lacking because we have no Callatian amphora stamp to certify the difference between the local production and the imported amphorae.

¹⁰¹ In the catalogue, nos. 1104–1106.

¹⁰² Without listing here the bibliography for this center, we sent to the very instructive outline of L. Robert, which deals with the relations among Byzantium, Seuthopolis and Cabyle, in the volume, *Les stèles funéraires du Byzance gréco-romain* (in collaboration with N. Firatli), Paris, 1964, pp. 156–158.

¹⁰³ In the catalogue, no. 1170.

¹⁰⁴ In the catalogue, no. 1178.

¹⁰⁵ It is difficult to recognize this stamp among those published by D. Tudor, *op. cit.*, in *Arheologia Moldovei*, V, 1967. It could be one of the two stamps supposed by the author to be local stamps imitating greek stamps, nos. 108–109, at p. 67. It is absolutely sure that it is not one of the local stamps, pp. 76–78, nos. 170–199. It is not impossible to be among those Rhodian stamps which remained undeciphered.

¹⁰⁶ See, for example, A. Balkanska, *op. cit.*, in AAPH, Sofia, 1963, p. 53 and follow.

¹⁰⁷ A. Balkanska, *op. cit.*, p. 56, in every case: « tassischen Amphorenkreis ».

¹⁰⁸ B. Pick, *Die antiken Münzen Nord Griechenlands*, I, *Die Münzen von Dacien und Moesien*, 1, Berlin, 1898, pp. 100–101, nos. 217–224 a, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, in SCN, IV, 1968, pp. 103–123. An interpretation in this sense in C. Moisil, *Introducere in numismatica Dobrogei*, in the volume, *Dobrogea, cincizeci de ani de viață românească*, Bucharest, 1928, p. 173; D. M. Pippidi, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, pp. 193–194. Generally about this problem, L. Lacroix, *Les types des monnaies grecques et leurs significations économiques*, in *Congresso internazionale di numismatica*, Rome, 1961, vol. II, *Atti* (1965), pp. 93–102, and the discussions, p. 102–105.

¹⁰⁹ D. M. Pippidi, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, pp. 252–253 and passim; cf. also the article of the same author, *Grottes dionysiaques à Callatis*, in BCH, LXXXVIII, 1964, I, pp. 151–158.

¹¹⁰ It is clear that Heraclea Pontica was the metropolis of Callatis not Miletus, as Pomponius Mella says (II, 22), cf. D. M. Pippidi, *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, p. 150, the note 43.

In the old bibliography there were considered Callatian the amphorae discovered at Callatis, or a great lot of them. This thesis is common to many authors who considered Callatian even the Rhodian stamps and frequently the Sinopean or Heracleian ones¹¹¹.

It is quite clear today that such stamps can no longer be supposed Callatian, but the imitations are not to be a *priori* excluded. These could be Callatian, but the fact is far from being sure. We know that the imitations of the Rhodian stamps are spread over a large space, but at Callatis the Rhodian amphorae played a minor role and in no case could they be taken as prototypes. The reduced proportion of their presence at Callatis is in no way an argument for a local workshop. Their presence inside the Callatian territory, at Costinești and Albești, could be used to demonstrate *ipso facto* the export in the region and consequently to explain their reduced number in the center of production which was Callatis, an attempt which is in our opinion abusive.

With respect to the Callatian territory only Albești could have an amphorae import from Callatis because, as results from its geographic position, the site of Costinești was probably supplied directly by sea from the exporting centers. Also the argument of number draws out, we believe, of our discussion the pieces nos. 1136—1138.

We don't know till now imitations of Sinopean amphorae although it would be normal to be present at Callatis together with Thasian imitations.

In any case a thing is clear: if the town had decided to use stamping in an official way that would not have been for making imitations like those above mentioned¹¹². Such pieces could be as much the result of some poor private enterprises. Extending our research to all the western coast of the Pontus Euxinus, the negative result suggested by the Callatian aspect of the problem is strengthened. Convincing proofs are lacking and the situation is the same in all other cities of the western coast, north and south of Callatis.

It was supposed a local stamp at Stadkiti Kladenți near Burgas where was an emporion of Apollonia¹¹³. But besides the fact that this was discovered near a kiln, nothing demonstrates it was produced there and not elsewhere. A lead die was found at Varna¹¹⁴ which by impression produced an epigraphic stamp in rectangular tablet. The name inscribed on this die does not appear on the amphora stamps discovered at Odesus. It is a problem to be solved future if this die was used in the stamping of the local produced amphorae in the hellenistic period¹¹⁵.

¹¹¹ For example O. Tafrali, *op. cit.*, in AArh, I, 1927, p. 35, which is surely a Rhodian stamp; the author suggests that the name Ἀπλων of this stamp is the thesmothet of the Callatian inscription; see also Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, *Callatis*, in *L'archéologie en Roumanie*, p. 68. V. Stefanelli-Clain, *Contributo allo studio delle monete di Callatis*, in *Numismatica*, 13, 1947, pp. 4—5 and the notes 10 and 13, p. 7, believes that the monetary magistrate Νόσσοϛ is to be put in relation with a similar name of two amphora stamps or with Νοσίων from the Callatian inscription of the thysites, attested also by an amphora stamp. These considerations would be correct if the amphora stamps in discussion would be at their turn Callatian; in fact these are stamps from Heraclea Pontica; see before, in this work, p. 132, the classification by centers of the old materials. The name Νόσσοϛ appears now again on a Heracleian stamp, catalogue, no. 798.

¹¹² Callatis has not adopted as its own device a Sinopean or Heracleian stamp otherwise many differences would be observed between the stamps of these centers and those which were for the first time produced then by the Callatian potters. The news are quite natural in the numerous lots which were recently published. The Histrian lot, for example, has brought many unknown

Thasian stamps, as A. and A. M. Ben remark in a review of Canarache's work in REA, 1958, pp. 467—473, or J. and L. Robert, in *Bulletin épigraphique*, in REG, LXXII, 1958, p. 154, no. 32.

¹¹³ A. Aleksieva, *op. cit.*, in «Izvestiia Burgas», I, 1950, p. 49, no. 11, pl VI, 5; about the role of emporion of this site, see I. Galabov, *Археологические приноски за историята на град Бургас*, in «Izvestiia-Burgas», I, 1950, pp. 249—250.

¹¹⁴ M. Mirtchev, *op. cit.*, p. 5, pl. XL, 5, an unprecised late dating.

¹¹⁵ As regards the materials used for dies there are many hypotheses more or less founded: see for instance V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 360—363. It was recently discovered a die for amphora stamps, cf. V. Grace, Fr. Salviat, *Sceau thasien à marquer les amphores*, in BCH, LXXXVI, 1962, II, pp. 510—516, which has ascertained one thing: there were used dies in ceramics. It is to be elucidated in what measure other materials were used. The object given by V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 363, fig. 62 as a die for stamping amphorae is an ox anklebone which was used more probably as a knucklebone. There are also two pieces without inscription, published by N. I. Sokolski, *Кены*, in *Античный город*, 1963, p. 106, fig. 5/6.

On the basis of the material which was studied so far, with the reserve of a new discovery, we could affirm that no regular stamping on local produced amphorae was performed at Callatis or in other Greek colony on the western coast of the Pontus Euxinus. This does not mean that a local amphorae production is escluded; every attempt to demonstrate this fact will be welcome.

The number of the stamped amphorae is high enough in a wine producer city and an apparent contradiction is striking us. Without answering completely to this problem we mention only that besides the wine, the amphorae transported other wares as oil or preserved fish¹¹⁶, that they sometimes arrived empty¹¹⁷, that the qualities of the wines¹¹⁸ differed from region to region and that the number of the imported amphorae is also high in other cities of the Greek world, well-known as wine producers.

We have the best reason to consider of a great importance the material added now to the lot of archaeological information used by the researches in building up the ancient history of Callatis. At the end of this analysis which was not pushed to its last possibilities and consequences, it appears to us as necessary to accompany the publication of the amphora stamps found at Callatis by a sketch of the development of some historical data as supplied by the material.

The imported stamped amphorae are present at Callatis from the IVth century B. C. The oldest categories of Thasian stamps are lacking, consequently the amphorae of this center appear a little later than at Histria, that is in the second half of the IVth century. The Rhodian amphorae, though less numerous than in other cities, are present at Callatis as far back as in the IVth century B. C.

It seems to us, and the fact is not without importance, that the main role in the imports of this period was played by the amphorae arrived from Heraclea Pontica, metropolis of Callatis, dated from the first half of the IVth cent. or even from the end of the Vth cent. B. C. to the middle of the IIIrd cent. B. C. If these chronological data are right it results that the Heracleian amphorae which are generally at Callatis in the third row after reducing by two the Rhodian stamps, played at the beginning of the importing activity one of the main roles on the Callatian market, if not the first role, as we are inclined to think. Anyhow there were lasting relations with the metropolis in the IVth century B. C.¹¹⁹

As time goes on, but especially in the third century B. C., the trade with Thasos whose positions in the Histrian market were already good, increases. But the most important phenomenon is the penetration of the Sinopean amphorae on the Callatian market.

Very slight is the presence of the Rhodian stamps at Callatis; only one stamp that could have been arrived later is dated between 280—220 B.C. and only seven pieces are dated in the culminating point of the Rhodian trade, that is between 220—180 B. C. Even less amphorae arrive in the following periods, we refer, of course, to the datable stamps: there are two between 180—150 and four between 150—100. As regards the period 280—220, the situation at Callatis is as that at Histria and Tomis. More puzzling is the slight

¹¹⁶ Chr. Danoff, *Pontus Euxinus*, in RE, suppl. bd. IX, col. 984 (Verpackung der Fischkonserven).

¹¹⁷ A very plausible hypothesis of A. Shadurska, *Stemplowane i madla amfor, dachowski i inne zabytki epigrafiki ceramicznej*, in K. Michaolwski's book, *Mirmeki*, I, Warsaw, 1958, pp. 101—115, we have used the French summary, p. 151. The author based her assertion on the fact that imported amphorae were found in the sites which produced wine; she supposes they were filled with this local wine. In every case we must consider as possible

the transport of the local wine, that is of Callatian, in the jars coming from the centers of high renown.

¹¹⁸ Polybius, *Historiae*, IV, 38, 5; D. M. Pippidi, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, pp. 165—166.

¹¹⁹ V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 191, the note 3, several finds of Heracleian amphorae around Callatis were taken as argument by V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in «Dacia», N. S., III, 1959, p. 203, who rightly has shown that their reduced number, then known, does not illustrate the actual relations between Callatis and its metropolis.

number of stamps between 220—180, the *acmé* period of the expansion of the Rhodian trade. The explanation of the first phenomenon is perhaps to be found in the difficulties of the traffic through the Straits in the period when the Celts exerted their pressure upon Byzantium, but more probably in the economic and political history of Rhodes too.

As regards the second phenomenon, that is the slight number of Rhodian stamps dated between 220—180 which were found at Callatis, an explanation could be offered by the consequences of the so-called war for Tomis which put face to face Byzantium and Callatis helped by Histria¹²⁰.

The event took place in the former period (260 B. C.) and was, as is well known, favourable to the Byzantines who contested to the Callatians the right they supposed to have in controlling Tomis. Even if the defeat of Callatis did not mean for the town a total decay, as it has been proved, it is not less right that we must suppose it put an end to the Callatian claims for Tomis' domination.

How the Byzantines could exploit their victory in the following decades, it is quite difficult to tell because for the moment we have no data to allow much more than unverifiable conjectures. What is sure is that the times were hard and the increasing pretensions of the Celts constrained them to close the Straits rising consequently the reaction of the Rhodians and the war gained by the last ones¹²¹. It seems that in fact the Tomitans were those who benefited by the war of 260 B.C.

We could relate these circumstances to the comparative numerous presence of the Rhodian stamps at Tomis, in the general percentage as in the detail by periods, immediately after those events. This could be the consequence of some concessions made to the Rhodian vanquishers or the effect of the orientation of the transit wares to a harbour favourable to the Byzantines. The slight presence of Rhodian ware at Callatis would receive thus an explanation confirmed by a similar situation at Histria¹²². The facts were undoubtedly more complex. We have to notice that the presence of the Sinopean amphorae begins even in the first group at Callatis and Histria in contrast with Tomis¹²³.

On the Tomitan market Sinopean amphorae become gradually prevalent in comparison with Rhodian imports. At Histria and Callatis Rhodian wares arrive or more exactly come back after 220 B.C. on the markets where the Sinopeans were already established and steady on their positions. Perhaps the underdevelopment of Tomis explains the absence of early Sinopean wares on its market.

We don't intend to insist upon such phenomena because the schedule of ebb and flow on the market of one city or another in a certain moment of its history requires attentive researches which overstep the bounds of this investigation and which are not yet possible for larger regions.

Nevertheless, in connection with the phenomenon we have tried before to define and explain, it seems that we can accept, on the basis of the known material from Histria and Cal-

¹²⁰ D. M. Pippidi, *Contribuții la istoria veche a României*², the article *Histria și Callatis în secolele III—II î.e.n.*, Bucharest, 1967, pp. 32—34; cf. Idem, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, p. 222. As regards Memnon as a historical source, see recently Paolo Desideri, *Studi di storiografia eracleota*, in *Studi classici e orientali*, XVI, Pisa, 1967, pp. 366—416.

¹²¹ D. M. Pippidi, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, p. 222, the note 13 and *Contribuții*², *art. cit.*, p. 44, the note 48, the date 221—219. F. Walbank, *Historical Commentary on Polybios*, I, 1957, p. 506, proposes as end of the war the autumn of 220; cf. also H. Seyrig, *Monnaies hellé-*

nistiques de Byzance et de Calcédoine, in *Essays presented to Stanley Robinson*, 1968, p. 107.

¹²² From the comparative schedule (above, p. 137) results at Histria a percentage of 15.1% and at Tomis 33.5%. The Rhodian ware at Callatis is only of 5.5% and a number of these stamps are dated in the fourth century, that is not in the period which interests us.

¹²³ V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 182; M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, pp. 49—50. I. B. Brashinski, *op. cit.*, in *Античный город*, 1963, the schedule no. 3, p. 139, based on Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu's reports published in « Dacia », puts two stamps in the first group.

latis, that the penetration of the Sinopean stamped amphorae was facilitated by the decline of the Thasian imports between the end of the IIIrd century and the beginning of the IInd century B. C.

We have seen that it is very difficult, even impossible, to assign to Thasos stamped amphorae after 180 B. C. It is significant that just after this date, between 180—150 B. C., we observe at Callatis an interesting increase of the Sinopean stamped amphorae imports (270—220=26 pieces; 220—180=14 pieces; 180—150=176 pieces) which entirely dominate now the Callatian market¹²⁴.

Apart from the imports coming from less important centers, even if some of them played a certain role at Callatis after 150 B. C., and taking into account that generally the commercial activity of Rhodes was on decline¹²⁵, we could consider that the imports from Sinope are those that give the measure of the trade capacity of the city.

As we have shown, after 150 B. C. an unexpected decline takes place: 62 pieces between 150—120 B. C. and only 20 pieces between 120 and 70 B. C. It was rightly supposed that in the train of the successes of Mithridate's VI Eupator period, the export of Sinope played a main role¹²⁶, this fact being put into light on the one hand by the number rather constant of the amphorae imported by Histria¹²⁷, and on the other by the increase of the imports at Tomis, significant even if supported by as yet a scanty material¹²⁸.

At Callatis where the Sinopeans appear clearly as absolute masters of the market between 180—150 B. C., it would be normal to record if not an increase like at Tomis, a constant level at least, as was the situation at Histria. The sudden decrease of the imports after 150 B. C. is a sign of an economic decline of Callatis which we cannot yet sufficiently explain. However the phenomenon is worth paying attention.

If we really have a crisis at Callatis, it takes place not in the middle of the IIIrd century as it could be supposed on the basis of Memnon's evidence, but about one hundred years later, approximately in the middle of the IInd cent. B. C.¹²⁹

¹²⁴ It is interesting to observe that Chersonese ceases at the same time to be present on the Callatian market. The situation is different at Histria where stamps of the Ahmerov's fourth group were discovered, cf. V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 210.

¹²⁵ V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in «Dacia», N. S., III, 1959, p. 198, note 7. Rhodes still tries to export amphorae in the cities of the western coasts of the Black Sea, but judging from their number at Tomis, this export is decreasing. Cf. M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *loc. cit.* before and the note 123 of this work.

¹²⁶ Em. Condurachi, *La Mer Noire, carrefour des civilisations dans l'antiquité*, in NEH, III, publiées à l'occasion du IX^e Congrès des Sciences Historiques, Vienne, 1965, Bucarest, 1965, p. 17.

¹²⁷ V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 183—188; V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in «Dacia», N. S., III, 1959, p. 199, says that the fifth and especially the sixth group indicate high frequencies, but without spectacular increases. As regards Varna, cf. M. Mirtchev, *op. cit.*, the French summary: "Les timbres amphoriques de Sinope datés selon la classification de Grakov, indiquent que les relations commerciales de la côte bulgare de la mer Noire avec cette ville ne peuvent être situées qu'aux environs des années 150—70, époque qui correspond au renforcement de l'influence politique de l'État Pontique, le long de la côte occidentale de la mer Noire". From the commentary, pp. 38—39, and from the catalogue, we can obtain the following situation: IVth group = 9 pieces; Vth group = 24 pieces; VIth group =

10 pieces. It is to underline the absence of the stamps from the other groups. The fifth group is the most abundant (comparatively with the situation at Callatis, on the one hand, at Histria and Tomis, on the other). Arranging the material by the places of the finds, we obtain for Odessus the following situation: the fourth group = 6 pieces; the fifth group = 9 pieces; the sixth group = 5 pieces, and for Bizone: the fourth group = 2 pieces; the fifth group = 16 pieces; the sixth group = 5 pieces. The stamp no. 221 is to be excluded as discovered at Kicevo. At Bizone the material from the fourth group is less numerous in comparison with the fifth group. The decline observed as regards the sixth group is to be taken into account. Cf. above p. 137, note 50, and our correction of the percentages given for Odessus which were in fact representative for all the material in the Varna Museum.

¹²⁸ M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *loc. cit.*

¹²⁹ D. M. Pippidi, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, p. 232—239. Idem, in *Contribuții*², art. cit., pp. 32—67 and especially p. 53, note 78, arguments of the assertion that Callatis has crossed the crisis of 260 B. C. The date of the numerous inscriptions in connexion with this question is the second half of the IIIrd century — the first half of the following, without going beyond the date indicated by the Sinopean stamps as the beginning of the decline at Callatis. About the situation of the Dobrudja in the second century, see D. M. Pippidi, *Histria și geții în secolul al doilea î.e.n. Observații asupra decretului în cinstea lui Agathocles, fiul lui Antiphilos*, in *Contribuții*², pp. 186—221; cf. Idem, *op. cit.*, in *Istoria Dobrogei*, I, pp. 228—233.

It is possible that even henceforth Callatis — after it had been one of the main centers on the western coast of Pontus Euxinus and the leader of the opposition to Lysimachus, ready to conquer Tomis and continuing to be even after this failure about which we know too little, an active and prosperous city¹³⁰ — began to recede before Tomis. Struck perhaps in its territory — an afflicting and full of consequences event — the economy of the town being organically linked with peaceful surroundings, Callatis crosses a difficult period.

Even if the before exposed sketch, resulting from the interpretation of some data not sufficiently certain, will appear as erroneous in some of its aspects, the material on which is based will surely contribute, together with the archaeological researches made at Callatis, to the clearing up of many gaps of the history of the town in the hellenistic period.

From the published material, except that found at Callatis which we have tried to present in these pages, a lot of a certain importance was discovered at Costinești.

The sporadic researches made at Costinești about which we have only scarce information, have led to the discovery of many amphora stamps which remained unpublished¹³¹. We shall try now indirectly to fill this gap, publishing the stamps accidentally discovered which entered private collections. The most numerous were collected by the late doctor H. Slobozeanu, a very clever antiquary and amateur researcher of the past of Dobrudja, who prepared the publication of the amphora stamps from Costinești in his collection¹³².

We have not seen and included in this work some pieces which are now at the general school at Costinești¹³³. The composition of the Costinești lot is :

Thasos	= 3 pieces
Sinope	= 22 „
Rhodes	= 17 „
Heraclea	= 6 „
Chersonese	= 1 piece
Various centers	8 pieces
	<hr/>
	57 pieces

Dividing by two the Rhodian stamps and taking out the stamps from various unidentified centers among which a circular stamp divided in four quarters and a pseudo-Rhodian stamp¹³⁴, we have established for the Costinești lot the following percentages :

Thasos	7.3 %
Sinope	53.7 %
Rhodes	22 %
Heraclea	14.6 %
Chersonese	2.4 %

¹³⁰ Cf. a comparative inquiry based on inscriptions, about the relations of the Pontus Euxinus cities with other regions of the Greek world, which was presented by Gh. Poenaru Bordea at the Archaeological Institute in 1968 under the title : *Date numismatice privind legăturile orașelor din Pontul Sîng cu Asia Mică în epoca elenistică* (in manuscript); here is underlined the role of Callatis in the hellenistic period. About the Greek inscriptions found in Bulgaria or in the connected regions, see L. Robert, *op. cit.*, in « Rev. Phil », XXXIII, 1959, II, pp. 165—236. We mention here the presence of the Callatian coins in the Mektepinî hoard (Phrygia), buried in 190 B. C., N. Olcay, H. Seyrig, *Le trésor de Mektepinî en Phrygie*, Paris, 1965, p. 7, nos. 3—4, pl. I, no. 3.

¹³¹ R. Vulpe, *Noutăți arheologice dobrogene, 1932—1934*, in AnD, XV, 1934, p. 209, 80 stamps in their majority Thasian; V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 378—379.

¹³² From Gellu Naum collection, 5 pieces (nos. 1119, 1132, 1134, 1139, 1154); from Grigore Ghyka collection, 8 pieces (nos. 1124, 1138, 1141, 1158, 1160, 1161, 1164, 1166), the other stamps are from H. Slobozeanu collection. Other stamps, according to V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 378, are now in arch. A. Doicescu collection, Bucharest. Some observations about the Greek site at Schitul (Costinești) were made by H. Slobozeanu, I. Țicu, *Așezarea antică de la Schitul*, in SCIV, 17, 1966, 4, pp. 579—700.

¹³³ V. Canarache, *loc. cit.* This information was confirmed by Al. Popea, who will publish these pieces,

¹³⁴ Nos. 1163 and 1170.

The most considerable imports are those from Sinope, followed by Rhodian and Heracleian imports. Thasos and Chersonese have positions of less importance. For the moment we don't know at Costinești amphora stamps from Paros, Cnidus and Cos.

Among the Sinopean stamps only 16 are datable according to the Grakov's groups: Ist group, one piece; IInd group, one piece; IIIrd group, one piece; IVth group, one piece; Vth group, five pieces; VIth group, six pieces.

Among the Rhodian stamps with eponyms only four are datable in the chronological groups that cover the period of the IIIrd — IInd centuries B. C. To these is to be added a Rhodian stamp with the producer's name, which is dated between 180—150 B.C.

We shall not venture on ample considerations only on the data supplied by the material found at Costinești. As a working hypothesis we incline to admit that Costinești was provided with wares packed in stamped amphorae directly by sea, this thing being justified by a sensible difference between the Callatian and Costinești imports. In the period IVth — IIIrd centuries (the first half, perhaps) Heraclea Pontica plays the first role. There are lacking Rhodian stamps from the IVth century and afterwards they are rare; the Thasian stamps are also rare, but the Sinopean stamped amphorae appear at the same time as at Callatis (the first Grakov group is present). There are at the beginning of the hellenistic period some similitudes with Callatis we must render evident because Costinești seems to develop in the Callatian zone of influence. In the second century the Sinopean imports present a clear cut difference as against Callatis. There is only one Sinopean stamp between 180—150, but a strong increase in the Vth and VIth Grakov's groups, that is five and respectively six pieces. The Rhodian amphorae are during this period on the Costinești market far behind the Sinopean. The numerous Sinopean imports tell us that after 150 B.C. the importance of the site and perhaps of the harbour is increasing. Drawing a parallel between the situation at Costinești and at Callatis, with all the due prudence, we could think that one takes advantage of the tense circumstances of the other.

Except the comparisons with the strong neighbouring Greek cities, the stamped amphorae imports at Costinești must be reconsidered on the basis of a greater lot to be analysed, of new comparisons with other sea-side centers of less importance and of their situation, function of the respective great cities in the neighbourhood.

Among the sites following in the catalogue, only at Moșneni and Albești amphora stamps are known so far¹³⁵ which remained unpublished together with other archaeological materials¹³⁶. The sites at Dulcești, 23 August and Arsa did not supply till now other stamps than these we publish here. The situation is not better even for other localities where amphora stamps were discovered; only the finds of few of them have been published¹³⁷.

As for details in this order, see the catalogue, nos. 1171 and the followings. We have to underline only the fact that in these sites near Callatis the producing centers which were

¹³⁵ For Albești, see V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, p. 190, note 30; Moșneni is marked on the map at p. 393; the two localities are also marked on the map of V. Eftimie's article, *op. cit.*, in « Dacia », N. S., III, 1959.

¹³⁶ In both localities, bronze coins of Filip II were found, cf. C. Preda, *Triburile geto-dacice și circulația monedelor lui Filip II la Nord de Dunăre*, in SCIV; VII, 1956, 3—4, p. 277. At Albești two Histrian drachms were found see B. Mitrea, *Descoperirile monetare și legăturile de schimb ale Histriei cu populațiile locale în sec. V—IV î.e.n.*, in *Studii clasice*, VII, 1965, pp. 147 and 156, no. 9. At Moșneni was found a Roman republican denarius (information from Alex. Popeea).

¹³⁷ V. Canarache, *op. cit.*, pp. 377—379, cf. above p. 128, note 5. Cf. M. Gramatopol, Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *op. cit.*, p. 47—48 and the notes 21, 22 and 33; cf. also V. Eftimie, *op. cit.*, in « Dacia », N. S., III, 1959, pp. 204—205. As regards the materials published after this date, see A. Rădulescu, *Noi mărturii arheologice din epoca elenistică la Nuntași*, in SCIV; XII, 1961, 2, pp. 388—389; E. Bujor, *The Amphorae Deposit of Islam Geașerca*, in « Dacia », N. S., VI, pp. 475—478; Al. Popeea, *Cîteva toarte de amforă descoperite la Medgidia*, in SCIV, 18, 1967, 3, pp. 509—512.




Fig. 1

present are : Thasos at Dulcești, Sinope at 23 August, Heraclea Pontica at Dulcești and Arsa, Rhodes at Moșneni and a pseudo-Rhodian stamp at Albești.

The amphora stamps deserve a more assiduous interest and a complete publication to be done in the next future because they are very important for the investigation of the direct or transit trade of the exporting centers in zones which were far off the coasts, being equally important for the research of the dynamics of the relations between the Greeks and the population of Dobrudja in hellenistic times. Even if this desideratum which is a *sine qua non* condition of progress in the economic history will be successfully accomplished, nothing could supplant the systematical archaeological researches in one or some of the sites just mentioned, or in other sites, in order to enrich our knowledge not only about these places but even about Callatis.

CALLATIS

THASOS*

- | | |
|---|--|
| <p>1 M.A.C. II40502.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
pithos
AINEΑΣ
<i>Bon</i>, 104.</p> | <p>Θασίων
Αινέας</p> |
| <p>2 M.A.C. II40297.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
bunch of grapes
AINEΑΣ</p> | <p>Θασίων
Αινέας</p> |
| <p>3 M.A.C. II40214.
[Θ]ΑΣΙΩΝ
mouse
AINEΑΣ</p> | <p>Θασίων
Αινέας</p> |
| <p>4 M.A.C. II40131
ΘΑΣΙΩ[N]
grow
ΑΙΣΧΡΙΟΝ</p> | <p>Θασίων
Αίσχριον</p> |
| <p>5* M.A.C. A1013
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
home-made bread
ΑΠΟΛΛ. . .

<i>Bon</i>, 229, similar (pointed amphora).</p> | <p>Θασίων
'Απολλ. . .</p> |
| <p>6 M.A.C. II40469.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
ΑΡΙΣΤΑΡΚΟΣ
ΤΙΜΑΡΧΙ hook?</p> | <p>Θασίων
'Αριστάρκος
Τιμαρχι. . .</p> |
| <p>7 M.A.C. II40408.
ΘΑΣΙΩ[N]
cap of Dioscuri
ΑΡΙΣΤΕ[ΙΔΗΣ]

<i>Bon</i>, 282.</p> | <p>Θασίων
'Αριστείδης</p> |

* Abbreviations used in the catalogue :

B.C.H., 1952 = V. Grace, *Timbres amphoriques trouvés à Délos*.

Bon = A. and A. M. Bon, *Les timbres amphoriques de Thasos*, Paris, 1957.

Canarache = V. Canarache, *Importul amforelor stampilate la Istria*, Bucharest, 1957.

Gaertringen = Hiller v. Gaertringen, *Rhodos*, in *RE, Supplementband, V*, Stuttgart, 1931, col. 835–840.

Grace, Hesperia = V. Grace, *The Eponyms Named on Rhodian Amphora Stamps*, in *Hesperia*, 2, 1953.

Grakov = B. N. Grakov, *Древне-греческие керамические клейма с именами астиномов*, Moscow, 1928.

Gramatopol-Poenaru = Mihai Gramatopol and Gh. Poenaru Bordea, *Amfore stampilate din Tomis*, in *SCIV*, 19, 1968, 1, pp. 41–61.

Pridik = E. M. Pridik, *Инвентарный каталог клейм на амфорных ручках и горлышках и на черепицах Эрмитажного собрания*, Petrograd, 1917.

Staerman = E. M. Staerman, *Керамические клейма из Туры в связи с вопросом неизвестных центров*, in *KS*, XXXVI, 1951.

M.A.C. = Constantza Museum of Archaeology.

- 8 M.A.C. II40613.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
bird to left
ΑΡΙΣΤΕΙΔΗΣ
Bon, 287.
- 9 M.A.C. II40430.
Θ[ΑΣΙΩΝ]
palmette
[ΑΡ]ΙΣΤΟ[ΔΙ/ΚΟΣ]
Bon, 313.
- 10* M.A.C. II.....
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
flower
ΑΡΙΣΤΟΔΙ/ΚΟΣ
Bon, 314; *Canarache*, 5.
- 11 M.A.C. II40413.
[Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
alabastron
[Α]ΡΙCΤΟΔΙ[ΚΟC]
Bon, 318.
- 12 M.A.C. II40090.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
aryballos
ΑΡΙCΤΟΔ[Ι]ΚΟC
- 13 M.A.C. II40809.
[ΘΑΣ]ΙΩΝ
hook
[ΑΡΙCΤ]ΟΔΙΚΟC
Bon, 321, similar; *Pridik*, 58 (p. 18, pl. IV, 2) interprets it as fish (*anguilla*)
- 14 M.A.C. II40238.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
trophy
ΑΡΙCΤΟΔ[ΙΚΟC]
Perhaps *Bon*, 322 (Θαcίων
'Αριcτοδίκου)
- 15 M.A.C. II40062.
ΑΡΙCΤΟ[...]
indefinite
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
- 16 M.A.C. II40387.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
cornucopia?
ΑΡΙCΤΟC
- 17 M.A.C. II40615.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
cap of Dioscuri
ΑΡΙCΤΟC
- 18 M.A.C. II40731.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
vessel
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦΑΝ[ΗC]
Perhaps *Bon*, 409,
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτείδης
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτόδικοc
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτόδικοc
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτόδικοc
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτόδικοc
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτο...
Θαcίων
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτοc
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτοc
- Θαcίων
'Αριcτοφάνης

- 19 M.A.C. II40396.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
alabastron
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦΑΝΗC
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφάνης
- 20* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/18).
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
snake on altar
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦΑΝΗ/C
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφάνης
- 21 M.A.C. II40258.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
battle axe
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦΑΝΗC
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφάνης
- 22 M.A.C. II40587.
[ΘΑCΙ]ΩΝ
indefinite fragment
[ΑΡΙCΤ]ΟΦΑΝΗC
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφάνης
- 23-M.A.C. II40821; II40645; II40743; II40510.
26
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
unidentified
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦΑΝΗC
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφάνης
- 27 M.A.C. II40760.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
long vessel
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦΩΝ
Perhaps *Bon*, 426.
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφῶν
- 28 M.A.C. II40490.
ΘΑCΙΩ[N]
ring with ribbons
ΑΡΙCΤΟΦ[ΩΝ]
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφῶν
- 29 M.A.C. II40738.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
bird to right
[ΑΡ]ΙCΤΟΦΩΝ
Θασίων
Ἄριστοφῶν
- 30 M.A.C. II40880.
[ΘΑCΙΩΝ]
hand
ΑΡΧΕΜΑ[ΧΩΝ]
Θασίων
Ἄρχεμάχων
- 31 M.A.C. II40456.
[ΘΑCΙΩΝ]
round vessel and ladle
[ΑΡΧΕ]CΤΡΑΤΟC
Θασίων
Ἄρχέστρατος
- 32 M.A.C. II40117.
[ΘΑ]CΙΩ[N]
chimera? or lion?
[ΑΡΧ]ΗΝΑΞ
Bon, 440, similar (lion).
Θασίων
Ἄρχῆναξ

- 33 M.A.C. II40691.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
olpé?
ΑΡΧΗΝΑΞ
Bon, 446.
Θασίων
'Αρχήναξ
- 34 M.A.C. II40288.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
quiver
[Β]ΙΩΝΟC
Bon, 472.
Θασίων
Βίωνος
- 35 M.A.C. II124.
[Θ]ΑΚΙΩΝ
vessel
[Β]ΙΩΝΟC
Bon, 482.
Θασίων
Βίωνος
- 36 M.A.C. II40365.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
battle axe
ΒΙΩΝΟC
Θασίων
Βίωνος
- 37 M.A.C. II40807.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
club
ΒΙΩΝΟC
Θασίων
Βίωνος
- 38 M.A.C. II40606.
[ΘΑΚΙΩΝ]
bee
ΒΙΩΝ
Canarache, 149.
Θασίων
Βίων
- 39 M.A.C. II40386.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
archaic amphora
[Δ]ΕΑΛΚΟ[Σ]
Bon, 536.
Θασίων
Δέαλκος
- 40 M.A.C. II40431.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
ionic column
[ΔΕ]ΑΛΚΟΣ
Bon, 539.
Θασίων
Δέαλκος
- 41 M.A.C. II40593.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
long vessel (oinohoe?)
ΔΕΑΛΚΟ[Σ]
Bon, 551.
Θασίων
Δέαλκος
- 42 M.A.C. II40424.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
lekythos
[ΔΕ]ΑΛΚΟΣ
Θασίων
Δέαλκος

- 43 M.A.C. II40072.
Θ[ΑΣΙΩΝ]
tortoise
ΔΕΑΛΚΟΣ
Θασίων
Δέαλκος
- 44 M.A.C. II40676
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
dolphin
ΔΗΜΑΛΚΗC
Bon, 591.
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 45 M.A.C. II40353.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
cantharos
ΔΗΜΑΛΚΗC
Bon, 592. G. Cantacuzino, RHSEE, 1935, p. 313, no. XXI,
fig. 21, p. 312.
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 46-M.A.C. II40673 ; II40064 ; II40023 ; II40092.
49
[Θ]ΑΚΙΩΝ
alabastron
[ΔΗ]ΜΑΛΚΗC
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 50-M.A.C. II40668 ; II40648.
51
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
vine
ΔΗΜ[ΑΛΚΗC]
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 52 M.A.C. II40612.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
bird flying to left
[Δ]ΗΜΑΛΚΗC
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 53 M.A.C. II40031.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
bird in flight?
Δ[ΗΜΑΛΚ]ΗC
May be ΔΑΜΑΚΤΗC
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 54 M.A.C. II40338.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
stork in flight
ΔΗΜΑΛΚΗ[C]
Θασίων
Δημάλκης
- 55-M.A.C. II40096 ; II40140 ; II40105.
57
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
amphora
[ΕΥ]ΑΓΟΡΑC
Bon, 638 ; *Canarache*, 18.
Θασίων
Ευαγόρας

- 58* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/61).
 ΗΓΗCΙΠΟΛΙC
 prow
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
Bon, 678 ; perhaps *Canarache*, 19.
 * ΗγήCίπολιC
 ΘαCίων
- 59 M.A.C. II40611.
 ΗΓΗCΙΠΠΟC
 base
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
Bon, 681—688, with several symbols.
 * ΗγήCίπποC
 ΘαCίων
- 60 M.A.C. II40179.
 ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔ[ΗC]
 star with 16 rays
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
Bon, 711 (« Dacia », VII—VIII, 1937—1940, p. 265).
 * ΗρακλείδηC
 ΘαCίων
- 61 M.A.C. II40536.
 ΘΑCΙΟC
 bow with arrow
 ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΤΟC
Bon, 718 (several variants but no one with this reading) ;
Canarache, 23, similar.
 ΘαCίοC
 * ΗράκλειτοC
- 62 M.A.C. II40556.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 dolphin
 ΗΡΟΦΩΝΤΟC
Bon, 760.
 ΘαCίων
 * ΗροφώντοC
- 63 M.A.C. II40887.
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
 pitchfork shank
 [Η]ΡΟΦΟΝΤΟ[C]
Bon, 762, similar.
 ΘαCίων
 * ΗροφόντοC
- 64 M.A.C. II40159.
 [Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
 crescent
 ΗΡΟΦΩΝΤΟC
Bon has not his symbol.
 ΘαCίων
 * ΗροφώντοC
- 65*-Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/54) ; M.A.C. II40709.
 66
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
 quiver
 [ΘΕΟΙΟΜ]ΠΟC
Bon, 809.
 ΘαCίων
 ΘεόπομοC

- 67 M.A.C. II40592.
 [ΘACI]ΩΝ
 cock
 ΘΕΟΠΟΜΠΙΟΣ
Bon, 811 (« Dacia », II, 1925, p. 130, no. 2, fig. 51).
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 68-M.A.C. II40345; II40820; Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (B).
 70*
 ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
 cantharos
 ΘΕΟΠΟΜΠΙΟ[Σ]
Bon, 818.
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 71 M.A.C. II40066.
 ΘACIΩΝ
 cantharos
 ΘΕΟΠΟΜΠΙΟΣ
Bon, 818.
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 72* M.A.C. II41062.
 [Θ]AC[IΩN]
 ladle
 ΘΕΟΠ[ΟΜΠΙΟΣ]
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 73 M.A.C. II40063.
 ΘΑΣΙ[ΩN]
 club
 ΘΕΟΠΟΜ[ΠΙΟΣ]
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 74 M.A.C. II40349.
 ΘACIΩΝ
 flower in alabastron
 ΘΕΟΠΟΜΠΙΟΣ
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 75 M.A.C. II40254.
 ΘAC[IΩN]
 torch?
 [ΘΕ]ΟΠΟΜ[ΠΙΟΣ]
 Perhaps *Canarache*, 27 (where the symbol is pitchfork?)
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 76* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/36).
 [ΘACI]ΩΝ
 torn symbol
 [ΘΕΟΠΙΟ]ΜΠΙΟΣ
 Θασίων
 Θεόπομπος
- 77-M.A.C. II40308; II40795; II40491.
 79
 ΘΕΣΠΩ[N]
 club
 ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
Bon, 837.
 Θέσπων
 Θασίων

- 80 M.A.C. II40879.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
sword
ΘΕΣ[ΠΩ]Ν
Θασίων
Θέσπων
- 81 M.A.C. II40297.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
amphora
ΙΑΝΑΔΗC
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- Bon*, 863.
- 82* M.A.C.....
[Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
wolf head
[ΙΑΝΑ]ΔΗ[Σ]
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- Perhaps *Bon*, 864 («Dacia», VII—VIII, 1937—1940, p. 256, no. 11; Sauciu Săveanu hesitates between a horse head or an ox head).
- 83 M.A.C. II40815.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
ox head
ΙΑΝΑΔΗC
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- Perhaps *Bon*, 864; *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 3.
- 84 M.A.C. II40043.
[ΘΑΣ]ΙΩΝ
he-goat or rhyton (torn)
[ΙΑΝ]ΑΔΗC
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- Bon*, 867.
- 85- M.A.C. II40473 ; II40566.
86
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
cantharos
ΙΑΝΑΔΗC
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- Bon*, 869.
- 87* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/13).
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
male head
ΙΑΝΑΔΗC
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- 88* M.A.C. II41071.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
shield?
[ΙΑΝ]ΑΔΗ[C]
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης
- 89 M.A.C. II40730.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
amphora foot
[ΙΑ]ΝΑΔΗC
Θασίων
Ίδνιάδης

- 90 M.A.C. II40655.
ΘΑCΙΩN
unidentified
[IΔ]NA[ΔHC] Θασίων
Ἰδνάδης
- 91* M.A.C. II40757.
ΘΑCΙΩN
tripod?
KHΦIΣOΦ[ΩN] (reversed, retrograde) Θασίων
Κηφισοφῶν
- 92 M.A.C. II40008.
ΘΑCΙΩ[N]
snake
KHΦIΣOΦ[ΩN] (reversed) Θασίων
Κηφισοφῶν
- 93 M.A.C. II40304.
KHΦIΣOΦ[ΩN]
bunch of grapes on vine
[ΘA]CΙΩN Κηφισοφῶν
Θασίων
- 94 M.A.C. II40273.
ΘΑCΙΩN
dolphin
KΛEOCTPATOC Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος
- Ron, 947.*
- 95* M.A.C. A 1003
ΘΑCΙΩN
quiver
KΛEOCTPATOC Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος
- Canarache, 35.*
- 96* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/53).
ΘΑCΙΩN
vessel and ladle
[K]ΛEOCTPATOC Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος
- 97* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/14).
ΘΑCΙΩN
cornucopia
KΛEOCTPATOC Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος
- Gramatopol-Poenaru, 5*
- 98* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/59).
ΘΑCΙ[ΩN]
cock
[K]ΛEOCTPATOC Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος
- 99 M.A.C. II40149.
[ΘACI]ΩN
alabastron
[KΛ]EOCTP[ATOC] Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος

- 100 M.A.C. II40635.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
crater
ΚΛΕΟCΤΡΑΤΟC
Θασίων
Κλεόστρατος
- 101 M.A.C. II40888.
ΚΛΕΟΦΩΝ
dolphin
[Θ]ΑC[Ι]ΩΝ
Κλεοφῶν
Θασίων
Bon, 974, similar (only fish as symbol).
- 102- M.A.C. II40091 ; II40139.
103
[Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
alabastron
ΚΡΑΤΙCΤΩ[N/ΑΞ]
Not with this symbol in *Bon*
Θασίων
ΚρατιCτῶναξ
- 104 M.A.C. II40608.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
amphora on potter's wheel
ΚΡΙΝΙC
Θασίων
ΚρῖνιC
Bon, 1030.
- 105* M.A.C. II41075.
[ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
vessel (oinohoe?)
[Κ]ΡΙΝΟΜΕΝΗ/C
Θασίων
ΚρινομένηC
Bon, 1052.
- 106 M.A.C. II40109.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
battle axe
[Κ]ΡΙΤΙΑC
Θασίων
ΚριτίC
Bon, 1062.
- 107 M.A.C. II40422.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
star with 8 rays
ΚΡΙΤΙΑC
Θασίων
Κριτίας
Bon, 1063—1064.
- 108 M.A.C. II40744
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
fruit?
[ΚΡΙ]ΤΙΑ[C]
Θασίων
Κριτίας
- 109- M.A.C. II40006 ; II40196 ; II40352 ; II40478.
112
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
caduceus
ΚΥΧΡΙC
Θασίων
ΚύχριC

- 113 M.A.C. II40240.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
harpoon
ΚΥΧΡΙΣ
Θασίων
Κύχρις
- 114- M.A.C. II40762 ; II40601.
115
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
ladle
[Κ]ΥΧΡΙΣ
Θασίων
Κύχρις
- 116 M.A.C. II40070.
ΘΑ[ΣΙΩΝ]
indefinite
[Κ]ΥΧ[ΡΙΣ]
Θασίων
Κύχρις
- 117- M.A.C. II40817 ; II40779.
118
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
unidentified
[ΚΥΧ]ΡΙΣ
Θασίων
Κύχρις
- 119* Mangalia Museum (C. 131).
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
fish
[Λ]ΑΓΕΤΑ[Σ]
Bon, 1082—1085 (not with this symbol but always with
a second name).
Θασίων
Λαγέτας
- 120 M.A.C. II40776.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
ox head
[Λ]ΑΓΕΤ[ΑΣ]
Bon, 1083, similar (with the sigles ΘΑ and ΣΙ).
Θασίων
Λαγέτας
- 121 M.A.C. II40103.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
bunch of grapes
ΛΕΩΜΕΔΩΝ
Bon, 1098.
Θασίων
Λεωμέδων
- 122 M.A.C. II40639.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
vessel and ladle
ΛΕΩΜΕΔΩΝ
Bon, 1099.
Θασίων
Λεωμέδων
- 123- M.A.C. II40158 ; II40703.
124
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
cantharos
ΛΕΩΜΕΔΩΝ
Θασίων
Λεωμέδων

The second stamp has a C sigma

125 M.A.C. II40780.
 [Θ]ACIQ[N]
 pithos
 [Λ]EΩMEΔ[ΩN]

Θασίων
 Λεωμέδων

126 M.A.C. II40461.
 [ΘA]CIQN
 lion? running to left
 [ΛYC]ANΔPOC

Θασίων
 Λύσανδρος

Bon, 1109.

127 M.A.C. II40059.
 ΘACIQN
 quiver
 ΛYCANΔPOC

Θασίων
 Λύσανδρος

128 M.A.C. II40753.
 ΘΑΣIQN
 unidentified
 ΛΥΣΙΚΛΗΣ
 ΔΑ...

Θασίων
 Λυσικλῆς
 Δα.....

Bon, 1118—1122 (with various symbols and names, but no one beginning with Δα...).

129 M.A.C. II40882.
 ΘΑΣIQN
 cantharos
 ME[ΓAΔ]H[Σ]

Θασίων
 Μεγάδης

The *onomasticon* is not in *Bon*; Μεγακλείδης is not a good solution, perhaps Μεγακλῆς

130 M.A.C. II40781.
 [Θ]ΑΣIQ[N]
 crater
 [M]ENEΔH[MOΣ]

Θασίων
 Μενέδριμος

Bon, 1168.

131*- M.A.C. A 1022; II41142.

132
 ΘΑΣIQ[N]
 battle axe
 NA[ΥΣΩN[

Θασίων
 Ναύσων

Bon, 1199.

133*- M.A.C. ...; II41015.

134
 ΝΙΚΟΔΗΜΟΣ
 star
 [Θ]AC[I]ΩN

Νικόδημος
 Θασίων

Bon, 1242—1266, similar (with various symbols).

- 135 M.A.C. II40616.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
crab
ΠΑΜΦ[ΑΗΣ]
Bon, 1318 («Dacia», VII — VIII, 1937 — 1940, p. 270, no. 89).
- Θασίων
Παμφάτης
- 136 M.A.C. II40893.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
vessel
[Π]ΑΜΦΑ[Ν]ΗΣ
Bon, 1329; perhaps *Canarache*, 57.
- Θασίων
Παμφάντης
- 137 M.A.C. II40335.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
the letter A
ΠΟΛΥΝΕΙΚΗΣ
Bon, 1353—1354.
- Θασίων
Πολυνείκτης
- 138 M.A.C. II40110.
ΘΑΣΙΩ[Ν]
the letter P
[Π]ΟΛΥΝΕΙ[ΚΗΣ]
Bon, 1375.
- Θασίων
Πολυνείκτης
- 139 M.A.C. II40079.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
male arm with wing above
ΠΟΛΥΩΝ
Bon, 1389 a; G. Cantacuzino, «Dacia», VII — VIII, 1937—1940, p. 285, c, fig. 1,3 and 2,3 (C sigma and the monogram Λ).
- Θασίων
Πολύων
- 140 M.A.C. II40763.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
male arm with star above
ΠΟΛΥΩΝ
Bon, 1389 b (star with 6 rays); *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 10, similar (star with 6 rays).
- Θασίων
Πολύων
- 141 M.A.C. II40791.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
male arm and lying cantharos above
ΠΟΛΥΩΝ
Bon, 1389 f («Dacia», VII—VIII, 1937—1940, pp. 283—285, b, fig. 2, 2; p. 265, nos. 60—61).
- Θασίων
Πολύων
- 142 M.A.C. II40112.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
male arm with
standing cantharos above
[ΠΟΛΥ]ΩΝ
Bon, 1389, similar (laying cantharos).
- Θασίων
Πολύων

- 143 M.A.C. II40038.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
male arm and
vessel above
ΠΟΛΥΩΝ
Θασίων
Πολύων
Bon, 1389 g; G. Cantacuzino «Dacia», VII—VIII,
pp. 284—285, b, fig. 1, 2, and 2,2.
- 144 M.A.C. II40390.
ΘΑΚΙΩ[N]
indefinite
ΠΟΛΥ[ΩΝ]
Θασίων
Πολύων
Bon, 1389 i («Dacia», VII—VIII, p. 265, no. 62 and
perhaps «Dacia», V—VI, 1935—1936, p. 252, no. 4).
- 145 M.A.C. II40712.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
harpoon
[Π]ΡΗΞΗΝ[ΑΚ]
Θασίων
Πρηξήνας
Bon has not this *onomasticon*; *Canarache*, 64.
- 146 M.A.C. II40332.
ΠΡΗΞΗΠΟΛΙ
amphora
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
Πρηξίπολις
Θασίων
Bon, 1417, similar.
- 147* M.A.C. II41065.
ΠΡΗΞΗΠ[ΟΛΙΣ]
ladle
[ΘΑΣΙΩ]N (retrograde)
Πρηξίπολις
Θασίων
Bon, 1246.
- 148-M.A.C. II40578; II40384.
149
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
quiver
ΠΥΘΙΩΝ
Θασίων
Πυθίων
Bon, 1449.
- 150 M.A.C. II40409.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
cornucopia
[Π]ΥΘΙΩΝ
Θασίων
Πυθίων
Bon, 1454.
- 151 M.A.C. II40520.
[Θ]ΑΚΙΩΝ
cornucopia and cap?
[Π]ΥΘΙ[ΩΝ]
Θασίων
Πυθίων
Bon, 1457.

161-M.A.C. II40215; II40028; II40268; II40374; II40529;
166 II40758.

ΘΑCΙΩΝ
table
ΠΥΘΙΩΝ
Bon, 1498.

Θασίων
Πυθίων

167-M.A.C. II41013; II40283; II40632; II40659.
170

ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
dolphin
ΠΥΘΙΩΝ

Θασίων
Πυθίων

171-M.A.C. II40362; II40368.
172

ΠΥΘΙΩΝ
tripod
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ

Πυθίων
Θασίων

Canarache, 73. Our second stamp has a C sigma.

173 M.A.C. II40562.

[ΘΑΣΙΩ]Ν
lyre
[ΠΥ]ΘΙΩΝ

Θασίων
Πυθίων

174 M.A.C. II40389.

ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
crater
ΠΥΘΙΩΝ

Θασίων
Πυθίων

175* Mangalia Museum (C.135)

ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
circle divided in quarters
ΠΥΘΙΩΝ (reversed, retrograde)

Θασίων
Πυθίων

176-M.A.C. II40144; II41012.
177

[ΘΑΣ]ΙΩΝ
indefinite
[Π]ΥΘΙΩΝ

Θασίων
Πυθίων

178 M.A.C. II40415.

ΠΥΘΙΩΝ
symbol lacking
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]

Πυθίων
Θασίων

179-M.A.C. II40672; II40790.
180

ΘΑCΙΩΝ
quiver
CΑΤΥΡΟC

Θασίων
Σάτυρος

Bon, 1517 or 1518.

- 181* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/80).
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 quiver
 [CA]TYPOC
 Θασίων
 Σάτυρος
Bon, 1518; *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 11.
- 182 M.A.C. II40222.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 cornucopia
 CΑTYΠO[C]
 Θασίων
 Σάτυρος
Bon, 1520.
- 183 M.A.C. II41014.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 thyrsos with ribbons
 CΑTYΠO[C]
 Θασίων
 Σάτυρος
Bon, 1529.
- 184 M.A.C. II40773.
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
 thyrsos with ribbons and the monogram \mathcal{A}
 CΑTYΠO C
 Θασίων
 Σάτυρος
Bon, 1529, similar (without the monogram).
- 185 M.A.C. II40640.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 pithos and the monogram \mathcal{A}
 CΑTYΠO C
 Θασίων
 Σάτυρος
Bon, 1534, similar (without monogram).
- 186 M.A.C. II40782.
 ΘΑCΙΩ[N]
 cock and the monogram \mathcal{A}
 CΑTYΠO C
 Θασίων
 Σάτυρος
- 187 M.A.C. II40012.
 ΘΑCΙΩ[N]
 the cap and the star of Dioscuri
 CKYMN[NO C]
 Θασίων
 Σκύμνος
Bon, 1558.
- 188 M.A.C. II40333.
 ΣΚΥΜΝ[O C]
 alabastron
 ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
 Σκύμνος
 Θασίων
Bon, 1578.
- 189* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/15).
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 crater
 [CK]YMNOC
 Θασίων
 Σκύμνος
Bon, 1580.

- 190 M.A.C. II40805.
ΣΚΥΜΝΟΣ
vessel
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
Σκύμνος
Θασίων
Bon, 1581 ; Canarache, 82.
- 191 M.A.C. II40403.
[Σ]ΚΥΜΝΟ[Σ]
torn symbol
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
Σκύμνος
Θασίων
- 192 M.A.C. II40764.
ΣΚ[ΥΜΝΟΣ]
unidentified
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
Σκύμνος
Θασίων
- 193 M.A.C. II40885.
[Θ]ΑΣΙΩΝ
hook
ΤΗΛ[ΕΜΑΧΟΣ]
Θασίων
Τηλέμαχος
Bon, 1600.
- 194 M.A.C. II41069.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
battle axe
ΤΗΛΕ/[ΜΑΧΟΣ]
Θασίων
Τηλέμαχος
Bon, 1605.
- 195*-Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/17) ; M.A.C.
197 II40662 ; II40363.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
cornucopia
ΦΑΝΟΛΕΩC
Θασίων
Φανόλεως
Bon, 1644.
- 198 M.A.C. II40693
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
vessel and ladle
[ΦΑ]ΝΟΛΕΩC
Θασίων
Φανόλεως
Bon, 1646.
- 199 M.A.C. II40382.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
crater
ΦΑΝΟΛΕΩC
Θασίων
Φανόλεως
Bon, 1647 ; O. Tafrafi, « Arta și arheologia », I, 1927, 1, p. 39, no. 19.

- 200 M.A.C. II40654.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
thyrsos with ribbons
ΦΑΝΟΛΕΩC
Θασίων
Φανόλεως
- 201- M.A.C. II40143 ; II40467.
202
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
bird to left
ΦΕΙΔΙΠΠΟC
Θασίων
Φείδιππος
Bon, 1652.
- 203* M.A.C. II41064.
ΦΙΛΗ[ΜΩΝ]
thyrsos
ΘΑCΙ[ΩΝ]
Φιλήμων
Θασίων
- 204 M.A.C. II40015.
[ΦΙΛΟ]ΔΗΜΟ[C]
vessel
[Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
Φιλόδημος
Θασίων
- 205 M.A.C. II40360.
ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗ[Σ]
prow
[ΘΑCΙΩΝ]
Φιλοκράτης
Θασίων
- 206* Mangalia Museum (C. 123).
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
rudder
ΧΑΙΡΕΑC
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
Bon, 1691 (« Dacia », I, 1924, p. 149, no. 3, fig. 49).
- 207 M.A.C. II40493.
ΘΑCΙΩΝ
olpé
ΧΑΙΡΕΑC
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
Bon, 1695
- 208 M.A.C. II40591.
[ΘΑCΙ]ΩΝ
vessel
[ΧΑΙΡ]ΕΑC
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
Bon, 1695
- 209 M.A.C. II40249.
[ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
prow
[ΧΑΙΡ]ΕΑC
Θασίων
Χαιρέας

- 210 M.A.C. II40116.
Θ[ΑΣΙ]ΩΝ
harpoon
[ΧΑΙ]ΡΕΑΣ
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
- 211 M.A.C. II40620.
[ΘΑΚΙΩΝ]
flaying bird?
ΧΑΙΡΕΑΣ
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
- 212 M.A.C. II40633.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
unidentified
ΧΑΙΡΕΑ[C]
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
- 213 M.A.C. II41018.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
indefinite
[ΧΑΙ]ΡΕΑΣ
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
- 214 M.A.C. II40647.
ΘΑ[ΣΙΩΝ]
unidentified (torn)
ΧΑ[ΙΡΕΑΣ]
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
- 215* M.A.C. ...
[ΘΑΚΙΩΝ]
torn symbol
[ΧΑΙ]ΡΕΑΣ
Θασίων
Χαιρέας
- 216 M.A.C. II40508.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
harpoon
ΧΕΛΤΙΑ
Θασίων
Χεστία?
- 217 M.A.C. II40075.
Α.....
torn symbol
ΘΑ[ΣΙΩΝ]
'Α.....
Θασίων
- 218 M.A.C. II40169.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
the cap of Dioscuri
Α.....ΕΙΣ
Θασίων
'Α..... εις
- 219 M.A.C. II40176.
ΘΑΚΙΩΝ
torn symbol
Α...CT...
Θασίων
'Α...στ...
- 220 M.A.C. II40532.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
torn symbol
ΑΠΟΛ...
Θασίων
'Απολ.....
- 221 M.A.C. II40286.
ΑΠΟΛΛΩ...
prow
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
'Απολλω.....
Θασίων

- 222 M.A.C. II40687.
ΘΑ[CIΩN]
torn symbol
APIC...
Θασίων
Ἄρισ.....
- 223 M.A.C. II40093.
[ΘΑΣΙ]ΩN
torn symbol
[AP]ΙΣΤ...
Θασίων
Ἄριστ.....
- 224 M.A.C. II40272.
HP... O...
indefinite
[ΘΑΣΙΩN]
Ἡρ...ο...
Θασίων
- 225* M.A.C.
ΘΑΣΙΩN
crescent?
Θ.....
Θασίων
Θ.....
- 226 M.A.C. II40877.
ΘΑΣΙΩN
two flowers
K...ΕΣ
Θασίων
Κ...εσ...
- 227* M.A.C.
ΘΑΣ[ΙΩN] (retrograde)
vessel?
...Λ...
Θασίων
...λ...
- 228 M.A.C. II40068.
ΛΥΣΙΜΑ...
prow
[ΘΑΣΙΩN]
Λυσιμά.....
Θασίων
- 229 M.A.C. II40324.
[ΠΙΩΝΑ]?
torn symbol
ΘΑΣΙΩN
...πιωνα?
Θασίων
- 230* M.A.C. II41069.
ΘΑΣΙΩN
battle axe
THΛE/...
Θασίων
Τηλε.....
- 231 M.A.C. II40785.
...Α...
unidentified
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩ[N]
...α...
Θασίων
- 232 M.A.C. II40204.
Θ[ΑΣΙΩ]N
wolf head
...ΑΙ...
Θασίων
...αι...
- 233 M.A.C. II40649.
[ΘΑCIΩN]
bird to left
...AC...
Θασίων
...αϛ...

- 234 M.A.C. II40332.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
pithos
...Δ...
Θασίων
...δ...
- 235 M.A.C. II40156.
ΘΑC[IΩΝ]
torn symbol
...Δ...
Θασίων
...δ...
- 236 M.A.C. II40046.
ΘΑCI[Ω]N
animal skeleton?
...ΔI...
Θασίων
...δι...
- 237 M.A.C. II40106.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
indefinite
...ΔI...
Θασίων
...δι...
- 238 M.A.C. II40784.
[ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
unidentified
...ΔΩΡ...
Θασίων
...δωρ...
- 239 M.A.C. II40177.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
crater
...ΕΟΔΙΚ...
Θασίων
...εοδικ...
- 240 M.A.C. II40160.
ΘΑΣ[IΩΝ]
the cap of Dioscuri
...ΗΑ...?
Θασίων
...ηα...
- 241* M.A.C. A1016.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
indefinite
...ΗΝΑΕ.?
Θασίων
...ηναε.
- 242 M.A.C. II40395.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
ass and caduceus
...ΙΑΙΟΥ
Θασίων
...ιλίου
- 243* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/65).
...ICTIΔ...
alabastron
[Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
...ιστιδ...
Θασίων
- 244 M.A.C. II40404.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
cornucopia
...KATEC...?
Θασίων
...κατεσ...
- 245 M.A.C. II40811.
[ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
indefinite
...ΚΑ...
Θασίων
...κλ...

- 246 M.A.C. II40114.
 ...Λ...
 torn symbol
 [ΘΑΣ]ΙΩΝ
 ...λ...
 Θασίων
- 247* M.A.C. ...
 [ΘΑCΙΩΝ]
 rudder
 ...ΛN... (reversed)
Bon, 1936, similar.
 Θασίων
 ...λν...
- 248 M.A.C. II40255.
 ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
 tortoise
 ...ΛKIM...
 Θασίων
 ...λκιμ...
- 249 M.A.C. II40041.
 ΘΑΣΙ[ΩΝ]ΜΕΣ... (retrograde)
 vessel, fire-tree,
 branch and cantharos
 Θασίων Μεσ...
- 250 M.A.C. II40171.
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
 cantharos
 ...ΜΕΔ...
 Θασίων
 ...μεδ...
- 251 M.A.C. II40890.
 [Θ]ΑΣΙΩΝ
 bee
 ...ΜΗΝΙΚ...
 Θασίων
 ...μηνικ...
- 252 M.A.C. II40701.
 [Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
 quiver
 ...ΝΑΡ...
 Θασίων
 ...ναρ...
- 253 M.A.C. II40089.
 ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
 crater
 ...ΝΕΔ...
 Θασίων
 ...νεδ...
- 254 M.A.C. II40486.
 ...ΙΝΒΑΔΟ... ?
 unidentified
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩ[N]
 ...ΙΝΒΑΔΟ... ?
 Θασίων
- 255* M.A.C.....
 ...NT... (reversed, retrograde)
 crescent
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 ...ντ...
 Θασίων
Bon, 1906, similar.
- 256 M.A.C. II40241.
 ΘΑ[CΙΩΝ]
 crater
 ...ΟΔΙΚ...
 Θασίων
 ...οδικ...

- 257 M.A.C. II40794.
 ...ΣΤΕΝ...
 lily?....
 [ΘΑΣΙ]ΩΝ
 ... στεν...
 Θασίων
- 258 M.A.C. II40067.
 [ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
 the cap of Dioscuri
 ...ΤΕ...ΔΙ...
 Θασίων
 ...τε...δι...
- 259 M.A.C. II40814.
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
 vessel with foot
 ...ΤΟ...
 Θασίων
 ...το...
- 260 M.A.C. II40757.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 crescent
 ...ΤΥΙΟΝ...
 Θασίων
 ...τυιον...
- 261 M.A.C. II40246.
 ...ΦΑΝ...
 prow
 [ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
 ...φαν...
 Θασίων
- 262 M.A.C. II40264.
 [ΘΑΣΙ]ΩΝ
 vessel and ladle
 ...ΑΡΟC
 Θασίων
 ...αρος
- 263 M.A.C. II40470.
 [Θ]ΑCΙΩΝ
 crater
 [ΠΥΘ]ΕΟC ?
 Θασίων
 ...εος
- 264 M.A.C. II40206.
 [ΘΑCΙ]ΩΝ
 alabastron
ΗC
Bon, 1739, similar.
 Θασίων
 ...ης
- 265 M.A.C. II40575.
 [Θ]ΑΣΙΩΝ
 ear
ΝΟC
 Θασίων
 ...νος
- 266 M.A.C. II40207.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 thyrsos with ribbons
 ...ΟΓΕΙΟC
 Θασίων
 ...ογειος
- 267 M.A.C. II40247.
 [ΘΑ]ΣΙΩΝ
 lightnings
ΟC
 Θασίων
 ...ος.
- 268 M.A.C. II40233.
 ΘΑ[ΣΙΩΝ]
 sword
 ...CΤ...ΩΝ
 Θασίων
 ...στ...ων

- 269 M.A.C. II40883.
TOC
 alabastron
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 ...τος
 Θασίων
- 270 M.A.C. II40199.
 ΘΑCΙΩ[N]
 amphora

Bon, 1824.
 Θασίων

- 271 M.A.C. II40107.

 vessel?
 [ΘΑ]CΙΩΝ
 Θασίων
- 272 M.A.C. II40274.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 vessel and ladle

Bon, 1997.
 Θασίων

- 273 M.A.C. II40459.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 dolphin

Bon, 1911.
 Θασίων

- 274 M.A.C. II40878.

 dolphin
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
Bon, 1910.
 Θασίων
- 275- M.A.C. II40570 ; II40664.
 276
 [ΘΑCΙΩ]N
 thyrsos with ribbons

Bon, 2026—2030.
 Θασίων

- 277 M.A.C. II40348.
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
 bunch of grapes

Bon, 1942—1944.
 Θασίων

- 278 M.A.C. II40557
 [ΘΑCΙΩΝ]
 bunch of grapes (recessed)

 Θασίων

- 279 M.A.C. II40309.

 prow
 [ΘΑC]ΙΩΝ
Bon, 1991.
 Θασίων

- 280 M.A.C. II40723.
ΘΑ[CI]ΩΝ
bow and club
.....
Θασίων
.....
- 281 M.A.C. II40111.
.....
lightnings
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
Θασίων
.....
- 282 M.A.C. II40519.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
caduceus
.....
Bon, 1875—1876.
Θασίων
.....
- 283 M.A.C. II40184.
[Θ]Α CIΩΝ
bee
.....
Bon, 1816.
Θασίων
.....
- 284* M.A.C. A1015
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
tripod
.....
Bon, 2047.
Θασίων
.....
- 285* M.A.C.
N
quiver
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
Bon, 1881.
N....
Θασίων
- 286 M.A.C. II40891.
[ΘΑΣΙΩΝ]
cantharos
.....
Bon, 2066.
Θασίων
.....
- 287 M.A.C. II40542.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
bucranium and the cap of Dioscuri
.....
Θασίων
.....
- 288 M.A.C. II40306.
[ΘΑ] CIΩΝ
bag
.....
Θασίων
.....
- 289 M.A.C. II40625.
ΘΑ CIΩΝ
grasshopper
.....
Θασίων
.....
- 290 M.A.C. II40614.
ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
star with 8 rays
and arc of a circle
.....
Θασίων
.....

- 302 M.A.C. II40824.
 [ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΑΠΟΛΛ]ΟΔΩΡΟΥ
 [ΠΡΥΤΑ]ΝΙΣ bunch of grapes
 and vine
Grakov, ast., p. 123, no. 2.
- 303* M.A.C. II41048.
 ΑΠΟΛΛ[ΩΝΙΟΥ]
 ΤΟΥ ΙΣΤΡΩΝΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ lacking?
 symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 123, no. 1 (unknown patronymic).
- 304 M.A.C. II40134.
 ΕΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΠΙΔΗΜΟΥ
 ΗΦΑΙΣΤΙΟΥ indefinite
 symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 11; prod., p. 128, no. 20.
- 305 M.A.C. II40055.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ torn symbol
*Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia»,
 V—VI, pp. 296—297, no. 26.*
- 306* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/45).
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΑΓΑΘΩΝ flower bud
*Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the
 groups IV, V, VI.*
- 307 M.A.C. II40191.
 ΑΣΤ[ΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ lily
 ΔΙΟΥ
*Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the IVth
 group.*
- 308 M.A.C. II40432.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΕΥΧΑΡ]ΙΣΤΟΥ
 [ΗΡΑΚ]ΛΕΙΔΗΣ flower
*Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod., p. 128, no. 18,
 Canarache, 261.*

- 309 M.A.C. II40438.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΘΥΑΙΑΣ torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 Θυαίας
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the groups I, III, V. *Canarache*, 262.
- 310 M.A.C. II40200.
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΕΥ]ΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 [ΚΑΛΛΙ]Σ[ΘΕΝΗΣ] torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 Καλλισθένης
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. p. 128, no. 23. Perhaps *Canarache*, 263.
- 311 M.A.C. II40320.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΚΗΦΑΛΙΩΝ torn symbol.
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 Κηφαλίων
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the Vth group (Κεφαλίων).
- 312 M.A.C. II40281.
 [ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΕΥ]ΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 [ΚΤ]ΗΣ[Ω]N indefinite symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 Κτήσων
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the groups IV, V, VI.
- 313* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/9).
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΜΙΘΡΙΔΑΤΗΣ flower bud
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 Μιθριδάτης
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the IVth group.
- 314 M.A.C. II40775.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΝΙΚΙΑΣ flower bud
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 Νικίας
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. p. 129, no. 30. Th. Sauciuç-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII—VIII, p. 263, no. 49.

- 315 M.A.C. II40535.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜ]ΟΥ
 [ΕΥΧΑΡΙ]ΣΤΟΥ
 [ΝΟΥΜΗ]ΝΙΟΥ flower
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. p. 129, no. 33.
- 316* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/12).
 [ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΕΥ]ΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 [ΣΙ]ΜΑΛΙΩΝ flower bud
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the groups III, IV, V and VI.
- 317 M.A.C. II40095.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 [ΦΙΛΟ]ΚΡΑΤΗΣ indefinite
 symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. p. 129, no. 45. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 296, no. 23; Idem, «Dacia», VII—VIII, pp. 256—257, no. 15.
- 318*-M.A.C. II41004; II40816; II40754.
 320
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΨΑΜΜΙΣ flower bud
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod. specified only for the groups IV and V. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 296, no. 24.
- 321 M.A.C. II40218.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟ[Υ]
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΔΙΟ[ΝΥΣΙΟΥ] flower
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12 (without this patronymic).
- 322 M.A.C. II40656.
 ΖΩΠΥΡΙΩΝΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΟΥ club
Grakov, ast., p. 125, no. 14; prod. p. 129, no. 45.
- 323* M.A.C. ...
 ΑΣΤΥ[ΝΟΜ]ΟΥ
 ΜΑ[ΝΤΙΘ]ΕΟΥ
 ΑΠ[ΟΛΛΩΝΙΟΣ] bunch of
 grapes?
Grakov, ast., p. 126, no. 28; prod., p. 128, no. 2.

324 M.A.C. II40437.

ΜΝΗΣΙΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ
ΩΣΙΑ torn symbol

Μνήσις
ἀστυνόμος
Σωσία

Grakov, ast. p. 126, no. 30; prod., p. 129, no. 43. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII–VIII, p. 254, no. 2.

325 M.A.C. II40266.

[Α]ΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΣ]
ΠΥΘΟΚΛ[ΗΣ]
ΓΛΑΥ[ΚΙΑΣ] torn symbol

ἀστυνόμος
Πυθόκλης
Γλαυκίας

Grakov, ast., p. 127, no. 38; prod. p. 128, no. 9.

326 M.A.C. II40009.

ΦΟΡΒΑ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
ΚΟΥΡΥΛΟΥ cantharos

Φόρβα
ἀστυνομούντος
Κουρύλου

Grakov, ast., p. 127, no. 40; prod. in this form specified only for the IIIrd group. In the second group is specified a producer Κώρυλος p. 129, no. 27.

THIRD GROUP, 220–180 B.C.

327 M.A.C. II40435.

ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΚΡΑΤΗΣ torn symbol

Ἀπατούριος
ἀστυνόμου
Κράτης

Grakov, ast., p. 132, no. 5; prod. specified only for the VIth group.

328 M.A.C. II40866.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙΟΥ
ΠΟΣΙΔΕΙΟΥ cantharos

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀπατουρίου
Ποσιδείου


Grakov, ast., p. 232, no. 5; prod. specified (Ποσιδεῖος) only for the groups IV and VI.

329* M.A.C. ...

ΑΣΤΥΝΟ[Μ]ΟΥ
ΕΥΧΑΡ[Ι]ΣΤΟΥ flower
ΤΟΥ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ

ἀστυνόμου
Εὐχαρίστου
τοῦ Δημητρίου

Grakov, ast., p. 132, no. 12.

- 330 M.A.C. II40425.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 του Δημητρίου
Grakov, ast., p. 132, no. 12. Perhaps Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 296, no. 22, fig. 12, 2, and Idem « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 268, no. 76.
- 331 M.A.C. II40600.
 ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΕΥ[ΧΑ]ΡΙΣ[ΤΟΥ]
 [ΤΟ]Υ ΔΗΜΗ[ΤΡΙΟΥ]
 [Ν]ΟΥΜΗΝΙΟΥ torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Εύχαρίστου
 του Δημητρίου
 Νουμηνίου
Grakov, ast., p. 132, no. 12 ; prod., p. 135, no. 40.
- 332 M.A.C. II40497.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟ[Σ]
 [ΘΕΥ]ΔΩΡΙΔ[ΗΣ]
 [ΓΕ]ΡΟΝΤΟΣ torn symbol
 άστυνόμος
 Θεωδωρίδης
 Γέροντος
Grakov, ast., p. 132, no. 13 ; prod., p. 134, no. 13.
- 333 M.A.C. II40458.
 [ΜΝΗΣ]ΙΚΛΕΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟ monogram 
 Μνησικλέος
 άστυνό...
Grakov, ast., p. 133, no.19 (without symbol).
- 334 M.A.C. II40129.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΠΑΣΙΧΑΡΟΥ
 Χ[ΑΒΡΙΑΣ]? lacking
 symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Πασιχάρου
 Χαβρίας?
Grakov, ast., p. 133, no. 22 ; prod., p. 135, no. 66.
- 335 M.A.C. II40468.
 ΠΟΣΕΙΔΩΝΙ
 ΟΥΑΣΤΥ[ΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΓΛΑΥΚ[ΙΑΣ] torn symbol
 Ποσειδωνίου
 άστυνόμου
 Γλαυκίας
Grakov, ast., p. 133, no. 24 or 25 ; prod., p. 134, no. 14.
- 336 M.A.C. II40686.
 [ΠΟΣ]ΕΙΔΩΝΙΟΥ
 [ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 [ΦΙΛ]ΟΚΡΑΤΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
 Ποσειδωνίου
 άστυνομούντος
 Φιλοκράτου
Grakov, ast., p. 133, no. 24 ; prod., p. 135, no. 63.

337* M.A.C. II41132.

.....
 Α[ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟ]
 ΥΝΤΟΣ ΠΟΣΙΔ[Ε]ΙΟ[Υ] (retrograde)
 bow and club

ἀστυνομόντος
 Ποσιδείου

Grakov, ast., p. 134, no. 26 (similar).

338 M.A.C. II40292.

ΠΟΣΙΔ[ΕΙΟΥ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜ[ΟΥ] (retrograde)
 ΝΤΟ[Σ]... Ο lacking symbol

Ποσιδείου
 ἀστυνομόντος

Grakov, ast., p. 134, no. 26 (similar).

339 M.A.C. II40634.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟ
 ΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΟΥ galloping horse

ἀστυνομόντος
 Φιλοκράτου

Grakov, ast., p. 134, no. 29 (cantharos).

340 M.A.C. II40665.

Φ.....
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΟΥ vessel above
 male arm

Φ.....
 ἀστυνόμου
 Φιλοκράτου

Grakov, ast., p. 134, no. 29 (cantharos).

FOURTH GROUP, 180–150 B.C.

341 M.A.C. II40044.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΝΙΠΟΥ
 ΚΤΗCΩΝ indefinite
 symbol

ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰνίπου
 Κτήcων

Grakov, prod., p. 140, no. 21.

342-M.A.C. II40836; II40450.

343

[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΑΙΣ]ΧΙΝΟΥ bunch of
 grapes

ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2.

344 M.A.C. II40669.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΑΡΑΒΟΣ bunch of
 grapes

ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
 "Αραβος

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 5,

- 345 M.A.C. II40833.
 ΑΡΑΒΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 5.
 Ἄραβος
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
- 346 M.A.C. II40626.
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΑΙΣ]ΧΙΝΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 8.
 Δημήτριος
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
- 347 M.A.C. II40123.
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ bunch of
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ grapes
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 8.
 Δημητρίου
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
- 348 M.A.C. II40187.
 [ΕΣ]ΤΙΑΙΟΣ
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 Α[ΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ]? lacking
 symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 1 or 2; prod., p. 140, no. 12.
 Ἐστιαῖος
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου?
- 349-M.A.C. II40305; II40631; II40596.
 351
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 13. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII—VIII, p. 259, no. 26. *Canarache*, 213.
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
 Ἡρακλείδης
- 352 M.A.C. II40772.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 [ΗΡΑΚ]ΛΕΙΔΗΣ torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 13. Perhaps
Canarache, 213.
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
 Ἡρακλείδης
- 353 M.A.C. II40489.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΑΙΣ]ΧΙΝΟΥ bunch of
 [ΗΡΑΚ]ΛΕΙΤΟΣ grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 13 (Ἡρακλεῖτος
 is a variant for Ἡρακλείδης). Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Da-
 cia», VII—VIII, p. 257, no. 17.
 ἀστυνόμου
 Αἰσχίνου
 Ἡρακλεῖτος

354 M.A.C. II40375.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ

ἩΦΑΙΣΤΙΟΣ bunch of
grapesἀστυνόμου
Αἰσχίνου
Ἡφαίστιος*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 14.

355-M.A.C. II40466; II40343.

356

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ

ΘΥΑΙΑΣ bunch of
grapesἀστυνόμου
Αἰσχίνου
Θυαίας*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod. specified in this form for the first group. Perhaps Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, pp. 295—296, no. 21, fig. 9, 2.

357 M.A.C. II40818.

[ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥ

[ΑΙΣΧ]ΙΝΟΥ

[ΚΑΛΛΙΣ]ΘΕΝΗΣ bunch of
grapesἀστυνόμου
Αἰσχίνου
Καλλισθένης*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 17.

358* M.A.C.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

[ΑΙΣ]ΧΙΝΟΥ

[Κ]ΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΗΣ torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Αἰσχίνου
Καλλισθένης*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 17. Perhaps Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 295, no. 17.

359 M.A.C. II40488.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ

[Κ]ΤΗΣΩΝ bunch of
grapesἀστυνόμου
Αἰσχίνου
Κτήσων*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 21. O. Tafrales, « Arta și arheologia », 9—10, 1933—1934, p. 6, no. 1. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 254, no. 15.

360*-Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/10); M. A.C. II40714.

361

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ

ΜΙΘΡΙΔΑΤΗΣ bunch of
grapesἀστυνόμου
Αἰσχίνου
Μιθριδάτης*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 28.

- 362 M.A.C. II40749.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΝΙΚΙΑΣ torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Αίσχίνου
 Νικίας
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 29.
- 363-M.A.C. II40372; II40547; Severeanu Collection, Bucha-
 365* rest (I/5).
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΝΟΥΜΗΝΙΟΣ bunch of
 grapes
 άστυνόμου
 Αίσχίνου
 Νουμήνιος
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 30. G. Cantacuzino, RHSEE, 1935, pp. 298—299, no. 1, fig. 1, p. 298.
- 366 M.A.C. II40729.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΣΩΣΙΑΣ bunch of
 grapes
 άστυνόμου
 Αίσχίνου
 Σωσίας
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 41.
- 367 M.A.C. II40777.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΤΙΜΟΛΕΩΣ bunch of
 grapes
 άστυνόμου
 Αίσχίνου
 Τιμόλεως
*Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 43, O. Tafrali, « Arta și arheologia », I, 1927, 1, p. 39, no. 23. Th.Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », III—IV, p. 461, no. 1, fig. 45.1; Idem, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 259, no. 27. *Canarache*, 215.*
- 368 M.A.C. II40484.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Αίσχίνου
 Φιλοκράτης
*Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2; prod., p. 140, no. 46. Perhaps *Canarache*, 216.*
- 369* M.A.C. A772.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
ΑΣΙΔΗΣ lacking
 symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Αίσχίνου
 . . . ασίδης
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2.

- 370* M.A.C. ...
ΑΣ.....
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2.
- ... λσ...
 άστυνόμου
 Αισχίνου
- 371 M.A.C. II40537.

 ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 2.
-
 άστυνόμου
 Αισχίνου
- 372 M.A.C. II40376.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΙΣΧΙΝΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΙΦΙΟΣ
 ΔΙΟΣ torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 3; prod., p. 140, no. 11.
- άστυνόμου
 Αισχίνου
 του "Ιφιος
 Δίος
- 373 M.A.C. II40829.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ cornucopia
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4.
- άστυνόμου
 'Αντιμάχου
- 374 M.A.C. II40643.
 [ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΑΝΤ]ΙΜΑΧΟΥ
 [ΑΓΑ]ΘΩΝ cornucopia
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 1. Canarache, 222 (lacking symbol).
- άστυνόμου
 'Αντιμάχου
 'Αγάθων
- 375* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (A).
 ΑΡΑΒΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ cornucopia
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 5. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», III—IV, pp. 459—460, e. G. Cantacuzino, RHSEE, 1935, p. 301, no. 5, fig. 5, p. 300.
- "Αραβος
 άστυνόμου
 'Αντιμάχου
- 376 M.A.C. II40621.
 ΑΡΑΒΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 5. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», III—IV, pp. 459—460, e.
- "Αραβος
 άστυνόμου
 'Αντιμάχου

377-M.A.C. II40667; II40692.

378

ΔΙΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ cornucopia

Δῖος
ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 11. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 259, no. 29 (person to right).

379 M.A.C. II40526.

ΕΡΜΩΝ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ cornucopia

Ἑρμῶν
ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 145, no. 18 (specified only for the Vth group).

380-M.A.C. II40128; II40277.

381

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Ἡρακλείδης

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 13.

382-M.A.C. II40538; II40344.

383

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Ἡρακλείδης

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 13. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 259, no. 30.

384* M.A.C. A1009.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜ[ΟΥ]
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟ[Υ]
ΘΥΑΙΑΣ torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Θυαίας

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod. specified only for the groups I, III and V; O. Tafrahi, « Arta și arheologia », 9—10, 1933—1934, p. 7, no. 8. *Canarache*, 223.

385 M.A.C. II40275.

ΚΛΕΩΝ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ torn symbol

Κλέων
ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod. not specified in this form in *Grakov* but as an abbreviation of Ἡρακλέων, or as a fragment of the same.

386*-Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/33 ; 1/42).

387

ΠΡΩΤΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ cornucopia

Πρῶτος
ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no. 33.

388 M.A.C. II40074.

ΠΡ[ΩΤΟΣ]
ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
ΑΝ[ΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ] torn symbol

Πρῶτος
ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no 33.

389 M.A.C. II 40765.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
[ΣΚΟ]Μ[Φ]ΙΑΣ cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Σκομφίας

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no. 38.

390* M.A.C. ...

ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΣ cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Στέφανος

Grakov, ast. p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no. 39.

391 M.A.C. II40505.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ cornucopia
(double impression)

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Φιλοκράτης

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no. 46.

392 M.A.C. II40146.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
ΧΑΒΡΙΑΣ cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Χαβρίας

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no. 48.

393-M.A.C. II40083 ; II40452.

394

[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΑΝΤΙ]ΜΑΧΟΥ
[Ψ]ΑΜΜΙΣ cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιμάχου
Ψάμμις

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4 ; prod., p. 140, no. 49. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », III—IV, p. 459, c, d, fig. 45, 2.

- 395 M.A.C. II40636.
 ...Σ...
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
 cornucopia
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 4.
 ...σ...
 άστυνόμου
 'Αντιμάχου
- 396 M.A.C. II40057.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ]
 ΑΡΙΣΤΙΩΝ
 ΑΓΑΘΩΝ satyr with
 hose
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 5; prod., p. 140, no. 1.
 άστυνόμος
 'Αριστίων
 'Αγάθων
- 397 M.A.C. II40101.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟ[ΜΟΣ]
 ΑΡΙΣΤΙ[ΩΝ]
 ΘΥΑΙΑ[Σ]
 torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 5; prod. specified for the groups I, III and V.
 άστυνόμος
 'Αριστίων
 Θυαίας
- 398 M.A.C. II40212.
 [ΑΡΙΣ]ΤΙΩΝ
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ]
 ΘΥΑΙΑΣ
 laking symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 5; prod., specified for the groups I, III and V.
 'Αριστίων
 άστυνόμος
 Θυαίας
- 399-M.A.C. II40271;.....
 400
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ
 ΑΡΙΣΤΙΩΝ
 [Κ]ΕΦΑΛΙΩΝ satyr with
 hose to right
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 5; prod. specified only for the Vth group. Canarache, 234.
 άστυνόμος
 'Αριστίων
 Κεφαλίων
- 401 M.A.C. II40728.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΑΡΙΣΤΙΟΝΟΣ
 [Κ]ΤΗCΩΝ person with
 thyrsos to left
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 5; prod., p. 140, no. 21.
 άστυνόμου
 'Αριστίονος
 Κτήcων
- 402 M.A.C. II40120.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ]
 ΑΡΙΣΤΙΩΝ
 ΝΟΥΜΕΝΙΟΥ
 torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 5; prod., p. 140, no. 30 (Νουμήνιος).
 άστυνόμος
 'Αριστίων
 Νουμενίου

403-M.A.C. II40774 ; II40056.

404

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΑΡΤΕ]ΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ

cantharos

ἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 7.

405-M.A.C. II40544 ; Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/76).

406*

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟ[Υ]
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩ[ΡΟΥ]

torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου*Grakov*, ast. p. 138, no. 7.

407 M.A.C. II40522.

Α.....
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ

cantharos

Ἄ.....
ἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 7. *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 20, similar.

408* M.A.C. II40576.

[ΔΙ]ΑΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ

cantharos

Δίας
ἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 7 ; prod., p. 140, no. 9.

409 M.A.C. II40783.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜ[ΟΥ]
ΑΡΤΕΜΙ[ΔΩΡΟΥ]
ΓΛΑΥΚΙ[ΑC] torn symbolἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου
Γλαυκίας*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 7 ; prod. specified for the groups II, III and V.

410 M.A.C. II40565.

ΚΛΕΩΝ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡ[ΟΥ]

cantharos

Κλέων
ἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 7 ; prod. in abbreviated form of Ἡρακλέων.

411 M.A.C. II40534.

ΜΙ[ΘΡ]ΑΔΑΤΗΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ

cantharos

Μιθραδάτης
ἀστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου*Grakov*, ast., p. 138, no. 7 ; prod., p. 140, no. 27. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », I, p. 151, no. 11, fig. 57. *Canarache*, 352.

412-M.A.C. II40434; II40017; II40602.

414

ΠΡΩΤΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ

cantharos

Πρῶτος
ἄστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 7; prod., p. 140, no. 33. *Canarache*,
354. G. Cantacuzino, RHSEE, 1935, p. 304, no. 8,
fig. 8, p. 303.

415 M.A.C. II40010

[ΑΡ]ΤΕΜΙ[ΔΩΡ]ΟΥ
[Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
[Σ]ΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ

cantharos

Ἄρτεμιδώρου
ἄστυνομούντος
Στεφάνου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 7; prod., p. 140, no. 39.

416 M.A.C. II40699.

[ΑΡΤ]ΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΣΤΕΦΑ]ΝΟΥ?

lacking symbol

Ἄρτεμιδώρου
ἄστυνόμου
Στεφάνου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 7; prod., p. 140, no. 39.

417-M.A.C. II40197; II40235.

418

ΤΙΜΟΛΕΩΝ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ cantharos
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥΤιμόλεων
ἄστυνομου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 7; prod., p. 140, no. 43 (Τιμόλεως)

419 M.A.C. II40126.

[ΨΑΜΜ]ΙΣ
[ΑΣ]Τ[ΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ

cantharos

Ψάμμις
ἄστυνόμου
Ἄρτεμιδώρου

Grakov, ast., p. 138, no. 7; prod., p. 140, no. 49.

420 M.A.C. II40357.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
ΑΣΠΩΝΟΣ
ΚΛΕΩΝsatyr with leather
bag to rightἄστυνόμου
Ἄσπωνος
Κλέων

Grakov, does not know this astynom and the producer is specified as an abbreviation of Ἡρακλέων, dated in the second century. The symbol being the same as for the astynom Ἄριστων, we believe that the stamp can be rightly dated for the IVth group.

- 421 M.A.C. II40224.
 ΑΤΤ[ΑΛΟΥ ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]
 ΜΟΥΝ[ΤΟΣ]
 [ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙ]ΔΟΥ
 lacking symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 8; prod., p. 140, no. 13.
- 422 M.A.C. II40824.
 [Α]ΣΤ[Υ]ΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
 ΑΤΤΑΛΟΥ
 ΜΙΑΔ[ΑΣ]
 torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 8; prod., p. 140, no. 26.
- 423 M.A.C. II40152.
 [ΑΤ]ΤΑΛΟΥ ΑΣΤ
 [Υ]ΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 [ΤΕ]ΥΘΡΑ
 head to right
Garkov, ast., p. 139, no. 8; prod., p. 140, no. 42.
- 424 M.A.C. II40671.
 ΑΤΤΑ[ΛΟΥ ΑΣΤ]
 ΥΝΟΜΟ[ΥΝΤΟΣ]
 ΤΕΥΘ[ΡΑ]
 torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 8; prod., p. 140, no. 42.
- 425 M.A.C. II40329.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΑΡΑΒΟΣ
 torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 or 10; prod., p. 140, no. 5 ("Αραψ).
 Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 257, no. 19.
- 426 M.A.C. II40414.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΓΛΑ[ΥΚΙΑΣ]
 prow
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 or 10; prod. specified only for
 the groups II, III and V. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia »,
 VII—VIII, p. 260, no. 33.
- 427-M.A.C. II40819; II40695; Severeanu Collection, Bucharest
 429* (I/40).
 ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΘΥΑΙΑΣ laureate head
 of Apollo to left

Ἀττάλου
 ἀστυνομῶντος
 Ἡρακλείδου

ἀστυνόμου
 Ἀττάλου
 Μίδας

Ἀττάλου
 ἀστυνομῶντος
 Τεύθρα

Ἀττάλου
 ἀστυνομῶντος
 Τεύθρα

ἀστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 Ἄραβος

ἀστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 Γλαυκίας

ἀστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 Θυαίας

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 or 10; prod. specified only for the
 groups I, III and V. *Canarache*, no. 247.

- 430 M.A.C. II40550. ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
Κεφαλίων
 [ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
 [ΔΗΜ]ΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 [ΚΕΦ]ΑΛΙΩΝ indefinite
 symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 or 10; prod. specified only for the
 Vth group.

- 431 M.A.C. II40735. ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
Κινώλις
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΚΙΝΩΛΙΣ indefinite
 symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9, or 10; p. 140, no. 18.

- 432 M.A.C. II40512 ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
Κτήσων
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΚΤΗΣΩΝ laureate head of
 Apollo to left

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 10; prod., p. 140, no. 21. *Cana-
 rache*, 245, similar (wall crowned head to left).

- 433* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/4). ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
Νικίας
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΝΙΚΙΑΣ wall crowned
 head to left

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 10; prod., p. 140, no. 29. G. Canta-
 cuzino, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 325, fig. 6. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu,
 « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 260, no. 34 and p. 268, no. 78.

- 434 M.A.C. II40678. ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
Νουμήμιος
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙ[ΟΥ]
 ΝΟΥ ΜΗ[ΝΙΟΣ]
 torn symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 or 10; prod., p. 140, no. 30. *Gra-
 matopol-Poenaru*, 21.

- 435-M.A.C. II40392; II40138.

- 436 ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
Πύθης
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 [ΠΥ]ΘΗΣ wall crowned
 head to left

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 10; prod., p. 140, no. 34.

- 437 M.A.C. II40857.
 [ΑΣ]Τ[ΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΔΗ]ΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 [ΦΙΛ]ΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ head of
 Herakles
 άστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 Φιλοκράτης
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 ; prod., p. 140, no. 46.
- 438 M.A.C. II40827.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΨΑΜΜΙΣ
 torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 Ψάμμις
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 9 or 10 ; prod., p. 140, no. 49.
- 439 M.A.C. II40259.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ[ΘΕΥΓΝΗΤΟΥ]
 Ε[ΡΜΑΙΟΣ] cantharos
 άστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 του Θευγνήτου
 Έρμαῖος
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 11 ; prod. specified only for the
 Vth group.
- 440 M.A.C. II40342.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΔΗΜ]ΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 [ΤΟΥ]ΘΕΥΓΝΗΤΟΥ
 [Ε]ΡΜΑΙΟΣ
 torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 του Θευγνήτου
 Έρμαῖος
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 11 ; prod. specified only for the
 Vth group.
- 441 M.A.C. II40356.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ ΤΟΥ]
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΘΕΥΓΝΗΤΟΥ
 [ΚΛΕΩΝ]? cantharos
 άστυνόμου του
 Δημητρίου
 του Θευγνήτου
 Κλέων?
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 11 ; prod. as an abbreviation of
 'Ηρακλέων?'
- 442 M.A.C. II40298.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΘΕΥΓΝΗΤΟΥ
 Κ[ΤΗΣΩΝ] cornucopia
 άστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 του Θευγνήτου
 Κτήσων
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 11 ; p. 140, no. 21.

443 M.A.C. II40603.
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΘΕΥΓΝΗΤΟΥ
 [ΜΙΘ]ΡΑΔΑΤΗΣ cantharos

ἀστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 τοῦ Θευγνήτου
 Μιθραδάτης

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 11 ; prod., p. 140, no. 27. *Canarache*, 249.

444 M.A.C. II40530.
 ΑΣΤΥ[ΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΔΗΜ[ΗΤΡΙΟΥ]
 ΘΕΥΓ[ΝΗΤΟΥ]
 ΜΙΘ[ΡΑΔΑΤΗΣ]
 torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 Θευγνήτου
 Μιθραδάτης

Grakov ast., p. 139, no. 11 ; prod., p. 140, no. 27. Perhaps *Canarache*, 249.

445* M.A.C.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ]
 [ΤΟΥ Θ]ΕΥΓΝΗΤΟΥ
[.....]?
 torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
 Δημητρίου
 τοῦ Θευγνήτου
 ?

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 11.

446 M.A.C. II40185.
 [ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΔΙΟ]ΝΥΣΙΟΥ bunch of
 grapes

ἀστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12. This symbol is not specified in *Grakov*, for this astynom.

447 M.A.C. II40085.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
 ΔΙΟΝΥ[ΣΙΟΥ]
 torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12.

448 M.A.C. II40761.
 [ΔΙΣΧΙ]ΝΑΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 cantharos

Δισχίνας
 ἀστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12 ; prod. is specified as *Δισχίνας* in the general index, without the groups being determined.

449* M.A.C. 1A 2173.
 ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 cantharos

Απολλώνιος
 ἀστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 4. *Canarache*, 362.

- 450 M.A.C. II40527
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 ΒΑΚΧΙΟΥ
 cantharos
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod. specified only for the groups I and V (Βάκχιος)
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου
 Βακχίου
- 451 M.A.C. II40581.
 ΕΣΤΙΑΙΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 12. (this symbol is not used with this astynom, according to *Grakov*). *Canarache*, 364.
 Έστιαϊός
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου
- 452 M.A.C. II40226.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 ΕΣΤΙΑΙΟΥ
 cantharos
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 12. Th.Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII—VIII, p. 257, no. 20 (anchor).
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου
 Έστιαϊού
- 453* M.A.C. II40589.
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΗΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 cantharos
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 17.
 Καλλισθένης
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου
- 454* M.A.C. II41002.
 ΚΛΕΑΡΧΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 cantharos
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 20.
 Κλέαρχος
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου
- 455 M.A.C. II40766.
 [ΜΙΘΡ]ΑΔΑΤΗΣ
 [ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΔΙΟ]ΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 cantharos
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 27.
 Μιθραδάτης
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου
- 456-M.A.C. II40250; II40276.
 457
 ΝΙΚΙΑΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣ[ΙΟΥ]
 torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 29.
 Νικίας
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου

458 M.A.C. II40248.

ΣΩΣΙΑΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝ[ΥΣΙΟΥ]

cantharos

Σωσίας
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου*Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 41.

459 M.A.C. II40584.

ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

cantharos

Φιλοκράτης
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου*Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 46.

460-M.A.C. II40311; II40736.

461

ΦΙΛΩΝ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

cantharos

Φίλων
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου*Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 12; prod., p. 140, no. 47.

462 M.A.C. II40680.

.....
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

cantharos

ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου*Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 12.463-M.A.C. II40061; II40174; II40251; II40421; II40503;
470 II40597; II40599; II40630.ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ

laying thyrsos

ἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου*Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 13 (without this symbol). Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, « Analele Dobrogei », XVIII, 1937, p. 109—110, fig. 46, p. 108. *Canarache*, 256.

471-M.A.C. II40182; II40378.

472

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ standing
thyrsosἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου*Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 13 (without this symbol). Perhaps Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 261, no. 39. *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 22.

- 473 M.A.C. II40100.
 ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 thyrsos
 Έκαταίου
 άστυνόμου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 13 (without this symbol). O Tafrali, «Arta și arheologia», 9—10, 1933—1934, p. 6, no. 2. Canarache, 257.
- 474 M.A.C. II40865.
ΙΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
 ...ιος
 άστυνόμου
 Έκαταίου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 13.
- 475 M.A.C. II40586.
 ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 indefinite
 symbol
 Έκαταίου
 άστυνόμου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 13.
- 476 M.A.C. II40454.
ΙΜΔ....
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΚ[Α]ΤΙΣΤΑΡΧΟΥ
 lacking symbol
 ...ιδ...
 άστυνόμου
 Έκατιστάρχου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 18 (Κρατίσταρχος).
- 477 M.A.C. II40164.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΠΙΕΛΠΟΥ
 [Ε]ΣΤΙΑΙΟΣ head with hat
 to left
 άστυνόμου
 Έπιέλπου
 Έστιαίος
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 14 ; prod., p. 140, no. 12.
- 478* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest, (I/67).
 [ΣΚ]ΟΜΦΙΑΣ
 [ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ
 [Ε]ΠΙΕΛΠΟΥΣ indefinite
 symbol
 Σχομφίας
 άστυνόμου
 Έπιέλπους
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 14 ; prod. p. 140, no. 38.
- 479 M.A.C. II40666.
 [Σ]ΚΟΜΦΙΑΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΕΠΙΕΛΠΟΥΣ?
 cornucopia
 Σχομφίας
 άστυνόμου
 Έπιέλπους?
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 14 ; prod., p. 140, no. 38.

- 480 M.A.C. II40153.
ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΠΙΕΛΠΟΥΣ
cornucopia
Φιλοκράτης
ἀστυνόμου
Επιέλπουσ
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 14; prod., p. 140, no. 46. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 255, no. 8. *Canarache*, 369.
- 481* M.A.C. ...
[ΕΣ]ΤΙΑΙΟ[Υ]
ΑΣΤΥΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
Μ[Ι]ΤΡ[Α]ΔΑΤΗΣ
lacking symbol
Ἔστιαίου
ἀστυνόμου
Μιτραδάτης
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 15; prod., p. 140, no. 27.
- 482 M.A.C. II40858.
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΣΤΙΑΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΘΡΑ[ΣΙΘΙΚΟΥ]
cantharos
ἀστυνόμου
Ἔστιαίου
τοῦ Θρασιθίκου ?
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 15 (without patronymic).
- 483 M.A.C. II40077.
[ΑC]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΙΚ]ΕCΙΟΥ
torn symbol
ἀστυνόμου
Ἴκεσίου
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 16 (ibis).
- 484 M.A.C. II40546.
[ΑΠΟ]ΛΛΩΝΙΟΣ
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΙΚΕ]CΙΟΥ
cantharos
Ἀπολλώνιος
ἀστυνόμου
Ἴκεσίου
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 16; prod., p. 140, no. 4 (not with this symbol).
- 485 M.A.C. II40471.
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΙΚΕCΙΟΥ
ΔΑΤΟΣ
torn symbol.
ἀστυνόμου
Ἴκεσίου
Δάτος
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 16; prod., p. 140, no. 7.
- 486-M.A.C. II40411; II40270.
- 487
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΙΚΕCΙΟΥ
ΚΕΦΑΛΙΩ[N]
torn symbol
ἀστυνόμου
Ἴκεσίου
Κεφαλίων
- Grakov*, ast., p. 139, no. 16; prod. specified only for the Vth group. Th. Sauciuc--Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 262, no. 45.

488-M.A.C. II40397; II40715.

489

[HPA]KΛEIDHΣ
[AΣ]TYNOMOY
[KA]ΛΛIΣΘENOY bow

Ἡρακλείδης
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod., p. 140, no. 13.

490 M.A.C. II40741.

[HPAKΛEI]ΔHΣ
[AΣTYN]OMOY
[KAAΛ]IΣΘENOY unidentified
symbol

Ἡρακλείδης
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod., p. 140, no. 13.

491* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/49).

KTHΣIKΛHΣ
AΣTYNOMOY
KAAΛIΣΘENOY fruit? or
bow in quiver

Κτησικλῆς
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod. unknown to *Grakov*.
Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 298, no. 32.

492* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/64).

[M]IΘPAΔATHΣ
[AΣ]TYNOMOY
[KA]ΛΛIΣΘENOYΣ bow in quiver

Μιθραδάτης
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod., p. 140, no. 27. *Canarache*, 374.

493 M.A.C. II40690.

M[IΘ]PAΔAT[HΣ]
AΣTYNOMOY
KAAΛIΣΘENOY torn symbol

Μιθραδάτης
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod., p. 140, no. 27. Perhaps
Canarache, 374.

494 M.A.C. II40832.

ΣKOMΦIAC
AΣTYNOMOY
KAAΛIΣΘENOY vessel with foot

Σκομφίας
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod., p. 140, no. 38, similar
(bow in quiver).

- 495 M.A.C. II40049.
 A[ΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟ[Υ]
 ΚΑΛΛΙΘΕΝΟΥ
 ΝΟC...Υ ΔΙΟΥ lacking symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλιθένου
 Νου... υ Δίου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 17; prod., p. 140, no. 11.
- 496 M.A.C. II40710.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΚΡΑΤΙΣΤΑΡΧΟΥ bearded head of
 Silenus to right
 άστυνόμου
 Κρατιστάρχου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 18.
- 497* M.A.C. II41003.
 ΣΩΣΙΑ[Σ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥ]
 ΚΡΑΤΙΣΤ[ΑΡΧΟΥ] bearded head of
 Silenus to right
 Σωσίας
 άστυνόμου
 Κρατιστάρχου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 18; prod., p. 140, no. 41.
- 498* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/79).
 ΑΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥ]
 ΚΡΑΤΙΣΤΑΡ[ΧΟΥ]
 ΧΑΒΡΙΑΣ torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Κρατιστάρχου
 Χαβρίας
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 18; prod., p. 140, no. 48.
- 499 M.A.C. II40572.

 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΚΡΑΤΙ]ΣΤΑΡΧΟΥ head of Silenus
 to right

 άστυνόμου
 Κρατιστάρχου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 18.
- 500 M.A.C. II40721.
 [ΑC]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ[ΝΤ]ΟC
 ΚΡΑΤΙCΤΑΡΧΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ΜΕΝΩΝΟC ΔΙΟΥ indefinite symbol
 άστυνομούντος
 Κρατιστάρχου του
 Μένωνος Δίου
Grakov, ast., p. 139, no. 18; prod., p. 140, no. 11 (without
 patronymic).
- 501 M.A.C. II40650.
 ΑCΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΛΕΟΝΤΟC
 ΜΙΘΡΑΔΑΤΗC torn symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Λέοντος
 Μιθραδάτης
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 19; prod., p. 140, no. 27.

- 502 M.A.C. II40553.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΛΕΟΝΤΟΣ
 ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΣ bird to left
 άστυνόμου
 Λέοντος
 Στέφανος
- Grakov*, ast., p. 140, no. 19; prod., p. 140, no. 39. *Canarache*, 299.
- 503 M.A.C. II40325.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΜΗΤΡΙΔΑΤΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΑΡΙΣΤ...
 ΠΟΣΙΔΕΙΟΥ lacking symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Μητριδάτου
 του 'Αριστ...
 Ποσιδείου
- Grakov*, ast., p. 140, no. 20 (Μιθραδάτης without patronymic); prod., p. 140, no. 32.
- 504 M.A.C. II40042.
 [ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜ[ΟΣ]
 ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ
 ΑΡΚ[Τ]ΑΕΟΥ? cantharos
 άστυνόμος
 Μητρόδωρος
 'Αρχταέου?
- Grakov*, specifies it in the index for the groups II—IV.
- 505 M.A.C. II40394.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΜΙΛ]ΤΙΑΔΟΥΣ lightnings
 άστυνόμου
 Μιλτιάδους
- Grakov*, ast., p. 140, no. 21.
- 506 M.A.C. II40823.
 ΚΙΝΩΛΙΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΜΙΛΤΙΑΔΟΥΣ torn symbol
 Κινώλις
 άστυνόμου
 Μιλτιάδους
- Grakov*, ast., p. 140, no. 21; prod., p. 140, no. 18.
- 507 M.A.C. II40465.
 [ΜΙΘΡ]ΑΔΑΤΗΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΜΙ[ΛΤΙ]ΑΔΟΥΣ lightnings
 Μιθραδάτης
 άστυνόμου
 Μιλτιάδους
- Grakov*, ast., p. 140, no. 21; prod., p. 140, no. 27.
- 508 M.A.C. II40475.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 ΜΙΛΤΙΑΔΟΥΣ ΤΟΥ
 ΤΕΙΣΑΝΔΡΟΥ
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ lightnings
 άστυνομούντος
 Μιλτιάδους του
 Τεισάνδρου
 Καλλισθένου
- Grakov*, ast., p. 140, no. 21 (without patronymic); prod., p. 140, no. 17; *Canarache*, 314.

- 509 M.A.C. II40464.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 ΜΙΑΤΙΑΔΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ΤΕΙΣΑΝΔΡΟΥ
 ΠΥΘΕΩ lightnings
 άστυνομοῦντος
 Μιλτιάδου του
 Τεισάνδρου
 Πυθέω
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 21 (without patronymic); prod.,
 p. 140, no. 34.
- 510 M.A.C. II40081.
 ΔΑ[Σ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΣ]
 ΣΙ[ΜΙΑΣ] torn symbol
 Δᾶς
 άστυνόμος
 Σιμίας
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 32; prod. p. 140, no. 7.
- 511 M.A.C. II40477.
 ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΣΙΜΙΟΥ cantharos
 Ἡρακλείδης
 άστυνόμου
 Σιμίου
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 22; prod., p. 140, no. 13 (symbol
 amphora). Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII—VIII,
 p. 262, no. 42 (symbol amphora).
- 512 M.A.C. II40293.
 ΙΣ[ΤΙΑΙΟΣ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΣΙΜΙΑ cantharos
 Ἴστιαῖος
 άστυνόμου
 Σιμία
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 22; prod. specified only for the
 groups II and III (Amphora as symbol for this astynom).
- 513 M.A.C. II40418.
 ΚΛΕΑΡΧΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΣΙΜΙΟΥ cantharos
 Κλέαρχος
 άστυνόμου
 Σιμίου
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 22 (amphora); prod., p. 140, no. 20.
- 514 M.A.C. II40558.
 ΣΚΟΝΦΙΑΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΣΙΜΙΟΥ vessel
 Σκονφίας
 άστυνόμου
 Σιμίου
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 22 (amphora); prod., p. 140, no. 38
 (Σκομφίας).
- 515-M.A.C. II40603; II40025.
 516
 ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ]
 ΤΟΣ ΣΙΜΙΑ
 [Σ]ΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ cantharos
 άστυνομοῦντος
 Σιμία
 Στεφάνου
Grakov, ast., p. 140, no. 22 (amphora); prod., p. 140, no. 39.

FIFTH GROUP, 150–120 B.C.

517 M.A.C. II40211.

ΔΙΟΥ
ΑΣΤΥ[ΝΟΜΟΥ]
ΑΝΤΙ[ΠΑΤΡΟΥ] torn symbolΔίου
ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιπάτρου*Grakov*, ast., p. 143, no. 2; prod. specified only for the fourth group.

518 M.A.C. II40869.

ΑΣΤΥ[ΝΟΜΟΣ]
ΑΝΤΙΠΑΤΡΟΣ
ΚΤΗΩΝ prowἀστυνόμος
Ἀντιπάτρου
Κτήσεων*Grakov*, ast., p. 143, no. 2; prod., p. 145, no. 36. *Canarache*, 225 (Ἀστυνόμου Ἀντιπάτρου).

519 M.A.C. II40358.

[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΑΝΤΙ]ΠΑΤΡΟΥ
[ΤΟΥ Ν]ΙΚΩΝΟΣ prowἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιπάτρου
τοῦ Νίκωνος*Grakov*, ast., p. 143, no. 2.

520 M.A.C. II40978.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
[ΑΝΤΙ]ΠΑΤΡΟΥ
[ΤΟΥ ΝΙΚΩ]ΝΟΣ lacking symbolἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιπάτρου
τοῦ Νίκωνος*Grakov*, ast., p. 143, no. 2.

521*-Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/68); M.A.C., II40793.

522

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΠΑΤΡΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΝΙΚΩΝΟΣ
ΚΕΦΑΛΙΩΝ prowἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιπάτρου
τοῦ Νίκωνος
Κεφαλίων*Grakov*, ast., p. 143, no. 2; prod., p. 145, no. 31. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII–VIII, p. 256, no. 14. *Canarache*, 228.

523 M.A.C. II40697.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΠΑΤΡΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΝΙΚΩΝΟΣ
ΚΛΕΑΙΝΕΤΟΣ prowἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιπάτρου
τοῦ Νίκωνος
Κλεαίνετος*Grakov*, ast., p. 143, no. 2; prod., p. 145, no. 33.

524 M.A.C. II40455.
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΠΑΤΡΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΝΙΚΩΝΟΣ
ΠΑΦΗΣ

grov

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀντιπάτρου
τοῦ Νίκωνος
Πάφης

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 2; prod., p. 145, no. 50 (Πάφης).
Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII—VIII, p. 263—264,
no. 51. *Canarache*, 229.

525-M.A.C. II40474; II40822; II40802; II40801.
528

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΩΡΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Ἀπολλοδώρου
τοῦ Διονυσίου

Grakov, ast. specified in the index but not dated. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 255, no. 18. O. Tafrali, «Arta și arheologia», 9—10, 1933—1934, p. 6, no. 3. *Canarache*, 230.

529 M.A.C. II40287.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ
ΤΟ[Σ]ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙ[ΟΥ]
ΤΟΥ ΗΡΟ[ΞΕΝΟΥ] wall crowned
head to right

ἀστυνομοῦντος
Δημητρίου
τοῦ Ἡροξένου

Grakov, ast. specified in the index for the groups V or VI,
but not listed at these groups. *Canarache*, 248.

530 M.A.C. II40657.
[ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΗΡΟΞΕΝΟΥ
ΤΙΜΟΛΕΩΣ

indefinite symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου
τοῦ Ἡροξένου
Τιμόλεως

Grakov, ast., as before; prod. specified for the fourth group.

531 M.A.C. II40341.
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ

bunch of
grapes

ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου τοῦ
Δημητρίου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6. *Canarache*, 252.

532 M.A.C. II40843.
ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΔΗΜ[ΗΤΡΙΟΥ]

torn symbol

Ἀπατούριος
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου
τοῦ Δημητρίου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6; prod., p. 145, no. 5.

533 M.A.C. II40739.

ΑΡΧΙΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
[ΤΟΥ ΔΗΜ]ΗΤΡΙΟΥ

torn symbol

Ἄρχιος
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου
τοῦ Δημητρίου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6.

534 M.A.C. II40543.

ΚΙΑΣΙΝ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
ΔΗΜ[ΗΤΡΙΟΥ] bunch of
grapes

Νικίας
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου
Δημητρίου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6; prod. specified only for the groups II and IV.

535-M.A.C. II40220; A

536*

ΠΡΩΤΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
[ΔΗΜΗ]ΤΡΙΟΥ bunch of
grapes

Πρῶτος
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου τοῦ
Δημητρίου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6; prod., p. 145, no. 52.

537 M.A.C. II40168.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
[ΔΙΟΝΥ]ΣΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
[Σ]ΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ indefinite
symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου
τοῦ Δημητρίου
Στεφάνου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6; prod., p. 145, no. 55.

538 M.A.C. II40768.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]Μ[ΟΥ]
[ΔΙΟΝ]ΥΣΙΟΥ
[ΔΗΜ]ΗΤΡΙΟΥ
.....ΙΟΥ bunch of
grapes

ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου
Δημητρίου
.....ίου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6.

539 M.A.C. II40347.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
[ΤΟΥ] ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ indefinite
[Τ]ΟΥ ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ symbol
.....ΝΟΥ

ἀστυνόμου
τοῦ Διονυσίου
τοῦ Δημητρίου
.....νου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6.

540 M.A.C. II40560.

.....ΩΝ
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΔΙΟ]ΝΥΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
[ΔΗ]ΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ bunch of
grapes

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 6.

.....ΩΝ
ἀστυνόμου
Διονυσίου τοῦ
Δημητρίου

541 M.A.C. II40132.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
[Ε]ΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ prow

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 7.

ἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου

542-M.A.C. II40084; II40154; II40861.

544

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ torn symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 7.

ἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου

545-M.A.C. II40428; II40585; II40623.

547

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
ΛΑΜΑΧΟΥ laying thyrsos

Grakov, ast. specified in the index for the groups V or VI but not listed at these groups. *Canarache*, 258.

ἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου τοῦ
Λαμάχου

548-M.A.C. II40808; II40136.

549

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΛΑΜΑΧΟΥ standing thyrsos

.....
Grakov, as above.

ἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου
τοῦ Λαμάχου

550-M.A.C. II40610; II40426.

551

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ Τ[ΟΥ]
ΛΑΜΑΧΟΥ torn symbol

Grakov, as above

ἀστυνόμου
Ἑκαταίου τοῦ
Λαμάχου

552 M.A.C. II40696.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΣΤΙΑΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
...ΙΟΥ ΕΥΚΛΗΣ

bunch of grapes

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 9 (without patronymic); prod., p. 145, no. 21.

ἀστυνόμου
Εστιαίου τοῦ
.....του Εὐκλῆς

553 M.A.C. II41008.

[ΕΣ]ΤΙΑΙΟ[Υ]
Α[ΣΤΥ]ΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
/.....ΛΤΙ lacking symbol

Ἔστιαίου
ἀστυνόμου
/...λτι

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 9.

554 M.A.C. II40604.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ ΤΟΥ
ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ torn symbol

ἀστυνόμου
Εὐχαρίστου τοῦ
Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 10.

555 M.A.C. II40161.

ΕΥΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ lacking symbol

Εὐχαρίστου
ἀστυνόμου
τοῦ Καλλισθένου

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 10 or p. 144, no. 19 (Ναύπων ὁ
Καλλισθένου).

556 M.A.C. II40595.

[Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ ΗΡΑ
[Κ]ΛΕΙΔΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΜΙ
ΚΡΙΟΥ ΠΑΠΗΣ
horse to right

ἀστυνόμου
Ἡρακλείδου τοῦ
Μικρίου Πάπης

Grakov, ast., p. 143, no. 11; prod., p. 145, no. 50.

557 M.A.C. II40016.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΙΚΕΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
ΒΑΧΧΙΟΥ
[ΗΡΑ]ΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ prow

ἀστυνόμου
Ἴκεσίου τοῦ
Βαχχίου
Ἡρακλείδης

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 12 (Ἴκέσιος ὁ Βαχχίου); prod.,
p. 145, no. 25.

558 M.A.C. II40172.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
ΚΑΛ[ΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ]
ΔΑΤΟΣ prow

ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένου
Δάτος

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod., p. 145, no. 13.

559. M.A.C. II40099.

[Θ]ΥΑ[ΙΑΣ]
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ prow

Θυαίας
ἀστυνόμου
Καλλισθένης

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod., p. 145, no. 29.

- 560 M.A.C. II40624.
 ΚΤΗΣΙΒΑΗΣ?
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ indefinite
 symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15.
- Κτησιβάης?
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλισθένου
- 561 M.A.C. II40054.
 ΠΡΩΤΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ
 prow
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod., p. 145, no. 52. Canarache, 375.
- Πρῶτος
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλισθένους
- 562 M.A.C. II40609.
 ΣΚΟΜΦΙΑΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ
 prow
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod. specified only for the fourth group.
- Σχομφίας
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλισθένους
- 563 M.A.C. II40737.
 ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ
 prow
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod., p. 145, no. 59. Canarache, 376.
- Φιλοκράτης
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλισθένους
- 564 M.A.C. II40045.
 [ΦΙΛ]ΩΝ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝ[ΟΥ]
 lacking symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod., p. 145, no. 60.
- Φίλων
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλισθένου
- 565 M.A.C. II40175.

 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΚΑΛΛΙ]ΣΘΕΝΟΥ
 lacking symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15.
-
 άστυνόμου
 Καλλισθένου
- 566 M.A.C. II40577.
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

 lacking symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 15.
- Καλλισθένους
 άστυνόμου

573 M.A.C. II40162.

[ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΠΟΣΙΔΕΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΘΕΑΡΙΩ[ΝΟΣ]
ΚΛΕΑΙΝΕΤΟ[Σ] Asklepios?

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 23; prod., p. 145, no. 33.

άστυνόμου
Ποσιδείου
του Θεαρίωνος
Κλεαίνετος

574 Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/41).

[ΑΣ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΠΟ]ΣΙΔΕΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
[ΘΕ]ΑΡΙΩΝΟΣ
[Κ]ΤΗΣΩΝ Tyche with
cornucopia

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 23; prod., p. 145, no. 36. *Canarache*, 327.

άστυνόμου
Ποσιδείου του
Θεαρίωνος
Κτήσων

575 M.A.C. II40590.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
ΠΟΣΙΔΕΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΘΑΕΡΙΩΝΟΣ
ΜΝΗΣΙΣ statue

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 23; prod., p. 145, no. 48. Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 254, no. 13 (Θεσπίωνος for Θεαρίωνος).

άστυνομούντος
Ποσιδείου
του Θεαρίωνος
Μνήσις

576 M.A.C. II40798.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΠΟΣΙΔΕΙΟΥ [ΤΟΥ]
ΘΕΑΡΙΩΝΟΣ
ΝΑΥΣΙΚΡΑΤΗΣ torn symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 23; prod. specified only for the VIth group.

άστυνόμου
Ποσιδείου του
Θεαρίωνος
Ναυσικράτης

577 M.A.C. II40682.

[ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΠΥΘΟ]ΧΡΗΣΤΟΥ
[ΑΠΟ]ΛΛΩΝΙΔΟΥ
[ΑΠΑΤΟ]ΥΡΙΟΣ indefinite
symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 25 (Πυθόχρηστος ό 'Απολλωνίου); prod., p. 145, no. 5. *Canarache*, 333.

άστυνόμου
Πυθοχρήστου
'Απολλωνίδου
'Απατούριος

578 M.A.C. II40573.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΠΥΘΟΧΡΗΣΤΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙΔΟΥ
ΑΤ..... torn symbol

Grakov, ast., p. 144, no. 25 (Πυθόχρηστος ό 'Απολλωνίου).

άστυνόμου
Πυθοχρήστου
του 'Απολλωνίδου
'Ατ.....

SIXTH GROUP, 120—70 B.C.

- 579 M.A.C. II40257.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 [ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙ]ΔΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 [ΠΟΣΕΙΔΩ]ΝΙΟΥ bunch of
 grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 148, no. 4. *Canarache*, 231.
- 580 M.A.C. II40856.
 [ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙΔΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΠΟΣΕΙΔΩΝΙΟΥ bunch of
 ΑΡΤΕΜΩΝ grapes
Grakov, ast., p. 148, no. 4; prod., p. 150, no. 10. *Canarache*, 232.
- 581 M.A.C. II40745.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΑΠΗΜΑΝΤΟΥ
 ΜΙΘΡΙΔΑΤΗΣ torn symbol
Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 8; prod. specified only for the fourth group.
- 582 M.A.C. II40711.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΖΗΝΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ
 ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΩΡΟΥ trophy
Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 12.
- 583 M.A.C. II40310.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΟΥ standing goddess
 ΤΟΥ ΕΚΑΤΑΙΟΥ to right
 [ΠΡΩ]ΤΟΣ
Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 14; prod., p. 151, no. 56. C. Cantacuzino, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 326, fig. 7. Perhaps Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 267, no. 74.
- 584 M.A.C. II40661.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΗΡΩΝΥΜΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ΠΟΣΕΙΔΩΝΙΟΥ
 ΑΡΙΣΤΩΝ trophy
Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 16; prod., p. 150, no. 13.

591 M.A.C. II40860.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
Λ[ΕΩ]ΜΕΔΟΝΤΟΣ
ΤΟΥ ΕΠΙΔΗΜΟΥ
ΦΙΛΗΜΩΝ

torn symbol

άστυνόμου
Λεωμεδόντος
τοῦ Ἐπιδήμου
Φιλήμων

Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 28; prod., p. 151, no. 66.

592* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/8).

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΜΑΝΤΙΘΕΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΠΡΩΤΑΓΟΡΟΥ
ΑΓΑΘ[ΩΝ]

lion?
statue

άστυνόμου
Μαντιθέου
τοῦ Πρωταγόρου
Ἀγάθων

Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 29; prod., p. 150, no. 1.

593 M.A.C. II40641.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΜΑΝΤΙΘΕΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΓΡΩΤΑΓΟΡΟΥ
ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΩΡΟΣ

lacking
symbol

άστυνόμου
Μαντιθέου
τοῦ Πρωταγόρου
Ἀπολλόδωρος

Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 29; prod., p. 150, no. 7. *Canarache*, 301.

594 M.A.C. II40137.

[ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
[ΜΑΝΤΙ]ΘΕΟΥ
[ΤΟΥ ΠΡ]ΩΤΑΓΟΡΟΥ
.....ΟΥ

lion

άστυνόμου
Μαντιθέου
τοῦ Πρωταγόρου
...ου

Grakov, ast., p. 149, no. 29.

595 M.A.C. II40638.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΠΟΣΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ
ΔΑΙΣΚΟΥ

indefinite
symbol

άστυνόμου
Πόσιος τοῦ
Δαΐσκου

Grakov, ast., p. 150, no. 39.

596 M.A.C. II40867.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ[ΤΟΣ]
ΠΟΣΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ
ΣΤΡΑΤΟΝΙΚΟΥ
ΣΙΜΑΛΙΩΝ

caduceus

άστυνομούνης
Πόσιος τοῦ
Στρατονίκου
Σιμαλίων

Grakov, ast., p. 150, no. 40 (not with this symbol); prod., p. 151, no. 59.

597 M.A.C. II40122.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
 ΦΗΜΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ΘΕΟΠΕΙΤΟΥ blacksmith
 άστυνόμου
 Φημίου του
 Θεοπέιτου

Grakov, ast., p. 150, no. 46. *Canarache*, 341.

598 M.A.C. II40319.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΦΗΜΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 lacking symbol
 άστυνόμου
 Φημίου του

Grakov, ast., p. 150, no. 46.

599 M.A.C. II40507.
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΗΣΤΙΩΝΟΣ man to right
 άστυνόμου
 'Ηστίωνος

This astynom is not dated and not specified in *Grakov*.

PRODUCERS

600-M.A.C. II41006; II40104.

601
 ΑΓΑΘΩΝ
Grakov, gr. IV, V, VI. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia »,
 VII—VIII, p. 257, no. 16. 'Αγάθων

602 M.A.C. II40302.

ΑΡΑΒΟΣ
Grakov, gr. IV. 'Αραβος

603 M.A.C. II40812.

ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
Grakov, gr. II, III, IV. Δημητρίου

604-M.A.C. II40213; II40280.

605
 ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΣ
Grakov, gr. VI. Δημήτριος

606 M.A.C. II40552.

ΕΡΜΩΝΟΣ
Grakov, gr. V. 'Ερμωνος

607-M.A.C. II40393; II40334; II40005.

609
 ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ
Grakov, gr. I—VI. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI,
 p. 300, no. 40. 'Ηρακλειδης

- 610 M.A.C. II40290.
ΘΕΟΔΩΡΟΣ
male head
to left
Grakov, gr. I, II, V, VI. Θεόδωρος
- 611 M.A.C. II40346.
ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ
prow
Grakov, gr. IV. Καλλισθένης
- 612 M.A.C. II40514.
[ΚΕ]ΦΑΛΙΩΝ
Grakov, gr. V. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Analele Dobrogei », XVIII, 1937, p. 110, fig. 26, p. 106. Κεφαλίων
- 613 M.A.C. II40976.
ΜΙΔΑΣ bunch of
grapes
Grakov, gr. IV–VI. Μίδας
- 614 M.A.C. II40628.
Μ[ΙΘΡΑ]ΔΑΤΗΣ
Grakov, gr. IV, V. Μιθραδάτης
- 615-M.A.C. II40148 ; II40756 ; II40115 ; II40252 ; II40330.
619
ΝΙΚΙΑΣ
Grakov, gr. II, IV. Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII–VIII, p. 263, no. 48. *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 23 (thyrsos?) Νικίας
- 620 M.A.C. II40331.
ΝΙΚ
ΙΑΣ
Grakov, gr. II, IV. Νικίας
- 621 M.A.C. II40027.
ΝΙΚΩΝ
Νίκων
- 622 M.A.C. II40328.
[ΝΟΥΜΗΝΙΟΣ] ?
bird
Grakov, gr. II–V. Νουμήνιος
- 623 M.A.C. II40870.
ΚΕΡΑΜΕΩ
ΝΟΥΜΗΝΙΟΥ
ΤΟΥ ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
torn symbol
Grakov, gr. III. Κεραμέω
Νουμηνίου
του Διονυσίου

- 624 M.A.C. II40770.
 Σ[ΑΓΑ]ΡΙΟΣ
 ΤΟΥ ΝΟΥΜΗΝΙΟΥ
 (retrograde)
Grakou, gr. II. Σαγάριος
 τοῦ Νουημνίου
- 625* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/37).
 ΤΙΜΟΛΕΩΣ
Grakou, gr. IV. Τιμόλεως
- 626* M.A.C. II40267.
 ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ
Grakou, gr. I—V. Φιλοκράτης
- 627 M.A.C. II40541.
 ΦΙΛΩΝ
Grakou, gr. I, III, IV, V. Φίλων

INCOMPLETE, UNDATED STAMPS

- 628 M.A.C. II40864.
 ΠΑΣΙΧΑΡΟΥ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΣΟΜ..
 cantharos Πασιχάρου
 ἀστυνόμου
 ...σομ...
- 629 M.A.C. II40379.

 ΑΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥ]
 ΣΤΕΦΑ[ΝΟΥ]
 torn symbol
 ἀστυνόμου
 Στεφάνου
- 630 M.A.C. II40145.
ΔΗ.....
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΥ
 cantharos ...δη...
 ἀστυνόμου
 Στεφάνου
- 631 M.A.C. II40314.

 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΣΙΜΑ[ΛΙΩΝ]
 cantharos
 ἀστυνόμου
 Σιμαλίων
- 632 M.A.C. II40303.

 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙ]ΟΥ ΤΟΥ

 bunch of
 grapes Διονυσίου τοῦ

- 633 M.A.C. II40065.
 [ACTYNO MOYNTOC]
OC
 TOY ECTIAIOY lacking symbol
 αστυνομούντος
ος
 του Έστιαίου
- 634 M.A.C. II40052.
 [ASTYNO MOY]
Σ
 [TOY]Y ECTIAIOY bearded head
N
 αστυνόμου
ς
 του Έεστιαίου
ν
- 635 M.A.C. II40354.
 ASTYNO MOYNTOC
NOY
 ΔΑ..... cantharos
 αστυνομούντος
νου
 Δα.....
- 636 M.A.C. II40688.
 ASTYNO MOYNTOC
 ASTIOY
O..... bunch of grapes
 αστυνομούντος
 Άστιου.....
ο.....
- 637 M.A.C. II41007.
 AST[YNO MOY]
 Φ..... OΣ
 TOY EP..... laking symbol
 αστυνόμου
 Φ.....ος
 του Έρ...
- 638* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (I/73).
 ASTYNO MOY]
 KA.....
 TIM[OLEΩΣ] quiver
 αστυνόμου
 Κα...
 Τιμόλεως
- 639* M.A.C. ...
 ΦΙΛΟΝΗ....
 ASTYNO[MOY]
 [N]EYMH[NIOΣ]
 Φιλονη...
 αστυνόμου
 Νευμήνιος
- 640 M.A.C. II40751.

 ...ΠΩΝOC
 TOY APICTIPOY statue

 ...πωνος
 του Άριστίπου
- 641 M.A.C. II40239.
 A[STYNO MOY]

 TOY ΘE....
 EYMA[XOC] αστυνόμου

 του Θε...
 Εύμαχος

- 642 M.A.C. II40453.
 Δ[ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΜΝ...

 lightnings
 ἀστυνόμου
 Μν...

- 643 M.A.C. II40747.

 ΔΗΜΗΤ[ΡΙΟΥ]
 ...ΠΙΠ...
 ...ΑΡΧΟΥ
 (retrograde)

 Δημητρίου
 ...πιπ...
 ...αρχου
- 644* M.A.C. A 1021

 ΑΡΑΒΟΣ

 Ἄραβος
- 645* M.A.C.....
ΙΣ
 ΗΡΑΓΟΡΑ
 ις
 Ἡραγόρα
- 646 M.A.C. II40165.

 ΑΝΤΙ....
 lacking symbol

 Ἄντι....
- 647 M.A.C. II40975.
 ΕΠΙΕ...
 ΠΙ...
 ΡΜ?...
 torn symbol
 Ἐπιε...
 πι...
 Ρμ?...
- 648 M.A.C. II40540.
 ΔΣΤ[ΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΔΝ.....
 Α.....
 ἀστυνόμου
 Ἄν...
 Ἄ...
- 649 M.A.C. II40219.
 Α....
 Φ....
 Α....
 club
 Ἄ...
 Φ...
 Ἄ...
- 650 M.A.C.II40317.
 Υ.....

 prow
 Ὑ

- 651 M.A.C. II40312.
 Υ.....

 cantharos
 Ὑ

- 652* M.A.C. ...
 [ΜΙΘΡ]ΑΔΑΤΗΣ
 [ΑΣΤ]Υ[ΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
 ...ΝΕΙ[Ο]Υ
 cantharos
 Μιθραδάτης
 ἀστυνόμου
 ...νείου

- 653* M.A.C. II40257.
ΟΥΑΣ
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]ΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 ...ΧΙΟΥ
 club
 άστυνομούντος
 χίου
- 654* M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4657).
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ...ΔΗΜΟΥ ΤΟΥ

 ΘΥ[ΑΙΑΣ]
 quiver
 άστυνόμου
 .. δήμου του

 Θυαίας
- 655 M.A.C. II40026.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ...ΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ...ΕΙΟΥ
 blacksmith?
 άστυνόμου
 ...ιου του
 ...ειου
- 656 M.A.C. II40194.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΜΙΟΥ
 indefinite
 symbol
 άστυνόμου
μίου
- 657* M.A.C. II40388.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ?[ΑΡΙΣΤΟΚ]ΛΕΟΥΣ
 ΔΙΟΣ
 bearded
 head to left
 άστυνόμου
 ?'Αριστοκλέους
 Δίος
- 658 M.A.C. II40391
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 [Τ]ΟΥ..... trident

 ΟΤ..Ε.....
 άστυνομούντος
 του.....

 οτ...Ε.....
- 659 M.A.C. II40545.
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ...ΡΥΟΣ ΤΟΥ
 ...ΣΕ...ΙΟΣ
 he goat
 άστυνόμου
 .. ρυος του
 ..σε...ιους
- 660 M.A.C. II40554.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΝΟΥ
 [ΘΥΔΙ]ΑΣ
 bunch of
 grapes
 άστυνόμου
νου
 Θυαίας
- 661 M.A.C. II40685.
 [Α]ΣΤΥ[ΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ...ΔΟΥ
 ΡΟ...ΑΝΟΥ
 lacking
 symbol
 άστυνόμου
δου
 ρο...ανου

662 M.A.C. II40734.

[ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
ΟΥ
Ο.....
 [ΣΤΕΦΑ]ΝΟΣ

prow

ἀστυνόμου
ου
ο....
 Στέφανος

663 M.A.C. II40859.

[ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΔΙΟΝΥ]ΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
ΟΥ
ΤΟΣ

lacking symbol

ἀστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου τοῦ
ου
τος

664 M.A.C. II40223

[ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟ[Υ]
 ...ΟΚΑ...ΤΟΥ

kylix

ἀστυνόμου
 οκα...τοῦ

665 M.A.C. II40307.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΧΟΥ

cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
χου

666 M.A.C. II40337.

[ΑΣΥΗΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
ΡΙΟΥ

lacking symbol

ἀστυνόμου
ρίου

667 M.A.C. II40157.

[ΚΑΛΛΙΣ]ΘΕΝΗΣ
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ
Υ

leaf

Καλλισθένης
 ἀστυνόμου

668 M.A.C. II40433.

[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
ΝΟΥ

bunch of grapes

ἀστυνόμου
νου

669 M.A.C. II40629.

[ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΣ
Η.....
Ν.....
Σ.....

bacchic scene

ἀστυνόμος
 ...η...
 ...ν...
 ...σ...

670 M.A.C. II40863.

[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ

ΕΜΣΙΟΣ

lion

ἀστυνόμου

 ...εμσιος

671 M.A.C. II40234.

.....ΟΣ
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
.....ΑΡΕΤΟΥ

flower

..... ος
ἀστυνόμου
... ἀρέτου

672 M.A.C. II40050.

.....ΟΥ
[ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
..... ΟΥ

amphora

.....ου
ἀστυνόμου
..... ου

673 M.A.C. II40071.

Κ..... Σ
ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
..... ΟΥ ΤΟΥ
..... ΟΥ

bunch of grapes

Κ.....ς
ἀστυνόμου
...ου του
..... ου

674 M.A.C. II40291.

.....ΑΣΙΟΙ
[ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ

lacking symbol

.....ΑΣΙΟΙ
ἀστυνομούντος

675 M.A.C. II40504.

.....ΟΣ
Α[ΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
.....ΟΥ.....

cantharos

...ος...
ἀστυνόμου
...ου...

676* M.A.C.....

[ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
.....ΝΟΥ
[ΝΟΥΜ]ΗΝΙΟΣ

ἀστυνόμου
.....νου
Νουμήνιος

677 M.A.C. II40835.

.....ΙΟΣ
[ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
[ΔΗΜΗΤ]ΡΙΟΥ?

female head to right

...ιος...
ἀστυνόμου
Δημητρίου?

678 M.A.C. II40227.

.....ΟΥ.....ΑΤΟΥ
[ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟ]ΥΝΤΟΣ
.....

two profiles

...ου...άτου
ἀστυνομούντος
.....

679* M.A.C. A 1004.

.....ΙΟΣ
..... Σ

branch

...λος
...ς

680 M.A.C. II40326.

.....ΤΟΥ
.....ΟΥ
.....ΙΟΣ

prow

...του
...ου
...ιος

693 M.A.C. II40868.

Z... bow

Z.....

694 M.A.C. II40327.

..... indefinite
symbol

695 M.A.C. II40429.

..... cantharos

RHODES

EPONYMS

696 M.A.C. II40605.

Rectangular : [ΕΠΙ ΑΓ]ΕΜΑΧΟΥ
ΠΑ[ΝΑΜΟΥ]ἐπὶ Ἀγεμάχου
Πανάμου*Grace, Hesperia*, 1 (H. 2; N. 8); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B.C.

697 M.A.C. II40700.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΓ
ΗΜΟΝΟΣ

ἐπὶ Ἀγήμονος

Grace, Hesperia, 3 (H. 4); Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 261, no. 40.

698-M.A.C. II40702; II40767.

699

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΓΡ[ΙΟΥ]
ΕΥΦΡΟΝ[ΟΣ]ἐπὶ Ἀγρίου
ΕὐφρονοςV. *Grace, Stamped Wine Jar-Fragments*, Princeton, 1956, p. 141, no. 70 (late fourth to about mid third century B.C.); *Gaertringen*, 18 (without patronymic).

700 M.A.C. II40127.

Rectangular : [Ε]ΠΙ ΑΓΡ[ΙΟΥ]

ἐπὶ Ἀγρίου

Grace, Hesperia, 10 (H. 18; N. 21).

701 M.A.C. II40875

Circular : ΑΙΝΕΑ[Σ]...ΤΑ...ΤΟ
(flower) ΕΤ...ΛΟΚΜΕΥΣΑινέας...
ετ...λοκμευς*Gaertringen*, 21; *Canarache*, 504—507 (similar, with several months).

702 M.A.C. II40321.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΝΑΞΑΝ
ΔΡΟΥ
ΠΑΝΑΜΟΥ

ἐπὶ Ἀναξάνδρου
Πανάμου

Pridik, p. 4, no. 52; *Grace, Hesperia*, 22 (H. 34; N. 46);
B.C.H., 1952, second half of the second century; Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 258, no. 25.

703 M.A.C. II40014.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΝΔΡΙΑ
ΔΙΟΣΘ[Υ]ΟΥ

ἐπὶ Ἀνδρία Διοσθού

Pridik, p. 4, no. 56, similar (the month Ἰακίνθιος); *Grace, Hesperia*, 24 (H. 38; N. 49); *B.C.H.*, 1952, second half of the second century.

704 M.A.C. II40528.

Rectangular : ΙΕΡΕΥΣ
ΑΝΤΙ
ΛΕΩΝ

Ἰερεῦς Ἀντιλέων

Gaertringen, 41 bis; *Grace, Hesperia*, 26.

705* M.A.C.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΡΑΤΟ
ΦΑΝΕΥΣ
ΣΜΙΝΘΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ Ἀρατοφάνεως
Σμινθίου

Pridik, p. 4, no. 62, similar; *Grace, Hesperia*, 32 (H. 50; N. 68); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B.C.; *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 41.

706 M. A.C. II40141.

Rectangular : Ε[ΠΙ]ΑΡΙ
ΣΤΑΓ
ΚΤ.....

ἐπὶ Ἀρισταγ...
Κτ..

707 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4655).

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΡΙΣΤΑΚΟΥ
ΥΑΚΙΝΘΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ Ἀριστάκου
Ἰακινθίου

Pridik, p. 4, nos. 64—67 (with the months Ἀρταμίτιος and Πάναμος); *Grace, Hesperia*, 34 (H. 54—55; N. 76); *B.C.H.*, 1952, second half of the second century.

708 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4656).

Rectangular : ΑΓΡΙΑ
ΑΡΙCTYI star

ἐπὶ Ἀριστείδα
Ἀγριανίου ?

Grace, Hesperia, 37 (H. 59—60; N. 82); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B. C.

709* M.A.C. II 40726.

Rectangular : ΔΑΛΙΟΥ
ΑΡΙΣΤΕΙΔΑ star

Δαλίου
'Αριστείδα

Pridik, p. 5, no. 70, similar (ἐπὶ 'Αριστείδα Δαλίου); *Grace, Hesperia*, 37 (H. 59—60; N. 82); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B.C.

710* M.A.C.

Rectangular : Ε[ΠΙ]ΑΡΙΣ
Τ[Ο]ΔΑΜΟΥ
ΔΑΛΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ 'Αριστοδάμου
Δαλίου

Pridik, p. 5, nos. 76—81 (all months without Δάλιος); *Grace, Hesperia*, 43 (H. 70; N. 99); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B. C.

711 M.A.C. II40058.

Rectangular : [ΣΜΙΝ]ΘΙΟΥ
[ΑΡΙΣΤ]ΟΝΙΚΟΥ

Σμινθίου
'Αριστονίκου

712 M.A.C. II40113.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΑΡ[Μ. . .]
ΤΑΚ. . . . ?
[ΑΓ]ΡΙΑΝΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ 'Αρμοσίλα
Τακ. . . . ?
'Αγριανίου

Grace, Hesperia, 55 (H. 84; N. 120); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 280—220 B.C.

713 M..A.C. II40385.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ Α
ΡΜΙΑ

ἐπὶ 'Αρμία

714 M.A.C. II40705.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ
ΑΥΤΟΚΡΑΤ[ΕΥΣ]
ΑΓΡΙΑΝΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ Αὐτοκράτους
'Αγριανίου

Pridik, p. 7, no. 117; *Grace, Hesperia*, 63 (H. 102; N. 142); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 180—150 B. C.; Th. Sauciu-Săveanu, «Dacia», VII—VIII, p. 258, no. 23.

715 M.A.C. II40642.

Rectangular : ΔΑΜΟΝΙΚΟΥ
ΠΑΝΑΜΟΥ

Δαμονίκου
Πανάμου

716 M.A.C. II40511.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΔΗ

ἐπὶ Δηξιάνα? □

ΙΞΤΑΝΑ □

(□ = Πανάμου?)

717 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4654).

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ Ε
ΠΙΧΑ
ΡΜΟΥ

ἐπὶ 'Επιχάρμου

Grace, Hesperia, 76 bis (H. 126); *Canarache*, 736, similar (ἐπὶ 'Επιχάρμου).

718* Mangalia Museum (C. 125).

Circular : ΕΠΙΕΡΕΩΣ ΕΥΑΝ[ΩΡΟΣ]
(flower)

ἐπὶ ἱερέως Εὐάνωρος

Grace, Hesperia, 78 (H. 129; N. 200); *B.C.H.*, 1952, second half of the second century.

719 M.A.C. II40186.

Rectangular : Θ[Ε]ΥΔΙ[ΚΟ]Σ
[Θ]ΕΣΜΟΦΟΡ[ΙΟΥ]

Θεύδικος
Θεσμοφορίου

Gaertringen, 159.

720 M.A.C. II40874.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΙΑΣΤΥ
ΔΕΥΣ
.....ΟΥ

ἐπὶ Ἰαστύδευς ?
.....ου

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», I, p. 154, no. 17, fig. 64, similar (Ἰάστης Πασσανίης).

721 M.A.C. II40301.

Circular : ΕΠΙ ΙΕΡΩΝΟC
(flower)

ἐπὶ Ἰέρωνος

Pridik, p. 11, no. 200; *Grace, Hesperia*, 101 (H. 176; N. 253); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B.C.; Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 300, no. 43; *Canarache*, 519 similar (Ἄρταμίτιος).

722 M.A.C. II40032.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΙΙΙ

ἐπὶ Ἰπ.... ?

723 M.A.C. II40086.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΙΙΙ
...ΟΚΛΕΥ

ἐπὶ Ἰπ.... ?
.....οκλευ... ?

724 M.A.C. II40476.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΚΙΟΛ

ἐπὶ Κιολ.... ?

725 M.A.C. II40369.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΝΙΚΩ[ΝΟΣ]

ἐπὶ Νίκωνος

Grace, Hesperia, 122 (H. 225; N. 332); *B.C.H.*, 1952, beginning of the IVth century.

726* M.A.C. II41091.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΠΕΙΣΙ
ΣΤΡΑΤΟΥ
ΥΑ[ΚΙΝ]ΘΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ Πεισιστράτου
Υακινθίου

Pridik, p. 16, no. 319; *Grace, Hesperia*, 133 (H. 241; N. 353); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 180—150 B.C.

- 727 M.A.C. II40579.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΠΟΛΥ
 ΧΑΡΜΟΥ ἐπὶ Πολυχάρμου
- Grace, Hesperia*, 138 (H. 252).
- 728* Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/27).
 ΔΗΜΗ
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΠΡΟ (retrograde) Δημητρίου
 ΤΑΡΧΟΥ ἐπὶ Προτάρχου
- 729 M.A.C. II40747.
 Rectangular: [Δ]ΗΜΗΤ[ΡΙΟΥ] Δημητρίου
 [Ε]ΠΙ Π[ΡΟΤ] (retrograde) ἐπὶ Προτάρχου
 ΑΡΧΟΥ
- 730 M.A.C. II40011.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΤΙΜΑΣΑ ἐπὶ Τιμασαγόρα
 [ΓΟ]ΡΑ Ἄγριανίου
 Α[ΓΡΙΑ]Ν[ΙΟΥ]
- Pridik*, p. 18, nos. 371–377, similar (with other months);
Grace, Hesperia, 159 (H. 280, 281; N. 406); *B.C.H.*,
 220–180 B.C.
- 731 M.A.C. II40221.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΤΙΜΑ ἐπὶ Τιμασιθέου
 ΣΙΘΕΟΥ
- Grace, Hesperia*, additional typed list of 1961 (dated for
 IVth century).
- 732* M.A.C. ...
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΦΙΛΤΑ ἐπὶ Φιλτα....
 ΝΥΣΙΟΥ Νυσίου
- 733 M.A.C. II40180.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΦΩ ἐπὶ Φωκίωνος
 ΚΙΩΝΟΣ (retrograde)
- Grace, Hesperia*, additional typed list of 1961 (fourth cen-
 tury).
- 734 M.A.C. II40485.
 Rectangular: [ΕΠ]Ι ΑΙΑ ἐπὶ Ἄια....
 ΣΥΦΟΝΟ (retrograde) συφονο....?
- 735 M.A.C. II40245.
 Rectangular: ΤΙ...ΝΟ (retrograde?) τι.....νο?
- 736 M.A.C. II40004.
 Rectangular:ΙΣις
 ΗΡΑΓΟΡΑ Ἡραγόρα?
- 737 M.A.C. II40679.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ...ΤΟΥ caduceus ἐπὶ.....τοῦ

738 M.A.C. II40101.

Rectangular : A
K'Α
Κ

739 M.A.C. II40013.

Circular : torn inscription
(flower)

740 M.A.C. II40398.

Circular : torn inscription
(flower)

741 M.A.C. II40481.

Circular : torn inscription
(flower in pearled circle)

PRODUCERS

742-M.A.C. II40684 ; Severeanu Collection, Bucharest (II/20).

743*

Rectangular : ΑΓΑΘ
ΩΝΟΣ

'Αγάθωνος

744* M.A.C. II41146.

Rectangular : ΑΓΗ . . . Π

'Αγη . . . π

745 M.A.C. II40974.

Rectangular : ΑΓΗ
ΜΩΝ

'Αγήμων

B.C.H., 1952, p. 525.

746 M.A.C. II40689.

Rectangular : ΑΠΟΛΛΟΙΗΙΟΥ

'Απολλωνίου

Pridik, p. 23, nos. 504—506 ('Απολλωνίου).

747 M.A.C. II40996.

Circular : ΑΡΙΣΤΑΡΧΟΣ

'Αριστάρχος

B.C.H., 1952, p. 526.

748* M.A.C. . . .

Rectangular : ΑΡΙΣΤΙΩΝ[ΟΣ]

Αριστίωνος

Pridik, p. 23, nos. 516—518 ; p. 136, no. 86 ; *B.C.H.*, 1952,
p. 526.

749* M.A.C. II41088.

Rectangular : ΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ

Αρίστου

Pridik, p. 136, no. 89 ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 526.

750* M.A.C. II41089.

Rectangular : [Π]ΑΡΑ
[Β]ΟΙΚΚΟΥ

παρά Βοίσκου

G. Cantacuzino, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 323—324, fig. 4, p. 324.

- 751 M.A.C. II40675.
Rectangular : ΠΑΡΑΒ
ΟΙΣΚ[ΟΥ (retrograde) παρὰ Βοίσκου
- 752 M.A.C. II40020.
Rectangular : [B]ΟΙΚΚΟΥ Βοίσκου
- 753* M.A.C. II41083.
Circular stamp, BOΥ BOΥ
text on two lines : or
ΔΑ ΛΑ Βοῦδα or Βοῦλα
- 754* M.A.C. II41011.
Circular : [ΔΑ]ΗΜΩ[N] Δαήμων
B.C.H., 1952, p. 526 ; Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 266, no. 67.
- 755 M.A.C. II40078.
Rectangular : ΔΗΜ
ΗΤΡΙ
ΟΥ (retrograde). Δημητρίου
- 756 M.A.C. II40487.
Rectangular : ΔΙΟΥ Δίου
Pridik, p. 25, nos. 592—596 ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 526.
- 757 M.A.C. II40244.
Rectangular : ΔΩΡΟΘΕΟΥ Δωροθέου
Pridik, p. 26, nos. 606—608 ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 526.
- 758 M.A.C. II40515.
Circular : ΕΛΛΑΝΙΚΟΣ
(flower) 'Ελλάνικος
Pridik, p. 26, no. 614, similar ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 526.
- 759* M.A.C. II41082.
Circular : ΕΡΜΩΝΟΣ
(flower) "Ερμωνος
Pridik, p. 26, no. 620 (rectangular) ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 526.
- 760 M.A.C. II40188.
Rectangular : ΠΑΡΑ
ΕΥΦΟΝ (retrograde) παρὰ Εύφον
Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », VII—VIII, p. 264, no. 53.
- 761* M.A.C. II41145.
Rectangular : ΠΑ ΕΥ battle axe παρὰ Εύφον †

- 773 M.A.C. II40027.
 Rectangular : ΝΙΚΩΝ Νίκων
B.C.H., 1952, p. 527.
- 774* M.A.C. II40619.
 Rectangular : ΝΥΣΙΟΥ statue Νυσίου
Pridik, p. 31, no. 781—783, similar ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527.
- 775* M.A.C. II40772.
 Rectangular : ΟΛΥΜΠΙΟΥ Όλύμπου
Pridik, p. 32, nos. 787—794, similar ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527.
- 776 M.A.C. II40567.
 Rectangular : ΗΑCΙ[ΩΝ] (retrograde) Πασιών
B.C.H., 1952, p. 527.
- 777*-M.A.C. II41085 ; II40341 ; II40498.
 779
 Rectangular : [Π]ΑΡΑ Π[Α] πα ρ ά Πausanία
 [ΥΣ]ΑΝΙΑ
Pridik, p. 114, no. 307 ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527 (fourth century?).
- 780 M.A.C. II40203.
 Rectangular : ΗΑ Παυσανία
 [Υ]ΣΑΝΙΑ
Pridik, p. 32, nos. 805—807, similar ; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527
- 781 M.A.C. II40872.
 Circular : Σ[ΤΡΑ]ΤΩ[Ν] Στράτων
 (flower)
B.C.H., 1952, p. 528.
- 782-M.A.C. II40192 ; II40167 ; ...
 784*
 Rectangular : ΣΩΤΑ Σωτᾶ
Pridik, p. 33, nos. 830—833.
- 785* M.A.C. II41086.
 Rectangular : ΣΩΤΑ Δ Σωτᾶ Δ
- 786 M.A.C. II40713.
 Rectangular : [Σ]ΩΤΑ Ε Σωτᾶ Ε
- 787-M.A.C. II40618 ; II40373.
 788
 Rectangular : ΣΩ Σωτᾶ

- 789 M.A.C. II40262.
Rectangular : ΣΩ (retrograde) Σωτᾶ
- 790 M.A.C. II40401.
Rectangular : ΤΙΜΟΚΛΗΣ Τιμοκλής
B.C.H., 1952, p. 528.
- 791 M.A.C. II40022.
Rectangular : ΦΙΛΙΠΠΟΥ Φιλίππου
- 792 M.A.C. II40208.
Rectangular : ΦΙΛΙΠΠΟΥ Φιλίππου
- 793 M.A.C. II40706.
Rectangular : ΦΙΛΟ ΔΑΜΟC (retrograde) Φιλόδαμος
- 794 M.A.C. II40370.
Rectangular : ΦΡΑCΙ ΛΑC (retrograde) Φρασίλας
- 795* M.A.C. A 1008.
Circular : . . Α . . ΝΟΣ . . .
(flower) . . α . . νος . .
- 796 M.A.C. II40677.
Circular : torn inscription
(flower)
- 797 M.A.C. II40007.
Rectangular : torn inscription, symbol perhaps lyre.

HERACLEA PONTICA

- 798 M.A.C. II40960.
Neck (engraved) : ΗΟΣΣ[ΟΣ]
ΑΜΦΙ[ΤΩΝ] Νόσσος
΄Αμφίτων
Perhaps *Canarache*, 477.
- 799*-M.A.C. II40399 ; II 40260 ; II40080 ; II40717 ; II40133.
803
Handle (in relief) : ΑΝΤΙ ΦΙΛΟΥ ΄Αντιφίλου
Pridik, p. 109, no. 176 ; *Staerman*, 75.
- 804 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4646).
Neck (engraved) : [ΑΠΟΛ]ΛΩΝΙΟΥ ΄Απολλωνίου
... ΑΤ ● ...
... ατο ...
- 805*-M.A.C. II40894 ; II40561 ; II40030.
807
Neck (engraved) : ΑΡΙCΤΟ ΚΡΑΤΕΟC ΄Αριστοκράτεος
Pridik, p. 110, no. 194 (handle) ; *Staerman*, 30 ; *Canarache*, 461 ; *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 78.

- 808 M.A.C. II40977.
Neck (engraved) : ΑΡΙΣΤΟ
ΚΡΑΤΕΣ Ἄριστοκράτες
- 809 M.A.C. II40036.
Neck (engraved) : ΑΡΙΣΤΩΝΟ[Σ]
ΚΡΩΜΑ[Σ]... Ἄριστωνος
Κρῶμα ἄσ...
Perhaps *Canarache*, 462.
- 810* M.A.C. II41093.
Neck (engraved) : ΑΡΙΣΤΩΣ/ΕΠΥ... ? Ἄριστως/ἔπυ... ?
- 811 M.A.C. II40966.
Neck (engraved) : ΑΨΟΓΟ[Σ] Ἄψογος
- 812 M.A.C. II40958.
Neck (engraved) : ΒΑΚΙΔ[ΗΣ] cantharos Βακίδης
- 813 M.A.C. II40900.
Neck (engraved) : ΒΟΤΑΚ[Ο]Σ cantharos Βότακος
ΕΠΙ ΕΥΡ ἐπὶ Εὐρ....
- 814 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4644).
Neck (engraved) : ΒΟΤΑΧΟΥ Βοτάχου
ΣΚΥΘΑΗ Σκυθαη....
- 815 M.A.C. II40269.
Neck (engraved) : ΕΙΡΗ ΕΙΡΗΝΑΙΟΥ Εὐρηναίου
Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 301, no. 45.
- 816* M.A.C. II40051.
Handle (in relief) : ΕΡΜΙ Ἑρμι... ?
Staerman, 21 a.
- 817* M.A.C. ...
Neck (engraved) : ΕΥΤ ΜΟΣ Ἐτυμος
Staerman, 15—17, similar; *Canarache*, 453.
- 818* M.A.C. A 1006.
Neck (engraved) : ΕΥΠΟΡΟΣ cantharos Εὐπορος
ΛΥΣΙΟΣ Λύσιος
O. Tafrafi, « Arta și arheologia », I, 1, 1927, p. 38, no. 13.
- 819-M.A.C. II40897; II40962.
820
Neck (engraved) : [ΕΥ]ΦΡΑΙΟΣ Εὐφραῖος
[ΑΛ]ΦΙΤΑΣ Ἄλφιτας
- 821 M.A.C. II40963.
Neck (engraved) : ΕΥΦΡΑΙΟΣ Εὐφραῖος
.....

822 M.A.C. II41026.

Neck (engraved) : ELJIZI caduceus

823* M.A.C.

Neck (engraved) : HEA
MHNI

824* M.A.C. A.1001.

Neck (engraved) : ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΑ
ΕΠΙ ΛΥΚΩΝ

Ἡρακλείδα
ἐπὶ Λύκων

Pridik, p. 124, nos. 113—114; perhaps *Canarache*, 469.

825 M.A.C. II41029.

Neck (engraved) : ΗΡΑΚΛΕ
ΟΝΤΟΣ

Ἡρακλέοντος

826 M.A.C. II41024.

Neck (engraved) : HPA

Ἡρα...

827 M.A.C. II40899.

Neck (engraved) : ΗΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ
ΕΠΙ ΛΥΚΩ

Ἡρόδωρος
ἐπὶ Λύκω

Pridik, p. 124, nos. 117—118, similar.

828 M.A.C. II40361.

Neck (engraved) : ΗΡΟΤΙ
ΜΟΥΑΝ

Ἡροτίμου
'Αν....

Staerman, 79.

829* M.A.C.

Neck (engraved) : ΕΠΙ Κ.... caduceus

ἐπὶ Κ...

830* M.A.C. II41103.

Neck (engraved) : ΕΠΙ Κ[ΡΑΤΙ]
ΣΤΟΥ

ἐπὶ Κρατίστου

831 M.A.C. II41022.

Neck (engraved) : ΚΡΟΝ
ΣΜΙΑΜ club

832* M.A.C. A. 1002.

Neck (engraved) : ΛΥΣΙΟC crater

Λύσιος

833 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4650).

Handle (engraved) : ΛΕ

834*-M.A.C. II41021; II41096; II ...; II41027; (Mangalia, 838 1962, 4645).

Engraved : ΜΕΝΗ

Μένης

Canarache, 472; *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 80. The first three pieces are engraved on the handle, the last on the neck.

- 839* M.A.C. II41025.
Neck (engraved) : ΜΕΝΙΠΠΟΥ Μενίππου
- 840 M.A.C. II40895.
Neck (engraved) : ΜΙΚΟΣ
ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙ cantharos Μίκος
Ἡρακλείδης
- 841* M.A.C. A 1007.
Neck (engraved) : ΜΙΚΚΟ
ΛΥΣΙΟΣ Μίκκο(ς)
Λύσιος
- 842 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4648).
Neck (engraved) : ΜΙΚΘΕΠΙΥ
ΕΠΙ ΝΟΜΟ (retrograde)
- 843 M.A.C. II40135.
Neck (engraved) : ΜΙΚΙ
ΩΝΟΣ Μικίωνος
Pridik, p. 113, no. 285 ; *Bon*, 2264 ; *Staerman*, 84 a ;
Canarache, 473.
- 844 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4642).
Neck (engraved) : ΜΙΚΙΩΝΟΣ Μικίωνος
[Π|ΕΙΣ|ΙΣΤΡΑΤ|ΟΥ] Πεισιστράτου
- 845 M.A.C. II40048.
Neck (engraved) : ΝΙ
- 846* M.A.C. ...
Neck (engraved) : ΕΠΙ ΞΙ caduceus ἐπὶ Ξι...
- 847 M.A.C. II 40183.
Handle (engraved) : ΠΙΝ
ΤΟΥ Πίντου?
- 848 M.A.C. II41023.
Neck (engraved) : ΡΑΩΤΡΑ
- 849 M.A.C. II40898.
Neck (engraved) : ΣΑΤΥΡΙΚ[Υ]ΟΣ Σατυρίκιος
ΒΑΧΧΟ Βάχχος
- 850 M.A.C. II40403.
Neck (engraved) : [Σ]ΚΥΜΝΟ Σκύμνος
Staerman, 85.
- 851 M.A.C. II40121.
Neck (engraved) : ΣΩΣΤΡΑ Σωστρα...
- 852 M.A.C. II40195.
Handle (engraved) : ΤΕ
- 853 M.A.C. II40961.
Neck (engraved) : [ΦΙΛ]ΕΜΩΝ Φιλέμων
ΒΑΧΧΟΣ Βάχχος

854 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4649).

Neck (engraved) : ΦΙΛΟ
ΑΓΥ

855- M.A.C. II40955—II40957.

857

Neck (engraved) : ΦΛΑΝΩΤΡΑΙ
(circular)

858 M.A.C. II40965.

Neck (engraved) : ΑΡΟΚ
Λ

859 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4647).

Neck (engraved) : ...ΙΝΓΟ...
...ΛΡΟ...

860 M.A.C. II40970.

Handle (in relief) :
...ΛΑΔ...

861 M.A.C. II40964.

Neck (engraved) : ΝΑ...ΚΟ...

862 M.A.C. II40896.

Handle (engraved) : ΟΩΚΡΑ
ΤΗΣ (retrograde)

Σωκράτης

863- M.A.C. II40972 ; II40969.

864

Handle (engraved) : torn inscription.

865 M.A.C. II40959.

Neck (engraved) : cantharos.

866 M.A.C. II40967.

Neck (engraved) : palmette.

867 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4643).

Neck (engraved) : leaf.

868- M.A.C. II40971 ; II41028 ; II40118 ; II40190.

871

Neck (engraved) : illegible inscription.

872 M.A.C. II40035.

Neck (engraved) : indefinite symbol?

CHERSONESE

873 M.A.C. II40047.

ΑΘΑΝΑ[ΙΟΥ]
ΕΙΣΕΜΠΟΡΙΟΥ
Canarache, 484.

Ἀθανάτου
Εἰσεμπορίου

- 874 M.A.C. II40707.
[ΑΙΣΧ]ΙΝΑ
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
Pridik, p. 140, no. 2; *Canarache*, 485.
Αἰσχίνα
ἀστυνόμου
- 875- M.A.C. II40583; II40001; II40555.
877
ANTIBIΩN
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
Pridik, p. 103, no. 844, similar (Ἀντιβίωνος); *Canarache*, 486.
Ἀντιβίων
ἀστυνόμου
- 878- M.A.C. II40524; II40852; II40039.
880
ΑΠΟΛΛΩ[ΝΙΟΥ]
[ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
Pridik, p. 103, no. 846, similar (ἀστυνόμου).
Ἀπολλωνίου
ἀστυνομόντος
- 881- M.A.C. II40228; II40377; II40999.
883
[ΑΠΟΛΛ]ΩΝΙ[ΟΥ]
[ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥ
Pridik, p. 103, no. 846.
Ἀπολλωνίου
ἀστυνόμου
- 884*- M.A.C. II40631; II40531.
885
ΑΠ....
ΑΣΤΥ....
Ἀπ.....
ἀστυ.....
- 886- M.A.C. II40417; II40018.
887
ΔΑΜΟΤΕΛΕΥΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
Δαμοτέλευς
ἀστυνόμου
- 888 M.A.C. II40355.
ΕΥΚΛ[ΕΙΔΑΣ]
[ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
Pridik, p. 103, no. 849.
Εὐκλείδης
ἀστυνόμου
- 889 M.A.C. II40005.
ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΣ
Ἡρακλείδης
- 890- M.A.C. II40708; II40518.
891
ΗΡΑΚΛΕ[ΙΟΥ]
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
Ἡρακλείου
ἀστυνόμου
Perhaps *Pridik*, p. 140, no. 4. G. Cantacuzino, RHSEE, 1935, p. 310, no. XVII, fig. 17.

- 892 M.A.C. II40580.
 [HPA]ΚΛΕΙΟ[Υ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 Perhaps *Pridik*, p. 140, no. 4. G. Cantacuzino, RHSEE, 1935, p. 309—310, no. XVI, fig. 16 a, p. 309.
- 893 M.A.C. II40166.
 [HP]ΑΚΛΕΙΤΟ[Υ]
 [ΑΣ]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ[ΤΟΣ]
 Perhaps *Pridik*, p. 140, no. 4.
- 894 Severeanu Collection (I/25).
 [HP]ΑΚΛΕ....
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟ.... thyrsos
- 895 M.A.C. II40367.
 ΚΕΡΔΩ
 Κέρδων?
- 896- M.A.C. II40718; II40800; II40232; II40571; II40170.
 900
 ΝΑΝΩΝΟΣ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
Pridik, p. 140, no. 5; *Canarache*, 492.
- 901 M.A.C. II40237.
 ΠΑΣΙΩ[N]...
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟ....
 Πασίων...
 άστυνο....
- 902 M.A.C. II40019.
 ΠΟΛΥΣ[ΤΡΑΤΟΥ]
 ✠ ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 Πολυστράτου
 άστυνόμου
- 903 M.A.C. II40769.
 [ΠΟΛΥΣ]ΤΡΑ[ΤΟΥ]
 [ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟ[ΜΟΥ]
 Πολυστράτου
 άστυνόμου
- 904 M.A.C. II40315.
 Π...ΑΝ...ΟΥ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤ[ΟC]
 Π...αν...ου
 άστυνομοῦντος
- 905 M.A.C. II40559.
 ΣΩΚΡΙΤ[ΟΥ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥ]
 Perhaps *Pridik*, p. 140, no. 7.
 Σωκρίτου
 άστυνόμου
- 906 M.A.C. II40419.
 ΦΙΛΙΠ[ΠΟΥ]
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 Φιλίππου
 άστυνόμου
- 907 M.A.C. II40189.
ΕΝΙΟΥ
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜ]ΟΥΝΤΟΣ
 ...ενίου
 άστυνομοῦντος

- 908 M.A.C. II40291.
 ...ΛΣΙΟΙ
 [ΑCΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥΝΤΟC
 ...ΛΣΙΟΙ
 άcτυνομούντοc
- 909 M.A.C. II40799.
 ...ΛΙΡΙΟC
 [ΑCΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ...ΛΙΡΙΟC
 άcτυνόμου
- 910 M.A.C. II40652.
 ...ΚΟΥ
 [ΑCΤ]ΥΝΟΜ[ΟΥ]
 ...κου
 άcτυνόμου
- 911 M.A.C. II40722.
 ...ΣΙ...
 [Α]CΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥ]
 ...σι...
 άcτυνόμου
- 912 M.A.C. II40130.
 [ΑC]ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

 άcτυνόμου

- 913 M.A.C. II40278.

 [ΑCΤΥΝΟΜ]ΟΥΝΤΟC

 άcτυνομούντοc
- 914 M.A.C. II40229.
Σ
 ...Τ...
C
 ...Τ...
- 915 M.A.C. II40040.
Α
ΟΥ
α
ω

PAROS

- 916- M.A.C. II40210; II40033; II40663; II40658.
 919 ΠΑΡΙΟΝ
 Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 302, no. 51. Παρίον
- 920 M.A.C. II40472.
 [ΠΑΡΙ]ΟΙ Παρίον
- 921*- M.A.C. II41113; II41109; II40201; II40482; II40646.
 925 ΠΑΡΙΟΝ (retrograde) Παρίον
- 926 M.A.C. II40574.
 [Π]ΑΡΙΩΝ Παρίων
- 927 M.A.C. II40589.
 ΠΑΡΙΩ Παρίων
- 928- M.A.C. II40155; II40792; II41001.
 930 ΠΑΡ
- 931 M.A.C. II40285.
 ΠΑΡ (retrograde)

932- M.A.C. II40588 ; II40617 ; II40073 ; II40810 ; II40410 ;
937 II40366.

Α

938 M.A.C. II40789.

ΠΑΡΑ

Παρα?

CNIDUS

939 M.A.C. II40460.
ΑΡΙΣΤΟΔΙΚΟΥ

Ἀριστοδίκου

940 M.A.C. II40492.

ΑΡΙ
CTO

Ἀριστο...

941. M.A.C. II40439.

ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΣ
ΕΠΑΜΦΙ[ΛΟΣ]

Δημήτριος
Επάμφιλος

942 M.A.C. II40975.

ΕΠΙ Ε...
ΠΙ....
ΡΜ...

ἐπὶ Ε...
πι....
ρμ....

943. M.A.C. II40803.

ΕΠΙ ΕΥΦΡΑΓΟ
ΡΑ ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ Εὐφράγορα
Διονυσίου

944* M.A.C. II41149.

ΕΥ...
ΙΤΕ

945 M.A.C. II41009.

ΝΙΚΑΣΙΤΗΣ
stag head

Νικασίτης

946* M.A.C. II41106.

ΚΗΙΝΙΟΝ
ΥΠΙΣΤΟ
ΤΙC

947* M.A.C. II41100.

ΕΠΙΦΙΛΤΑ
ΝΙCΙΟ[Υ]

ἐπὶ Φίλτα
Νυσίου


948* M.A.C. II41147.


Φ...
ΑΓ... ΑΡΤCΑ
bucrane


949 M.A.C. II41148.

Rectangular torn stamp.

COS

- 950 M.A.C. II40830.
ABATI club 'Αβατι... ?
- 951* M.A.C. II41105.
ΑΠΟΛΛ>C? 'Απολλώνιος?
Staerman, 141? perhaps *Canarache*, 713.
- 952- M.A.C. II40594; II40598.
953
ΘΑ 
- 954 M.A.C. II40681.
IKEP
- 955 M.A.C. II40796.
IMI
AΘ
- 956 M.A.C. II40150.
KEPΔΩ[N] Κέρδων
Staerman, 171; *Canarache*, 716; Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu,
« Dacia », I, p. 150, no. 7.
- 957 M.A.C. II40759.


- 958 M.A.C. II40147.


- 959 M.A.C. II40420.
NIKON (retrograde) Νίκον
club
- 960 M.A.C. II41000.
Illegible,

VARIOUS, UNIDENTIFIED CENTRES

961 M.A.C. II40979.



A	P
M	E

Bon, 2155; *Staerman*, 247.

962 M.A.C. II40755.



$$\frac{A | P}{\Pi | X}$$
Bon, 2156 ; Staerman, 248.

963 M.A.C. II40704.



$$\frac{A | P}{X | E}$$

964 M.A.C. II40994.



$$\frac{\Delta | E}{I | I}$$

965 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4653).



$$\frac{\Delta | E}{X | I}$$

966 M.A.C. II40983.



$$\frac{E | M}{E | N}$$

967 M.A.C. II40727.



$$\frac{\Theta | E}{I | \Lambda}$$

968 M.A.C. II40992.



$$\frac{\Lambda | I}{I | X}$$

969 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4652).



$$\frac{N | I}{K | M}$$
Staerman, 254.

970 M.A.C. II40998.



$$\frac{\Pi | O}{\tau | \Xi}$$

971 M.A.C. II40981.



$$\frac{O | O}{\Pi | \chi}$$

Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V—VI, p. 302, no. 54

972 M.A.C. II40416.



$$\frac{\Pi | \epsilon}{\Phi | \iota}$$
Staerman, 251.

973 M.A.C. II40980.



$$\frac{\rho | \epsilon}{\Phi | \iota}$$

974 M.A.C. II40984.



$$\frac{\rho | O}{\rho | \epsilon}$$
Staerman, 244.

975 M.A.C. II40108.



$$\frac{\Sigma | \epsilon}{\Phi | \iota}$$

976 M.A.C. II40746.



$$\frac{\Sigma | \kappa}{\mu | \epsilon}$$
Bon, 2176; Canarache, 807.

977-M.A.C. II40982; II40500; II40990; II40076.

980



$$\frac{\Phi | |}{M | E}$$

Bon, 2170, similar; *Staerman*, 250.

981 M.A.C. A. 1011.



$$\frac{? | ?}{? | E}$$


982 M.A.C. II41019.



$$\frac{\Phi | |}{M | E} ?$$

Perhaps *Bon*, 2170.

983 M.A.C. II40986.



$$\frac{X | \Lambda}{\pi | \pi} ?$$

984 M.A.C. II40151.



$$M \begin{matrix} E \\ \Delta \end{matrix}$$

Bon, 2147; *Staerman*, 256.

985 M.A.C. II41108.



$$\pi X \begin{matrix} M \\ E \end{matrix}$$

Bon, 2149; *Staerman*, 255–257; *Gramatopol-Poenaru*, 92.

986 M.A.C. II40457.



$$H | | ?$$

987 M.A.C. II40740.



$$\frac{Z H}{\Sigma \Omega}$$

988 M.A.C. II40216.



$$\begin{array}{c|c} N H & H Z \\ M & \\ \Omega & \end{array}$$

989 M.A.C. II40989.



Z Π X Σ?

990 M.A.C. II40988.



991 M.A.C. II40198.



$$\begin{array}{c} X H \\ \Pi \Pi \end{array}$$

992 M.A.C. II40987.



Δ A E?

993 M.A.C. A. 1010.



A Δ E O Y ?

994 M.A.C.



K Ψ I?

995 M.A.C. II40991.



Ψ Λ Υ

996 M.A.C. II41112.



997-M.A.C. II40098 ; II40787.
998



999 M.A.C. II40336.



1000 M.A.C. II40513.



1001 M.A.C. II40087.



1002 M.A.C. II40202.



1003 M.A.C. II40243.



1004-M.A.C. II40125 ; II40569.
1005



Canarache, 831, similar.

1006* M.A.C....



1007 M.A.C. II41020.




1008 M.A.C. II40752.



Bon, 2169.

1009 M.A.C. II40997.




Bon, 2198.

1010 M.A.C. II40985.



1011 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 466i).



1012 M.A.C. ...

Illegible.

1013 M.A.C. ...

Illegible

1014 M.A.C....

Engraved circle.

Bon, 2243.

1015 M.A.C. II41114.



1016 M.A.C. II40351.



1017 M.A.C. II41104.



1018 M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4651).



(retrograde)


1019 M.A.C. II41107.



1020 M.A.C. II41110.



1021 M.A.C. II40564.



1022 M.A.C. II40097.



Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, « Dacia », V–VI, p. 302, no. 53.

1023 M.A.C. . . .

KE

1024 M.A.C. . . .



1025 M.A.C. II41111.



1026 M.A.C. II40053.

A

Bon, 2207.

1027 M.A.C. II40136.

A

1028 M.A.C. II40993.



ΔΔΚ?

1029 M.A.C. II40480.



MOII

1030 M.A.C. II40694.



MHP

1031 M.A.C. II40003.

AI

PAI

1032 M.A.C. II40660.



PAT

1033 M.A.C. II40412.



1034 M.A.C. II40021.



PAY?

1035 M.A.C. A. 1014.



1036 M.A.C. II40217.



1037 M.A.C. II40082.



1038 M.A.C. II40826.



1039 M.A.C. II40406.

*Bon*, 2203, similar.

1040 M.A.C. A. 1018.



1041 M.A.C. II40407.

*Bon*, 2212, similar.

1042. M.A.C. II40516.



O. Tafrali, « Arta și arheologia », 9—10, 1933—1934, p. 7,
no. 6.

1043 M.A.C. II40719.

E

Bon, 2258.

1044 M.A.C. II40968.

N

1045 M.A.C. II40651.

NI

1046 M.A.C. II40786.

Z

1047 M.A.C. II40733.

I
H

1048 M.A.C. II40517.

EPACION (retrograde)

1049-M.A.C. II40720 ; II40716 ; II40551 ; II40521 ; II40069
1053

EPA

Canarache, 835.

1054-M.A.C. II40295 ; II40094.
1055

E P

1056 M.A.C. II40282.

ΑΔ

1057 M.A.C. II40173.

A I A

1058 M.A.C. II40750.

AI
ΠIA

1059 M.A.C. II40876.

AKIMO[Σ] ivy leaf

*Αχιμος

1060 M.A.C. II40400.

A P I C

*Αρισ....

1061 M.A.C. II40236.

APICTA ...

*Αριστα....

- 1062 M.A.C. II40269.
API
C T O
Ἄριστο. . . .
- 1063 M.A.C. II40494.
ΑΡΙΣΤΟΔΙΚΟΥ
Ἄριστοδίκου
- 1064 M.A.C. II40364.
API
ΦΑΝ amphora
Ἄριφαν. . . .
- 1065-M.A.C. II40742 ; II40644.
1066
ΒΟΣΠΟΡΙΧΟΥ (retrograde)
Βοσπορίχου
- 1067 M.A.C. II40261.
ΔΗ
Δημο. . . .
- 1068 M.A.C. II40231.
ΔΗΜΟ. . . .
Δημο. . . .
- 1069 M.A.C. II40683.
...ΔΟΤΟΣ
. . . .δοτος
- 1070 M.A.C. II40405.
ΕΛΣΕ
ΡΟΣ
- 1071 M.A.C. II40205.
ΕΡΜΟ. . . .
- 1072 M.A.C. II40525.
ΕΥΚ
Α
ΛΕΩΝ
Εὐκλέων
- 1073 M.A.C. II40806.
ΖΗΝΟ
ΚΡΑΤΙ . . .
Ζηνοκράτ(ης) ?
- 1074 M.A.C. II40995.
ΖΗ Ν Ο
ΚΡΑΤΙ
Ζηνοκράτ(ης) ?
- 1075* M.A.C. . . .
ΖΩΠΥ
Ζωπυ. . . .
- 1076 M.A.C. II40381.
ΗΜΗΑ
ΙΠΟ
ΙΑ
- 1077 M.A.C. II40797.
ΗΤΕΜ
- 1078 M.A.C. II40549.
ΘΞΥΔΩ[ΡΟΥ]
ΘΕΜΙC[ΩΝΟC]
Pridik, p. 112, no. 251.
Θεωδώρου
Θεμισωνος
- 1079 M.A.C. II40495.
ΚΥΡΗΝΑΙΟΥ
Κυρηναίου

- 1080 M.A.C. II40563.
ΛΗΠΟΦ
- 1081 M.A.C. II40637.
ΜΙΓ
Σ.....
- 1082 M.A.C. II40828.
ΟΛΛΟΠΑ (retrograde?)
ΔΩΡΟΥ *Απολλοδώρου †
- 1083-M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4658, 4659).
1084
ΠΑΣΙ|ΚΡΑ[ΤΗΣ] prow Πασικράτης
Pridik, p. 117, no. 405—408 (Cretan center).
- 1085* M.A.C. A. 1017.
ΠΕΙ Πεισία
ΣΙΑ
- 1086 M.A.C. II40209.
ΠΚΑ
- 1087 M.A.C. II40778.
ΠΟΥ
- 1088 M.A.C. II41101.
ΠΥΘΟ Πυθο...
- 1089 M.A.C. II41143.
ΣΑΗΣΔΑ †
- 1090 M.A.C. II40670.
ΣΙΜΙΑ Σιμία
- 1091 M.A.C. II40622.
Τ Α Ο
- 1092 M.A.C. II40548.
ΤΕΙ Τειχέως
ΧΕΩΣ
- 1093 M.A.C. II40771.
ΦΑ
Ε
- 1094-M.A.C. II40884.
1095
ΦΙΛΤΑ[ΤΟΥ] prow Φιλτάτου
Pridik, p. 117, no. 413 (Cretan center).
- 1096 M.A.C. II40889.
ΦΙΛΩΝ[Ο]ΣΑΣ... Bunch of grapes Φίλωνος άσ(τυνόμου)
ΠΟΣΕΙΔΩΝ... and catharos Ποσειδών(ιος)
- 1097 M.A.C. II40674.
...ΩΜΜΟΥΡΙ
- 1098 M.A.C. II40119.
.....
...ΑΣΙΑ....

1099* M.A.C....
 ...ΚΙΑΘΝΙΚΟΥ
 ΖΣ
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
 ...κιανίκου
 ΖΣ
 Διονυσίου

1100 M.A.C. ...
ΜΟΝ
μον

1101 M.A.C....
 ...ΝΙΟΥ
 ...νίου

1102 M.A.C. II41005.

 ΟΥ

 ου

1103* M.A.C. A. 1019.
 anepigraphic
 bird to right
Canarache, 793 (Abydos).

1104 M.A.C. II40496.

ΑΛΔ
 ΠΑΧΟ


1105 M.A.C. ...

ΔΣρ

1106 M.A.C. II40486.
 ΝΥΑΔΟ indefinite symbol
 ΣΙΩ

PITHOI

1107* M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4663).

On the rim :
 (carved) 

1108* M.A.C. (Mangalia, 1962, 4662).

On the rim : Η-ΔΙΟ
 (carved)

TILES

1109-M.A.C. II41080 ; II41033.

1110*
 Engraved : ΕΥΙΑ
 ΜΩΝ

Εὐπάμων

1111* M.A.C. II41081.
 Monogram AX?

- 1112* M.A.C. 41045.
 ΦΙΛΟΝΙ... Φιλωνι...
 ΑΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥ] ἀστυνόμου
 ΝΕΥΜΗ[ΝΙΟΣ] Νευμήνιος
 Sinopean origin.
- 1113* M.A.C. II41046.
 ...ΑΛΙΚΟΥ ...αλίκου
 [ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟ bunch of grapes ἀστυνό
 ΝΟΥΜΗΝΙ on vine Νουμηνη...
 Sinopean origin.



SOUTH DOBRUDJA

COSTINEȘTI

THASOS

- 1114* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΘΑΣΙΩΝ
 flower
 ΑΡΙΣΤΟΔΙ/ΚΟΣ
Bon, 314; Canarache, 5. Θασίων
 Ἀριστόδικος
- 1115* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ...ΙΑ (reversed)
 (retrograde)
 vessel
 ΘΑΣ[ΙΩΝ] ...ια
 Θασίων
- 1116* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ...ΝΤ (reversed)
 (retrograde)
 crescent
 ΘΑCΙΩΝ
Bon, 1906, similar. ...ντ
 Θασίων

SINOPE

- 1117* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [Α]ΙΣΧ[ΙΝ]ΟΥ
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟ (retrograde)
 ΓΟΛΑΤΟΣ amphora
Grakov, ast., p. 113, no. 1; prod., p. 117, no. 9 (first group). Αἰσχίνου
 ἀστυνό
 Γόλατος
- 1118* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤ[ΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΕΥΧΑΡ[ΙΣΤΟΥ]
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣ[ΘΕΝΗΣ]
Grakov, ast., p. 124, no. 12; prod., p. 128, no. 23 (second group), Canarache, 263. ἀστυνόμου
 Εὐχαρίστου
 Καλλιθενής

- 1119* Gellu Naum Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟ[Σ]
 ΠΥΘΟΚΛΗΣ
 ΤΟΥ ΑΠΟΛΛΟΝ[ΙΔΟΥ] torn symbol
 ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙ[ΟΣ]
Grakov (third group), ast., p. 134, no. 27 (without patronymic); prod., specified only for the groups IV, V and VI.
- 1120* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤΥ]ΝΟΜΟΥ
 [ΑΝΤΙΜ]ΑΧΟΥ
 [ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕ]ΝΗΣ
 cornucopia
Grakov (fourth group), ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140, no. 17; *Canarache*, 224.
- 1121* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝ[ΟΜΟΥΝ]
 ΤΟ[Σ]ΙΦΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ
 [ΕΣΤΙΑ]ΙΟΥ bunch of grapes
 ...ΣΤΙ. . . on vine
Grakov (fifth group), ast. specified in the index for the groups V or VI; Th. Sauciuc-Săveanu, «Dacia», V—VI, p. 254, no. 12.
- 1122* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [Α]ΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ[ΤΟΣ]
 ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ΕΣΤΙΑΙΟΥ prow
 ΑΝ[ΘΕ]ΣΤ[ΗΡΙΟΣ]
Grakov (fifth group), ast., p. 144, no. 15; prod., p. 145 no. 4.
- 1123* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΠΑΣΙΧΑΡΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 ΔΗΜ[Η]ΤΡΙΟΥ
 ΜΕΝ[Α]Ν[ΔΡΟΣ] torn symbol
Grakov, (fifth group), ast., p. 144, no. 21; prod., p. 145, no. 41.
- 1124* Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΠΟΣΙΔΕΟΥ ΤΟΥ]
 [ΘΕΑΡΙΩ]ΝΟΣ Fortuna? with
 [ΔΩΡΟΣ] cornucopia
Grakov (fifth group), ast., p. 144, no. 23 (Ποσιδέιως); prod., p. 145, no. 15.
- 1125* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΠΥΘΟΧΡΗΣ[ΤΟΥ]
 ΤΟΥ ΑΠΟΛΛΟΝΙΔΟΥ
 ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙΟΣ torn symbol
Grakov (fifth group), ast., p. 144, no. 25 (Πυθόχρηστος ὁ Ἀπολλωνίδου) prod., p. 145, no. 5; *Canarache*, 333.

ἀστυνόμος
 Πυθοκλῆς
 τοῦ Ἀπολλωνόδου
 Ἀπατεύριος

ἀστυνόμου
 Ἀντιμάχου
 Καλλισθένης

ἀστυνομοῦντος
 Ἴφιους τοῦ
 Ἐστιαίου
 ...στι...

ἀστυνομοῦντος
 Καλλισθένου τοῦ
 Ἐστιαίου
 Ἀνθεστήριος

ἀστυνόμου
 Πασιχάρου τοῦ
 Δημητρίου
 Μένανδρος

ἀστυνόμου
 Ποσιδέου τοῦ
 Θεαρίωνος
 Δῶρος

ἀστυνόμου
 Πυθοχρήστου
 τοῦ Ἀπολλωνίδου
 Ἀπατούριος

- 1126* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ...
 ΑΙΣΧΡΙΟΝΟ[Σ]
 ΑΡΤΕΜ[ΙΔΩΡΟΥ] torn symbol
Grakov (sixth group), ast., p. 148, no. 2; *Canarache*, 217,
 similar (prod. Βάτραχος).
 άστυνόμου
 Αισχρίωνος
 Ἄρτεμιδώρου
- 1127* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤ]ΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ
 [ΤΟΣ]ΑΙΣΧΡΙΩΝΟΣΤ
 [ΟΥ]ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΩΡΟΥ
Σ head to right
Grakov (sixth group), ast., p. 148, no. 2; *Canarache*, 217,
 similar (without symbol, prod., Βάτραχος).
 άστυνομούντος
 Αισχρίωνος
 τοῦ Ἄρτεμιδώρου
 ς
- 1128* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΔΕΛΦΙΝΙΟΥ
 ΤΟΥ ΚΑΛΙΟΥ
 ΕΥΚΛΗΣ ear to right
Grakov (sixth group), ast., p. 149, no. 7; prod., p. 150,
 no. 28.
 άστυνόμου
 Δελφινίου
 τοῦ Καλίου
 Εὐκλής
- 1129* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΔΙ]ΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ [ΤΟΥ]
 ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ
 [ΚΛ]ΕΙΤΑΓΟΡΟΥ torn symbol
Grakov (sixth group), ast., p. 149, no. 9; *Canarache*, 253.
 άστυνόμου
 Διονυσίου τοῦ
 Διονυσίου τοῦ
 Κλειταγόρου
- 1130* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
 ΖΗΝΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ
 ΑΠΟΛΛΟΔΩΡΟ[Υ]
 ΚΤΗΣΩΝ trophy
Grakov, (sixth group), ast., p. 148, no. 12; prod., p. 150,
 no. 40.
 άστυνόμου
 Ζήνιος τοῦ
 Ἄπολλοδώρου
 Κτήσων
- 1131* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΖΗΝ]ΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ
 [ΑΠΟΛΛ]ΟΔΩΡΟΥ
ΤΟΣ trophy
Grakov (sixth group), ast., p. 149, no. 12.
 άστυνόμου
 Ζήνιος τοῦ
 Ἄπολλοδώρου
 ...τος.....
- 1132* Gellu Naum Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 [ΙΚΕΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ]
 ΕΤΕΟΝΙΚΟΥ
 ΤΙΜΕΑΣ rider to left
Grakov (sixth group), ast., p. 149, no. 21; prod. not specified.
 άστυνόμου
 Ἴκεσίου τοῦ
 Ετεονίκου
 Τιμέας
- 1133* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣ[ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ]
 ΝΑ.....
 Α.....torn symbol
 ΣΙΜΑΛΙΩ[N]
 άστυνόμου
 Να....
 Ἄ.....
 Σιμαλίων

- 1134* Gellu Naum Collection, Bucharest.
 A..... 'A....
 ...ΣΙΟΣ ΤΟΥ bunch ofσιος του
 A...ΤΙΟΥ grapes on vine 'A...τίου
- 1135* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝΤΟ[Σ] άστυνομούντος
 A..... 'A....
 A..... torn symbol 'A....
- 1136* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
ΣΤΟΥ .. .σου
 [Ε]ΣΤΙΑΙΟ[Υ] indefinite symbol 'Εστιαίου
- 1137* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 [ΑΣΤΥΝΟ]ΜΟΥ άστυνόμου
ΟΥου
ΝΟΣνος
Σ Theos Megas?ς
- 1138* Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.
 ΙΘΕ....
 ΡΑΜ....

RHODES

EPONYMS

- 1139*-Gellu Naum Collection, Bucharest; Slobozeanu Collec-
 1140 tion, Bucharest.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΑΓΕ
 ΜΑΧΟΥ επί 'Αγεμάχου
Pridik, p. 1, no. 3, similar (Helios ΕΠΙ ΑΓΕ); *Grace*,
 ΜΑΧΟΥ) ; *Grace*,
Hesperia, 1 (H. 2; N. 8); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 220—180 B.C.
- 1141* Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.
 ΕΠΙ ΘΕ[Υ]
 Rectangular: ΔωΡ[ΟΥ] επί Θεωδώρου
 ΥΑΚΙΝΘΙΟΥ 'Υακινθίου
Gaertringen, 160; *B.C.U.*, 1952, 280—220 B.C.
- 1142* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 Rectangular: ΘΟΔωΡ
 ΙΒΙΟΥ?
- 1143* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.
 Rectangular: ΕΠΙ ΛΕΟΝ[ΤΙΔΑ] επί Λεοντίδα
 ΑΠΟΛΛΟ[ΔΩΡΟΥ] 'Απολλοδώρου
Pridik, p. 13, no. 251, similar; *Grace*, *Hesperia*, 114 (H. 199;
 N. 285); *B.C.H.*, 1952, second half of the second century
 B.C.

1144* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ΕΠΙ ΞΕΝΟΦΑΝ
ΤΟΥ
ΥΑΚΙΝΘΙΟΥ

ἐπὶ Ξενοφάντου
Υακινθίου

Pridik, p. 14, no. 285, similar (Πανάμου); *Grace, Hesperia*,
127 (H. 223; N. 341); *B.C.H.*, 1952, 180—150 B.C.

PRODUCERS

1145* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ΑΓΑΘΟΚΛΕΥΣ

Ἄγαθοκλεῦς

Pridik, p. 21, nos. 423—426.

1146* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ΔΡΑΚΟΝΤ...

Δρακοντ

Pridik, p. 25, nos. 601 (Δρακοντίδα, caduceus); *B.C.H.*, 1952,
p. 526.

1147* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : I M A caduceus

Ἴμα

Pridik, p. 28, no. 669; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527; Marie-Therèse
Lenger, *Les timbres amphoriques trouvés à Argos*, in *B.C.H.*
1955, p. 488, dates it between 180—150 B.C.

1148* Slobozeanu Collection Bucharest.

Rectangular : I M A caduceus

Ἴμα

Pridik p. 28, no. 672; dated as no. 1147.

1149* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : [I]ΣΙΔΩΡΟΥ flower

Ἴσιδώρου

Pridik, p. 28, nos. 681—682; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527.

1150* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ΝΥΣΙΟΥ statue

Νυσίου

Pridik, p. 31, nos. 781—783, similar; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 527.

1151* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ΣΩΚΡΑΤΕΥΣ censor

Σωκράτεϋς

Pridik, p. 33, nos. 823—827; *B.C.H.*, 1952, p. 528.

1152* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ...ΑΡΧΟΥ

...αρχου

1153* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : [ΤΙ]ΜΑΡΧΟΥ

Τιμάρχου

1154* Gellu Naum Collection, Bucharest.

Rectangular : ΣΚΟ

.... ?

1155* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Circular : ...ΟΓ...

(flower)

...ογ...

HERAGLEA PONTICA

1156* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Handle (engraved) : ΑΝΔΡΟΥ

Ἄνδρου

1157* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Handle (engraved) : ΑΡΙΣΤΟ

ΚΡΑΤΗ[Σ]

Ἀριστοκράτης

Canavale, 460.

1158* Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.

Handle (engraved) : ΑΡΙΣΤΟ
[ΚΡ]ΑΤΕΟ

Ἀριστοκράτεος

1159* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

Handle (engraved) : ΦΙΛΟ
ΤΙΜΟΥ

Φιλοτίμου

1160* Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.

Neck (engraved) : ΦΙΛΟ
[Τ]ΙΜΟΥ

Φιλοτίμου

1161* Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.

Handle (engraved) : ...ΙΣ
...ΤΕΟ ?

...ις

...τεο ?

CHEIKSONESE

1162* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

[ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙ]ΔΑ ΤΟΥ ΑΠΟΛ
[ΛΩΝΙΟΥ ΑΣΤΥΝ]ΟΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ

Ἀπολλωνίδα τοῦ

Ἀπολλωνίου ἀστυνομοῦντος

VARIOUS, UNIDENTIFIED CENTERS

1163 Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.



Bon, 2170 ; *Stazman*, 250.

Φ | Ι
—|—
Μ | Ε ?

1164 Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.



1165 Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.



1166 Grigore Ghyka Collection, Bucharest.



1167* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

ΑΓΑΘΩΝ

Pridik, p. 141, no. 12.

Ἀγάθων

1168* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

...ΙΤΙΟΣ

...ιτιος

1169* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

...ΥΡΣΙΑΕ

ΜΑΝΟΥ

1170* Slobozeanu Collection, Bucharest.

WA

ΥΘ

DULCFΣΠ

THASOS

1171* M.A.C. II41138.

anepigraphic

bunch of grapes in an oval stamp

HERACLEA PONTICA

1172* M.A.C. II41095.

Neck (engraved):Λ
 ΙΚΚΟΣ vessel

.....λ...
 Ἰ(Μ)ΙΚΚΟΣ

23 AUGUST

SINOPE

1173* George Buzdugan Collection, Bucharest.

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ

ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ

ΝΙΚΙΑΣ cornucopia

ἀστυνόμου
 Ἀντιμάχου
 Νικίας

Grakou, (fourth group), ast., p. 138, no. 4; prod., p. 140,
 no. 29.

PRODUCERS

1174* George Buzdugan Collection, Bucharest.

ANTIMAXOY

caduceus

Ἀντιμάχου

Grakov, (second group), p. 128, no. 1.

MOŞNENI

RHODES

PRODUCERS

1175* M.A.C. II41087.

Rectangular : ΑΓΑΘΟΚΛΕ[ΥΣ]

Ἀγαθοκλεῦς

Pridik, p. 21, nos. 423—426.

1176* M.A.C. II41102.

Rectangular : [Δ]ΠΑΚ
ωΝ (retrograde)

Δράκων

1177* M.A.C. II41090.

Rectangular : ΠΑΝΑΜΟΥ
ΔΕΥΤΕΡΟΥ
ΜΑ[ΡΣ]ΥΑ

Πανάμου δευτέρου
Μαρσύα

Pridik, p. 30, nos. 727—728, similar (Πανάμου); *B.C.H.*,
1952, p. 527.

ALBEŞTI

RHODES

1178* M.A.C. II41126.

Rectangular : ΜΟΤΟΥ
ΑΤ

ARSA

HERACLEA PONTICA

1179* M.A.C. II41097.

Handle (engraved) : ΠΑΙ ΠΙ
ΦΑΝΑ

INDEX OF NAMES

A

- 'Αβατι... ,
'Αγαθοκλεῦς,
'Αγάθων,

'Αγέμαχος,
'Αγήμων,
'Αγριος,
'Αθαναῖος,
Αἰνέας,
Αἴνιπος,
Αἰσχίνης,
Αἰσχίνας ὁ Ἴφιριος,
Αἰσχρίων,
Αἰσχρίων ὁ Ἀρτεμιδώρου,
'Ακιμος,
'Αλφειτας,
'Αμφίτων,
'Ανάξανδρος,
'Ανδρία,
'Ανδρος,
'Ανθεστήριος,
'Αντιβίων,
'Αντίμαχος,
'Αντίπατρος,
'Αντίπατρος ὁ Νίκωνος,
'Αντίφιλος,
'Απατούριος,

'Απήμαντος,
'Απολλ... ,
'Απολλόδαρος,

'Απολλόδαρος ὁ Διονυσίου,
'Απολλωνίδης,

'Απολλόνιος,
'Απολλω... ,
'Απολλωνίδης,
'Απολλωνίδας ὁ Απολλωνίου,
'Απολλωνίδης ὁ Ποσειδωνίου,
'Απολλώνιος,

'Απολλώνιος ὁ Ἴστρωνος,
'Αρατοφάνευσ,
'Αραψ,
'Αριστ... ,
'Αριστα... ,
'Αρισταγ... ,
'Αρίστακος,
'Αρίσταρκος,

C., 950.
RH., prod. 1145, 1175.
S., prod. 306, 374, 396, 588, 592, 600--601 ;
RH., prod. 742--743 ; V., 1167.
RH., ep. 696, 1139--1140.
RH., ep. 697, prod. 745.
RH., ep. 698--700.
CH., 873.
TH., 1--3 ; RH., ep. 701.
S., ast. 341.
S., ast. 342--371, 1117 ; CH., 874.
S., ast. 372, prod. 448.
TH., 4.
S., ast. 1126--1127.
V., 1059.
H., 819--820.
H., 798.
RH., ep. 702.
RH., ep. 704.
H., 1156.
S., prod. 1122.
CH., 875--877.
S., ast. 373--395, 1120, 1173, prod. 1174.
S., ast. 517--518.
S., ast. 519--524.
H., 799--803.
S., ast. 327--323, prod., 301, 552, 577, 1119,
1125.
S., patr. of Διονύσιος, 581.
TH., 5.
S., ast. 301--302, prod. 593, patr. of Ζῆνις,
532, 1131 ; RH., patr. of Αεοντίδας, 1143 ; V.1082 ?
S., ast. 525--523.
S., patr. of Πυθακλῆς, 1119, patr. of Πυθόχρηστος,
1125.
RH., prod. 746.
TH., 221.
S., patr. of Πυθόχρηστος, 577--578.
CH., 1162.
S., ast. 579--580.
S., prod. 323, 448, 484, 590 ; H., 804 ; CH,
878--883, patr. of Απολλωνίδας, 1162 ; C., 951.
S., ast. 303.
RH., ep. 705.
S., prod. 344--345, 375--376, 425, 602, 644.
TH., 223 ; S., patr. of Μητριδάτης, 503.
V., 1061.
RH., ep. 706.
RH., ep. 707.
TH., 6.

'Αρίσταρχος,	RH., prod. 747.
'Αριστεΐδας,	RH., ep. 708—709.
'Αριστεΐδης,	TH., 7—8; S., ast. 682.
'Αρίστιπος,	S., patr. of an unknown ast. 640.
'Αριστίων,	S., ast. 393—402; RH., prod. 748.
'Αριστο . . .,	TH., 15; RH., prod. 749.
'Αριστόδαμος,	RH., ep. 710.
'Αριστόδικος,	TH., 9—14, 1114; CN., 939; V., 1063.
'Αριστοκράτης,	H., 808.
'Αριστοκράτης,	H., 805—807, 1157, 1158.
'Αρ:στόνικος,	RH., ep. 711.
'Αριστος,	TH., 16—17; RH., prod. 749.
'Αριστοφάνης,	TH., 18—26.
'Αριστοφῶν,	TH., 27—29.
'Αρίστων,	H., 809.
'Αρίστως,	H., 810.
'Αριφαν . . . ,	V., 1034.
'Αρκτάεος	S., patr. of Μητρόδωρος,, 504.
'Αρμία,	RH., ep. 713.
Αρμόσιλα,	RH., ep. 712.
'Αρτεμίδωρος,	S., ast. 403—419, patr. of Αἰσχρίων, 1126—1127.
'Αρτέμιων,	S., prod. 530.
'Αρχεμάχων,	TH., 30.
'Αρχέστρατος,	TH., 31.
'Αρῆναξ,	TH., 32—33.
"Αρχις,	S., prod. 533.
"Ασπων,	S., ast. 420.
'Αστῖος,	S., ast. 636 ('Αστεῖος?).
"Ατταλης,	S., ast. 421—424.
Αὐτογράτης,	RH., ep. 714.
"Αψογος,	H., 811.

B

Βακίδης,	H., 812.
Βάκχιος,	S., prod. 450, patr. of 'Ικέσιος, 557.
Βάκχος,	H., 853.
Βάχχιος,	H., 849.
Βίων,	TH., 34—38.
Βοΐσκος,	RH., prod. 750—752.
Βοσπόριχος,	V., 1065—1066.
Βότακος,	H., 813.
Βόταχος,	H., 814.
Βοῦδα or Ροῦλα,	RH., prod. 753.

Γ

Γέρων,	S., prod. 332.
Γλαυκίας,	S., prod. 325, 335, 409, 426.
Γόλας,	S., prod. 1117.

Δ

- Δαήμων,
 Δαίσκος,
 Δαμόνικος,
 Δαμοτέλης,
 Δᾶς,
 Δέαλος,
 Δελφίνιος ὁ Καλίου,
 ἸΔηξίτανα,
 Δημάλης,
 Δημήτριος,

 Δημήτριος ὁ Ἡροξένου,
 Δημήτριος ὁ Θευγνήτου,
 Δίας,
 Διονύσιος,

 Διονύσιος ὁ...
 Διονύσιος ὁ Ἄπημάντου,
 Διονύσιος ὁ Δημητρίου,
 Διονύσιος ὁ Διονυσίου ὁ Κλειταγόρου,
 Δῖος,

 Δρακοντ...
 Δράκων,
 Δωρόθεος,
 Δῶρος,
- RH., prod. 754.
 S., patr. of Πόσις, 595.
 RH., ep. 715.
 CH., 886—887.
 S., prod. 485, 510, 558.
 TH., 39—43.
 S., ast. 1128.
 RH., ep. 716.
 TH., 44—54.
 S., ast. 425—438, 642, 677, prod. 346—347,
 589, 603—605, patr. of Διονύσιος, 531—540, patr.
 of Εὐχάριστος, 329—331, patr. of Πασίχαρος,
 567—569, 1123, patr. of Πολύκτωρ, 570; RH.,
 prod. 728—729;
 CN., 941.
 S., ast. 529—530.
 S., ast. 439—445.
 S., prod. 408.
 S., ast. 446—462, prod. 585, patr. of Ἄπολλο-
 δωρος 525—528, patr. of Διονύσιος, 1129, patr.
 of Εὐχάριστος, 321, patr. of the prod. Νομήνιος,
 623; CN., patr. of Εὐφραγόρας, 943; V., 1100.
 S., ast. 632, 663.
 S., ast. 581.
 S., ast. 531—540.
 S., ast. 1129.
 S., prod. 307, 372, 377—378, 495, 500, 517,
 657; RH., prod. 756.
 RH., prod. 1146.
 RH., prod. 1176.
 RH., prod. 757.
 S., prod., 571; V., 1082?

E

- Εἰρηναῖος,
 Ἑκαταῖος,

 Ἑκαταῖος ὁ Λαμάχου,
 Ἑκατίσταρχος,
 Ἑλλάνικος,
 Ἐπάμφιλος,
 Ἐπίδημος,
 Ἐπίελλπος,
 Ἐπίχαρμος,
 Ἑρμαῖος,
 Ἑρμι...
 Ἑρμων,
 Ἑστιαῖος,
- H., 815.
 S., ast. 463—475, 541—544, patr. of Ἡρακλείδης,
 583.
 S., ast. 545—551.
 S., ast. 476.
 RH., prod. 758.
 CN., 941.
 S., ast. 304, patr. of Λεωμέδων, 590—591.
 S., ast. 477—480.
 RH., ep. 717.
 S., prod. 439—440.
 H., 816.
 S., prod. 379, 586—587, 606; RH., prod. 759,
 S., ast. 481—482, 552—553, prod. 348, 451—
 —452, 477, patr. of an unknown ast. 633—
 —634, 1136, patr. of Ἡρακλείδης, 583, patr.
 of Ἰκέσιος 585—587, patr. of Ἴφης, 1121, patr.
 of Καλλισθένης, 1122.

Ἐστιαῖος ὁ Θρασιθίκου,	S., ast. 482.
Ἐτεόνικος,	S., patr. of Ἰκέσιος, 588, 1132.
Ἐτυμος,	H., 817.
Εὐαγόρας,	TH., 55—57.
Εὐάνωρ,	RH., ep. 718.
Εὐκλείδας,	CH., 888.
Εὐκλέων,	V., 1072.
Εὔμαχος,	S., prod. 641.
Εὐπάμων,	Tiles, 1110.
Εὐπορος,	H., 818.
Εὔφον,	RH., prod. 760.
Εὐφραγόρας ὁ Διονυσίου,	CN., 943.
Εὐφραῖος,	H., 819—821.
Εὐχάριστος,	S., ast. 305—320, 1118.
Εὐχάριστος ὁ Δημητρίου,	S., ast. 329—331.
Εὐχάριστος ὁ Διονυσίου,	S., ast. 321.
Εὐχάριστος ὁ Καλλισθένου,	S., ast. 554—555.

Z

Ζῆνις ὁ Ἀπολλοδώρου,	S., ast. 582, 1131.
Ζηνοκράτης,	V., 1073—1074.
Ζήνων,	RH., prod. 762.
Ζωπυ . . . ,	V., 1075.
Ζωπυριών,	S., ast. 322.

H

Ἡγησίπολις,	TH., 58.
Ἡγήσιππος,	TH., 59.
Ἡαρ . . . ,	H., 826.
Ἡραγόρας,	S., prod. 645 ; RH., prod. 736.
Ἡρακλ.ε . . . ,	CH., 894.
Ἡρακλείδας,	H., 824.
Ἡρακλείδης,	TH., 60 ; S., prod. 308, 349—352, 380—383, 421, 488—490, 511, 557, 607—609 ; H., 840 ; CH., 889.
Ἡρακλείδης ὁ Ἐκαταίου,	S., ast. 583.
Ἡρακλείδης ὁ Μικρίου,	S., ast. 556.
Ἡράκλειος,	CH., 890—892.
Ἡράκλειτος,	TH., 61 ; S., prod. 353 ; CH., 893.
Ἡρακλέων,	H., 825.
Ἡρόδωρος,	H., 827.
Ἡρότιμος,	H., 828.
Ἡρόξενος	S., patr. of Δημήτριος, 529—530.
Ἡροφῶν,	TH., 62—64.
Ἡρώνυμος ὁ Ποσειδωνίου,	S., ast. 584.
Ἡστίων,	S., ast. 599.
Ἡφαίστιος,	S., prod. 304, 354.

Θ

Θεαρίων,	S., patr. of Ποσίδειος, 571—576.
Θεμίσων,	V., 1078.
Θεόδωρος,	S., prod. 610.
Θεοπεΐτος,	S., patr. of Φήμιος, 597.
Θεόπομπος,	TH., 65—76.
Θέσπων,	TH., 77—80.
Θεύγνητος,	S., patr. of Δημήτριος, 439—445.
Θεύδικος,	RH., ep. 719.
Θευδωρίδες,	S., ast. 332.
Θεύδωρος,	RH., ep. 1141; V., 1078.
Θρασίθικος,	S., patr. of Έστιαίος, 482 (corruption from Θρασύδικος).
Θυαίας,	S., prod. 309, 355—356, 384, 397—398, 427—429, 559, 654, 660.

I

?Ίαστύδευς,	RH., ep. 720.
Ίδνάδης,	TH., 81—90.
Ίεροτέλης,	RH., prod. 763.
Ίέρων,	RH., ep. 721.
Ίκέσιος,	S., ast. 483—487.
Ίκέσιος ό. . . ,	S., ast. 589.
Ίκέσιος ό Βαχχίου,	S., ast. 557.
Ίκέσιος ό Έστιαίου,	S., ast. 585—587.
Ίκέσιος ό Έτρεονίκου,	S., ast. 588 1132.
Ίμας,	RH., prod. 1147—1148.
Ίσίδωρος,	RH., prod. 1149.
Ίστιαίος,	S., prod. 512.
Ίστρων,	S., patr. of Άπλώνιος, 303.
Ίφης,	S., patr. of Αισχίνας, 372.
Ίφης ό Έστιαίου,	S., ast. 1121.

K

Κάλιος,	S., patr. of Δελφίνιος, 1128. (Perhaps from Καλλίων). S., ast. 488—494, 558—566, 1122, prod. 310, 357—358, 453, 508, 568, 572, 611, 667, 1118, patr. of Ευχάριστος, 554—555.
Καλλισθένης,	S., ast. 495.
Καλλισθένης ό Νοσ. . . υ,	CH., 895; C., 956.
Κέρδων,	S., prod. 399—400, 430, 486—487, 521—522, 612.
Κεφαλίων,	S., prod. 311.
Κηφαλίων,	TH., 91—93.
Κηφισοφών,	S., prod. 431, 506.
Κινώλις,	S., prod. 523, 573.
Κλεαίνετος,	S., prod. 454, 513.
Κλέαρχος,	S., patr. of Διονύσιος ό Διονυσίου, 1129.
Κλειτεργόρης,	TH., 94—100.
Κλεόστρατος,	

Κλεοφῶν,	TH., 101.
Κλέων,	S., prod. 385, 410, 420, 441.
Κοτῆς,	RH., prod. 765.
Κούρυλος,	S., prod. 326.
Κράτης,	S., prod. 327.
Κρατίσταρχος,	S., ast. 496—499.
Κρατίσταρχος ὁ Μένωνος,	S., ast. 500.
Κράτιστος,	H., 830.
Κρατιστῶναξ,	TH., 102—103.
Κρῖνις,	TH., 104.
Κρινομένης,	TH., 105.
Κριτίας,	TH., 106—108.
Κρωμ. . . ,	H., 809, (Κρῶμος).
? Κτησιβάης,	S., ast. prod. 560 (corruption from Κτησικλῆς).
Κτησικλῆς,	S., prod. 491.
Κτήσεων,	S., prod. 312, 341, 359, 401, 432, 442, 518, 574.
Κυρηναῖος,	V., 1079.
Κύχρις,	TH., 109—118.

Λ

Λαγέτας,	TH., 119—120.
Λάμαχος,	S., patr. of Ἐκαταῖος, 545—551.
Λεοντίδα,	RH., ep. 1143.
Λεωμέδων,	TH., 121—125.
Λεωμέδων ὁ Ἐπιδήμου,	S., ast. 590—591.
Λέων,	S., ast. 501—502.
Λύκων,	H., 824.
Λύσανδρος,	TH., 126—127.
Λυσικλῆς,	TH., 123.
Λυσιμά. . . ,	TH., 228.
Λύσιος,	H., 818, 832, 841.

Μ

Μαντίθεος,	S., ast. 323.
Μαντίθεος ὁ Πρωταγόρου,	S., ast. 592—594.
Μαρσύα,	RH., prod. 766—767, 1177.
Μεγάδης,	TH., 129.
Μένανδρος,	S., prod. 1123.
Μενέδημος,	TH., 130.
Μενεκράτης,	RH., prod. 768.
Μένης,	H., 834—838.
Μένιππος,	H., 839.
Μένων,	S., patr. of Κρατίσταρχος, 500.
Μητριδάτης ὁ Ἄριστ. . . ,	S., ast. 503.
Μητρόδωρος ὁ Ἄρκατέου,	S., ast. 504.
Μίδας,	S., prod. 422, 613.
Μιθραδάτης,	S., prod. 411, 443—444, 455, 492—493, 501, 507, 614, 652.
Μιθριδάτης,	S., prod. 313, 360—361, 581.
Μικίων,	H., 843—844.
Μίκκος,	H., 840—841, 1172.

Μίκριος,	S., patr. of Ἡρακλείδης, 556.
Μικύον,	RH., prod. 769 (corruption from Μικίων).
Μιλτιάδης,	S., ast. 505—507.
Μιλτιάδης ὁ Τεισάνδρου,	S., ast. 508—509.
Μιτραδάτης,	S., prod. 481.
Μνησυκλῆς,	S., ast. 333.
Μνησις,	S., ast. 324, prod. 575.
Μόσχος,	RH., prod. 770.
Μουσαῖος,	RH., prod. 771.

N

Νάνων,	CH., 896—900.
Ναυσικράτης,	S., prod. 576.
Ναύσων,	TH., 131—132.
Νευμήνιος,	S., prod. 639, 1112.
Νικασίτης,	CN., 945.
Νικίας,	S., prod. 314, 362, 433, 456—457, 534, 615— —620, 1173; RH., prod. 772.
Νικόδημος,	TH., 133—134.
Νίκον,	C., 959.
Νίκων,	S., prod. 621, patr. of Ἀντίπατρος, 519—524; RH., ep. 725, prod. 773.
Νοσ...ου,	S., patr. of Καλλισθένης, 495.
Νόσσοι,	H., 798.
Νουμένιος,	S., prod. 402, 1113.
Νουμήνιος,	S., prod. 315, 331, 363—365, 434, 622, 676, patr. of Σάγαρις, 624.
Νουμήνιος ὁ Διονυσίου,	S., prod. 623.
Νύσιος,	RH., prod. 732, 774, 1150; CN., 947.

Ξ

Ξενόφαντος,	RH., ep. 1144.
-------------	----------------

O

Ὀλυμπος,	RH., prod. 775.
----------	-----------------

Π

Παμφάης,	TH., 135.
Παμφάνης,	TH., 136.
Πάπης,	S., prod. 556.
Πασικράτης,	V., 1083—1084.
Πασιχάρης,	S., ast. 334, 628.
Πασιχάρης ὁ Δημητρίου,	S., ast. 567—569, 1123.
Πασιω... ,	CH., 901.

Πασίων,	RH., prod. 776.
Παυσανίας,	RH., prod. 777—780.
Πάφης,	S., prod. 524.
Πεισίας,	V., 1085.
Πεισιστρατος,	RH., ep. 726; H., 844.
Πίντος,	H., 847 (corruption from Πίνυτος?).
Πολύκτωρ ὁ Δημητρίου,	S., ast. 570.
Πολυνείκης,	TH., 137—138.
Πολυσ. . . ,	CH., 902.
Πολύστρατος,	CH., 903.
Πολύχαρμος,	S., prod. 569; RH., ep. 727.
Πολύων,	TH., 139—144.
Ποσειδώνιος,	S., ast. 335—336, patr. of Ἀπολλωνίδης, 579— —580, patr. of Ἡρώνημος, 584; V., 1096.
Ποσίδειος,	S., ast. 337—338, prod. 328, 503.
Ποσίδειος ὁ Θεαρίωνος,	S., ast. 571—576.
Πόσις ὁ Δαΐσκου,	S., ast. 595.
Πόσις ὁ Στρατονίκου,	S., ast. 596.
Πρηξήνας,	TH., 145.
Πρηξίπολις,	TH., 146—147.
Πρόταρχος,	RH., ep. 728—729.
Πρύτανις,	S., prod. 302.
Πρωτάγορας,	S., patr. of Μαντίθεος, 592—594.
Πρῶτος,	S., prod. 386—388, 412—414, 535—536, 561, 583.
Πύθης,	S., prod. 435—436, 509.
Πυθίων,	TH., 148—178.
Πυθο. . . ,	V., 1088.
Πυθοκλῆς,	S., ast. 325.
Πυθοκλῆς ὁ Ἀπολλωνίδου,	S., ast. 1119.
Πυθόχρηστος ὁ Ἀπολλωνίδου,	S., ast. 1125.
Πυθόχρηστος ὁ Ἀπολλωνίδου,	S., ast. 577—578.

Σ

Σάγαρις ὁ Νευμηνίου,	S., prod. 624.
Σατυρίκυος,	H., 849.
Σάτυρος,	TH., 179—186.
Σιμαλίων,	S., prod. 316, 596, 631, 1133.
Σιμίας,	S., ast. 510—516; V., 1090.
Σκομφίας,	S., prod. 389, 473—479, 494, 562.
Σκονφίας,	S., prod. 514.
Σκύμνος,	TH., 187—192; H., 850.
Στέφανος,	S., prod. 390, 415—416, 502, 515—516, 537, 629—630, 662.
Στρατόνικος,	S., patr. of Πόσις, 596.
Στράτων,	RH., prod. 781.
Σωκράτης,	RH., prod. 1151; H., 862.
Σώκριτος,	CH., 905.
Σωσίας,	S., prod. 324, 366, 458, 497.
Σωστρα. . . ,	H., 851.
Σωτᾶς,	RH., prod. 782—789.

T

Τείσανδρος,	S., patr. of Μιλτιάδης, 508—509.
Τειχεῦς,	V., 1092.
Τεύθρας,	S., prod. 423—424.
Τηλε... ,	TH., 230.
Τηλέμαχος,	TH., 193—194.
Τιμαρχι... ,	TH., 6.
Τίμαρχος,	RH., prod. 1153.
Τιμασαγόρας,	RH., ep. 730.
Τιμασίθεος,	RH., ep. 731.
Τιμέας,	S., prod. 1132.
Τιμοκλῆς,	RH., prod. 790.
Τιμόλεων,	S., prod. 417—418.
Τιμόλεως,	S., prod. 367, 530, 625, 638.

Φ

Φανόλεως,	TH., 195—200.
Φείδιππος,	TH., 201—202.
Φήμιος ὁ... ,	S., ast. 598.
Φήμιος ὁ Θεοπέιτου,	S., ast. 597.
Φιλέμων,	H., 853.
Φιλήμων,	TH., 203 ; S., prod. 570, 591.
Φίλιππος,	RH., prod. 791—792 ; CH., 906.
Φιλο... ,	H., 854.
Φιλόδαμος,	RH., prod. 793.
Φιλόδημος,	TH., 204.
Φιλοκράτης,	TH., 205 ; S., prod. 315, 322, 336, 339—340, 368, 391, 437 459, 480, 563, 626.
Φιλονι... ,	S., ast. 1112.
Φιλότιμος,	H., 1159—1160.
Φίλτας,	RH., prod. 732 ; CN., 947.
Φίλτατος,	V., 1094—1095.
Φίλων,	S., prod. 460—461, 564, 627 ; V., 1096.
Φλανωτραι,	H., 855—857.
Φόρβας,	S., ast. 326.
Φρασίλας,	RH., prod. 794.

X

Χαβρίας,	S., prod. 334, 392, 498.
Χαιρέας,	TH., 206—215.
Χεστία,	TH., 216 (phonetic transcription of 'Ηστία).

Ψ

Ψάμμις,	S., prod. 318—320, 393—394, 419, 438.
---------	---------------------------------------

INDEX OF MONTHS

'Αγριάνιος,	RH., 708, 712, 714, 730.
Δάλιος,	RH., 709—710.
Διόσθιος,	RH., 703.














Θεσμοφόριος,
 Πάναμος,
 Πάναμος δεύτερος,
 Πεδαγείτνυος,
 Σμίνθιος,
 Υακίνθιος,

RH., 719.
 RH., 696, 702, 715.
 RH., 1177.
 RH., 766.
 RH., 705, 711, 767.
 RH., 707, 726, 1141, 1144.

INDEX OF SYMBOLS

- Alabastron, TH., 11, 19, 46—49, 99, 102—103, 188, 243, 264, 269.
 Amphora, TH., 39, 55—57, 81, 104, 146, 270; S., 672, 1117, 1120; V., 1064.
 Amphora foot, TH., 89.
 Aryballos, TH., 12.
 Asklepios, S., 573.
 Ass and caduceus, TH., 242.
 Bacchic scene, S., 669.
 Bag, TH., 288.
 Base, TH., 59.
 Battle axe, TH., 21, 36, 106, 131—132, 194, 230; RH., 761.
 Bee, TH., 38, 251, 283.
 Bird, TH., 8, 29, 52, 53, 54, 67, 98, 186, 201—202, 211, 233; S., 502, 585, 587, 622.
 Blacksmith, S., 597?, 655.
 Bow, S., 488—489, 491, 492, 693.
 Bow and arrow, TH., 61.
 Bow and club, TH., 280; S., 337.
 Branch, S., 679.
 Bread, TH., 5, 160.
 Bucrane, CN., 948.
 Bunch of grapes, TH., 2, 121, 277—278; S., 323, 336, 342—347, 349—351, 353—357, 359—361, 363—367, 370—371, 446, 451, 474, 531, 534—536, 538, 540, 552, 579—580, 613, 632, 636, 660, 668, 673, 681, 1134; V., 1096; Tiles, 1113.
 Bunch of grapes on vine, TH., 93; S., 302.
 Caduceus, TH., 109—112, 282; S., 567—568, 596, 1174; RH., 737, 1147, 1148; H., 822, 829, 846.
 Cantharos, TH., 45, 68—71, 85—86, 123—124, 129, 159, 249, 286; S., 326, 328, 403—404, 407—408, 410—415, 417—419, 439, 441, 443, 448—450, 452—455, 458—462, 482, 484, 504, 511—513, 515—516, 628, 630, 631, 635, 651, 652, 675, 685, 687, 695; H., 812, 813, 840, 853, 865.
 Cap of Dioscuri, TH., 7, 17, 187, 218, 240, 258, 287.
 Censer, RH., 1151.
 Chimera, TH., 32.
 Circle divided in quarters, TH., 175.
 Club, TH., 37, 73, 77—79; S., 322, 649, 653; H., 831; C., 950, 959.
 Column, TH., 40.
 Cornucopia, TH., 16, 97, 150—151, 182, 195—197, 244; S., 373—375, 377—381, 386—387, 389—395, 442, 479—480, 665, 1173.
 Crab, TH., 135.
 Crescent, TH., 64, 152, 225, 255, 260, 1116.
 Dolphin, TH., 44, 62, 94, 101, 167—170, 273, 274.
 Ear, TH., 265; S., 1128.
 Fish, TH., 119.
 Flower, TH., 10, 226, 1114; S., 306, 308, 313—316, 318—321, 329, 671; RH., 701, 721, 739—741, 758, 759, 762, 763, 781, 795, 796, 1149.
 Flower in alabastron, TH., 74.
 Fruit, TH., 108, 153.
 Grasshopper, TH., 289.
 Hand, TH., 30; S., 683.
 Harpoon, TH., 113, 145, 210, 216.
 Head, TH., 87; S., 423, 477, 610, 634, 657, 677, 678, 1127.
 Head (wall crowned), S., 433, 435—436, 529.
 Head of Apollo, S., 427—429, 432.
 Head of Herakles, S., 437.
 Head of Silenus, S., 496—497, 499.
 He goat, S., 659.
 Hook, TH., 6, 13, 193.
 Horse, S., 339, 556, 684, 692.
 Knucklebone, TH., 154—155.
 Ladle, TH., 72, 114—115, 147.
 Leaf, S., 667; H., 867; V., 1059.
 Letter, TH., 137—138.
 Lightnings, TH., 267, 281; S., 505, 507—509, 569, 642.

- Lily, TH., 257, S., 307.
 Lion, TH., 32?, 126, 594, 670.
 Lyre, TH., 173.
- Male arm, TH., 139–143; S., 340.
 Male figure, S., 599.
 Male figure with cornucopia, S., 571.
 Mouse, TH., 3.
- Ox head, TH., 83, 120.
- Palmette, TH., 9; H., 866.
 Person with thyrsos, S., 401.
 Pitchfork shank, TH., 63.
 Pithos, TH., 1, 125, 185, 234.
 Potter, S., 682.
 Prow, TH., 4, 58, 205, 209, 221, 228, 261, 279;
 S., 426, 518–519, 521–524, 541, 557–
 559, 561–563, 650, 662, 680, 1122;
 V., 1083–1084, 1094–1095.
- Quiver, TH., 34, 65–66, 95, 127, 148–149,
 179–181, 252, 285; S., 638, 654.
- Rhyton, TH., 84.
 Rider, S., 588, 590, 1132.
 Ring with ribbons, TH., 28.
 Rudder, TH., 206, 247.
- Satyr with hose, S., 396, 399–400.
 Satyr with leather bag, S., 420.
 Shield, TH., 88.
 Snake, TH., 92.
- Snake on altar, TH., 20.
 Stag (head), CN., 945.
 Star, TH., 60, 107, 133–134, 290; RH., 708,
 709.
 Statue, S., 572, 575, 583, 592, 640; RH., 774,
 1150.
 Sword, TH., 80, 156, 268.
- Table, TH., 161–166.
 Theos Megas? S., 1137.
 Thyrsos, TH., 158, 183, 184, 200, 203, 266,
 275–276; S., 463–473, 545–549; CH.
 894.
- Torch, TH., 75.
 Tortoise, TH., 43, 248.
 Tripod, TH., 171–172, 284.
 Trophy, TH., 14; S., 582, 584, 597?, 655?,
 1130, 1131.
 Tyche, S., 574, 1124.
- Vessel, TH., 18, 27, 33, 35, 41, 42, 100, 105,
 130, 136, 174, 189, 190, 199, 204, 207,
 208, 227, 239, 249, 253, 256, 263, 271,
 1115; S., 494, 514, 664, 686; RH., 791;
 H., 832, 1172.
 Vessel and ladle, TH., 31, 96, 122, 157, 198,
 262, 272.
 Vine, TH., 50–51.
- Wolf (head), TH., 82, 232.



ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΑΡΟΥ

408

ΑΣΤ
ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
ΟΥΑΙΑΣ

429

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΥ
ΝΙΚΙΑΣ

443

ΕΥΡΥΝΗΤΟΥ

445

ΑΠΟΛΛΩΝΙΟΥ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

449

ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΗΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

453

ΚΛΕΑΡΧΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ

454

ΟΜΦΙΑΣ
ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΓΙΕΛΡΟΥΣ

478

ΤΙΔΙΟ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟ
ΜΤΙ

481

ΚΤΗΣΙΚΛΗΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΚΑΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥ

491

ΙΘΡΑΔΑΤΗΣ
ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΛΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΣ

492

ΑΣΤΥΝ
ΚΡΑΤΙΣ

497

ΑΣΤΥΝ
ΚΡΑΤΙΣΤΑ
ΧΑΒΡΙΑΣ

498

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΠΑΤΡΟΥ
ΤΟΥΝΙΚΛΗΝΟΣ
ΚΕΦΑΛΙΩΝ

521

ΤΟΣ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ
ΤΡΙΟΥ

536

ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΠΥΡΡΙΔΗΜΟΥ
ΠΟΛΛΩΝΙΟΥ

590

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΜΑΝΤΙΘΕΟΥ
ΤΟΥΠΡΩΤΑΓΟΡΟ
ΛΕΩΝ

592

ΤΙΜΩΛΕΣ

625

ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ

626

ΑΣ
ΚΑ
ΤΙΜ

638

ΦΙΛΟΝΗ
ΑΣΤΥΝΟ
ΓΕΥΜΗ

639

ΑΡΑΒΟΣ

644

ΗΡΑΓΟΡΑ

645

ΑΔΩΤΗΣ
ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΝΕΙΥ

652

ΟΥΝΤΟΣ
ΚΧΙΟΥ

653

ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΙΗΜΟΥΤΟΥ
ΟΥ

654

ΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΛΙΟΥΣ

657

ΟΜΟΥ
ΝΟΥ
ΗΝΙΟΥ

676

ΙΟΥ

679

ΕΠΙΠΑΤΟ
ΦΑΝΕΥΣ
ΣΙ ΕΝΟΙΟΥ

705

ΔΑΛΙΟΥ
ΑΡΙΣΤΕΑ

709

Ε ΑΡΙΣ
Τ ΔΑΜΟΥ
ΔΑΛΙΟΥ

710

ΕΠΙΕΡΑ
ΕΥΑΝ

718

ΕΥΡΥ
ΣΤΡΑΤΟΥ
ΝΟΙΟΥ

726

ΗΜΗΔ
ΟΡΟΠΙ
ΥΟΧΑΤ

728

ΕΠΦΙΛΙΤ
ΝΥΣΟΥ

732

ΑΓΑΘ
ΩΝΟΣ

743

ΑΓΗ

744

ΑΡΙΣΤΙΛΗ

748

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΥ

749

ΑΡΑ
ΟΙΚΟΥ

750

ΒΟΥ
Λ Δ

753

ΣΜΟΥ

754

ΕΡΜΗΝΟΣ

759

ΠΑ
ΕΥ

761

ΜΑΡΤΥΑ
ΠΕΔΑΓΕΙΗ

766

ΜΟΥΣΑΙΟΥ

771

ΝΥΣΙΟΥ

774

ΟΛΥΜΠΟΥ

775

ΑΡΑΓ
ΑΝΙΑ

777

ΣΛΤ

784

ΣΛΤΑ

785

ΕΥΡΥ

795

ΑΝΤΙ
ΦΙΛΟΥ

799

ΑΡΙΣΤΟ
ΚΡΑΤΕΟΣ

805

ΑΡΙΣΤΟ

810

ΕΡΜ

816

ΕΥ
ΜΟΣ

817

ΕΥΡΟΡΟΣ
ΛΥΣΙΟΣ

818

HEA 823 ΜΗΝΙ.

ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΑ ΕΡΙΑΚΑΝ 824

ΕΡΙΚ 829

ΕΡΙΚ ΤΟΥ 830

ΔΥΣΙΟΣ 832

ΜΕΝΗ 834

ΕΝΙΠΠΟΥ 839

ΜΙΚΡΟ ΔΥΣΙΟΣ 841

ΕΙΙΕΙ 845

ΑΥΤΥ 884

ΜΟΥΑΓ 921

ΕΥ ΙΤΕ 944

ΚΗΙΘΙΟΝ ΥΠΕΤΟ ΤΙΣ 946

ΕΠΙΦΙΑΤ ΝΥΣΙΟΥ 947

Φ ΑΣΤΡΑΥΤΑ 948

ΔΡΟΛΛΑΣ 951



ΙΩΓΥ 1075

ΓΕΙΑ 1085

ΚΙΔΟΝΙΚΟΥ ΔΙΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ 1099



ΕΥΡΑ ΜΛΗ 1110



ΦΙΛΟΝΙ ΑΣΤΥΝ ΙΕΥΜΗ 1112

ΑΛΙΚΟΥ ΥΝΟΥΜΗΝΙ 1113

ΑΡΙΣΤΟΔΙ 1114

ΣΙΟ 1115

ΘΑΣΙΟΝ 1116

ΕΣΤ ΟΥΤΣΑ ΟΤΑΛΟΤ 1117

ΑΣΤ ΕΥΧΑ ΚΑΛΛΙΑ 1118

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟ ΠΥΘΟΚΛΗΣ ΤΟΥΔΡΟΛΛΟΝ ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙ 1119

ΝΟΜΟΥ ΑΧΟΥ ΜΗ 1120

ΑΣΤΥΝ ΤΟ ΙΔΙΟΣΤΟΥ 10Υ ΤΤΙ 1121

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ ΣΑΛΙΣΘΕΝΟΥΤΟΥ ΕΣΤΙΑΙΟΥ ΑΝ ΣΤ 1122

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ ΔΙΣΧΙΡΟΥ ΤΟ ΔΙΜ ΤΡΙΟΥ ΜΙΝ Ν Σ 1123

Ι ΝΟΣ 1124

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ ΓΥΘΟΧΡΗΣ ΤΟΥΔΡΟΛΛΟΝΙΔΟΥ ΑΠΑΤΟΥΡΙΟΥ 1125

ΑΣΤΥΝ ΑΙΣΧΡΙΟΝ ΑΡΤΕΜ 1126

ΙΝΟΜΟΥΝ ΑΙΣΧΡΙΟΝΟΣΤ ΑΡΤΕΜΙΔΟΥ Σ 1127

ΑΣ ΔΕΛΦΙΝΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΚΑΛΟΥ ΕΥΚΛΗΣ 1128

ΟΝΥΣΙΟΥ ΔΙΝΥΣΙΟΥ ΤΟΥ ΕΙΤΑΓΟΡΟΥ 1129

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ ΙΗΝΙΟΣΤΟΥ ΑΡΕΛΛΟΔΩΡΟ ΚΤΗΣΩΝ 1130

ΙΟΣΤΟΥ ΔΑΡΟΥ ΤΟΣ 1131

ΕΤΕΣΝΙΚΟΥ ΤΙΜΕΑΣ 1132

ΑΤ ΝΑ Α ΚΙ ΜΑΛΙΑ 1133

ΑΣΙΟΣΤΟΥ ΤΙΟΥ 1134

ΑΣΤΥΝΟΜΟΥΝ Α Α 1135

ΑΣΤΙΑΙΟΣΤΟΥ 1136

ΜΟΥΟΥΝΟΣ 1137

ΤΟΕ ΡΑΜ 1138

ΕΠΙΛΓΕ ΜΑΧΟΥ 1139

ΔΩΡ ΟΕ ΥΑΚΙΝΘΙΟΥ 1140

ΘΑ Δ ΟΡ Β ΙΟΥ 1142

ΕΤΙΑΦΟΝ ΑΠΟΛΛΟ 1143

ΕΤΙΞΕΝΟΦΑΝ ΤΟΥ ΥΛΥΝΟΙΟΥ 1144

ΑΓΑΘΟΚΛΕΥΣ 1145

ΔΡΑΚΟΝΣ 1146

ΙΜΑ ΟΣ 1147

1148
ΤΙΜΑ

1149
ΞΙΔΩΡΟΥ

1150
ΝΥΣΙΟΥ

1151
ΞΩΚΡΑΤΕΥΣ

1152
ΑΡΧΟΥ

1153
ΜΑΡΧΟΥ

1154
ΣΚΟ

1155

1156
ΑΝΔΟΥ

1157
ΑΓΙΣΤΟ
ΚΡΑΤΙ

1158
ΑΙΙΣΤΟ
ΑΤΕΟ

1159
ΦΙΛΟ
ΤΙΜΟΥ

1160
ΦΙΛΟ
ΜΟΥ

1161
ΙΣ
ΤΕΟ

1162
ΣΑΤΟΥΑΠΟΛ
ΥΜΟΥΝΤΟΣ

1167
ΑΓΑΘΜΙ

1168
ΙΤΙΟΣ

1169
ΥΡΕΙΛΕ
ΜΑΝΟΥ

1170
ΗΛ
ΔΙ
Ω

1172
ΙΚΚΟΣ

1173
ΑΕΤΥΝΟΜΟΥ
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ
ΝΙΚΙΑΞ

1174
ΑΝΤΙΜΑΧΟΥ

1175
ΑΓΑΘΟΚΛΕ

1176
ΚΑΡΑ
ΝΩ

1177
ΠΑΝΑΜΟΥ
ΔΕΥΤΕΡΟΥ
ΜΑΥΑ

1178
ΜΟΥ
ΑΤ

1179
ΠΑΥΛΙ
ΦΑΝΑ

