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## A

## LETTER, ©゚ゥ.

START not at my Name, you formidable Champions againft the Patriots of Ireland : I have not rijen from the Dead. Such an one might indeed affright, but could not convince you : And well for you he could not; for Conviction, you are perfuaded, would ruin you. But recollect, and you will clearly perceive, that you might ever write on at the fame rate, though you were thoroughly convinced.
I AM well known in the political World: I am as much reflected in the Region of party-colour'd Gentry, as the Trunk-maker was heretofore in the Theatre ; and, in all Places I refort, am called a faithful Iribman; fo that you cannot get Difhohour, by entering the Lifts with me : It is forme Condefcenfion in me, in your prefent Circumftancos, to take a Turn with either of you.

To be more particular in the Defcription of myfelf; 1 am , and have been, long in the Family of the Vindicator of the Praceeding of the Honourable House of Commons, \&rc. and could I conveniently let you A 2

## (4)

into the feveral Branches of my Office, which arife from the Confidence my Mafter daily places in me, you would be tempted to give me the Name of Scrub inftead of that of Dionyjus.

One Branch of my Office, however, I muft acquaint you with ; that is, to buy everyPrint that appears in the Street, (for herein confifts my Mafter's Extravagance) and to perufe them all carefully, (which indeed is an ample Punifhment for all my Sins) and to lay before my Mafter thofe which ftrike me as fit for his Infpection; but to conceal the reft, that his Time may not be mifemployed, in an Examination of Works, neither of publick nor private Ufe.

This Duty impofed upon me hath made me acquainted with $A$ ibird Letter to the Publick-An Anfwer to the Proceeding, \&c. So far as the fame relates to the Confiderations, \&c.- and, An Anfwer. to Part of a Pamphlet, intitled, The Proceeding, \&c. by the Autbor of the Obfervations, \&c. -which I have moft faithfully with-held from the Perufal of my Mafter ; being very unworthy Returns for the Pains he took to inform you, with all others, who needed Inftruction upon the Subject.

But it is not enough that I have acted thus: I know you will be much difappointed, if you cannot provoke my Mafter to write more, and to keep you employed; you will fancy, nay, you will report, that you have given fuch a ftunning Blow, that he cannot quickly recover his Senfes. I muft therefore let you know, that your Performances were too mean to be admitted into his Prefence, when I, in the Anti-chamber, could effectually confute what you do not confute one another in.
IT makes me very uneafy to fee how differently you expreis yourfelves about the Vindication, according
cording to the different Effects it hath upon your feveral Paffions.

The Town generally faith, it is cool and difpaf-fionate;-you, Mr. Letter-writer, fay, Page 16, Tbat the Autbor is very angry; and again, Page 17, " That he appears in an angry Mood from his " firt fetting out, to the Conclufion of his Paper.

You, Mr. Confiderer, fay thus, Page 5, "To " fay nothing of his perfonal Invectives, which are " indeed too low."

But you, Mr. Obfervator, talking of the Struggle that the Vindicator hath made, to keep to himfelf and his AJociates certain refpected Titles, fay, "That this hath been hitherto done, by poifoning " the Minds of uninform'd People, with mont in" decent and ill-grounded Invertives. But now, "tbat be is brougbt into a cool Metbod of Reafoning, " the Queftion muft be left to the Decifion of " unprejudiced Readers."

I pray you to fettle this Matter amongft yourfelves; for it was impoffible he could be angry and a cool Reafoner; that he could have refrained from Invectives, and have made ufe of very low ones at one and the fame Inftant.

There is a furprifing Miftake in the Triumujrate about this Matter, which 1 cannot take upon me to rectify. . However, this I muft obferve, that they all concurred, in finding Fault at one time or other, though they could not agree in the Point.

Having fo far addreffed you jointly, I muft now take you Jeparately in the order you ftep'd into the World.
The Letter-writer firft made his Appearance in a moft dreadful Fury, for the Contempt with which he had been treated ; and I cannot but fay, he had good Reafon to be outrageous; for he was indeed treated with infinite Contempt, when he was fen-
tenced to be chaftis'd, by the Confiderations and Ob fervations.

But, poor Man! he hath, as angry Men commonly do, given my Mafter every Advantage over him he could wifh for.
$\mathrm{He}_{\mathrm{e}}$ charges, Page 15, the Vindicator, with faying, "That he (the Letter-writer) very precipi" tately declared, that our unhappy Difputes have " been, not about Efentials, but merely upon Forms " and Ceremonies.
And from thence to the End of the 16 th Page, he hath moft ingenioufly laboured to prove, and at length with great Clearnefs fhewed to a DemonItration, that he did by fair Argument, and from a Concatenation of Caufes, draw this Conclufion, "That the Difputes were not about Effentials, but "merely upon Forms and Ceremonies."-I muft confefs he hath fairly overthrown the Charge of Precipitancy; for he proved himfelf to be a very fow Reafoner: And to I muft take the Liberty to leave him where I found him.

The Considerer next advanced, not one Whit lefs angry than the Letter-writer ; but being conftitutionally more phlegmatick, did not fo fuddenly run himfelf off his Speed.
HE, Page 5, with great Refentment, charges my Mafter, with giving him foul Ufage, " by blend" ing and perplexing his Arguments, with thofe
" of others, with whom he was in no Connection; " and whofe Pamphlets he had not feen or heard *6 of, until they were in Print.

I believe my Mafer, if he were acquainted with this Matter, would find it pretty difficult to contrive an Apology for this Author. All that ought to be faid upon the Occafion is, that the Letter-Writer, who appears to be a pretty Scholaf, very converfant, in his favourite Author;
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and the Observator, who is as expert in Figures, as any Hocus-Pocus Doctor with Cups and Ball, are made his Companions. If they are afhamed of one another, who can help it? The Readers think much alike of them all,

The Considerer will fee, what a Hurry the Letter-Writer was thrown into, by being put under the Difcipline of his Friends, the Considerations and Observarions; how he flung about, and endangered every Body near him, for being put into fo glaring a Light; and he may fee, what Pains the OBSERVATOR hath taken, Page 3, at fetting out, to eftablifh an Opinion, that he had not any Acquaintance with the Consi merer. If the Vindicator fhould prefume to make an Apology to either, he certainly may expect, to bring the others upon his Back ; and therefore muft wait, until they agree amongt themfelves, which deferves the Preference.

I cannot however but obferve, Mr. Considerer, that you vaftly exceed yrur Brethren in Modefy; for where you will not pretend to fay, you are not vanquifbed, you are decently filent. Thus you have fairly given up the Precedent of 1749: To be fure, you mean not more to trouble the World with an unprofitable Criticifm about Confent and Intention; and you are without Doubt afhamed of that fimple Obfervation, that the Attorney-General made the Motion; and of the foolifh Apology, that you formerly made for his Majefy's Servants not inferting originally the Word Consent.

But why were you not explicit about the Objection, that the Recital was inferted in GreatBritain? It was not enough to be filent about this, as you knew in your Confcience that Argument was never made Ufe of, you fhould have A 4
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been honeft enough effectually to Difarm the mijcbievous Enemies of this Country.
You have indeed relinquifhed all the Precedents you formerly fo vehemently relied upon, for which I give you Credit. But then you murt take Notice, that your Readers are hereby taught, not entirely to depend upon your peremptory Decifions.
Explain for your Readers the following Words in Page 4, 5. of your Anfwer. "Therefore he " (the Considerer) muft fay, that the Writer " of the Vindication betrays a Want of Candour, as "" well as a Diffidence in his Abilities to defend the
"Caure he hath engaged in, when he endeavours
'c to ftop a Search after Truth, by introducing the
"Name of that Body (the Houre of Commons)
" into the Debate, in the Manner he hath, more " than once, done in the Courfe of his Argu" ment."
I believe, whoever reads this will think the Author thereof was confoundedly frightened: But pluck up your Spirits, Mr. Considerer, you have ftroked the Commons in the preceding Paragraph, "" wherein you hope you have kept clear of offend"" ing an important Body, for whofe Conftitutional "R Rigbts, no one can have a higher, or more juft
" Reffect." I wifh indeed you had not diftinguifhed thefe Words Confitutuional Rigbts by Italicks, by which you feem to Hint, that they claim fome Rights not altogether Confitutional; and that you referve to yourfelf a Power of expounding your Words hereafier, either for or againft them, as Occafion fhall offer, or they fhall be $u p$ or down: If they fhould take that into their Heads, it may go ill with you. But as your Intention, you fay, was not to offend them, the beft your Friends cap expect is, that you
may be overlooked; fince the Commons never can fubmit their Rigbts or Privileges to be difcuffed by Lawyers, or to be determined by $\mathcal{F}$ udges of inferior

## Courts.

But be fo Kind as to Point out, how my Mafter could viridicate the Commons, without bringing the Name of that Body into the Debate. He hath faid indeed, " that there were fundry Pamphlets, little " better than Libels againft the Commons, againft " the Confitution, and againft $\mathcal{T}_{\text {ruth, }}$, at a great Ex" pence, and in a Manner unufual in this Kingdom, " put into the Hands of all who would accept of " them, from the Capital to the remoteft Corner " of the Nation."

Can this be denied? If you doubt it, look into your own Confiderations, the Obfervations, the tbree Letters to the Publick, and, above all, into the curious Queries impudently addrefled to all the ferious bonett and well-meaning People of Ireland. Who encouraged thefe Papers? Who adopted them ? Who patronized them? Who difperfed them ? Can $L i$ bels only be on one Side? Suppofe the Majority had happened to be on the other Side on the ever Memorable 17 th of December; would not the Commons have been a moft refpectable Body of People? Has not the Majority been hourly abujed by Libellers, from the Day on which it was fixed, that the Parliament fhould be prorogued, becaufe they were not on that Side? Has any one Printer or Publiber been yet fent to Newgate, for thus libelling one of the Eftates in Parliament?

If their Advocates cannot punifh, allow them to complain. Words will not put you into the Pillory. Do not ftop their Mouths, and then fay they will not tell Truth. Trutb is worlhipped by every Lover
of Liberty; but it is propbaned in the Mouth of a slave.

You have fo fhuffled the Words Appropriapion and Application, that you have fairly worn out their Meaning; yet I cannot blame you for this, becaufe your Bufinefs was to puzzle.

The whole Difpute arofe upon a Surplus after the Truft was dijcharged; for fo was the Fact, when a new Supply was demanded, It hath been fhewn by the Vindication, that every Surplus hath been appropriated or applied, (take which Word you like, ) to the current Service of the Publick, or to the publick Service, (chufe alfo whichfoever Expreffion you like beft in this Place) and that therefore the Commons could not, without betraying the Rights, which were intrufted to their Care, and without totally changing, nay fubverting the regular Proceeding in Parliament, folemnly allow, that they could not even propofe the Application of a Surplus, which they had ever done, without the previous Confent of the Crown.

It is poffible learned Men may be able to conftrue Things fo, as to make them unintelligible to plain natural Reafon. It mult be fo, or there could not arife fo many Difficulties in the Scripture; I mean in the Explanation of the Scripture; for there are tiuly none in the Scripture.

Now give me leave, without ane Scrap of Learning more than what I have picked up accidentally, (of which I dare not make Ufe, left I fhould mifapply it, as my Betters often do;) to fay, that if the Hiftory of this Affair in the Vindication be true, and tbat bath not been dijputed, the Commons have an inherent Right, to apply every Surplus, after the Ends of Government are anfwered, within the Term for which the Supply was granted ; and that therefure
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therefore, all that is faid about the Right of Application in the intermediate Time, is an Evafion of the Point in Difpute, and nothing at all to the Purpofe. Therefore, Mr. Considerer, give me leave without Offence, to charge you with the going befide the true Queftion, and making Ufe of your two beloved Words, Appropriation and Application, as old Women mumble Charms over Children, under Pretence of euring the Worms, but in Truth, to cbeat the Parents of tbeir Mo-

I am fure you will be aftonifhed at my great Knowledge in this Matter, and be curious to know how I came by it. To gratify your Curiofity, I muft inform you, that I am one of the many Politicians made by the late active Winter; and that I forn to draw Learning from any Place but the Fountain-Head; and the Fountain-Head indeed I applied to: For very early I contracted an Acquaintance with one of the Servants, belonging to the Houfe of Commons, who permitted me, in Difguife, to ftand within the Door, where I learned all I know of the Matter; and I do now moft heartily Wifh, you had gone to the fame School, for your own Improvement, and the Quiet of the Publick.

However, though you have difturbed Men's Minds not a little; yoir have made fome Amends, by exhibiting the prettieft Method of replying that ever was invented: Such an One as will excellently ferve all whimfical or abfurd Authors, who fhall fucceed you. It is fo entertaining, that it cannot fail to pleafe a Reader.

When your main Argument is turned TopfyTurvy, then you charge your Antagonift with Equivocation, put two Meanings upon his Words, chufe that which is leaft obvious, but moft apt for
your Purpofe, and down you lay him at your Feet. - Excellent and Stout.

When you find your Antagonift hath clearly proved, that you either knew nothing of the Matter, or wilfully concealed the Strength of the Argument, you take as much Merit to yourfelf, allowing there is no very effential Difference between you.-Truly ingenuous !
When great Labour is ufed, to fhew your Proofs from Hiftory to be Falfe or Impertinent, and that is demonftrated; then you make a new State of the Care, againft which your Adverfary could not provide; and tell him, be might bave spared the unneceffary Pains be bath taken: And thus you ingenioufly give him a Go-by._- Very Honeft!

W HEN your Adverfary thinks he hath fully anfwered all your Objections, you at once dafh his Hopes to Pieces, and deftroy the Force of every thing he hath faid, by looking big, and pofitively affuring your Readers, that be bath attempted, but in vain, to anfwer. - Wondrounly modeft!
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ACTS}}$ are nothing in your Way. His you deny, by affirming the contrary to be true. Your own you eftablifh by afferting them to be Facts.

Precedents, the ftronger they happen to be, fo much the worfe for them; for you prove, with a clearnefs peculiar to yourfelf, that they proving too much, are good for notbing.

The whole you finifh, by affirming in Capitals, that you are Unanswered, and thus the Piece is rendered compleat.

Happy Man! who hath difcovered fo eafy a Road to Fame, by being for ever invincible.
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In the third Place, I muft addrefs my felf to the Observator, an incorrigible old Sinner, who deals much in Facts, and obitinately, without pretending to the Cloak of Modefty, adheres to them, though they have been confuted by Friends and Foes.

Indeed, Mi. Observator, I cannot wonder at any Thing you fay; but however, for your own fake you thould have a little Caution, and not point out directly to the very Spot wherein your Guilt appears in its ftrongeft Colours. In what Part of the 35 th Page of the Vindication, doth the Author difclain all Pretence to the fole Right of drawing up Heads of Money-Bills, as you affert he doth, in Page 5, of your Anfwer. He there denies indeed, that the fole Right of baving Money-Bills take their Rije in the Houfe of Commons, was, as you fallily afferted, in your Obfervations, an Argument for throwing out the Money-Bill. He meddles not farther with the Affair. He had no Occafion to infift upon it ; for it was not in Difpute: And he had acted imprudently, if he had difclaimed it, when the Considerations, that were publifhed by Autbority, and difperfed for his Majesty's Service, had exprefsly, Page 33, admitted the Right of granting Money to be in the Commons : And however jealous you may be of the Author, or he of you, you dare not difpute his Doctrine, nor has he difavorwed it. But your Charge in this Place againft the Vindicator is but a Peccadillo, compared with what is to come.

In the Account you give, Mr. Observator, of the Bill in 1749, Pages $6,7,8$, you are big with Mifreprefentation; but this Matter having been already fully difcuffed between the Considerations and the Vindication, and at length having been given up by the Considerations, fullenly
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lenly enough; it is not worth while, to revive it at your Inftance. It will be enough to fix my Finger upon the Point, in which you and your Friend, Mr. Considerer, woefully difagree.- Page 8, you fay, "In Confequence whereof, (that is of " imaginary Meffages, $\Xi^{2}$ c.) the Words agreeably "to bis Majefty's moft gracious Intentions, were in" ferted, in the Heads of the Bill, as moft expreffive " of his Majefty's previous Confent, and of the " Mannet of obtaining thereof; and it is now very "s well known, that if the Terms on which his Ma"jefty's previous Confent was given, had not been
3o "c complied with, as it was chearfully, and in a moft "remarkable Manner, by thofe who applied to the "Lord Lieutenant on this Occafion, the Bill for "Payment of Part of the Loan-Debt, would not " have been then paffed into a Law, without
*Words being inferted either here or in Great"Britain, for the Support of his Majefty's Preroga"tive and Dignity."

Now, Mr. ObSERVATOR, attend with Patience, to fee yourfelf brought to Shame by the ConsiDERER, with whom you dare not contend; for he ftruts under the Cloak of Power, with which I am fure you will not ftrive.

Page 7 of the Considerations, the Author fpeaking of this very Point, faith, "As the Occafion "was new, it is not to be wondered at, that the Gen" tlemen who conducted the Affair, on this Side of " the Water, fhould not be exact as to the Form " in which his Majefty's Confent ought to appear :
"And probably, they apprehended that the Return " of a Bill for that Purpofe, under the Great Seal " of Great Britain, would be a fufficient Notification " of his Majefty's previous Confent to fuch Applica"tion. Again, Page 9 , he faith, "But as that "Recital, (agreeably to your Majefy's mof gracious
" Intentions) though it feems ftrongly to imply his
"Majefty's previtous Confent, had not clearly and "explicitly expreffed the fame, and not having " done fo, might occafion future Cavils on that "Head; it is faid (and I prefiume the Fact is " well known to be true) that Objections were made " to this Bill, on that Account, by thofe to whom " it was, as ufual, referred in Great-Britain, How " it happened, that his Majeelty's Confent was not " by them, at that Time, inferted, may I think be " fairly accounted for ; as the Omifion on this Side, "feemed to have been occafioned merely by the "Novelty of the Cafe, without any Intention of "queftioning the King's Right, Eic."
$l_{\text {F }}$ thou haft one blufh about thee, let it out, Mr. Observator, at being thus expofed by thy Fellow-Laboarer.

But, I fear, it is tco late, to call for Marks of Contrition. Thy Observations warranted a Sufpicion that you were miftaken in every Article; but thy Defence of thofe Obfervations, in a pretended Answer to the Vindicatfon, fhews clearly, that you canmot fhelter yourfeif under that Apology of being miftaken; nay, that you fcom to be fcreened. You are grown callous, and refolved to brazen it out in the Face of the World. Thus, Page 9, you infift, that your Affirmation in the Obser ya tions,
" That one Argument employed for rejeeting of "the Bill, was raifed on the Pretence of the fole " Rigbt of having Money-Bills take their Rife in "the Houte of Commons, and that no Alteration " fhould be made in thofe, Bills, afier they are pre"pared by the Houfe", is True, and tbal you never bave an evil Defign in what you write.-What could prompt you to perfift in, fuch a Fie, but a wicked Defign againft this Country ? It is evident, the Tale was not calculated for this Meridian ; be-
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caure it is here univerfally known to be falfe. The Minority of December 17, to a Man, could confute you; the Author of the Considerations, (and his Authority is fo far out of all Queftion) Page 20, declares, "He could not fuppofe it could be fo," and in his Anfwer, though be was called upon to be explicit, is fullenly filent, which with him is a Knockingunder. But you have, doubtlefs, made a Merit of this Matter elfewhere, and chufe to hazard your Reputation, rather than to retract. Some you hope will ftill believe you; and therefore you will not give up, by an open Confeflion. But eyen thofe you munt lofe, when they find out, that your Eriend, the Principal Advocate for your Party, hath given you up.

In Page 1o, you fay, " that the Inference " drawn by the Vindicator, Page 72 , is all " his own, and no way warranted by the Obferva" vations".

Wondrous Effrontery! turn to Page 22 of the Obfervations, and read thefe Words and Figures, "The Debt of the Nation at Lady-day 1745 , $£_{6} 258517$ 10 6-" and this Obfervation immediately following, "But it appears, that the Nation "p paid Intereft for $£ 335000$."- Again : Turn to Page 23, where this Obfervation will ftare you full in the Face, "That it was fomewhat extraordi" nary, that an additional Loan fhould be made " of $£ 70000$ at this Time, when fo large a Sum " of Money as 71947 was allowed to be due to " the Nation (abftracted from the former Loans) " after anfwering all the Demands on Account of " the Eftablifhments, and all other Charges what" foever to the 25 th of Marcb 1745, and that it " is well known that a confiderable Arrear is con" ftantly due on thefe Articles.".

Do not thefe feveral Obfervations well warrant the Inference drawn by the Vindication for the Obfervations, Page 72. "That it is extraordinary, that "t the Nation fhould be reported, to be in Debt but "two hundred and fifty-eight thoufand, five hun" 5 dred and feventeen Pounds, ten Shillings and "fix pence; and fhould, if it had a Power over " " the Surplus, without the Previous Consent of " the Crown, pay at the fame Time Intereft for " fo much a greater Sum ; and not only fubmit " to this Hardthip, but alfo raife a farther Sum of " feventy thoufand Pounds at Intereft,
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{AN}}$ any other Meaning be put upon thefe $\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathrm{B}}$ servations? 1 f there can, why did not the $\mathrm{Ob}^{-}$ servator fhew his Skill, and draw another more natural, and more agreeable to his Intention. He beft knew, if there was any fuch: And as he hath not favoured us with another, we muft be content with that we have, though it unhappily expofes his bad Heart.

The Method you have taken to prove, that the Vindicator hath miftated the Fact, when he affirmed, "That the Troops of this Country were car"4 ried to the Affirtance of England; and that there" fore the Difference could not be called a ferv" ing, \&cc." is. fingularly merry. For you prove it, by fhewing, that there were drawn out of the Kingdom, one Regiment of Horfe, two Regiments of Dragoons, and fourteen Regiments of Foot. Indeed, you fay, "That in order to keep up our Troops to the "full Complement of 12000 Men, the Regiments
"s which remained in Ireland, were increafed in " Numbers by the Addition of private Ment, and a "few Officers." Even this is not true. Tho Vindicator's Remarks are general, upon the fluctuating Condition of the Army during the whole War ; and it cannot be forgotten, that in 1745, the Army
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was fo fmall, the Foot confifting of four Regiments only of 1400 Men each, that it was thought neceffary by fome Gentlemen, to move in Parliament, for an Addrefs to his Majefty, to raife 4000 Foot, for the Security of the Kingdom.

You have not denied, that inftead of one Farthing of your boafted Saving being in the Treafury, the Nation really contracted a Debt between 1741, and 1747 , of $£ 389396 \mathrm{II}$. Your new jefuitical Expedient to impofe upon your Readers, and to keep up the Credit of your Saving, is indeed a Mafter-piece, "That if there had not been thofe"Savings, the Debt would have been in that Time " $£ 43713317 \mathrm{I}$, inftead of $28939{ }^{2} 6$ If. Th hat, think you it was a Favour, not to lay out Money, voted for a certain Eftablifhment, when that Eftablifhment did not exift? A wretched Minifter of State would you make indeed, if fuch were to be your CEconomy. It would be kind, if you would in your Recantation, which, if you have any Candour leff, you muft foon make, obferve for the Vindicator, Tbat if there was not Provifion made in one Seffion for a larger Eftablifbment, than continued to. the other Seffion, there could not be a Saving, even in your way of computing ; and thus you will give his Argument againft you its juff Force.
I have very little Skill in Figures, juft as much as I have acquired merely to keep fome minute Accounts in the Family; yet I am able, by the Aid of an undefigning Underftanding, free from Art or Cunning, to detect a newe Fallacy, furprizing only, as it was unneceffary.

To explain the Thing fully, I muft firt quote your Inference, before I examine your fuggle, that you may not have a Subterfuge left.
PAGE 27, you fay; "Thus I have ftated the "Supplies voted, and the Produce of the Aids
" granted, from Lady-day 1739 to Lady-day I 749 :
"And it fully appears that the Produce of the Aids
" hath always fallen fhort of the Supplies. The Rea-
" der may perceive that there has been fome Increafe
" in the Produce of the Aids, but as this Increafe
" did not enable them to anfwer the Supplies for
" which they were granted to the Crown; fo we " may conclude that the Redundant Money in the "Treafury at Lady-day 1749, did not arife from "Exceedings in the Aids, as fome would vainly " imagine. We mult therefore look out for fome
" other Source of this Redundancy."
"The Increafe of the Hereditary Revenue has "contributed thereto, and that in a treble Propor" tion, to what the Increafe in the additional Du" ties has done ; but the chief Source of this Re"dundancy has been the Savings in the Civil Lift, " and Military Eftablifhment.

You are, I think, fairly beaten out of your Fortre $\int$ s, called Savings, and therefore I fhall have no more Words with you upon that Subject. And indeed you begun to furpect that this was not tenable, and therefore you provided another Place of Force to retire to; viz. the treble Proportion of the Increafe of the Hereditary Revenue. But this muft alfonow fall into the Hands of the Conqueror; as foon as it is recollected, that the Additional Duties are given but in Aid of the Hereditary Revenue; and that not a Penny of the Hereditary Revenue can ever be jufly called a Redundancy, if the Publick Services call for the Application of any Part of the Additional Duties; for the Principal muft be confumed, before the Aid can be wanted.

Now, to fhew clearly the boneft Manner in which you fate the Supplies voted, and the Produce of the Aids granted; and how fairly you prove that the Produce of the Aids hath always fallen Short of
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the Supplies, I will examine the particular Era of 1743, having procured the neceffary Information for that Period, and that will ferve for all; there being no other Variation in the feveral Inftances, than what arifes from the different Ballances.

Page 22, you fay, the Debt of the Nation at Lady-day 1743 was voted, to be a Sum, not exceeding $33 \mathrm{l}, 440 \mathrm{l}$. 12s. 6 d . and that the Supply granted toward Payment of the fair Debt, 8 cc . was a Sum not exceeding $521906 \%$. 10 s . 6 d . from whence you deduct $9^{-} 4^{2} l$. granted by Parliament, and then the Supply remaining is $512,1641 / \cos 6 d$.

Then you proceed to thew how the Account for the two Years turned out.

Nett Produce of Additional Duties ${ }^{\text {- }}$ and Poundage - $-280,25647$
Deduct a Deficienmy in the Fund for Intereft _ $2873 \quad 15 \quad 10$
And Payments
made by Virtue of
King's Letters, 8 cc .
not included in
the Estimate for
Supply - - 59074 ○ 1

$$
6 £ 948 \quad 4 \text { in }
$$




Which falls fort of the Supply granted in the Year 1743 by $\quad 29385610 \quad 10$

## (21)

Perhap's a fouler Fraud never was attempied to be impofed upon Mankind than this appears to be, even upon the Face of this Account: For herein is confeffed, that there was a Fund provided for the Payment of an Intereft for a certain Principal, and yet the Principal is not feparated, as fairly it ought, from the Total of the Supply.

The Debt to bear Intereft was a Sum of $327,590 \%$. I8 s. II d . which being deducted from the Total of the Supply, there remained but 4 84.573 d. 11 s.27. for the Support of the Eftablifhment ; fo that in Truth, the additional Duties, (allowing alfo for the Article deficient in the Fund for Intereft,) produced $92,808 \mathrm{l}$. $17 \mathrm{s}$.2 d . more than they were granted for. You may out of this, if you pleafe, deduct $59,074 \mathrm{l} .9 \mathrm{~s}$. 1 d . paid, by Virtue of King's Letters, \&cc. and you will find a Redundancy of $33,734 \mathrm{l}$. 8 s . I d . belonging to the Nation, inftead of a Deficiency of $293,856 l$. 10 $s$. Io $d$.

What could provoke you to give this additional Inftance of your Difingenuity, when you had declared, Page 19, that there was no Need to dwell longer upon tbe Point, fence it is now finally determined, by bis Majefty's ordering the Payment of the Debt out of the Money remaining in bis Treafury? Was it only to fhew, that you had an inexhauftible Fund of Mifreprefentation about you? You need not have taken the Trouble, we were all ready to acknowledge your great Abilities in that Way. Some have reafoned ill; others have failed in Exachnefs, as to Fact. But you have outifripp'd them all. In your whole Operation, you have not produced one tolerable Reafon, or one true State of any Tranfaction.

In one of the weekly Papers I obferved two Lines, which I muft borrow upon this Occaiion.

The Wretch that often has deceiv'd; Though Truth he fpeaks, is ne'er believ'd.

Thus have I taken you to Tafk feparately; da not be Angry, that I put you once more together; Idoubt not but by this Time, you diflike one another, but if each of you will but obferve the Figure he cuts in the Groupe, he will be the eafier reconciled to his Company.
In your joint State then, let me afk you what provoked you to enter into a Controverfy, that was fo eminently Superior to your Capacities? If I knew you, I could without Delay or Difficulty dif cover your Motives: But truly you are not amongft my Acquaintance; and yet you muft be of very low Degree. But the loweft of my Companions admires Virtue ; and honours the Man, who acts upon virtuous Principles, whether he be or be not Succeesful.

Had you Property, had you Children, had you Friends, or had you from any Caufe, a Love for this Kingdom, you would have contributed to have raifed Friends for it, not have laboured to create Enemies; not only to create Enemies, but to make bitter Enemies of the beft Friends.

Suppose the Majority of the Commons had erred; and erred in a Point of Intereft, wherein the Crown, or Great-Britain, was materially concerned, and was prejudicially affected: Would not a good Man throw a Veil over the Fault? Would an honeft Man aggravate it? Would a charitable Man expofe innocent Millions to a Refentment,
that might produce Ruin ; becaufe he or his Party were outvoted ?

If thefe Things be fo, then have you forfeited all Title to the amiable Characters of Honefty, Goodnefs or Charity : For you have expofed all our Infirmities ; you have aggravated our Faults ; and you have endeavoured to rouze the Lion to Anger-And indeed it would be no great Matter, if you only were to be the Victims.

What Profit could all your Writings produce, If they were the wifeft upon Earth, when the Queftion to which they relate, is like never to arife more? What but Difappointment, unforgiving, malicious Dilappointment, could ftir Men up to appeal to Perfons, who could not change the Judgement, but might, through Mifinformation, conceive a hurtful Opinion of thofe who gave it? Confider thefe Things well, and reform before it be too late: It will recommend me much hereafter, if I can bring about the Repentance of three fuch Sinners: Sinners, who are at prefent like Swearers, in Danger of Damnation, for a Crime, that hath in it neither Pleafure or Profit.

Permit me, through you, to convey one Word to the Haberdasher of Small Ware, who made his Appearance laft Week. I have his Work now under Perufal, but have not yet determined, whether I fhall or fhall not lay it before my Mafter. But left he fhould, in a Hurry, fend Abroad more of his Small Ware, before I have well examined his former Cargoe, I muft give him fome Advice for his Conduct. Indeed, it would be well, if he drop'd all Thoughts of meddling with Politicks. They are not his Profeffion, and are above his Comprehenfion. But if he will not be advifed in this Point, bid him not Dimmock-like to throw down a Gauntlet, which he is very fure will not be taken
up. I have read in a great Book of Travels, that lies in our Hall, that in Cbina, the Mandarins are obliged daily to throw into a Cheft, by a Hole in the Lid, their Obfervations on Publick Tranfactions; when the Emperor dies, the Cheft is opened, and out of the Papers found therein, his Hiftory is compofed. A Word to the Wije.

## DIONYSIUS.

$$
F I N I S \text {. }
$$
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