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## NON-TECHNICAL SUMMARY

This site at Roestown 2 was excavated by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd (ACS) as part of the M3 Clonee-North of Kells Motorway Scheme on behalf of Meath County Council NRDO and the NRA. The excavation was carried out between 19 September 2005 and 30 March 2007 under Ministerial Direction Number A008/002 issued by DoEHLG in consultation with the NMI. The museum registration number was E3055. Excavations revealed extensive evidence for early medieval settlement that may have originated in the mid-sixth century AD and probably continued as a settlement into the 11th century AD. Activities such as carpentry and ironmongery are likely to have been part of daily life, but other crafts were also practised; particularly bone working and textile weaving. This was borne out through the archaeological evidence. There was strong evidence for fine metalworking during the seventh century. The principal enclosure was re-cut on at least two successive occasions between the eighth and 10th centuries AD, with the character of the enclosure changing noticeably on each occasion. The surrounding landscape was also in a state of flux; continually varying enclosed units or field systems formed the backdrop to a thriving mixed economy, with evidence for cereal processing and animal husbandry, in particular cattle rearing. A large quantity of animal bone survived, as well as metal, bone and glass artefacts, and where waterlogged deposits allowed for the preservation of organic material, wood, pollen and insect remains were collected. The excavation of Roestown 2 presented an opportunity to compare the relative wealth and economy of a prosperous early medieval settlement with that of Lagore crannog, excavated in the 1930s by H. O'N. Hencken, located less than 2 km to the southeast, with contemporary settlement sites excavated on the M3 at Castlefarm, Baronstown, Dowdstown, and Ross, among others, as well as an array of other early medieval sites excavated within Brega in recent years.
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## 1 INTRODUCTION

Roestown 2 (Figures 1-6) was located northwest of Dunshaughlin, Co. Meath, towards the edge of a slight northeast-southwest terrace (Plate 1). The land fell away gently to the northwest-southeast and moderately to the west. To the east and northeast the land was relatively flat but rose gently to the north. The Dublin-Navan Road (N3) passed through this terrace. To the east of the site was a reclaimed marsh known as Redbog and prior to the introduction of modern drainage systems, much of the area around the site would probably have been waterlogged, perhaps seasonally. Two areas of activity were noted on the terrace, on either side of the existing N3 (Areas A and B).

The site at Roestown 2 was identified during advance geophysical survey carried out by GSB Prospection in 2000/1 (Shiel et al 2001). Two areas of activity were noted (Areas A and B, as mentioned above): the first comprising a substantial, U-shaped enclosure ( 50 m east-west by 20 m north-south) with internal ditched subdivisions and traces of a field system to the south and east. A possible trackway was represented by a northeast linear feature. Pit-like anomalies, both internal and external to the enclosure, were also noted. The second area of activity was located on the opposite side of the N3 and was represented by a large, D-shaped enclosure with internal subdivisions, an internal C-shaped division ( 20 m east-west by 18 m NNW-SSE), an annexe and radial linear features most likely representing a field system. Ferrous disturbance was noted around the existing metal fences and gates.

A Topsoil Assessment consisting of two phases of metal detection and a third phase of test pitting was conducted in 2005 (Appendix 4). Initial metal detection produced 47 finds (A008/002:1:1-47) and 20 'hits' while the second phase, completed after the sod was removed and therefore testing only the topsoil, located a further 72 artefacts (A008/002:2:172). Field walking in the area resulted in the additional collection of 28 finds (A008/002:1:73-100). All of the finds recovered were of modern date (nails, nuts/bolts, wires and modern pottery/ceramic). A total of 43 test pits were excavated and five flint finds were recovered (A008/002:3:1-5).

Archaeological testing carried out by Jonathan Dempsey in 2004 (04E0415) confirmed the presence of the abovementioned archaeological features revealed during the geophysical survey and identified further pits, gullies, spreads of burnt material, two cobbled surfaces, and linear features (Dempsey 2004). Animal bone, charcoal and several artefacts included two iron nails or pins (04E0415:2-3) were recovered. An early medieval date was suggested for Roestown 2, Area A, the U-shaped enclosure, the western end of which had been destroyed
by the present N3. The enclosure in Area B was tentatively identified as a ringfort, or an enclosure in that tradition, with an ancillary enclosure to the southeast. Evidence for limited occupation during the 13th century was also observed.

Full resolution of the site occurred between 2005 and 2007 and the total area of excavation extended to approximately seven acres ( 200 m north-south by 140 m east-west).

### 1.1 Development

Meath County Council is constructing 49 km of two-lane, dual-carriageway motorway between Clonee and Kells and 10km of single carriageway from Kells to just north of Kells alongside additional road upgrades, realignments and associated ancillary works. The scheme has been subdivided into five separate sections as follows: Clonee to Dunshaughlin (Contract 1), Dunshaughlin to Navan (Contract 2), the Navan Bypass (Contract 3), Navan to Kells and the N52 Kells Bypass (Contract 4), and Kells to North of Kells (Contract 5). This section of the scheme (Contract 2) commences at Dunshaughlin and progresses to Navan. The immediate area is dominated by the River Boyne and the Hill of Tara, which has been the focus of extensive research projects in recent years by The Discovery Programme, and the number of previously known monuments in this hinterland is high.

The desk-based study and the field survey for the whole scheme, carried out in 2000-2001, were divided into sections which were investigated by Valerie J Keeley Ltd and Margaret Gowen and Company Ltd. The Record of Monuments and Places, the Sites and Monuments Record, Topographical files, and literary sources were all consulted. This information was augmented by geophysical testing conducted by Bartlett-Clark Consultancy who undertook a magnetometer survey across sample transects which was then supplemented by magnetic susceptibility, and also by GSB Prospection who undertook gradiometer scanning and a detailed gradiometer survey. The Environmental Impact Survey (EIS) compiled this data set to identify approximately 100 sites of interest either along the route or in its proximity $(500 \mathrm{~m}$ of the landtake). Advance archaeological testing was completed in 2004 by ACS and Irish Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd (IAC). Excavation of the sites identified during testing was conducted by ACS and IAC on behalf of Meath County Council, and the NRA under directions issued by the Minister for the Environment, Heritage and Local Government following consultation with the Director of the National Museum of Ireland.

## 2 EXCAVATION

Excavation occurred between 19 September 2005 and 30 March 2007 under Ministerial Direction Number A008/002 issued to Meath County Council NRDO. The work was carried out by Robert O'Hara on behalf of ACS. The museum record number was E3055. Roestown 2 was situated on an elevated terrace, formed by the underlying shale bedrock, which was cut through by glacial deposits. A stream, significantly widened in the 20th century, delimited the site to the south and formed the townland boundary with Cooksland. There was no surface indication of archaeological remains. Area A was located to the east of the N3 and measured approximately 120 m north-south by 60 m east-west (Figure 7). Area B, on the west side of the road, measured 120 m north-south by 100 m east-west (Figure 8). Topsoil (F2 (as per Topsoil Assessment): 0.30 m and 0.50 m deep) was removed by machine equipped with a grading bucket in both areas.

In Area A, a disturbed lower topsoil layer (F100: 0.40m deep) containing a significant quantity of animal bone and artefacts (see Appendix 2) was revealed and subsequently removed by hand. In Area B any residual areas of topsoil (F400) were also manually removed, which resulted in a large number of finds being recovered (see Appendix 2). Clean back in Area B revealed a thin topsoil-like deposit concealing the later deposits of Enclosure 1. This was given a separate was given a separate number (F401). It contained a number of artefacts including flint flakes (A008/002:401:5, 6, 9), a core (A008/002:401:8) and flint and chert arrowheads (A008/002:401:3, 12; Illustration 1; Appendix 14). Fragments of copperalloy (A008/002:401:4; 15; 16) and iron (A008/002:401:2) pin fragments were also found, along with assorted iron knives (A008/002:401:13, 14), and fragments (A008/002:401:7, 10 see Appendix 2 and section 2.2.1 for a catalogue of conserved metal objects). Medieval pottery (A008/002:401:1, 18; Appendix 19), a rounded stone (A008/002:401:17), bone trial pieces (A008/002:401:19, 21; Appendix 15) and a stone gaming board (A008/002:401:20) were also recovered.

One metal object requires further elaboration. An iron object (A008/002:401:11) formed by a U-shaped iron strip, the ends of which were bent together and formed into a pointed tang, is commonly encountered on early medieval excavations and has been described as a slotted punch. They are known from the assemblages at Cahercommaun and Lagore (Hencken 1938; 1950), Carraig Aille II (Ó Ríordáin 1949), Oldcourt, Co. Cork (Ó’Cuileanáin \& Murphy 1961) as well as from Scottish (Dunadd) and Pictish (Keiss broch, Scalloway ${ }^{1}$, Birsay) sites in Scotland (Curle 1982). To date no practical function has been assigned to this tool.

[^0]O'Ríordáin (1949) suggested they may have served as boring tools, and Hencken (1950) suggested they have been used in making rush matting. The tang must indicate it was hafted onto a handle and therefore the functional opart of the object was the slot. The object was included as a grave good in a ninth-century AD, Viking burial at Islandbridge, Dublin (see Edwards 1990; Ill. 91), which O'Floinn (1998) cautiously proposed as a firesteel. Given that Viking Age firesteels more closely resembled the triangular-shaped objects (A008/002:643:2; A008/002:161:5) found elsewhere within the site (see section 2.2.1 below), an alternative function is more likely.

Subsoil (F171) across the site was highly variable and comprised shale, limestone or conglomerate bedrock overlain by glacial tills. There was evidence to suggest that the distinctive petal- or D-shape of Enclosure 1 was due to the presence of limestone at shallow depths around the site.

All archaeological features exposed were recorded and excavated by hand using the single context method. Each feature was assigned a context number. Where appropriate, samples were retrieved in an attempt to obtain evidence for the date and function of these features (Appendix 3). Unless otherwise stated, the features have been measured length-width-depth. All measurements are in metres. All finds were numbered according to the requirements of the National Museum of Ireland from 1 onwards consistent with licence and feature number. The artefacts recovered from the site underwent an initial archaeological assessment and where deemed appropriate, further specialist analysis was carried out on each artefact type. All radiocarbon dates are given from the OxCal Calibration programme and are quoted to two sigma (see Appendix 5). Note that none of the burnt bone from the site could be identified to species (see Appendix 10), and there is no attempt to expand on the general description.

### 2.1 Results

Only the principal archaeological features of Roestown 2 will be discussed within this report; full details of all these, and further, contexts are located in Appendix 1.

### 2.1.1 Enclosure 1

The particular D-shape of the principal enclosure in Area B was formed during the initial construction and was largely retained with little alteration in subsequent re-cuts (Figure 8). Approximately one fifth of the enclosure remained outside the western limit of excavation. As far as could be determined this ditch (F405) was the stratigraphically earliest feature within the site, and survived rather well despite being truncated by a number of later features, primarily F404 and F450 (later re-cuts; see below), with its original profile remaining intact at the entrance to the enclosure where later damage was at a minimum. The original ditch was a completely excavated circuit without an entrance gap or causeway. A stepped inner slope of the ditch marked the northeast facing entrance (Plate 3) and though in later phases a causewayed entrance was adopted, the entrance location remained the same.

### 2.1.1.1 Initial cut F 405

F405 had a U-shaped profile ( 2.20 m wide (top); 1.00 m wide (base); 1.30 m mean depth). The maximum internal dimension of the enclosure in this phase was 76 m north-south $\times 53 \mathrm{~m}$ eastwest. No evidence for an associated bank survived. This wasn't surprising, as any associated bank would have have been removed by either F404 or F450 in succeeding phases. Approximately 24 recognisable deposits were recorded; representing naturally accumulated sediments or intentionally dumped deposits (F409, F412-F414, F424-F430, F441, F456F461, F467, F468, F470-F472, F490; Figures 21-24). A bone pin (A008/002:414:3) and bone motif pieces (A008/002:412:1, A008/002:414:14; Appendix 15) were recovered along with an iron blade (A008/002:429:3); a copper-alloy ringed pin (A008/002:429:1), fragments of iron and copper-alloy objects (A008/002:414:2, A008/002:426:1, A008/002:429: see section 2.2.1), and struck flint (A008/002:414:1, A008/002:429:4; Appendix 14).

An articulated cow (Bos taurus) vertebra in F427 provided a radiocarbon date of AD 441-652 (Beta 220115; Appendix 5) suggesting an origin for the settlement between the mid-fifth and mid-seventh century AD. Significant quantities of animal bone survived (F412-F413, F424F429, F441, F456, F457, F459, F461, F472, F490; see Appendix 6), with smaller quantities of burnt animal bone recovered also (F413, F424, F476). Anaerobic condition within F490 preserved organic material (insect remains; Appendix 9). F456, F457 and F490 preserved fragments of unworked wood (Appendix 8), while other material recovered from F405
included slag (F414, F428; Appendix 20), charcoaled hazel (F427), and mollusca (F412, F425; Appendix 10).

The overall insect assemblage indicated an open body of water in this ditch in this period, which probably filled with plant detritus from plants growing in the ditch and on the bank. Recently disturbed ground, possibly in the aftermath of the construction of the ditch and bank, may have provided a suitable habitat for many of the insects recovered in the assemblage, while a number of beetle species indicated the presence of pasture in the surrounding landscape with some wetland/carr woodland also suggested. Specific indicators of on-site activities (domestic rubbish, food processing) were not present in any significant numbers in this particular assemblage (see Appendix 9).

### 2.1.1.2 First re-cut F404

The next phase of Enclosure 1 (F404) retained the overall shape of F405, but was excavated within the limits of its predecessor, and thus had a slightly reduced internal area (70m northsouth $\times 57 \mathrm{~m}$ east-west). This ditch was generally U-shaped ( 2.50 m wide (top), 1.20 m wide (base), 1.20 m (deep). There was a tendency to alternate between V-shaped and U-shaped depending on whether the recieving subsoil was stone or clay. Approximately 23 recognisable deposits survived, representing naturally accumulated sediments or intentionally dumped deposits (F415-F420, F431-F435, F442, F445, F446, F451, F452, F462, F467-F469, F491, F492, F494, F497, and F535). An unknown number of deposits were removed due to truncation by F450 in a subsequent phase (see Figures 21-24). Large amounts of animal bone were recovered (F415-F420, F431-F435, F442, F446, F452, F462, F469, F491-F494, F535; Appendix 6) with smaller quantities of charcoal (oak and alder; F535), mollusca (F415, F491, F535; see Appendix 10) and metallic waste (F420; Appendix 20).

A radiocarbon date of AD 690-946 (Beta 220114; Appendix 5) from an articulated dog skeleton (Canis familiaris) buried within F418 (Plate 5) indicates a broad range from the late seventh to early 10 th century AD for this phase.

There were a number of early medieval artefacts within these deposits, some of which may have been disoplaced from F405. Bone objects included waste material from pin production (A008/002:432:1); a spearhead (A008/002:491:4); and motif pieces (A008/002:432:3, A008/002:535:1; see Appendix 15 for detailed analysis of the previous objects). The motif pieces may have been residual from F405 (see discussion below). Glass beads (A008/002:491:2, A008/002:491:3, A008/002:492:1; Appendix 17) and a stone ingot mould
(A008/002:432:2; Appendix 16b) were recovered along with a number of metal objects, including a tinned copper-alloy stud (A008/002:416:1), a copper-alloy pin shaft fragment (A008/002:491:1), an iron knife (A008/002:417:1) and an iron pin shaft (A008/002:417:2; see section 2.2.1). An Early Mesolithic flint flake was also recovered (A008/002:492:2; see Appendix 14).

This phase saw a change to the entrance layout, with a causewayed entrance adopted in the same location as the previous F405 entrance. The causeway was approximately 2.00 m wide and consisted of basal layers of previously dumped deposits (into F405), which were consolidated by a layer of tightly packed stones secured within a revetment of large angular boulders (F493; see Plate 4). A single large posthole located close to this entrance could have been an associated gate structure (F1553).

The insect assemblage within this ditch suggested it was a wet environment if not neceesarily open water. Pasture and animal husbandry was clearly indicated with plant-feeding and dungfeeding beetles dominating the assemblage. Human waste was tentatively indicated by some key beetle species, which suggested the northern part of the Enclosure 1 ditch was used as a general dump for household/latrine and/or animal pen waste (see Appendix 9).

### 2.1.1.3 Second re-cut F450

The second major re-cut of the enclosing ditch (F450) began approximately 15 m northeast and 10 m southwest of the Phase 2 entrance, which had already become obsolete due to the deliberate in-filling of F404 either side of the causeway. It enclosed a marginally larger area than F404 ( 73 m north-south x 54 m east-west), but was still smaller than the initial ditch F405. The ditch was generally U-shaped ( 2.30 m wide (top), 1.20 m wide (base), 1.40 m (deep)) and contained 36 recognisable contexts (F436-F440, F443, F447, F448, F453-F455, F463-F465, F473-F489, F495, F496, F498, F499, F536, F537), again representing naturally accumulated sediments or intentionally dumped deposits, some of which were waterlogged in particular areas on the site (Figures 21-24; Plate 6).

The overall insect assemblage of this ditch suggested a complex environment of open stagnating water, dumped household rubbish, dung and naturally occurring plants. The meadowland plant indicators could have been incorporated into the assemblage through 'stable manure' and there are almost no 'disturbed ground/arable' indicators (see Appendix 9).

Animal bone was abundant (F436-F440, F442, F448, F454, F455, F463-F466, F473-F476, F478, F479, F482-F484, F492, F496, and F499; see Appendix 6); with lesser quantities of slag (F447, F448; see Appendix 20) and charcoal (hazel, alder, cherries, Salicaceae (F448)). A single charred barley grain was identified (F448).

There was an abundance of early medieval artefacts from this ditch, some of which were likely to have been displaced from either F405 and/or F404. These included iron knives (A008/002:438:6, A008/002:455:1, A008/002:476:3), a horseshoe fragment (A008/002:447:1), an iron ring (A008/002: 473: 2) a possible door fitting (A008/002:473:7; see section 2.2.1), as well as unidentified fragments of objects (A008/002:437:1, A008/002:438:1-3, A008/002:440:1, A008/002:453:1, A008/002:455:2-4, A008/002:473:1, 3, A008/002:476:4; Appendix 2). Non-ferrous objects included a copper-alloy belt fitting (A008/002:438:7), a copper alloy pin shaft fragment (A008/002:473:9) and a polyhedral headed pin shaft (A008/002:476:2; see section 2.2.1) and an unidentified fragment (A008/002:438:8) Stone objects included a whetstone (A008/002:437:2; Appendix 16b), lignite bracelet fragments (A008/002:438:3, A008/002:484:2), and a stone spindle whorl (A008/002:473:4; Appendix 16c). Two wooden staves (A008/002:484:1, A008/002:484:3) were recovered in an organic deposit (F484) at the base of F450. The staves were made from yew and oak (see Appendix 13). A008/002:484:1 had two disc-locating grooves, suggesting the presence of a base and lid disc. Termed stave-built casks, these items were used for home storage, domestic chores and the commercial storage and transportation of goods, or could be re-used as water-butts and cisterns. The staves compare well with other staves from early medieval or medieval contexts, including a variety of yew staves excavated at Lagore (Hencken 1950) and elsewhere on the M3 at Castlefarm 1 (A017/001; O'Connell 2009) and Baronstown 1 (A008/017; Stephen Linnane pers. comm.).

A residual flint assemblage included flakes (A008/002:438:4 (Early Mesolithic), A008/002:453:2, A008/002:473:5) and a Neolithic hollow scraper (A008/002:473:6; Appendix 14).

A second articulated dog skeleton (Canis familiaris) buried within F484 (Plate 7) was radiocarbon dated to AD 725-976 (Beta 220116; Appendix 5). Two fragments Late Medieval pottery; Leinster Cooking Ware (A008/002:473:8) and Merida-type pottery, (A008/002:473:10), were found in the latest deposit (F473). Leinster Cooking Ware has an approximate date C12th-C14th AD , with Meridia type-ware dating from at least the C13th AD. There is stratigraphical evidence that F450 was completely backfilled in places by the
eleventh century AD (see section 2.1.1.4 below), these finds suggest that parts of the ditch may only have become fully backfilled by the thirteenth or fourteenth century AD or after (see Enclosure 5 below).

### 2.1.1.4 Bank material

Evidence for an internal clay bank (F1521) was found along the inner edge of F404/F450 in the northwest corner of Enclosure 1. It was probably a combination of banks associated with the re-cut ditches. It survived for 12.00 m and consisted of compact sandy clay 2.40 m wide x 0.20 m deep. It was cut by later features including linear drains (F362, F366), a pit (F364) and a posthole (F368).

### 2.1.1.5 Later re-cuts to F450

Following the infilling of F450, two shallow ditches (F403, F894/F820) were excavated at opposite ends of the site that were approximately coterminous with F450. F403 (31.00m x $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$; Figures 21,24 ) was located inside the northern edge of the enclosing ditch contained a single fill (F408), with small fragments of animal bone (Appendix 6), iron slag (Appendix 20) and an unidentified iron object (A008/002:408:1; Appendix 2).

F894 ( $4.50 \mathrm{~m} \min \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and its re-cut F820 ( $34.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) were located inside the southern edge of the enclosing ditch. Both ditches contained small amounts of animal bone (Appendix 6). An unidentified fragment of copper alloy (A008/002:893:2) was recovered (see section 2.2.1). Both ditches ceased within metres of the terminals of F450, which suggested they were delimiting the former extent of F450. Enclosure 1 was unlikely to be discernible as a separate entity at this stage and may have been integrated into a wider field system by this point. Both ditches are undated; however, they could be contemporary with a number of large refuse pits (F411, F1310; cut into the upper fills of F450 and F550, respectively) that are dated to the tenth to early eleventh century by single-sided composite combs (see Appendix 15).

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING ENCLOSURES (ENCLOSURES 2-9) WERE LOCATED IN AREA A

### 2.1.2 Enclosure 2

### 2.1.2.1 Ditch F282 etc

The earliest recognisable activity within Area A was an oval-shaped, penannular ditch (F282) possibly open to the southeast, enclosing an area 35 m north-south x 20 m east-west (Figures 7, 9, 25; Plate 8). It contained a number of dumped or accumulated deposits (F131, F221, F222, F268, F271, F290, F291), some of which (F131, F221) contained animal bone (Appendix 6) and charcoal (hazel and oak). The ditch was better preserved on its western side where a V-shaped profile was noted ( $0.7-2.2 \mathrm{~m}$ width x 0.40 m depth); however, deep ploughing had removed much of this cut to the east where the original ground surface was slightly higher.

Finds from this ditch included a double-sided composite comb fragment (A008/002:131:1) of Dunlevy's Class D (Dunlevy 1988, 358-61), decorated with several irregular rows of single ring-and-dot motifs. A second bone object (A008/002:131:4) is tentatively proposed as a bone-skate. It is cattle radius midshaft, is smoothed and heavily polished on one side. Bone skates were found during the Wood Quay excavations in Dublin, and could be expected elsewhere in early medieval Ireland (see Appendix 15 for a fuller discussion). Two body sherds (A008/002:131:2-3) of imported Gaulish pottery (E-ware) were also recovered. This coarse, unglazed, wheel-thrown pottery originated in France and has a widespread distribution in late sixth-late seventh centuries AD deposits (Campbell 2007, 46). The pottery was found in association with Phoceaen Red Slipped Ware at Collierstown 1 (O’Hara 2008a) in early sixth-century AD deposits. A sixth century date for this feature seemed likely, being broadly contemporary F405 (Enclosure 1; AD 441-652; Beta 220115) and approximately contemporary with Enclosures 5 and 6 also (the latter enclosure dating to AD 605-769; Beta 219003; Appendix 5).

E-ware has traditionally being described as high-status table or kitchenware, as the native ceramic tradition in this period was still based on coarse handmade vessels more suited to storage of foodstuffs or cooking (Ryan 1973). Wooding (1996) reasoned the stratified society of early medieval Ireland might have required different ranks of nobleman to use vessels appropriate to their rank. It had a restricted distribution in Ireland until quite recently, being predominantly found in Eastern Ulster (reflecting a greater number of excavations there in the 1950's-1980's). There was a high density of find locations in Co. Down (Ballyfounder (Waterman 1958a), Downpatrick (Proudfoot 1954), Gransha (Lynn 1985), Lough Faughan (Collins 1955), Rathmullen (Lynn 1981-82), Spittal Ballee (Waterman 1958b)), but it is now found quite extensively across Ireland, for example Langford Lodge, Co. Antrim (Waterman
1963), Cathedral Hill, Co. Armagh (Gaskell Brown \& Harper 1984), Ballycatteen (Ó Ríordáin \& Hartnett 1943), Garranes (O’Ríordáin 1942), Garryduff (O’ Kelly 1963), Lisleagh, Co. Cork (Monk 1995); Dalkey Island (Liversage 1968), Cabinteely, Co. Dublin (Conway 1999), Gracedieu, Co. Dublin (Gowen 1989a), Rathgurreen, Co. Galway (Comber 2002) and Killederdadrum, Co. Tipperary (Manning 1984). It has been found elsewhere in Meath at Lagore (Hencken 1950), Moynagh Lough (Bradley 1991; 1995; 1996), Smithstown (Gowen 1989b), Colp West (Gowen 1989c, Murphy \& Clarke 2001) Painestown (O’Hara 2008c), and Ninch (McConway 2003; 2004) and Collierstown (O’Hara 2008a; see Appendix 18 for a full discussion of the E-ware pottery from this and other M3 sites).

The enclosure wasn't associated with any recognisable structural remains, although any such remains, had they existed, may not have survived cultivation during the post-medieval era. The recovery of E-ware and comb fragments suggests it may have been more than a simple livestock corral. There were two shallow curvilinear features (F276 and F285) in this area that may originally have been part of F282, but any original relationship between these features and Enclosure 2 was removed by ploughing. A minor re-cut (F250) at the eastern end of F282 contained alder charcoal, as well as an iron object, possibly a stylus (A008/002:251:1) and fragments of two iron knives (A008/002:251:2-3; see section 2.2.1)

### 2.1.2.2 Ditch F102

F102 represents a major re-cutting of F282 but was extensively truncated by later ploughing. It was V-shaped (up to 1.40 m wide x 0.40 m deep max) with six intact deposits (F101, F105, F109, F220, F269, F284; Figure 25). It retained the shape of the previous ditch (approximately 35 m east-west $\times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ north-south) with tentative evidence for an opening to the southeast. Animal bone was recovered (F101, F105, F109, F220; Appendix 6) along with charred oats and barley (F109, F220, F284) with occasional burnt hazelnut fragments (F109; see Appendix 10). Clinker and semi-vitrified fuel waste in F109 could indicate metalworking (see Appendix 10).

A bone pin or needle fragment (A008/002:109:1; Appendix 15) was recovered along with two iron artefacts, a key (A008/002:220:1; see section 2.2.1) and an unidentified fragment (A008/002:101:1; Appendix 2).

### 2.1.3 Enclosure 3

Enclosure 3 ( 42 m north-south $\times 45 \mathrm{~m}$ east-west) represented a considerable expansion of activity within Area A that replaced all previous activity relating to Enclosure 2 (Figures 7, 9, 26; Plate 8). It extended beyond the eastern limit of excavation; however, its full extent could be reconstructed from the pre-excavation geophysical survey. As the circuit of the ditch was not fully uncovered during excavation, the northern (F113) and southern arms (F326) of the ditch were recorded separately.

### 2.1.3.1 Ditch F113 \& re-cut F239

F113 had a U-shaped profile ( $45 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.95 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) in which three original deposits survived (F108, F152, F154; Figure 26). These contained traces of charcoal (alder, hazel, ash, Maloideae, cherry, oak, elder) and snail shell (see Appendix 10), as well as significant quantities of animal bone (F108, F152, F154; Appendix 6). An articulated bird skeleton (Gallus gallus) from the primary deposit (F108; Plate 9) was radiocarbon dated to AD 647775 (Beta 219002; Appendix 5). Artefacts included a bone awl (A008/002:108:3; Appendix 15) and two stone objects, an incised gaming board (A008/002:108:1) and a hone stone (A008/002:108:2; Appendix 16b). The gaming board was one of three examples forund at the site. This example (along with A008/002:151:2) was a -tafl game, with crudely incised gridlines scratched onto an irregular, flat slab of limestone. Thirteen full squares were discernible on its intact surface. Such boards are occasionally found in early medieval contexts, usually on stone or, where preservation allows its recovery, on wood. The closest parallels for the objects can be found at at Garryduff I, where an incised pattern on flat sandstone was uncovered from an eighth-century deposit (O'Kelly 1963) or a recently excavated example from Borris-in-Ossory, Co. Laois (Michael O’Droma, pers. comm.).

The ditch was re-cut (F239: $45 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and eight deposits were recorded (F107, F110, F144, F145, F147, F151, F157, F182; Figure 26). These had inclusions of charcoal (alder, hazel, ash, Maloideae, Salicaceae, cherry, oak and elder; F110, F144, F145, F151) and charred oat, barley and wheat grains (F107, F110, F144; see Appendix 10), as well as a large collection of animal bone (F110, F144-F147, F151, F157, F184; Appendix 6). Semi-vitrified fuel waste and hammerscale within the feature indicated ironworking (see Appendix 10). An articulated pig (Sus sp.) metatarsal bone from F144 was dated to AD 580-765 (Beta 219005; Appendix 5). Artefacts included a second game board (A008/002:151:2; see Illustration 6), an irregular slab of limestone with an inscribed grid of squares (Appendix 16b). Bone objects included pin or needle fragments (A008/002:144:1, A008/002:144:3), while iron knives (A008/002:110:1; A008/002:145:2), and various unidentified fragments (A008/002:144:2;
$\mathrm{A} 008 / 002: 145: 3 ; \mathrm{A} 008 / 002: 145: 4 ; \mathrm{A} 008 / 002$ : 151:1) were found. A flint blade (A008:002:145:1) was also recovered.

### 2.1.3.2 Ditch F326 \& re-cut F319

F326 (1.60m wide $\times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) was the equivalent ditch to F113 on the southern side of Enclosure 3. It was almost completely removed by two later re-cuts (F319 and F164) and was visible in one section along the eastern limit of the excavation area (Figure 26). It contained six deposits (F327-F332), which due to their later truncation provided little information. No artefacts, animal bone or environmental samples were recovered. F319 was a localised recutting of F326, and may originally have encompassed the entire eastern return of the ditch along the marsh edge. It contained a number of deposits (F320, F321, F323, F325) but was only visible in the section along the eastern limit of excavation, the remainder of the ditch removed by F164 (see below). It may correspond to F239 on the northern side of Enclosure 3.

### 2.1.3.3 Ditch F264

Also currently assigned to this group of features is F264 ( $17 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ deep), a ditch located at the western edge of Area A (Figures 7, 9, 26; Plate 10). It was severely impacted upon by a modern quarry (F266) and the scarped edge of the current N3. It had a V-shaped profile and contained four broadly similar deposits (F267 and F313-F315) none of which contained artefacts, while animal bone and charcoal were only present in trace amounts. The quarry removed any direct relationship between F264 and Enclosure 3.

### 2.1.3.4 Ditch F114

A second re-cut of Enclosure 3 enclosed a slightly larger area ( 42 m north-south by 52 m eastwest). As the entire circuit was not fully uncovered during excavation, the northern (F114) and southern (F164) arms were recorded separately. F114 ( $45 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.95 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was located immediately inside of, and slightly truncated, its predecessors F113/F239 (Figures 7, 9, 26). It contained nine dumped or accumulated deposits (F106, F111, F112, F148-F150, F153, F156, F181) that were characterised by high quantities of animal bone (F106, F111, F112, F150; Appendix 6), with charcoal (alder, hazel, ash, Salicaceae, cherry, oak and elder), snail shell and charred oats and barley grains (F106, F111, F112, F150; see Appendix 10).

Finds from this ditch included an iron knife (A008/002:153:2) and an unidentified iron object (A008/002:181:1). A selection of prehistoric flints included a Late Neolithic flake, (A008/002:150:1) and concave scraper (A008/002:153:1), as well as an undiagnostic blade (A008/002:106:1) and debitage (A008/002:181:2).

### 2.1.3.5 Ditch F164

F164 ( $38 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ wide $\times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was the southern equivalent of F114. It was dug through F326, removing much of that feature (Figures 7, 9, 26), the result of which was it's profile was less well defined than F114.

Each of its fills (F160-F163) contained large amounts of animal bone (Appendix 6), as well as quantities of charcoal (Hazel, ash, oak, elder, elm; F160, F161), mollusc (F160-F162), snail shell (F162), charred oats and barley grains (F160, F162; see Appendix 10) and slag (F160-F162; Appendix 20).

There was a varied assemblage of artefacts from this ditch. A selection of bone/ antler objects included pin fragments (A008/002:160:2; A008/002:162:3-4), antler (A008/002:160:1) and bone (A008/002:161:7) stamps, and a bone spearhead (A008/002:161:3). Stamps were intended to score the surface of an object, decorating it with parallel, combed lines. The complete bone stamp (A008/002:161:7) tapered to an indented oval terminal at one end and had three short teeth cut at the other end (see Appendix 15). The presence of designs at both ends of the object classes it as a Group 2 stamp (Knaut 1987, 467-70). Group 2 stamps are quite rare though examples are known from eighth to tenth century AD deposits in Britain, Holland and Germany. The second implement (A008/002:160:1; see Appendix 15) was a hollowed-out antler tine with a facetted pentagonal section. The narrow end has two diagonal lines incised into it. The resultant pattern from this object would have a domed centre with four lines radiating from it (see Appendix 15 for a full discussion).

Other objects included lignite (A008/002:161:1), a stone lamp (A008/002:162:2; Appendix 16b), an iron firesteel (A008/002:161:5), and an unidentified iron object/ fragment (A008/002:161:5). A firesteel was used in conjunction with flint to produce a spark and thus fire. The example from Enclosure 3 was a triangular-shaped piece of iron with up-turned ends (only one was extant however). An identical object was found within Enclosure 1 (A008/002:643:2; see Plate 70). These objects resemble two examples from Garryduff I (O'Kelly 1963), which closely resemble more decorative types found at Viking Age sites across Scandinavia and the Baltic (Peterson 1951). Further Irish examples are known from Ballinderry 1 (Hencken 1936) and Garranes (O’Ríordáin 1942) were mistakenly identified as a belt runner and a door fitting in their respective reports.

Non-ferrous objects included an offcut fragment of silver (A008/002:161:2), a decorated copper-alloy binding strip, with raised chevron decoration on its upper face (A008/002:162:1;
see section 2.2.1). Silver was used throughout the early medieval period, but was most common during the mid-ninth-10th century AD , when it was circulated as coinage, ornaments, ingots, and hack silver (Ó Floinn 1998) and was readily available through trade, tribute and exchange with Viking settlements at Dublin, Waterford and elsewhere (GrahamCampbell 1998; Sheehan 1998, Valante 2000).

### 2.1.3.6 Spread F119

A spread of charcoal-rich humic clay (F119: $6.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$.) with inclusions of burnt bone (Appendix 10) and fragments of bone pins or needles (A008/002:119:1-4) sealed a short linear gully (F274: 4.1m x $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$; Figures 9, 30). Alder from F119 was dated to AD 684-887 (Beta 229293; Appendix 5). Two pin fragments (A008/002:119:1-2) represent an early type of pin, with a characteristic swollen shaft, set above a taper to a sharp point. This is a feature of pins known from contemporary deposits in Ireland, England and Scotland (see Appendix 15 for full details). Both Foster $(1990,151)$ and MacGregor $(1985,121)$ have noted such pins (less than 70 mm in length, with hipped or swollen shafts) don't appear until the seventh century AD though possibly extending to the mid-eighth century AD (Riddler et al forthcoming).

### 2.1.4 Enclosure 4

Enclosure 4 ( 13 m northeast-southwest x 10 m northwest-southeast) was positioned centrally within Enclosure 3 in the medieval period suggesting that Enclosure 3 may have remained visible for some time (Figures 7, 9, 10, 27; Plates 8, 11). The enclosing ditch F134 had a Vshaped profile $(1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m})$ and enclosed a rectangular area approximately $130 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. If an internal bank of 1.50 m width were presumed, the usable internal area would have been reduced to around $70 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. There was no obvious entrance point. The ditch contained four deposits (F135, F136, F158, and F159), the stratigraphy of which suggested a short occupation followed by a prolonged period of natural silting (Figure 27).

F135 was primarily a prolonged accumulation of homogenous silt, with limited evidence for occupation in the form of three iron objects (A008/002:135:1-2, A008/002:135:4) and a bodkin-style javelinhead (A008/002:135:3) close to the base of the deposit (Plate 12). A sherd of 13th-century Dublin-type ware (04E0415:1) was retrieved from this deposit during the testing phase (McCutcheon 2005b). A further sherd of Dublin-type ware (A008/002:136:1, Appendix 19), and small amounts of animal bone (Appendix 6), charcoal (oak; F136) and snail shell (F135, F136, F158; Appendix 10) were also recovered. An articulated horse (Equus caballus) phalange from this feature was radiocarbon dated to AD 1450-1635
indicating the ditch was possibly still silting up as late as the 17th century (Beta 219004; Appendix 5).

A sub-circular pit (F178: $2.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.48 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was situated within this enclosure although it is highly probable that further remains were ploughed out in later periods. The indurated natural deposit into which this pit was cut resulted in irregular sides and base (Figures 10, 27; Plate 13). A large quantity of bone was recovered from the fill (F175), some of which was burnt, along with charcoal and charred seeds (Appendix 10). Two complete bone pins (A008/002:175:1, A008/002:175:5; Appendix 15), an iron blade fragment (A008/002:175:2; see section 2.2.1) and Local fine-ware (A008/002:175:4; Appendix 19) were recovered along with a retouched flint object (A008/002:175:3), a hone stone (A008/002:175:6) and a possible saddle quern fragment (A008/002:175:7).

The recovery of Dublin-type or Local fine ware from both features indicates a 13th- or 14thcentury AD date. One of the bone pins (A008/002:175:1) had a simple globular head that resembles early twelfth century AD pins date from Waterford (see Hurley 1997, 672; fig 175.42). An early twelfth century AD date for this pit is probably too early, considering the pottery evidence.

### 2.1.5 Enclosure 5

A sub-circular enclosure of approximately 20 m diameter abutted the southeastern side of Enclosure 2, and survived as three shallow, discontinuous and curvilinear ditches: F225 (10m x $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F288 ( $9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and F335 ( $9 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ), each of which was truncated by one or more later features. A terminal of F288 changed direction to avoid truncating F282, suggesting they were contemporary (Figures 7, 9, 28; Plates 8, 14). Charcoal from these features included alder and hazel, while charred oats and barley were also recovered (see Appendix 10)

### 2.1.6 Enclosure 6

Ditch F132 ( $21 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.63 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.54 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was open to the southwest and enclosed an area $10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{NE}-$ SW x 17 m NW-SE (Figures 9, 11, 28; Plates 8, 15). It may have functioned as a livestock corral. It was truncated by Enclosure 3 (see above), and was likely to be associated with Enclosure 2 (either F282 or F102). It contained two fills (F103 and F116, essentially the same despoit either side of the Enclosure 3 truncation). Both had inclusions of animal bone (Appendix 6), while a single flint object, a convex end scraper (A008/002:116:1) was recovered. An articulated skeleton of a dog (Canis familiaris) was placed on the base of F132
and was radiocarbon dated to AD 605-789 (Beta 219003; Appendix 5; Plate 16). There was no cut associated with this burial, nor was any disturbance noted to the cut or base of F132, which suggests it was laid directly on the base of an open ditch and covered with soil.

### 2.1.7 Enclosure 7

An arcing ditch (F172: $16 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$ ) may have originally linked Enclosure 2 with Enclosure 6 (Figures 7, 9, 28; Plate 8). It was truncated to the north by Enclosure 2 (F114) and to the south by F212. Its single fill (F137) had inclusions of charred barley grain, and charcoal (hazel, oak). A number of features were recorded within this arcing ditch, particularly two disturbed human burials (Burials I and II: see below), but also a number of gullies (F203, F204, and F214; Figures 7, 9, 30); however, these features were not stratigraphically related and could not be determined to be contemporary.

### 2.1.8 Enclosure 8

The full extent of this enclosure was not present within the limit of excavation. Formed by a V-shaped ditch F230 ( $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40-2.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ), it extended southeast from F326 beyond the western limit of excavation (Figures 7, 12, 29; Plates 8, 17). It pre-dated F164 and Enclosure 9 and was presumably been backfilled to facilitate an expansion of the site to the south with the excavation of Enclosure 9 (see below). The deposits within F230 (F192, F227F229) had a high quantity of animal bone and included a proportionately high number of cattle skulls (see Appendix 6). Fragments of a double-sided composite comb (A008/002:227:1-3) of Dunlevy's Class D (Dunlevy 1988, 358-361) were recovered. The side plates were decorated with paired diagonal lines forming a continuous chevron pattern, a common patterning around the ninth-tenth century AD. Similar decoration occured on a comb from Killickaweeney, Co. Kildare (Riddler and Trzaska-Nartowski 2008; see Appendix 15 for a full discussion).

### 2.1.9 Enclosure 9

This sub-rectangular enclosure was formed by two slightly curvilinear ditches (F187 and F196), both of which were subsequently re-cut (F345, F195); however, the point at which they intersected was truncated by a later ditch (F254/F272; Figures 7, 12, 29; Plate 8). The relationship between F187 and F164 was located beyond the eastern limit of excavation, where it was traced on the 2001 geophysical survey.

It enclosed an approximate minimum area of 15 m north-south x 34 m east-west and presumably a western return exists beneath the current N 3 . These ditches contained generally
less animal bone than earlier features, perhaps an indication of changing agricultural practices within the farmstead in this later period. Small quantities of animal bone were encountered (F186, F195, F197, F198'; Appendix 6); while a flint flake (A008/002:186:1) and two pieces of debitage (A008/002:186:2, A008/002:197:1) were also recovered.

NOTE: THE FOLLOWING ENCLOSURES (ENCLOSURES 10-16) WERE LOCATED IN AREA B

### 2.1.10 Enclosure 10

A small annexe enclosure on the east side of F405, located immediately south of the entranceway. It began as shallow, slightly curving ditch (F935: $27 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$, Figures $8,13,31$; Plate 18) detached from, but approximately concentric to, Enclosure 1. It was replaced by a rectangular-shaped annexe formed by ditches F936 ( $26 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$; Figures $8,13,31)$ and F1065 ( $23 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.47 \mathrm{~m}$; Figures $8,13,31$ ) with a narrow causeway between the terminals of each ditch presumably for access towards enclosures or features located to the east.

F936 did not extend as far the outside edge of F405. The gap between these features may represent an entrance. Both ditches contained animal bone (F941, F952, F961, F962, F1064; Appendix 6) with limited amounts of burnt bone (F1064) and charred oats, barley and wheat grain (F952; Appendix 10). The approximate size of this annexe at this stage was 25 m NWSE x 17 m NE-SW. It may have enclosed a small circular structure (Structure D; Plate 19; see below). A crucible fragment (A008/002:1172:1) used in non-ferrous metalworking was associated with this potential structure. A number of bone motif pieces were recovered from adjacent deposits within Enclosure 1 (F412, F414; see Appendix 15), suggest this annexe may have been involved in industrial activity associated with fine metalworking. Enclosure 10 was later replaced by the expansion of Enclosure 13 to the south.

### 2.1.11 Enclosure 11

The principal ditch/ annexe enclosure associated with F405 was the long deep ditch F1000 ( $63 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.34 \mathrm{~m}$ ), which extended from the southeast edge of F405 in a southwest direction before curving slightly northwards at the western limit of excavation (Figures 8, 14, 31; Plate 20). The fills within this ditch (F930, F1016, F1018, F1019, F1020, F1044, F1223, F1224, F1225, F1228) were generally quite sterile, with very occasional inclusions of animal bone in some deposits (F930, F1016, F1018, F1020, F1044; Appendix 6).

There was evidence for at least four minor re-cuts (F1011, F1015, F1017, F1025) prior to a major re-cut F901 ( $60 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.17 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ ), which also contained a number of relatively sterile
deposits (F929, F931, F1003, F1004, F1006, F1007, F1008, F1009), with only occasional inclusions of animal bone (F929; Appendix 6), charred oats and barley grains and charcoal (ash; F931, F1023; see Appendix 10). Maximum dimensions for this enclosure are not possible as much of it lay beyond the limit of excavation. The geophysical survey was no help in tracing the course of this ditch, as the survey readings for this part of the site were inconclusive. It's possible the ditches continued west for some distance and stopped, or returned to rejoin F405 in its western side.

### 2.1.12 Enclosure 12

This was a multiphased annexe approximately 35 m in diameter that abutted Enclosure 1 to the southeast (Figures 8, 15; Plate 21).

### 2.1.12.1 Ditches F645, F1250 \& F1330

It was formed by F645 ( 10 m (min.) x $1.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$; Figure 32) and F1250 ( $46 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m ; Figure 32), both of which contained a number of deposits (F644, F661, F964, F1145), bearing frequent inclusions of animal bone (F644, F661, F964; Appendix 6). F1330 (20m x $2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$; Figures $8,15,32$ ) was on the same alignment as F1250, but was separated by a thick outcrop of bedrock and it could not be determined if they were actually the same cut. F1330 contained a single fill (F1331) with frequent animal bone inclusions (Appendix 6). The enclosure was in use across a number of settlement phases, it was contemporary with both F405 and F404 and was, in its later stages, contemporary with Enclosures 10, 13 and 14.

### 2.1.12.2 Re-cut F945 \& F1547

Enclosure 12 was re-cut by F1547 ( $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and F945 ( $28 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.40 m ) with a further possible re-cut F1248 ( $18 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ ) at some stage to F945. Of these later ditches, F945 contained a significant amount of animal bone (F862, F963; Appendix 6), some of which was burnt (F963; Appendix 10).

Artefacts included iron knives (A008/002:862:1, A008/002:963:2; see section 2.2.1) and a fragment of a corroded iron object (A008/002:963:1; Appendix 2). An articulated cervical vertebrae from a cow (Bos taurus) from F963 was radiocarbon dated to AD 343-542; Beta 231959; Appendix 5) may be a little early for this particular ditch, however the bone could have been displaced from the initial deposits in F645 etc or possibly from the ditch F1315 (see below). This date may confirm an early to mid-sixth-century date for the initial phase of enclosure at Roestown 2, and that Enclosures 1 and 12 were conceivably constructed at approximately the same time. The southern side of this enclosure did not survive. Drainage
and reclamation works in the last century involved significant widening and deepening of the stream forming the towland boundary to Cooksland, and was responsible for the build up of reclamation deposit F932 (see Appendix 1).

### 2.1.12.3 F1315 \& Enclosure 12

The development of Enclosure 12 was very much linked with a curvilinear ditch F1315 ( 35 m x $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30-2.00 \mathrm{~m}$ ), which was located southeast of Enclosure 1 (Figures 8, 15) and which was only recorded for a short distance as it extended eastwards under the existing N3 and southwards, where it was removed by the modern reclamation outlined above. The ditch contained two largely sterile fills (F1316, F1320), with degraded flecks of animal bone present. It was re-cut by F1404 ( $17 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and contained similarly sterile deposits (F1313, F1314, F1405). The ditch was backfilled and cut by F945 (Plate 22) and also sealed beneath metalled surface F960 (Plate 23).

The ditch is undated, but could potentially pre-date Enclosure 1. The relationship between F1315 and Enclosure 12 has important implications for overall phasing the site. It could not be determined whether F1330 to the south of F1315 was contemporary with F1250, it whether it was a later addition onto F945. The re-cut Enclosure 12 maigt only have become circular in this later phase, having originated as an annexe ditch onto an ancillary enclosure (i.e. F1315/F1404).

An alternative interpretation would be that F1315 pre-dated Enclosure 12. Allowing that Enclosure 1 and Enclosure 12 originated at approximately the same time, this could make F1315 the earliest feature on site. No conclusive evidence for pre-sixth century AD deposits was uncovered during the excavation, although there was an extensive assemblage of prehistoric chipped stone artefacts.

### 2.1.13 Enclosure 13

A small enclosure ( $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was created by shallow ditch F951 ( $17.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m ) with sterile fills (F983, F1232) between Enclosures 10 and 12 (Figures 8, 12, 32). The enclosure was later extended ( $28 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) through a backfilled Enclosure 10 by the addition of F934 to the north end F951.

F934 ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) drained into F405, indicating both features were contemporary. Its fills (F946-F948) contained some animal bone (F946, F947; Appendix 6) and an unidentified iron object (A008/008:946:1; see Appendix 2).

### 2.1.14 Enclosure 14

This was a complex series of re-cut ditches. A minimum of 12 ditches was identified (F745, F748, F751, F764, F766, F900, F905, F1034, F1039, F1142, F1288, and F1326); however, the actual number was likely to have been much higher. Together they formed a rectangular enclosure abutting the southern side of Enclosure 1. It measured approximately 25 m northsouth $\times 18 \mathrm{~m}$ east-west (Figures 8, 14, 33; Plate 24) and extended upto the western side of Enclosure 12. It wasn't clear whether it was contemporary with the initial Enclosure 12 ditches or the later re-cut ditches. The earliest surviving ditches in this sequence were F745 ( $23.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.72-0.97 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F764 ( $22.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and F1039 $(9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ x 0.70 m ), but the chronological development of the enclosure was obscured by the large quantity of later re-cuts, many of which appeared to be haphazardly placed, and many bore no discernible association with adjacent re-cuts. Faint traces of shallow plough marks (Plate 69), indicating the cross ploughing technique, recorded within this enclosure could be contemporary and may reflect its use as an arable field. Animal bone was recovered from several deposits (F742, F744, F747, F750, F751, F753, F763, F765, F913, F1037, F1060, F1061, F1085; Appendix 6) but in limited quantities, with just trace amounts of charcoal (F987) and burnt bone (F744, F747, F987; see Appendix 10). Charred oats and wheat were identified recovered (F987).

Finds from these features included a possible quartz core (A008/002:747:1) and an Early Mesolithic flint flake (A008/002:998:1; Appendix 15) and a copper alloy mount (A008/002:765:1; Plate 71) in the shape of a ringed cross. This motif is commonly found in pre-Christian contexts of many cultures, but was adopted as the cross of the Celtic church in the early medieval period. It bears some resemblance to cross symbols on ninth-century croziers from Co. Antrim and Clongowes Wood, Co. Kildare (Henry 1967; Bourke 1987;). The closest parallel for this object is an item (NMI W1) found during turf cutting in a bog close to Aughrane or Castle Kelly, Co. Galway in the mid-19th century AD and sketched by Worsaae ${ }^{2}$. It is described as 'a bronze ring-mail object with a pair of distributor rings' ( 85 mm in diameter), each of which bears some resemblance to the Roestown 2 object. There is some similarity to the ?brooches worn by the ecclesiastical figure gracing the Corp Naomh (Henry ibid). McRoberts (1960-61) draws parallels between the Corp Naomh depiction and a carved stone inserted into a church wall at Invergowrie, Scotland where two clerics wear chasubles fastened at the shoulder by cross-marked disks (Boyle 1937-38). This Christian iconography of the piece cannot be overlooked, and might suggest the site had an ecclesiastical connection, at least during the ninth century AD .

[^1]
### 2.1.15 Enclosure 15

This rectangular enclosure ( 10 m north-south x 30 m east-west) on the southern side of Enclosure 1 replaced Enclosures 12 and 14 (Figures 8, 14, 16, 33, 34; Plate 24). The earliest surviving ditches F653 (20.70m x $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F955 ( $20.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and F958 $(14.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m})$ were later re-cut by F649, F684, F688, F691, F711, F762, F861, F954, F959 and F1290)

Animal bone was recovered in small quantities from a number of deposits (F650-F652, F683, F685, F686, F690, F713, F966, F969, F972; Appendix 6). Charcoal (F713, F996; alder, oak) and burnt bone (F647) was rare. There was an eclectic collection of objects from this enclosure, and included metal objects such as iron knifes (A008/002:686:1; A008/002:1291:2), a D-shaped copper-alloy buckle (A008/002:966:1) and a pin fragment (A008/002:972:1), a possible hollow scraper (A008/002:1291:3) and number of carved bone motif pieces (for what follows see Riddler and Trzaska-Nartowski; Appendix 15). The motif pieces included small fragments of cattle-bone (A008/002:685:1-3), with three carved motifs, including butterfly interlace motif and a narrow interlace panel with winged terminals and are likely to date to the eighth-early ninth century AD. The finest example however, was a horse radius (A008/002:1291:1):
o The anterior face has nine complete panels of designs, and several incomplete or four incised patterns. The patterns were chip-carved and included five triquetras, two interlace panels with winged terminals, a butterfly interlace panel and a rectangular panel of interlace with blunt ends. There were two panels of unfinished chip-carved interlace patterns and two areas with very vague incised outlines. Several lightly inscribed lines were initial outlines for triquetras.
o The medial face had two complete chip-carved panels of interlace. A lightly inscribed lines and markings are also visible. Two rectangular interlace panels with winged terminals and a butterfly interlace panel were also recorded. One of the motifs was polished from wear or handling and was scorched from where a hot implement had rested on the bone.

Triquetras, butterfly-interlace panels and two-strand panels with blunt ends all appear on a motif piece from Lagore (Hencken 1950, fig 95.324). The range of design and the execution of the patterns link the Lagore and Roestown objects quite closely.

### 2.1.16 Enclosure 16

A small addition ( $8 \mathrm{~m} \times 15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) to the east end of Enclosure 15 (Figures 8, 16, 34). It may be an additional enclosure abutting F955, or an extension of Enclosure 15 following the infilling of F955. It was formed by F954 ( $17.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and F959 ( $13.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.34 m ), the former containing small amounts of animal bone (F984), the latter unidentified charcoal and charred oat grains (F996; see Appendix 10).

### 2.1.17 Ditches F933, F254 \& F343 and later re-cuts

### 2.1.17.1 Ditch F933 etc

F933 was an east-west ditch ( $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ ), which ran extended eastwards from the outer edge of Enclosure 1, replacing Enclosure 13 (Figures 8, 13, 35; Plate 25). This probably occurred around the time of the second re-cut (F404), or possibly even during the final stages of F405. F933 began a sequence of ditch excavation (followed by F726, F784, F808, F811, F863, F864, F888, F1113, F1107, F1126, F1341) that continued into subsequent phases, with each re-cut generally shallower than preceding ditch. The ditches generally contained two or less deposits and had small quantities of animal bone (F792, F793, F887; Appendix 6) and some fragments of slag (F809; Appendix 20). This sequence of ditches was important when delimiting the backfilling of F404 prior to the re-cutting of Enclosure 1 (F450). F404 was partially backfilled south of the causewayed entrance prior to the excavation of re-cut ditch F450, but during this period, F808 ( $19 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ) truncated deposits within F404, before being subsequently cut by F450.

Finds included a translucent monochrome blue glass bead (A008/002:1081:1; Appendix 17), a copper-alloy loop-headed pin shaft (A008/002:809:1) and a detached twisted spiral ring (A008/002:809:2). These items weer found separately but are very likely to be part of the same original object. Others included a copper-alloy clasp bent around a small iron fragment (A008/002:1105:1) and a flint blade (A008/002:968:1).

F1531 ( $11.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was an easern extension onto the original terminus of F933, extending beneath the existing N3. The location and orientataion of these features are the first tangible evidence for enclosure between Areas A and B and could be evidence for the beginnings of integration between both areas. It is likely that the construction of F1531 was contemporary with the excavation of F254 and F342 in Area A (Figure 30, Plates 8, 26). F254 and its re-cut F272 were undated ditches that post-dated but respected the ditches associated with Enclosure 9.

### 2.1.17.2 Ditches F254 \& F343 etc

F254 ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$ ) extended south from F345/F195 for a distance of 25 m before turning eastward, beyond the limit of excavation. It contained three sticky clay deposits (F258, F260 and F262), each containing small amounts of fragmented animal bone. F272 ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) contained two fills (F259 and F261). It is possible that F1531 extending eastwards from Area B was connected with the expansion exemplified by F254.

F343 was an irregular cut ( $6.00 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~min}.) \times 1.44 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$; Figure 23) that extended westward beneath the N3 from the western end of F114. The ditch cut into deposits within F114, however this feature must have still been identifiable as aboundary if F343 was intended to extend the enclosure westward (Plate 26). It contained three sticky clay deposits (F301F303). It was re-cut by F341 ( 16 m (min.) x. 2.14 m wide x 0.49 m ), which contained largely similar fills (F299 and F300). Neither ditches contained artefacts or animal bone, possibly due in part to poor preservation conditions presumably related to the nature of the underlying subsoil.

### 2.1.18 Souterrain

A souterrain was centrally situated within Enclosure 1 (Figures 8, 17, 18, 36, 37; Plate 27). It comprised three dry-stone beehive chambers (Chambers 1-3), interconnected via three short passages (Passages 1-3). The structure incorporated a number of structural and defensive features common to this type of construction, which is well represented within the early medieval kingdom of Brega. The beehive style of souterrain construction has been long acknowledged to occur with high frequency in the greater Meath area, for example Bective, Kiltale, Knowth, Loughcrew and Newrath Big (see Clinton 2001). Recently excavated examples have been noted at Lismullin 1 (A008/021; O'Connell forthcoming), Painestown (O’Hara 2008c) and Ninch (Mc Conway 2003, 2004) in Co. Meath, and Balrothery in north County Dublin, where seven individual structures were recorded within or adjacent to an early medieval site at Rosepark (Ken Wiggans pers. comm).

Set within a deep cut F501, the builders purposely undercut the chamber walls in places to receive the dry-stone walls F503 and backfill F502. The passages tended to be straight-sided trenches. The entire structure would originally have been capped with large flagstones, but many of these had been deliberately removed with only nine capstones remaining in-situ at the time of excavation (The cahmabers and passages were numbered in the sequence in which they were excavated on-site).

### 2.1.18.1 Chamber 1

Chamber 1 was situated at the north end of Passage 1 and survived to a depth of 1.30 m (Figures 36, 37; Plates 28, 29). The dry-stone walling was a random, uncoursed construction built on a bed of 23 large, angular, limestone boulders (mean dimensions $0.20 \mathrm{mx} 0.33 \mathrm{~m} x$ 0.44 m ), including two vertically set, rectangular blocks (approximately $0.52 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{x}$ 0.21 m ), which marked the junction/ entrance with Passage 1. The entrance was tapered, decreasing in width from 0.68 m (top) to 0.83 m (base). The chamber walls rose vertically to a height of 0.50 m , where the diameter of the chamber was 2.50 m east-west $\times 2.20 \mathrm{~m}$ northsouth. It is estimated that to seal the chamber at the recorded corbelling rate of 0.40 m horizontally for 0.80 m vertically (1:2), would have resulted in a disproportionately high chamber. The depth of corbelling must therefore have increased significantly above 1.30 m .

The floor area within this chamber was 2.45 m north-south x 2.95 m east-west and comprised a natural clay surface, into which a circular pit F509 ( $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was cut. The fill of this feature was indistinguishable from the later backfill deposits above. The chamber floor was slightly domed at its centre, presumably to assist drainage by directing water to the side of the chamber.

The floor was sealed beneath loose black clay F507 ( 0.05 m deep), which had animal bone (Appendix 6), charcoal and charred seeds inclusions (Appendix 10). This build up of occupation debris was sealed beneath successive layers of backfill (F506 and F500). F506 was the initial backfilled deposit and included a number of collapsed wall stones as well as occasional larger stones, presumably displaced capstones. Among this collapsed superstructure were animal bones (Appendix 6) and fragments of iron objects (A008/002:506:1-2; Appendix 2). It was sealed by F500, loose clay similar to topsoil and containing further animal bones (Appendix 6), charcoal (Appendix 10), and a small fragment of copper alloy (A008/002:500:1), probably debris from bronze working).

### 2.1.18.2 Chamber 2

Chamber 2 was located 5.20 m south of Chamber 1 at the southern end of Passage 1. It was a sub-circular shape, 2.90 m north-south $\times 3.00 \mathrm{~m}$ east-west and survived to a maximum height of 1.39 m , at which height the chamber diameter was was 2.50 m north-south $\times 2.55 \mathrm{~m}$ eastwest. The trench for Chamber 2 was intentionally undercut and the walls built into a naturally corbelled cut. A basal course of 21 large boulders ranged from $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ to $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.50 m . The stones became progressively smaller towards the apex of the structure. As elsewhere, the wall was a random, uncoursed construction. The entrance was marked by
jambstones formed by two large, vertically set rectangular blocks placed on end $(0.19 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.53 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} ; 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.63 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$ ). At the point where corbelling for the roof began (at a height of approximately 0.52 m ), a stone-lined air vent (F534) was recorded, comprising six angular limestone blocks forming a narrow channel to the southwest (Figures 36, 37; Plate 32). The vent was 0.50 m long, but may have been originally much longer. A single pit (F533: $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was cut into the floor. Again, its fill (F532) was broadly identical to the lowest backfill deposit above it (F531). Above this layer, F530 appeared to be water-borne sediment washed down from Passage 1. F529 was a compact deposit containing collapsed superstructure from F503. Above this were later backfill deposits (F525, F526, F527, F529).

### 2.1.18.3 Chamber 3

Chamber 3 was located at the east end of Passage 2 (Plate 35). It was the most intact of the three chambers, retaining the lintel stone above the entrance and probably missing just one corbelling layer and the final capstone (Figures 36, 37; Plate 36), all of which were removed from the backfill within the chamber. It was the smallest of the three chambers, measuring 1.60 m east-west x 1.80 m north-south and surviving to a height of 1.30 m , at which point the chamber was 1.30 m east-west x 1.20 m north-south. Fifteen large boulders $(0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}-$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was formed the base of the chamber. The wall above this was random, uncoursed angular stone. The entrance was 0.55 m wide and 1.00 m high and covered by a capping lintel $(0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m})$. Within the chamber, corbelling began 0.70 m above ground level and inclined 0.20 m in the following 0.50 m . The floor was a beaten natural surface into which was cut an oval-shaped pit (F519: $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) that contained two fills (F520, F521). The pit was quite large in relation to the available floor space and may have been a storage pit. The floor was sealed by F518 ( 0.20 m thick), compact clay with animal bone (Appendix 6), burnt bone, charcoal (Appendix 10), and a number of heavily corroded iron objects (A008/002:518:1-7; Appendix 2). This deposit may be related to the occupation phase and was sealed by later backfill deposits F516 and F517, the latter containing moderate amounts of displaced superstructure, including two large capstones ( $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} ; 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.48 m ).

### 2.1.18.4 Passage 1

Passage 1 linked Chamber 1 and Chamber 2 to the south. It was 5.20 m long and tapered in width from the top $(0.49-0.70 \mathrm{~m})$ to the base $(0.82-0.95 \mathrm{~m})$. Its maximum depth was 1.28 m . The northern half of the passage was roofless; however, south of the junction with Passage 2 eight capstones F504 remained in situ (Figures 36, 37; Plates 30, 31). These large, flat, angular, limestone slabs spanned the passage, resting on either wall and secured with smaller
packing stones then sealed with F502. The preservation of F504 at this location may be due to the passage becoming deeper from this point, descending 0.47 m from the junction with Passage 2 to the entrance to Chamber 2. The roughly coursed walls were constructed with limestone blocks ranging from up to $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. The walls were generally well preserved, however some displacement or localised collapse had occurred along the western wall close to Chamber 1. Approximately 1.60 m south from the entrance to Chamber 1 was a junction with a second passage (Passage 2). This ran east-west and linked Passage 1 with Chamber 3. The entrance to Passage 2 was accessed via a trapdoor feature; hence the break in the east wall for Passage 1 was not tapered, but rather was a uniform 0.84 m wide at the top and base.

Backfilled deposits F506 and F500 filled Passage 1 as far as the junction with Passage 2 where the capstones remained in place. South of this point they petered out until the entrance to Chamber 2, where it merged with backfill deposits from that chamber. Finds from F506 within Passage 1 included two fragments of iron objects (A008/002:506: 1-2).

### 2.1.18.5 Passage 2

Passage 2 linked Passage 1 and Chamber 3. It ran east-west for a distance of 3.87 m and incorporated a trapdoor feature at the junction with Passage 1. The walls survived to roof level, although most of the capstones had been removed, a single slab ( $0.51 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~m}$ ) remained in situ over the trapdoor feature. The passage had a maximum depth of 0.86 m at the east end, narrowing to 0.52 m at the trapdoor feature. The lowering of the roof at this location was part of a complex of defensive features that focused on Passage 2 (and included a constriction and step at the junction of Passages 2 and 3, and a trapdoor at the junction of Passages 1 and 2). The trapdoor feature (Figures 36, 37; Plate 33) was 0.54 m deep. The hole was 0.68 m wide, with a ledge of 0.10 m between each side and the wall of the passage. This may have formed the base for a timber door or frame sealing the trapdoor as has been suggested for examples elsewhere (see Clinton 2001). The junction with Passage 1 was marked by larger stones at the base but was not as obviously demarcated as passage/chamber junctions (Plate 34). It was filled with F506 and F500. There had been some collapse of F503 along the northern side of the passage at the junction with Passage 3 probably caused by a post-medieval furrow (F701).

### 2.1.18.6 Passage 3

Passage 3 was the ramped entry passage into the souterrain. At the entry point, at its northern end where the height was 0.60 m and which descended to 1.40 m at the southern end. Access
was presumably through a gap in the capstones. The passage ran north-south from Passage 2 for a distance of 4.25 m and tapered in width from 0.75 m at the top to 0.89 m at the base (Figures 36, 37; Plate 37). The walls were preserved intact up to roof level; the eastern wall contained a small cubbyhole feature (F524: $0.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.52 \mathrm{~m}$; Plate 38). The southern end of the passage incorporated a width constriction, whereby the western wall tapered inwards reducing the width of the passage from 0.89 m to 0.62 m (see Plate 39).

This constriction was accompanied by a 0.85 m long shelf on either side of the passage. The shelf was 0.16 m wide on both sides and at a height above floor level of 0.74 m . The purpose of this shelf must have been to hold in place some form of roofing element, a large flat stone potentially, and possibly removed with the original capstones. A timber structure was also possible. Clearly a constriction to the height and width of the passage at this juncture would have significantly impeded movement into the souterrain, and was further obstructed by a 0.40 m high step at floor level at the end of the passage.

The passage contained the same type of floor found elsewhere in the souterrain. It was sealed by a 0.20 m thick deposit F 514 containing animal bone and charcoal that could be a mixture of occupation debris and post-occupation accumulation. Above this layer was a 0.12 m thick deposit F513 containing animal bone (some of which was burnt) and charcoal (Appendiox 6; Appendix 10). A small pit or posthole (F523: $0.34 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was located at the northern end of Passage 3.

A stick pin (A008/002:513:2) of O'Rahillys Class 8 (O'Rahilly 1998) was notable among the finds from this layer. The pin can be dated to the eleventh century AD and provides a possible indication of when the structure was backfilled. Other finds included a flint blade (A008/002:513:1), a fragment of iron (A008/002:513:4) and a perforated slate tile (A008/002:513:3), which resembles similar examples from stratified earlye medieval contexts at Ballycatteen, Co. Cork (Ó Ríordáin \& Hartnett 1943), which could potentially have been used to roof a structure in the vicinity of the souterrain. Unfortunately just one such example was recovered from Roestown 2, while the Ballycatteen assemblage ran to many hundreds. The paucity of further roof tiles may reflect collection and re-use of stone building materials for use elsewhere and fits in with the removal of capstones.

A disarticulated human cranium fragment was recovered from the backfill in this passage (see Appendix 7). The only evidence for human burial within the site came from Area A, where two heavily disturbed skeletons were identified. No evidence for burial was recorded within Area B and the original context for this bone is unknown.

### 2.1.19 Structures

Overall the evidence for structural remains was quite poor. A number of curvilinear gullies in the southern half of the site may potentially have been drip gullies associated with small domestic structures or workshops, while a cluster of postholes in the northwest corner of the site may suggest more robust structures in this area. It may be the case that the main habitation area was located elsewhere beyond the limit of excavation, or that it was situated on a higher piece of land that was subsequently been removed by ploughing or other activity. Currently, most of the potential structures are broadly dated to Area B: Phase 1 (see below), the artefacts from which suggest the site was supporting skilled craftsmen (bone motif pieces) and using imported continental pottery (E-ware), both of which are generally understood to be indicators of a high-status settlement. The structures conform to circular and rectangular types commonly encountered on excavation within this period.

### 2.1.19.1 Structure A

A curvilinear drip gully F387 ( 0.25 m wide x 0.20 m deep) enclosed an area approximately 7 m east-west $\times 6 \mathrm{~m}$ north-south and was interpreted as a drip gully surrounding a post-built structure (see Figures 8, 17, 38; Plate 40).

A great deal of the central and eastern portion of the feature was significantly truncated by later drains (particularly F383, F391, F622, F1361). Where the gully survived intact, two sterile deposits (F386, F1507) were identified, each containing small fragments of animal bone. Within this gully, and potentially associated with it, was an arrangement of six postholes (F350, F370, F374, F378, F1463, F1556) forming a roughly concentric pattern to F387. Unfortunately none of these posts could be dated. Further postholes (F360, F372, F1459) were located to the north of F387 that could be associated with Structure A. (This was not conclusive as another potential structure, the later Structure E (see below) was located adjacent to Structure A. Two later postholes (F393, F395) cut F387 highlighting the potential for stratigraphically isolated postholes in this area to derive from different phases of activity.

### 2.1.19.2 Structure B

A truncated semi-circular drip gully F885 ( 0.36 m wide x 0.21 m deep) enclosed an area approximately 4 m in diameter, and was interpreted as a small structure, possibly a small workshop (Figures 8, 19, 38; Plate 41). No associated features (postholes, stakeholes etc) were recorded. It pre-dated the internal subdivision of Enclosure 1, as it was truncated by ditch F603 (see Plate 42; see section 2.2.1? below)).

There was no obvious entrance, nor were there any associated artefacts. A small quantity of bone was recovered from its fill (F884; Appendix 6), which was otherwise devoid of environmental remains. It was potentially associated with shallow gullies gullies (F823 F825, F1112, F1162), probably representing small pens abutting the bank of F405. Surrounding these features were sporadic stone surfaces F817 ( $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F837 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F1187 (3.3m x 2.4 m$)$ and F1188 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ). These deposits may represent the truncated remains of former, more extensive, paths or surfaces. Fragments of E-ware recovered from F772 (A008/002:772:1) and F805 (A008/002:805:1) may be residual objects from this phase of activity (see 2.1.2.1 above).

### 2.1.19.3 Structure C

A collection of gullies F591 ( $4.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F667 ( $4.47 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m}$ max $\times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$ ), F834 $(0.59 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m})$, and $\mathrm{F} 843(1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m})$, formed a roughly rectangular pattern 7.00 m NW-SE $\times 6.50 \mathrm{~m}$ NE-SW, and may have been a drip gully around a non-extant structure (Figures 8, 19, 38; Plate 43). No postholes were recorded in association with these gullies. A significant portion of the internal area was truncated by later features (F570, F593, F595, F677), post-medieval furrows, and a test trench from an earlier phase of archaeological investigation, each of which had the potential to remov evidence for associated features.

Animal bone was recovered from F590 and F665; however, environmental sampling failed to yield further remains. Finds from these gullies included a copper-alloy pin fragment (A008/002:590:1; see section 2.2.1) and an iron nail (A008/002:665:1; Appendix 2). The structure is potentially associated with linear F564 ( $9.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.24 \mathrm{~m}$ ), in which small quantities of animal bone were identified (F562, F563).

### 2.1.19.4 Structure D

Two semi-circular drip gullies F707 ( $0.96 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.41 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$ ) and F1216 ( $4.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.47 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.27 m ). Together they may have defined a small circular structure approximately 4 m diameter (Figures 8, 13, 38; Plate 44). It may have functioned as a workshop beyond Enclosure 1, and was potentially involved metalworking (a crucible fragment was recovered from one gully; A008/002:1172:1). Bone trial pieces were recovered from contemporary deposits within F405 (A008/002:412:1; A008/002:414:4; see Appendix 15) immediately west of this structure, further suggesting the structure/area was associated with metalworking. The potential structure was within the annexer enclosure Enclosure 10 (see above). The gullies were truncated by later features (F934, F1241).

### 2.1.19.5 Structure E

An arrangement of postholes was located immediately northwest of Structure A (Figures 8, 17, 38; Plate 45). It consisted of approximately eight circular postholes (F352, F356, F368, F1472, F1474, F1476, F1478, F1480) forming a definite L-shape and interpreted as a structure abutting the enclosing bank. Each posthole cut F1521, bank material associated with F404 and/or F450. This suggested Structure E was later in the site sequence than Structure A. A small pit F364 was potentially associated with this structure, the fill of which (F363) contained animal bone (some of which was burnt) and charcoal fragments (see Appendix 10).

### 2.1.20 Kilns

Four cereal-drying kilns (F677, F698, F776, and F832) were excavated within Area B, three of which were situated within the southern half of Enclosure, although from different phases of activity, while a fourth was south of the main enclosure within Enclosure 11. The kilns could be further distinguished based on the shape, with three of the kilns identified as 'figure-of-eight' or 'dumbbell' kilns, and the fourth being a 'keyhole' or 'tobacco pipe' kiln.

### 2.1.20.1 Kiln F667

F677 was a 'keyhole' or 'tobacco pipe' kiln, comprising a sub-circular pit approximately $2.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ with steep vertical sides and an irregular flat base (Figures 8, 19, 39; Plate 46). It was radiocarbon dated to AD 687-937 (Beta 246966; Appendix 5). A 2.10 m long flue exited this cut from the south, running in a north-south direction, however the southern end of the flue, presumably where the fire spot was located, was truncated by modern ditch (F577). Seven deposits (F654-F656, F670-F673) survived intact within the kiln, though there was some truncation bay a later pit (F682), which cut through the deposits, an event that signalled the end of F677 as a working kiln (see Plate 47).

The deposits within the feature suggested a well-constructed kiln, the interior of which was lined by a thick band of clay (F672, F673), perhaps to improve insulation within the drying chamber. Both deposits contained moderate amounts of animal bone, which may have been intentionally included to act as temper. Above this lining, successive slumped deposits (F654, F655, F656, F670, F671) may have originally formed part of the kiln superstructure that collapsed into the kiln. These deposits contained quantities of animal bone (F654, F655, F670, F671; Appendix 6), burnt bone (F655, F656, F670) and charcoal (F655, F656, F670; Maloideae, cherry; see Appendix 10) and included an iron knife (A008/002:656:1) and unidentified iron object/fragment (A008/002:671:1; see section 2.2.1), and a flint flake (A008/002:654:2). These objects could be residual artefacts from earlier phases of activity, but may also indicate that the collapsed kiln was subsequently used for rubbish disposal.

An interesting feature of this kiln was a roughly circular cut (F682) through the collapsed deposits at the centre of the kiln, through the clay lining, to the floor of the kiln. This has been interpreted as an effort at salvaging a drying crop following collapse of the kiln. Unfortunately, a number of environmental samples (F655, F656, F670, and F671) yielded animal bone and charcoal, but just two charred barley grains. This is unusual as one may expect to find charred plant matter from previous usage and it may indicate the kiln was exceptionally maintained throughout its life, or that it served some function other than cereal drying. Animal bone within F577 and F682 may reflect the temporary use of the kiln as a dump. The kiln was most likely contemporary with re-cut Enclosure 1 ditch F404 and internal division ditch F642 (see below). It cut Structure C and was truncated by the internal division ditch F571 (see below).

### 2.1.20.2 Kiln F698

F698 was a form of 'figure-of-eight' kilns, with the kiln was contained within an oval cut $2.51 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ (Figures 8, 14, 39; Plate 48). It had irregular sides, being steep and concave along the northern edge, yet more gradual and to the south, east and west. It contained three deposits (F695-F697). The west end had a burnt deposit (F697) with inclusions of charcoal (hazel/ alder) and charred oats and barley grains overlay a partially oxidised base. An associated flue was imperceptible, perhaps as a result of later disturbance, while further charred grains were found in the deposit (F696) at the east end of the feature. This feature was located outside Enclosure 1 and was radiocarbon dated to AD 546-656 (Beta 246967; Appendix 5)

### 2.1.20.3 Kiln F776

F776 was a 'figure-of-eight' kiln ( $2.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) comprised of two conjoined circular chambers separated by a slight ridge along the base (Figures 8, 19, 39; Plate 49). The feature had vertical sides with a concave base and was orientated east-west. The base of each chamber was heavily oxidised and the presence of a stone socket at the midpoint perhaps indicated the former presence of a baffle stone, used to prevent sparks from the fire spot reaching the drying rack, which in this instance seemed to be positioned over the eastern chamber. It contained four deposits (F773-F775, F777), with a significant quantity of charred oats and barley (see Appendix 10) sieved from F777, the primary fill within the kiln, and primarily collected from the eastern chamber. This feature was radiocarbon dated to AD 573688 (Beta 246968; Appendix 5). This kiln suggests some cereal processing was carried out within Enclosure 1 in its early phases.

### 2.1.20.4 Kiln F832

F832 was also 'figure-of-eight' shaped, with the characteristic narrowing of its midpoint (Figures 8, 19, 39; Plates 50, 51). It was orientated north-south ( $2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.26 m ) and contained four deposits (F828-F831), the lowest of which (F830, F831) were present only within the northern chamber and contained a small quantity of charred oats and barley. The fire spot was located within the southern half of the feature, which was partially truncated by F821, a late ditch post-dating but approximately coterminous with F450 (see above). Allowing for its proximity to the inner edge of F404, it is more likely that this kiln was contemporary with the initial Enclosure 1 ditch F405, perhaps associated with gullies F825 and F1112.

### 2.1.21 Subdivision of Enclosure 1

The interior of Enclosure 1 was divided into smaller units by a number of ditches. Subdivision of the interior may have been undertaken from the initial phase of enclosure (see section 2.1.21.1 below), but the interior of was clearly in a state of flux, and the total area partitioned within Enclosure 1 expanded and contracted over the lifetime of the settlement.

### 2.1.21.1 F1271, F1267 \& F735

Two successive ditches (F1271, F1267) were identified along the western limit of excavation. Both were extensively truncated by F550 (see 2.1.21.2 below), and further exploration of their position in the site stratigraphy was hindered by their location at edge of excavation (Figures 8, 17, 40; Plate 52). It is likely that they preformed some partiton function, prior to the excavation of F550 during the ninth century AD (see below).
o The earliest of these features was F1271, a north-south ditch ( $23 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28-$ 0.55 m ) with a U-shaped profile. It contained two fills (F1272, F1273), the latter of which contained some burnt animal bone (Appendix 10) and Late Mesolithic flint flake (A008/002:1273:1; Appendix 14).
o It was succeeded by F1267 ( $14.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ), markedly more curvilinear than F1271, it had a U-shaped profile and contained three fills (F1268-1270), none of which contained any artefacts. It extended beyond the western limit of excavation to the south, while to the north it was truncated by F735, a deep section of ditch that was exposed for a short distance before running beyond the limit of excavation.
o F735 contained four fills (F736, F1182-F1184), each of which contained animal bone (Appendix 6) and a number of common early medieval artefacts, including a translucent light grey-blue glass barrel bead (A008/002:1182:1; Appendix 17), fragments of lignite (A008/002:736:1-2), an iron knife (A008/002:736:3; see section 2.2.1) and an iron bar (A0087/002:1183:1; see Appendix 2). The evidence for the relationship between F735 and F550 was removed by F1319 (see below).

### 2.1.21.2 Ditch F550

These earlier ditches were replaced during the ninth century by F550 (Beta 246965; AD 8891022; see Appendix 5). This was re-cut on two successive occasions (F1319, F770). F550 ( $32 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.70-3.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was C-shaped ditch with a V-shaped profile, steep sides and a concave base that enclosed an area approximately $18 \mathrm{~m} \times 20 \mathrm{~m}$ (based on an amalgamation of measurements from excavations and the geophysical survey; see Figures 8, 17, 40; Plates 5355). It was located close to the western limit of the site; so much of the area it enclosed was beyond the current area of excavation. No obvious means of access into this enclosure was recorded, though any entrance might have been located in the unexcavated area

The lower deposits within F550 remained largely intact despite later re-cuts. Six deposits were recorded (F549, F551, F552, F733, F1208, F1298), in which quantities of animal bone (F549, F733; Appendix 6), charcoal (alder, hazel, oak; F549, F1298) and burnt bone (F549; Appendix 10) was identified. A copper-alloy needle (A008/002:733:1; see section 2.2.1) and a double-segmented or 'dumb-bell' bead (A008/002:552:1), one of two from the site (the other being A008/002:400:44), with one further example from the scheme generally (at Castlefarm, see Appendix 17). These types of bead are well represented in Ireland and examples were ercovered from Lagore (Hencken, 1950, p.139, Fig.67, no.1471). Hencken $(1942,51)$ considered them buttons or toggles rather than beads.

F550 was potentially contemporary with F1194 ( $5.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ), a drain that extended northeast from the outer edge of F770, a re-cut of F550. Whether it was contemporary with F550 was not clear. It contained two fills (F1193, F1194), both of which produced trace amounts of animal bone (Appendix 6 ). F1260 ( $5.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was a re-cut of F1194. F1191 ( $6.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was a further re-cut of the feature.

### 2.1.21.3 Ditch F1319

F1319 ( $16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00-3.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was the first re-cut of F550. This U-shaped ditch contained six deposits (F547, F548, F1299, F1303, F1304, F1305, F1312), in which small amounts of animal bone (F547, F1305, F1312; Appendix 6), burnt bone (F545) and charcoal (F547, F548, F1299, F1312) were recovered (Appendix 10). An unidentified iron object (A008/002:1312:1; Appendix 2) was the recovered artefact. Charred grain from the lowest deposit in F1319 was radiocarbon dated to AD 783-1018 (Beta 246964; Appendix 5). There is some overlap with the date from F550 (see above), but both serve to confirm the general use of this feature between the eighth to 11th century AD.

### 2.1.21.3 Ditch F770

F770 ( $16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was a further re-cut, shallow and U-shaped ditch, with five fills (F542, F545, F546, F771, F1321). Animal bone (F546, F771, F1321; Appendix 6), burnt bone (F545), charcoal (hazel, ash, oak; F545, F546) and charred oats, barley and wheat graina (F545, F546) were recovered (see Appendix 10). Snail shell was recovered from F771. Following the infilling of F770, a large pit F1310, which contained fragments of iron objects (A008/002:1301:1-2; Appendix 2), animal bone (Appendix 6) and slag (Appendix 20) was cut through it.

Artefacts included an iron knife (A008/002:545:1-3; see section 2.2.1), a pierced copperalloy belt plate (A008/002:771:1; see section 2.2 .1) and a fragmentary but largely complete single-sided Class B bone comb (A008/002:1321:1-20; see Appendix 15; Plate 56). The large comb has broad, D-shaped side plates, that curve and taper towards each end. The decorative motifs adorn each side and comprised bands of vertical and diagonal lines set in two registers and bounded by vertical incised lines (see Appendix 15). The comb is of a style that occured across most of northern Europe in the later 10th and early 11th century AD (Tempel 1969, 929), though aspects of its design (iparticularly the presence of prominent saw marks) suggested the comb was locally made. It compares well with several combs from Dublin, Lincoln and York (Riddler and Trzaska-Nartowski forthcoming; White 1981, fig 6; MacGregor 1982, fig 49.528; see Appendix 15 for a full discussion of this comb).

### 2.1.21.4 Ditch F603

The southeast corner of Enclosure 1 was also partitioned by a series of curvilinear ditches in what seemed to be a deliberate effort of segregate the remainder of the interior from the agricultural activities that developed there during Phase 2 (see Figures 8, 19, 41; Plate 57). F603 was the first ditch in this sequence. It was a steep-sided curvilinear cut but was largely removed by a later re-cut F642. Just two original deposits survived (F600, F601). Finds included interlocking copper-alloy rings (A008/002:601:1) and an iron awl (A008/002:601:2; see section 2.2.1). Each had small quantities of animal bone (Appendix 6).

### 2.1.21.5 Ditch F642

F642 ( $35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was a steep sloped curvilinear ditch that replaced F603. It contained eight deposits (F643, F676, F723, F800, F803-F805, and F847), in which an eclectic array of artefacts was noted. These included wrought iron pin shafts (A008/002:643:5, A008/002:643:11), a 'latch-lifter' (A008/002:643:7; description after Ó'Ríordáin 1949; see section 2.2.1), an iron loop (A008/002:643:3), an iron knife
(A008/002:643:4), an iron nail (A008/002:643:10) and assorted iron objects (A008/002:643:2, A008/002:643:6, A008/002:643:8-9, A008/002:643:12-13; see Appendix 2). Non-metal finds included a rim sherd of an Ei jar (A008/002:805:1; Appendix 18) and a bone needle (A008/002:643:1; Appendix 15). Quantities of animal bone (F600, F601, F643, F676, F800, F803-F805; Appendix 6) were recovered, some of which was burnt (F643, F676, F800). Charcoal (alder, hazel, ash, Maloidaea, cherry, Salicacaea, elder, oak; F643, F800), charred hazelnuts, oats and barley grains (F643, F800; see Appendix 10) and slag (F643; Appendix 20) were also recovered.

### 2.1.21.6 Ditch F1104

F1104 ( $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) replaced F642. It was a shallow U-shaped ditch that followed the line of the earlier ditch, but was slightly shorter. It contained two deposits (F566, F858). Both deposits contained animal bone (Appendix 6) and burnt bone (Appendix 10), while F566 had inclusions of charred oats and barley, hazelnut shells and charcoal (alder, hazel, ash, Maloidaea, cherry, Salicacaea, elder, oak; see Appendix 10).

An interesting mixture of artefacts was recovered. Iron objects included knives (A008/002:566:4, 24), a socketed blade (A008/002:566:19-21), buckle fragments (A008/002:566:2, 22), a needle (A008/002:566:1) and assorted unidentified fragments (A008/002:566: 5-7, 9, 10, 12, 14). A complete copper alloy ringed pin (A008/002:566:15) with a distinctive twisted/ribbed spiral ring was also recovered (see section 2.2.1).

Non-metal objects included a bone pin or needle (A008/002:566:18; Appendix 15), a fragment of lignite (A008/002:566:23), a crucible fragment (A008/002:566:8), a partial opaque yellow bead (A008/002:566:3; Appendix 17). Three Early Mesolithic flint flakes (A008/002:566:13, 16, 17) were also recovered (see Appendix 14).

### 2.1.21.7 Adjustments due to re-cutting of Enclosure 1

Each of these ditches (F603, F642 and F1104) appeared to respect the inner edge Enclosure 1 (in this period likely to be F404). Each stopped approximately 5 m west of this inside edge, suggesting these ditches had extended up to the bank associated with F404 (surviving elsewhere as F1531; see above). There may have been an entrance at this point.

The eastern terminal of F1104 was re-cut by F675, this feature extending upto the edge of the Enclosure 1 ditch. The excavation of F675 can only have taken place when the associated bank with Enclosure 1 (F404) was not there. The implication being that at some period
between the excavation of F1104 and F675, the bank inside the Enclosure 1 ditch was removed allowing for the extension of the subdivision ditch as far as the cut edge of Enclosure 1. It has already been shown that F 404 was partially backfilled prior to the excavation of F450. This is certainly the period in which this alteration took place.

This small area of the site is a key piece of evidence in the extension of the siote in the period between Phases 2 and 3, a period which witnessed important morphological changes in the both parts of the settlement, and ultimately the amalgamation of previously separate portions of the site the site into an overall, unified complex.

### 2.1.21.8 Ditch F571

The above partition eventually became redundant and was replaced by F571 ( $23.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ x 0.40 m ), a curvilinear ditch that enclosed a smaller area ( 15 m north-south x 12 m east-west) than its predecessor (F603/F642/F1104; see Figures 8, 19, 41; Plate 57). Its single fill (F570) had inclusions of animal bone (Appendix 6), charcoal (ahzel, ash) and charred oats (Appendix 10). Artefacts included a limestone pounder (A008/002:570:1), characterised by a pitted work surface at one end. Stone tools are poor chronological indicators and this object cannot be accurately dated. A small fragment of amber (A008/002:570:2; see Plate 75) may have been part of a bead or pendant.

The Vikings imported amber from the Baltic region; however, Irish merchants may have traded for amber prior to the ninth century (Edwards 1990). Amber was used sparingly in preninth century AD contexts, only becoming more widespread following the establishment of Viking settlements in Dublin and elsewhere. Nearly 4000 pieces of amber were recovered from Hiberno-Norse levels at Wood Quay (Harvey 2006). That amber was a rare and valuable commodity in pre-Viking Age deposits can be inferred from its general absence from the excavated assemblages of otherwise wealthy secular settlements or its scarcity among the assemblages of other high status sites. Ballycatteen (Ó Ríordáin \& Hartnett 1943), Carraig Aille I (Ó Ríordáin 1949) and Garryduff I (O’Kelly 1963) each produced single, fragmented items, with Ballinderry 2 (Hencken 1942) and Lagore (Hencken 1950) notable for having 14 and 16 beads respectively, probably indicating the relative wealth of these sites in the period, Lagore recorded as the seat of the kings of Southern Brega. F571 was never re-cut, although F593 and F595 could indicate attempts at restructuring or expanding the area, albeit minimally (see Figures 8, 19). Cereal-drying kiln F776 (see above) was located within this area and could be a contemporary feature.

### 2.1.22 Metalled Surfaces

Within Area A, disturbed areas of metalling were recorded at different locations. Most were tiny, less than 0.30 m in diameter. The largest area F255 ( $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.00 \mathrm{~m}$ ) may perhaps have been more extensive, as many of the features in this area of the site were badly truncated by later agriculture (Plate 58). F255 was sealed by the deposit of occupation debris (F119; see above). F119 could represent a build up of occupation debris over a floor surface. Other areas of metalling (F179, F180) were noted beneath the remains of the two, undated, very disturbed human burials.

Within Enclosure 1 there were notable concentrations in the vicinity of Structures C and D (F706: $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.65 \mathrm{~m} ; 2.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.15 \mathrm{~m} ; \mathrm{F} 817: 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$ x 0.60 m (Plate 59); F837: 1.50 m x 1.00m; F1170: $2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$; F1187: $3.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.40 \mathrm{~m}$; F1188: $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ (Plate 60)). These were probably paths between, or around structures. Again, they were very patchy and certainly more extensive in antiquity. Larger areas of metalling that may have been work or yard surfaces.

F492 was a stone surface that was laid on top of F438 within F450 (Plate 61). It extended for a distance of approximately 15 m and was 2.50 m wide (max.). The deposit of small-medium, subangular stones appeared to be a path rather than a floor or working surface, but was not noted beyond this section of ditch. As it sealed F450, it was potentially quite late in the site sequence. Animal bone was recovered from the top of this deposit, but could be residual. A blue barrel bead (A008/002:492:1) was recovered (see Appendix 17). Blue, segmented glass beads are relatively common on early medieval sites in Ireland, having been found at Lough Gur (O Riordain, 1949, p.90, Fig.19, no.91), Lagore (Hencken, 1950, p.141; Fig.67, nos.51, 680, p.139), Garryduff (O’Kelly, 1963, p.69, Fig.13, nos. 484,485; p.76) and Deer Park Farm, Co. Antrim (Hamlin \& Lynn, 1988, p.47, Fig.56). However, only two were identified at Roestown, and nowhere else along the M3 scheme. An Early Mesolithic flint flake (A008/002:492:2; Appendix 17) was also embedded in the surface.

F960 $(8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 7.00 \mathrm{~m})$ was the most extensive area of metalling within the site (Plate 62). It sealed ditch F1315 and was within the area enclosed by Enclosure 12. The surface was sealed by F993, a dark occupation deposit with frequent animal bone inclusions (Appendix 6), and iron objects, including a chisel (A008/002:993:1), a bracket (A008/002:993:2) and fragment of an object (A008/002:933:3). A flint flake and retouched object (A008/002:993:4-5) were also recovered.

A smaller area of metalling F994 ( $2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) approximately 10 m north of F960 may also be contemporary. F1337 ( $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was located beyond the main enclosure ditch and immediately south of an annexe ditch F1485 and was cut by a later ditch F1336 (Plate 63). It may represent a work surface or small yard.

### 2.1.23 Human remains

Two poorly preserved inhumations had been truncated by post-medieval ploughing. A partial grave cut (F166) survived around Burial 1. Both burials were laid on metalled surfaces (F179 and F180). Burial 1 was possibly laid out in a supine manner on a west-east orientation. The preservation of bone in both burials was too poor to permit radiocarbon dating. There was no further evidence of formal human burial within the remaining excavated portion of the site although a disarticulated human cranium fragment was also found in backfill deposits within the souterrain in Area B (see Plate 66; and Section 2.1.18 above).
o Burial 1 was the remains of a juvenile (approximately 10-12 year old) of indeterminate sex. It survived as a number of skull fragments, including portions of the frontal lobe and mandible. Fragments of the left humerus were also present (Plate 64).
o Burial 2 was the remains of an adult of undetermined sex (Plate 65). It consisted of skull fragments, as well as bones from the left (metacarpals and phalanges) and right hands (a phalanx). The poor preservation of the remains limited the pathological analysis that could be undertaken; however, both burials presented evidence of iron deficient anaemia (see Appendix 7).

### 2.1.24 Hearths

Hearths were not commonly identified and were found exclusively beyond the main enclosure. A case may be made for them being truncated cereal drying kilns, as they all had a high number of charred grains. The date of these features is not clear but the proportions of barley and oats in them indicate they are probably early medieval. A small area of oxidsed clay in Area A (F256: 1m x 0.3m) and two further deposits (F1076, F1077) in Area B survived as small areas of oxidised subsoil (see Plates 67, 68).

F1311 ( 1.13 m diameter x 0.22 m deep) was a more substantial circular cut containing three deposits (F1307-F1309; Plate 69) with inclusions of charcoal (oak) and charred oats and barley grains (see Appendix 10).

### 2.1.25 Additional ditches within Enclosure 1

The northwestern area of Enclosure 1 was a confusion of intercutting ditches, gullies and drains, the interpretation of which was never satificatorily resolved. Many of the features were re-cut during their use (see Figures 8, 17, 42, 43). Full details of these features are available in Appendix 1.
o F1490 ( $5.2 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~min}.) \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.58 \mathrm{~m})$ was a truncated arcuate ditch largely removed by F403 and F389 ( $6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the later probably intended as a re-cut of F1490. Its truncation by F403 places both F1490 and F389 between Phases 1-3. F389 was later truncated by F1365 and F1379.
o F1365 ( $12.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was a curvilinear ditch that ran south from the southern terminal of F389. It pre-dated a similar orientated ditch F1361 (13.40m x $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m}$ ), located immediately to the west. F1361 may have replaced F1365 but it is noteworthy that they were stratigraphically separated by a small gully F366. As F1365 cut F389, a Phase 2 or 3 was likely.
o F1368 (4.00m $\times 0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m})$ and its re-cut F1371 (4.50m $\times 0.72 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m})$ were short curvilinear gullies that were instrumental in tying together a number of disparate stratigraphies in this area.
o F1368 truncated both F1361 and F383 ( $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50-0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the latter is noteworthy for truncating F387 (part of Structure A; Phase 1).
o F1371 was cut by F1393 ( $10.50 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{~min}.) \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$ ), the earliest feature in a sequence of east-west ditches located between F550 and F660. F1393 was replaced by F1279 (7.80m x c. $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m})$
o F1279 was largely truncated by F607 ( $8.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ). A bone pin (A008/002:689:1) was found in F607.
o F1400 (4.60m $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m})$, a short gully, truncated F383 and F550, removing the relationship between these two features.
o F629 (10.80m $\times 1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m})$ was a shallow ditch that cut F1393 and F1371, but was truncated F1279. Unusually, F629 had two large pits (F638, F1397) cut into its
base. There were no indications this was part of a fence or structure, and while one other instance of a similarly large pit was found on the site (F1414), there was no surviving evidence that they were related.
o F581 was among the latest features in the F1393 sequence, its single fill (F580) containing a bone pin or needle fragment (A008/002:580:1). It was cut by pit F1294 ( $2.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.66 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ). F581 was pre-dated F1194 and its re-cuts (F1191, F1260), all of which were potentially associated with F550.
o F366 ( $14.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) was a NNW/SSE running curvilinear drain containing three fills (F367, F1497, F1498) each producing some animal bone. It post-dated F1365, F1492 and residual bank material F1521. It was cut by later drains F1361 and F362, the latter ( $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$ ) could potentially be a re-cut of this feature. It also contained animal bone and an iron pin fragment (A008/002:363:1).
o Ditch F660 ( $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ ) contained glassy residue, possibly from glass working and an iron knife (A008/002:1266:1; see section 2.2.1). A later re-cut F622 ( $22.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) contained fragments of a copper-alloy pin shaft (A008/002:620:1; see section 2.2.1), a number of corroded iron objects (A008/002:620:3, A008/002:620:5; see Appendix 2), a partially completed loom weight (A008/002:620:2; see Appendix 16b), a bone pin or needle (A008/002:620:4; Appendix 15) and finally flint debitage (A008/002:619:1; Appendix 14).

### 2.2 Finds

Preservation at the site was was suffiecient enough to ensure the survival of iron, copper alloy, glass, bone and wood, and consequently a diverse collection of objects were recovered from the site. Objects subsequently deemed non-aqrchaeological are not included in the table below (see Appendix 2 for the complete list of artefacts from the site).

| Artefact type | Approximate <br> No. |
| :--- | :---: |
| Ferrous metal | 178 |
| Non-ferrous metal | 31 |
| Lithics | 140 |
| Bone/ Antler objects | 47 |
| Stone objects | 36 |
| Glass/ Amber (Beads) | 11 |
| Wooden objetcs | 2 |
| Crucibles | 3 |
| E-ware | 5 |
| Medieval pottery | 34 |
| Post-medieval pottery | 62 |
| Clay pipe | 20 |

Table 1: Breakdown of artefacts from Roestown 2

Relative quantities of artefacts from Roestown 2


Table 2: Breakdown of artefacts from Roestown 2 by type and quantity.
2.2.1 Catalogue of conserved metal artefacts

| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dress Accessories |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:135:1 | ?Pin | Fe | Ditch F134 | A/ 6a | C13th-C14th | L33mm. Formed by single strand of iron doubled up tp form the shaft with the strands separating into individual elements to form a figure-of-eight head $(10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 7 \mathrm{~mm})$ which projects out from the shaft. | Complete object |
| A008/002:400:17 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Shaft bent slightly below midpoint. L102mm. Shaft circualr cross-section 2 mm tapers into fine point. Circular loop 4mm diameter. | Ring absent |
| A008/002:400:51 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Shaft fragment. L50mm $\times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter | Tip present |
| A008/002:400:64 | Pin shaft | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L60mm. Diameter 2mm. Tapers. | Both ends broken |
| A008/002:400:65 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L50mm. Diameter 2mm. Beginnings of loop head visible at top of shaft | Both ends broken |
| A008/002:400:76 | Pin shaft | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L68mm. Diameter 2mm. Tapers. | Both ends broken |
| A008/002:400:83 | Ringed pin | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L78mm. Shank diameter 2 mm . Tapers to fine point. Flattened twisted looped head approx 11 mm in diameter. | Similar object found at Castlefarm |
| A008/002:400:92 | Pin shaft | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L40mm. Diameter 2mm. Bent at 90 degrees. | Both ends broken |
| A008/002:401:4 | Pin shaft | Fe | Lower topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L40mm. Shank diameter 2mm. Broken. Tip not present. Loop headed. 4mm diameter. | Tip broken. Ring absent |
| A008/002:401:15-16 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Lower topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L44mm. Diameter 2mm. Circular cross section | Tip present. Piece broken in two. |
| A008/002:401:22 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Lower topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Shaft fragment. L55mm $\times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter | Tip present |
| A008/002:417:2 | Pin shaft | Fe | Ditch F404 | B/ 2a | C8th-C9th | L90mm. Diameter 3mm. Tapers to fine point. Loop headed. | Loop broken |
| A008/002:429:1 | Ringed pin | Cu Alloy | Ditch F405 | B/ 1a | C6th | Shaft bent slightly above midpoint. L80mm. Shaft oval cross section $3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ tapers into blunt point. Circular loop 3mm diameter. Plain ring 14 mm in diameter. | Complete |
| A008/002:438:7 | Belt fitting | Cu Alloy | Ditch F450 | B/3a | C10th | $12 \mathrm{~mm} \times 12 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pierced CU alloy plate. | Fragment |
| A008/002:473:9 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Ditch F450 | B/ 3a | C10th | Shaft fragment. L20mm $\times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter | Both ends broken |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:476:2 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Ditch F450 | B/ 3a | C10th | Polyhedral head with collar, decorated with well-worn raised beading (bramble). Shaft bent below midpoint. Two sockets on side of head. L112mm. Head $8 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 7 mm . Sockets $3-4 \mathrm{mmin}$ diameter. | Similar to finds from Dublin dated to early C11th AD (Fanning 1994, 111, fig. 93) |
| A008/002:491:1 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Ditch F404 | B/ 2 a | C8th-C9th | Shaft fragment. L39mm $\times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter | Both ends broken |
| A008/002:513:2 | Stick pin | Cu Alloy | Souterrain | B/2 |  | L80mm. 3mm diameter tapers into fine point. Watchwinder decoration on stud head Class 8 (O'Rahilly 1998, 28; fig. 12). | Complete. Examples from Dublin dated to C11thC13th. Found in backfilled souterrain |
| A008/002:566:15 | Ringed pin | Cu Alloy | Ditch F1104 | B/2c | C8th-C9th | Shaft bent slightly above midpoint. L55mm. 3mm diameter tapers into blount point. Head flattened and expanded to form circular loop 3 mm diameter. Spiral ring 15 mm in diameter of twisted copper alloy wire giving a distinctive ribbed appearance. | Complete. |
| A008/002:615:1 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Linear F616 | n/a | unknown | L85mm. Shaft has oval cross-section $3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ tapering to fine point. Bent at tip. Shaft flattens towards looped head 5 mm in diameter. | Complete shaft. Ring missing |
| A008/002:620:1 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Ditch F622 | n/a | unknown | Shaft fragment. L45mm $\times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter | Both ends broken |
| A008/002:643:5 | Pin shaft | Fe | Ditch F642 | B/2b | C8th-C9th | L93mm. Shaft has square cross-section $3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ tapering to fine point. Bent at tip. Crook-loop headed | Complete shaft. Ring missing |
| A008/002:643:11 | Pin shaft | Fe | Ditch F642 | B/2b | C8th-C9th | L50mm. Diameter 1mm. Crook-loop headed. |  |
| A008/002:771:1 | Belt fitting | Cu Alloy | Fill of ditch 770 | B/ 3c | C10th-C11th | $14 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Pierced CU alloy plate. | Fragment |
| A008/002:809:1 | Spiral ring | Cu Alloy | Ditch F808 | B/ 2d | C9th-C10th | Spiral ring 20 mm in diameter, partially unwound. Twisted copper alloy wire giving a distinctive ribbed appearance. | Complete. Very likely to be associated with 809:2 |
| A008/002:809:2 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Ditch F808 | B/ 2d | C9th-C10th | Shaft bent below midpoint. L105mm. Circular crosssection 3mm. Tapers to fine point. Loop headed. 4 mm diameter. Head bent but complete | Complete. Very likely to be associated with 809:1 |
| A008/002:966:1 | Buckle | Cu Alloy | Ditch F955 | B/ 2d | C9th-C10th | D-shaped buckle. L38mm. B17mm. W4mm. T3mm. Flatted concave cross section on one side. Circular on other. |  |
| A008/002:972:1 | Pin shaft | Cu Alloy | Ditch F958 | B/ 2d | C9th-C10th | Shaft fragment. L49mm $\times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter | Both ends broken |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Knifes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:18 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 34mm T 2mm W 5mm. Blade: L 55mm T 2mm W 9mm. Type: 1b | Quite degraded |
| A008/002:100:91 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 27 mm T 2 mm W 5 mm . Blade: L 30 mm T 2 mm W 10mm. Type: 1 e | Complete. Miniature blade |
| A008/002:110:1 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F239 | A/3b | mid-late C7th | Tang: L 33 mm T 2 mm W 4 mm . <br> Blade: L 55mm T 2mm W 12mm. Type: 1e | Complete |
| A008/002:145: 2 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F239 | A/3b | mid-late C7th | Tang: L 42mm T 2 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 51 mm T 2 mm W 12 mm . Type: 1 b | Blade damaged \& tip broken |
| A008/002:153:2 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F114 | A/4a | C7th-C8th | Tang: L 30 mm T 3 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 85mm T 3mm W 14mm. Type: 1c | Complete. Tang bent. |
| A008/002:175: 2 | Knife | Fe | Pit F178 | A/6a | C13th-C14th | Tang: L 30 mm T 3 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 65mm T 2mm W 14mm. Type: 1b | Blade damaged \& tip broken |
| A008/002:235: 2 | Knife | Fe | Unknown | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 10 mm T 1 mm W 6 mm . Blade: L 80 mm T 2 mm W 13 mm . | Tang partially present |
| A008/002:251: 2 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F250 | A/1b | C6th | Tang: L 50 mm T 1 mm W 7 mm . <br> Blade: L 65mm T 3mm W 11mm. Type: 1b | Complete |
| A008/002:251: 3 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F250 | A/1b | C6th | Tang: L 45mm T 2mm W 6mm. Blade: absent Type: 1e? | Tang only. Blade missing |
| A008/002:400: 2 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 48mm T 2 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 85mm T 3mm W 15mm. Type: 1a | Complete |
| A008/002:400:12 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 35 mm T 2 mm W 6 mm . <br> Blade: L 85mm T 3mm W 15mm. Type: 1c | Remains of wooden handle adhering to tang. Tip bent. |
| A008/002:400:18 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 35 mm T 4 mm W 7 mm . Blade: L 62mm T 3mm W 10mm. Type: 1a | Tang and blade ends broken |
| A008/002:400:71 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 55mm T 2mm W 6mm. <br> Blade: L 70mm T 2mm W 10mm. Type: 1e | Complete |
| A008/002:400:90 | Knife | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Tang: L 7mm T 3mm W 7mm. <br> Blade: L55mm T 3mm W 12mm. Type: 1a | Tang and blade ends broken |
| A008/002:417:1 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F404 | B/2a | C8th-C9th | Tang: L 33mm T 2 mm W 6 mm . <br> Blade: L 70mm T 2mm W 13mm. Type: 1e | Complete. Tang tip broken but present |
| A008/002:429:3 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F405 | B/1a | C6th | Tang: L 30mm T 2 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 59mm T 4mm W 11mm. Type: 1b | Complete |
| A008/002:438:6 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/3a | C10th | Tang: L 25 mm T 2 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 64mm T 2mm W 12mm. Type: 1b | Blade tip broken |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:455:1 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/3a | C10th | Blade: L 52mm. T 4mm W 12mm. Type: 1e | No tang present |
| A008/002:476:3 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/3a | C10th | Blade: L 38mm. T 5mm W 13mm. Type: 1b | Tang and blade ends broken |
| A008/002:545:1-3 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F770 | B/ 3c | C10th-C11th | Tang: L 20 mm T 2 mm W 4mm. Blade: L 32mm T 2mm W 11mm. <br> Type: Unknown | In 2 pieces. In 3 pieces originally. Re-adhered by conservator |
| A008/002:566:4 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F1104 | B/2c | C8th-C9th | Tang: L 32mm T 2mm W 6mm. Blade: L 55mm T 4mm W 10mm. Type: 1e | Complete |
| A008/002:566:24 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F1104 | B/2c | C8th-C9th | Blade L 46mm (min) T 5mm W 12mm | Fragment of blade only |
| A008/002:656:1 | Knife | Fe | Kiln F677 | B/2a | C8th-C9th | Tang: L 40mm T 3mm W 7mm. <br> Blade: L 85mm T 2mm W 10mm. Type: 1e | Complete |
| A008/002:686:1 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F688 | B/2d | C8th-C9th | Tang: L 35mm T 3mm W 9mm. Blade: L 67mm T3mm W 10mm. Type: 1b | Tang broken |
| A008/002:736:3 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F735 | unknown | unknown | Tang: L 27 mm T 2 mm W 3mm. Blade: L 42mm T 2mm W 8mm. Type: 1e | Complete. In two pieces |
| A008/002:963:2 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F945 | B/ 1c | C6th-C7th | Tang: L 42mm T 3mm W 6mm. <br> Blade: L 64mm T 3mm W 10mm. Type: 1b | Complete |
| A008/002:1266:1 | Knife | Fe | Linear F660 | unknown | unknown | Tang: L 40 mm T 3 mm W 5 mm . <br> Blade: L 60mm T 3mm W 9mm. Type: 1e | Tang broken |
| A008/002:1291:2 | Knife | Fe | Ditch F1290 | B/2d | C8th-C9th | Tang: L 30 mm T 4 mm W 6 mm . Blade: L 60mm T 2mm W 13mm. Type: 1d | Tang and blade ends broken |
| A008/002:1296:1 | Knife | Fe | Pit F1294 | unknown | unknown | Tang: L 30 mm T 4 mm W 6 mm . <br> Blade: L 60mm T 2mm W 13mm. Type: 1d | Complete |
| Socketed Blades |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:1 | Socketed Blade | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | Socket L 48mm. T 2mm. W 12mm. Blade: L 60mm. T 4mm. W 10mm. C-section socket | Socket broken. Traces of wood in socket |
| A008/002:566:19-21 | Socketed Blade | Fe | Ditch F1104 | B/2c | C8th-C9th | Socket L 45 mm . T 2 mm . W 16 mm . <br> Blade: L 62 mm . T 5 mm . W tapers from 20 mm to 13mm. C-section socket | Socket and blade ends broken. Re-adhered into 1 piece by conservator |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horse Trappings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:52 | Shoe | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | L 59mm T 4mm W 20 mm . Evidence for square nail hole. | Fragment only. |
| A008/002:447:1 | Shoe | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/3a | C10th | L 40mm T 3mm W 20 mm . Tip only. | Fragment only. |
| A008/002:518:1 | Shoe | Fe | Souterrain | B/2-3 | C8th-C10th | L 40mm T 2mm W 24 mm . Tip only. Large headed nail present 18 mm diameter. | Fragment only. |
| A008/002:566:2 | Buckle pin | Fe | Ditch F1104 | B/ 2c | C8th-C9th | Loop headed buckle pin. L32mm, W4mm, T3mm. Crook-shaped loop 5mm in diameter. Rectangular cross section, tapering to blunt point. | Pin complete. Buckle missing |
| A008/002:566:22 | Buckle pin | Fe | Ditch F1104 | B/ 2c | C8th-C9th | Loop headed buckle pin. L34mm, W4mm, T3mm. Pear-shaped loop $3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$. Rectangular cross section, tapering to blunt point. | Pin complete. Buckle missing |
| Tools |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:161:5 | Firesteel | Fe | Ditch F164 | A/4a | C7th-C8th | L 75mm. T 5mm at base, tapering to less than 1 mm in central section. $W$ at centre section 17 mm | Partially surviving. Ends originally curved, with a triangular shaped centre section. |
| A008/002:401:11 | Firesteel? | Fe | Lower topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | U-shaped iron strip, the ends of which were bent together and formed into a pointed tang. L93mm. T4mm. Slot dimensions $42 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Tang L25mm. W7mm tapering to 2 mm . Commonly encountered artefact and has been described as slotted punches. | Known from Cahercommaun, Lagore Carraig Aille II, Oldcourt but also from Scottish and Pictish sites. Likely date C7th-C9th AD. See main text Section 2.2.1 |
| A008/002:601:2 | ? | Fe | Ditch F603 | B/ 2a | C8th-C9th | Fragment of iron object. Square cross section tapering into point. L54mm. W4mm. T4mm. | Possible awl. End broken |
| A008/002:643:2 | Firesteel | Fe | Ditch F642 | B/2b | C8th-C9th | L 80mm. T 4 mm at base, tapering to 1 mm at tip of central section. W at centre section 19 mm | Complete. Ends lopped with arms rising inwards to a triangular shaped centre section. |
| A008/002:993:1 | Chisel | Fe | Occupation spread | B/ 1c | C6th-C7th | L 75 mm . Squared section 8 mm wide tapering into flattened blade 13 mm wide. | Complete |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lock Keys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:181:1 | Key | Fe | Ditch F114 | A/4a | C7th-C8th | L 46mm (min). Shaft diameter 4mm. Loop missing. Shank bent to form bit |  |
| A008/002:220:1 | Key | Fe | Ditch F102 | A/2 | C8th-C9th | L 54mm (min). Shaft diameter 3mm. Loop max W 10mm. (Type 5; Hurley et al 1997, 535) | Shank missing. |
| A008/002:255: 2 | Key | Fe | Deposit | A/6a? | C13th-C14th | Shank and shaft fragment of barrel padlock key. L $43 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm} \times<2 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick. Rectangular sectioned shaft. (Hurley et al 1997, 534) | Fragment. Shank and shaft fragment only present. |
| A008/002:400:84 | Key | Fe | Topsoil/ Area B | n/a | unknown | Expanded shank of barrel padlock key. L $16 \mathrm{~mm} x$ $11 \mathrm{~mm} x<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ thick. Square sectioned shaft $3 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 3 mm . Type 1? (Hurley et al 1997 , 534) | Fragment. Shank and shaft fragment only present. |
| A008/002:401:2 | Key | Fe | Lower topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L37mm. Bow absent. Shaft circular cross section 3 mm . Solid bit. Date unknown | Fragment |
| A008/002:438:5 | Key | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/3a | C10th | L50mm. Flattened cross-section circular cross section $3 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. | Fragment |
| Weaponry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:135:3 | Javelin | Fe | Ditch F134 | A/ 6a | C13th-C14th | Socket: L 115mm. Evidence for a nail driven through it. Maximum extant socket diameter 20mm. Tapers to 10 mm at base of javelin head. Base of javelin rectangular section $10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 12 \mathrm{~mm}$. Javelin head 120 mm long. Tapering to point 2 mm wide (tip damaged slightly). | Bodkin style. Some damage to socket. Traces of wooden shaft within socket. |
| Mount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:765:1 | Mount | Cu Alloy | Ditch F766 | $B / 2 a$ | C8th-C9th | CU alloy mount in the shape of a ringed cross (Celtic cross). Centre of cross was squared (10mm $x 10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) while each of the four terminals was expanded into rectangular ( $10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) shape. Total diameter 60 mm . 2 mm thick. The back of the object had a slight rim along its circumference with a rounded triangular lug ( $10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 7 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), each with a central perforation ( 2 mm ) behind each terminal. | Complete. See main text Section 2.2.2 |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Needles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:566:1 | Needle | Fe | Ditch F1104 | B/ 2c | C8th-C9th | Wrought iron pin. L 57mm. T 1mm. Witdtyh tapers from a largely undifferentiated oval head 4 mm wide to point along shaft 2 mm . | Part of shaft/tip missing |
| A008/002:590:1 | Needle | Cu Alloy | Gully F591 | B/ 1a | C6th | L23mm. 1mm diameter. Tapers to fine point | Tip only present |
| A008/002:733:1 | Needle | Cu Alloy | Ditch F550 | B/ 3a | C10th | L48mm. 1mm in dialmeter. Oval eyelet 3mm long. | Slight damage to eyelet |
| Miscellaneous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:74 | ?Stylus | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | L75mm. Circular cross section 4 mm . One terminal tapers into a blunt point The other has been flattened into a rectangular shape. | Possible writing/incising implement |
| A008/002:100:77 | Loop | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | L45mm. Two expanded terminals. Mean size $28 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 16 mm . Each with a central circular perforation 3mm diameter |  |
| A008/002:133:1 | ?Stylus | Fe | Topsoil: Area A | n/a | unknown | L65mm. Circular cross section 4 mm . One terminal tapers into an edge. The other has been flattened into a triangular shape $26 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Possible writing/incising implement |
| A008/002:161:2 | Offcut | Au | Ditch F164 | A/4a | C7th-C8th | Off-cut from silver object. Object shows signs of been beaten into roba prior to cutting. L120mm. W5mm. T1mm. |  |
| A008/002:162:1 | Strip/ Binding | Cu alloy | Ditch F164 | A/4a | C7th-C8th | CU alloy strip with raised chevron decoration on its upper face. Portions of two circular holes at either end are robably the remains of tackoles for securing the object. L75mm. W5mm. T1mm. |  |
| A008/002:251:1 | ?Stylus | Fe | Ditch F250 | A/1b | C6th | L80mm. Flattened rectangular cross section $3 \mathrm{~mm} x$ 2 mm at one end tapering into fine point at other end |  |
| A008/002:400:21 | Hook | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L55mm. Lozenge-shaped hook with tip bent. 2 mm thick. |  |
| A008/002:401:7 | ?Stylus | Fe | Lower topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | L73mm. Circular cross section 4 mm . One terminal is a blunted point. The other has been flattened into a rectangular shape $34 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 1 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Possible writing/incising implement |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:416:1 | Stud | Cu alloy | Ditch F404 | B/ 2a | C8th-C9th | Tanged copper alloy stud. Tinned surface. 13 mm diameter. 4 mm thick. Triangular tang 6 mm long |  |
| A008/002:426:1 | Wire | Cu alloy | Ditch F405 | B/ 1a | C6th | Wire. L20mm. 1mm diameter |  |
| A008/002:438:1 | ?Stylus | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/ 3a | C10th | L100mm. 3mm. Flattened at one end, pointed at the other. Similra to other objects recovered. See above. |  |
| A008/002:473:2 | Ring | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/ 3a | C10th | C-shaped ring. 58 mm in diameter. One terminal rounded. The other pointed. W18mm max. T5mm |  |
| A008/002:474:1 | Nail | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/ 3a | C10th | Nail. With circular head. L45mm. Square shaped section $4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Head 16 mm diameter. |  |
| A008/002:598:2 | ?Strap/ buckle fitting | Cu alloy | Ditch F557 | B/ 6 | unknown | CU alloy object. Curved with square hole at one end set within a recess. The other end tapered into a small crown shaped motif. There was a small broken latch at the back. L41mm. Max width 7 mm . Max thickness 2mm. | Likely to be postmedieval (Michael Ryan pers. comm.) |
| A008/002:601:1 | Interlocking links | Cu alloy | Ditch F603 | B/ 2 a | C8th-C9th | Interlocking CU alloy links. Possibly part of a chain. 8 mm diameter and 6 mm diameter |  |
| A008/002:643:3 | Loop | Fe | Ditch F642 | B/ 2b | C8th-C9th | Length of iron bent into a looped-shape. Square section. L 28mm. T 2 mm . Loop formed an oval aperture $7 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$. |  |
| A008/002:643:7 | ?Latch lifter | Fe | Ditch F642 | B/ 2b | C8th-C9th | Object with expanded flattened head perpendicular to curved shank. L70mm. Rectangualr cross section $4 \mathrm{~mm} \times 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ tapering to sharp point. Expanded terminal $10 \mathrm{~mm} \times 16 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | Complete object. A similar object at Carraig Aille II was described by O'Riordain (1949; 75, fig. 11, no.483) as a 'latch lifter'. Possibly also Garranes (O'Riordain (1942; 106, fig. 9, no.259) |
| A008/002:643:10 | Nail | Fe | Ditch F642 | B/ 2b | C8th-C9th | Iron nail with circular head. L 16mm. Square section 2 mm . Head 16 mm diamter | Fragment only. Similar to A008/002:474:1 |
| A008/002:1105:1 | Clasp | Cu alloy | Ditch F1113 | B/ 2b | C8th-C9th | CU alloy clasp bent around an incomplete Fe fixture. L32mm total, bent completely around Fe object L15mm x T2mm. |  |


| Find No. | Object | Material | From | Phase | Date | Specifications | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unidentified |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:135:2 | ? | Fe | Ditch F134 | A/ 6a | C13th-C14th | Fragment of iron object. L93mm. W4mm. T4mm |  |
| A008/002:145:4 | ? | Fe | Ditch F239 | A/3b | mid-late C7th | Fragments of iron object. | Broken. To damaged to interpret |
| A008/002:145:4 | ? | Fe | Ditch F239 | A/3b | mid-late C7th | Fragment of iron strip. L74mm. W12mm. T2mm. Iron tack driven perpendicularly through it. |  |
| A008/002:161:4 | ? | Fe | Ditch F164 | A/ 4a | C7th-C8th | Curved iron object L115mm. Rectangualr cross section $5 \mathrm{~mm} \times 4 \mathrm{~mm}$. One terminal split into forked shape. |  |
| A008/002:400:19 | ? | Fe | Topsoil: Area B | n/a | unknown | Fragment of iron object. Rectangular cross section L43mm. W4mm. T1mm. |  |
| A008/002:473:7 | ? | Fe | Ditch F450 | B/ 3a | C10th | Fragment of iron object. Square sectioned bar bent to 90 degrees. L60mm. W5mm. T4mm. Corroded head $17 \mathrm{~mm} \times 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ at one end. | Door fitting? |
| A008/002:506:1 | ? | Fe | Souterrain | B/2 | unknown | Fragment of iron object. L73mm. W4mm. T3mm |  |
| A008/002:506:2 | ? | Fe | Souterrain | B/2 | unknown | Fragment of iron object. L50mm. Diameter 2 mm |  |
| A008/002:615:2 | ? | Fe | Linear F616 | unknown | unknown | Fragment of iron object. L180mm. W7mm. T4mm |  |
| A008/002:671:1 | ? | Fe | Kiln F677 | B/ 2a | C8th-C9th | Fragment of iron object. L70mm. W4mm. T4mm |  |
| A008/002:862:1 | ? | Fe | Ditch F945 | B/ 1c | C11th | L90mm. W 10 mm T 2 mm . Expanded at one end. Latch lifter? |  |
| A008/002:893:2 | ? | Cu alloy | Linear F894 | B/ 4 | C11th | Fragment of CU alloy object. L12mm. W10mm. $\mathrm{T}<1 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  |

## 3 DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Pre-rath activity

None of the stratified or dated features at the site conclusively pre-dated the early medieval period. There was, however, an overwhelming amount of evidence for chipped stone artefacts representing the Mesolithic through to the Bronze Age, which are dealt with in great detail in Appendix 14. The immediate landscape was clearly a focus for prehistoric settlement extending back to the Mesolithic, with the early communities exploiting the resources of the prehistoric lakeshore situated immediately east of the site that would later become marshland known as Redbog, an approximate extent of which can be derived from the 1836 Ordnance Survey map (Figure 3). The flint assemblage of Roestown can be divided into four groups:
o Early Mesolithic (microliths, blades and flakes)
o Late Mesolithic (which includes a distally trimmed flake)
o Early Neolithic (leaf/lozenge-shaped arrowheads, retouched artefacts, platform cores)
o Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age (hollow-based arrowheads, plano-convex knife, hollow \& concave scrapers, micro disc scrapers, retouched bipolar blades, flakes, scalar and bipolar cores.

It is highly likely that the Early Neolithic artefacts may have been associated with an occupation of a Neolithic house at the site, the remains of which were highly disturbed by later activities. Elements of the assemblage, especially the LN/EBA material, compares well to that found at Roestown 1 (A008/001; Nolan 2006), a disturbed burnt mound 100 m northeast of the site.

### 3.2 Early medieval background

The early medieval settlement at Roestown was a wealthy and prosperous farm/settlement situated within the kingdom of Brega, adjacent to Lagore crannog, which was a major centre of political power between the seventh and tenth century AD. Brega was most likely claimed by the Déisi Temro at the outset of the sixth century AD. By the seventh century AD , political power in Brega was seized by Síl nÁedo Sláine of the Southern Uí Neill, who were at the peak of their political influence during the seventh century and much of the eighth century $A D$, when they held the kingship of Tara seven times, until they were eclipsed by their distant relatives, Clann Cholmáin (Byrne 1968). They remained the dominant power in Brega until the beginning of the 11th century AD however, when Clann Cholmáin extended their power directly over the kingdom. By this time, however, the kingship of Brega was more often claimed by the Saithne or the Déise, resurgent dynasties which had been displaced by Síl
nÁedo Sláine in the seventh century (Bhreathnach 1999). By the eighth century AD, internal dissent and split Síl nÁedo Sláine into three separate kingdoms. The Uí Chonaing, became the kings of 'Ciannachta', and ruled over an area coterminous with the baronies of Lower \& Upper Duleek from their caput at Knowth. The Síl Dluthaig retained an area around Oristown known as the kingdom of Fir Chúl Breg (roughly the baronies of Morgallion, and Lower \& Upper Kells), while the kingdom of South Brega was controlled by the Uí Chernaig (See Table 3)


Table 3: Síl nÁedo Sláine of the Southern Uí Néill (Anne Connon; pers. comm.)

The titles given to the Uí Chernaig dynasty in the annals include 'kings of South Brega' and 'kings of Lagore'. The first claim to the title 'king of South Brega' by Síl nÁedo Sláine is not recorded until c. AD 727 (Annals of Tigernach), while the title 'king of Lagore' is not recorded until c. AD 785. Price (1950) believed, probably erroneously, that the two titles were one and the same (Dr. Anne Connon; pers. comm.). Recent study has identified four chief royal lines within the Uí Chernaig. Two of the four lines, the descendants of Fergus son of Fogartach, and the descendants of Flann Foirbthe, only ever receive the title 'king of Lagore' after AD 800. Likewise, the descendants of Cummuscach (d. AD 797) son of Fogartach and the descendants of Conall Grant, son of Niall are always called 'king of South Brega' after AD 800. This pattern suggests the kingships of Lagore and South Brega were not automatically synonymous (see Table 4). Presumably, when the kingship of South Brega was
held by the descendants of Flann Foirbthe or Fergus, the two were synonymous. Similarly, when the kingship of South Brega was held by the descendants of Conall Grant or Cummuscach, the caput of South Brega was elsewhere, for example Galtrim, in the barony of Deece, where the descendants of Conall Grant were based. The caput of Cummuscach's descendants, who controlled the kingship of South Brega from approximately AD 868 to 919, is unknown (Anne Connon, pers. comm.).


Table 4: Uí Chernaig kings of Lagore and South Brega (Anne Connon; pers. comm.)

If the annals are correct, it is likely earliest phase at Roestown 2 up to the mid-seventh century developed within a Déisi kingdom. Later phases occurred during the time when Síl nÁedo Sláine and the Uí Chernaig were establishing suzerainty within the kingdom. It was not possible to attribute morphological change within the site to political change, especially as power shifts may not have manifested themselves at a domestic level. The restructuring of the site in later phases was carried out with little regard for preceeding features, and there was enough difference between the initial (F405) and second (F404) phases of Enclosure 1 to suggest a change in occupancy.

### 3.2.1 Contemporary early medieval landscape

The local early medieval landscape would have been dominated by Lagore crannog (Hencken 1950, Lynn 1986; Comber 1997), with all settlement sites with a radius of a few kilometres of the crannog likely to have been the secular support network providing labour, food rent and services to the royal site. The excavated sites at Roestown (and the possible rath at Garretstown 2; Stuart Rathbone, pers. comm.) would certainly have been part of the overall network of settlements surrounding the royal centre. If the current understanding of the chronology at Lagore is correct, that 'the absence of Mediterranean pottery and penannular zoomorphic brooches, and the presence of E-ware at the lowest levels would seem to indicate very strongly that...the start of occupation, does not predate the seventh century and might even be as late as the eighth' (Warner 1985-86), then Lagore and Roestown 2 originated as settlements at approximately the same time. This raises the possibility that Roestown 2 was intentionally sited and developed in relation to Lagore and other contemporary sites may also have served the same purpose, perhaps acting as intermediates between client and king, receiving tribute and food rent from out-lying clients and ultimately forming a protective ring around the seat of royal power for Clann Chernaig Sotail. This was discussed by Stout (1997) who outlined legal references to grades of noblemen whose duty was to protect the borders of a kingdom (aire forgill) or to carry out raids or do battle in neighbouring tuath (aire deso). It is recognised that a hierarchy of settlement is noticeable in ringfort distribution, one model based on contemporary law tracts highlights the inter-relationships of ringfort dwelling freeman and the mutually advantageous links between secular and ecclesiastical settlements (Simms 1986; Stout 1997).

Two important ecclesiastical sites were situated within 2 km of Roestown 2. Dunshaughlin (Domhnach Seachlainn) was revered as the church of Secundinus, an early Christian missionary, reputedly a follower of St Patrick but more likely to have been a contemporary of the bishop Palladius, sent by Pope Celestine in AD 431 (Charles-Edwards 2000). The Annals of Ulster (AU) record his death and burial at Dunshaughlin at either 438 AD (AU 438.2) or $447 \mathrm{AD}(\mathrm{AU} 447.1)^{3}$. Whether his original church endured beyond his death is unknown, but a monastic settlement is recorded there from the eighth century AD, when obits of abbots and senior clerics appear in contemporary annals (for which see Cogan 1874). Limited excavations around this church did not record pre-eighth century AD deposits (Simpson 2006).

[^2]The second monastery, Trevet was reputedly the burial place of the legendary figure Art, son of Conn of the Hundred Battles suggesting, perhaps, it had been an important pre-Christian ritual/burial site. Cogan (1874) believed one of its abbots, Cuanu mac Bessáin, whose obit is recorded in AD 739, may have written or been in possession of the Liber Cuanach, an occasional source for the Annals of Ulster for the period AD 467-629 (Ó’Mórdha 2000). This could explain why the monasteries are recorded so often in the annals; there is no denying the importance of both churches to Síl nÁedo Sláine and Clann Chólmáin. In 1027, an obit for Dunchad, son of Gilla-mo-Chunna mac Fogartaigh (who died in 1021 as king of Southern Brega) described him as a successor (i.e. abbot) of Seachnall ${ }^{4}$, while both dynasties adopted the patronymic '-seachnaill' in their family names -Gilla- (devotee) and Máel- (servant of) respectively-, most famously Máel Seachnaill mac Domhnaill of Clann Chólmáin who died as high king in AD 1022.

The kingdoms on northern and southern Brega were bitter enemies, something the Norse kingdom of Dublin exploited to its advancatge during the ninth and tenth century AD. The Norse successfully exploited this rivalry; surreptitiously supporting Uí Chonaing (who were based at Cnogba or Knowth) attacks on Trevet in AD 848 that left 260 people dead and a destructive attack on Lagore in AD 850. In AD 917, the abbot of Trevet was killed during a Viking attack, while in AD 934 a Viking attack on Lagore effectively destroyed the crannóg (Cogan 1874; Price 1950). Bhreathnach $(1999,2005)$ suggests Dunshaughlin may have become increasingly important as a caput following the decline of Lagore.

### 3.2.2 Toponym

The townland of Roestown, forming part of the parish and barony of Ratoath, is located northwest of Dunshaughlin, Co. Meath. Placenames suffixed by -town in Meath are generally of medieval origin and rarely compounded with anything other than personal names, frequently of Norman origin and John O'Donovan (1836), who listed the Irish name for the townland as Baile an Róidh, determined that -Róidh was derived from such a family (Roe or Rowe). In south east Meath, a study of the distribution of Gaelic and English townland names revealed many of the historic parish centres (including Ratoath, Trevet and Skreen) remained surrounded by strongly Gaelic-named areas (Murphy 2006). O'Donovan's translation was almost certainly an error, the scholar probably intending Baile an Roidh, 'the settlement/place of/near/at the red mire' (Dineen 1927), a location that must refer to the former marsh, now the townland of Redbog that lies immediately east of Roestown. The modern placename hence developed from an Anglicisation (Raweston) of an existing Gaelic name (Murphy 2006),

[^3]although the baile prefix may not pre-date the 11th century AD on etymological grounds. However, O'Donovan's notes also mentioned locals occasionally referred to the townland as Raiste. While its meaning had been lost by early 19th century, the prefix Ra- is a common diminution of rath and Raiste could perhaps have preserved an earlier toponymn, one perhaps associated with the settlement under discussion.

### 3.2 Form and Function

It is now widely accepted that the type of settlement encapsulated by ringforts and described in seventh- and eighth-century law tracts was in decline in the 10th century and that the flourit of ringfort construction was the early seventh century to the end of the ninth century AD (Stout 1997). In the preceding discussion the similarity in cultural and chronological terms of the more prominent, excavated, early medieval sites, in particular at Garryduff, Garranes, and Ballycatteen Co. Cork, Ballinderry $1 \& 2$, Cos. Westmeath and Offaly, Carrig Aille I \& II, Co. Limerick, and especially Lagore Crannóg, has been highlighted. The stratigraphical evidence from Roestown 2 shows that the occupation of the site continued into the eleventh century and potentially up to the 13th century AD. Longevity of occupation at ringfort at Rathangan, Co. Kildare, was reflected upon by the writer of an eighth-century AD poem who listed seven successive kings who had resided there: 'the fort remains after each in his turn' (ibid, 115). How did such sites function over such duration? Sites such as Garranes and Garryduff were probably specialist craft centres first and farmsteads second, so what was the nature of the settlement at Roestown 2 and how did it change over half a millennium of use?

### 3.2.1 Settlement morphology

It is clear from the archaeological evidence that the morphology and economy of Roestown 2 in its later stages bore little similarity to its earlier period, and little resemblance to the standard ringfort of the later half of the first millennium AD. The continuity of the site within the landscape contrasts with it's ever changing morphology.

The majority of features at Roestown 2 are early medieval dating between the sixth to 11th century AD . The settlement expanded and developed during this time, with successive annexe enclosures and field systems radiating outwards from the main univallate D-shaped enclosure. Early medieval settlement studies are somewhat constrained by unsuitable and out-dated terminology. The term 'ringfort', like 'plectrum enclosure' is a modern invention and, while popular, is not an appropriate description for all early medieval enclosed settlements. In contemporary sources, such sites were generally distinguished by the manner of their construction, ráth (the enclosing bank) and lios (the space within) referred to earthen
enclosures (de Paor 1997); while caiseal and cathair were stone-walled constructions generally found in the west of Ireland (Edwards 1990). Early medieval enclosures of noncircular morphology are well attested in the archaeological record with investigated or excavated sites including Ballynoe, Co. Antrim (Lynn 1980), Balriggan, Co. Louth (Delaney \& Roycroft 2003), Clonva, Co. Cork (Doody 1995), Colp West (Murphy \& Clarke 2001) and Johnstown, Co. Meath (Clarke 2004; Clarke \& Carlin 2008), Killickaweeny, Co. Kildare (Walsh \& Carlin 2008), but particularly Newtown, Co. Limerick, (Coyne \& Collins 2003; Coyne 2005; 2006) to which Roestown 2 bears a number of morphological similarities. Similar morphology to Roestown 2 and Newtown may be tentatively identified at Kilkea, Co. Kildare and Linkardstown, Co. Carlow (Barret 2002). It has been argued that such sites; labelled plectrum-shaped enclosures (Coyne \& Collins 2003; Coyne 2005; 2006) are a previously unrecognised type of high status settlement.

Multivallation within ringforts has traditionally been understood as an indicator of status (Stout 1997) but this has not always been accurate. Mytum (1992) highlighted the univallate nature of high status sites such as Garryduff, Co. Cork, and Cloghers, Co. Tyrone while the diversity of forms suggested by the total number of recorded early medieval enclosures reflects a freedom in design that contradicts many attempts to sub-categorise them. The importance of family or local tradition in choosing multivallation over raising a site may have been a possible factor (ibid) and the motive behind enclosure shape may have been no different, though additional factors such as topography or geology could also be important and would only have become obvious during construction. Ó Ríordáin $(1942 ; 1949)$ noted at Garranes, Co. Cork and Carraig Aille II, Co. Limerick, that regular deviations in plan occurred, the most likely explanation being inconsistencies in topography (although Ó Ríordáin preferred to see uncoordinated building and poor forward planning as the reason at Garranes).

Enclosure 1 at Roestown 2 was D-shaped from its inception; possibly as early as the midsixth century (AD 530-650), and through two subsequent re-cuts during the eighth to 10 th century AD (see Appendix 5). The initial site enclosed the largest area ( $76 \mathrm{~m} \times 53 \mathrm{~m}$ ), with both later ditches excavated within its circumference. However, the overall dimensions of the site did not alter radically between each phase. These enclosures were univallate, although slippage down their respective outer ditch edges probably indicated the presence of a counterscarp bank. The profile of the ditch varied between wide and either U-shaped or flatbottomed, or narrow and V-shaped, the deciding factor being the nature of the underlying subsoil. Where bedrock was encountered, the profile was generally V-shaped; however, the
overall depth of the ditch remained quite constant, at approximately 1.3 m . There was some difference in the mean depth of the ditch across the different phases, with F450 the deepest at 1.4 m . The material from the ditch was thrown up to form an internal bank, probably with a low counterscarp bank on the exterior side. Evidence for this internal bank was infrequent and confined to the northwest area of the site. Presumably cultivation had removed evidence for it elsewhere. Where bank material remained, it was a thin shallow layer of redeposited clay. It is most likely that this bank was associated with re-cut ditch F404, the remainder of it being truncated by F450. Despite the enclosure's naturally elevated position with good natural drainage supplemented by excavated drains both within and surrounding the site, portions of the Enclosure 1 ditch remained waterlogged in each of its main three phases. Site topography and high silt composition of the basal ditch fills elsewhere in the ditch suggested the waterlogging might have been more extensive originally. The southern and eastern portions of the ditch were dry, although this may be as a result of modern land improvements along the southern boundary of the site, which resulted in the lowering of the stream and presumably the water table. In the northern part of the ditch a higher water table allowed for the preservation of organic material during all three main phases. This may be due to the presence of a spring as suggested on the first edition Ordnance Survey map (1836) and it was noted that the immediate environs of the site were susceptible to seasonal flooding in the winter months, in particular towards the fringes of Redbog.

It is possible that between the initial ditch and its later re-cuts, the interior of the rath was raised, in the fashion of a raised or platform rath. The evidence for this is not compelling, but includes the absence of a significant portion of the roof of Chamber 1 in the souterrain, perhaps as much as 0.50 m . Furthermore there is currently a dearth of recognisable habitation features after Phase 1. Even the overwhelming survival of Phase 1 features may be a result of their burial beneath a raised deposit, into which later features were cut, and which was gradually reclaimed.

### 3.2.2 Entrance

A further notable change in the morphology of the enclosure was the development of its entrance. It has been established that the majority of early medieval enclosures have a preference for entrances positioned towards the east, regardless of the topography, perhaps as a way of sheltering the occupants from the prevailing southwesterly winds and colder northern winds (Edwards 1990; Stout 1997). Roestown 2 reflects this arrangement, with its entrance facing the northeast. The initial enclosure was a complete, uninterrupted circuit, with a slight step along the inner edge of the ditch indicating the point of entry. Such an
arrangement would have required a means of spanning the ditch, the easiest method being a wooden bridge. A possible example of such a structure was recorded at an enclosure at Mountgorry outside Swords, Co. Dublin (Giacometti 2005) and Lissue, Co. Antrim (Bersu 1947; Bersu 1948; Lynn 1978). At Baronstown, the ditch was probably spanned with large half timbers that left a telling scar in the subsoil there (Linnane 2009). Such structures may have been a permanent or a retractable fixture contained within gatehouses, which have been identified at other sites, in particular Feltrim Hill, Co. Dublin, Ballycatteen, Co. Cork and Garryduff 1 and (Hartnett \& Eogan 1964; Ó Ríordáin \& Hartnett 1943; O’Kelly 1963; see also Mytum 1992).

The causewayed entrance at Roestown 2 was established during the first re-cut of Enclosure 1 (F404); though it had become largely redundant immedieately prior to the second re-cut (F450). The causeway was created by backfilling the previous ditch and securing a deposit of medium-sized, angular stones within a border of large boulders (F493). The new ditch was then cut from either side of this deposit, leaving a freestanding stone-lined causeway approximately 2 m wide. A single large posthole (F1552) within the enclosure was the only potential indicator of a gate-support. The change in entrance morphology coincided with a recutting of the surrounding ditch, an event radiocarbon dated to between the eighth and 10th centuries AD. Such a significant change may perhaps have been partly in response to Viking incursions during the ninth century, and the subsequent terse relationship with the Norse kingdom of Dyflinarskiri in the 10th century (Valante 2000). Excavation at the monastic settlement in Dunshaughlin produced evidence for re-cutting of its enclosing ditch during the same period (Simpson 2006) which, when taken in conjunction with the Roestown 2 evidence, may reflect the adoption of improved defensive measures in response to the Viking threat.

### 3.2.3 Interior of Enclosure 1

The interior of the rath was sectioned off into distinct areas by a number of internal ditches, which may not have been particularly common as the absence of internal division ditches at a number of recently excavated early medieval settlements suggests, for example Killickaweeny (Walsh \& Carlin 2008), Colp West (Murphy \& Clarke 2001), and elsewhere on the M3 scheme at Castlefarm 1 (Aidan O'Connell, pers. comm.) and Dowdstown 1 (Lydia Cagney, pers. comm.). This seems to have occurred during Phases 2 and 3 only, in Phase 1 the interior was not apparently partitioned, but fenced internal divisions, without an accompanying ditch may have been more common, an example of which is Ballynagallagh, Co. Limerick (Cleary 2006).

The interior of Enclosure 1 appeared be open plan in its earliest phase, but was partitioned by a series of ditches. The earliest of these ditched divisions (F642) truncated features believed to be small structures and potentially associated with E-ware pottery. The partitioning of the southern part of the site may be related to the development of the area as a cereal processing facility. Cereal-drying kilns and curvilinear gullies, interpreted as evidence for small shelters or structures, perhaps workshops or barns were found within this area.

The western part of the enclosure was also partitioned by a series of ditches, culminating in a deep multiphase semi-circular ditch (F550/F1319/F770). It was not clear at what stage partition in this area began, nor is it clear what was being divided as much of the western portion of the site lay beyond the limit of excavation. It may represent an enclosure within an enclosure, perhaps with a specific function.

### 3.2.4 Souterrain

A drystone souterrain was uncovered at the centre of Enclosure 1. It was stratigraphically isolated from previous features, although a number of modern cultivation furrows cut across it, causing displacement and partial collapse of the structure. Some capstones remained in their original setting, however the majority were removed in antiquity, prior to deliberate backfilling. The raw materials for the Roestown 2 example - was limestone, most likely excavated from the enclosing ditches.

The Roestown 2 structure comprised three circular, or beehive chambers connected by three short passages, a style of souterrain construction long acknowledged to occur with high frequency in the greater Meath area, for example Bective, Kiltale, Knowth, Loughcrew and Newrath Big (Clinton 2001). The passages and chambers were roofed by corbelling successive layers of stone to gradually incline the walls that were be capped by one or more capstones. The entire structure would have been covered over with backfill to ground surface level. The Roestown 2 souterrain was entered via a ramped entrance, a particular feature of drystone-built souterrains, although the capstones had been removed from this passage, so further specific details of entry were unclear. Immediately inside the entrance ramp, close to the floor level of the eastern wall was a small cupboard, detectable as a box-shaped opening in the wall. These features are common in drystone-built souterrains and are distinguished from cubbyholes and recesses on the basis of their size and capacity for storage (ibid). Cupboards, measuring less than $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$, were probably originally intended to hold candles or lamps (in stone or clay), perhaps similar to the Roestown 2 example
(A008/002:162:2) recovered from Area A. The location of the cupboard immediately inside the entrance may have been to illuminate the structure and the defensive features at the southern end of the passage, which comprised a constriction to the height and width of the passage in conjunction with a step feature, all of which occurred at a junction with a second passage.

The simplest defensive measures available to souterrain builders were restricting manoeuvrability and hindering advancement, and this could be achieved by a number of methods (generally various settings of stones placed across passages), but constriction, a simultaneous and abrupt decrease in the height and width of a passage, was the most common. Such impediments could occur along a passage, but were generally placed at junctions between passages or at entrances to chambers. The southern end of the entrance passage at Roestown 2 incorporated a narrowing of the western wall, while the presence of a ledge on both sides of the wall indicated a height restriction at this point also. There was no evidence that this ledge supported a stone, suggesting the height constriction at this point could have been created by timber planking, and such an arrangement could have provided additional storage space within the compartment above the constriction. Entry through this constriction was further constrained by the presence of an earth-cut step at the junction with the next passage. The overall arrangement of these features exemplifies the principal defensive option available to those who sought shelter in a souterrain, to impede and expose an intruder to potentially fatal attack by requiring them to crawl in a prone and vulnerable position to progress towards any of the chambers. Fresh air supply into the souterrain could be maintained by means of specially constructed air vents, a single example of which was preserved at Roestown 2 in Chamber 2, although damage to Chamber 1 probably removed evidence for such a feature there.

One of the most noteworthy structural elements of the souterrain was the trapdoor feature that occurred at the western end of Passage 2, and may be viewed as the culmination of the series of defensive measures outlined above, as the feature is preceded by an extended height constriction stretching from the step-up from the entrance passage. Clinton (ibid) has suggested the type of trapdoor features present in a souterrain could be used as an indicator for the primary function of the structure, which traditionally has fallen into two possible categories, defence and/or storage. He surmised that trapdoors that were sealed from the outside, as appears to have been the case at Roestown 2, were not conducive to an effective defence, and that their primary function was to contain objects of value, be they valuable metals or foodstuffs and slaves. The life of certain foodstuffs such as dairy products, or
surplus grain could be prolonged in the relatively cool, stable environment offered by a souterrain, and the fact that many souterrains could be directly accessed through via domestic structures suggested that they frequently functioned as storage facilities. Indeed, pits cut into the floors of souterrains are common features which may have originally been intended to hold leather, ceramic or basket containers while evidence for iron hoops suggested barrel storage in a souterrain in Ballyaghagan, Co. Antrim (Evans 1950). Three such pits were identified at Roestown 2, one to each chamber. A trapdoor could safeguard stored food from domestic cats or dogs or from serious infestation by mice or rats. Rats became more common in the 12th century, but there is evidence for the species in early medieval contexts in Ireland, with an 11th-century reference to a plague (plág lochad) that struck the east coast that presumably arrived via shipping ports, though written evidence such as this may be compounded by the same word (luch) being used to describe both mice and rats (Kelly 1997). The bones of small rodents were recovered from floor deposits of the souterrain but these may be the result of later intrusion by borrowing animals seeking shelter in the walls of the structure. Clinton (2001) suggests the trapdoor features in open settlement souterrains were ideal for the securing of slave labour during raids. It is unlikely that nobility would have considered it desirable to hide away in a hole in the ground for prolonged periods and probably availed of the opportunity to flee in advance of attacks to neighbouring settlements or churches. Some of the 260 people burned in AD 848 (AU850.3; Cogan 1874, 154) at Trevet might have included noblewomen and children seeking sanctuary from the joint Uí Chonaing/ Viking attacks.

Artefacts from the souterrain included a perforated slate from Passage 3 (A008/002:513:3), which resembles similar examples from Ballycatteen (Ó Ríordáin \& Hartnett 1943), and which may have roofed a nearby structure. Just a single slate was recovered from Roestown 2, while the Ballycatteen assemblage ran to many hundreds.

The copper-alloy stud-headed stick pin (Class 8; O'Rahilly 1998) from the entrance passage suggested this backfilling probably occurred during the 11th century or after. This date is supported by evidence elsewhere in Ireland, where these structures rarely post-date the 13th century, although many were re-used or had secondary purposes in later centuries. Medieval pottery in later backfill deposits is likely to have been introduced during truncation of the structure. The presence of a disarticulated human cranium fragment perhaps reflects a violent episode in the settlement's history. Human cranium fragments were also identified at a souterrain at Painestown, Co. Meath (O'Hara 2008c). Clinton (2001) highlights that 'it is worth noticing that at proven multiphase enclosed sites the souterrain will appear late in the
sequence of development' citing Rathmullan and Deer Park Farms, Co. Antrim, as examples. At Painestown, the souterrain construction postdates an early enclosure ditch (O'Hara 2008c). Clinton also proposes a floruit for souterrains between AD 750-1250, which fits comfortably with the evidence from Roestown 2.

### 3.2.5 Overview

The above evidence indicates a high status ringfort with an associated complex of ancillary enclosures and field systems developed in the late sixth or early seventh century AD. That it originated as a settlement is suggested by the number of potential structures assigned to the initial phase, and that it was high-status was reflected in the presence of E-ware pottery and evidence for non-ferrous metalworking. Significant changes in the size and layout of the site occurred during the life of the settlement, and this was particularly evident within Enclosure, which was re-cut, and had its entrance altered. A souterrain was constructed, and a new series of enclosures developed. What was driving these changes?

It is worth noting again the proximity of early medieval monastic settlements at Dunshaughlin and Trevet, both of which have abbot lists beginning in the eighth century, though Dunshaughlin was originally founded in the fifth century AD. It is likely that leading clerics in both establishments were members of the royal dynasty centred on Lagore. Could Roestown 2 owe its prolonged occupation to monastic association? Does the second phase of activity at Roestown 2 mark a change in ownership, with the settlement granted to or acquired by either of the monastic sites at Trevet or Dunshaughlin? The Irish system of paruchia transcended territorial boundaries and allowed monasteries to accrue vast estates under the administrative jurisdiction of an abbot who retained clients (manaig) in much the same manner as secular lords. The church held vast areas of land, some of which was granted by ruling dynasties, others bequeathed land on their death (Kelly 1988), while O’Cróinín (1995) refers to the practice of Columban and other paruchiae taking over land abandoned during the plague years in the mid- and late-seventh century (AU 664-668; 683, 684, 700) ${ }^{5}$. Both Trevet and Dunshaughlin were most likely part of the paruchia of Armagh, which was among the richest monasteries on the island and in the ninth century appointed stewards (maer) to collect revenues from holdings in Brega. Máel-Pátraic, whose obituary was recorded in the Annals of Ulster in AD 888, was both abbot of Trevet and a steward of Armagh ${ }^{6}$. Secundinus, the founder of Dunshaughlin, was probably a predecessor of Patrick, but became part of the

[^4]distorted story of St Patrick's mission by later chroniclers who remembered him as a disciple of the saint (Charles-Edwards 2000).

The secular law tract Córus Béscnai ${ }^{7}$ stated the succession to the office of abbot was to be sought amongst the founder's kin, or, failing that, from the family of man who donated the land for the monastery (O’Cróinín 1995). At Trevet, a father, son and grandson held the abbacy from AD 774 until AD 839 and Dunshaughlin retained abbatial succession within the same family for most of the ninth century (Hughes 1966). It also stated that manaig were fine erluma, the kin of the founder of the monastery that in some cases were probably unfree clients (fuidir, bothach or senchléithe) who were acquired by the church as part of land endowments.

There is a strong connection between the early medieval church and arable farming. The chief economic activity of the church in this period appears from contemporary accounts of the saints lives to be tillage (Stout 2000) and many of terms relating to crop-cultivation came from Latin, and were probably introduced via church economy (Kelly 1997). Surplus grain from secular sites may have been stored at monasteries or their estates and it has already been discussed above how the trapdoor feature in souterrains may have been connected to grain storage (Clinton 2001). No grinding stones were identified within the site, which was quite peculiar in light of the presence of drying kilns and the duration of occupation at the site (See section 3.4 below for further discussion).

### 3.3 Evidence for activities and industry

### 3.3.1 Evidence for ferrous metallurgy

It is important to note the presence of slag residues within each of the main phases of Enclosure 1 (F405, F404 and F450) suggesting a limited but sustained role for iron working at the site. The quantity of slag is comparable to the other early medieval sites on the M3, at Dowdstown 2 (O’Hara 2009), Castlefarm (O’Connell 2009) and Baronstown (Linnane 2009), though meagre by comparison to other early medieval sites, particularly Johnstown 1, Co. Meath (Clarke \& Carlin 2008), from which approximately 2000kg of slag was recovered.

The majority of slag was associated with iron smithing (note also hammerscale in some samples), with no evidence for smelting slags. Perhaps as many as eleven smithing hearth cakes were identified, which confirmed small-scale ironworking. No hearths or furnaces were identified at Roestown 2 that could be directly attributable to ironworking and the recovered slag pieces were distributed randomly across the site. A considerable amount of data exists for

[^5]an expansion in ironworking during the early medieval period, particularly after the sixth century (Mytum 1992). It has been understood for quite some time that most sites, ecclesiastical and secular, undertook a considerable amount of their own ironmongery (Ryan 1988). At Johnstown 1 (Clarke \& Carlin 2008) and Killickaweeny (Walsh \& Carlin 2008) tonnes of iron slag were produced, as well as smelting or smithing features, and excavation frequently produces some metallic waste, for example Lisnagun, Co. Cork (O’Sullivan 1998), Grange, Co. Limerick (Ó Ríordáin 1949), Boho, Co. Fermanagh (Proudfoot 1954), Ballaghderg, Co. Donegal (O’Hara 2005).

Clearly, small scale, non-specialist iron-working was integral to the day-to-day activities of early medieval settlements but dedicated blacksmiths also existed; and are often referred to in contemporary law tracts as valued members of society, with an honour price equalling that of a physician, coppersmith and silversmith (Scott 1990) and considered the most prominent craftsman after the carpenter (Kelly 1988). Their main duties would have been the production of agricultural tools such as ploughing equipment or axes, specialist craft accessories such as chisels and awls or domestic implements such as knives, chains, fire-steels etc, or indeed weaponry such as spears and swords. Strong evidence for ironworking was found at Garryduff I (O’Kelly 1963), Garranes (Ó Ríordáin 1942) and Lagore (Hencken 1950). No smelting furnaces were identified at Lagore (Hencken 1950; Eogan 2000), but clusters of hearths were found in association with particles of free magnetic iron and iron oxide indicated smithing. Bog ore was the most utilised source for iron in the period and it is possible that Redbog (the toponym may reflect a high iron content) was the source for much of the slag recovered from the site.

### 3.3.2 Evidence for non-ferrous metallurgy

Non-ferrous metalworking was undertaken at Roestown 2 although, like ferrous metallurgy, was of limited importance to the overall economy of the site. Evidence of such activity can generally be interpreted as an indicator of high status, precious metals representing the importance of its owner and the production of such items was associated with the social elite, to whom it was a manifestation of power and social standing (Comber 1997). The presence for non-ferrous metalworking was suggested by various paraphernalia, including a stone ingot mould (A008/002:432:2; Illustration 3), crucible fragments (A008/002:400:63, A008/002:566:8, A008/002:1172:1), bone motif pieces (A008/002:401:19, A008/002:412:1, A008/002:414:4, A008/002:432:3, A008/002:535:1, A008/002:685:1-3, A008/002:1291:1), and occasional droplets of copper alloy presumably spillages or splattering during the melting process.

The high number of copper-alloy artefacts may reflect some production of these items within the site, while the number of broken pieces of larger artefacts may be interpreted as evidence for secondary reworking. Mytum (1992) stresses the emphasis placed on recycling copperalloy objects during the early medieval period, probably due to the local unavailability of tin. Where scrap metal and not ore was used as the raw material, the only preparation was melting, possibly in conjunction with alloying, and this could be carried out using a crucible placed over a hearth or furnace (Comber 1997).

Three crucible fragments were excavated from Roestown 2, which contrasts sharply with 279 from Lagore (Hencken 1950; Comber 1997) and in excess of 2,500 from Garranes (Ó Ríordáin 1942). Two pyramid shaped crucibles (A008/002:400:63, A008/002:1172:1) have parallels from Lagore, Ballinderry 2, Garranes, Garryduff I and Moynagh Lough. The type is common to early medieval sites in Scotland also (Curle 1982; McCormick 1992). A flatbottomed specimen (A008/002:566:8) may have functioned as a stand or support for the pyramidal types (Comber 1997). Copper alloy from this time usually consisted of bronze but lead could be added to improve the casting qualities, zinc and nickel could add strength to the end product, and this was generally attained by melting in foreign (usually Romano-British) copper-alloys objects, as may have occurred at Clogher, Co. Tyrone (Edwards 1990, Mytum 1992).

A bar-ingot stone mould (A008/002:432:2), in the common 'finger' shape with a planoconvex section, was found in Enclosure 1 ditch F404. Small fragments of copper-alloy objects were found in different contexts across the site. These pieces could reflect random breakage and accidental loss of domestic items, but the decorated bronze strip (A008/002:162:1) and fragment silver (A008/002:161:2) suggest scrap metal may have been collected for smelting, although these metals never occurred together in sufficient quantities to suggest deliberate caching as appears to have happened at Carraig Aille II, Co. Cork (Ó Ríordáin 1949). Silver was used throughout the early medieval period, but was most prevalent between the mid-ninth and 10th centuries circulating as coins, ornaments, ingots, and hack silver (Ó Floinn 1998), after it had become readily available through trade, tribute and exchange with Vikings based in Dublin, Waterford and elsewhere (Graham-Campbell 1998; Sheehan 1998, Valante 2000). Another artefact type perhaps associated with the fabrication of fine metalwork were trial- or motif pieces, scraps of portable material, usually bone or stone, but also wood and possibly leather, carved or incised with discreet positive patterns (Comber 1997; O’Meadhra 1987b). Five separate incised bone objects were excavated at Roestown 2 (Plate 72), each bearing one
or more motif panels as well as etched but unfinished patterns. The motif panels identified, incorporated chip-carved interlacing designs and feature cord plait, triquetra and paired knot incised motifs (Kinsella 2006), but there was a notable absence of the anthropomorphic or zoomorphic motifs and trumpet spiral patterns, which were a feature of the Garryduff 1 material (O'Kelly 1963). The closest parallels for the Roestown 2 pieces can be found at Lagore (Hencken 1950; O’Meadhra 1987a). The motif pieces are discussed in detail in Appendix 15. Radiocarbon dates for Enclosure 1 suggest a late sixth or seventh century date (AD 530-650) for these pieces, particularly A008/002:412:1 which came from the primary deposit within F405. Hencken (1950) had no doubt the Lagore pieces were from the same hand or workshop, and that this group of craftsmen tested a variety of chip-carved designs on bone prior to manufacturing objects, including the 'Dunshaughlin brooch', a penannular brooch recovered from the Dunshaughlin area in the 19th century. Hencken (ibid.) saw parallels between the Lagore motif pieces and decorative motifs on this brooch. It seems reasonable to suggest that craftsmen from the same tradition or background identified at Lagore were also producing motifs at Roestown 2.

There are clear chronological and stylistic parallels between the metalworking assemblages of Roestown 2 and Lagore, with Lagore perhaps as one element (admittedly an important one) within a wider network of sites involved in specialised metalwork. The earliest settlement phase at Lagore probably dated to the late sixth or early seventh century (Lynn 1985-86; Comber 1997), a period which saw some craft activity, as indicated by crucible fragments and some artefacts bearing gold filigree ornament. Hencken (1950) believed there was a significant increase in non-ferrous metalworking during seventh to mid-ninth century, although Comber (1997) has questioned the validity of the excavation report based on inaccuracies between the published findings and the finds register submitted by Hencken to the National Museum. Instead, she argues for a minor increase in activity in Period 1b relative to the previous period, suggesting instead that non-ferrous activity was important throughout the life of the settlement but decreased in importance from the mid-10th century. The comparative duration of this period (approximately 200 years) in relation to the other periods as a reason for the increased numbers of stratified artefacts. The stratigraphical evidence from Roestown 2 indicates the potential for non-ferrous metalworking during its earliest phase, while later activity may be inferred, albeit through artefacts recovered from possibly residual contexts. It is important to highlight that a marginally greater number of motif pieces were identified at Roestown 2, suggesting perhaps that the site enjoyed an important role in the concept and design of the motifs found at Lagore. The decrease in craft activity at Lagore following the mid-10th century has been understood as a reflection of the growing importance
of the settlement at Dublin, where specialist workshops developed, producing a range of artefacts in copper alloy and silver.

### 3.3.3 Evidence for glass working

There is little evidence for glass production in Ireland during the early medieval period, although glass vessels were imported (Merovingian glass vessels were excavated at Moynagh Lough, Co. Meath (Bradley 1991)). A considerable trade in glass rods and broken glass (or cullet) from Britain and the continent existed, which craftsmen could recycle into beads, bangles or studs or used in the decoration of ornamental metalwork (Edwards 1990). Imported vessels were probably recycled when they eventually broke.

The most common glass objects of this period were beads, individually made pieces generally manufactured by winding viscous glass around a metal rod (Mytum 1992). There is evidence for glass bead and stud manufacture at Moynagh Lough and Lagore (Bradley 1991; Hencken 1950). Certainly the technical ability to undertake glassworking existed at Roestown 2, as the equipment and processes required are broadly identical to those of non-ferrous metallurgy. The same craftsman would have been competent and skilled in both crafts (Edwards 1990). It was noted that some of the Roestown 2 crucible fragments bore glazed residues (A008/002:566:8), which, with a number of hardened glassy residues recovered during excavation, suggested some glass working on-site.

### 3.3.4 Evidence for craft activity

A number of objects were recovered from Roestown 2 that suggested a variety of crafts; weaving or textile production, bone working, leather working, and carpentry were undertaken within the site. Like the practice of metalworking, these crafts supplied immediate needs rather than specialising for the purposes of trade or general supply. A number of crafts were practised, with potential for an even greater amount that may not leave a discernible archaeological record. Some of the crafts would have required more skilled input than others, particularly interlace carving and non-ferrous metalworking, but also decorative or advanced/composite artefacts in other media. Roestown 2 had the capability of supplying any number of craftsmen, and many of the artefacts outlined below are common to a number of excavated high status sites suggesting the occupants were capable of addressing the specific needs of their settlement. The non-noble classes would have been capable of meeting their own day-to-day needs, particularly of simpler tools in bone or wood; and the general absence of artefacts on many of these sites may be due to depositional or post-depositional factors rather than the non-existence of activity (Mytum 1992).

Textiles rarely survive on archaeological sites from this period with only a handful of known examples. The largest collection to date is from Lagore (Edwards 1990), but contemporary manuscripts and sculptures can provide some indication of the type of clothing used and the manner in which it was worn. There was direct evidence for textile production at Roestown 2 in the form of spindle whorls (Illustration 4), and needles of copper alloy or iron. Many of the slaughtered cattle and sheep would have provided represented on site provided hides and wool for a variety of purposes. Noble women were expected to be able to carry out decorative embroidery or fine needlework, though much of the spinning of yarn and the dyeing and weaving of cloth would probably have been undertaken by unfree clients or female slaves, although some parts of the process may have required more expert knowledge than others (Kelly 1997). A stone spindle whorl (A008/002:473:4) from Roestown 2, circular in shape, undecorated with a central perforation, was a simple type common to many periods and was made from shale. A curved antler object (A008/002:432:1) with a notch cut into one end may be a knitting needle and is paralleled in medieval deposits from Winetavern Street in Dublin (Halpin 2000).

No complete shears was identified among the Roestown 2 assemblage, however blades where evidence for a tang is absent could be shears, which are essentially two knives joined by a central spring, or bow, that allowed for both blades to be worked simultaneously with one hand (Cowgill et al. 2000). The use of shears was central to the shearing of sheep by facilitating the removal of most of the fleece of wool, rather than relying on combing out tufts. Sheep with long, straight fleeces were considered superior to those with shorter curlier hair, presumably because they were easier to shear (Kelly 1997). Shearing was carried out around June every year after which it was dyed if necessary and spun (Mytum 1992). Shears could also be used to cut human hair or cloth as required (Cowgill et al. 2000; Mytum 1992). The common occurrence of shears within excavated sites indicates their importance as farm equipment, examples are known from both rural (Garyduff I, Garranes, Cahercommaun) and urban contexts (Wood Quay and High Street, Dublin). They were multifunctional tools well suited to cutting cloth, the length of the blade relative to that of the handle, the shape of the blade and the diameter of the bow could all be modified depending on the object being cut and the force and accuracy required to cut it.

An iron awl (A008/002:601:2) may have been used in this craft, or equally by a carpenter. A number of possible nail or tack fragments were retrieved from various deposits, while an iron chisel (A008/002:993:1) indicated that at least some basic joinery was being carried out. A
total of 33 iron blades were found during the excavation and were the most frequently encountered artefact (Plate 73). These were most likely knives, although as noted above, some incomplete blades could represent shears fragments. Knives were a utilitarian tool used for a variety of every day tasks including craft activity and the cutting of hides and meat. Within the Roestown 2 assemblage it was possible to differentiate between socketed or the more common whittle-tanged blades, which had a variety of shapes, sizes and proportions. These relative shapes may reflect prolonged use or repeated sharpening, but it is likely that some tasks required specialised knives and were produced accordingly. Only three examples (A008/002:100:21, A008/002:401:13-14, A008/002:566:19-21) of socketed blades were noted at Roestown 2. These may have been specialist tools, perhaps for use in craft or agriculture, as is reflected in their general absence from other contemporary sites where good evidence for whittle-tang blades exists. Lagore, for example, produced 51 iron knives, of which just three could be confirmed as socketed (Hencken 1950), while Carrig Aille II and Garryduff I, assemblages of 54 and 61 knives respectively were almost entirely whittle tanged and did not produce a single definite socketed example (Ó Ríordáin 1949; O’Kelly 1963).

### 3.3.4 Incised game boards

A number of artefacts were recovered that revealed something of the leisure activities of the occupants beyond the hardship of their daily chores. Three stone-incised game boards were found representing two common early medieval games, hnefatafl (or a variant thereof) and merels (or Nine-Men's-Morris).

Incised game boards have been found in a number of early medieval contexts, usually on stone or, where preservation allows its recovery, on wood (Illustration 6). A possibly unfinished example was uncovered in an unstratified deposit at Lagore (Hencken 1950), which the excavator classified as a miscellaneous stone object. The crude nature of the design fits well with the hand carved designs on two of the Roestown 2 boards (A008/002:108:1, A008/002:151:2), although the closest parallel was recovered at Garryduff I, where an incised pattern on flat sandstone was uncovered from an eighth-century deposit (O'Kelly 1963) or a recently excavated example from Borris-in-Ossory, Co. Laois (Michael O'Droma, pers. comm.). In both cases, the pattern was incised in free-hand lines like the Roestown 2 boards. The pattern in each example was crudely executed with squares of varying size and shape. These boards belong to the tafl tradition of games, an early medieval precursor to chess and draughts, both of which developed in the 11th and 12th centuries. A number of games could be described as -tafl, but it was most commonly associated with hnefatafl ('the kings table'). Variations of the game developed in Scotland, Wales and Ireland, where it was called Ard-Rí,

Tawlbyund and Fidchell respectively. The game is particularly well known from literary sources, and is mentioned in a number of texts or glosses, for example Cormac's Glossary ${ }^{8}$ and earlier texts such as the Táin Bó Friach or Táin Bó Cuailnge. In these texts, the game was exclusively played by noble or nemed (privileged) classes, often associating the playing of board games and the expression of status, where the accoutrements of these games were objects of value and display, such as the pieces of precious stone, gold and silver that were played on a board of tinned bronze and gold in the Táin Bó Friach.

The intricately decorated wooden board recovered from a C10th deposit at Ballinderry 1 crannog, Co. Westmeath (Hencken 1936) would appear to be a high status board, but the greater number of identified game boards more closely resemble the Roestown 2 or Garryduff incised stone types, and were probably the boards of lower classes, a theory supported in the find spot of the two -tafl boards; a livestock enclosure. The exact form of these early games is unknown (MacWhite 1945) and fidchell may describe board games in general.

Both -tafl boards at Roestown 2 were in well stratified mid-late seventh century deposits indicating the presence of the game in Ireland more than a century prior to the first recorded Viking attacks in the late eighth century AD. The most likely method of introduction via trading links with settlements in Scotland and the Northern Isles and onwards to Scandinavia. Irish hermitages were apparently operating in the Faroe Islands by AD 725 (Ó’Corráin 2001, quoting the ninth-century work of Irish monk Dícuill ${ }^{9}$ ), while there is evidence for trade between Norway and British Isles from the mid-eighth century (Ambrosiani 1998) as suggested by the use of reindeer antler for comb making by Picts (Myhre 1998), and instances of hnefatafl games in pre-Viking deposits in Pictish settlements on the Northern Isles off Scotland ${ }^{10}$.

The third stone-incised board game (A008/002:401:20) belonged to a game known as merels or nine-men's-morris (Plate 74). It was from an unstratified deposit but is identical to an example (E141: 5149) from ninth-century deposits at Fishamble Street, Dublin. Both games were in fashion at the same time as evidenced by the occurrence of double-sided boards containing both games, such as that from the Gokstad ship burial (Nicolaysen 1882; Hencken 1936). No gaming pieces, such as those identified from Knowth, Co. Meath, or Ballinderry 2, Co. Offaly (Eogan 1968; Hencken 1942) were associated with the Roestown 2 boards and

[^6]were absent from the site generally. It is unlikely that these boards used specially crafted pieces, but small pebbles or bones, shells or twigs could have served as counters, all of which would have been readily available within the site, and which would be impossible to identify archaeologically.

### 3.3.5 Evidence for personal effects

While evidence for an impressive catalogue of functional or utilitarian tools or objects was recovered from Roestown 2, highlighting the range of crafts and activities carried out within the site over its lifetime, there was also ample evidence for intimate personal effects and jewellery. It is also noteworthy that copper alloy ring-pins were found almost exclusively within Enclosure 1, and were absent from Area A.

### 3.3.5.1 Beads

Eleven glass beads (A008/002:400:10, A008/002:400:44, A008/002:400:69, $\mathrm{A} 008 / 002: 400: 70, \mathrm{~A} 008 / 002: 491: 2, \mathrm{~A} 008 / 002: 491: 3, \mathrm{~A} 008 / 002: 492: 1, \mathrm{~A} 008 / 002: 552: 1$, A008/002:566:31, A008/002:1081:1, A008/002:1182:2), broadly representative of the range of types available in the period, were recovered from Roestown 2 (Plate 75; Illustration 7). In comparison, Garranes and Garryduff produced 10 and 30 respectively (Ó Ríordáin 1942; O’Kelly 1963) while Lagore produced some 136 beads (Hencken 1950). Highly decorative polychrome beads and glass bracelets or pendants, which featured at Lagore (ibid) and Castlefarm 1 (O’Connell 2009) were not identified at Roestown. The Roestown 2 beads were only recovered from within Enclosure 1.

A tiny fragment of amber (A008/002:570:2; see Plate 75 ), probably a bead or pendant, would have been a rare commodity, used sparingly in pre-ninth century contexts, though becoming more widespread following the establishment of Viking settlements at Dublin and elsewhere. Nearly 4000 pieces of amber were recovered from Hiberno-Norse levels at Wood Quay (Harvey 2006). Amber is the fossilised resin of extinct coniferous trees, the parent tree being a species of pine (pinus succinifera), and can found washed up from submarine strata on the eastern Baltic coasts, quite often after stormy weather. It has been mined from lower Oligocene beds on the Samland peninsula and can occur in lignite beds of the Jurassic and later eras, none of which have been identified in Ireland (ibid). Amber was imported from the Baltic region in the Viking period and earlier Irish merchants may well have traded for amber in this way prior to the ninth century (Edwards 1990).

That amber was a rare and valuable commodity in pre-Viking contexts can be inferred from its general absence from the assemblages of many recent excavations including Colp West (Murphy \& Clarke 2001), Johnstown 1 (Clarke \& Carlin 2008), Raystown (Seaver 2006) and Laughanstown (Seaver 2005), or its scarcity among the assemblages of other high status sites, many of which (Ballycatteen, Carraig Aille I and Garryduff I for example) produced a just single fragmented items (Ó Ríordáin \& Hartnett 1943; Ó Ríordáin 1949; O’Kelly 1963). Ballinderry 2 and Lagore are notable for having 14 and 16 beads respectively (Hencken 1942; 1950), perhaps an indication of the wealth of these sites in this period.

### 3.3.5.2. Stone bracelets

Stone bracelets or armlets, commonly lignite, jet or shale were also worn during the early medieval period (Plate 76; Illustration 8). Evidence for the manufacture or such items was found at Oldcourt, Co. Cork (Ó’Cuileanáin \& Murphy 1961), Cathedral Hill, Armagh (Gaskell Brown \& Harper 1984) and elsewhere on the M3 scheme at Ross 2 (A008/082: O'Hara 2008b). The D-shaped sections of these examples generally distinguish them as early medieval lignite bracelets, as similar types of bracelet, with differently shaped sections, were produced as far back as the Bronze Age (Edwards 1990). They occur more frequently than glass bracelets, perhaps because glass could be recycled when broken, and the small size of many $(85 \%$ of the measurable rings from Cahercommaun were under 70 mm in diameter; Hencken 1938), suggests they may have been objects for children. Mytum (1992) suggested the smaller examples could have been accessories to be worn in the hair.

Seven fragments were excavated from Roestown 2 with diameters of 50 mm (A008/002:566:23), 60 mm (A008/002:400:101, A008/002:484:1, A008/002:736:1), 70 mm (A008/002:438:3, A008/002:736:2) and 80 mm (A008/002:161:23). This compares well to Lagore, where 92 fragments had diameters of 70 mm or less (Hencken 1950). Lignite bracelets were found elsewhere on the M3 scheme at Castlefarm 1 (O’Connell 2009) and Dowdstown 2 (O’Hara 2009).

### 3.3.5.3 Bone pins

A total of 25 bone pins were recovered from the site, generally in fragments although some complete examples were found, primarily from Area A (Plate 77; Illustration 9). In cases where the head of the pin remained, the majority could be classified as belonging to the pigfibula type, with or without a perforation. This is in keeping with other early medieval settlement excavations, where the pig-fibula type is commonly found, with 132 examples at Lagore alone (Hencken 1950). Such pins were easily manufactured as the fibula required very little modification beyond polishing and perforating the head and the latter was not always present. Generally the Roestown 2 bone pins were undecorated with just two showing any sign of embellishment (A008/002:175:1, A008/002:639:1). The former resembles a stick pin with watch-winder type decoration on the head, and the second has incised herringbone motifs within an incised border outlining the expanded head. At least one other fragment was of the hipped variety (A008/002:119:1) common to Scotland and occasionally found in Ireland (Curle 1992), they can be identified by a bulbous projection towards the base of the shaft. A detailed discussion of these pins is available in Appendix 15.

### 3.3.5.4 Ringed pins

From around fourth century AD up to the 12th century, the commonest form of dress fastener was the copper-alloy or iron-ringed pin. Unlike brooches that were generally concerned with making a statement about the status of the wearer the ringed pin was, for the most part, utilitarian in function. Over the centuries the basic form, which consisted of a pin with a ring attached to one end, underwent a number of stylistic changes before giving way to the prominence of stick pins in the C12th AD. A total of 32 objects were metal pins or fragments of ringed pins were identified across the excavation area at Roestown 2 and included 16 ferrous and 16 non-ferrous items (Plate 78). Some objects survived as complete artefacts, while other remained as fragments of the shaft or ring. See section 2.2.1.

### 3.3.5.5 Combs

Four partially complete antler combs were found during excavation (Plate 79; Illustration 10). Three of these were single sided (A008/002:422:1; A008/002:422:2; A008/002:1321:1-20), while a fourth was double sided (A008/002:227:1-3). All had incised decoration applied. Smaller fragments also occurred (A008/002:100:29; A008/002:110:2; A008/002:131:1), as well as a bone blank (A008/002:100:86) perhaps indicating on-site manufacture. These combs are discussed in detail in Appendix 15.

### 3.4 Agricultural economy

Agriculture was the backbone of the early medieval economy, and there was overwhelming evidence for both pastoral and arabale agricultural activity at Roestown 2. This section summarises the findings of the specialist analysis of the mammalian bones and the plant remains from the site.

### 3.4.1 Livestock (the following is summarised from Appendix 6)

Roestown 2 has a high level of consistency with other contemporary sites in terms of species distribution with cattle, sheep/goat and pig accounting for the vast majority of assemblages. The distribution for cattle is most consistent amongst the compared sites while there is greater variance between the proportions of sheep/goat or pig. Where it was possible to determine specimens in the sheep/goat category, all were confirmed as sheep, with no definite goats identified in the assemblage. Horse, dog, cat and red deer occur in much smaller amounts than the three main domesticates and account for less than $10 \%$ of MNI in most instances. Mouse was the only other species represented. The range of body parts from the three main domesticates indicated butchery and consumption of meat was taking place on-site. However, considering the size of the assemblage, overall evidence for visible butchery marks was poor. For cattle, sheep/goat and pig over $90 \%, 97 \%$ and $96 \%$ of the countable specimens respectively displayed no trace of butchery.

The age-slaughter patterns for cattle and sheep/goat at Roestown 2 have clearly established that old animals are most prevalent from the mid sixth to the fourteenth century. The high percentages of older animals are in contrast to livestock management patterns previously determined for early medieval assemblages of seventh to eleventh century date such as Knowth, Moynagh or Deer Park Farms. Considering that the Roestown 2 patterns are consistent for most of the Early Medieval period, it seems reasonable to conclude that they reflect a genuine animal husbandry practice that up until now has not been commonly demonstrated through animal bone assemblages. McCormick and Murray (2007, 57) interpreted the unusually high percentage of older cattle identified at Knowth (Stage 9) as a consequence of the dairy cow no longer being the main unit of wealth, and that by the C10th AD, Knowth was possibly being supplied with some of its beef from outside sources, therefore accounting for a higher than normal level of older cattle (ibid). However, a similar dominance of older cattle occurred at Roestown 2, though to a greater extent and from an earlier date, occurring from the beginning of settlement in the mid sixth century.

Prevalence for greater proportions of older cattle (and to a lesser extent sheep) was observed for collections from Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 (Foster 2009a) and Boyerstown 3 (Foster 2009b) and indicates that the Roestown 2 pattern is not unique. Why this was the case needs further investigation, however preliminary some possibilities can be contemplated. Late sixth to early seventh century Roestown 2 was a secular high status ringfort with associated enclosures and field systems and the practice of metalworking and other crafts, including weaving and textile production, bone working etc (see relevant sections above). These crafts appear to have been carried out on a small-scale basis and were not central to the site's economy. The dominance of older cattle and sheep/goat may be partially due to their use as raw materials by craft workers at the site.

Cattle and sheep/goat that had surpassed their use for dairying, traction or reproduction may have been slaughtered for exploitation of their hides, horns and possibly wool. This however cannot solely explain the dominance of old animals. If exploitation of raw materials such as hides and horns were a priority, one would expect to find more evidence of cutmarks to areas such as the frontal skull, base of horncores and extremities such as toe bones. The butchery evidence has shown there is no major concentration of such evidence so while the presence of older animals may be partially due to slaughtering for hides and horns, it does not provide a full explanation. If sheep were primarily being exploited for wool, their age distribution contradicts the theory applied to Knowth that sheep were slaughtered at the earlier age range of 12-28 months in order to provide a softer, less oily fleece (McCormick and Murray 2007, 59). Some sheep at Roestown 2 may have been exploited for wool in spite of their older age and possibly coarser fleece. Documentary sources refer to wool called cintecal, too rough for clothing, but ideal for making rugs (Kelly 2000, 71). A range of other products such as bed clothing and outer-clothing could be made from sheepskins with the wool left in place (ibid). So, some of the older sheep evident at Roestown 2 may have been exploited for their fleece for manufacturing products that didn't require finer quality fleece.

Perhaps a greater influence on the age distribution pattern is the proximity of Roestown 2 to Lagore. The site at Roestown must be interpreted with regard to the crannog, less than 2 km away. Both sites originated as settlements in approximately at the same time, and its possible Roestown was intentionally located and developed in relation to Lagore. It is proposed that Roestown 2, and possibly other settlement sites, served a role in providing an intermediate station between clients and king, perhaps acting as foci for receipt of tribute and food rent as well as forming a protective ring around the seat of royal power. If this was the case, it may provide an explanation for the strong presence of older cattle at Roestown 2. A change to the
layout and internal features of Enclosure 1 concomitant with changes to surround enclosures between Phase 1 and Phase 2 may owe something to the development of the ecclesiastical sites at Dunshaughlin and Trevet, both of which have abbot lists from the eighth century. Both Trevet and Dunshaughlin were likely to be part of the paruchia of Armagh, which in the ninth century appointed stewards (maer) to collect revenues from holdings in Brega. This raises the possibility that Roestown 2, already potentially a centre for tribute deposition, maintained a similar function in later phases for revenues due to the Church. Once again, the animal bone assemblage from these later phases does not solely represent livestock of the inhabitants and must incorporate animals from outside sources.

None of these explanations can be proven to be the overriding factor determining the ageslaughter patterns evident at Roestown 2. It is likely that a combination of influences are at play, although the patterns evident at Roestown 2 are significant because they provide evidence for alternative trends to those already identified for the early medieval period in Ireland.

### 3.4.2 Crops and cereal processing

(The following is summarised from Appendiix 10)
The majority of evidence ofr cereal processing at the site came from the cereal drying kilns within, or immediately beyond, Enclosure 1 . However, a notable quantity was recorded from ditches and pits across the site.

Oats and barley predominates the assemblage, and this is typical of cereal assemblages from early medieval sites. The main crops grown in Ireland at that time were 6-row hulled barley, oats and rye (Monk 1986; McClatchie 2007. The presence of bread wheat could reflect the high status of the site, being at the top of the list of relative prestige for cereals in an 8th century law text Bretha Déin Chécht (Binchy 1966). However, 'low status crops' (as per that law text) of hulled barley and oats were more frequent on-site.

Charred oats and barley grains were abundant in kilns F698, F776 and F832 suggesting that they were cereal-drying kilns, used to dry the crops prior to storage or grinding. Chaff fragments and weed seeds were present in low numbers relative to the cereal grains, which may indicate the crops had been processed prior to drying. The mixture of crop types in the fills is likely to reflect several firings of the kilns, with insufficient clearing out after each use, although the cultivation of maslin crops cannot be ruled out, these were deliberately mixed crops which reduced the risk of total crop failure (Jones \& Halstead 1995).

From the data available, there appears to have been little change over the duration of occupation at the site, with oats and barley predominant in all phases (see Table 3.1 in Appendix 10), although there is limited evidence that wheat may have increased in use in Phase 3.

### 3.5 Surrounding natural landscape

Pollen and spore samples from each re-cut of Enclosure 1 suggested a landscape strongly influenced by human activity (see Appendix 11). A low number of arboreal pollen grains relative to herbaceous grains reflected a widely deforested landscape of open grassland and cultivated ground. Where tree pollen was represented, alder prevailed (probably growing along the wet fringes of Red Bog). Oak, elm, hazel and birch were also present.

Most of the charcoal identified at the site were species native to Ireland and were certainly available in the wider landscape. Oak and hazel were abundant, and probably existed as highcanopy woodland with ash and elm. Hazel is generally understorey vegetation, occurring at woodland margins or in scrub, along with other small trees and shrubs, such as cherries and Maloideae. Birch, alder and Salicaceae would have favoured wetland habitats along the edges of Red Bog. Elder was relatively frequently recorded on the site, with yew recorded in a single context. The woodlands were certainly highly managed, however, where this woodland might have been is unclear. Uncharred remains hazel nutshells and blackthorn (sloe) fruitstones in F484 indicated shrubs or small trees were growing alongside Enclosure 1 (certainly F450 but possibly F405/F404 also) as it infilled.

### 3.6 Medieval activity

Roestown 2, on the periphery of the demesne lands of the manor of Dunshaughlin which Hugh de Lacy retained following the sub-infeudation of Meath, would have been granted to a sub-tenant or left in the hands of its existing cultivators (Murphy 2006). There was nothing to indicate incoming Anglo-Normans took possession of the site in the 12th century. A small collection of medieval pottery was recovered from topsoil deposits or in deposits sealing F450 but, significantly, no medieval pottery sherds were recovered from stratified deposits within Area B suggesting this part of the site was abandoned by the 13th century. Most of the pottery was Dublin-type ware, a wheel-thrown, glazed fabric that dates broadly to the 13th century. The designation of a fabric with the suffix -type is recommended pottery practice to indicate that a ware has been consistently found in a particular area while evidence for a production centre or kiln which has not yet been discovered (McCutcheon 2000; 2005a).

Both medieval features at Roestown 2, the rectangular enclosure (Enclosure 4) and an associated pit contained sherds of Dublin-type ware and also produced bone pins, a bodkinstyle javelin head (see Plate 80) and fragments of iron objects.

It is possible further associated features were removed by ploughing. A moderate corpus of excavated houses of medieval date have slight or no evidence for surviving walls. At Piperstown, Co. Louth (Barry 2000) and Dunmanogue, Co. Kildare (Sleeman \& Hurley 1987), houses built in the 13th or 14th centuries were constructed with sill beams laid horizontally into bedding trenches or onto the ground surface, into which timber uprights were placed, while at Bourchier's Castle, Co. Limerick (Cleary 1982; 1983) mud walls were used in a late-13th-century structure. Such structures can be difficult to detect archaeologically as they are susceptible to later truncation (O’Conor 1998). There was sufficient space within Enclosure 4 to accommodate a structure similar to those excavated at Piperstown ( $8 \mathrm{~m} \times 5.6 \mathrm{~m}$ ) or House II ( $8.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.8 \mathrm{~m}$ ) at Caherguillamore, Co. Limerick (Ó’Ríordáin \& Hunt 1942), while at Ballyveelish, Co. Tipperary (Doody 1987), a small $4 \mathrm{~m} x$ 4 m structure was placed within a stone boundary wall inside a moated site.

The medieval remains at Roestown 2 were indicative of a short-lived or limited occupation. The shallow primary deposit within Enclosure 4 was succeeded by a prolonged period of silt accumulation, and was possibly still open as late as the 17th century (AD 1440-1640; see Appendix 5). The site may have been home to a peasant family for a short period in the 13th century, living beyond the limits of the manorial centre of Dunshaughlin, which developed after 1176 (Bhreathnach 1999) or equally it could represent a temporary defensive structure securing the northwestern approach to the manor of Dunshaughlin during the same period, one of incessant warring between rival Anglo-Norman lords (Murphy 2006). John de Courcy's followers sacked and burned de Lacy's lands in Meath (which would have included Dunshaughlin) in retaliation for the kidnapping of de Courcy by Hugh de Lacy, and it is not impossible that the medieval occupation of Roestown 2 was abandoned for these reasons.

A detailed extent of the manor of Ratoath was made following the murder of William de Burgh, earl of Ulster, in 1333. The surviving manuscript, though in poor condition, shows Dunshaughlin was held at that time by Walter de la Hyde, who also held two carucates at Raweston for an annual rent of 11s (ibid). This seems likely to be Roestown 2 and two carucates represent 240 medieval acres or between 500 and 600 statute acres $\left(2.02-2.42 \mathrm{~km}^{2}\right)$. Its possible therefore that the 14th century holding consisted of the modern townland of Roestown (398 acres or $1.61 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) and Redbog (209 acres or $0.85 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ). Bhreathnach (1999)
has argued that Anglo-Norman land grants were, in some cases, pre-determined by existing divisions and that on entering Southern Brega, they encountered and exploited an established pattern of territorial division and settlement'. We may consider then, that the pre-Norman territory associated with Roestown was also between 500 and 600 statute acres.

De la Hyde died in 1344. A post mortem inquisition extent revealed he held Dunshaughlin manor, and though it didn't enumerate the tenant holdings, it vdid value the rents of the free tenants and burgesses at $£ 8$ per annum, which was approximately half the value of the manor. The landuse information given for the demesne lands show a preponderance of arable and the values indicate that the manor was prospering on the eve of the Black Death. A few years after this extent was made an individual called Geoffrey Travers of Roweston, was appointed one of the keepers of the peace in the liberty of Trim. This signifies the presence of a settlement or at least a holding at Roestown. At the time of the Civil Survey the townland of Roestown was in the possession of the protestant proprietor Sir William Parsons who also held much of Dunshaughlin. The settlement consisted of a stone house with other 'out houses' and a mill. There were 115 acres of arable land, 10 acres of meadow and 10 acres of pasture (Murphy 2006).

### 3.7 Date and sequence

The following tables outline the principal sequence of archaeological features for both Area A and B. Each area is described with an independent stratigraphy, as both parts of the site could not be amalgamated due to bisection of the physical remains by the N3.

A proposed overall sequence of development is suggested in section 3.5.3.

### 3.7.1 Stratigraphical sequence Area A

| Area A |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A/Phase 1a | Enclosure 2 (F276, F282, F285) and Enclosure 5 (F225, F288, F335). <br> Perhaps dating to the late-sixth or early seventh century AD. |
| A/Phase 1b | A re-cut (F250) of Enclosure 2 |
| A/Phase 2 | A re-cut (F102) of Enclosure 2. Enclosure 5 replaced or supplemented by <br> Enclosures 6 (F132) and 7 (F172). The former containing a dog burial <br> dated to AD 630-710. |
| A/Phase 3a | Excavation of Enclosure 3 (F113/F264/F326) and Enclosure 8 (F230). The <br> former occurring in the late seventh century AD (AD 650-780; AD 620- <br> 690). |
| A/Phase 3b | Re-cut of F113 (F239 and F319) of Enclosure 3. |
| A/Phase 4a | A major re-cut of Enclosure 3 (F114/F164). F264 was not re-cut perhaps <br> facilitating expansion westward linking up with features coming from <br> Area B. Enclosure 8 was replaced by Enclosure 9 (F187, F196). |
| A/Phase 4b | Re-cuts to Enclosure 9 (F345, F195). |
| Note: In A/Phase 4b, it is possible that F164 was backfilled so the re-cut ditches of <br> Enclosure 9 in effect delimited an expanded Enclosure 3. |  |
| A/Phase 5a | F254 added to Enclosure 9, and F342 added to F114. The excavation of <br> F342 suggested an amalgamation of Areas A and B and may equal <br> B/Phase 2d. |
| A/Phase 5b | Re-cuts (F272 and F341) to F254 and F342. |
| A/Phase 6a | Enclosure 4 (F134) and pit (F178) centrally placed within Enclosure 3, <br> which may have still been visible by this date. Dated by pottery <br> association to the 13th and 14th centuries AD. A radiocarbon date for <br> Enclosure 4 (F135: AD 1440-1640) suggested prolonged post- <br> abandonment silting up. Pit F212 and metalled surface F281 may also <br> belong to this phase. |
| A/Phase 8 | The modern period is represented by a backfilled quarry (F266), the <br> grading of the N3 roadside (F308) and a shallow drain (F295). |
| A/Phase 6b | Linear ditches (F168, F219, F280, F283) potentially associated with <br> Enclosure 4. <br> dispersed artefacts resulting in the lower topsoil (F100). |

### 3.7.2 Stratigraphical sequence Area B

| Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| B/Phase 1a | Enclosure 1 (F405) excavated (AD 530-650). Associated with Enclosure 10 (F935), Enclosure 11 (F1000), ditch (F1315). Structure A (F350, F370, F374, F378, F387, F1463, F1556), Structure B (F885), Structure C (F591, F667, F834), gullies (F564, F797, F823, F825, F875, F1103, F1112). Miscellaneous features (F705, F719, F721, F1175) truncated by later Phase 1 or Phase 2 features. |
| B/Phase 1b | Re-cutting of Enclosure 11 (F901, F1002) and F1315 (F1404). Beginning of Enclosure 12 (F645, F1250) abutting Enclosure 11. |
| B/Phase 1c | Replacement of F1315/F1404 by extension of Enclosure 12 (F945, F1248, F1330, F1547). Metalled surface (F960) and occupation deposit (F993) that sealed F1315. Expansion of Enclosure 10 (F936, F1065), Enclosure 13 (F951). Structure D (F707, F1216). Possibly F1121 and F1130. |
| B/Phase 1d | Replacement of Enclosure 10 by expansion of Enclosure 13 (i.e. addition of F934 to F951). |
| B/Phase 2a | Re-cutting of Enclosure 1 (F404; AD 710-960). New internal layout with the subdivision of the southeast portion of the enclosure (F603). The souterrain (F501/F503) may date to this phase. A new sequence of successive multiphase field boundary ditches (F745, F748, F751, F764, F766, F900, F905, F1034, F1039, F1142, F1288, F1326) south of Enclosure 1, which formed Enclosure 14 (abutted Enclosure 12 suggesting it remained in use through this phase). Enclosure 13 replaced by F933. |
| B/Phase 2b | Re-cuts (F642) of F603. Multiple re-cuts (F863, F864, F888, F1113, F1152, F1154) to F933. Ditches (F902, F1525) may belong to this phase and could be evidence for further annexe enclosures south of Enclosure 14. |
| B/Phase 2c | Re-cut (1104) of F642. |
| B/Phase 2d | Either side of causewayed entrance of Phase 2a-c backfilled. Re-cuts (F675, F692) to the east end of F1104 probably attempted to improve or reconstruct the bank at this location following this alteration. Additional re-cuts (F808, F811) to F933. F1531 added to the east end of F933. Enclosure 15 (F649, F653, F684, F688, F691, F711, F762, F861, F954, F955, F958, and F959) replaces Enclosure 12 and Enclosure 14. A second major internal partition in the west of the enclosure (F550) may also date to this phase. |
| B/Phase 3 | Re-cutting of Enclosure 1 (F450; AD 770-980) to Phase 2d limits. F571 replaced F1104. Further re-cuts (F1107, F1126, F1341) to F933 ditchs, mettled surface (F1337), and ditches (F820, F1485). Two re-cuts (F770, F 1319 ) to the western partition ditch may have taken place during this stage. |
| B/Phase 4 | Backfilling of F450, metalled pathway (F492). Ditch features (F408, F1354, F1358, F1346) cut F450. Two shallow ditches (F403, F841) excavated that approximately coterminous with F450. Souterrain partially dismantled and backfilled, two large pit features (F411, F1301) cut F450 and F1319. |
| B/Phase 5 | Roadway constructed along the eastern edge of Area B, probably a remnant of the 18th-century turnpike road between Dublin and Navan, a precursor to the current N3. The site was heavily cultivated in the post medieval period as evidenced by numerous furrows (F561, F589, F658, F664, F701, F767, F879, F917, F923, F925, F927, F944, F1026, F1028, F1030, F1032, F1086, F1215, F1245, and F1426). |
| B/Phase 6 | Modern drains (F557, F1428, F1431, F1433), and up cast (F932) from stream widening used to reclaim southeastern part of the site. |

### 3.7.3 Overall site phasing

The following all encompassing phasing is presented as a blend of the two separate phasings presented above and is considered the most likely basis for the expansion of the site between the sixth century and the modern era. This phasing is the basis on which the animal bone was interpreted.

## Period 1A

Start date: mid 6th century AD
Enclosure 1 (F404) excavated and became the focus for a number of ancillary field systems/ enclosures (Enclosures 2, 5 11). Within Enclosure 1, there was evidence for a number of structures (Structure A-C). Fine metalworking was occurring within the site in this period. Arable farming (cereal drying) also attested to. This period is likely to be quite drawn out, as there were a number of gullies and linears around the site that were cut by features belonging to Period 2 or later.

Period 1B
Approximate date: first half of 7th century AD
Successive re-cuts of Enclosures 2 and 11 (and other features from Period1A). Creation of smaller ancillary enclosures onto Enclosure 2 (Enclosure 6 \& 7) and around Enclosure 1 (Enclosures 10, 12, 13). Within Enclosure 1, Structure D was built, possibly connected to metalworking activity.

Period 2A
Approximate date: mid 7th century AD
Re-cut of Enclosure 1 (F405). Enclosures 3 and 8 replace earlier enclosures (2, 6, 7) in a significant increase in settlement area and capacity. Reorganisation continued around Enclosure 1 also, with Enclosure 14 tagged onto the side of Enclosure 12, which shows some continuity of form between the two phases. The interior of Enclosure 1 is divided into subdivisions, with the southeast portion having a number of cereal drying kilns

## Period 2B

Approximate date: 8th century AD
Successive re-cuts of Enclosure 3, while Enclosure 9 is created as an ancillary ditch to the south of Enclosure 3 (replacing Enclosure 8). Enclosure 10, on the west side of Enclosure 1 is replaced by a linear ditch extending towards the east. It is re-cut a great many times, possibly annually. South of Enclosure 1, Enclosures 15 and 16 replaced Enclosures 12 and 14. There
are further changes over the course of this period to existing features such as Enclosure 3 and Enclosure 9. The internal partition ditch is altered or re-cut. The souterrain may originate in this, or possibly the following, period. Enclosure 1 is partially backfilled around its entrance.

## Period 3A

Approximate date: late 8th century AD
Enclosure 1 re-cut (F450) to the extents defined by Period 2B backfilling. Continuing re-cuts of ditch F933. The existing internal partition ditch is replaced (by F571) enclosing a smaller area, and cutting earlier cereal drying kilns. A new partition (F550) of the west side of Enclosure 1 may reflect a constriction of the site or the creation of an inner enclosure.

Period 3B
Approximate date: 10th century AD
Continuing re-cuts to the internal partition ditch.

## Period 4

Approximate date: 11th century AD
Enclosure 1 is backfilled and partially sealed below a stone path. Its former extent (from Period 3) is defined by a shallow drain, perhaps delimiting a large field. A number of ditches and pits are assigned to this period. The souterrain is dismantled and backfilled.

## Period 5

Date: thirteenth-fourteenth century AD
A small enclosure is built within the former limits of Enclosure 3.

## Period 6

Date: Post-medieval to modern
Farming/agricultural features.

## 4 CONCLUSIONS

Roestown 2 (A008/002) was excavated (19 September 2005-30 March 2007) by Rob O'Hara (ACS) as part of the M3 Clonee-North of Kells Motorway Scheme on behalf of Meath County Council, NRDO, and the NRA.

Roestown 2 was an early medieval settlement and farmstead that developed between the sixth and 11th centuries AD . Medieval period activity was also recorded on the site. A multiphased, D-shaped enclosure formed the focus of the early medieval remains. A stone-lined souterrain, cereal drying kilns and a number of structures structures were excavated, while a number of field systems and enclosures were enclosed large areas of the immediate landscape. The site was highly significant, not only for the quantity of archaeological material recovered, but also for the clear stratigraphical divisions within the site. The genesis and development of a site over 500 years of occupation can be traced in thearchaeological record for the site. Changes to its shape, size, function, staus reveal a site in near constant flux. This flux, both within and around the site, presents a wonderful foil to the inherent stability that was provided by a landmark settlement that remained occupied for centuries.
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APPENDIX 1 Context Details

| Roestown 2: A008/002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Fill of/ Filled with | Strat above | Strat below | Description | Interpretation | Area/ Phase | Artefacts | Animal bone | Burnt bone | Samples |
| 1 | Sod | 1 |  | These numbers were used in a previous report on metal detection | Sod |  | See separate report |  |  |  |
| 2 | Topsoil | 100 | 1 |  | Topsoil |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Test-pits |  |  |  | Topsoil |  |  |  |  |  |
| 004-099 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | N/A | 125126 138142 143159 175177 191199 205213 258277 279292 | 2 | Lower topsoil approximately 0.4 m deep created through post-medieval ploughing. Contained a large quantity of animal bone and prehistoric-modern artefacts | Lower topsoil | A/ 7 | Modern earthenware, medieval pottery, posisbly prehistoric pottery, clay pipe fragments, assorted flint objects, bone pin fragments, bone comb fragments, assorted iron objects |  |  |  |
| 101 | 102 | $\begin{gathered} 105109 \\ 269 \end{gathered}$ | 264283 | Loose, mid grey-reddish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) rounded to subangular stones, occasional (<10\%) charcoal \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Abuts F220. Approximately 35 m long $\times 1.1 \mathrm{~m}$ wide max. x 0.4 m deep max. | Fill of ditch 102 | A/ 2 | Unidentified iron object | $1 \times A 4$ | Y |  |
| 102 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 101105109269 \\ 220284 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 251 | $\begin{gathered} 105109 \\ 269 \end{gathered}$ | Cut for curvilinear ditch with concave sides and a concave base. C.75m long, $0.4 \mathrm{~m}-$ 1.4 m wide, $0.14 \mathrm{~m}-0.4 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. Encloses an area approximately 35 m EW x 20 m NS. Possibly open to the southeast. Approximately 75 m long $\times 0.4 \mathrm{~m}-1.4 \mathrm{~m}$ wide x 0.4 m deep max. | Re-cut of ditch 282 | A/ 2 |  |  |  |  |


| 103 | 132 | 132 | 113 | Moderately compact mid-dark reddish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular-subangular stones, very occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal \& occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Similar material to F116 although relationship removed by F113/ F114. | Fill of ditch 132 | A/ 2 |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 104 | 141 | 141 | 100 | Moderately compact mid-dark reddish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subrounded stones very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal \& animal bone flecks. $7.7 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 141 | ? | Flint flake |  |  |  |
| 105 | 102 | 102 | 101 | Moderately compact dark brownish grey sandy silt. Moderate (10-20\%) angularsubangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal flecks \& moderate (10-20\%) animal bone. Localised dump of materia below F101. $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 102 | A/ 2 |  | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |  |
| 106 | 114 | 150 | 112 | Moderately compact mid-dark reddish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, moderate (10-20\%) charcoal \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Approximately $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 114 | A/ 4a | Flint flake | $\begin{gathered} 1 \times A 12 \times A 4 \\ 1 \times A 5 \end{gathered}$ | Y | Charcoal \#11, Charcoal \#18, Bulk \#44, Bulk \# 96 |
| 107 | 239 | 152 | 184 | Moderately compact mid reddish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subroundedsubangular stones, moderate (10-20\%) charcoal, frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) animal bone and very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) mollusc. Approximately $25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/3b | Flint debitage, bone pin | 2xA3 2xA4 | Y | Charcoal \#13, Charcoal \#14, Bulk \#58, Bulk \# 97 |
| 108 | 113 | 113 | 152 | Moderately compact light yellowish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subroundedsubangular stones, moderate (10-20\%) charcoal, frequent (>20\%) animal bone and very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) snail shell and mollusc. Radiocarbon date: AD650-780. Approximately 30m | Fill of ditch 113 | A/ 3a | Stone gaming board, hone stone, bone pin | 1xA1 3xA4 | Y | Snail shell \#2, Snail shell \#22, Bulk \#6, Bulk \#50, Charcoal \#19, Charcoal \# 40, Charcoal \#55 |
| 109 | 102 | 102 | 101 | Moderately compact dark greyish brown clayey silt. Moderate (10-20\%) angularsubangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal flecks \& occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) anima bone. Localised dump of material below F101. $3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 102 | A/ 2 | Bone pin fragment | $1 \times \mathrm{A} 4$ | Y | Bulk \#66 |


| 110 | 239 | 151 | 110182 | Compact mid yellowish brown sandy silt. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal flecks \& moderate (10-20\%) animal bone. $28 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/ 3b | Iron knife, Bone comb fragment | 1xA3 3xA4 | Y | Charcoal \#42, Bulk \#47, Bulk \# 98 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111 | 114 | 114 | $\begin{gathered} 148149 \\ 156 \end{gathered}$ | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal \& snail shell. Moderate (10-20\%) animal bone. Approximately 30 m long x 0.5 m wide x 0.15 m deep max. | Fill of ditch 114 | A/ 4a |  | $3 x A 41 x A 5$ | Y | Bulk \#9, Bulk \#46, Bulk \#54, Charcoal \#3, Charcoal \#39, Charcoal \#41, Snail shell \#17 |
| 112 | 114 | 106153 | 342 | Moderately compact mid-dark reddish brown sandy silt. Moderate (10-20\%) subrounded-subangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal \& frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) animal bone. 30 m long $\times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.25 m max. | Fill of ditch 114. Same feature as 326 | A/ 4a |  | 2xA3 3xA4 | Y | Bulk \#57, Bulk \#95 |
| 113 | 108152154 | 103116 | 145 | Cut for ditch with generally steep sloping sides (approx. $50^{\circ}$ ) and a flat base. Approximately 45 m long, 2 m wide at the top, 1 m deep and 0.4 m wide at the base. Enclosed an area approximately 42 m NS by 45m EW. Same feature as F326. | Cut for ditch | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 114 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 106111112 \\ 148149150153 \\ 156181 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{gathered} 110182 \\ 137 \end{gathered}$ | 111 | Cut for ditch with generally steep sloping sides (approx. $50^{\circ}$ ) and a flat base. Approximately 45 m long, 2 m wide at the top, 0.9 m deep and 0.35 m wide at the base. Enclosed an area approximately 42 m NS by 52 m EW. 47 m long $\mathrm{x} 1.1 \mathrm{~m}-2.95 \mathrm{~m}$ wide x $0.44 \mathrm{~m}-1.0 \mathrm{~m}$ de | Re-cut of ditch 113. Same feature as 164 | A/ 4a |  |  |  |  |
| 115 | 168 | 118 | 100 | Loose dark brownish grey clayey silt. Moderate (10-20\%) subrounded subangular stones, frequent (>20\%) charcoal \& occasional (<10\%) burnt bone. $8 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 168 | A/ 6b |  |  | Y |  |
| 116 | 132 | 103116 | 113 | Moderately compact mid-dark reddish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular-subangular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal \& occasional (<10\%) animal bone. Similar material to F103. Radiocarbon date: AD 630-710. $21 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.63 \mathrm{~m}-3.15 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.54 m deep. | Fill of ditch 132 | A/ 2 | Flint debitage | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |  |


| 117 | N/A | 100 | 002 | Charcoal rich deposit contained within F100. $0.53 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.54 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$. | Charcoal deposit | A/ 8 |  |  |  | Bulk \#68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 118 | 168 | 167 | 115 | Loose mid-dark brownish grey silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular-subrounded stones, occasional (<10\%) charcoal \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 168 | A/ 6b |  | 1xA4 1xA5 | Y |  |
| 119 | N/A | 255273 | 100 | Moderately compact dark brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded -subangular stones, occasional (<10\%) charcoal, very occasional heat fractured stone ( $<1 \%$ ) \& frequent (<20\%) animal bone. $6.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 5 \mathrm{~m} x$ 0.15 m deep. | Occupation deposit | A/ 3 ? | Bone pin fragments, bone pin, struck flint | 1 x box $2 \mathrm{xA5}$ | Y | Coprolite \#4, Slag \#5, Bulk \#69, Charcoal \#71 |
| 120-123 | Cancelled. Sam | as 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 124 | Cancelled. Sam | as 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | 202 | 137215 | 100 | Loose, mid brown clayey silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone. $8.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.05 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . | Fill of linear 202 | ? | Flint debitage | 1xA5 | Y | Bulk \#36 |
| 126 | 219 | 219 | 100 | Loose, dark brown clayey silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subangular stones, occasional animal bone ( $<10 \%$ ), very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal flecks. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 219 | A/ 6b |  | $2 \mathrm{xA5}$ |  | Bulk \#33 |
| 127 | Cancelled. Sam | as 137 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 128 | Cancelled. Sam | as 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 129 | $212 ?$ | 223 | 100 | Dumped deposit of flat angular -subangular stones ( $<0.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Area quite disturbed. Not certain which cut this deposit is associated with. $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 212 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 130 | Cancelled. <br> Same as 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 131 | 282 | 222290 | 250 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular -subangular stones occasional (<10\%) charcoal \& moderate (10-20\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Abuts F268. $35 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 282 | A/ 1a | E-ware, bone comb fragment, worked bone | 1x box | Y | Bulk \#83 |


| 132 | 103116 | 171 | 103116 | Cut for curvilinear ditch with irregular sides (ranging from steep to concave) and an uneven though generally flat base. Approximately $0.8 \mathrm{~m}-1.6 \mathrm{~m}$ wide, 0.11 m 0.54 m deep. Encloses an area approximately 17 m NW-SE x 12 m NE-SW. $21 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.8 \mathrm{~m}-1.63 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}-0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Cut for ditch | A/ 2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 133 | Cancelled. Same | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 134 | 135136158159 | 171 | 158 | Cut for ditch with steep sides (approx $50^{\circ}$ ) and a slightly concave base lending it a V shaped profile. Approximately 1.6 m wide, $0.37 \mathrm{~m}-0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. It had a circumference of 48 m \& enclosed area 13 m NE-SW $\times 10 \mathrm{~m}$ NW-SE. No obvious entrance. $1.1 \mathrm{~m}-1.6 \mathrm{~m}$ wide $\times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | Cut for ditch | A/ 6a |  |  |  |  |
| 135 | 134 | 136 | 159 | Moderately compact, mid greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular -subangular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal flecks \& snail shell, occasional (<10\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Radiocarbon date: AD1440-1640 is later than primary activity | Fill of ditch 134 | A/ 6a | Iron objects, struck flint, iron spearhead/ butt | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#76, Snail shell \#80 |
| 136 | 134 | 158 | 135 | Loose, greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subrounded -subangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal flecks, snail shell \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Approximately $35 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 134 | A/ 6a | Dublin-type ware | 1xA4 | Y | Snail shell \#23, Bulk \#77 |
| 137 | 172 | 172 | 114202 | Compact mid brown sandy silt with occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded -subangular stones, charcoal \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Possibly same deposit as F253. 16m $\times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 172 | A/ 2 | Struck flint | 1xA4 1xA5 | Y | Charcoal \#31, Bulk \#32 |
| 138 | 283 | 283 | 100 | Loose, greyish brown silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded -subangular stones, charcoal flecks \& animal bone. $5.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.7 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 283 | A/ 6b |  | 1xA4 |  | Bulk \#90 |
| 139 | Root activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 140 | 285 | 285 | 100 | Moderately compact, mid yellowish brown sandy silt. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal \& animal bone. $2.92 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.72 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.24 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of 285 | ? | Flint flake |  | Y | Bulk \#91 |


| 141 | 104 | 171 | 104 | Cut for ditch with concave sides and an uneven, generally concave base. 7.7 m long, 0.7 m wide, 0.25 m deep. $7.7 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.25 m . | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 142 | 286 | 286 | 100 | Loose, mid greyish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone. $20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.42 \mathrm{~m}-1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of linear 286 | ? | Iron object | 1xA4 1xA5 |  |  |
| 143 | 266 | 311 | 100 | Compact deposit comprising mixed building rubble used to backfill a quarry. $18 \mathrm{~m} \times 9 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 1.6 m deep. | Fill of quarry 266 | A/ 8 | Slate writing <br> boards, stone <br> pencils, assorted <br> glazed <br> earthenware, bottle <br> glass, clay pipe <br> fragments, iron <br> nails |  |  |  |
| 144 | 239 | 147 | 155157 | Moderately compact dark brown silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded -angular stones \& charcoal, frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Radiocarbon date: AD 620-690. $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/3b | Iron object, bone pin fragments | $1 \times A 3$ | Y | Bulk \#1, Bulk \#49, Bulk \#101, Charcoal \#7, Charcoal \#56 |
| 145 | 239 | 108 | 147 | Moderately compact, dark brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones \& charcoal, frequent (>20\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). $3 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/3b | Iron object, iron blade, struck flint | 2xA4 | Y | Bulk \#8, Charcoal \#12 |
| 146 | 239 | 155157 | 151 | Moderately compact, dark greyish brown silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subroundedsubangular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal flecks \& animal bone. $2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.23 m . | Fill of ditch 239 | A/ 3b |  | $1 \times A 4$ |  |  |
| 147 | 239 | 145 | 144 | Compact mid yellowish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone. $3.5 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/3b |  | 1xA4 1xA5 |  |  |
| 148 | 114 | 111 | 153 | Moderately compact, dark brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular to subrounded stones. Very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone. $4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 114 | A/ 4 a |  |  |  |  |
| 149 | Cancelled. | as 111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 150 | 114 | 149 | 106 | Compact mid yellowish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular-subangular stones, moderate (10-20\%) animal bone, very occasional ( $>1 \%$ ) charcoal. Approximately $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 114 | A/ 4a | Struck flint | 1xA3 4xA4 |  | Bulk \#45, Bulk \#100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 151 | 239 | $\begin{gathered} 146171 \\ 184 \end{gathered}$ | 110182 | Compact mid yellowish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal, moderate (1020\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Approximately $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.8 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/ 3b | Stone gaming board, iron object | 1xA1 4xA4 | Y | Charcoal \#20, Bulk \#48, Bulk \#99 |
| 152 | 113 | 108 | 154 | Compact yellowish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Approximately $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 113 | A/ 3a |  | $2 \times A 5$ | Y |  |
| 153 | 114 | 156 | 153 | Mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) angular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal \& animal bone. $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 114 | A/ 4a | Iron knife, struck flint |  |  |  |
| 154 | 113 | 108 | 239 | Compact yellowish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone. $9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 113 | A/ 3a |  | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |  |
| 155 | Cancelled. | as 281 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 156 | Cancelled. | as 112 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 | 239 | 144 | 146 | Loose, mid yellowish grey silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones, very occasional (<1\%) animal bone. $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.4 m . | Fill of ditch 239 | A/ 3b |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 158 | 134 | 134 | 136 | Loose, mid greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subrounded-subangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal flecks \& snail shell, occasional (<10\%) animal bone. $9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 134 | A/ 6a |  | 1xA4 1xA6 |  | Snail shell \#24, Bulk \#116 |
| 159 | 134 | 135 | 100 | Loose mid brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones. Very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone. Approximately $28 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.6 \mathrm{~m}$ 0.30 m . | Fill of ditch 134 | A/ 6a |  |  |  |  |


| 160 | 164 | 164 | 161 | Moderately compact, dark brownish grey silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) animal bone (incl. burnt bone), occasional (<10\%) charcoal, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) metallic waste, mollusc shell \& charred seeds. Approximately $38 \mathrm{~m} \times 1$. | Fill of ditch 164 | A/ 4a | Bone pin fragment, worked bone | 1xA1 13xA4 | Y | Slag \#26, Charcoal \#27, Charcoal \#72, Charcoal \#93, Charred seeds \#74, Mollusc \#30, Bulk \#75, Bulk \#102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 161 | 164 | 160 | 162 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone), occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) metallic waste, mollusc shell \& charred seeds. $38 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.4 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 164 | A/ 4a | Lignite fragment, (hack?) silver object, worked bone, iron object, possible stone bead | $2 \times A 313 x A 4$ | Y | Bulk \#60, Bulk\# 103, Slag \#78, Slag \#79, Mollusc \#109, Charcoal \#110 |
| 162 | 164 | 161 | 163 | Loose, mid greyish brown clayey silt. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone), occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) metallic waste, snail \& mollusc shell. $38 \mathrm{~m} x$ $2.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 164 | A/ 4a | Decorated copper alloy strip, stone lamp, bone pin fragments | 2xA1 12xA4 | Y | Slag \#25, Slag \#73, Bulk \#59, Bulk \#104, Snail shell \#111, Mollusc \#28, Mollusc \#112 |
| 163 | 164 | 162 | 286 | Moderately compact, mid greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, moderate (10-20\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). $38 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 164 | A/ 4a |  | $3 \times A 34 x A 4$ | Y |  |
| 164 | 160161162163 | $\begin{gathered} 325192 \\ 247 \end{gathered}$ | 160 | Cut for ditch with generally steep sloping (approx. $50^{\circ}$ ) but irregular sides and flat base. Approximately 38 m long, 3 m wide at the top, 0.9 m deep and 0.35 m wide at the base. Enclosed an area 40 m EW x 50m NS. $2.1 \mathrm{~m}-3.0 \mathrm{~m}$ wide $\times 0.9 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Re-cut of ditch 326. Same feature as 114 | A/ 4a |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | 166 | 180 | 100 | Grave fill within F166 (Burial I). Essentially F100. | Grave Fill | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#10 |
| 166 | 165180 | 171 | 180 | Grave cut for Burial 1. Survived as a shallow partial cut into natural clay delimiting a Metalled surface c180. Largely removed by later agricultural activity. $1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.1 m . | Grave cut | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 167 | 168 | 168 | 118 | Loose dark brownish grey clayey silt. Moderate (10-20\%) subrounded subangular stones, occasional (<10\%) burnt bone. $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 168 | A/ 6b? |  |  | Y |  |


| 168 | 115118167 | $\begin{gathered} 164209 \\ 231 \end{gathered}$ | 167 | Cut for NW-SE orientated curvilinear ditch with concave sides and base. Traced for a distance of 12 m . Extends beyond the CPO line. Approximately 0.8 m wide and 0.5 m deep. | Cut for ditch | A/ 6b? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | 201 | 201 | 100 | Sub-rectangular pit with rounded ends, gently sloping sides and an irregular base. 2.7 m long, approximately 0.9 m wide \& 0.26 m deep. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 170 | Cancelled. Sam | as 119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | Natural subsoil | oth area | (A/B) | Natural subsoil or bedrock in both areas of the site. A variety of subsoils were encountered highlighting various geological instances. The primary types were shale bedrock exposed both areas, a glacial deposit of compact rounded boulders which cut throug |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 172 | 137 | 171 | 137253 | Cut for curvilinear ditch with steep sides (approximately $70^{\circ}$ ) and a flat base. 16 m in length, 0.75 m wide $\&$ up to 0.55 m deep. Potentially delimiting Burials I \& II although these burials were too disturbed to be considered for dating. $16 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$ | Cut for ditch | A/ 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 173 | Cancelled. Sam | as 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174 | Cancelled. Sam | as 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 | 178 | 178 | 100 | Loose, mid greyish brown sandy clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones, frequent (>20\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). $2.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.48 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 178 | A/ 6a | Bone pins, iron knife, Dublin-type ware, struck flint, hone stone | 1xA3 | Y | Bulk \#15 |
| 176 | 203 | 203 | 241 | Moderately compact, mid brown sandy silt. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) charcoal \& animal bone. $4.25 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 203 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  | Bulk \#34 |
| 177 | 204 | 204 | 100 | Moderately compact, mid brown sandy silt. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones. $2.50 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 204 | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#35 |
| 178 | 175 | 171 | 175 | Cut for pit with irregular sides (gentle and steep) and a generally flat base. Subcircular in plan, approximately 2.45 m NE-SW by 2.3m NW-SE. 0.48 m deep. | Cut for pit | A/ 6a |  |  |  |  |
| 179 | N/A | 171 | 100 | Metalled surface below Burial II | Metalled surface | $?$ |  |  |  |  |


| 180 | 166 | 171 | 165 | Metalled surface below Burial I. Contained within F166 | Metalled surface | ? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 181 | 114 | 114 | 342 | Moderate mid greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones, very occasional (<1\%) animal bone. Note: bone preservation in this part of F114 was poor due to underlying geology. Approximately 8 m long $\times 1.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of ditch 114 | A/ 4a | Iron object, flint flake |  |  |
| 182 | 239 | 113 | 114 | Moderate dark greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones, very occasional (<1\%) animal bone. Note: bone preservation in this part of F113 was poor due to underlying geology. Approximately 8 m long $\times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.89 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/ 3b |  |  |  |
| 183 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 184 | 239 | 107 | 151 | Moderate mid greyish brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones \& animal bone. $1.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 239 | A/ 3b |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 185 | 187 | 186 | 345 | Compact light brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones \& animal bone. | Fill of ditch 187 | A/ 4a |  | 1xA5 | Bulk \#114 |
| 186 | 187 | 187 | 185 | Loose, dark brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded stones, animal bone \& charcoal. $2.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 187 | A/ 4a | Struck flint | 1xA5 | Mollusc \#29, Bulk \#115 |
| 187 | 185186 | 171 | 186 | Cut for EW orientated curvilinear ditch with steep sloping sides $\left(80^{\circ}\right)$ but stepped on the southern side \& a flat base. Potentially associated with F196. Extended beyond CPO line. Re-cut by F345. 10m long min $x$ 2.93m x 0.71m. | Cut for ditch | A/ 4a |  |  |  |
| 188 | 345 | 345 | 189 | Moderately compact, light brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) angular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal. $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 345 | A/ 4b |  |  | Bulk \#113 |
| 189 | 345 | 188 | 254 | Deposit sealing F345. Moderately compact, mid brownish grey clayey silt. Frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) subangular stones, moderate (1020\%) animal bone, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal. $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.47 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 345 | A/ 4b |  | 1xA1 |  |
| 190 | Root activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 191 | 238 | 237 | 100 | Loose dark greyish brown clayey silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subangular stones \& animal bone. $8.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 238 | ? |  | 2xA4 1xA5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 192 | 230 | 227 | 164196 | Moderately compact, mid yellowish brown clayey silt. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded stones \& animal bone. $16.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.03 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.38 m . | Fill of ditch 230 | A/ 3a |  | $1 \times A 4$ |  |  |
| 193 | Root activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 194 | 195 | 195 | 254 | Loose mid brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones. Very occasional (<1\%) animal bone. $14 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 195 | A/ 4b |  | 1xA5 | Y | Bulk \#51 |
| 195 | 194 | 199 | 194 | Cut for EW orientated curvilinear ditch with concave sides \& base. Traced for length of 14 m EW, 1.6 m wide, 0.5 m deep. Potential associated with F345. Extended under N3. 14 m min. $\times 1.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Re-cut of ditch 196 | A/ 4b |  |  |  | Bulk \#88 |
| 196 | 197198199 | 192 | 197 | Cut for EW orientated curvilinear ditch with concave sides \& base. Traced for 14m EW, 2.2 m wide, 0.97 m deep. Potentially associated with F187. Extended under N3. 14 m min. $\times 2.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.97 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for ditch | A/ 4a |  |  |  |  |
| 197 | 196 | 196 | 198 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, occasional (<10\%) animal bone. $14 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.86 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 196 | A/ 4a | Flint flake | 2 AA 4 |  | Bulk \#53 |
| 198 | 196 | 197 | 199 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, occasional (<10\%) animal bone. $14 \mathrm{~m} \min \times 2.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 196 | A/ 4a |  | $1 \times \mathrm{A} 4$ |  | Bulk \#52 |
| 199 | 196 | 198 | 195 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone. 14m min. $\times 1.93 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 196 | A/ 4a |  |  |  |  |
| 200 | 215 | 215 | 202212 | Moderately compact, dark orange brown clayey silt. Frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) subrounded stones, occasional (<10\%) animal bone. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.54 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 215 | ? |  | $1 \times A 5$ |  | Bulk \#37 |
| 201 | 169 | 169 | 100 | Moderately compact, mid greyish brown silty clay. Occasional (>10\%) subangular stones \& animal bone. $2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.93 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 169 | ? |  | $1 \times A 4$ |  | Charcoal \#38 |


| 202 | 125 | 137200 | 100 | Cut for shallow EW orientated ditch with a rounded terminus, steep sides \& flat base. 8.25 m long, 1.05 m wide, 0.15 m deep. Late in sequence and potentially modern. | Cut for linear | A/ 8? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 203 | 176 | 171 | 176 | Cut for NS orientated linear cut with steep sides \& u-shaped base. 4.25 m long, 0.3 m wide, 0.23 m deep rising sharply at each terminal. Parallel to and possible association with F204 c. 3 m to the east. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 204 | 177 | 171 | 177 | Cut for NS orientated linear cut with steep sides \& U-shaped base. 2.5 m long, 0.3 m wide, 0.15 m deep rising sharply at each terminal. Parallel to and possible association with F203 c. 3 m to the west. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 205 | 206 | 206 | 100 | Moderately compact, light brown clayey silt. Occasional (>10\%) subrounded-angular stones \& animal bone. $8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.10 m . | Fill of linear 206 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 206 | 25 | 171 | 25 | Cut for NW-SE orientated linear cut with straight sides \& a flat base. Traced for distance of 8 m . Extended eastward beyond CPO. $8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 207 | 208 | 208 | 212 | Fill of F208. Compact, dark greyish brown silt. Occasional (<10\%) animal bone. 5.00 m $\times 0.86 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 208 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 208 | 207 | 171 | 207 | Cut for NW-SE orientated linear cut with steep sides \& a U-shaped base. 5.00 m x $0.86 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 209 | 212 | 210 | $\begin{gathered} 168206 \\ 219 \end{gathered}$ | Compact, mid orange brown silty clay. Occasional (>10\%) subrounded-subangular stones \& animal bone. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . | Fill of pit? 212 | A/ 6a? |  | 1xA4 | Bulk \#62 |
| 210 | 212 | 211 | 209 | Moderately compact, dark greyish brown sandy silt. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones \& charcoal flecking, moderate (10$20 \%$ ) animal bone. $0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit? 212 | A/ 6a? |  | 1xA4 | Bulk \#63 |
| 211 | 212 | 223 | 210 | Moderately compact, mid greyish brown silt. Moderate (10-20\%) animal bone. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} x$ $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit? 212 | A/ 6a? | Worked bone? |  | Bulk \#64 |


| 212 | $\begin{gathered} 209210211212 \\ 223 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200208 \\ 226 \end{gathered}$ | 223 | Cut for an unusually shaped sub-circular feature. A clear curving edge to the east with vertical sides becoming shallow towards the truncated western side. The base was flat with a gentle west to east gradient. At the centre was a roughly square unexcavat | Cut for pit? | A/ 6a? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 213 | 214 | 214 | 100 | Compact mid orange brown silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded stones. $2.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 214 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 214 | 213 | 176 | 213 | Cut for shallow EW orientated linear cut with gentle sloping sides and a U-shaped base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 215 | 200 | 171 | 200 | Cut for NW-SE orientated linear cut. 2 m long. 0.54 m wide, 0.22 m deep. 2.4 m x $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 216 | 217 | 217 | 219 | Compact, light orange brown sandy silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) sub rounded stones. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 217 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 217 | 216 | 171 | 216 | Cut for curvilinear drain with concave sides \& base. Extended eastward beyond CPO. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 218 | Cancelled. Same | as 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 219 | 126 | 209 | 126 | Cut for EW orientated linear with steep sides \& flat base. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \min \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | A/ 6b |  |  |  |  |
| 220 | 102 | 102 | 264283 | Loose, dark greyish brown clayey silt with frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, moderate (10-20\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Abuts F101. Approximately $30 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 102 | A/ 2 | Iron object: Key? | $\begin{gathered} 1 \times \mathrm{A} 11 \times \mathrm{A} 3 \\ 1 \times \mathrm{A} 4 \end{gathered}$ | Y | Bulk \#82 |
| 221 | 282 | 282 | 222290 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangularsubrounded stones, very occasional (>1\%) animal bone. Abuts F271. $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35m max. | Fill of ditch 282 | A/ 1a |  | 1xA4 1xA6 |  | Bulk \#84 |
| 222 | 282 | 221 | 131 | Compact, mid reddish brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones. Localised deposit within F282. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 282 | A/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 223 | 212 | 212 | 211 | Metalled surface at base of F212. Possibly associated with or same as F281. 6.00 m x $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Metalled surface | A/ 6a? |  |  | Y |  |


| 224 | 225 | 225 | 226 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey clayey silt. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). Approximately $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 225 | A/ 1a |  | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#61 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 225 | 224226 | 171 | 224 | Cut for curvilinear ditch with steep sloping sides \& concave base. Potentially part of annexe enclosure associated with F288 \& F333. $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | Cut for ditch | A/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 226 | 225 | 224 | 212168 | Moderately compact, light brownish grey sandy silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) animal bone. $6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 225 | A/ 1a |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 227 | 230 | 228 | 192 | Moderately compact, greyish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). 16.30 m x $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 230 | A/ 3a | Bone comb fragments | 1xA4 1xA5 | Y | Bulk \#87 |
| 228 | 230 | 229 | 227 | Moderately compact, mid reddish brown clayey silt. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded stones \& charcoal flecking, moderate (1020\%) animal bone (cattle skulls were particularly common). $16.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m . | Fill of ditch 230 | A/ 3a |  | 1 x box 2xA4 |  | Bulk \#86 |
| 229 | 230 | 230 | 228 | Loose mid greyish brown clayey silt. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones, moderate ( $10-20 \%$ ) animal bone \& very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) charcoal flecks \& snail shell. $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 230 | A/3a |  | 1xA3 |  | Bulk \#85, Snail shell \#105 |
| 230 | 192227228229 | 234 | 229 | Cut for NE-SW orientated ditch with steep (approximately $80^{\circ}$ ) sides and a flat base. 20 m long, 1.4 m to 2.2 m wide \& 1.25 m deep. It was cut by F164 \& F196 | Cut for ditch | A/3a |  |  |  |  |
| 231 | 232 | 232 | 100 | Moderately compact, mid greyish brown sandy silt. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone. $5.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 232 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 232 | 231 | 168 | 231 | Cut for WNW-ESE orientated cut with steep (approximately $80^{\circ}$ ) sides \& generally flat, irregular base. $5.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 233 | 234 | 265 | 230 | Moderately compact mid brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones \& animal bone. $8.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.57 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 234 | ? |  | 1xA1 |  |  |


| 234 | 233265 | 171 | 265 | Cut for NW-SE orientated linear with vertical to concave sides \& a concave base. 8.7 m long, 0.76 m wide, 0.5 m deep. It was cut by F230. $8.7 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.76 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | A/ 1? |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 235 | 236 | 236 | 100 | Loose mid greyish brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded stones \& animal bone. Fill of tree bowl F236. $8.5 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $2.94 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of tree bowl | ? | Dublin-type ware, iron knife, large bone handle |  |  |
| 236 | Tree Bowl |  |  |  |  | ? |  |  |  |
| 237 | 238 | 238 | 191 | Moderately compacted mid brownish grey silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subangular stones \& animal bone. $8.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.84 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.39 m . | Fill of linear 238 | $?$ |  | 1xA5 | Bulk \#108 |
| 238 | 191237 | 171 | 238 | Cut for linear with concave sides \& generally flat base. 8.1 m long, 0.9 m wide, 0.4 m wide. $8.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.94 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 239 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 107110144145 \\ 147151157 \end{array}\right\|$ | 154 | 145 | A U-shaped re-cut of ditch 113. Present along the full route of the earlier ditch. Approximately 45 m long, 1.8 m wide at the top, 0.75 m deep and 0.4 m wide at the base. Enclosed an area approximately 42 m NS by 45m EW. | Re-cut of ditch 113 | A/3b |  |  |  |
| 240-243 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 244 | 246 | 171 | 246 | Linear cut curving sharply at the southern end. 13.7 m long (min.) $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}-$ 0.55 m . Steep to vertical sloping sides with a flat base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |
| 245 | 244 | 244 | 246 | Compact mid greyish brown silty clay. Very occasional stone. | Fill of ditch 244 | ? |  |  |  |
| 246 | 307 | 245 | 307 | Cut for curvilinear ditch. 15 m (min.) $\times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. Steeply sloping sides leading to a concave base. Possibly associated with Phase 1 annexe enclosures. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |
| 247 | 335 | 336 | 164 | Moderately compact mid greyish brown clayey silt. Very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 335 | A/ 1b |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 248 | 249 | 171 | 249 | Cut for SW-NE orientated curvilinear ditch, 6.5 m long $\times 0.68 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.43 \mathrm{~m}$. Steep sides leading to a flat base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |


| 249 | 248 | 248 | 246 | Moderately compact light brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones. | Fill of 248 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 250 | 251252 | 131 | 252 | Localised re-cut to eastern terminus of F282 before overall re-cut F102. 9m long, 0.1 m wide, 0.3 m deep. | Localised re-cut of 282 | A/ 1b |  |  |  |  |
| 251 | 250 | 252 | 102 | Loose mid greenish grey sandy clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones \& animal bone, very occasional (<1\&) charcoal flecks. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 250 | A/ 1b | Iron knife, fragments of iron objects | $3 \mathrm{AA4}$ |  |  |
| 252 | 250 | 250 | 251 | Moderately compact, mid light yellowish brown sandy silt. Very occasional (<1\%) subrounded stones, moderate (10-20\%) animal bone. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 250 | A/ 1b |  | 1xA1 1xA5 |  | Bulk \#67 |
| 253 | 172 | 172 | 100 | Moderately compact dark greenish grey silt. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones \& animal bone (incl. burnt bone). $4.00 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 172 | A/ 2 |  | 1xA5 | Y |  |
| 254 | 258260262 | $\begin{aligned} & 194189 \\ & 249347 \end{aligned}$ | 262 | Cut for NS orientated linear with gradually sloping sides \& a concave base. Approximately 30 m long, 2 m wide, 0.7 m deep. Post-dated but respected some Phase 4B features and was re-cut by F272. | Cut for ditch | A/ 5 a |  |  |  |  |
| 255 | N/A | 281 | 100 | Light grey silt deposit below sealing Metalled surface F281. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Deposit | A/ 6a? | Bone pin fragment, iron object |  |  |  |
| 256 | N/A | 171 | 100 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay with occasional (<10\%) burnt bone \& charcoal flecking. Subsoil beneath was slightly oxidised. $1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Firespot | ? |  |  | Y | Bulk \#70 |
| 257 | Cancelled. Sam | as 255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 258 | 272 | 259 | 100 | Deposit sealing F272. Broadly identical to F100. 0.15 m thick. | Fill of ditch 272 | A/ 5b |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 259 | 272 | 261 | 258 | Loose yellowish grey silty clay. Moderate (<10\%) subrounded stones | Fill of ditch 272 | A/ 5b |  |  |  |  |
| 260 | 254 | 262 | 272 | Compact mid brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular-subrounded stones. | Fill of ditch 254 | A/ 5a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 261 | 272 | 272 | 259 | Moderately compact yellowish grey silty clay. Moderate ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded stones. | Fill of ditch 272 | A/ 5b |  |  | Y |  |


| 262 | 254 | 254 | 260 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey clayey silt. Occasional (<10\%) subangularsubrounded stones. | Fill of ditch 254 | A/ 5a | 1xA6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 263 | 346 | 346 | 347 | Moderately compact mid greyish white silty clay. Moderate (<10\%) subrounded stones, some iron panning. | Fill of ditch 346 | ? |  |  |  |
| 264 | 267314315 | 220 | 315 | Cut for NE-SW orientated linear with steep slopes $\left(80^{\circ}\right)$, steeped on the NW side \& a concave base. 17 m long, 2 m wide, 1 m deep. | Cut for ditch. Probably associated with 113/ 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 265 | 234 | 234 | 233 | Fill of F234. Moderately compact mid brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones \& animal bone. $8.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.76 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.5 m . | Fill of linear 234 | ? |  |  | Bulk \#65 |
| 266 | 143 | 299267 | 295 | NW-SE orientated subrectangular cut with rounded corners, steep sides $\left(80^{\circ}\right)$ and a flat base. 18 m long, 9 m wide, 1.5 m deep. | Cut for quarry | A/ 8 |  |  |  |
| 267 | 264 | 314 | 289266 | Loose mid brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones. $17 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.80 m . | Fill of ditch 264 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 268 | 282 | 271 | 102 | Moderately compact, mid brownish grey clayey silt. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones (mostly shale), occasional (>10\%) animal bone. Abuts F131. | Fill of ditch 282 | A/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 269 | 102 | 102 | 101 | Loose, light reddish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones and occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone. $6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.31 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 102 | A/ 2 |  | Y | Bulk \#43 |
| 270 | 289 | 289 | 100 | Moderately compact mid yellowish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) angular stones. $2.8 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.95 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 289 | ? |  |  |  |
| 271 | 282 | 282 | 268 | Loose dark brownish grey silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones. Abuts F221. $22 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 282 | A/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 272 | 258259261 | 260 | 261 | Cut for NS orientated curvilinear ditch $30 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.4 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ with irregularly sloping sides (steep to gradual) incorporating a step in the eastern side and an irregular base. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Re-cut of ditch } \\ & 254 \end{aligned}$ | A/ 5b |  |  |  |


| 273 | 274 | 274 | 119 | Moderately compact dark greyish brown sandy clay. Moderate (10-20\%) animal bone (incl. burnt bone), occasional (<2\%) charcoal. $4.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 274 | ? |  | Y | Bulk \#81 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 274 | 273 | 171 | 273 | Cut for NS orientated linear with steep sides $\left(80^{\circ}\right) \&$ a flat base. 4.1 m long, 0.5 m wide, 0.3 m deep. | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 275 | 276 | 276 | 250 | Compact, mid greenish brown sandy silt. Occasional (>5\%) subangular stones \& animal bone. $4.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 276 | A/ 1a |  |  | Bulk \#92 |
| 276 | 275 | 171 | 275 | Cut for NNE-SSW orientated linear with steep sides $\left(70^{\circ}\right) \&$ a flat base. $4.45 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for ditch. Same as 282? | A/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 277 | 278 | 278 | 100 | Loose mid greyish brown clayey silt. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones. $7.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 278 | ? |  |  |  |
| 278 | 277 | 171 | 277 | Cut for NS orientated linear with concave sides \& a flat irregular base. 7.9 m long, 0.4 m wide, 0.1 m deep. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 279 | 280 | 280 | 100 | Moderately compact dark brown sandy silt. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) animal bone \& charcoal. $3.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 280 | A/ 6b | Iron object | Y | Bulk \#94 |
| 280 | 279 | 171 | 279 | Cut for NS orientated linear with concave sides \& base. 3.5 m long, 1.1 m wide, 0.22 m deep. Potentially connected to F219 \& F283. $3.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear. Potentially connected to 219 \& 283 . | A/ 6b |  |  |  |
| 281 | N/A | 171 | 255 | Metalled surface potentially related to metalling at base of F212. 7.5m long, 1.5m 5.5 m wide. Relationship has been lost due to truncation by F168 and later ploughing. Extended up to the western edge of F134. | Metalled surface | A/ 6a? |  |  |  |
| 282 | $\begin{aligned} & 131221222 \\ & 271268290 \end{aligned}$ | 171 | 221271 | Cut for curvilinear ditch with concave sides \& base. C.40m long, $0.7 \mathrm{~m}-2.2 \mathrm{~m}$ wide, $0.1 \mathrm{~m}-$ 0.4 m deep. Enclosed an area approximately 20 m EW x 20 m NS. Re-cut by F102. Same feature as F276 \& F285 | Cut for ditch | A/ 1a |  |  |  |


| 283 | 138 | 101 | 100 | Cut for NNW-SSE orientated linear with gradual sloping sides $\left(<15^{\circ}\right)$ \& flat base. 5.2 m long, 0.7 m wide, 0.24 m deep. Potentially associated with F219 \& F280 | Cut for linear | A/ 6b |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 284 | 102 | 220 | N/A | Dumped deposit of loose orange oxidised clay within F220 | Fill of ditch 102 | A/ 2 |  | Y | Bulk \#89 |
| 285 | 140 | 171 | 140 | Cut for curvilinear feature with gradually sloping sides and concave, irregular base. Approximately 12 m long, 0.72 m wide, 0.2 m deep. Same feature as F282 \& F276. | Cut for ditch | A/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 286 | 142 | 163287 | 142 | Cut for EW orientated linear with steep sides $\left(70^{\circ}\right)$ and a flat irregular base. 20 m long, 0.4 m to 1.4 m wide, 0.27 m deep. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 287 | 288 | 288 | 286 | Moderately compact dark reddish brown clayey silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subangular stones, animal bone \& charcoal. $9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 288 | A/ 1a |  | Y | Charcoal \#106, Bulk \#107 |
| 288 | 287316 | 171 | 287 | Cut for NS orientated curvilinear ditch. Irregular concave sides \& flat irregular base. 9 m long, 0.9 m wide, 0.3 m wide. Mostly NS running with an eastwards turn at the southern end. | Cut for ditch. Part of annexe enclosure associated with 225 \& 333 . | A/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 289 | 270 | 267 | 270 | Cut for subcircular pit with concave sides \& flat base. 2.8 m NS, 1.95 m EW, 0.3 m deep. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |
| 290 | 282 | 221 | 131 | Compact mid brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (10-20\%) subangular stones. Localised deposit below F131. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.31 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 282 | A/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 291 | Cancelled. Sa | as 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 292 | 297 | 132295 | 100 | Loose mid greyish brown clayey silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subrounded stones \& animal bone. $10.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 297 | ? | 2xA6 |  |  |
| 293 | 298 | 298 | 100 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay. Very occasional (<1\%) subrounded pebbles. $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of drain 298 | ? | 1xA4 |  |  |



| 303 | 342 | 342 | 302 | Compact mid greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subrounded stones. Preservation of animal bone was poor in this part of the site due to geology. $11 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.3 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.70 m | Fill of ditch 342 | A/ 5a |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 304 | 294 | 171 | 294 | Cut containing F294. NW-SE orientated linear cut with concave sides and flat irregular base. $9 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.7 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |
| 305 | Cancelled. Same | 296 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 306 | Cancelled. Same | 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 307 | 246 | 246 | $\begin{gathered} 343346 \\ 254 \end{gathered}$ | Compact brownish grey silty clay. Traces of iron panning, occasional small stones, | Fill of ditch 246 | ? |  |  |
| 308 | Graded edge alon current N3 | east |  |  |  | A/ 8 |  |  |
| 309-310 | Cancelled. Same | 296 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 311 | 312 | 312 | 143 | Compact dark brownish grey clayey silt. Frequent ( $>20 \%$ ) subangular stones. 16 mx $0.62 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of drain 312 | A/ 8 |  |  |
| 312 | 311 | 296 | 311 | Cut for NW-SE orientated drain in base of F266. Vertical sides \& flat base. 16 m x $0.62 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for drain | A/ 8 |  |  |
| 313 | Cancelled. Same | 267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 314 | 264 | 315 | 267 | Compact mid greyish brown sandy clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) angular stones. $8.5 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.44 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.06 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 264 | A/ 3a |  |  |
| 315 | 264 | 264 | 314 | Compact dark greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) angular stones. $8.5 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.44 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.06 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 264 | A/3a |  |  |
| 316 | 288 | 288 | 164 | Moderately compact dark greyish brown sandy silt. Occasional (>10\%) rounded stones \& animal bone, very occasional (<1\%) metallic waste. | Fill of ditch 288 | A/ 1a | 1xA4 | Slag \#117, Slag \#118 |
| 317 | Cancelled. Same | 288 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 318 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 319 | 320321323325 | 322 | 320 | This cut was only seen fully in one section along the eastern CPO edge. It had gradually sloping sides, possibly stepped on the southern side, with a flat irregular base. It is considered to be a localised re-cut to F326, however so little remained within | Localised re-cut to ditch 326 ? | A/ 3b |  |  |


| 320 | 319 | 319 | 321 | Moderately compact mid greyish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones. $1.1 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 319 | A/ 3b |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 321 | 319 | 320 | 322 | Moderately compact dark greyish brown silty clay. Frequent (>20\%) subangular stones. $1.17 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 319 | A/ 3b |  |  |  |  |
| 322 | Cancelled. Same | 321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 323 | 319 | 322 | 325 | Fill of F319. Loose light greyish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones, very occasional (<1\%) charcoal flecking. $1.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 319 | A/ 3b |  |  |  |  |
| 324 | Cancelled. Same | 325 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 325 | 319 | 323 | 164 | Moderately compact mid greyish brown silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subangular stones. $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 319 | A/ 3b |  |  |  |  |
| 326 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 327328329330 \\ 331332 \end{array}$ | 171 | 327 | Cut for ditch visible only in one section along eastern CPO line. Truncated by F319. 1.6 m $\times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for ditch. <br> Same as 113 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 327 | 326 | 326 | 328 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay. Moderate (10-20\%) subroundedsubangular stones. $1.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 328 | 326 | 327 | 329 | Moderately compact dark brownish grey silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subroundedsubangular stones. $0.62 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 329 | 326 | 328 | 330 | Moderately compact light greyish brown silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subroundedsubangular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone. $0.57 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 330 | 326 | 329 | 331 | Moderately compact light greyish brown silty clay. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subroundedsubangular stones. $0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 331 | 326 | 330 | 322 | Loose dark greyish brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded-subangular stones. $1.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 332 | 326 | 331 | 319 | Loose mid greyish brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subrounded-subangular stones. $1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 326 | A/ 3a |  |  |  |  |


| 333 | 334 | 171 | 334 | Cut for EW orientated pit with rounded ends, concave sides \& base. Western extent truncated by F335 \& later by F164. Survived for $1.6 \mathrm{~m}, 1.1 \mathrm{~m}$ wide, 0.3 m deep. | Cut for pit | $?$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 334 | 333 | 333 | 335 | Fill of F333. Moderately compact mid greyish brown, clayey silt. Occasional ( $<10 \%$ ) subangular stones. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 333 | ? |  |  |
| 335 | 247336 | 334 | 336 | Curvilinear cut with irregular concave sides \& base. 9 m long, 1.2 m wide, 0.25 m deep. | Cut for ditch | A/ 1a |  |  |
| 336 | 335 | 335 | 247 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay. Very occasional (<1\%) subangular stones. $0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 335 | A/ 1b |  |  |
| 337 | 338 | 335 | 338 | Subrectangular cut with rounded ends. Irregular steep sides $\left(40-70^{\circ}\right)$ \& flat base. 2.55 m NS, 1.13 m EW, 0.12 m deep. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |
| 338 | 337 | 337 | 100 | Loose, mid greyish brown silty clay. Occasional (<10\%) subangular stones, very occasional ( $<1 \%$ ) animal bone \& charcoal flecking. $2.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.33 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 337 | $?$ | 2xA6 |  |
| 339 | Cancelled. Sam | as 295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 340 | Cancelled. Sam | as 296 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 341 | 299300 | 301 | 300 | Irregular cut for a ditch. Approximately 16 m EW but extending under current N3. 2.14 m wide and 0.49 m deep. It had gradually sloping sides and a concave base with a generally U-shaped profile. | Re-cut of ditch 342 | A/ 5b |  |  |
| 342 | 301302303 | 112 | 303 | Irregular cut for a ditch. Approximately 16 m EW but extending under current N3. Surviving to 1.44 m wide and 0.6 m deep with gradually sloping sides and concave base with a generally V -shaped profile. It was clearly positioned with respect to the terminal o | Cut for ditch. Positioned with respect to the terminal of 114. | A/ 5a |  |  |
| 343 | 344 | 307347 | 344 | Cut for curved ditch. This dicth is an Lshaped ditch $10.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.57 \mathrm{~m} \times 014 \mathrm{~m}$. Gradual sloping sides and concave base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |
| 344 | 343 | 343 | 002 | Moderately compact, dark greyish-brown silty clay and occasional stones. $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 343 | ? |  |  |



| 358-359 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 360 | 361 | 1515 | 361 | Subcircular east-west cut ( $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.25 m ) with sharp break of slope, vertical sides and a sharp break of slope (gradual on south side) leading to a slightly concave base | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 361 | 360 | 360 | 400 | Moderately compact, mid greyish-brown silty clay and c. $40 \%$ large stones. $0.35 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of posthole 360 | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#323 |
| 362 | 363 | 366 | 363 | Linear, northwest-southeast cut $(6.00 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.60-0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22-0.28 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 363 | 362 | 362 | 400 | Moderately compact, mid-orange-brown sandy silt with frequent small stones, moderate flecks of orange clay and animal bones. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60-0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22-0.28 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 362 | ? | Iron pin fragment | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 364 | 365 | 1521 | 365 | Sub-oval WNW-ESE cut $(0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.1 m ) with a sharp break of slope (gradual at WNW end), gentle concave sides and gradual break of slope (imperceptible at WNW end) leading to a concave base | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 365 | 364 | 364 | 400 | Loose, dark orange brown silty clay with moderate animal bone, occasional large stones, small stones and charcoal flecks. $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of pit 364 | ? |  | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#326 |
| 366 | 36714971498 | 1515 | 1498 | Curvilinear NNW-SSE (curving to ESE for southern half) cut ( $14.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 367 | 366 | 1497 | 362 | Moderately compact, mid greyish-brown, with orange mottling, silty clay with moderate sand, occasional small stones and animal bones. $14.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of curvilinear 366 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 368 | 369 | 1521 | 369 | Circular cut ( $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |




| 394 | 395 | 395 | 383 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with medium small stones. $0.20 \mathrm{~m} x$ 0.15 m deep. | Fill of posthole 395 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 395 | 394 | 386 | 394 | Circular cut ( 0.20 m north-south $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ deep, truncated on east side by 383) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 396 | 398 | 397 | 400 | Loose mid brown sandy clay with occasional small stones. $0.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of posthole 398 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 397 | 398 | 398 | 396 | Packing stones 5 flat angular stones with an average thickness of 0.07 m . | Fill of posthole 398 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 398 | 396397 | 386 | 397 | Circular cut $(0.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, vertical sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 399 | 1347 | 1348 | 400 | Loose dark brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $0.11 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of posthole 1347 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 400 | n/a | 401 | 2 | Remaining topsoil in Area B removed by hand following the mechanical excavation of F2. | Topsoil |  | Medieval \& postmedieval pottery, clay pipe, iron objects (knifes,nails, pins etc), copper alloy pins, glass beads, crucible fragment, flint/chert objects, stone objects, worked bone | 5xA1 | Y | Slag \#125, Slag \#127, Slag \#129 |
| 401 | n/a | $\begin{aligned} & 423473 \\ & 492493 \end{aligned}$ | 400 | Interface deposit 0.10-0.20m deep between F400 and the upper fills of the enclosing ditches 404, 405, 450. | Lower topsoil | B/ 5 | Medieval pottery, iron objects, flint objects, copper alloy pins, Iron knife/blades, stone objects, bone trial piece, stone gaming board. | $1 \times A 1$ | Y |  |
| 402 | 403 | 403 | 400 | Moderately compact yellowish-grey silty sand with occasional small stones. $31.00 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 403 | B/ 4 |  | 1xA6 |  |  |



| 408 | 406407409 | 473 | 406 | Linear cut ( $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ max.) with a sharp break of slope, steep slightly concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for ditch | $\mathrm{B} / 4$ ? | Iron object |  |  | Slag \#135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 409 | 408 | 407 | 400 | Moderately compact dark-reddish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. $15 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.9 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.0 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 408 | B/ 4 ? |  |  |  |  |
| 410 | 405 | 414 | 493 | Firm mid yellowish-grey to brown clayey sand with occasional small stones, animal bone and v. occasional slag. $5 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.70$ $3.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 |  | Slag \#137 |
| 411 | 421422 | 473 | 421 | A large oval shaped pit 7 m long $\times 3.7 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 1.2 m . It had concave sides and base and was cut through the upper fills of F450. | Cut for pit |  |  |  |  |  |
| 412 | 405 | 405 | 538 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-brown silty clay with inclusions of red sand, frequent small stones, occasional animal bone and shells. Approximately $15 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a | Bone trial piece | 7xA4 1xA4 |  | Mollusc \#195 |
| 413 | 405 | 538 | 414441 | Moderately compact dark-reddish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones and moderate animal bone. Approximately $25 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.10-2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA1 2xA4 | Y |  |
| 414 | 405 | 413 | 404 | Loose dark yellowish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones, animal bone, v. occasional fragments of slag and flint flakes. Approximately $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10-2.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a | Struck flint, iron object, bone pin fragment, bone trial piece | $1 \times \mathrm{A} 1$ |  |  |
| 415 | 404 | 416 | 418 | Loose mid brownish-grey silty clay to mid reddish-brown silty clay with frequent small stones, moderate animal bone, occasional large stones and v . occasional shells. 20 m x $0.80-1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 2 AA 4 |  | Snail shell \#144 |
| 416 | 404 | 404 | $\begin{gathered} 415417 \\ 449462 \\ 539 \end{gathered}$ | Firm mid reddish-brown silty clay with moderate small stones, coarse pebbles and animal bones. $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70-1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a | Copper alloy tanged stud | $3 \mathrm{xA4} 1 \mathrm{xA} 4$ |  |  |
| 417 | 404 | 416 | 418 | Firm dark yellow-brown silty clay to mid reddish-brown silty sand with moderate animal bone. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}-0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a | Iron blade, iron pin | $1 \times A 4$ |  |  |


| 418 | 404 | $\begin{gathered} 415417 \\ 449 \end{gathered}$ | 419451 | Moderately compact mid-brownish-grey silty clay to light-reddish-brown sandy silt with frequent small stones and moderate animal bone. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20-1.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | $2 \times A 4$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 419 | 404 | 418 | 420 | Moderately compact light yellowish-brown silty clay with moderate animal bone and charcoal flecks. $18 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 420 | 404 | 419451 | 450 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones, animal bone and $v$. occasional fragments of slag. Approximately $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.70-2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.45 m . | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | $2 \times A 4$ | Y |
| 421 | 411 | 411 | 422 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-brown silty clay to silty sand with moderate pebbles, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $6 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30-2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-$ 0.15 m | Fill of pit 411 | B/ 4 |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 422 | 411 | 421 | 423 | Firm dark-yellowish-brown silty clay to light-reddish-brown silty sand with frequent small stones, moderate animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $7 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95$ $1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 411 | B/ 4 | Bone combs, struck flint | 1xA4 |  |
| 423 | 411 | 422 | 401 | Moderate to firm mid-yellowish-brown silty sand with moderate small stones, animal bone, occasional flecks of animal bone and v. occasional fragments of slag. $7 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.80$ $3.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 411 | B/ 4 | Iron object | 1xA4 |  |
| 424 | 405 | 405 | 426 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional pebbles and animal bone. $24 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60-0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$. Probably same as 425. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 | Y |
| 425 | 405 | 405 | 426 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-brown clayey sand, light-brownish-grey silty sand with occasional small stones. $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55-$ $1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. Probably same as 424 . | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 426 | 405 | 425 | 427428 | Firm mid yellowish-brown clayey sand, with moderate small stones. $48 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90-1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.28 m . | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a | Bronze fragment | 1xA1 |  |
| 427 | 405 | 426 | 429 | Firm light reddish-brown sandy silt with occasional coarse pebbles, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45-1.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.44 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | $2 \times A 4$ |  |


| 428 | 405 | 426 | 429 | Firm mid yellowish-brown silty sand to dark-greyish-brown silty clay with occasional coarse pebbles, animal bone and charcoal fragments. Approximately $48 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80$ $1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA1 |  | Slag \#138 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 429 | 405 | 427428 | 430 | Moderately compact mid-reddish-brown silty sand with occasional pebbles and flecks of animal bone. Approxiamtely $50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.25-$ $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a | Loop headed ring pin, bronze fragment, iron knife, flint flake. | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |  |
| 430 | 405 | 429 | 404 | Firm light-yellowish-brown sandy silty with occasional pebbles. Approximately $30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.00-1.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 431 | 404 | 404 | 433 | Moderately compact mid-reddish-grey sandy clay to mid-orange-grey sandy silty with moderate pebbles and animal bone. $30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75-1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | $1 \times A 1$ | Y |  |
| 432 | 404 | 433 | 434 | Moderately compact reddish-grey clayey sand to silty clay with occasional stone and charcoal flecks. Approximately $42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80-$ $2.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a | Antler object, stone ingot mould, bone trial piece. | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 433 | 404 | 431 | 432 | Moderately compact light-yellowish-grey silty clay occasional pebbles. Approximately $25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 434 | 404 | 432 | 435 | Moderately compact light-yellowish-brown clayey sand to mid-reddish-brown silty clay with moderate coarse pebbles, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60-$ $2.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2 a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 435 | 404 | 434 | 450 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-brown silty sand to sandy clay with moderate coarse pebbles, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $48 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.28-1.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 436 | 450 | 450 | 440 | Moderately compact light-orange-brown silty clay with moderate animal bone. $22 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 437 | 450 | 463466 | 438 | Firm dark yellowish-brown silty clay to mid reddish-brown clayey sand with moderate coarse pebbles, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.70-2.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3 a | Iron object, stone whetstone w/ pin sharpening grooves. | 1xA1 | Y |  |


| 438 | 450 | 437 | 492 | Moderately compact light-yellowish-brown silty clay to mid-reddish-grey sandy silt with moderate small stones, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $52 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.90-4.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Iron objects, lignite fragment, struck flint, iron knife, copper alloy objects | 1xA1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 439 | 450 | 450 | 440 | Moderately compact mid-brownish-grey silty clay with moderate small stones, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $37 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60$ $1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA1 | Y |  |
| 440 | 450 | 436439 | 464465 | Firm light-yellowish-brown to mid-reddishbrown clayey silty sand with moderate pebbles, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $32 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.85-1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Iron object | $2 \times A 4$ |  |  |
| 441 | 405 | 413 | 404 | Firm mid-greyish-brown silty sand with moderate small stones and animal bone. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. Probably same as F538. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA1 |  |  |
| 442 | 450 | 450 | 496 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-grey silty sand with occasional subangular pebbles and animal bone $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 |  |  | 1xA6 | Y |  |
| 443 | 404 | 420 | 401 | Firm light yellowish-brown sandy silty with occasional pebbles. Approximately $15 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.00-1.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$. Late deposit sealing edge of enclosing ditch at junction with F933 etc. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 444-446 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 447 | 450 | 450 | 453 | Firm white clay. $14 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Horseshoe fragment |  | Y | Slag \#141 |
| 448 | 450 | 453 | 454455 | Firm mid-reddish-brown sandy silt moderate small stones. $24 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m . | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | $2 \times A 4$ | Y | Bulk \#131, Charcoal \#146, Slag \#134 |
| 449 | 404 | 416 | 418 | Firm dark-brown-grey silty sand moderate animal bone | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 450 | 436437438439 440442447448 453454455463 464465466473 474475476477 478479480481 482483484486 492496498499 536537 | $\begin{aligned} & 420435 \\ & 469494 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 436439 \\ 442447 \\ 482484 \\ 537 \end{gathered}$ | A re-cut of 404 retaining the shape of the previous enclosures, however a considerable portion of the eastern arm of this ditch was not re-cut, having been reclaimed, intentionally during the 404 phase. There was not obvious entrance feature into this enc | 2nd re-cut of enclosing ditch 405 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |  |


| 451 | 404 | 418 | 420 | $\|$Firm mid-yellowish-grey clayey sand with <br> moderate small stones. $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.65 \mathrm{~m} \times$ <br> 0.36 m . | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 452 | 404 | 491 | 469 | Firm mid-brownish-grey sandy silt, with moderate small stones, animal bone and v . occasional shell. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.00-1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.55 m . | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA1 |  |
| 453 | 450 | 447 | 448 | Firm mid-reddish-brown silty clay with moderate animal bone. $24 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m . | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Iron object, struck flint | $2 \times A 4$ |  |
| 454 | 450 | 448 | 455 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Firm mid-reddish-brown silty sand } \\ \text { moderate pebbles and animal bone. } 32 \mathrm{~m} \times \\ 1.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} . \end{array}$ | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 455 | 450 | 454 | 411 | Loose light-orange-brown sandy silt with moderate animal bone. $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.55 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m . | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Iron blade fragment, iron objects | 1xA4 |  |
| 456 | 405 | 405 | 457470 | $\begin{array}{\|lll\|}\text { Loose dark-brownish-grey } & \text { silt with } \\ \text { occasional coarse pebbles. } 22 \mathrm{~m} & \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times \\ 0.32 \mathrm{~m} \text {. }\end{array}$ | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 2xA4 |  |
| 457 | 405 | 456 | 460 | Firm mid-grey, with red flecks, clay with occasional pebbles and animal bone. $\mathrm{XX} \times$ $0.60-1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | $2 \times A 4$ | Organic material \#245 |
| 458 | Not allocate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 459 | 405 | 458461 | 471 | Firm dark brownish-grey, with orange flecks, sandy clay with moderate small stones and v. occasional animal bone. $15.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.20 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | $2 \times A 4$ |  |
| 460 | 405 | 457470 | 458461 | Firm dark-brown clay and c10\% organic material with moderate silt, occasional sand and pebbles. $24 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  |  | Pollen \#192 |
| 461 | 405 | 460 | 459 | Moderately compact mid-greyish-brown silt with occasional small stones and v . occasional animal bones. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m . | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 462 | 404 | 416 | 418 | Moderately compact light-reddish-grey silty sand with occasional small stones and v . occasional animal bone. Probably the same as F417 | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 463 | 450 | 464 | 437 | Moderately compact dark-brownish-grey, with yellow flecks, silty clay with occasional stones. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |


| 464 | 450 | 440 | 463 | Firm mid-yellowish-grey silty clay to sandy clay with occasional small stones. 18 m x $1.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 465 | 450 | 440 | 466 | Firm mid-reddish-brown silty sand, midbrownish grey clayey sand with moderate small stones. $22 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 466 | 450 | 465 | 437 | Moderately compact mid-greyish-brown, with red flecks, sandy clay and moderate small stones. $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 467 | 404 | 404 | 490 | Moderately compact dark-grey silt with moderate organic material. $18 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.05 m . | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 468 | 404 | 490 | 497 | Firm mid-grey, with red flecks, clay with occasional stones, pebbles and animal bone. $16 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 469 | 404 | 452 | 450 | Firm mid-brownish-grey clayey sand, with moderate small stones, animal bone and occasional snail shell fragment. $20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50-$ $2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | $2 \times A 4$ |  |  |
| 470 | 405 | 456 | 460 | Moderately compact mid-grey silty clay with moderate small stones | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 471 | 405 | 459 | 472 | Firm mid-brownish-grey, with red flecks, sandy clay with moderate small stones and v. occasional animal bone. 5.00 m ? $\times 1.50 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 472 | 405 | 471 | 404 | Firm light-grey sandy clay with occasional small stones. $32 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.3 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 405 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 473 | 450 | 474 | 401 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-grey sandy clay, occasional pebbles and animal bone. $24 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.95-3.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Iron objects, iron penannular ring, stone spindle whorl, flint, medieval pottery, copper alloy pin fragment. | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 474 | 450 | 403473 | 476 | Firm mid-yellowish-brown, with red flecks, sandy clay with occasional lumps of yellow boulder clay, small stones and animal bone. $25.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 475 | 450 | 477 | 476 | Firm dark-grey, with red flecks, clay with occasional small stones and pebbles. 30 mx $1.30-3.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |


| 476 | 450 | 475478 | 474 | Moderately compact mid-reddish-grey sandy clay with occasional small stones. $32 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.10-2.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Iron objects, ring pin shaft, iron blade fragment. | 1xA1 | Y |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 477 | 450 | 475478 | 479 | Moderately compact mid-reddish-grey silty clay with v . occasional small stones. 5.00 m x $2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 478 | 450 | 477 | 476 | Firm mid-greyish-brown, with red flecks, silty clay with occasional small stones and v . occasional animal bone. $6.00 \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 4xA4 |  |  |
| 479 | 450 | 480 | 477 | Soft mid-reddish-brown silty peat with banding of silt throughout and occasional small stones. $8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  | Bulk \#187, Pollen |
| 480 | 450 | 481483 | 479 | Soft mid-reddish-brown silty peat with banding of silt throughout. $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.12 m . | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  | \#188 |
| 481 | 450 | 484 | 480 | Moderately compact mid-grey clayey silt with moderate small stones. $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 482 | 450 | 450 | 484 | Firm dark-grey, with red flecks, silty clay with occasional small stones, pebbles and organic material. $1.25-2.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA1 2xA4 | Y |  |
| 483 | 450 | 484 | 480 | Firm light-grey clayey silt with occasional small stones, pebbles and organic material. $23 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.05-2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 484 | 450 | 482486 | 481483 | Soft dark-brownish-green fibrous organic deposit with clear banding throughout and moderate animal bone. $24 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95-1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.10 m . | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a | Wooden staves, lignite fragment | 1xA1 |  | Bulk \#191, Wood \#190, Pollen \#189, Seeds \#243 |
| 485 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 486 | 450 | 450 | 484 | Soft mid-brownish-grey silt moderate small stones. $12.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  | Organic material \#244 |
| 487-489 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 490 | 404 | 467 | 468 | Moderate mid-brownish-grey clayey sand, with red and orange flecks, moderate small stones, animal bone, occasional shell, burnt bone and organic material. $15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50$ $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA1 |  | Pollen \#193 |


| 491 | 404 | 535 | 452 | Moderately compact dark brownish-grey clayey sand with orange flecks, moderate medium stones, small stones, animal bone and occasional shell. $25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90-2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.40 m . | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a | Copper alloy object, glass bead, bone object (poss. needle holder) | 1xA1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 492 | 450 | 438 | 401 | Stone surface sealing F438 within F450. Composed of small to medium sized subangular to angular stones. It extended for a distance of approximately 15 m and was 2.5 m wide (max.). The deposit appeared to be a path rather than a floor or working surface. | Stone surface/ path? | B/ 4 | Glass segmented bead, struck flint | 1xA4 |  |
| 493 | 404 | 410 | 401 | Loose mid greyish-brown clayey sand and c.50\% small to large stones with moderate animal bone. $2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$. | Stone causeway surface on the entrance in 404 | B/ 2 a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 494 | 404 | 495 | 450 | Firm light yellowish-grey, with red flecks, sandy clay with moderate coarse pebbles and animal bone | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 495 | 404 | 497 | 494 | Moderate mid brown clayey sand, with red and orange flecks, moderate small stones, occasional animal bone $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.40 m . | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 496 | 450 | 442 | 499 | Moderate light-yellowish-grey silty clay with moderate small stones and v. occasional animal bones. $20.00 \times 2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 497 | 404 | 468 | 495 | Firm light-grey, with yellow flecks, clay with moderate animal bones, occasional small stones and pebbles. $16 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |
| 498 | 450 | 499 | 401 | Firm light-yellowish-grey silty clay with frequent small and medium stones. 16.00 m $\times 6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 499 | 450 | 496 | 498 | Firm dark-yellowish-grey silty clay with moderate small stones and v . occasional animal bone. $20.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 3.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA6 |  |
| 500 | 501 | 506 | 561701 | Loose dark-greyish-brown silty clay with frequent medium sized blocks, coarse pebbles, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m}$ max $\times 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 1 \& Passage 1 | B/ 4 | Bronze fragment | 1xA4 | Charcoal \#132 |


| 501 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 502503504524 \\ 534 \end{array}\right\|$ | 171 | $\begin{gathered} 503524 \\ 534 \end{gathered}$ | This was a specifically shaped cut to receive the stone walls og the passages and chambers of the souterrain. The chambers were circular and deliberately undercut, albeit slightly, to recieve the corbelled roof of the chambers, while the passages were more simpler trenches with vertical sides. The cuts had the following approximate dimateres (Chamber 1: 3.5m; Chamber 2: 4 m ; Chamber 3: 3m) The passages had a mean width of 2 m . | Cut for souterrain | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 502 | 501 | 504 | 501 | Firm, sticky clay comprised of redeposited natural mixed with small to medium subangular stones placed between F501 and F503 and sealing the passage lintels F504. Probably an attempt at dry-lining the structure. | Backfill/ sealing layer between 501 \& 503 | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| 503 | 501 | 501 | 504 | A dry stone construction of randomly coursed or uncoursed roughly hewn limsetone blocks. The walls remained largely intact to roof level, although some localised collapse had occurred following the removal of the capsones, or during backfilling or later ploughing. Please refer to the main text for dimensions. | Stone wall of souterrain | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| 504 | 501 | 503 | 502 | A total of 9 in-situ flagstones used to roof the souterrain. The remainder had been removed in antiquity while some had been displaced and fallen into the souterrain. They had mean dimensions around $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.50. The flagstones remained in place over the | In-situ capstones covering portions of souterrain Passages 1 \& 2 | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| 505 | Cancelled. Same | 502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 506 | 501 | 508 | 500 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent coarse pebbles, moderate angular blocks, occasional charcoal flecks and animal bone. Up to 0.5 m thick. | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 1, Passages 1 \& 2 | B/ 4 | Iron object, iron pin fragment. | 1xA4 | Bulk \#145, Charcoal \#133 |
| 507 | 501 | 171 | 509 | Moderately compact light-brown clay with frequent small stones, moderate animal bone and charcoal flecks. 2.95 m east-west $\times 2.45 \mathrm{~m}$ | Deposit sealing floor of souterrain Chamber 1 | B/ 2-3 |  | 1xA5 | Bulk \#142, Charcoal \#140, Charcoal \#147 |


| 508 | 509 | 509 | 506 | Loose mid-yellowish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. $0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 509 | B/ 4 |  |  | Y | Bulk \#130 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 509 | 508 | 507 | 508 | Circular cut $(0.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, vertical sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for pit | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |  |
| 510 | Cancelled. Same as 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 511 | Cancelled. Same as 507 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 512 | 501 | 513 | 515 | Loose mid-greyish-brown clayey silt and c.40\% large stones with moderate animal bone, charcoal flecks and occasional burnt bone. 2.10 m north-south $\times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Passage 3 | B/ 4 | Medieval pottery sherd | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 513 | 501 | 514 | 512 | Firm mid-yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent animal bone, burnt bone and moderate charcoal flecks. 3.00 m northsouth $\times 1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Passage 3 | B/ 4 | Struck flint, Class 8 stick pin, perforated slate, iron object | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 514 | 501 | 501 | 513 | Loose mid-dark-greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small, medium stones and moderate charcoal fragments. 3.40 m northsouth $\times 1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Passage 3 | B/ 4 |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 515 | 501 | 512 | 400 | Loose mid-greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent medium, large stones, moderate animal bone and charcoal flecks. 3.00 m north-south $\times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Passage 3 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 516 | 501 | 517 | 400 | Moderately compact dark-brown clayey silt with moderate medium, large stones, occasional animal bone, charcoal flecks and v. occasional burnt bone. $1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ deep | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 3 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |  |
| 517 | 501 | 518 | 516 | Moderately compact mid-greyish-brown silty clay with v . frequent medium, large stones, v. occasional large capstones and animal bones. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$ deep | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 3 | B/ 4 |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 518 | 501 | 520 | 517 | Firm mid greyish-yellow silty clay with moderate charcoal fragments, animal bone, occasional charcoal flecks and v. occasional burnt bone. $1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ deep | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 3 | B/ 4 | Misc. iron objects | 1xA5 | Y | Bulk \#180 |


| 519 | 520521 | 171 | 521 | Cut $(0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for pit | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 520 | 519 | 521 | 518 | Loose mid greyish-brown silty clay frequent medium and large stones. 0.60 m ? x 0.50 mx 0.25 m | Fill of pit 519 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 521 | 519 | 519 | 520 | Firm light greyish-yellow silty clay with moderate animal bone, occasional small stones, charcoal fragments and flecks. 0.50 m ? $\times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ deep | Fill of pit 519 | B/ 4 | 1xA6 | Y | Bulk \#181 |
| 522 | 523 | 523 | 514 | Loose dark greyish-brown clayey silt with occasional small stones, charcoal flecks, v. occasional animal bone and one medium stone. $0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20-0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of pit 523 | B/ 4 | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 523 | 522 | 171 | 522 | Sub-circular east-west cut $(0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.20-0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for pit | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| 524 | 503 | N/A | N/A | Cubby hole in F503 in west facing wall of Passage 3 | Cubby hole | B/ 2-3 | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 525 | 501 | 526 | 400 | Firm mid brown silt with frequent pebbles, small stones and occasional large stones. $2.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 526 | 501 | 528 | 525 | Loose mid greyish-brown, with orange mottling, sandy silt with frequent small stones. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56-0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 527 | 501 | 529 | 528 | Loose light-orange-grey silty clay with occasional medium stones. 1.70 m northsouth $\times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20-0.06 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 528 | 501 | 527 | 526 | Loose dark-greyish-brown silt with small, medium stones and occasional large stones. 2.00 m north-south $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ wide $\times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |


| 529 | 501 | 530 | 527 | Firm mid brown silt and c.50\% large stones with frequent coarse pebbles and small stones. $4.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Passage 1 \& Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 530 | 501 | 531 | 529 | Firm light orange-grey silty clay occasional large stones. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 531 | 501 | 501 | 530 | Loose mid-brownish-grey, with orange mottling, silty clay with occasional small, medium stones and v . occasional charcoal flecks. $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ | Reclamation deposit in souterrain Chamber 2 | B/ 4 |  | 1xA5 | Bulk \#184 |
| 532 | 533 | 533 | 530 | Loose mid-brownish-grey, with orange mottling, silty clay with frequent small, medium stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of pit 533 | B/ 4 |  |  | Bulk \#185 |
| 533 | 532 | 171 | 532 | Subcircular east-west cut ( $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m ) with a gradual break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for pit | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| 534 | 503 | N/A | N/A | Air vent extending from wall F503 in Chamber 2. Surviving as six angular stones forming a channel from F503 but was badly truncated. | Air vent | B/ 2-3 |  |  |  |
| 535 | 404 | 404 | 491 | Moderately compact mid reddish-brown sandy clay, with flecks of orange clay, moderate small stones, animal bones, occasional burnt bone, charcoal flecks and v. occasional shell. Approximately $15 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 404 | B/ 2a | Bone trial piece | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |
| 536 | 450 | 450 | 537 | Firm mid yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal flecks and v. occasiona small stones. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 537 | 450 | 450 | 538 | Moderately compact mid-yellowish-grey sandy clay, occasional pebbles and anima bone. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 538 | 450 | 537 | 401 | Very truncated deposit. Probably the same as F441. | Fill of ditch 450 | B/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 539 | Cancelled. Same as 415 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 540 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 541 | Cancelled. Same as 542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 542 | 770 | 545 | 551 | Moderately compact mixed fill of mid-dark brown and light-mid yellowish-brown silty clay and c. $15 \%$ charcoal flecks. 1.80 m x $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 770 | B/3c |  |  |  | Bulk \#306 |
| 543 | Cancelled. Same as 545 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 544 | Cancelled. Same as 542 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 545 | 770 | 542 | 1319 | Moderately compact dark yellowish- brown clayey silt with small stones, occasional charcoal flecks \& oxidised clay. 3.50 m x $0.90-1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 770 | B/3c | Iron blade fragments |  | Y | Bulk \#307 |
| 546 | 770 | 547 | 551 | Moderately compact dark greyish-brown silty clay and c. $10 \%$ charcoal flecks with flecks of oxidised clay and small stones. $1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 770 | B/3c |  |  |  | Bulk \#304 |
| 547 | 1319 | 548 | 770 | Moderately compact yellowish-brown clayey silt with moderate animal bones, charcoal flecks, small stones and occasional larger stones. $3.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.82 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/ 3b |  | 1xA5 |  | Charcoal \#319 |
| 548 | 1319 | 1319 | 1312 | Moderately compact dark-greyish brown and c. $20 \%$ charcoal flecks silty clay with occasional flecks of oxidised clay and flecks of burnt bone. $1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$. Radiocarbon dated to AD870-1010 (Beta 246964). | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/ 3b |  |  |  | Bulk \#305 |
| 549 | 550 | 550 | 5471298 | Loose mid yellowish-brown silty clay with, moderate pebbles, small and medium stones, and, occasional animal bones and charcoal flecks. $0.75-1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 550 | B/3a |  | 2xA4 | Y | Bulk \#318 |
| 550 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 549551552733 \\ 12081298 \end{array}\right\|$ | 6891182 | 549 | U-shaped cut ( 7.00 m northern east-west arm, 20.00 m north-south arm and $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $3.20-1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40-0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with rounded corners, a sharp break of slope, irregular sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/3a |  |  |  |  |
| 551 | 550 | 546 | 542 | Compact mid yellow-brown silty clay with moderate animal bones, charcoal flecks, moderate small and medium stones. 3.50 m $\times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 550 | B/3a |  |  |  |  |
| 552 | 550 | 549 | 548 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent small stones, occasional medium stones and charcoal | Fill of ditch 550 | B/3a | Glass toggle bead |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  | flecks. $1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 553 | Cancelled. Same as 547 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 554 | 557 | 598 | 596 | Firm light-brown slightly silty clay with frequent small stones. $84.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.56-1.10 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 557 | B/ 6 | Modern pottery, modern glass, iron nail. | 1xA6 |  |
| 555 | Cancelled. Same as 598 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 556 | 557 | 557 | 598 | Firm mid-brown slightly silty clay with occasional large stones and animal bone. 84.00 northeast-southwest $\times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{x}$ 0.18 m . | Fill of ditch 557 | B/ 6 |  | 1xA5 | Slag \#143 |
| 557 | 596554598556 | $\begin{gathered} 588650 \\ 657702 \\ 814893 \\ 978982 \\ 983985 \\ 1149 \\ 1150 \\ 1189 \\ 1233 \\ 1291 \end{gathered}$ | 556 | Linear northeast-southwest cut (84.00m x $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Modern drainage feature cutting many earlier features. | Cut for ditch | B/ 6 |  |  |  |
| 558-559 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 560 | 561 | 561 | 557 | Firm mid greyish-brown silt with occasional small stones. $35.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of furrow 561 | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 561 | 560 | 566503 | 560 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (35.00m x $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 562 | 564 | 563 | 715 | Loose dark greyish-brown silty clay with occasional animal bones, charcoal flecks, small stones and medium stones. $9.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.66 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 564 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA5 | Bulk \#273 |
| 563 | 564 | 564 | 562 | Firm light greyish-brown silty clay and c. $20 \%$ coarse pebbles with occasional charcoal flecks and small stones. $9.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.34 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 564 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 | Bulk \#204 |


| 564 | 562563 | 171 | 563 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at southern end to the west, cut ( $9.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.66-0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.24 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 565 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 566 | 1104 | 858 | $\begin{gathered} 561664 \\ 658589 \\ 675767 \\ 802 \end{gathered}$ | Loose mid greyish-brown silt with moderate animal bone, occasional burnt bone, small and medium stones. $(30.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ x 0.15-0.40m) | Fill of ditch 1104 | B/ 2c | Iron pin fragments, glass bead, iron blade fragments, iron objects, crucible fragment, flint, bone pin fragment, lignite fragment | 1xA1 | Y | Bulk \#228, Charcoal \#213, Charcoal \#237 |
| 567 | 568 | 569 | 6161191 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey clayey silt with occasional animal bones and charcoal flecks. $2.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 568 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 568 | 567569 | 171 | 569 | Linear northeast-southwest cut $(2.80 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.95 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{~m}$ ) gradual break of slope, slightly concave sides and gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Truncated on northwest and south side by F616 \& F1191 respectively | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 569 | 568 | 568 | 567 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional charcoal flecks. $2.80 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 568 | $?$ |  | 1xA5 |  | Charcoal \#148 |
| 570 | 571 | 571 | 593664 | Firm dark greyish-brown silty clay with occasional animal bone, burnt bone, charcoal flecks, small stones and moderate pebbles. $23.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of curvilinear 571 | B/3a | Hammerstone?, amber bead | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ | Y | Bulk \#209 |
| 571 | 570 | $\begin{gathered} 678714 \\ 826 \end{gathered}$ | 570 | Curvilinear ditch running east-west before curving to the south, $23.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.40 m with gradual-sharp break of slope, concave sides and gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. Partition ditch probably later than F642/F1104 sequence | Cut for ditch | B/3a |  |  |  |  |
| 572 | 574 | 573 | 1276 | Loose mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones, occasional medium stones, charcoal flecks and animal bones | Fill of linear 574 | $?$ |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 573 | 574 | 574 | 572 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional animal bones, medium and large stones | Fill of linear 574 | $?$ |  | 1xA4 |  |  |


| 574 | 5725731276 | $\begin{aligned} & 1277 \\ & 1283 \end{aligned}$ | 573 | Linear northwest-southeast cut $(4.76 \mathrm{~m}$ x $0.62 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for drain | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 575 | 576 | 576 | 1271 | Loose light greyish-brown clayey sand with occasional charcoal flecks, animal bone, redeposited natural clay, moderate small and large stones. $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 576 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 576 | 575 | 171 | 575 | Linear east-west cut $(5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.60 m ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope, becoming more gradual to the west, leading to a concave base. Extends beyond western CPO line. Function unclear. Terminal truncated by F550 | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 577-578 | Cancelled. Same | 560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 579 | Cancelled. Same | 561 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 580 | 581 | 581 | 1294 | Moderately compact mid yellowish- brown silty clay. $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 581 | ? | Bone pin fragment, worked antler. | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 581 | 580 | 689 | 580 | Linear east-west cut ( $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep slightly concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 582 | 1279 | 1280 | 607 | Moderately compact mid yellowish- brown silty clay. $7.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1279 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 583 | 584 | 584 | 1260 | Moderately compact dark greyish-brown silty clay with moderate coarse pebbles, small stones and occasional animal bone. $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.49 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ max | Fill of linear 584 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 584 | 583 | 171 | 583 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at northern end to the west, cut $(4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.33-0.49 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.13-0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, slightly concave on west side, steep on the east side and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave stepped base | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 585 | 586 | 0602 | 0400 | Moderate mid brownish grey silty clay with occasional animal bone. $1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m | Fill of pit 586 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |


| 586 | 585602 | 580 | 602 | Sub-oval east-west orientated cut (1.15m x $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, stepped on north side, steep on south and west sides, gentle on east side and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 587 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 588 | 589 | 589 | 557 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones and occasional large stones. $20.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.04 m | Fill of furrow 589 | B/ 5 |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 589 | 588 | $\begin{gathered} 615566 \\ 1521 \end{gathered}$ | 588 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (20.30m x $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, irregular sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 590 | 591 | 591 | 677 | Firm light brown clayey silt with small lumps of yellow clay, occasional animal bone and small stones. $4.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of gully 591 | B/ 1a | Copper alloy pin/needle fragment | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#205 |
| 591 | 590 | 171 | 590 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at northern end to the east, cut $(4.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.14 m ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Associated possible structure represented by F667, F843. | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 592 | 593 | 593 | 595 | Moderately compact mid-brown clayey silt with frequent animal bone, occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. $8.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m | Fill of linear 593 | B/3a? |  | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |  |
| 593 | 592 | 570 | 592 | Linear north-south cut $(8.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.18 m ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for linear | B/3a? |  |  |  |  |
| 594 | 595 | 595 | 400 | Loose mid-light-brown clayey silt with frequent animal bones, occasional charcoal flecks and small stones. 7.90 m north-south $\times 0.66 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 595 | B/3a? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 595 | 594 | 592 | 594 | Linear north-south cut ( $7.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.66 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.17 m ) with a gradual break of slope, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for linear | B/3a? |  |  |  |  |
| 596 | 557 | 597 | 400 | Loose mid yellowish-brown silty clay. 8.00 m $\times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 557 | B/ 6 |  |  |  |  |


| 597 | Cancelled. Sa | as 554 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 598 | 557 | 556 | 554 | Loose light yellow-brown silty clay with frequent medium stones, occasional large stones and animal bone. $84.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m | Fill of ditch 557 | B/ 6 | Modern bottle glass, silver/ copper alloy object, bone pin, iron nails, Dublin-type ware, flint. | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \times A 4 \\ & 1 \times A 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 599 | Cancelled. Same as 556 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600 | 603 | 601 | 642 | Firm dark yellowish brown clayey silt with moderate medium stones, occasional small stones and animal bone. $30.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m | Fill of ditch 603 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 601 | 603 | 603 | 600 | Loose mid greyish brown sandy silt with occasional animal bone, burnt bone, small and medium stones. $30.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m | Fill of ditch 603 | B/ 2 a | Copper alloy interlocking rings, iron pin/nail. | 1xA4 |  | Bulk \#226 |
| 602 | 586 | 586 | 585 | Firm mid greyish-brown clayey silt with occasional charcoal flecks and orange (oxidised?) clay. $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of pit 586 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 603 | 600601 | $\begin{gathered} 796884 \\ 1151 \end{gathered}$ | 601 | A precurcursor to F642. Probably matches it for length (i.e. 35 m ) but was largely disturbed by later truncation and seen only in occasional sections. | Cut for ditch. | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 604-606 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 607 | 608689 | 582 | 608 | Linear east-west cut (8.65m x $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.50 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break a slightly concave but irregular base. Heavily truncated | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 608 | 607 | 607 | 689 | Moderately compact mid-greyish brown silty clay with frequent flecks of orange clay. $8.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of linear 607 | ? |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 609 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 610 | 611 | 611 | 400 | Firm light yellowish-brown, with orange mottling, clayey silt with frequent small stones and moderate small fragments of charcoal. 0.45 m diameter $\times 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ deep max | Fill of pit 611 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 611 | 610 | 171 | 610 | Circular cut ( 0.45 m diameter $\times 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ deep max) with a sharp break of slope, steep on northern side, gentle on southern side and a gradual break of slope leading to an irregular concave base | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |


| 612-614 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 615 | 616 | 616 | 589 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay with moderate coarse pebbles. 2.50 m x $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 616 | ? | Copper alloy pin shaft (loop headed), iron object | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 616 | 615 | 567 | 615 | Linear northeast-southwest cut (2.50m x $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 617 | Cancelled. Same as 588 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 618 | Cancelled. Same as 589 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 619 | 622 | 620 | 589637 | Firm mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $22.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 622 | ? | Flint | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 620 | 622 | 622 | 619 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones, moderate animal bones, charcoal fragments, occasional burnt bone and large stones. $22.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90-1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 622 | ? | Copper alloy pin fragments, spindle whorl?, iron objects, bone pin | 1xA3 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 621 | 626 | 626 | 622 | Moderate light-yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones, animal bone, occasional charcoal flecks and large stones. $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 626 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 622 | 619620 | 621 | 620 | Linear east-west cut ( $22.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90-1.50 \mathrm{~m}$ x 0.45 m ) with a sharp break of slope, irregular sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Re-cut of ditch } \\ & 660 \end{aligned}$ | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 623 | 626 | 625 | 621 | Moderately compact mid yellowish- brown clayey silt with frequent small stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal fragments. $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 626 | ? | Flint | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 624 | 660 | 660 | 1266 | Moderately compact dark greyish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stone, animal bones, occasional charcoal flecks, medium and large stones. $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.4 m | Fill of ditch 660 | ? |  | $1 \times \mathrm{A} 4$ | Y | Industrial waste \#139 |
| 625 | 626 | 626 | 623 | Moderately compact dark greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones, occasional charcoal flecks and animal bone. $5.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ max | Fill of linear 626 | ? |  |  |  |  |



| 637 | 636 | 619 | 636 | Kidney-shaped cut ( $2.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, v. gently sloping sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 638 | 634635 | 171 | 635 | Subcircular east-west cut (1.00m x $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m ) with a gradual break of slope, but sharp on west side, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope, but gradual on west side, leading to a stepped base. One of two large postpits found in base of F622 | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 639 | N/A | 1254 | 400 | Moderately compact dark-brown clayey silt and $50 \%$ medium and large stones with occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $3.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45-1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ | Stone deposit | ? | Bone pin fragment | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 640 | Cancelled. Same | s 588 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 641 | Cancelled. Same | s 589 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 642 | $\begin{gathered} 643676723 \\ 800803804805 \\ 847 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 796884 \\ 1151 \end{gathered}$ | 601 | Curvilinear northeast-southwest, curving at southwest end to the south and at northeast end to the east, cut $(35.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60-1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.30-0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Re-cut of 603. Cut for ditch | B/ 2b |  |  |  |  |
| 643 | 642 | $\begin{gathered} 676723 \\ 800 \end{gathered}$ | 1104 | Loose dark greyish-brown silt with frequent animal bone, moderate charcoal flecks, occasional burnt bone, small to large stones. $25.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}-1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b | Bone pin, iron objects including nail, blade and pin fragments | $1 \times \mathrm{A} 1$ | Y | Bulk \#232, Slag \#238, Charcoal \#235 |
| 644 | 645 | 661 | 6531145 | Firm mid reddish-brown sandy silt with moderate small, medium stones and occasional animal bone. $10.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m | Fill of ditch 645 | B/ 1b |  | 1xA3 2xA4 |  |  |
| 645 | 6446611145 | 734990 | 661 | Linear north-south cut ( $10.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.35 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.60 m ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for ditch | B/ 1b |  |  |  |  |
| 646 | 649 | 647 | 688 | Loose light yellowish-brown silt with frequent small stones, moderate medium stones, occasional animal bone and flecks of yellow clay. $16.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 649 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 647 | 649 | 648 | 646 | Moderately compact mid-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones and occasional animal bone. $16.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 649 | B/ 2d |  | $1 \times A 6$ | Y |  |


| 648 | 649 | 649 | 647 | Firm mid brown silt with moderate medium stones and animal bones. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.22 m . | Fill of ditch 649 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 649 | 646647648 | 683651 | 648 | Linear north-south cut ( $16.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.5 m ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate flat sides and a sharp break of slope, but gradual on east side, leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  | $1 \times 46$ | Y |  |
| 650 | 653 | 651 | 762 | Firm light yellowish-brown silt with moderate small stones, animal bone and occasional medium stones. $8.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 653 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA5 2xA4 |  |  |
| 651 | 653 | 652 | 650 | Loose mid brown clayey silt with frequent flecks of yellow and orange sand, occasional medium stones and animal bone. $18 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 653 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |
| 652 | 653 | 653 | 651 | Firm light yellowish-grey clayey silt with frequent decayed stones, occasional flecks of white clay and animal bones. 8.70 m x $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 653 | B/ 2d |  | $1 \times 46$ |  |  |
| 653 | 650651652 | 712 | 652 | Linear north-south cut ( $20.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.70 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |
| 654 | 677 | 656 | 682 | Loose mid brown to light-red silty sand with moderate yellow to red flecks of clay, animal bone and occasional small stones. $1.32 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | B/ 2a | Flint | $1 \mathrm{xA4}$ | Y |  |
| 655 | 677 | 671673 | 656 | Moderately compact mid black to dark brown clayey silt with frequent charcoal flecks, burnt bone, moderate medium stones and occasional flecks of yellow clay. $1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#149, Bulk \#172, Bulk \#173 |
| 656 | 677 | 655 | 654 | Loose light pink to light orange clayey silt with frequent burnt bone, animal bone, occasional charcoal fragments and a lens of light yellow clay ( $0.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) at the top of the fill. $1.73 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | B/ 2a | Iron blade | $1 \times A 4$ | Y | Bulk \#155, Bulk \#171 |
| 657 | 658 | 658 | 557 | Firm light yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones and occasional medium stones. $30.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of furrow 658 | B/ 5 | Flint | $1 \times 46$ |  |  |


| 658 | 657 | 663 | 657 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (30.00m x $0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 659 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 660 | 6241266 | $\begin{aligned} & 1412 \\ & 1407 \\ & 1507 \end{aligned}$ | 624 | Linear east-west cut (19.00m x 1.50m x 0.80 m ) with a completely truncated break of slope at the top, irregular steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 661 | 645 | 645 | 644 | Moderate dark brownish-grey clayey sandy silt with evidence for some iron panning, moderate animal bone, medium and large stones. $10.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 645 | B/ 1b |  | 1xA3 |  | Bulk \#229 |
| 662 | Cancelled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 663 | 664 | 664 | 658 | Firm light yellowish-brown silty clay with moderate small, medium stones, animal bone and burnt bone. $7.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34-0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.21 m max. | Fill of furrow 664 | B/ 5 |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 664 | 663 | 570 | 663 | Linear NNW-SSE cut ( $7.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34-0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ max) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 665 | 667 | 667 | 677 | Loose mid yellowish-brown, with flecks of orange, silty clay with occasional pebbles and charcoal flecks. $4.47 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m} \max \times$ 0.13 m . | Fill of gully 667 | B/ 1a | Iron nail | $2 \times A 4$ |  | Bulk \#220 |
| 666 | 1191 | 1191 | 1254 | Firm mid yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent small, medium stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $6.20 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.55 \mathrm{~m}-1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1191 | ? |  | 2xA6 |  |  |
| 667 | 665 | 171 | 665 | Curvilinear northeast-southwest, curving at northeast to the north, cut ( $4.47 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m}$ $\max \times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$ ) a gradual break of slope, steep concave sides. | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 668 | Cancelled. Sa | s 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 669 | Cancelled. Na | hollow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 670 | 677 | 655 | 656 | Loose mid grey clayey silt with moderate flecks of white clay, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA5 | Y | Bulk \#174 |


| 671 | 677 | 672 | 655 | Loose mid brown sandy silt with frequent animal bones. $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | B/ 2a | Iron object | 1xA4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 672 | 677 | 677 | 671 | Firm mid grey clayey silt with frequent small stones, moderate flecks of clay (white and yellow) and occasional animal bone. 2.70 m $\times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 1xA5 | Y | Bulk \#156 |
| 673 | Cancelled. Same | as 672 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 674 | 675 | 724 | 692 | Firm mid greyish-brown sandy clay with occasional fine pebbles, animal bone and flecks of iron panning. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m | Fill of ditch 675 | B/ 2d | Iron object | $2 \times A 4$ | Y |  |
| 675 | 674724 | 566723 | 724 | Slightly curvilinear generally ENE-WSW cut ( $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.6 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a irregular flat base. | Cut for ditch. A localised re-cut of 642 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |
| 676 | 642 | 642 | 643 | Loose mid grey sandy silt with occasional animal bones, burnt bones, small and medium stones. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 677 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 654655656670 \\ 671672 \end{array}\right\|$ | 672 | 591667 | Roughly circular cut $(2.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.90 m ) with a short channel extending to the southwest from a point slightly off-centre. The bowl had a sharp break of slope, steep to concave sides with a gradual break of slope leading to an irregular flat base. The | Cut for cereal drying kiln | B/ 2a |  |  |  | Bulk \#150 |
| 678 | 0682 | 0680 | 571 | Firm dark-red to dark-reddish-brown silty clay with frequent flecks of red clay and occasional charcoal flecks. 1.30m northsouth $\times 0.33 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of 682 |  |  |  |  | Bulk \#151 |
| 679 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 680 | 682 | 681 | 678 | Loose mid brown clayey silt with moderate flecks of clay, occasional small stones and animal bones. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of 682 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 681 | 682 | 682 | 680 | Loose mid brown silty clay with occasional lumps of redeposited natural, charcoal flecks and animal bone. $0.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of 682 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 682 | 678680681 | 654 | 681 | Suboval east-west cut ( $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.67 m ) with a sharp break of slope, stepped sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Circular cut through 654 in kiln 677 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |


| 683 | 684 | 713 | 649 | Firm mid brown silt with moderate small stones, flecks of yellow clay and occasional animal bone. $16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 684 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 684 | 683713 | 929 | 713 | Linear north-south cut $(16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.40 m ) with a completely truncated break of slope at the top, truncated sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 685 | 688 | 686 | $\begin{gathered} 691853 \\ 1094 \end{gathered}$ | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones, moderate animal bone and occasional large stones. $14.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 688 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 686 | 688 | 687 | 685 | Firm mid yellowish-brown sandy silt with frequent small stones, occasional animal bone and decaying stones. $14.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 688 | B/ 2d | Iron blade | 1xA4 |  |
| 687 | 688 | 688 | 686 | Firm mid greyish brown clayey silt with frequent flecks of yellow clay, occasional large stones and decaying stones. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 688 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 688 | 685686687 | $\begin{gathered} 646760 \\ 1042 \end{gathered}$ | 687 | Linear north-south cut ( $14.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.60 m ) with a gradual break of slope, steep concave sides, but gentle slope on east side, and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 689 | 607 | 608 | 550581 | Moderate mid yellowish-greyish-brown silty clay. $8.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 607 | ? | Bone pin | 1xA4 | Charcoal \#154 |
| 690 | 691 | 691 | 400 | Firm mid yellow-brown slightly clayey silt with occasional small stones and v . occasional animal bone. $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m . | Fill of ditch 691 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA6 |  |
| 691 | 690 | 6851049 | 690 | Linear northwest-southeast cut ( $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 692 | 693694 | 674 | 694 | Slightly curvilinear generally ENE-WSW cut $(7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope down to a concave base. | Re-cut to 675 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 693 | 692 | 694 | 400 | Loose dark-reddish-brown sandy clay with moderate pebbles, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 692 | B/ 2d |  |  | Bulk \#211 |


| 694 | 692 | 692 | 693 | Loose dark-brown sandy clay with frequent pebbles, small stones, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50$ $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 692 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA4 | Bulk \#230 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 695 | 698 | 696 | 400 | Loose mid-brown silty clay with occasional animal bone. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 698 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 696 | 698 | 697 | 695 | Loose mid-brown silty clay with moderate small stones and occasional animal bones. $2.51 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 698 | B/ 1a |  |  | Bulk \#160 |
| 697 | 698 | 698 | 696 | Firm mid yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent charcoal fragments. $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 698 | B/ 1a |  |  | Bulk \#159 |
| 698 | 695696697 | $\begin{aligned} & 1445 \\ & 1448 \end{aligned}$ | 697 | Oval east-west cut ( $2.51 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20-$ 0.40 m ) with a sharp break of slope, but imperceptible on the south side, steep concave north side, shallow convex south side, steep convex west and east sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to an irregular base | Cut for cereal drying kiln | B/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 699 | Cancelled. Sam | s 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 700 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 701 | 702 | 171 | 702 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (3.38m x 0.46 m max $\times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, gentle concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 702 | 701 | 701 | 557 | Firm dark orange-brown silty clay with occasional pebbles. $3.38 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~m}$ max x 0.12 m . | Fill of furrow 701 | B/ 5 | Post-medieval pottery |  |  |
| 703 | 705 | 1173 | 933 | Firm mid brownish-grey sandy silt with moderate animal bone, occasional pebbles and charcoal flecks. $1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20-0.64 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m . | Fill of linear 705 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA6 | Bulk \#262 |
| 704 | 707 | 707 | 934 | Firm mid greyish-brown, with orange mottling, sandy silt with moderate animal bone, occasional coarse pebbles and charcoal flecks. $0.96 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.41 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of gully 707 | B/ 1c |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 705 | 7031173 | 171 | 1173 | Curvilinear northeast-southwest cut (1.85m $\times 0.64 \mathrm{~m}$ max $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$ max) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. Possible association with F1175. | Cut for linear | B/ 1a |  |  |  |


| 706 | N/A | 171 | 933 | An area of disturbed metalling with three patches remaining ( $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.65 \mathrm{~m}, 2.25 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.15-0.75 \mathrm{~m}, \quad 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ) within a 5.00 m 2 . Compact well sorted stones (mean diameter 0.04 m ). Relationship to F705 unclear. | Metalled surface | ? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 707 | 704 | 1171 | 704 | Curvilinear northwest-southeast, curving slightly at southeast end to the east, cut ( $0.96 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.41 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep slightly concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a irregular flat base. Possible gully associated with Structure D | Cut for gully | B/ 1c |  |  |
| 708 | Cancelled. | s 677 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 709 | 710 | 710 | 715 | Loose light greyish-brown silty clay occasional small stones. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.10 m . | Fill of linear 715 | ? | 1xA6 |  |
| 710 | 709 | 171 | 709 | Linear east-west cut $(3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.10 m ) with a sharp break of slope, gentle concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |
| 711 | 712 | 762? | 712 | Subcircular cut ( 0.30 m diameter $\times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with steep sides and a sharp break of slope, leading to a concave base. Possibly a posthole cut into base of F762. Severely truncated by F653. | Cut for posthole? | B/ 2d |  |  |
| 712 | 711 | 711 | 653 | Firm mid orange-grey silty clay with occasional small stones, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $0.30 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of feature 711 | B/ 2d | 1xA6 |  |
| 713 | 684 | 684 | 683 | Firm light brownish-grey silty clay, occasional stones and animal bone. 16.50 m $\times 0.31 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.19 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 684 | B/ 2d | 1xA6 |  |
| 714 | 715 | 715 | $\begin{gathered} 571787 \\ 789 \end{gathered}$ | Loose light greyish-brown silty clay frequent small stones to medium stones. $10.50 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch? 715 | ? |  | Bulk \#201 |
| 715 | 714 | $\begin{aligned} & 562709 \\ & 773818 \end{aligned}$ | 714 | Curvilinear (L-shaped) long arm northwestsoutheast curving at southern end to the west cut ( $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ max $\times 0.15$ ) with a sharp break of slope, gentle concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading a concave base. | Cut for ditch? | ? |  |  |



| 727 | Cancelled. Same as 964 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 728 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 729 | Cancelled. Same as 1250 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 730 | 734 | 731 | 645 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones, flecks of orange and yellow clay. $18.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.50 m max. | Fill of linear 734 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 731 | 734 | 732 | 730 | Moderately compact light brownish-grey silty clay with v . frequent small stones and occasional flecks of orange clay. 18.00 m northeast-southwest $\times 3.56-0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38-$ 0.09 m . | Fill of linear 734 | B/ 1a | Iron object |  |  |  |
| 732 | 734 | 734 | 731 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with evidence of iron panning, occasional small stones. $18.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m}$ $\max \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of linear 734 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 733 | 550 | 1298 | 1208 | Moderately compact light brownish-grey silty clay with moderate small stones, occasional animal bone. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m . | Fill of ditch 550 | B/ 3a | Copper alloy needle | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 734 | 730731732 | 930 | 732 | Linear northeast-southwest cut (18.00m x $3.56 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.77 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and a sharp break of slope, but gradual on southeast side, leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 735 | $\begin{gathered} 73611821183 \\ 1184 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1270 \\ & 1530 \end{aligned}$ | 1182 | Linear east-west cut $(6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 1.10 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave irregular base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 736 | 735 | 1182 | 1183 | Moderately compact mid orange-grey sandy silty clay, moderate subangular small stones. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.80 \mathrm{~m}-0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 735 | ? | Lignite fragments, iron nail. | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ | Y |  |
| 737 | Cancelled. Same as 930 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 738 | Cancelled. Same as 1044 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 739 | Cancelled. Same as 1228 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 740 | Cancelled. Same as 1000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 741 | 745 | 742 | 7511142 | Moderately compact light greyish-brown silty clay with occasional medium stones and animal bone. $23.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.73-2.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 745 | B/ 2a |  | $2 \times \mathrm{A} 4$ |  |  |


| 742 | 745 | 743 | 741 | Loose light greyish-brown silty clay with occasional medium stones and animal bone. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 745 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 743 | 745 | 744 | 742 | Moderately compact light yellowish- brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 745 | B/ 2 a |  |  |  |  |
| 744 | 745 | 745 | 743 | Loose mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $23.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.19 \mathrm{~m} \max \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 745 | B/ 2a | Iron object | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#247 |
| 745 | 741742743744 | 746 | 744 | Linear NNW-SSE cut (c.23.50m x $2.77 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.72-0.97 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a truncated break of slope at the top, stepped sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2 a |  |  |  |  |
| 746 | 748 | 747 | 745758 | Loose light greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $3.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.24 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.69 m . | Fill of ditch 748 | B/ 2 a |  |  |  |  |
| 747 | 748 | 748 | 746 | Loose mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $3.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.10 m . | Fill of ditch 748 | B/ 2 a | Piece of quartz crystal | 1xA6 |  | Bulk \#257 |
| 748 | 746747 | 1145 | 747 | Linear NNW-SSE cut (3.35m x 0.40-1.29m $\times 0.74 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a completely truncated break of slope at the top, gentle concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 749 | 751 | 751 | 750 | Loose light yellowish-brown silty clay with moderate small stones. $11.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . | Fill of ditch 751 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 750 | 751 | 749 | 755 | Loose light brown silty clay frequent small stones and occasional animal bones. $11.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.63 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$ max | Fill of ditch 751 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 751 | 749750 | 746 | 749 | Linear NNW-SSE cut (11.00m x 0.53-90m x 0.28 m max) with a sharp break of slope, generally moderate concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a generally concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 752 | 1142 | 753 | 400 | Loose light brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones, animal bone, charcoal flecks and redeposited clay. $10.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00-2.08 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1142 | B/ 2 a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |



| 767 | 768 | 566 | 768 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (7.00m x $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, gentle concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  | 1xA4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 768 | 767 | 767 | 400 | Loose mid brown silty clay with moderate small stones. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of furrow 767 | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 769 | 718 | 786788 | 718 | Oval east-west cut ( $1.37 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.64 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with an imperceptible break of slope, gentle concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |
| 770 | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 542545546771 \\ 1321 \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1299 \\ & 1305 \end{aligned}$ | 771 | Linear north-south cut ( $16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.53 m ) with a generally sharp break of slope, but generally gradual on west side, v. irregular sides and an irregular break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for ditch. 2nd re-cut of ditch 550 | B/ 3c |  |  |  |
| 771 | 770 | 770 | 1321 | Loose mid to dark-reddish brown clayey silt with moderate subangular stones and occasional large stones. $16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.30 \mathrm{~m}$ $\max \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 770 | B/ 3c | Perforated copper alloy object | 1xA4 |  |
| 772 | 1103 | 1151 | 400 | Moderate dark-brown sandy clay with frequent large and medium stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.10 m . | Fill of pit 1103 | B/ 1a | E-ware |  |  |
| 773 | 776 | 774 | 715717 | Loose mid brown silty clay with frequent small stones. $2.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 776 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 774 | 776 | 775 | 773 | Firm light greyish-brown oxidized silty clay with occasional small stones. 2.50 m x $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10-0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 776 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 775 | 776 | 777 | 774 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay occasional small stones. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 776 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |
| 776 | 773774775777 | 171 | 777 | Suboval shaped east-west cut $(2.60 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, vertical sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a slightly concave base. | Cut for cereal drying kiln | B/ 2a |  |  |  |
| 777 | 776 | 776 | 775 | Loose mottled (black, yellow, orange) silty clay and frequent charcoal fragments with occasional pebbles. $1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cereal drying kiln 776 | B/ 2a |  |  | Bulk \#200 |


| 778 | 782 | 812813 | 782 | Irregular east-west cut (1.90m east-west x $0.74 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, but sharp on southwest side, gently sloping irregular sides and a gradual break of slope leading to an irregular base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 779 | 780798799 | 405 | 799 | Sub-rectangular northeast-southwest cut with rounded corners (1.72-1.40m x $0.81 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.47 m ) with a sharp break of slope, vertical side, but slightly concave towards the base, and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 780 | 779 | 798 | 400 | Moderately compact light brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones and coarse pebbles. $1.72 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.81 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 779 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 781 | Cancelled. Same | as 782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 782 | 778 | 778 | 400 | Moderately compact mid brown silty clay, with occasional medium stones, charcoal flecks and animal bone. $1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.22 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.13 m . | Fill of pit 778 | $?$ | Quartz crystal fragment, struck flint | 1xA6 | Y |  |
| 783 | Cancelled. Sam | s 782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 784 | 792 | 725967 | 792 | Curvilinear east-west cut ( $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.70 m ) with a sharp break of slope, gently sloping concave sides (heavily truncated on south side) and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 785 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 786 | Cancelled. Same | as 716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 787 | Cancelled. Same | as 717 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 788 | 789 | 789 | 769 | Loose mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . | Fill of linear 789 | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 789 | 788 | 714716 | 788 | Slightly curvilinear northwest-southeast cut ( $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, gently sloping concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 790-791 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 792 | 784 | 784 | 808 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with moderate small stones. $19.00 \times 1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.70 m . | Fill of ditch 784 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |


| 793 | 863 | 863 | 808846 | $\|$Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with <br> occasional small stones. $16.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.84 \mathrm{~m} \times$ <br> 0.65 m . | Fill of ditch 863 | B/ 2b | 1xA6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 794 | 823 | 823 | 642 | Moderately compact mid-_brown clayey silt with frequent fleck of yellow clay, moderate medium, large stones, occasional animal bone and v . occasional burnt bone. 3.00 m x $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 823 | B/ 1a | 1xA4 |  | Bulk \#234 |
| 795 | Cancelled. | s 823 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 796 | 797 | 797 | 823 | Firm dark brown sandy clay with occasional small stones and medium stones. $6.60 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 797 | B/ 1a | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 797 | 796 | 171 | 796 | Linear WNW-ESE cut ( $6.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 798 | 779 | 799 | 780 | Moderately compact mid grey silty clay with moderate small stones and animal bone. $1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.33 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 779 | ? | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 799 | 779 | 779 | 798 | Loose dark yellowish-grey silty clay with moderate animal bone and occasional small stones. $1.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.67 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 779 | ? | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 800 | 642 | 847 | 643 | Loose dark brownish-grey sandy clay with frequent animal bone, occasional small stones, medium stones and charcoal flecks. $3.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#227 |
| 801 | 802 | 802 | 400 | Firm dark brown sandy silt with occasional small stones, medium stones and animal bones. $2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 802 | ? | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 802 | 0801 | 566 | 0801 | Oval north-south cut $(2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m ) with a gradual break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading an irregular concave base | Cut for pit | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 803 | 642 | 0601 | 805847 | Loose mid brown silty clay with frequent flecks of orange, small stones and medium stones. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 804 | 642 | 805 | 643 | Moderately compact, mid brown silty clay with frequent flecks of orange clay, moderate subangular stones and moderate medium subangular stones. $(3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b | 1xA4 |  |  |


| 805 | 642 | 803 | 804 | Soft, dark brown silty clay, frequent flecks of yellow clay, flecks of orange clay, moderate subangular, small stones and occasional medium stones. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b | E-ware | 1xA4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 806 | 807 | 807 | 400 | Compact, mid brown with occasional flecks of orange silty clay, occasional small stones and medium subrounded, subangular stones, $(3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m})$. | Fill of linear 807 | ? |  |  |  |
| 807 | 806 | 804 | 806 | Linear cut ( $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ ), with sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 808 | 8091081 | 864 | 809 | Curvilinear east-west cut ( $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.60 m ) curving at western end to the south with gradual break of slope, moderate convex sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. A re-cut to 933 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 809 | 808 | 808 | 1081 | Compact, dark greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones and medium, subangular stones. $19.00 \times 1.50-1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.45 m . | Fill of ditch 808 | B/ 2d | Spiral headed ring, ring pin shaft |  |  |
| 810 | 811 | 811 | 400 | Compact, dark greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small, subangular stones. 16.50 m , curving at western end to the south, $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of curvilinear 811 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 811 | 810 | 1081 | 810 | Curvilinear east-west, curving at western end to the south, cut $(16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.25 m ), sharp break of slope, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. A re-cut to 933 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 812 | N/A | 171 | 778 | Loose, light brown clayey silt with frequent flecks of red iron panning, flecks white clay and occasional small, subangular stones. $(0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m})$. | Deposit | ? |  |  |  |
| 813 | N/A | 857 | 778 | Loose, mid reddish-brown clayey silt with frequent flecks of red iron panning and occasional inclusions of medium sized stones, $(0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m})$ | Deposit | ? |  |  |  |
| 814 | 816 | 815 | 5571250 | Loose, brownish-grey silty clay with frequent flecks of orange clay, occasional large, subangular stones and small, angular stones, $(6.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.19 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{~m})$, cut by 0729, 0557 | Fill of ditch 816 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |


| 815 | 816 | 816 | 814 | Moderately compact, mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small, angular stones, $(6.81 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.06 \mathrm{~m})$. | Fill of ditch 816 | ? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 816 | 814815 | 171 | 815 | Curvilinear, northwest-southeast, curving at southeast end to the south, cut ( $6.81 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.19 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.39 \mathrm{~m})$, sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |
| 817 | N/A | 171 | 400 | Firm, mid grey silty clay and frequent subrounded stones. $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ | Metalled surface | ? |  |  |
| 818 | 819 | 819 | 715 | Moderately compact, mid-greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small, angular stones. $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. Cut by F787, F714, F789, F571 | Fill of linear 819 | ? | 1xA6 | Bulk \#275 |
| 819 | 818 | 870 | 818 | Linear, northwest-southeast cut $(10 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ), sharp break of slope, gently sloping concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |
| 820 | 821 | 821 | 1190 | Firm, mid-brown silty clay and c.15\% of angular, subrounded, small to medium pebbles with occasional animal bone. $34.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 821 | B/ 4 | 1xA4 | Bulk \#301 |
| 821 | 820 | 828 | 820 | Linear, east-west cut $(34.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.20 \mathrm{~m})$, sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. Appears to be a cut for a drain or ditch later than F450. Probably associated with F403 | Cut for ditch | B/ 4 |  |  |
| 822 | 823 | 823 | 1151 | Loose, mid brown/grey silty clay with $15 \%$ of angular, subrounded, small to medium pebbles. $4.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.44 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of linear 823 | B/ 1a | 1xA6 | Bulk \#216 |
| 823 | 7948221151 | $\begin{gathered} 874824 \\ 866 \end{gathered}$ | 794822 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at northern end to the west, cut $(8.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.44 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear 823 | B/ 1a |  |  |
| 824 | 0825 | 825 | 823 | Compact, dark yellowish-brown silty clay and $15 \%$ of angular and subrounded, small to medium pebbles, with occasional animal bone. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fill of curvilinear } \\ & 825 \end{aligned}$ | B/ 1a |  | Bulk \#219 |


| 825 | 824 | 8681111 | 824 | Curvilinear, northwest-southeast, curving at northwestern end to the west, cut $(2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ max) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for linear | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 826 | 827 | 827 | 571 | Firm, mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal flecks and small, angular, subangular pebbles. $9.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | Fill of linear 827 | ? | Iron fragment | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#274 |
| 827 | 826 | 171 | 826 | Linear, ENE-WSW cut $(9.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42-$ $0.14 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Cut by F571 and furrows F589, F658, F664 | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 828 | 832 | 829 | 821 | Loose, light-yellow/brown silty clay and 15\% of angular, subrounded, small to medium pebbles, with frequent small, moderate charcoal fragments and occasional large stones, $(2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75-0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m})$, | Fill of kiln 832 | B/ 1a |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 829 | 832 | 830 | 828 | Loose, mid-yellow/brown silty clay and $10 \%$ of angular medium and small pebbles, with occasional charcoal flecks, $(2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70$ $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | Fill of kiln 832 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 830 | 832 | 831 | 829 | Loose, black silty clay charcoal rich material, ( 0.76 m north-south $\times 0.49-0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | Fill of kiln 832 | B/ 1a |  |  | Y | Bulk \#197 |
| 831 | 832 | 832 | 830 | Loose, mid orange silty clay with occasional subrounded, medium pebbles, ( $0.62 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.48 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | Fill of kiln 832 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 832 | 828829830831 | 171 | 831 | Figure of eight shaped, north-south cut $(2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75-0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for cereal drying kiln | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 833 | 834 | 834 | 400 | Firm, mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with frequent medium sized stones, small stones, moderate flecks of orange clay and flecks of black decaying stone $(0.59 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.12 m ), cut by test trench. | Fill of gully 834 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |


| 834 | 833 | 171 | 833 | Oval, east-west cut $(0.59 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.12 m ), sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and gradual break of slope leading to an irregular, stony base. Associated with F591, F667, F843. | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 835 | N/A | 836 | 400 | Loose, dark-brown with orange-red flecks silty clay, charcoal flecks and occasional small stones, $(0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m})$. Severely truncated by Phase 1 test trench. | Deposit | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#206 |
| 836 | N/A | 171 | 835 | Firm mid-red sandy clay with moderate large and medium sized stones, ( $0.63 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Severely truncated by Phase 1 test trench | Firespot? | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 837 | N/A | 171 | 400 | Firm light-dark-grey material with small, medium, angular and subangular stones, ( $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m}$ north-south), | Metalled surface | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 838 | Cancelled. | 590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 839 | Cancelled. | 591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 840 | Cancelled. | 590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 841 | Cancelled. | 591 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 842 | 843 | 843 | 400 | Loose, mid-brown to whitish-brown clayey silt with frequent flecks of iron panning and occasional medium sized stones, $(1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.17 \mathrm{~m}-0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ ). | Fill of gully 843 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 843 | 842 | 171 | 842 | Curvilinear northeast-southwest, curving at southwest end to the south, cut $(1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.17 \mathrm{~m}-0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ ), sharp break of slope, but gradual on northwest side, gently sloping sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to an irregular base. | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 844 | 864 | 845 | 400 | Firm mid-yellowish-brown with yellow mottling silty clay with occasional medium, subangular stones. $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00-0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m . | Fill of ditch 864 | B/ 2b |  |  |  |  |
| 845 | 864 | 864 | 844 | Firm light-greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.45$ $0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 864 | B/ 2b |  |  |  |  |
| 846 | 861 | 760 | 848 | Firm, dark red sandy clay with moderate flecks of orange-yellow sand. $0.68 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 861 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |


| 847 | 642 | 803 | 800 | Loose mid brownish-grey silty clay, frequent flecks of orange clay, moderate smallmedium subangular to subrounded stones. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 642 | B/ 2b |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 848 | 861 | 846 | 400 | Firm, mid-brown silty clay with occasional flecks of orange-yellow clay and small stones, $0.53 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.68 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 861 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 849- \\ 852 \end{gathered}$ | Cancelled. Na |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 853 | N/A | 685 | 400 | Firm mid red oxidised clay with occasional flecks of orange clay and small stones. $0.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~m}$. | Firespot? | ? |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 854- \\ 856 \end{gathered}$ | Cancelled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 857 | 859 | 860 | 813 | Moderately compact, mid-greyish-brown clayey silt. $2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of curvilinear 860 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 858 | 1104 | 1104 | 566 | Firm dark orange-brown silty clay with moderate small, subangular stones, animal bone, burnt soil and occasional charcoal flecks. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1104 | B/ 2c |  | 1xA6 | Y | Bulk \#231 |
| 859 | 857860 | 895 | 860 | Curvilinear, northeast-southwest, curving at southwest end to the south, cut $(2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ), sharp break of slope at the top and gradual, sharp at the base with concave $\left(65^{\circ}\right)$ sides and concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 860 | 859 | 859 | 857 | Compact, mid yellowish-brown silty clay. $2.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 859 | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#210 |
| 861 | 846848 | 760 | 846 | Oval, north-south cut $(0.53 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.68 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.14 m ), gradual break of slope, concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for pit | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |
| 862 | 945 | 964 | 1248 | Moderately compact mid-brown silty clay with frequent flecks of orange and yellow clay, moderate medium subrounded and angular stones, animal bone and occasional pebbles. c. $46.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 945 | B/ 1c | Iron knife | 1xA4 |  |  |



| 879 | 878 | 566 | 878 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (1.80m $\times$ $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 880 | 1245 | 1245 | 400 | Moderately compact mid yellowish brown sandy clay, frequent small, angular stones, occasional charcoal flecks and lumps of yellow clay, $(3.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}-0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.05 m ). | Fill of furrow 1245 | B/ 5 |  |  |
| 881 | 886 | 892 | 400 | Firm mid brown silty clay with moderate small, subrounded stones, occasional animal bone and medium sized, subangular stones, $\quad(2.20 \mathrm{~m}$ north-south $\times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.04 \mathrm{~m}-0.16 \mathrm{~m})$. | Fill of pit? 886 | ? |  |  |
| 882 | ncelled. Sa | 820 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 883 | ncelled. Sa | 821 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 884 | 885 | 885 | 642 | Firm, light yellowish brown clayey silt with frequent small to large, subangular stones, occasional charcoal flecks. $9.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.27 m max. | Fill of gully 885 | B/ 1a | 1xA6 | Bulk \#215 |
| 885 | 884 | 171 | 884 | Semi-circular cut ( $9.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}-0.36 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.02 \mathrm{~m}-0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ ), with a sharp break of slope, but imperceptible at northwest and northeast ends, steep concave sides, becoming more shallow and moderate towards northwest and northeast ends and a gradual to impercepti | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |
| 886 | 881892 | 171 | 400 | Curvilinear north-south, slightly curving at the southern end to the west, cut $(2.21 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.97 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}-0.21 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with an imperceptible break of slope with shallow concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to an irregular concave base. | Cut for pit? | ? |  |  |
| 887 | 888 | 888 | 1108 | Firm dark yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional medium sized, subangular stones. $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 888 | B/ 2b |  |  |
| 888 | 8871108 | 968 | 1108 | Curvilinear east-west, curving at west end to the south, cut ( $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$ ) completely truncated down to the base with imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2b | 1xA4 |  |



| 900 | $\begin{gathered} 913915987 \\ 103710381059 \\ 106010611062 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 645764 \\ 918919 \\ 1039 \\ 1050 \\ 1525 \end{gathered}$ | 9131059 | Linear, east-west cut ( $30.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00-1.82 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.45-0.82 \mathrm{~m}$ ), sharp break of slope at the top and with steep flat sides and a gradual break of slope. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 901 | $\begin{gathered} 9299311004 \\ 100310061007 \\ 1009 \end{gathered}$ | 1024 | 1009 | Linear, northeast-southwest cut $(60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $2.17 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ ), gradual break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. 2nd re-cut of ditch 1000 | B/ 1b |  |  |  |  |
| 902 | $\begin{gathered} 93812181219 \\ 1220 \end{gathered}$ | 906 | 1220 | Linear, north-south cut (approximately 13m $\times 1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.62 \mathrm{~m}$ ), sharp break of slope with steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. Extended beyond the southern limit of excavation. Probably contemporary with F1525. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2b |  |  |  |  |
| 903 | 906912 | 1239 | 912 | Linear, NNW-SSE cut ( $13 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.05 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m})$, sharp break of slope with moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 904 | 907 | 1222 | 907 | Linear, ENE- WSW cut $(4.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.17 m ), gradual break of slope with steep flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for linear | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 905 | 105110521035 | 1066 | 1051 | Linear, E-W cut (13.00m x 1.10-0.80m x $0.65-0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ), sharp break of slope with moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 906 | 903 | 912 | 9021525 | Firm light greyish-brown silty clay with occasional charcoal flecks. $13.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.05 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 903 | ? | Flint | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 907 | 904 | 904 | 764 | Firm, mid greyish-brown silty clay with moderate small stones. $4.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.17 m . | Fill of linear 904 | B/ 1a | Flint | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 908-909 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 910 | 1222 | 171 | 1222 | Linear, ENE-WSW cut $(2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.38 m ), gradual break of slope with steep flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |



| 923 | 924 | 171 | 924 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (6.50m x $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope with moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 924 | 923 | 923 | 400 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay. $6.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$ depth | Fill of furrow 923 | B/ 5 |  |  |
| 925 | 926 | 171 | 926 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (6.90m $\times$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |
| 926 | 925 | 925 | 400 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay. $6.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of furrow 925 | B/ 5 |  |  |
| 927 | 928 | 902 | 928 | Linear northwest-southeast cut $(7.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |
| 928 | 927 | 927 | 400 | Moderately compact mid-greyish-brown silty clay. $7.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of furrow 927 | B/ 5 |  |  |
| 929 | 901 | 931 | 1002 | Moderately compact light yellow-brown clayey silt with occasional charcoal flecks and small, medium stones. $12.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.04 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 901 | B/ 1b | 1xA4 |  |
| 930 | 1000 | 1044 | 1018 | Firm dark greyish-brown sandy clay with occasional medium sized stones. $45 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.55-1.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1000 | B/ 1a | 1xA4 |  |
| 931 | 901 | 1008 | 929 | Firm mid brownish-grey clayey silt with frequent charcoal flecks, occasional small stones and grains of orange sand. $11.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 901 | B/ 1b |  | Bulk \#217 |
| 932 | N/A | 993 | 400 | Reclaimation deposit approximately 50 m by 30 m covering the southern end of the area of excavation. Compact grey black clay with frequent large boulders and building rubble included. Up to 1.5 m deep in places. | Reclamation deposit in Areas $B i x$ and $B x$ | B/ 6 |  |  |


| 933 | 967968 | 405 | 968 | Curvilinear east-west, curving at west end to the south, cut $(19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m})$, sharp break of slope with steep concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 934 | 946947948 | 704967 | 948 | L-shaped cut with long arm running northwest-southeast (17.00m x 1.20m x $0.70-0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a northeast-southwest return ( $10.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20-0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ). Rounded corner, sharp break of slope with steep slightly concave sides and a sharp break of slope leadin | Cut for ditch | B/ 1d |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 935 | 940950 | 171 | 950 | Curvilinear northwest-southeast, curving at northwest end to the west, cut $(27.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m})$, with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 936 | 961952941 | 171 | 961 | L-shaped cut ( $23 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.9 \mathrm{~m}-1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.41$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for ditch. Associated with 1065 | B/ 1c |  |  |  |
| 937 | 975 | 962 | 975 | Curvilinear east-west cut $(8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.17 m ), with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 938 | 902 | 1219 | 1218 | Firm dark brownish-black clayey silt. 3.00 m $\times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 902 | B/ 2d | Iron object | 1xA4 | Bulk \#290 |
| 939 | Cancelled. Sam | as 1065 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 940 | 935 | 950 | 1065 | Firm mid grey sandy clay with occasional flecks of iron panning and charcoal flecks. $2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 935 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 941 | 936 | 952 | 400 | Firm, mid greyish-brown clayey silt with moderate flecks of iron panning and occasional small stones. $23 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m . | Fill of ditch 936 | B/ 1c | Iron object | $2 \times A 4$ |  |
| 942 | 976 | 171 | 976 | Curvilinear cut $(9.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.67 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m})$ with a gradual break of slope, gently sloping concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a flat, slightly concave base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |




| 961 | 936 | 936 | 952 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with moderate flecks of iron panning. $23 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 936 | B/ 1c |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 962 | 1065 | 1064 | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 934937 \\ 988 \\ 1531 \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | Firm mid brown silty sand with moderate iron panning and occasional small stones. $23.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1065 | B/ 1c |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \times A 4 \\ & 1 \times A 6 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 963 | 945 | 945 | 862 | Firm mid brown silty clay with moderate small and medium sized stones. $23 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 945 | B/ 1c | Iron object, iron blade. | 2xA1 | Y |  |
| 964 | 1250 | 1250 | 862 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. C. $30.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1250 | B/ 1b |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 965 | 970 | 171 | 970 | Oval northeast-southwest cut $(0.78 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.52 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Potential association with F922 \& F949. | Cut for pit/ posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 966 | 955 | 955 | 969 | Moderately compact mid orange-brown sandy clay with frequent small, medium sized stones. $10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.24 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 955 | B/ 2d | Copper alloy buckle | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 967 | 933 | 968 | 726 | Firm greyish-brown, with yellow mottling, silty clay, moderate small, subangular stones. $16.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 933 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 968 | 933 | 933 | 967 | Firm dark greyish-brown, with dark-yellow mottling, silty clay, moderate small to large, subangular stones, occasional charcoal flecks. $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 933 | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 969 | 955 | 966 | 954 | Firm, mid orange-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $14.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.05-0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 955 | B/ 2d |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 970 | 965 | 966 | 400 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with frequent small stones. $0.78 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.52 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m . | Fill of pit/ posthole 965 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 971 | 949 | 949 | 956 | Firm, mid greyish-brown silty clay with frequent medium stones and occasional large stones. $1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 949 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 972 | 958 | 958 | 400 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with frequent small and medium stones. 14.00 m $\times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 958 | B/ 2d | Copper alloy pin fragment | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 973 | 956 | 956 | 400 | Loose mid grey-brown sandy clay with small stones. $6.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 956 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |  |



| 987 | 900 | 1061 | 1062 | Firm dark brown-black silty clay with frequent charcoal flecks and occasional small stones. $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 900 | B/ 2a |  |  | Y | Bulk \#256 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 988 | 991992 | 962 | 991 | Linear east-west cut $(3.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m ) with a gradual break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. Recut to $1065 ?$ | B/ 1c |  |  |  |  |
| 989 | Cancelled. Same as 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 990 | 15271528 | 171 | 1528 | Linear NNW-SSE cut $(5.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.95 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.36 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Badly truncated. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 991 | 988 | 988 | 992 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional flecks of iron panning and charcoal flecks. $3.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cut 988 | B/ 1c |  |  |  |  |
| 992 | 988 | 991 | 934 | Moderately compact mid orange-grey silty clay with moderate flecks of iron panning, occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. 3.90 m east-west $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of cut 988 | B/ 1c |  |  |  |  |
| 993 | N/A | 960 | 932 | Loose dark brownish-black silty clay with moderate animal bone and medium sized subrounded to subangular stones. Coterminous with F960. 0.08m deep max. | Occupation deposit? | B/ 1c | Handmade pottery, iron chisel, iron object, flint | $3 \times A 4$ |  |  |
| 994 | N/A | 171 | 400 | Localised deposit of medium sized wellrounded coarse pebbles. $2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Metalled surface | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 995 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 996 | 959 | 959 | 980 | Firm, reddish-brown, with black mottling, silty clay with charcoal staining. $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 959 | B/ 2d |  |  |  | Bulk \#199 |
| 997 | Cancelled. Same as 951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 998 | 1034 | 1054 | 1056 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with large to medium, angular and subangular stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.38 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1034 | B/ 2a | Flint |  |  |  |
| 999 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| 1011 | 1010 | 1012 | 1010 | Linear northeast-southwest cut $7.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.77 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.58 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Localised re-cut along edge of F1015. | Cut for ditch | B/ 1a | 1xA5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1012 | 1015 | 1013 | 1011 | Firm mid yellowish-grey clayey silt with moderate charcoal flecks and small stones. $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.78 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1015 | B/ 1b | 1xA5 | Bulk \#251 |
| 1013 | 1015 | 1014 | 1012 | Firm mid orange-brown silty clay with moderate small stones including decaying manganese. $6.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.54 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1015 | B/ 1b |  |  |
| 1014 | 1015 | 1015 | 1013 | Loose light-whitish-grey silty clay with frequent flecks of yellow-orange sand and occasional small stones. 4.00 m northeastsouthwest $\times 0.68 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1015 | B/ 1b |  | Bulk \#214 |
| 1015 | 101210131014 | 1016 | 1014 | Linear northeast-southwest cut $(6.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.14 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.74 \mathrm{~m}$ ) heavily truncated down to base with a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. 1st re-cut of ditch 1000 | B/ 1b |  |  |
| 1016 | 1000 | 1020 | 1015 | Compact mid orange-brown clayey silt with occasional charcoal flecks, small stones and shells. $17.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1000 | B/ 1a | 1xA6 |  |
| 1017 | 1005 | 1010 | 1005 | Linear northeast-southwest cut with completely truncated sides and base. 2.80 m $\times 0.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. Localised re-cut along edge of F1015. | Cut for ditch | B/ 1a |  |  |
| 1018 | 1000 | 930 | 1019 | Moderately compact light brownish- orange silty clay with occasional charcoal flecks. $17.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1000 | B/ 1a | 1xA4 |  |
| 1019 | 1000 | 1018 | 1020 | Moderate light-yellowish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones, medium stones. $17.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1000 | B/ 1a |  |  |
| 1020 | 1000 | 1019 | 1016 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional shells and small to large stones. $45.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.97 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1000 | B/ 1a | 1xA4 |  |
| 1021 | 1025 | 1022 | 901 | Moderately compact light yellowish- brown silty clay with frequent medium stones, many decayed. $2.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1025 | B/ 1a |  |  |






| 1072 | 1039 | 1039 | 1226 | Compact mottled mid orange-brown to orange-grey silty clay with moderate charcoal flecks and animal bone. $9.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1039 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1073 | Cancelled. Sam | 1056 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1074 | 1075 | 1075 | 1034 | Firm mid orange-brown silty clay with moderate amount of charcoal flecks and animal bone. $3.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1075 | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 1075 | 1074 | 171 | 1074 | Linear east-west cut $(3.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.55 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Severely truncated by surrounding features. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  |  |  |
| 1076 | 10781079 | 171 | 1078 | Circular pit cut ( $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.06 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with a gradual break of slope, gently sloping concave sides and imperceptible break of slope leading to an irregular base. | Hearth/ firespot? | ? |  |  |  |
| 1077 | 10881089 | 171 | 1089 | Sub-circular northwest-southeast cut $(1.12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.97 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, vertical sides and a sharp break of slope leading to an irregular base. | Hearth/ firespot? | ? |  |  |  |
| 1078 | 1076 | 1076 | 1079 | Loose mid yellowish-brown sandy silt with frequent charcoal flecks and traces of ash. $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.03 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of hearth/ firespot 1076 | ? |  |  | Bulk \#255 |
| 1079 | 1076 | 1078 | 1080 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown sandy clay with moderate charcoal flecks. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of hearth/ firespot 1076 | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 1080 | 1099 | 1099 | 1098 | Loose dark grey-black silty clay c.10\% pebbles and c.15\% large stones with occasional charcoal flecks. $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.41 m | Fill of ditch 1099 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1081 | 808 | 809 | 811 | Firm dark greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small to medium subangular stones. $16.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 808 | B/ 2d | Glass bead |  |  |
| 1082 | Cancelled. Sam | s 764 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1083- \\ 1084 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1085 | 764 | 763 | 766 | Moderately compact mid reddish-brown silty clay and c.30\% of angular and subrounded pebbles with occasional charcoal flecks. $22.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.32 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of ditch 764 | B/ 2a | 1xA6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1086 | Cancelled. Same | 1085 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1087 | Cancelled. Same | 765 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1088 | 1077 | 1089 | 400 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent lumps of orange, yellow and light-grey clays and charcoal flecks. $0.97 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.86 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of hearth/ firespot 1077 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1089 | 1077 | 1077 | 1088 | Loose dark blackish-brown, mixed with flecks of ash, clayey silt with occasional burnt bone. $1.12 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.97 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of hearth/ firespot 1077 | ? |  | Bulk \#265 |
| 1090 | 109110921093 | 171 | 1091 | Sub-rectangular north-south cut (1.70m $\times$ $1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with rounded corners, sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for pit | $?$ |  |  |
| 1091 | 1090 | 1092 | 400 | Firm mid orange-brown sandy silt with occasional charcoal flecks and animal bones $1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1090 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1092 | 1090 | 1093 | 1091 | Firm mottled orange-brown and yellow-grey sandy clay with moderate charcoal flecks and occasional medium and small sized stones. $1.05 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.79 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1090 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1093 | 1090 | 1090 | 1092 | Moderately compact dark orange-brown sandy silt with moderate animal bone, occasional charcoal flecks and medium sized stones. $1.53 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.05 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1090 | $?$ | 1xA4 |  |
| 1094 | N/A | 685 | 400 | Loose light yellowish-greyish-brown clayey silt, frequent charcoal flecks, moderate patches of red and orange oxidised clay and occasional small stones. $0.56 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.02 m . | Firespot? | ? |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1095- \\ 1096 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1097 | 1099 | 1099 | 1098 | Loose dark brownish-grey silty clay and $10 \%$ of medium to coarse pebbles with frequent animal bone. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.60 m . | Fill of ditch 1099 | ? | $2 \mathrm{xA4}$ |  |



| 1108 | 888 | 887 | 863 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with small stones. 19.00 m east-west, curving at west end to the south, $\times 0.60-0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30$ 0.20 m . | Fill of ditch 888 | B/ 2b |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1109 | Cancelled. Same | s 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1110 | Cancelled. Natura | pres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1111 | 1112 | 1112 | 1123 | Firm mid reddish-brown silty clay with moderate pebbles and occasional charcoal flecks. $7.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of gully 1112 | B/ 1a | 1xA6 | Y | Bulk \#239 |
| 1112 | 1111 | 171 | 1112 | Curvilinear northwest-southeast, curving at NW end to the west and at SE end to the south, cut $(7.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |  |
| 1113 | 1105 | 1108 | 1105 | Curvilinear east-west, curving at western end to the south, cut $(19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.80-0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) heavily truncated at top, steep generally flat sides and a sharp break of slope leading to an irregular base varying between flat and V-shaped. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2b |  |  |  |
| 1114 | 889890 | 171 | 890 | Linear north-south cut $(0.74 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.59 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.18 m ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1115- \\ & 1115 \end{aligned}$ | Cancelled. Same | 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1117 | 1121 | 1119 | 1127 | Firm mid greyish-brown sandy silt with occasional charcoal flecks. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.69 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.25 m . | Fill of linear 1121 | B/ 1c | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 1118 | Cancelled. Same | 1117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1119 | 1121 | 1119 | 1117 | Firm light brownish-yellow sandy silt. 0.40 m $\times 0.22 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1121 | B/ 1c |  |  |  |
| 1120 | Cancelled. Same | s 1119 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1121 | 111711191127 | 1129 | 1119 | Curvilinear WNW-ESE, curving at WNW end to the north, cut $(5.22 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.75 m ) with a gradual break of slope, steep sides, but moderate on south side, and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 1c |  |  |  |




| 1152 | 1148 | 1108 | 1148 | Heavily truncated at the top, gently sloping convex sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. Length and width not recorded due to truncation, minimum depth was 0.80 m . | Cut for ditch | B/ 2b |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1153 | 1154 | 1154 | 864 | Moderate dark yellowish-brown silty clay with moderate medium stones and occasional charcoal flecks. | Fill of ditch 1154 | B/ 2b |  |  |
| 1154 | 1153 | 1148 | 1153 | Very truncated ditch. Minimum depth of 0.60 m , at the top, heavily truncated sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. Length and width not recorde ddue to truncation. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2b |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1155- \\ 1156 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1157 | Cancelled. Same as 722 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1158 | Cancelled. Same as 721 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1159 \\ 1160 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1161 | 1162 | 1162 | 677 | Loose light brown to mid reddish-brown silty clay with occasional indications of iron panning, small stones, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $4.10 \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of gully 1162 | B/ 1a | 1xA6 | Bulk \#280 |
| 1162 | 1161 | 171 | 1161 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at south end to the west, cut $(4.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.04 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.11 m ) with a gradual break of slope, gently sloping concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for gully | B/ 1a |  |  |
| 1163 | Cancelled. Same as 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1164 | Cancelled. Natural depression |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1165- \\ 1167 \end{gathered}$ | Cancelled. Geological feature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1168 | 1169 | 1169 | 649 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional pebbles, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $3.51 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.29 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of gully 1169 | $?$ |  | Bulk \#264 |



| 1179 | 1178 | 1178 | 400 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with frequent charcoal fragments and occasional pebbles. $0.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.09 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of stakehole 1178 | ? |  |  | Bulk \#266 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1180 | 1181 | 171 | 1181 | Subcircular northeast-southwest cut (0.09m x $0.09 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a rounded point base. | Cut for stakehole | ? |  |  |  |
| 1181 | 1180 | 1180 | 400 | Firm dark brownish-grey silty clay with moderate charcoal fragments. $0.09 \mathrm{~m} x$ 0.14 m deep. | Fill of stakehole 1180 | $?$ |  |  | Bulk \#267 |
| 1182 | 735 | 736 | 400 | Moderately compact light grey clay. 6.00m x $1.86 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 735 | ? | Glass bead | 1xA5 |  |
| 1183 | 735 | 1184 | 736 | Loose mid brownish-grey silty clay with moderate small to medium stones. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.90-1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.58 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 735 | ? | Iron object | 1xA4 |  |
| 1184 | 735 | 735 | 1183 | Moderate mid orange-grey silty clay with frequent grit and pebbles. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.53 m . | Fill of ditch 735 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 1185 | 1186 | 1186 | 400 | Firm mid-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones and moderate large stones. 2.30m NNW-SSE $\times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of furrow 1186 | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 1186 | 1185 | 566 | 1185 | Linear NNW-SSE cut ( $2.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.05 m ) with gradual break of slope, gently sloping concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 1187 | N/A | 171 | $\begin{gathered} 869894 \\ 1112 \end{gathered}$ | Compact metalling c.30\% large stones, c. $30 \%$ medium stones and c. $40 \%$ small stones. $3.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Metalled surface | ? | Copper alloy object |  |  |
| 1188 | N/A | 171 | 832 | Compact metalling c.20\% large stones, c. $40 \%$ medium stones and c.40\% small stones. 0.50 m east-west $\times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ northsouth. | Metalled surface | ? |  |  |  |
| 1189 | 1190 | 1190 | 557 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones, animal bones and v . occasional charcoal flecks. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of drain? 1190 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 1190 | 1189 | 820893 | 1189 | Linear east-west cut ( $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for drain? <br> Re-cut of 821 | B/ 4 |  |  |  |


| 1191 | 6661256 | $\begin{aligned} & 1192 \\ & 1257 \end{aligned}$ | 666 | Linear northeast-southwest cut (6.20m x $0.60 \mathrm{~m}-1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.24-0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, generally steep concave sides and a sharp break of slope, but gradual at northeastern end, leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 3a |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1192 | 1194 | 1193 | 1191 | Moderately compact mid yellowish- brown silty clay with moderate small stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $5.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45$ $1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1194 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 1193 | 1194 | 1194 | 1192 | Moderately compact -firm light-mid-orange-brown silty clay with frequent flecks of orange clay, occasional small stones, pebbles and charcoal flecks. 5.50 m northeast-southwest $\times 0.90-0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30-$ 0.08m | Fill of linear 1194 | B/ 3a |  | 1xA5 | Bulk \#294 |
| 1194 | 11921193 | 171 | 1193 | Linear northeast-southwest cut ( $5.50 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.10-0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave side and a generally gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 3a |  |  |  |
| 1195 | 11961197 | 1203 | 1196 | Linear, with slight curve at eastern end to the south, east-west cut ( $5.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.83-$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 1196 | 1195 | 1195 | 1197 | Moderately compact light grey silty clay | Fill of linear 1195 | ? | Iron pin | 1xA4 |  |
| 1197 | 1195 | 1196 | 1198 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones, flecks of yellow clay and flecks of red iron panning. $5.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1195 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 1198 | 1541 | 1541 | 400 | Loose mid brownish-grey clayey silt with frequent flecks of yellow clay. $5.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.52$ $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1541 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 1199 | Cancelled. Sam | s 1065 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1200 | Cancelled. Sam | s 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1201 | Cancelled. Sam | s 1064 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1202- \\ & 1203 \end{aligned}$ | Cancelled. Sam | s 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1204- \\ 1207 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 1208 | 550 | 733 | 1319 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with moderate small subangular stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.37 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 550 | B/ 3a |  | $2 \times A 4$ | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1209 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1210 | 121112121213 | 4051065 | 1211 | Subrectangular east-west cut $(3.80 \mathrm{~m}$ x $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40-\mathrm{m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, flat vertical sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for pit | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 1211 | 1210 | 1210 | 1212 | Moderately compact light orange/grey sandy clay. $3.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.46 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1210 | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 1212 | 1210 | 1211 | 1213 | Firm mid brownish grey silty clay. $3.80 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1210 | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 1213 | 1210 | 1212 | 400 | Moderately compact mid orange-grey silty clay. $3.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1210 | $?$ |  |  |  |
| 1214 | 1215 | 1215 | 400 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay. $7.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of furrow 1215 | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 1215 | 1214 | 171 | 1214 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (7.70m x $0.25 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for furrow | B/ 5 |  |  |  |
| 1216 | 1172 | 1171 | 1172 | Semicircular cut ( 4.85 m long $\times 0.31-0.47 \mathrm{~m}$ wide $\times 0.15-0.27 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Associated with F707. | Cut for gully | B/ 1c |  |  |  |
| 1217 | 919 | 919 | 900 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones. $0.40 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 919 | B/ 1c |  |  |  |
| 1218 | 902 | 938 | 400 | Firm light grey/brown silty clay with frequent flecks of red/orange clay, medium stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $13.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.11 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 902 | B/ 2b | Flint |  |  |
| 1219 | 902 | 1220 | 938 | Firm light grey/brown silty clay with frequent flecks of red/orange clay, moderate charcoal flecks and occasional pebbles. $13.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 902 | B/ 2b |  |  |  |
| 1220 | 902 | 902 | 1219 | Loose mid grey silty clay with occasional pebbles. $13.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 902 | B/ 2b |  |  |  |




| 1246 | 1247 | 1247 | 400 | Loose mid yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. $0.31 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.48 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1247 | ? | 1xA6 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1247 | 1246 | 1256 | 1246 | Suboval cut $(0.31 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.48 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, steep sides, but moderate on northwest side, and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |
| 1248 | 1249 | 963 | 1249 | Curvilinear northwest-southeast cut (10.50m $\times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, moderately sloping sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Re-cut of F945. | Cut for ditch | B/ 1c |  |  |  |
| 1249 | 1248 | 1248 | 400 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with small stones. $10.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 1248 | B/ 1c |  |  |  |
| 1250 | 964 | 1000 | 964 | Curvilinear WNW-ESE, curving at WNW end to the west and at ESE end to the south, cut (c. $46.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ ) completely truncated top of cut, heavily truncated sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 1b |  |  |  |
| 1251 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1252- \\ & 1253 \end{aligned}$ | Cancelled. | s 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1254 | 1255 | 1255 | $550 ?$ | Loose dark orange-brown silty clay with frequent large stones, moderate animal bones, occasional pebbles and charcoal flecks. $5.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1255 | ? | 1xA6 | Y |  |
| 1255 | 1254 | 1256 | 1254 | Linear northeast-southwest cut ( 5.20 m x $0.40-0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 1256 | 1191 | 666 | $\begin{aligned} & 1247 \\ & 1255 \end{aligned}$ | Loose dark brownish-grey silty clay with frequent pebbles and small stones. $1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1191 | ? | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 1257 | 1260 | 1258 | 1191 | Loose mid brownish-grey silty clay with frequent small stones. $5.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.31 m . | Fill of linear 1260 | ? | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 1258 | 1260 | 1259 | 1257 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-grey silty clay with occasional medium stones and charcoal flecks. $5.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1260 | ? |  |  |  |


| 1259 | 1260 | 1260 | 1258 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional subrounded stones. $3.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1260 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1260 | 125712581259 | 171 | 1259 | Linear northeast-southwest cut $(5.30 \mathrm{~m}$ x $1.20-0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50-0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1261 | 1262 | 1262 | 400 | Moderately compact mid grey/brown silty clay with moderate subangular small stones and occasional charcoal flecks. 0.30 m x $0.28 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of posthole 1262 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1262 | 1261 | 1149 | 1261 | Subcircular north-south cut ( $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.28 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1263 | 1150 | 1264 | 1149 | Loose mid grey/black silty clay with frequent charcoal fragments. $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.02 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1150 | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#302 |
| 1264 | 1150 | 1265 | 1263 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Loose brick red oxidised clay. } 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times \\ & 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m} \text {. } \end{aligned}$ | Fill of linear 1150 | ? |  |  |  | Bulk \#302 |
| 1265 | 1150 | 1150 | 1264 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with moderate subrounded stones with charcoal flecking throughout. $7.14 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1150 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1266 | 660 | 624 | 626 | Firm dark greyish-brown silty clay. $19.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.43 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 660 | ? | Iron blade fragment | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 1267 | 126812691270 | 1273 | 1268 | U-shaped generally NNW-SSE cut with rounded corners ( $14.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, irregular sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. Located inside and predates F550, therefore pre-dating Phase B/3a. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1268 | 1267 | 1267 | 1269 | Firm mid light orange-grey slightly silty clay with moderate small stone and pebbles. $12.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.97 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1267 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1269 | 1267 | 1268 | 1270 | Firm mid orange-grey silty clay with moderate coarse pebbles and occasional small stones. $12.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1267 | ? |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| 1270 | 1267 | 1269 | 735 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. $8.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1267 | ? |  | 1xA4 | Y |  |


| 1271 | 12721273 | 5751252 | 1272 | Linear NNW-SSE, with a slight curve at NNW end to the north, cut $(23.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.55-0.28 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, irregular steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to an irregular base. Pre-dated Phase B/3a. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1272 | 1271 | 1271 | 1273 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. 23.00 m x $0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.33 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1271 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  | Charcoal \#308 |
| 1273 | 1271 | 1272 | $\begin{aligned} & 1267 \\ & 1397 \end{aligned}$ | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty <br> clay with moderate coarse pebbles. 23.00 m <br> $\times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1271 | ? | Flint | 1xA4 | Y |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1274- \\ 1275 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1276 | 574 | 572 | 400 | Firm dark blackish-brown clayey silt with frequent charcoal fragments, occasional small to medium stones and burnt bone. | Fill of linear 574 | ? |  | 1xA6 | Y | Bulk \#283 |
| 1277 | 1278 | 1278 | 400 | Moderately compact mid yellowish- brown silty clay with occasional small stones, pebbles, animal bone, charcoal flecks and v . occasional burnt bone. $5.23 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.22 m . | Fill of ditch 1278 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 1278 | 1277 | 1281 | 1277 | Curvilinear east-west, curving at west end to the south, cut $(5.23 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. Recut of 1282 ? | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1279 | 5821280 | 630 | 1280 | Linear east-west cut (7.80m x c.0.80m x 0.45 m ) with a sharp break of slope, but gradual on southern side at eastern end, moderate irregular concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1280 | 1279 | 1279 | 582 | Moderately compact mid brownish grey silty clay. $7.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1279 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 1281 | 1282 | 1306 | 1278 | Moderately compact dark yellowish- brown silty clay with moderate animal bone, burnt bone, charcoal fragment and occasional medium stones. $3.46 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1282 | ? |  | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#287 |


| 1282 | 12811306 | 171 | 1306 | Linear northwest-southeast cut (6.76m x $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Re-cut by F1278. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1283 | 1287 | 1284 | 574 | Firm light yellowish-brown silty clay with moderate small to medium stones. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.79 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1287 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1284 | 1287 | 1285 | 1283 | Firm mid yellowish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones. $1.17 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.04 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.17 m . | Fill of pit 1287 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 1285 | 1287 | 1286 | 1284 | Loose dark blackish-brown silty clay with moderate animal bone, burnt bone, charcoal flecks and occasional small stones. $2.05 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $1.03 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1287 | $?$ | Bone pin, iron blade fragments | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#288 |
| 1286 | 1287 | 1287 | 1286 | Firm mid greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones and moderate medium stones. 0.87 m east-west $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.12 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1287 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1287 | $\begin{array}{\|c} 128312841285 \\ 1286 \end{array}$ | 171 | 1286 | Sub-rectangular north-south cut ( 2.40 m x $1.04 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.32 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1288 | 1289 | 171? | 1289 | Linear north-south cut $(3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m ) with a gradual break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. Very truncated ditch.. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2a |  |  |  |  |
| 1289 | 1288 | 1289 | 1290 | Moderately compact mid reddish-brown silty clay with frewuent subrounded stones with occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1288 | B/ 2a |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| 1290 | 1291 | 1288 | 1291 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at the south to the west, cut $(7.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m})$ with a gradual break of slope, steep concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 2d |  |  |  |  |
| 1291 | 1290 | 1290 | 1326 | Moderately compact mid blackish-brown silty clay with frequnet subangular stones, moderate animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $7.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.34 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1290 | B/ 2d | Bone trial piece, iron blade, flint | 1xA4 | Y |  |


| 1292 | 1293 | 1293 | 400 | Firm light greyish-yellowish-brown sandy clayey silt with moderate medium subangular stones. $2.10 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~min} . \times 0.24 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.13 m . | Fill of gully 1293 | ? |  | 1xA4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1293 | 1292 | 171 | 1292 | Curvilinear semi-circular cut $(2.10 \mathrm{~m}$ min. x $0.24 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.13 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with generally sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for gully | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1294 | 129512961297 | 5801192 | 1295 | Trapezoid east-west cut $(2.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.42-$ $1.66 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.57 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep slightly concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to an irregular flat base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1295 | 1294 | 1294 | 1296 | Moderately compact mid grey silty clay with occasional animal bone and flecks of burnt bone. $2.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1294 | ? | Iron object | 1xA4 1xA6 | Y | Charcoal \#300 |
| 1296 | 1294 | 1295 | 1297 | Loose dark brownish-grey clayey silt with frequent animal bone, moderate burnt bone and charcoal fragments. $2.42 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.46 m . | Fill of pit 1294 | ? | Iron blade | 1xA4 | Y | Bulk \#299 |
| 1297 | 1294 | 1296 | 400 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks | Fill of pit 1294 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 1298 | 550 | 549 | 733 | Loose mid grey/brown clayey silt with traces of oxidsed orange clay, moderate small to medium, animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. $24.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.42-2.34 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.66-0.85 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 550 | B/3a |  | 1xA1 |  |  |
| 1299 | 1319 | 1312 | 1305 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown silty clay with moderate small stones and charcoal flecks. $16.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.58 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/ 3b |  | $1 \times A 4$ |  | Charcoal \#320 |
| 1300 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1301 | 1310 | 1310 | 400 | Loose dark greyish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.70 m . | Fill of pit 1310 | B/ 4 | Iron objects | 2xA4 |  | Slag \#317 |
| 1302 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1303 | 1319 | 1319 | 1304 | Firm mid orange-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. c. $1.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m . | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/3b |  |  |  |  |


| 1304 | 1319 | 1303 | 135 | Firm mid orange/brown silty clay with small stones. c. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/ 3b |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1305 | 1319 | 1304 | 1310 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. $2.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m . | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/ 3b |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 1306 | 1282 | 1282 | 1281 | Moderate light greyish-brown silty clay occasional medium subrounded stones. $5.05 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.43 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1282 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 1307 | 1311 | 1308 | 400 | Firm mid greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small to medium subangular stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $1.13 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.61 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of hearth 1311 | ? |  | 1xA5 |  |
| 1308 | 1311 | 1309 | 1307 | Loose light grey ash deposit. $0.63 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of hearth 1311 | ? |  |  | Bulk \#285 |
| 1309 | 1311 | 1311 | 1308 | Loose dark greyish-brown silty clay with frequent charcoal flecks and occasional animal bone. $1.08 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.56 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.06 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of hearth 1311 | ? |  |  | Bulk \#284 |
| 1310 | 1301 | 1305 | 1301 | Sub-oval WNW-ESE cut ( $1.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.70 m ) with a sharp break of slope but a gradual break of slope on south side and imperceptible break of slope on east side, gently sloping concave sides but stepped on east side and an imperceptible break of slope bu | Cut for pit | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| 1311 | 130713081309 | 171 | 1307 | Circular feature that extends beyond the CPO. (1.13m diameter $\times 0.61 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for hearth | ? |  |  |  |
| 1312 | 1319 | 1303 | 1299 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with moderate small subrounded stones, animal bone and charcoal flecks. $15.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.75 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1319 | B/ 3b | Iron nail | $2 \mathrm{xA4} 1 \times \mathrm{A} 6$ | Charcoal \#309 |
| 1313 | 1404 | 1314 | 1434 | Moderately compact mid yellow brown silty clay with frequent subrounded pebbles. | Fill of ditch 1404 | B/ 1b |  |  |  |
| 1314 | 1404 | 1405 | 1313 | Compact light yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional medium subrounded stones. | Fill of ditch 1404 | B/ 1b |  | 1xA6 |  |



| 1326 | 132713281329 | $\begin{aligned} & 1223 \\ & 1291 \end{aligned}$ | 1327 | Curvilinear northeast-southwest, curving at northeast end to the north, cut (c.8.00m x $1.37 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.59 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch. Recut or extension to 1290 | B/ 2d |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1327 | 1326 | 1326 | 1328 | Loose mid yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent subrounded stones and occasional animal bone. $8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.37 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.16 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1326 | B/ 2d | 1xA4 |  |
| 1328 | 1326 | 1327 | 1329 | Loose dark blackish-grey/brown silty clay with frequent subrounded stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $8.00 \mathrm{~m} x$ $1.16 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1326 | B/ 2d |  |  |
| 1329 | 1326 | 1326 | 1328 | Loose dark grey/brown silty clay with frequent suangular rounded stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.75 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.27 m . | Fill of ditch 1326 | B/ 2d |  |  |
| 1330 | 1331 | 1320 | 1331 | Curvilinear north-south cut ( $20.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.70 \mathrm{~m}$ $x 0.90 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a gradual break of slope, moderate convex sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. Probably the same as F945, following expansion of Enclosure 12 | Cut for ditch. Continuation of 945 | B/ 1c |  |  |
| 1331 | 1330 | 1330 | 400 | Firm mid greyish-brown silty clay with moderate pebbles, small stones and animal bone. | Fill of ditch 1330 | B/ 1c | 1xA1 |  |
| 1332 | 1333 | 171 | 1333 | Linear ENE-WSW cut ( $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.21 m ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | B/ 2d |  |  |
| 1333 | 1332 | 1332 | 764 | Loose mid greyish-brown silty clay with frequent pebbles and occasional charcoal flecks. $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1332 | B/ 2d | 1xA4 |  |
| 1334 | 1336 | 1335 | 400 | Moderately compact dark brown silty clay with occasional fine pebbles, animal bone, v. occasional charcoal flecks and coarse pebbles. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1336 | B/ 3a | 1xA5 | Y |
| 1335 | 1336 | 1336 | 1334 | Moderately compact dark greyish-brown clayey silt with frequent small stones and occasional animal bone. $7.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.28 m . | Fill of ditch 1336 | B/ 3a |  |  |



| 1347 | 3991348 | 171 | 1348 | Circular cut ( $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for posthole | ? |  | Bulk \#312 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1348 | 1347 | 1347 | 399 | Packing stones surrounding F399 within F1347. | Packing stones | ? |  |  |
| 1349 | 1485 | 1485 | 1484 | Moderately compact mid grey with v . frequent flecks of reddish iron panning, small to medium subrounded stones. 17.30 m x $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1485 | B/ 3a |  |  |
| 1350 | 13511508 | $\begin{aligned} & 1352 \\ & 1355 \end{aligned}$ | 1351 | Linear NNW-SSE cut $(6.62 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.40 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for drain. | ? |  |  |
| 1351 | 1350 | 1350 | 1508 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey clay with flecks of reddish-brown iron panning and animal bone. $6.62 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of drain 1350 | ? | 1xA4 |  |
| 1352 | 1354 | 1353 | 1350 | Moderately compact mid yellow/grey clayey silt with occasional small stones and animal bone. $17.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.33 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1354 | B/ 4 | 1xA4 |  |
| 1353 | 1354 | 1354 | 1352 | Loose mid-grey silt with moderate medium stones, occasional flecks of redeposited boulder clay and v . occasional animal bone. $17.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1354 | B/ 4 | 1xA4 |  |
| 1354 | 13521353 | 450 | 1353 | Curvilinear east-west, curving at western end to the southwest, cut (c. $17.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.50-$ $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15-0.48 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 4 | 1xA4 |  |
| 1355 | 1358 | 1356 | 1350 | Loose mid yellow/grey silty clay with occasional small stones, v. occasional animal bone and large stones. 20.60 m x $1.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1358 | B/ 4 | 1xA4 |  |
| 1356 | 1358 | 1357 | 1355 | Moderate mid grey silty clay with frequent flecks of orange clay, occasional small stones. $20.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.45-1.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1358 | B/ 4 | 1xA6 |  |
| 1357 | 1358 | 1358 | 1356 | Firm mid grey clay with occasional decaying stone. $20.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 1358 | B/ 4 | 1xA6 |  |


| 1358 | 135513561357 | 171 | 1357 | Curvilinear ENE-WSW, curving at ENE end to the east, cut $(20.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.45-1.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.55 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp of slope leading to a flat base. | Cut for ditch | B/ 4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1359 | Cancelled. Same as 400 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1360 | 1428 | 1427 | 1359 | Firm mid yellowish-grey clayey silt. 26.00 m $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of drain 1428 | B/ 6 |  |  |  |
| 1361 | $\begin{gathered} 136213631364 \\ 1421 \end{gathered}$ | 367 | 1362 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at both ends to the east, cut ( $13.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80-1.20 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.30-0.65 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for ditch | ? |  |  |  |
| 1362 | 1361 | 1361 | 1421 | Moderately compact mid grey silty clay with frequent coarse pebbles. $13.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.30 m . | Fill of ditch 1361 | ? | 1xA5 | Y |  |
| 1363 | 1361 | 1421 | 1364 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-grey silty clay with frequent coarse pebbles and occasional charcoal flecks. $13.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.20 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1361 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1364 | 1361 | 1363 | 1368 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. $13.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ max. | Fill of ditch 1361 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1365 | 136613671402 | 1412 | 1366 | Shallow curving linear ( $12.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.35 m ) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 1366 | 1365 | 1365 | 1367 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. $12.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1365 | ? | 1xA5 |  |  |
| 1367 | 1365 | 1366 | 1402 | Moderately compact light yellowish-grey silty clay with charcoal flecks. | Fill of linear 1365 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1368 | 13691370 | 1364 | 1369 | Linear northeast-southwest cut (4.00m x $0.85 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a heavily truncated sharp break of slope, moderate slightly concave on southeastern side and steep slightly convex on northwest side and a Vshaped base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |  |
| 1369 | 1368 | 1368 | 1370 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-grey silty clay with occasional coarse pebbles. | Fill of linear 1368 | ? |  |  |  |



| 1383 | 1382 | 171 | 1382 | Oval east-west cut ( $0.23 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a tapered blunt point. | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1384 | 1385 | 1385 | 400 | Loose mid greyish-brown silty clay with frequent pebbles. $3.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1385 | ? |  |  |
| 1385 | 1384 | 171 | 1384 | Linear northeast-southwest cut ( $3.40 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a generally gradual break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat stepped base. | Cut for linear | ? |  |  |
| 1386 | 1387 | 1387 | 400 | Moderately compact mid orange-brown silty clay with moderate large stones. 0.40 m x $0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of posthole 1387 | ? |  | Bulk \#325 |
| 1387 | 1386 | 1515 | 1386 | Suboval east-west cut $(0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.35 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m ) with a sharp break of slope, stepped sides and a sharp break of slope, but gradual on north side leading to a tapered blunt point. | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |
| 1388 | 1389 | 1389 | 400 | Moderately compact mid orange-brown silty clay with frequent large stones. 0.30 m x 0.15 m deep. | Fill of posthole 1389 | ? | 1xA6 |  |
| 1389 | 1388 | 1515 | 1388 | Circular cut ( $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a tapered rounded point | Cut for posthole | $?$ |  |  |
| 1390 | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1391 | 1392 | 1392 | 1376 | Firm mid brownish-grey sandy clay with frequent flecks of yellow clay, red iron panning and occasional small stones. 2.00 m $\times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1392 | ? |  |  |
| 1392 | 1391 | 1364 | 1391 | Oval northeast-southwest cut (c.2.00m x $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ max.) with sharp break of slope, steep concave sides but moderate on east side and an imperceptible break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |
| 1393 | 139413951396 | 1370 | 1394 | Curvilinear, curving with a northwestsoutheast trend, cut $(13.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.42 m max.) with a sharp break of slope, steep sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a slightly concave base. | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |
| 1394 | 1393 | 1393 | 1395 | Moderately compact light brownish-grey silty clay with occasional small stones. $13.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.14 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1393 | ? |  |  |





| 1437 | 1438 | 1438 | 400 | Moderately compact dark-greyish-brown clayey silt with moderate small stones and occasional charcoal flecks. $2.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.27 m | Fill of pit 1438 | $?$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1438 | 1437 | 1471 | 1437 | Linear northeast-southwest cut $(2.20 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.27 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep concave sides, but gradual on southern side, and a sharp break of slope leading to concave base | Cut for pit | $?$ |  |  |
| 1439 | Cancelled. Sam | 1467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1440 | 389 | 388 | 1419 | Firm ligh brownish-grey silty clay with moderate small stones and occasional medium stones. $0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.55 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of ditch 389 | $?$ | 1xA4 |  |
| 1441 | 14421443 | 171 | 1443 | Subcircular 0.2 m in diameter $\times 0.2 \mathrm{~m}$ deep with a sharp break of slope, v. steep sides and a sharp break of slope and a stepped base. | Cut for posthole | $?$ |  |  |
| 1442 | 1441 | 1443 | 400 | Moderately compact mid yellowish- brown silty clay with frequent small stones/pebbles and occasional charcoal flecks. | Fill of posthole 1441 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1443 | 1441 | 1441 | 1442 | Loose dark brownish-grey silty clay with occasional animal bone, charcoal flecks and burnt bone fragments. | Fill of posthole 1441 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1444 | 1445 | 1445 | 698 | Firm light brownish-grey silty clay. $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1445 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1445 | 1444 | 171 | 1444 | Curvilinear northwest-southeast, curving at northwestern end to the west and at southeastern end to the south. $4.00 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |
| 1446 | 1471 | 1471 | 1467 | Moderately compact mid yellowish-brown silty clay with occasional small stones and charcoal flecks. $2.10 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.45 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.23 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1471 | $?$ |  | Bulk \#328 |
| 1447 | 1448 | 1448 | 698 | Moderately compact dark greyish-brown silty clay and c.10\% fine pebbles with frequent coarse pebbles, moderate animal bone and occasional charcoal flecks. 3.00 m $\times 0.32 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1448 | $?$ | 1xA6 |  |
| 1448 | 1447 | 171 | 1447 | Curvilinear north-south cut (c.3.00m x $0.32 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |


| 1449 | 1453 | 1453 | 1450 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey sandy silt with occasional large stones and flecks of orange clay. C.8.00m $\times 3.60 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.22 m max. | Fill of subrectangular feature 1453 | ? | E-ware |  | Bulk \#327 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1450 | 1453 | 1449 | 1454 | Loose mid yellowish-brown silty clay with frequent animal bone, occasional pebbles and charcoal flecks. C.8.00m x $7.20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.32 m . | Fill of subrectangular feature 1453 | $?$ |  | 1xA4 |  |
| 1451 | 1453 | 1454 | 1457 | Moderately compact mid orange-brown sandy silt with moderate animal bone and occasional small stones. C.8.00m $\times 7.20 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.33 m . | Fill of subrectangular feature 1453 | ? |  | 4xA4 |  |
| 1452 | Cancelled. Same as 1451 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1453 | $\begin{gathered} 144914501451 \\ 1454 \end{gathered}$ | 171 | 1449 | Subrectangular northeast-southwest orientated feature $(11.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 7.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.62 \mathrm{~m}$ ) surviving as a cut into F171 along northwest side. Elsewhere the cut blends into bedrock (indurated shale), although as the ground slopes away here quite shaply. | Sub-rectangular cut. Function unknown. | ? |  |  |  |
| 1454 | 1453 | 1450 | 1451 | Firm mid brownish-yellow silty clay. $2.00 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{x}$ c. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.05 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of subrectangular feature 1453 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1455 | 1457 | 1456 | 403 | Moderately compact mid brownish-grey silty clay with occasional large stones, medium stones and animal bones. $4.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.05 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.15 m . | Fill of linear 1457 | ? | Iron object | 1xA4 |  |
| 1456 | 1457 | 1457 | 1455 | Moderately compact dark brownish-grey silty clay with moderate medium stones. $4.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1457 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1457 | 14551456 | 1450 | 1456 | Curvilinear northwest-southeast cut 4.20 m $\times 1.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep flat sides and a sharp break of slope, but more gradual on southwest side, leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear probably associated with F1453 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1458 | 1459 | 1459 | 400 | Firm dark brownish-grey slightly silty clay and c.70\% large angular packing stones mainly on north and east sides. 0.30 m x 0.15 m deep. | Fill of posthole 1459 | ? |  |  |  |
| 1459 | 1458 | 1515 | 1458 | Circular cut ( $0.30 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with a gradual break of slope, steep flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a tapered rounded point | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |




| 1482 | N/A | 171 | 564 | Firm mid brownish-grey silty clay with frequent small stones, animal bones and burnt bone. $1.26 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.59 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.08 \mathrm{~m}$. | Localised spread | B/ 1a |  | 1xA6 | Y |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1483 | Cancelled. Natural hollow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1484 | 1485 | 1349 | 400 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown slightly silty clay with frequent large stones. $17.3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.20 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.65 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of ditch 1485 | B/ 3a | Medieval pottery |  |  |  |
| 1485 | 13491484 | 405 | 1349 | Curvilinear northeast-southwest, curving at southwestern end to the south, cut (c. $16.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 2.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.85 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, steep flat sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. Potentially contemporary with metalled surface F1337 | Cut for ditch | B/ 3a |  |  |  |  |
| 1486 | 1487 | 1487 | 400 | Moderately compact mid greyish-brown slightly silty clay with frequent large packing stones. $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ deep. | Fill of posthole 1487 | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1487 | 1486 | 1515 | 1486 | Sub-circular cut ( $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m}$ deep) with a sharp break of slope, steep slightly concave sides and a sharp-gradual break of slope leading to a concave base | Cut for posthole | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1488 | 1490 | 1489 | 389 | Moderately compact mid orange-brown sandy silt with occasional animal bone. $3.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1490 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 1489 | 1490 | 1490 | 1488 | Moderately compact light brownish-grey sandy clay with frequent flecks of orange clay. $2.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1490 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1490 | 14881489 | 1515 | 1489 | Slightly curvilinear northeast-southwest cut $(5.2 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.80 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.58 \mathrm{~m})$ with a sharp break of slope, steep almost vertical sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a flat base. Associated with F389 | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1491 | 1492 | 1492 | 3661389 | Moderately compact mid orange-brown sandy clay with moderate animal bone, occasional flecks of iron panning and charcoal flecks. $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1492 | ? |  | 1xA6 |  |  |
| 1492 | 1491 | 1515 | 1491 | Linear NNE-SSW cut ( $2.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.70-0.64 \mathrm{~m}$ $\times 0.17 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, generally steep concave sides and a generally sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for linear | $?$ |  |  |  |  |


| 1493 | 1495 | 1494 | 1487 | Loose dark orange-brown sandy clay with $v$. frequent animal bone, occasional small stones, charcoal flecks and burnt bone. $0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.25 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.15 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of pit 1495 | $?$ | 1xA4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1494 | 1495 | 1495 | 1493 | Moderately compact mid-orange-brown sandy clay with moderate small stones and occasional large packing stones. $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times$ $0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1495 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1495 | 14931494 | 1515 | 1494 | Irregular sub-oval northwest-southeast cut ( $0.90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$ ) with a sharp break of slope, moderate concave sides and a sharp break of slope leading to a concave base. | Cut for pit | $?$ |  |  |
| 1496 | 1453 | 1453 | 1449 | Firm mid brownish-yellow slightly sandy clay with moderate medium stones. 2.00 m x c. $1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.10 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1453 | $?$ |  |  |
| 1497 | 366 | 1498 | 367 | Moderately compact dark brownish-grey silty clay. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 366 | $?$ | 1xA4 |  |
| 1498 | 366 | 366 | 1497 | Firm light orange-grey silty clay. $6.00 \mathrm{~m} x$ $0.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.20 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of ditch 366 | $?$ | 1xA4 |  |
| 1499 | 1500 | 1500 | 400 | Loose mid greyish-brown clayey silt with v . frequent large, medium and small stones, occasional animal bone and charcoal flecks. $5.96 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.52 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.18 \mathrm{~m}$. | Stone lining of gully 1500 | $?$ |  | Bulk \#329 |
| 1500 | 1499 | 171 | 1499 | Curvilinear north-south, curving at southern end to the east, cut $(5.96 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.52 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.18 m ) with a gradual break of slope, concave sides and a gradual break of slope leading to an irregular stony base. Potentially part of a circular drip gully associated wi | Cut for gully | $?$ |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 1501- \\ 1502 \end{gathered}$ | Not allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1503 | 1464 | 1504 | 1506 | Loose light greyish-brown slightly silty clay with occasional small stones and animal bone. $4.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.19 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1464 | ? |  |  |
| 1504 | 1464 | 1464 | 1503 | Moderately compact light whitish-grey clay with occasional small stones and animal bone. $4.40 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.50 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.43 \mathrm{~m}$ | Fill of linear 1464 | ? |  |  |
| 1505 | 1506 | 1506 | 1465 | Loose light brownish-grey silty clay with occasional pebbles. $4.0 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.60 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.30 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of linear 1506 | ? |  |  |





| 1547 | 1546 | 644 | 1546 | Linear north-south cut $(8.00 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.15 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.20 m ) with sharp break of slope, moderate flat sides and a gradual break of slope leading to a flat base | Cut for ditch. Recut of F645 | B/1c |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1548 | 1549 | 1515 | 1549 | Sub-circular cut orientated east-west after excavation but was more likely to have been orientated north-south originally. The break of slop at the top was abrupt with concave sides and and a gradual break of slope leading to a concave base. It was measur | Cut for pit | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1549 | 1548 | 1548 | 383391 | Moderately compact, mid to dark greyish brown clayey silt. $1.14 \mathrm{~m} \times 1.02 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.1 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of pit 1548 | $?$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1550 | Cancelled. Same as 946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1551 | Cancelled. Same as 948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1552 | 1553 | 171 | 1553 | Oval cut orientated north-west/south-east with a sharp break of slope and a slightly concave side to the northwest and a flat side, c. 65 , to the southeast side. The break of slop at the base was more gradual on the north-west side and more sharp of the | Cut for posthole | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1553 | 1552 | 1552 | 400 | Moderately compact, mid to dark brownish grey sandy silty clay. Frequent small stones and pebbles. $0.74 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.5 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.21 \mathrm{~m}$. | Fill of posthole 1552 | ? |  |  |  |  |
| 1554 | Cancelled. Same as 561 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1555 | Cancelled. Same as 560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1556 | 1557 | 1515 | 1557 | Sub-oval cut orientated north-west/southeast with a sharp break of slope and slightly concave sides leading to another sharp break of slope and a concave base. It was measured as $0.34 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.19 \mathrm{~m}$. | Cut for posthole | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 1557 | 1556 | 1556 | 400 | Compact, dark brownish grey silty clay. Moderate small stones. $0.34 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.26 \mathrm{~m} \times$ 0.19 m . | Fill of posthole 1556 | B/ 1a |  |  |  |  |
| 1558 | Cancelled. Same as 387 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1559 | Cancelled. Same as 386 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1560 | Cancelled. Same as 1170 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX 2 Finds List

| Find no: | Description | Area | E | N | RL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:100:1 | Modern pottery | Av | NO PRECISE LOCATION (NPL) |  |  |
| A008/002:100:2 | Metal object | Aix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:3 | Black glazed earthenware | Av | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:4 | Modern pottery | Axii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:5 | Modern pottery | Av | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:6 | Clay pipe stem fragment | Av | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:7 | Flint flake | Av | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:8 | Flint retouched artefact | Av | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:9 | Flint core | Aix | 195.35 | 235.56 | 106.227 |
| A008/002:100:10 | Flint flake | Aix | 194.88 | 227.32 | 105.920 |
| A008/002:100:11 | Iron peg | Avi | 195 | 250 | NPL |
| A008/002:100:12 | Local fine ware body sherd (13th-14th) | Aix | 193.70 | 225.40 | 105.999 |
| A008/002:100:13 | Bone pin/needle | Aix | 194 | 225 | NPL |
| A008/002:100:14 | Flint flake | Aix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:15 | Flint debitage | Aix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:16 | Flint debitage | Aix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:17 | Bone pin/needle | Aix | 196.66 | 225.27 | 105.778 |
| A008/002:100:18 | Iron knife | Aix | 183.97 | 229.27 | 106.371 |
| A008/002:100:19 | Leinster cooking ware body sherd (12th-14th) | Axii | 190.13 | 235.86 | 106.572 |
| A008/002:100:20 | Flint flake | Axii | 191.95 | 224.46 | 105.680 |
| A008/002:100:21 | Iron tool | Ax | 199.46 | 234.34 | 106.022 |
| A008/002:100:22 | Flint blade | Avii | 202.22 | 247.50 | 105.567 |
| A008/002:100:23 | Flint flake | Ax | 203.58 | 230.00 | 105.983 |
| A008/002:100:24 | Flint flake | Ax | 202.23 | 226.31 | 105.803 |
| A008/002:100:25 | Clay pipe stem | Ax | 203.50 | 225.90 | 105.668 |
| A008/002:100:26 | Flint flake | Axiii | 201.14 | 217.75 | 105.367 |
| A008/002:100:27 | Flint debitage | Axiii | 204.57 | 219.70 | 105.537 |
| A008/002:100:28 | Bone spearhead | Aix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:29 | Bone comb fragment | Aix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:30 | Flint debitage | Axiii | 119.76 | 219.38 | 105.401 |
| A008/002:100:31 | Clay pipe stem fragment | Axi | 196.24 | 221.98 | 106.046 |
| A008/002:100:32 | Flint flake | Axi | 199.26 | 220.31 | 105.593 |
| A008/002:100:33 | Flint flake | Axiii | 199.48 | 220.78 | 107.129 |
| A008/002:100:34 | Flint flake | Axiii | 199.46 | 221.15 | 107.105 |
| A008/002:100:35 | Flint flake | Axi | 172.08 | 221.23 | 106.074 |
| A008/002:100:36 | Leinster cooking ware body sherd (12th-14th) | Axiii | 202.97 | 223.94 | 107.063 |
| A008/002:100:37 | Local medieval body sherd (13th-14th) | Ax | 209.95 | 247.45 | 106.017 |
| A008/002:100:38 | Flint flake | Ax | 169.54 | 265.90 | 106.083 |
| A008/002:100:39 | Modern pottery | Axiii | 202.82 | 218.54 | 105.402 |
| A008/002:100:40 | Flint retouched artefact | Avii | 210.10 | 253.02 | 106.015 |
| A008/002:100:41 | Leinster cooking ware body sherd (12th-14th) | Aix | 186.61 | 240.96 | 106.752 |
| A008/002:100:42 | Flint flake | Ax | 206.80 | 240.94 | 106.103 |
| A008/002:100:43 | Flint flake | Ax | 169.80 | 263.50 | 106.173 |
| A008/002:100:44 | Flint debitage | Ax | 169.10 | 265.95 | 106.127 |
| A008/002:100:45 | Metal object | Ax | 169.45 | 265.69 | 106.095 |
| A008/002:100:46-51 | Modern pottery (6 sherds) | Av | 168.10 | 261.20 | 106.222 |
| A008/002:100:52 | Horseshoe fragment | Axiii | 186.70 | 222.43 | 106.232 |
| A008/002:100:53 | Modern pottery | Av | 163.95 | 260.55 | 106.160 |
| A008/002:100:54 | Worked stone | Av | 166.75 | 260.75 | 106.165 |
| A008/002:100:55 | Metal object | Av | 167.70 | 259.96 | 106.174 |
| A008/002:100:56 | Modern pottery | Av | 166.68 | 260.12 | 106.222 |
| A008/002:100:57 | Modern pottery | Av | 169.20 | 259.88 | 106.425 |
| A008/002:100:58 | Clay pipe stem fragment | Av | 166.34 | 259.46 | 106.239 |
| A008/002:100:59-65 | Modern pottery (7 sherds) | Av | 166.63 | 259.27 | 106.315 |
| A008/002:100:66 | Clay pipe stem fragment | Av | 168.39 | 260.87 | 106.174 |
| A008/002:100:67 | Modern pottery | Av | 168.88 | 259.97 | 106.2 |
| A008/002:100:68 | Modern pottery | Av | 161.90 | 259.52 | 106.183 |


| A008/002:100:69 | Clay pipe stem fragment | Av | 170 | 260 | NPL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:100:70-72 | Modern pottery (3 sherds) | Av | 163.00 | 259.60 | 106.201 |
| A008/002:100:73 | Iron file | Aviii | 182.53 | 232.30 | 106.012 |
| A008/002:100:74 | Iron pin/rod | Axv | 199.87 | 200.15 | 105.146 |
| A008/002:100:75 | Clay pipe | Axii | 188.87 | 199.36 | 105.490 |
| A008/002:100:76 | Flint debitage | Axii | 185.91 | 190.02 | 106.341 |
| A008/002:100:77 | Iron loop/fixture | Axii | 185.73 | 206.34 | 105.288 |
| A008/002:100:78 | Clay pipe stem | Axii | 190.25 | 204.65 | 105.246 |
| A008/002:100:79 | Iron object | Axiii | 199.34 | 210.01 | 105.124 |
| A008/002:100:80 | Local medieval body sherd (13th-14th) | Axiii | 199.77 | 208.86 | 105.104 |
| A008/002:100:81 | Bottle stop | Axiii | 195.99 | 205.70 | 105.129 |
| A008/002:100:82 | Local fineware body sherd (13th-14th) | Axiii | 199.45 | 207.05 | 105.019 |
| A008/002:100:83 | Worked stone object | Av | 162 | 255 | 104.506 |
| A008/002:100:84 | Flint flake | Axv | 198.80 | 194.50 | 104.569 |
| A008/002:100:85 | Bone pin/needle | Axv | 195.80 | 200.12 | 104.811 |
| A008/002:100:86 | Antler tooth segment blank | Axii | 194.76 | 206.15 | 104.713 |
| A008/002:100:87 | Local medieval body sherd (13th-14th) | Axv | 195.95 | 196.32 | 104.691 |
| A008/002:100:88 | Local fineware body sherd (13th-14th) | Axiii | 202.33 | 208.29 | 105.17 |
| A008/002:100:89 | Flint flake | Axv | 197.66 | 193.75 | 104.457 |
| A008/002:100:90 | Flint flake | Axiii | 178.65 | 210.81 | 105.984 |
| A008/002:100:91 | Iron blade | Aviii | 171.07 | 227.44 | 106.297 |
| A008/002:100:92 | Poss. Iron bracket | Aviii | 170.00 | 227.75 | 106.309 |
| A008/002:100:93 | Flint side scraper | Aviii | 164.50 | 236.00 | 106.233 |
| A008/002:100:94 | Flint flake | Axiii | 205.25 | 212.07 | 105.929 |
| A008/002:100:95 | Flint debitage | Axiii | 205.00 | 212.39 | 105.904 |
| A008/002:100:96 | Flint split pebble | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Axv / } \\ \text { Axii } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 199.35 | 183.60 | 105.308 |
| A008/002:100:97 | Flint flake | Axv | 198.03 | 196.60 | 104.5 |
| A008/002:100:98 | Flint flake | Ax | 205.70 | 246.03 | 105.839 |
| A008/002:100:99 | Flint blade | Avii | 203.49 | 257.16 | 106.09 |
| A008/002:100:100 | Flint debitage | Avii | 207.36 | 253.35 | 105.935 |
| A008/002:100:101 | Horseshoe | Av | 164.00 | 265.46 | 105.724 |
| A008/002:100:102 | Flint retouched artefact | Aii | 176.19 | 271.55 | 105.827 |
| A008/002:100:103 | Brown glazed earthenware |  | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:100:104 | Brown glazed earthenware | Aix | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:101:1 | Metal object | Avi | 108.32 | 249.3 | 106.660 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:104:1 | Flint debitage | Ax | 203.06 | 249.66 | 106.060 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:106:1 | Flint blade | Avi | 194.50 | 260.59 | 105.960 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:107:1 | Flint retouched artefact | Avi | 194.38 | 261.60 | 105.510 |
| A008/002:107:2 | Bone needle | Avii | 203.60 | 262.00 | 105.964 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:108:1 | Limestone game board fragment | Avii | 200.17 | 261.85 | 105.364 |
| A008/002:108:2 | Limestone honestone | Avii | 200.56 | 261.42 | 105.284 |
| A008/002:108:3 | Bone awl | Avi | 192.17 | 256.18 | 105.134 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:109:1 | Bone pin/needle fragment | Aix | 196.27 | 239.70 | 106.270 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:110:1 | Iron knife | Avii | 209.66 | 257.85 | 105.810 |
| A008/002:110:2 | Unworked bone - fragment of rib from sheep-sized animal |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:116:1 | Flint retouched artefact | Avii | 200 | 265.61 | 105.884 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:118:1 | Flint chunk | Axiii | 203.13 | 226.10 | 105.340 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:119:1-3 | 3 Bone pin fragments | Aix | 196.20 | 225.20 | 105.793 |
| A008/002:119:4 | Bone needle | Aix | 194.00 | 226.57 | 105.802 |
| A008/002:119:5 | Flint flake | Aix | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:120:1 | Flint blade | Ax | 202.43 | 234.23 | 105.864 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:125:1 | Flint debitage | Ax | 206.95 | 242.38 | 106.010 |
| A008/002:125:2 | Flint flake | Ax | 198.82 | 241.95 | 106.18 |
| A008/002:131:1 | Decorated bone comb fragment | Av | 164.41 | 233.81 | 105.891 |
| A008/002:131:2 | E-ware pottery body/shoulder sherd | Av | 163.79 | 233.69 | 105.518 |
| A008/002:131:3 | E-ware pottery body sherd | Av | 164.19 | 233.35 | 105.452 |
| A008/002:131:4 | Worked bone, radius fragment from cow/horse | Av | 181.19 | 229.01 | 106.219 |
| A008/002:133:1 | Iron pin | Axii | 193.05 | 220.36 | 107.770 |
| A008/002:135:1 | Metal object | Aix | 187.80 | 235.75 | 106.130 |
| A008/002:135:2 | Pointed iron rod | Aix | 188.45 | 236.1 | 106.070 |
| A008/002:135:3 | Iron spearhead | Aix | 187.75 | 235.75 | 106.115 |
| A008/002:135:4 | Iron object | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \end{aligned}$ | 180.90 | 244.27 | 106.775 |
| A008/002:135:5 | Flint retouched artefact | Aix | 186.74 | 243.42 | 106.590 |
| A008/002:136:1 | Leinster cooking ware pottery sherd | Aix | 182.60 | 232 | 106.415 |
| A008/002:137:1 | Struck debitage | Ax | 240.79 | 204.95 | 105.910 |
| A008/002:140:1 | Flint blade | Aix | 188.55 | 231.80 | 106.189 |
| A008/002:142:1 | Iron object | Axii | 197.97 | 221.90 | 105.558 |
| A008/002:143:1 | Stone pencil | Av | 165.00 |  |  |
| A008/002:143:2 | Roof slate with markings | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:3-4 | 2 Stone pencils | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:5 | Bottle stop/ marble | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:6 | Roof slate with 3 drawings | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:7-10 | 4 Stone pencil | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:11-13 | 3 Roof slates with markings | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:14-16 | 3 Stone pencil | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:17-28 | 12 Roof slates with markings | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:29 | Irish copper halfpenny George III c. 1766-1769 | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:30 | Roof slate with markings | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:31-46 | Modern pottery (16 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:47-48 | 2 Glass bottle bases | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:49 | Tile | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:50-51 | Brown glazed earthenware (2 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:52-55 | Brown glazed stoneware (4 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:56-57 | Glass (2 pieces) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:58-60 | Brown glazed stoneware (3 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:61-63 | Glass (3 pieces) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:64 | Glass bottle | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:65 | Wine glass fragment | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:66-67 | 2 Clay pipe bowl fragments | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:68-76 | 9 Clay pipe stem fragments | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:77-87 | 11 Nails | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:88-106 | Modern pottery (19 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:107-110 | 4 Buttons | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:111-113 | 3 Metal buttons | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:114-116 | Brown glazed earthenware (3 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:117 | Glass bottle | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:118-120 | Cream glazed earthenware (3 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:121-128 | Black glazed earthenware (8 sherds) | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:143:129 | Green glazed earthenware | Av | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:144:1 | Bone pin fragment | Avi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:144:2 | Metal object | Avi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:144:3 | Bone pin | Avi | 188.15 | 261.36 | 105.661 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:145:1 | Flint possibly struck | Avi | 201.93 | 261.34 | 105.600 |
| A008/002:145:2 | Iron blade fragment | Avi | 190.23 | 262.30 | NPL |
| A008/002:145:3 | Iron object | Avi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:145:4 | Iron object | Avi | 180 | 260 | 105.550 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:150:1 | Flint possibly struck | Avi | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:151:1 | Iron object | Avii | 230.79 | 252.74 | 105.844 |
| A008/002:151:2 | Stone game board | Avii | 202.36 | 261.45 | 105.700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:153:1 | Flint struck | Avi | 191.5 | 260.54 | 106.010 |
| A008/002:153:2 | Iron knife | Avi | 190.25 | 260.59 | 106.160 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:160:1 | Worked bone | Axi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:160:2 | Bone pin fragment | Axii | 190.36 | 219.82 | 105.086 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:161:1 | Lignite fragment | Axii | 185.10 | 219.55 | 105.600 |
| A008/002:161:2 | Silver strip, decorated | Axi | 175.56 | 221.92 | 105.704 |
| A008/002:161:3 | Worked bone | Axii | 184.65 | 219.1 | 106.139 |
| A008/002:161:4 | Iron object | Axii | 199.40 | 209.95 | 105.964 |
| A008/002:161:5 | Iron object | Aviii | 173.38 | 221.46 | 105.618 |
| A008/002:161:6 | Fossil, possible bead | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Axii, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 191.95 | 219.70 | 105.316 |
| A008/002:161:7 | Worked bone | Axii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:162:1 | Decorated bronze strap | Axi | 172.50 | 223.93 | 106.064 |
| A008/002:162:2 | Stone object, poss. lamp | Axii | 190.62 | 220.0 | 105.504 |
| A008/002:162:3 | Bone pin fragment | Axii | 202.75 | 222.03 | 105.369 |
| A008/002:162:4 | Bone pin fragment | Axii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:175:1 | Bone pin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 185.55 | 241.49 | 106.712 |
| A008/002:175:2 | Knife fragment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \end{aligned}$ | 196.15 | 241.73 | 106.738 |
| A008/002:175:3 | Struck flint | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \end{aligned}$ | 185.86 | 240.90 | 106.584 |
| A008/002:175:4 | Medieval pottery | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 185.65 | 241.78 | 106.855 |
| A008/002:175:5 | Bone pin | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 186.10 | 241.35 | 106.794 |
| A008/002:175:6 | Poss. quern stone | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 186.63 | 241.83 | 106.839 |
| A008/002:175:7 | Poss. horn stone | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aix, } \\ & \text { Tr.E } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 185.65 | 241.42 | 106.712 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:181:1 | Metal object | Av | 170.07 | 262.50 | 105.857 |
| A008/002:181:2 | Flint flake | Av | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:186:1 | Struck flint | Axv | 186.00 | 200.61 | 104.608 |
| A008/002:186:2 | Struck flint | Axv | 204.09 | 201.60 | 104.306 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:197:1 | Flint flake | Axv | 182.89 | 198.80 | 104.132 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:211:1 | Poss. worked bone | Ax | 203.13 | 235.51 | 105.612 |
| A008/002:211:2 | Poss. worked bone | Ax | 203.13 | 235.51 | 105.612 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:220:1 | Iron pin shaft | Aviii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:227:1-3 | 3 comb fragments | Axii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:235:1 | Medieval pottery | Axii | 189.88 | 204.60 | 105.059 |
| A008/002:235:2 | Iron knife blade | Axii | 193.58 | 205.18 | 104.784 |
| A008/002:235:3 | Worked bone handle (assoc. with find | Axii | 192.22 | 207.12 | 104.777 |


|  | 4,5,6) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:235:4 | Worked bone handle (assoc. with find $3,5,6$ ) | Axii | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:235:5 | Worked bone handle (assoc. with find $3,4,6$ ) | Axii | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:235:6 | Worked bone handle (assoc. with find $3,4,5$ ) | Axii | AS ABOVE |  |  |
| A008/002:251:1 | Iron punch/pin | Aix | 195 | 235 | 106.229 |
| A008/002:251:2 | Iron tanged knife | Aix | 194.15 | 245.10 | 106.226 |
| A008/002:251:3 | Iron object | Aix | 196.06 | 241.22 | 105.986 |
| A008/002:255:1 | Bone pin fragment | Aix | 196.20 | 239.65 | 106.204 |
| A008/002:255:2 | Iron object |  | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:279:1 | Metal object |  | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:363:1 | Iron object, poss. pin | Bii, Tr. 2 | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:1 | Medieval pottery | Bix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:2 | Iron knife blade | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:3 | Medieval pottery sherd | Bix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:4 | Heavily corroded iron nail | Bix | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:5 | Fragment of round headed iron nail | Biii | 115.15 | 168.30 | 102.706 |
| A008/002:400:6 | Heavily corroded iron object | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:7 | Fragment of round headed iron nail |  | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:8 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 136.17 | 163.65 | 104.564 |
| A008/002:400:9 | Struck flint | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:10 | Blue glass bead | Biii | 134.24 | 165.75 | 104.627 |
| A008/002:400:11 | Struck flint | Biii | 133.14 | 171.68 | 105.163 |
| A008/002:400:12 | Iron blade with wooden handle remains | Biii | 114.40 | 188.67 | 104.854 |
| A008/002:400:13 | Struck flint | Bii | 92.00 | 180.00 | 104.747 |
| A008/002:400:14 | Medieval pottery |  | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:15 | Medieval pottery | Bii | 98.63 | 180.65 | 105.185 |
| A008/002:400:16 | Flint debitage | Bii | 98.51 | 183.05 | 105.255 |
| A008/002:400:17 | Copper-alloy pin shaft | Bii | 92.09 | 182.98 | 103.948 |
| A008/002:400:18 | Iron pin fragment | Bii | 91.94 | 180.75 | 104.318 |
| A008/002:400:19 | Iron pin fragment | Bii | 89.50 | 182.50 | 104.995 |
| A008/002:400:20 | Struck flint | Bii | 84.44 | 172.20 | 103.769 |
| A008/002:400:21 | Iron hook | Bii | 96.33 | 200.80 | 104.680 |
| A008/002:400:22 | Medieval pottery | Biii, Tr. 4 | 120.67 | 200.77 | 104.21 |
| A008/002:400:23 | Medieval pottery | Biii, Tr. 3 | 102.00 | 165.31 | 105.465 |
| A008/002:400:24 | Flint debitage | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:25 | Flint debitage | Biii | 103.70 | 200.65 | 104.778 |
| A008/002:400:26 | Flint debitage | Bii, Tr. 2 | 87.65 | 194.10 | 104.838 |
| A008/002:400:27 | Fragment of iron object | Bii | 95.18 | 190.15 | 104.809 |
| A008/002:400:28 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 103.47 | 201.00 | 104.757 |
| A008/002:400:29 | Fragment of iron object. Possibly modern. | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:30 | Medieval pottery | Bvi | 145 | 135 | NPL |
| A008/002:400:31 | Flint debitage | Biii | 102.10 | 198.90 | 104.744 |
| A008/002:400:32 | Flint debitage | Biii | 101.84 | 200.25 | 104.798 |
| A008/002:400:33 | Medieval pottery | Biii | 106.26 | 198.05 | NPL |
| A008/002:400:34 | Fragment of round headed iron nail | Bii | 98.50 | 177 | 105.335 |
| A008/002:400:35 | Flint arrowhead | Bv, Tr. 6 | 83.80 | 182.08 | 104.775 |
| A008/002:400:36 | Worked bone | Bvii | 155.05 | 145.45 | 104.355 |
| A008/002:400:37 | Flint debitage | Bii | 84.93 | 192.55 | 104.645 |
| A008/002:400:38 | Flint debitage | Bii | 94.50 | 118.90 | 104.685 |
| A008/002:400:39 | Medieval pottery | Bvi | 132.10 | 161.40 | 104.535 |
| A008/002:400:40 | Black glazed earthenware | Bvi | 125.40 | 151.90 | 103.988 |
| A008/002:400:41 | Corroded iron nail | Bvi | 127.30 | 144.32 | 103.738 |
| A008/002:400:42 | Flint debitage | Bvi | 128.05 | 134.18 | 103.338 |
| A008/002:400:43 | Flint debitage | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:44 | Blue glass bead fragment | Biii, Tr. 5 | 129.70 | 166.12 | 103.774 |


| A008/002:400:45 | Flint debitage | Bvi | 133.82 | 148.64 | 103.725 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:400:46 | Flint debitage | Bvi | 134.66 | 141.70 | 103.615 |
| A008/002:400:47 | Struck flint | Bvi | 124.65 | 149.56 | 104.264 |
| A008/002:400:48 | Pottery sherd, souterrain ware? | Bvi | 110.92 | 130.30 | 103.952 |
| A008/002:400:49 | Clay pipe stem | Bvi | 119.47 | 134.33 | 103.508 |
| A008/002:400:50 | Flint debitage | Bvi | 98.95 | 145.35 | 104.192 |
| A008/002:400:51 | Copper-alloy pin fragment | Bv | 107.15 | 135.40 | 103.784 |
| A008/002:400:52 | Medieval pottery | Bvi | 85.61 | 172.08 | 103.682 |
| A008/002:400:53 | Struck flint | Bii | 111.50 | 129.40 | 103.263 |
| A008/002:400:54 | Flint debitage | Bvi | 118.81 | 126.77 | 103.242 |
| A008/002:400:55 | Struck flint | Bvi | 85.46 | 172.37 | 103.722 |
| A008/002:400:56 | Black glazed earthenware | Bix, Tr. 7 | 124.25 | 133.35 | 104.455 |
| A008/002:400:57 | Fragment of iron pin | Bvi | 106 | 146.20 | 104.213 |
| A008/002:400:58 | Incised stone, possible pin sharpener | Bvi | 108.23 | 121.53 | 103.040 |
| A008/002:400:59 | Poss. fossil bead | Bvi | 106.71 | 122.50 | 103.049 |
| A008/002:400:60 | Poss. rubbing stone fragment | Bvi | 102.46 | 137.90 | 103.834 |
| A008/002:400:61 | Flint debitage | Bix | 112.77 | 112.82 | 102.700 |
| A008/002:400:62 | Medieval pottery | Bix | 107.31 | 119.00 | 103.219 |
| A008/002:400:63 | Poss. crucible fragment | Bii | 87.25 | 174.10 | 103.858 |
| A008/002:400:64 | Iron pin | Bii | 87.14 | 173.26 | 104.634 |
| A008/002:400:65 | Copper-alloy pin shaft | Bii | 87.80 | 194.88 | 104.530 |
| A008/002:400:66 | Flint debitage | Bii | 92.81 | 174.23 | 105.170 |
| A008/002:400:67 | Flint debitage | Bv | 92.69 | 156.70 | 104.802 |
| A008/002:400:68 | Struck flint | Bix, Tr. 7 | 132.25 | 109.02 | 103.580 |
| A008/002:400:69 | Yellow bead fragment | Bii | 90.30 | 191.30 | 104.743 |
| A008/002:400:70 | Blue glass bead | Bvi | 115.86 | 151.50 | 104.216 |
| A008/002:400:71 | Iron blade | Bii | 98.09 | 193.70 | 104.889 |
| A008/002:400:72 | Green glazed medieval pottery | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:73 | Poss. rubbing stone | Bii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:74 | Javelin/flint scraper | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:75 | Green glazed pottery | Biii, Tr. 6 | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:76 | Iron needle | Bvi, Tr. 9 | 158.48 | 131.08 | 104.123 |
| A008/002:400:77 | Worked bone | Bvi, Tr. 9 | 158.02 | 130.83 | 104.118 |
| A008/002:400:78 | Black glazed earthenware | Bvi, Tr. 9 | 158.02 | 132.54 | 104.205 |
| A008/002:400:79 | Black glazed earthenware | Bvii | 159.20 | 134.58 | 104.204 |
| A008/002:400:80 | Worked bone | Biii, Tr. 5 | 114.43 | 170.97 | 105.357 |
| A008/002:400:81 | Struck flint | Biii, Tr. 5 | 114.17 | 180.40 | 105.722 |
| A008/002:400:82 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 139.60 | 160.27 | 104.46 |
| A008/002:400:83 | Iron ring pin with twisted looped head | Bii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:400:84 | Iron nail | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 137.50 | 142.95 | 104.306 |
| A008/002:400:85 | Struck flint | Bix, Tr. 4 | 112.73 | 114.30 | 103.588 |
| A008/002:400:86 | Struck flint | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Bx}, \\ & \mathrm{Tr} .10 \end{aligned}$ | 176.40 | 119.70 | 103.420 |
| A008/002:400:87 | Worked flint | Biii, Tr. 6 | 141.18 | 162.91 | 104.555 |
| A008/002:400:88 | Burnt struck flint | Bii, Tr. 2 | 86.44 | 178.91 | 104.727 |
| A008/002:400:89 | Brown glazed earthenware | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Bvii, } \\ & \text { Tr. } 9 \end{aligned}$ | 150.75 | 161.40 | 104.310 |
| A008/002:400:90 | Iron blade | Bii, Tr. 3 | 97.51 | 184.95 | 105.065 |
| A008/002:400:91 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 108.02 | 145.57 | 104.905 |
| A008/002:400:92 | Copper-alloy piece | Bix, Tr. 5 | 116.93 | 117.66 | 103.685 |
| A008/002:400:93 | Dublin type coarse ware | Bix, Tr. 5 | 112.73 | 114.30 | 103.588 |
| A008/002:400:94 | Struck flint | Bix, Tr. 5 | 113.82 | 113.25 | 103.493 |
| A008/002:400:95 | Struck flint | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bx}, \\ \text { Tr. } 10 \end{gathered}$ | 174.94 | 117.64 | 103.23 |
| A008/002:400:96 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 113.77 | 131.00 | 103.983 |
| A008/002:400:97 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 116.14 | 114.65 | 103.527 |
| A008/002:400:98 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 108.02 | 145.57 | 104.905 |
| A008/002:400:99 | Iron fragment | Bii, Tr. 3 | 91.30 | 161.56 | 105.020 |
| A008/002:400:100 | Iron object | Bv | 165.57 | 113.00 | 103.912 |
| A008/002:400:101 | Lignite bracelet fragment | Bii, Tr. 2 | 87.80 | 169.55 | 104.247 |
| A008/002:400:102 | Medieval pottery | Bii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:401:1 | Medieval pottery | Biii, Tr. 6 | 125.64 | 200.80 | 105.000 |
| A008/002:401:2 | Iron pin/nail fragment | Biii, Tr. 5 | 115.98 | 188.66 | 104.802 |


| A008/002:401:3 | Struck flint | Biii, Tr. 5 | 133.5 | 187.74 | 104.856 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:401:4 | Copper-alloy ring pin | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 111.77 | 132.90 | 104.011 |
| A008/002:401:5 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 102.90 | 130.13 | 104.307 |
| A008/002:401:6 | Flint | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 106.30 | 130.48 | 103.977 |
| A008/002:401:7 | Unidentified iron tool. | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 102.20 | 132.25 | 104.275 |
| A008/002:401:8 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 111.72 | 130.37 | 103.783 |
| A008/002:401:9 | Flint blade | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 116.15 | 129.88 | 104.013 |
| A008/002:401:10 | Fragment of iron object | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 115.47 | 135.67 | 104.234 |
| A008/002:401:11 | Iron object, slotted punch | Biii, Tr. 6 | 128.90 | 168.80 | 103.885 |
| A008/002:401:12 | Flint arrowhead | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 118.59 | 134.24 | 104.199 |
| A008/002:401:13-14 | Fragments of socketed iron blade | Biii, Tr. 6 | 125.40 | 177.25 | 105.037 |
| A008/002:401:15-16 | Copper-alloy pin | Bvi, Tr. 6 | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:401:17 | Stone ball | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 119.69 | 132.20 | 104.890 |
| A008/002:401:18 | Medieval pottery | Bii, Tr. 3 | 94.57 | 197.10 | 104.486 |
| A008/002:401:19 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Biii, Tr. 7 | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:401:20 | Merels (Nine-Men's-Morris) gaming board | Biii, Tr. 5 | 115.68 | 189.00 | 104.907 |
| A008/002:401:21 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Biii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:408:1 | Heavily corroded iron object. | Biii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:412:1 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Biii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:414:1 | Flint debitage | Biii, Tr. 5 | 116.30 | 189.10 | 104.546 |
| A008/002:414:2 | Corroded iron nail | Biii, Tr. 6 | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:414:3 | Bone pin fragment | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:414:4 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Biii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:416:1 | Copper-alloy stud with tinning | Biii, Tr. 5 | 114.61 | 190.22 | 104.262 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:417:1 | Iron blade | Biii, Tr. 5 | 114.70 | 190.25 | 104.330 |
| A008/002:417:2 | Iron nail | Biii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:422:1 | Bone comb fragment with copper-alloy rivets | Biii, Tr. 5 | 115.90 | 189.44 | 104.471 |
| A008/002:422:2 | Bone comb fragment with copper-alloy rivets | Biii, Tr. 5 | 115.90 | 189.44 | 104.471 |
| A008/002:422:3 | Flint debitage | Biii, Tr. 5 | 114.64 | 189.72 | 104.505 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:423:1 | Fragment of iron object | Biii, Tr. 6 | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:426:1 | Copper-alloy object | Bvi, Tr. 6 | 130.55 | 135.98 | 103.551 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:429:1 | Copper-alloy ring pin | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 117.65 | 133.40 | 104.677 |
| A008/002:429:2 | Copper-alloy fragment | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 118.15 | 133.15 | 104.351 |
| A008/002:429:3 | Iron blade | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{gathered}$ | 110.00 | 127.84 | 103.618 |
| A008/002:429:4 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 106.54 | 129.22 | 103.695 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:432:1 | Worked antler | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:432:2 | Stone mould | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 110.23 | 131.79 | 102.997 |
| A008/002:432:3 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:437:1 | Corroded iron object | Bvi | 99.90 | 133.60 | 103.730 |
| A008/002:437:2 | Bone pointer | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 111.52 | 131.43 | 103.670 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:438:1 | Unidentified iron tool | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 103.84 | 132.20 | 104.126 |
| A008/002:438:2 | Curving iron object. ring fragment | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 104.60 | 130.52 | 103.973 |
| A008/002:438:3 | Lignite bracelet fragment | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 105.87 | 133.05 | 104.085 |
| A008/002:438:4 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 104.79 | 131.83 | 103.432 |
| A008/002:438:5 | Unidentified iron tool | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 104.60 | 130.52 | 103.973 |
| A008/002:438:6 | Iron blade | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 111.22 | 131.60 | 103.632 |
| A008/002:438:7 | Copper-alloy object | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 111.06 | 133.00 | 103.770 |


| A008/002:438:8 | Copper-alloy object | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 112.72 | 133.96 | 104.035 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:440:1 | Fragment of iron object | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 106.24 | 131.53 | 103.261 |
| A008/002:447:1 | Horseshoe fragment? | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:453:1 | Iron object | Biii, Tr. 5 | 111.43 | 190.90 | 104.856 |
| A008/002:453:2 | Flint debitage | Biv, Tr. 6 | 128.00 | 155.60 | 104.144 |
| A008/002:455:1 | Iron blade fragment | Biii, Tr. 5 | 110.64 | 193.30 | 104.715 |
| A008/002:455:2 | Iron object | Biii, Tr. 6 | 128.54 | 164.10 | 103.800 |
| A008/002:455:3-4 | Iron object (broken in 2 pieces) | Biii, Tr. 6 | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:473:1 | Fragment of iron object | Bii, Tr. 2 | 82.60 | 189.06 | 104.142 |
| A008/002:473:2 | Fragment of iron object | Bii | 82.60 | 189.06 | 104.142 |
| A008/002:473:3 | Iron ring (xray) | Bii | 83.87 | 190.34 | 104.062 |
| A008/002:473:4 | Stone spindle whorl | Bii, Tr. 2 | 76.35 | 186.60 | 104.455 |
| A008/002:473:5 | Flint debitage | Bii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:473:6 | Flint debitage | Bii, Tr. 2 | 82.73 | 187.07 | 103.514 |
| A008/002:473:7 | Iron object | Bii, Tr. 2 | 101.26 | 192.80 | 104.384 |
| A008/002:473:8 | Pottery sherd | Bii. Tr. 2 | 84.41 | 189.93 | 104.152 |
| A008/002:473:9 | Copper-alloy piece (poss. pin shaft fragment) | Biii, Tr. 4 | 109.58 | 195.87 | 103.689 |
| A008/002:473:10 | Medieval pottery sherd | Biii, Tr. 4 | 101.73 | 197.33 | 104.368 |
| A008/002:474:1 | Fragment of large headed iron nail | Bii, Tr. 2 | 100.66 | 193.20 | 104.277 |
| A008/002:476:1 | Iron replaced/clay object., assoc. with A008/002:476:5 ( | Bii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:476:2 | Copper-alloy pin shaft | Biii | 139.20 | 166.40 | 104.313 |
| A008/002:476:3 | Iron knife blade fragment | Bii | 76.95 | 190.30 | 104.302 |
| A008/002:476:4 | Heavily corroded iron object, possible coulter | Biii |  |  |  |
| A008/002:476:5 | Iron replaced/ clay object, assoc. with A008/002:476:1 | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:484:1 | Wooden bucket stave | Bii, Tr. 2 | 96.50 | 193.00 | 103.420 |
| A008/002:484:2 | Lignite bracelet fragment | Biii, Tr. 4 | 109.01 | 196.29 | 104.716 |
| A008/002:484:3 | Wooden bucket stave | Bii, Tr. 3 | 92.22 | 195.51 | 103.530 |
| A008/002:491:1 | Copper-alloy object | Biii, Tr. 6 | 125.26 | 172.62 | 103.694 |
| A008/002:491:2 | Yellow glass bead fragment | Biii, Tr. 6 | 126.67 | 166.96 | 104.101 |
| A008/002:491:3 | Green glass bead (broken) | Biii, Tr. 6 | 126.20 | 167.02 | 104.059 |
| A008/002:491:4 | Perforated bone object | Biii, Tr. 6 | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:492:1 | Blue glass bead | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 131.83 | 144.75 | 104.135 |
| A008/002:492:2 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 115.73 | 141.19 | 103.880 |
| A008/002:500:1 | Copper-alloy fragment | Bii | 97.60 | 173.10 | 104.693 |
| A008/002:506:1 | Possible iron pin | Bii | 98.34 | 166.88 | 104.222 |
| A008/002:506:2 | Iron pin fragment | Bii | 98.60 | 166.80 | 104.191 |
| A008/002:512:1 | Medieval pottery | Biii, Tr. 4 | 101.80 | 166.44 | 104.948 |
| A008/002:513:1 | Struck flint | Biii | 101.80 | 166.92 | 104.467 |
| A008/002:513:2 | Copper-alloy stick pin "watchwinder head" | Biii | 101.31 | 167.73 | 104.970 |
| A008/002:513:3 | Perforated slate tile | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:513:4 | Iron object | Biii | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:518:1 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |
| A008/002:518:2 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |
| A008/002:518:3 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |
| A008/002:518:4 | Fragment of iron object, assoc. with | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |


|  | A008/002:518:7 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:518:5 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |
| A008/002:518:6 | Fragment of iron object | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |
| A008/002:518:7 | Fragment of iron object, assoc. with | Biii | 103.92 | 164.20 | 104.320 |
| A008/002:535:1 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Biii | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:545:1-3 | Iron blade (broken in 3 pieces) | Bv | 93.75 | 151.02 | 104.681 |
| A008/002:552:1 | Blue glass toggle | Biv | 94.27 | 158.06 | 104.150 |
| A008/002:554:1 | Modern pottery | Bvi | 136.18 | 142.30 | 104.150 |
| A008/002:554:2 | Modern glass | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:554:3 | Corroded iron nail | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 103.85 | 121.90 | 103.572 |
| A008/002:556:1 | Modern glass | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 134.65 | 116.15 | 104.720 |
| A008/002:566:1 | Unidentified iron tool. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{gathered}$ | 112.90 | 151.76 | 104.830 |
| A008/002:566:2 | Iron pin fragment | Bvi | 109.42 | 148.55 | 104.860 |
| A008/002:566:3 | Yellow bead fragment | Bvi | 109.08 | 148.32 | 104.960 |
| A008/002:566:4 | Iron knife fragment | Bvi | 108.10 | 146.90 | 104.835 |
| A008/002:566:5 | Fragment of iron object | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 117.30 | 154.90 | 105.085 |
| A008/002:566:6 | Corroded iron object | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 106.55 | 146.90 | 104.980 |
| A008/002:566:7 | Corroded iron object | Biii, Tr. 4 | 105.91 | 145.48 | 104.825 |
| A008/002:566:8 | Ceramic crucible fragment | Bvi | 107.11 | 146.46 | 104.966 |
| A008/002:566:9 | Corroded iron object | Bvi | 104.64 | 144.53 | 104.778 |
| A008/002:566:10 | Unidentified iron tool | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 108.81 | 147.75 | 104.875 |
| A008/002:566:11 | Fossil bead | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 108.81 | 147.75 | 104.875 |
| A008/002:566:12 | Fragment of iron object | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 108.81 | 147.75 | 104.875 |
| A008/002:566:13 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 108.81 | 147.75 | 104.875 |
| A008/002:566:14 | Fragment of iron object | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{Bvi}, \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 104.59 | 145.08 | 104.876 |
| A008/002:566:15 | Copper-alloy ring pin with spiral ribbed ring | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 103.88 | 143.21 | 104.752 |
| A008/002:566:16 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 109.8 | 149.15 | 104.849 |
| A008/002:566:17 | Struck flint | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 104.95 | 142.80 | 104.755 |
| A008/002:566:18 | Bone pin fragment | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 104.17 | 140.83 | 104.563 |
| A008/002:566:19-21 | Iron object, poss. socketed blade (readhered into one with treatment) | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 117.66 | 155.23 | 104.951 |
| A008/002:566:22 | Iron object with looped head | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 105.67 | 144.00 | 104.820 |
| A008/002:566:23 | Lignite bracelet fragment | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 104.00 | 140.34 | 104.594 |
| A008/002:566:24 | Iron knife blade tip | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bvi, } \\ & \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{aligned}$ | 106.57 | 146.16 | 104.790 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:570:1 | Poss. hammer stone | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 116.84 | 148.94 | 104.846 |
| A008/002:570:2 | Amber bead (broken) | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 120.52 | 153.53 | 104.665 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:580:1 | Bone pin fragment | Bii, Tr. 3 | 94.05 | 173.05 | 105.150 |
| A008/002:580:2 | Worked bone/ antler | Bii, Tr. 3 | 94.33 | 172.76 | 104.880 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:590:1 | Copper-alloy pin fragment | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 115.20 | 140.58 | 104.491 |
| A008/002:598:1 | Glass | Bvi | 105.73 | 126.10 | 103.581 |
| A008/002:598:2 | Copper-alloy attachment/strap/buckle back | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 114.42 | 133.45 | 103.715 |
| A008/002:598:3 | Bone pin | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 101.90 | 122.20 | 103.470 |
| A008/002:598:4 | Iron nail | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 132.55 | 147.70 | 104.031 |
| A008/002:598:5 | Medieval pottery | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 127.58 | 127.58 | 103.967 |
| A008/002:598:6 | Corroded iron nail | Bvii | 148.29 | 144.30 | 104.216 |
| A008/002:598:7 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 6 | 122.30 | 140.15 | 104.159 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| A008/002:601:1a/b | 2 Copper-alloy rings | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 109.29 | 148.35 | 104.613 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:601:2 | Fragment of unidentified iron tool. | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 119.62 | 156.36 | 103.880 |
| A008/002:615:1 | Copper-alloy pin shaft | Bii, Tr. 3 | 92.43 | 170.91 | 104.815 |
| A008/002:615:2 | Iron object | Bii, Tr. 3 | 93.02 | 171.29 | 105.018 |
| A008/002:619:1 | Flint debitage | Bii, Tr. 3 | 93.52 | 179.15 | 104.989 |
| A008/002:620:1 | 3 Fragments of a copper-alloy pin | Bii | 96.87 | 178.316 | 104.839 |
| A008/002:620:2 | Broken stone object | Bii, Tr. 3 | 97.03 | 178.10 | 104.996 |
| A008/002:620:3 | Corroded fragment of iron object | Bii, Tr. 3 | 96.40 | 179.05 | 105.201 |
| A008/002:620:4 | Bone pin | Bii, Tr. 3 | 98.82 | 193.08 | 105.010 |
| A008/002:620:5 | Iron object | Bii, Tr. 2 | 88.20 | 178.55 | 104.414 |
| A008/002:623:1 | Struck flint | Bii, Tr. 3 | 97.71 | 177.32 | 105.158 |
| A008/002:639:1 | Decorated bone pin fragment | Bii, Tr. 3 | 93.09 | 173.70 | 105.047 |
| A008/002:643:1 | Bone pin | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 107.45 | 147.10 | 104.039 |
| A008/002:643:2 | Iron object | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bvi}, \\ \mathrm{Tr} .4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 118.20 | 147.98 | 104.039 |
| A008/002:643:3 | Looped iron object | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{gathered}$ | 104.98 | 144.33 | 108.778 |
| A008/002:643:4 | Iron object. Blade fragment | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Bvi}, \\ \mathrm{Tr} .4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 108.60 | 148.52 | 104.771 |
| A008/002:643:5 | Iron ring pin | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 106.10 | 142.07 | 104.257 |
| A008/002:643:6 | Corroded iron object | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 109.80 | 149.15 | 104.582 |
| A008/002:643:7 | Iron object, latch lifter? | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 134.16 | 142.69 | 104.416 |
| A008/002:643:8 | Corroded iron object | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bvi, } \\ & \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{aligned}$ | 134.16 | 142.69 | 104.416 |
| A008/002:643:9 | Corroded iron object | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{gathered}$ | 104.22 | 142.29 | 104.431 |
| A008/002:643:10 | Iron object. Round headed nail/stud. | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{gathered}$ | 121.46 | 157.31 | 104.679 |
| A008/002:643:11 | Iron pin | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi. } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 103.93 | 142.40 | 104.484 |
| A008/002:643:12 | Corroded fragment of iron object | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bvi, } \\ & \text { Tr.4/5 } \end{aligned}$ | 104.60 | 140.83 | 104.508 |
| A008/002:643:13 | Corroded fragment of iron object | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvi, } \\ \text { Tr. } 4 / 5 \end{gathered}$ | 103.93 | 142.40 | 104.484 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:654:1 | Round stone (gaming piece?) | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 117.15 | 149.90 | 104.476 |
| A008/002:654:2 | Worked flint | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 117.37 | 139.77 | 104.323 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:656:1 | Iron knife blade | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 116.78 | 140.16 | 104.313 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:657:1 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 6 | 121.63 | 150.46 | 104.846 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:658:1 | Flint debitage | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 118.98 | 121.98 | 103.191 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:665:1 | Round headed iron nail | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 120.73 | 142.20 | 104.578 |
| A008/002:671:1 | Iron shaft | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 123.24 | 140.23 | 104.189 |
| A008/002:674:1 | Iron object | Bvi, Tr. 6 | 125.90 | 157.97 | 104.189 |
| A008/002:685:1-3 | Animal bone with trial decoration | Bvi | NPL |  |  |
| A008/002:686:1 | Iron blade | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 115.71 | 115.63 | 103.267 |
| A008/002:689:1 | Unfinished bone pin | Bii, Tr. 2 | 89.64 | 172.85 | 104.810 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:702:1 | Modern pottery | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 132.80 | 141.38 | 104.365 |
| A008/002:731:1 | Unidentified iron object | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 119.61 | 123.73 | NPL |
| A008/002:733:1 | Copper-alloy needle | Bii, Tr. 3 | 90.57 | 169.98 | 104.154 |
| A008/002:736:1 | Lignite bracelet fragment | Bii, Tr. 2 | 86.30 | 165.35 | 104.600 |
| A008/002:736:2 | Lignite bracelet fragment | Bii, Tr. 3 | 93.92 | 172.15 | 105.219 |
| A008/002:736:3 | Iron knife | Bii, Tr. 2 | 89.30 | 164.30 | 104.600 |
| A008/002:747:1 | Struck quartz | Bvi, Tr. 3 | 127.00 | 130.00 | 103.351 |
| A008/002:765:1 | Copper-alloy wheel mount | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bix, Tr. } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 105.00 | 116.40 | 103.445 |
| A008/002:771:1 | Copper-alloy piece with perforation | Bv, Tr. 3 | 94.08 | 157.72 | 104.938 |
| A008/002:772:1 | E-ware (fits with find 805:1) | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 107.85 | 140.05 | 104.007 |
| A008/002:782:1 | Struck quartz | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 138.53 | 154.01 | 104.332 |
| A008/002:782:2 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 139.74 | 156.05 | 104.470 |
| A008/002:805:1 | E-ware rim sherd (fits with find 772:1) | Bvi, Tr. 4 | 104.24 | 139.90 | 104.228 |
| A008/002:809:1 | Copper-alloy ring from spiral ring pin | Biii | 133.94 | 140.24 | 104.250 |
| A008/002:809:2 | Copper-alloy pin shaft | Biii | 133.94 | 140.24 | 104.250 |
| A008/002:826:1 | Fragment of iron object | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 114.88 | 156.02 | 104.032 |
| A008/002:862:1 | Corroded iron blade | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 135.46 | 138.54 | 104.260 |
| A008/002:893:1 | Struck flint | Bvi, Tr. 5 | 110.48 | 135.45 | 104.737 |
| A008/002:893:2 | Copper-alloy object (broken) | Bii, Tr. 5 | 111.25 | 135.23 | 104.193 |
| A008/002:906:1 | Struck flint | Bix, Tr. 4 | 107.60 | 93.60 | 102.743 |
| A008/002:906:2 | Flint blade | Bix, Tr. 4 | 104.00 | 103.04 | 102.861 |
| A008/002:907:1 | Flint debitage | Bix | 103.50 | 103.90 | 102.853 |
| A008/002:938:1 | Iron object | Bix | 108.81 | 109.18 | 103.245 |
| A008/002:941:1 | Iron ring | Biii | 134.00 | 183.10 | 104.674 |
| A008/002:946:1 | Iron ring | Biii, Tr. 7 | 133.50 | 174.2 | 104.150 |
| A008/002:952:1 | Fragment of iron object | Biv, Tr. 8 | 146.20 | 178.29 | 104.290 |
| A008/002:952:2 | Iron object | Biv, Tr. 8 |  | NPL |  |
| A008/002:963:1 | Fragment of iron object | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvii, Tr. } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 152.30 | 192.40 | 104.200 |
| A008/002:963:2 | Iron blade | Bvii | 162.93 | 135.67 | 103.863 |
| A008/002:966:1 | Copper-alloy buckle | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bvii, Tr. } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 148.89 | 130.90 | 104.243 |
| A008/002:968:1 | Struck flint | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bxi, Tr. } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | 164.15 | 119.03 | 103.318 |
| A008/002:972:1 | Copper-alloy pin shaft fragment | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bix, Tr. } \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 137.90 | 118.80 | 104.095 |
| A008/002:993:1 | Iron object, poss. chisel | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bvii, Tr. } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | 97.65 | 114.95 | 103.413 |


| A008/002:993:2 | Iron bracket | Bx | 102.23 | 117.33 | 103.189 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:933:3 | Iron object | Bx | 102.49 | 119.66 | 103.108 |
| A008/002:993:4 | Struck flint | Bx | 101.58 | 118.87 | 103.013 |
| A008/002:993:5 | Struck flint | Bvii | 98.05 | 115.31 | 103.365 |
| A008/002:998:1 | Struck flint | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Bix, Tr. } \\ \hline 4 \end{gathered}$ | 110.02 | 112.40 | 102.972 |
| A008/002:1035:1 | Flint flake | Bix | 112.88 | 104.72 | 103.227 |
| A008/02:1059:1 | Concave scraper | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bix, Tr. } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | 104.25 | 109.40 | 103.106 |
| A008/002:1064:1 | Flint debitage | Bvi Tr. 7 | 138.93 | 157.95 | 104.290 |
| A008/002:1081:1 | Blue glass bead | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Biv, Tr. } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | 143.90 | 167.90 | 103.606 |
| A008/002:1105:1 | Copper-alloy clasp | Biv | 145.06 | 116.55 | 103.638 |
| A008/002:1172:1 | Crucible fragment (non metal) similar in material to A008/002:400:63 | Bv, Tr. 3 | 90.85 | 156.60 | 104.552 |
| A008/002:1182:1 | Blue glass bead | Bii, Tr. 2 | 88.77 | 164.52 | 104.649 |
| A008/002:1183:1 | Iron bar | Bii, Tr. 2 | 91.15 | 165.00 | 104.589 |
| A008/002:1196:1 | Iron fragment | Bvi, Tr. 7 | 140.74 | 158.75 | 104.410 |
| A008/002:1218:1 | Flint debitage | Bix, Tr. 4 | 103.10 | 99.95 | 102.774 |
| A008/002:1218:2 | Flint debitage | Bix, Tr. 4 | 105.90 | 108.85 | 102.970 |
| A008/002:1240:1 | Struck flint | Bix, Tr. 6 | 108.35 | 93.90 | 102.777 |
| A008/002:1240:2 | Flint debitage | Bix. Tr. 6 | 109.40 | 94.00 | 102.706 |
| A008/002:1240:3 | Flint debitage | Bix, Tr. 6 | 109.10 | 94.10 | 102.709 |
| A008/002:1240:4 | Struck flint | Bix, Tr. 6 | 108.10 | 92.00 | 102.763 |
| A008/002:1266:1 | Iron blade fragment | Bii | 95.99 | 178.52 | 104.247 |
| A008/002:1273:1 | Struck flint | Bv | 92.11 | 154.73 | 104.895 |
| A008/002:1285:1 | Bone pin | Bv, Tr. 3 | 98.33 | 123.38 | 104.518 |
| A008/002:1285:2-4 | 3 Iron objects | Bv, Tr. 3 | 98.35 | 124.80 | 104.518 |
| A008/002:1291:1 | Animal bone with trial decoration | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Bviii, } \\ & \text { Tr. } 3 \end{aligned}$ | 98.55 | 117.90 | 103.549 |
| A008/002:1291:2 | Iron knife | Bviii | 98.15 | 118.30 | 103.545 |
| A008/002:1291:3 | Struck flint | Bvii | 97.45 | 116.16 | 103.413 |
| A008/002:1295:1 | Iron fragment | Bii, Tr. 3 | 97.45 | 116.16 | 103.413 |
| A008/002:1296:1 | Iron blade | Bii, Tr. 3 | 93.34 | 172.55 | 104.662 |
| A008/002:1301:1 | Iron fragment | Bii, Tr. 3 | 92.88 | 167.16 | 104.878 |
| A008/002:1301:2 | Iron object, possible staple fragment/key | Bii, Tr. 3 |  | NPL |  |
| A008/002:1312:1 | Iron bolt | Bii, Tr. 3 | 92.26 | 162.95 | 104.290 |
| A008/002:1321:1-25 | Bone comb with 7 iron rivets (readhered where possible) | Bii, Tr. 3 | 92.77 | 160.06 | 104.980 |
| A008/002:1449:1 | E-ware rim sherd | Bii |  | NPL |  |


| A008/002:1455:1 | Iron object | Bii, Tr.3 | 97.80 | 194.55 | 104.307 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:1484:1 | Medieval pottery | Bi | NPL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:1521:1 | Iron object, poss. knife fragment | Bii, Tr.3 | 90.00 | 188.75 | 104.688 |

## APPENDIX 3 Sample List

| Sample no | Context no | Results |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 144 | 16 g burnt bone |
| 2 | 108 | 4 g snail shells |
| 3 | 111 | 14 g charcoal |
| 4 | 120 | 18 g coprolite |
| 7 | 144 | 18 g charcoal |
| 10 | 165 | Organic material in flot |
| 11 | 106 | 5 g charcoal |
| 12 | 145 | 33 g charcoal |
| 13 | 107 | 8 g charcoal |
| 14 | 107 | 7 g charcoal |
| 15 | 175 | <1g charcoal, 3 seeds and organic material in flot |
| 17 | 111 | 2 g snail shells |
| 18 | 106 | 5 g charcoal |
| 19 | 108 | 7 g charcoal |
| 20 | 151 | 15 g charcoal |
| 23 | 136 | 8 g snail shells |
| 24 | 158 | 7 g snail shells |
| 27 | 160 | 3 g charcoal |
| 28 | 162 | 4 g oyster shell |
| 29 | 186 | 10 g oyster / scallop shell |
| 30 | 160 | 24 g mollusc shell |
| 31 | 137 | 5 g charcoal |
| 32 | 137 | Organic material in flot, animal bone and bone in residue |
| 33 | 126 | Organic material in flot |
| 34 | 176 | Organic material in flot |
| 35 | 177 | Animal bone fragments in residue |
| 36 | 125 | Organic material in flot, animal teeth and bone in residue |
| 37 | 200 | Organic material in flot |
| 38 | 201 | 3 g charcoal |
| 39 | 111 | 7 g charcoal |
| 40 | 108 | 3 g charcoal |
| 41 | 111 | 4 g charcoal |
| 42 | 110 | 4 g charcoal |
| 43 | 269 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 44 | 106 | Organic material and seeds in flot and bone fragments in residue |
| 45 | 150 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 46 | 111 | Organic material in flot, animal bone and tooth in residue |
| 47 | 110 | Organic material and charcoal flecks in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 48 | 151 | Organic material in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 49 | 144 | Organic material and seeds in flot, bone fragments in residue, 8 g charcoal |
| 50 | 108 | Bone fragments, burnt bone and possible human tooth in residue |
| 51 | 194 | Bone in residue |
| 52 | 198 | Nothing |
| 53 | 197 | Animal tooth in residue |
| 54 | 111 | Nothing |
| 55 | 108 | 21g charcoal |
| 56 | 144 | 35 g charcoal |
| 57 | 112 | Organic material in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 58 | 107 | Organic material in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 59 | 162 | Charcoal flecks, seeds and organic material in flot, bone fragments in residue |


| 60 | 161 | Organic material and seeds in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 61 | 224 | Organic material in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 62 | 209 | Organic material in flot |
| 63 | 210 | Organic material in flot, bone in residue |
| 64 | 211 | Animal bone in residue |
| 65 | 265 | Nothing |
| 66 | 109 | Organic material and seeds in flot, bone in residue |
| 67 | 252 | Organic material in flot, animal tooth in residue |
| 68 | 117 | 4 g charcoal |
| 69 | 119 | Organic material in flot, burnt and unburnt bone in residue |
| 70 | 256 | Organic material, seeds (80\%) and 3g charcoal in flot |
| 72 | 160 | 2g charcoal |
| 74 | 160 | <1g fruit seed, bone fragments in residue |
| 76 | 135 | Shell fragments in residue |
| 77 | 136 | Organic material in flot |
| 80 | 135 | 7 g snail shells |
| 81 | 273 | Nothing |
| 82 | 220 | Organic material and seeds in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 83 | 131 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 84 | 221 | Bone fragments and animal tooth in residue |
| 85 | 229 | Animal bone in residue |
| 86 | 228 | Nothing |
| 87 | 227 | Nothing |
| 88 | 145 | 3 g charcoal |
| 89 | 284 | Organic material and seeds in flot, bone in residue |
| 90 | 138 | Organic material, seeds, 1 g charcoal in flot, bone in residue |
| 91 | 140 | Organic material in flot, burnt bone in residue |
| 92 | 275 | Organic material and seeds in flot |
| 93 | 160 | 4 g charcoal |
| 94 | 279 | Organic material, 6g charcoal and seeds in flot, bone in residue |
| 105 | 229 | 2 g snail shells |
| 106 | 287 | 4 g charcoal |
| 107 | 287 | Organic material and 3 seeds in flot, bone in residue |
| 108 | 237 | Nothing |
| 109 | 151 | 6 g mollusc shell |
| 110 | 161 | 1 g charcoal |
| 111 | 162 | 4 g snail shells |
| 112 | 162 | 2 g marine mollusc sample |
| 113 | 188 | Nothing |
| 114 | 185 | Nothing |
| 115 | 186 | Nothing |
| 116 | 158 | Animal bone fragment and tooth in residue |
| 123 | 470 | Nothing |
| 130 | 508 | 1 g burnt bone in residue |
| 131 | 448 | Nothing |
| 132 | 500 | 22g charcoal |
| 133 | 506 | 9 g charcoal |
| 140 | 511 | 3 g charcoal |
| 142 | 511 | Nothing |
| 144 | 415 | 1 g snail shell |
| 144 | 1499 | Organic material in flot |
| 145 | 507 | Nothing |
| 146 | 448 | 11g charcoal |
| 147 | 506/507 | 9 g charcoal |


| 148 | 569 | 19 g charcoal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 149 | 655 | 50 g animal bone in residue, 43 g charcoal in flot |
| 150 | 671 | Nothing |
| 151 | 678 | Nothing |
| 152 | 619 | 20 g charcoal |
| 153 | 570 | 18 g charcoal |
| 154 | 689 | 12 g charcoal |
| 155 | 656 | 1 g bone fragments in residue |
| 156 | 672 | Nothing |
| 158 | 570 | 9 g charcoal |
| 159 | 697 | 6 g charcoal and charred grain in flot, 6 g charcoal in residue |
| 159 | 697 | Seeds in flot |
| 160 | 696 | Charred grain (95\%) in flot |
| 161 | 621 | 5 g charcoal |
| 163 | 610 | 3 g charcoal |
| 165 | 422 | 19 g charcoal |
| 166 | 421 | 5 g charcoal |
| 169 | 620 | 7 g charcoal |
| 170 | 566 | 3 g charcoal |
| 171 | 656 | 35 g burnt and unburnt bone in residue |
| 172 | 655 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 173 | 655 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 174 | 670 | 4 g burnt bone in residue |
| 176 | 566 | 13 g charcoal |
| 177 | 513 | 14 g charcoal |
| 178 | 514 | 17 g charcoal |
| 179 | 512 | 14 g charcoal |
| 180 | 518 | 6 g charcoal |
| 181 | 522 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 182 | 521 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 183 | 518 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 184 | 531 | Nothing |
| 185 | 532 | Nothing |
| 191 | 191 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 195 | 412 | 3 g sea shell |
| 196 | 440 | 9 g oyster shell |
| 197 | 830 | Burnt bone and seeds in residue |
| 199 | 996 | Animal bone in residue |
| 200 | 777 | Charred grain (100\%) in flot |
| 204 | 563 | Nothing |
| 205 | 590 | Nothing |
| 206 | 835 | Organic material in flot |
| 208 | 566 | <1g hazelnut shell and seeds |
| 209 | 570 | Animal tooth in residue |
| 210 | 860 | Nothing |
| 211 | 693 | Organic material in flot |
| 212 | 420 | Nothing |
| 213 | 566 | 9 g charcoal |
| 214 | 1014 | Nothing |
| 215 | 884 | Nothing |
| 216 | 822 | Nothing |
| 217 | 931 | Charred grain (100\%) in flot |
| 218 | 643 | 1 g burnt hazelnut shell |
| 219 | 824 | Organic material and seeds in flot |


| 220 | 665 | Nothing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 221 | 714 | Nothing |
| 222 | 888 | Nothing |
| 223 | 1109 | Nothing |
| 224 | 784 | Nothing |
| 225 | 727 | Nothing |
| 226 | 601 | Nothing |
| 227 | 800 | Seeds and charcoal in flot, bone in residue |
| 228 | 566 | Organic material and 3g charcoal in flot |
| 229 | 661 | Nothing |
| 230 | 694 | Nothing |
| 231 | 858 | Bone in residue |
| 232 | 643 | 24 g charcoal and 4 g burnt and unburnt bone in residue |
| 233 | 425 | 1 g snail shell |
| 234 | 794 | Nothing |
| 236 | 427 | 6 g charcoal |
| 237 | 566 | 8 g charcoal |
| 239 | 1111 | 1 g bone fragments in residue |
| 240 | 868 | Nothing |
| 242 | 1108 | Nothing |
| 247 | 744 | Burnt bone in residue |
| 248 | 469 | 14 g mollusc shell |
| 249 | 412 | 1 g snail shell |
| 251 | 1012 | Nothing |
| 252 | 491 | 11g snail shells |
| 253 | 400 | Slag |
| 255 | 1078 | Charred grain in flot |
| 256 | 987 | Charcoal flecks in flot, bone in residue |
| 257 | 747 | 1 g bone fragments in residue |
| 258 | 535 | 3 g snail shell |
| 259 | 535 | 3 g charcoal |
| 260 | 674 | 12 g charcoal |
| 261 | 1174 | Nothing |
| 262 | 703 | Nothing |
| 263 | 1171 | Nothing |
| 263 | 1172 | Nothing |
| 264 | 1169 | Nothing |
| 265 | 1089 | Charcoal and seeds in flot, bone fragments in residue |
| 266 | 1179 | Nothing |
| 267 | 1181 | 6 g charcoal |
| 268 | 687 | Nothing |
| 269 | 652 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 270 | 648 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 271 | 713 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 272 | 761 | Nothing |
| 273 | 562 | Nothing |
| 274 | 826 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 275 | 818 | Nothing |
| 276 | 570 | 9 g charcoal |
| 278 | 1124 | Nothing |
| 279 | 663 | 8 g charcoal |
| 280 | 1161 | 4 g charcoal |
| 283 | 1276 | Organic material and 3g charcoal |
| 284 | 1308 | Organic material, charcoal flecks and 6 g seeds in flot |


| 285 | 1309 | 15 g charcoal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 286 | 1163 | Nothing |
| 287 | 1281 | Nothing |
| 288 | 1285 | Organic material, 2g charcoal in flot, burnt and unburnt bone in residue |
| 289 | 952 | Seeds in flot |
| 290 | 938 | Nothing |
| 291 | 1192 | 15 g charcoal |
| 292 | 1281 | 15 g charcoal |
| 293 | 1196 | 5 g charcoal |
| 294 | 1023 | Bone in residue |
| 295 | 598 | 3 g snail shell |
| 296 | 598 | 6 g charcoal |
| 297 | 1279 | 26 g charcoal |
| 299 | 1296 | Burnt bone and 2g charcoal |
| 300 | 1295 | 7 g charcoal |
| 304 | 546 | Charred grain in flot |
| 305 | 548 | Burnt seeds and 8g charcoal |
| 306 | 542 | Charred grain and charcoal flecks |
| 307 | 545 | Organic material and 1g charcoal in flot, burnt bone in residue |
| 308 | 1272 | 10 g charcoal |
| 309 | 1312 | 26 g charcoal |
| 310 | 1299 | 5 g charcoal |
| 312 | 1347 | Charcoal flecks |
| 314 | 1298 | 5 g charcoal |
| 316 | 771 | 2 g snail shells |
| 318 | 549 | 13 g charcoal |
| 319 | 547 | 5 g charcoal |
| 320 | 1299 | 4 g charcoal |
| 321 | 353 | Nothing |
| 322 | 1479 | Nothing |
| 323 | 361 | Nothing |
| 324 | 1473 | Nothing |
| 325 | 1385 | Nothing |
| 326 | 365 | Organic material and 1g charcoal in flot, burnt and unburnt bone in residue |
| 327 | 1449 | Nothing |
| 328 | 1496 | Nothing |
| 330 | 1403 | Nothing |
| 331 | 1515 | Bone fragments in residue |
| 335 | 1296 | 13 g charcoal |
| 336 | 1295 | 6 g charcoal |
| 337 | 963 | 12 g burnt and unburnt bone fragments |

The following material was collected by hand during excavation

| 400 | 110 | 13 g burnt bone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 401 | 111 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 402 | 130 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 403 | 145 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 404 | 151 | 15 g burnt bone |
| 405 | 192 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 406 | 287 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 407 | 472 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 408 | 163 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 409 | 500 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 410 | 605 | 2 g burnt bone |


| 411 | 106 | 4 g burnt bone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412 | 107 | 25 g burnt bone |
| 413 | 108 | 8 g burnt bone |
| 414 | 109 | 15 g burnt bone |
| 415 | 112 | 7 g burnt bone |
| 416 | 115 | 98 g burnt bone |
| 417 | 120 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 418 | 131 | 15 g burnt bone |
| 419 | 135 | <1g burnt bone |
| 420 | 136 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 421 | 137 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 422 | 150 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 423 | 152 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 424 | 160 | 25 g burnt bone |
| 425 | 161 | 27 g burnt bone |
| 426 | 161/162 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 427 | 162 | 19 g burnt bone |
| 428 | 167 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 429 | 175 | 10 g burnt bone |
| 430 | 220 | 7 g burnt bone |
| 431 | 221 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 432 | 223 | 8 g burnt bone |
| 433 | 224 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 434 | 227 | 19 g burnt bone |
| 435 | 227/228 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 436 | 229 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 437 | 253 | 9 g burnt bone |
| 438 | 256 | 11g burnt bone |
| 439 | 261 | 16 g burnt bone |
| 440 | 269 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 441 | 273 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 442 | 279 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 443 | 349 | 2 g charcoal flecks in residue |
| 444 | 400 | 46 g burnt bone |
| 445 | 401 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 446 | 413 | 8 g burnt bone |
| 447 | 414 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 448 | 418 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 449 | 420 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 450 | 424 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 451 | 431 | 17 g burnt bone |
| 452 | 436 | 7 g burnt bone |
| 453 | 437 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 454 | 439 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 455 | 442 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 456 | 447 | 13 g burnt bone |
| 457 | 448 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 458 | 455 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 459 | 472 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 460 | 476 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 461 | 482 | 8 g burnt bone |
| 462 | 483 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 463 | 484 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 464 | 490 | 2g burnt bone |


| 465 | 506 | 2 g burnt bone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 466 | 512 | 7 g burnt bone |
| 467 | 549 | 12 g burnt bone |
| 468 | 566 | 61 g burnt bone |
| 469 | 570 | 20 g burnt bone |
| 470 | 580 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 471 | 590 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 472 | 608 | 10 g burnt bone |
| 473 | 619 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 474 | 620 | 16 g burnt bone |
| 475 | 624 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 476 | 634 | 9 g burnt bone |
| 477 | 636 | <1g burnt bone |
| 478 | 635 | 10 g burnt bone |
| 479 | 639 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 480 | 643 | 28 g burnt bone |
| 481 | 647 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 482 | 649 | 107g burnt bone |
| 483 | 654 | 15 g burnt bone |
| 484 | 663 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 485 | 674 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 486 | 676 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 487 | 689 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 488 | 736 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 489 | 782 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 490 | 818 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 491 | 820 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 492 | 858 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 493 | 907 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 494 | 963 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 495 | 1097 | 11 g burnt bone |
| 496 | 1184 | <1g burnt bone |
| 497 | 1201 | 10 g burnt bone |
| 498 | 1208 | 15 g burnt bone |
| 499 | 1254 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 500 | 1266 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 501 | 1269 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 502 | 1270 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 503 | 1273 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 504 | 1276 | 19 g burnt bone |
| 505 | 1281 | 13 g burnt bone |
| 506 | 1285 | 72 g burnt bone |
| 507 | 1291 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 508 | 1295 | 17 g burnt bone |
| 509 | 1296 | 36 g burnt bone |
| 510 | 1334 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 511 | 1362 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 512 | 1482 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 513 | 418 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 514 | 27 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 515 | 41 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 516 | 100 | 122g burnt bone |
| 517 | 101 | 38 g burnt bone |
| 518 | 107 | 2 g burnt bone |


| 519 | 109 | 7 g burnt bone |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 520 | 115 | 9 g burnt bone |
| 521 | 118 | 22 g burnt bone |
| 522 | 120 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 523 | 126 | 5 g burnt bone |
| 524 | 127 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 525 | 144 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 526 | 145 | $<1 \mathrm{~g}$ burnt bone |
| 527 | 150 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 528 | 160 | 7 g burnt bone |
| 529 | $160-163$ | 1 g burnt bone |
| 530 | 161 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 531 | 162 | 6 g burnt bone |
| 532 | 163 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 533 | 175 | 7 g burnt bone |
| 534 | 186 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 535 | 205 | 1 g burnt bone |
| 536 | 209 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 537 | 220 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 538 | 233 | 3 g burnt bone |
| 539 | 252 | 2 g burnt bone |
| 540 | 255 | 4 g burnt bone |
| 541 | 507 | Organic material, snail shells and seeds in flot |
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The proposals for archaeological resolution included an assessment of the potential for finds retrieval from topsoil at archaeological sites. This assessment was achieved by a program of metal detecting at ploughed and pasture fields. As per the Method Statement for Topsoil Assessment Including Metal Detection, metal detection of the topsoil began within Contract 2 on June 13, 2005. This report details the results of the two phases of metal detection and the field walking survey of Roestown 2a \& 2b

## 2. ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

### 2.1 Metal Detection Methodology

1. A grid was established as follows - a baseline was marked on one side of each site along the long axis. Perpendicular offset lines were marked at 10 m intervals along the baseline to form stints and these were subdivided along the offset line to form parallel transects 2 m wide.
2. The metal detection commenced at one end of the baseline and provided for a 2 m 'sweep' along each transect, thus providing for $100 \%$ coverage of topsoil deposits at each site.
3. The location of all metal 'hits' was marked on the ground with tags.
4. All metal 'hits' in the sod or topsoil were tested by careful hand excavation of the sod/topsoil. Stratified artefacts were left in situ.
5. All artefacts were bagged and numbered citing DOE record number, context and individual number. Their location was also recorded.

### 2.2 Field Walking Survey Methodology

1. A grid was established as follows - a baseline was marked on one side of each site along the long axis. Perpendicular offset lines were marked at 10 m intervals along the baseline to form stints and these were subdivided along the offset line to form parallel transects 4 m wide.
2. Each transect was assigned a letter and each stint a number so that each stint would have a unique reference.
3. The field walking took place along each transect and will provided for 2 m coverage (i.e.: 1 m either side of the walker's path), thus providing $50 \%$ coverage of the site.
4. The location of all artefacts was marked on the ground with tags.
5. All artefacts were bagged and numbered citing DOE record number, context and individual number. Their location was also recorded.

### 2.3 Test Pit Methodology

When deemed necessary, a number of pre-designated test pits were dug at various locations within the site. The test pits measured $1 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ and their precise position was surveyed. Each test pit was dug by hand to the depth of subsoil with the resulting loose topsoil sifted on site for the recovery of finds. All finds were bagged and numbered citing DOE record number, context and individual number. Their location was recorded with reference to the specific test pit from where it was collected.

### 2.4 Results

The first phase of metal detection dealt with the sod layer only and finds recovered were labelled as being from context 1 . Initial metal detection of the Roestown $2 a$ and $2 b$ site produced a moderate number of 'hits'. A total of 67 'hits' were recorded with 47 finds recovered and 20 in situ 'hits'. The second phase of metal detection was completed after the sod was removed and dealt with the topsoil layer only. These topsoil finds were recorded under context number 2 . This second phase produced a total of 72 'hits' with 72 finds recovered. Field walking of Roestown 2a and 2 b resulted in the additional collection of 28 finds. All of the finds recovered were of modern date and consisted of items associated with a modern timeframe (nails, nuts/bolts, wires and modern pottery/ceramic). A total of 43 test pits were completed with a total of 5 flint finds recovered.

### 2.5 List of Finds

| Find Number | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| A008/002:1:1 | Nail |
| A008/002:1:2 | Bolt |
| A008/002:1:3 | Mid-sized modern iron object |
| A008/002:1:4 | Mid-sized modern iron object |
| A008/002:1:5-6 | Wires |
| A008/002:1:7 | Iron peg |
| A008/002:1:8 | Modern knife |
| A008/002:1:9 | Shotgun cartridge |
| A008/002:1:10-15 | Modern metal objects |
| A008/002:1:16 | Modern iron object |
| A008/002:1:17 | Staple |
| A008/002:1:18 | Nail |
| A008/002:1:19 | Mid-sized iron object |
| A008/002:1:20 | Nail |
| A008/002:1:21-23 | 3 modern metal objects |
| A008/002:1:24 | Brace |
| A008/002:1:25 | Hook |
| A008/002:1:26 | Handle |
| A008/002:1:27 | Small modern metal object |
| A008/002:1:28-30 | 3 modern metal objects |
| A008/002:1:31 | Wire |
| A008/002:1:32 | Circular nut |
| A008/002:1:33 | Modern metal object |
| A008/002:1:34 | Wire |
| A008/002:1:35 | Metal bar |
| A008/002:1:36 | Wire |
| A008/002:1:37-40 | 4 modern metal objects |
| A008/002:1:41 | Trailer pin |
| A008/002:1:42 | Modern metal object |
| A008/002:1:43 | Tap washer |
| A008/002:1:44 | Wire |
| A008/002:1:45 | Nail |
| A008/002:1:46 | Modern metal object |
| A008/002:1:47 | Nail |
| A008/002:2:1-2 | 2 Modern iron objects |
| A008/002:2:3 | Iron strap |
| A008/002:2:4 | Iron plate |
| A008/002:2:5-6 | 2 Nails |
| A008/002:2:7 | Horseshoe |
| A008/002:2:8-9 | 2 Modern metal objects |
| A008/002:2:10 | Iron bar |
| A008/002:2:11 | Modern ceramic |
| A008/002:2:12 | Iron strap |
| A008/002:2:13 | Modern ceramic |
| A008/002:002:02:14 | Modern iron object |
|  |  |
| Iron plate |  |
| A002:2:2:15 |  |


| A008/002:2:20 | Metal plate |
| :--- | :--- |
| A008/002:2:21 | Horseshoe |
| A008/002:2:22 | Wire |
| A008/002:2:23 | Possible machine part fragment |
| A008/002:2:24 | Modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:25-28 | 4 sherds of modern pottery/ceramic |
| A008/002:2:29 | Modern iron object |
| A008/002:2:30-32 | 3 sherds of modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:33 | Modern iron object |
| A008/002:2:34-35 | Modern glass |
| A008/002:2:36 | Iron strap |
| A008/002:2:37 | Nail |
| A008/002:2:38 | Modern metal plate |
| A008/002:2:39 | Modern iron object |
| A008/002:2:40 | Modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:41-43 | 3 Modern iron objects |
| A008/002:2:44-49 | 6 sherds of modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:50-51 | 2 Modern iron objects |
| A008/002:2:52-53 | 2 sherds of modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:54-56 | 3 Modern iron objects |
| A008/002:2:57 | Wire |
| A008/002:2:58 | Bolt |
| A008/002:2:59 | Modern iron fragment |
| A008/002:2:60 | Modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:61-63 | 3 Modern iron objects |
| A008/002:2:64 | Nail/Bolt |
| A008/002:2:65-68 | 4 Iron objects |
| A008/002:2:69 | Bolt |
| A008/002:2:70-71 | 2 sherds of modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:72 | Clay pipe stem |
| A008/002:2:73 | Machine part fragment |
| A008/002:2:74 | Modern iron object |
| A008/002:2:75 | Nail |
| A008/002:2:76 | Modern iron object |
| A008/002:2:77-78 | 2 sherds of modern pottery |
| A008/002:2:79 | Iron pipe |
| A008/002:2:80-81 | Wires |
| A008/002:2:82-83 | Wires |
| A008/002:2:84 | Modern iron objects |
| A008/002:2:85 | Bolt |
| A008/002:2:86-94 | Wires |
| A008/002:2:95 | Barbed wire |
| A008/002:2:96 | Wrench |
| A008/002:2:97 | Nail |
| A008/002:2:98 | Machine part |
| A008/002:2:99 | Horseshoe |
| A008/002:2:100 | Modern pottery |
| A008/002:3:1 | Flint flake |
| A008/002:3:2 | Burnt flint flake |
| A008/002:3:3 | Flint scraper |
| A008/022:3:3:5 | Flint flake |
| Flint core |  |






APPENDIX 5: Radiocarbon dates by Beta Analytic

| Context | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ | Material | Species ID/ Weight | Beta Code | Date Type | Lab calibrated date 2-sigma | Oxcal Date 2 sigma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Conventional } \\ & \text { Date } \\ & \text { (+1-40 BP) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 13C/12C Ratio \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108: Fill of Enclosure 3 ditch 113 | 4 | A/bone | Domestic chicken tarsometatarsu | 219002 | AMS (Adv) | AD 650-780 | AD 647-775 | 1320 | -20.7 |
| 116: Fill of Enclosure 6 ditch 132 | 3 | A/bone | Dog, left radius (18g) | 219003 | AMS(Adv) | AD 630-710 | AD 605-769 | 1360 | -21.3 |
| 119: Deposit enclosed by Enclosure 3 | 71 | Charcoal | Alder ( 5.5 g ) | 229293 | AMS (Std) | AD 680-890 | AD 684-887 | 1230 | -26.3 |
| 135: Fill of Enclosure 5 ditch 134 | 1 | A/bone | Horse proximal phalange (37g) | 219004 | AMS (Adv) | AD 1440-1640 | AD 1450-1635 | 360 | -23.3 |
| 144: Fill of Enclosure 3 ditch 239 | 2 | A/bone | Pig, right 4th metatarsal $(12 \mathrm{~g})$ | 219005 | AMS (Adv) | AD 620-690 | AD 580-765 | 1380 | -22.1 |
| 418:Fill of Enclosure 1 ditch 404 | 5 | A/bone | Dog, left tibia (10g) | 220114 | AMS (Std) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { AD 710-910 \& } \\ \text { AD 920-960 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AD 690-946 | 1200 | -20.8 |
| 427:Fill of Enclosure 1 ditch 405 | 6 | A/bone | Horse/cattle rib fragment $(33 \mathrm{~g})$ | 220115 | AMS (Std) | AD 530-650 | AD 441-652 | 1480 | -22.2 |
| 484: Fill of Enclosure 1 ditch 450 | 7 | A/bone | Dog, left humerus (21g) | 220116 | AMS (Std) | AD 770-980 | AD 725-976 | 1170 | -21 |
| 548: FIll of western partition ditch 1319 | 305 | Grain | Charred barley grain (14mg) | 246964 | AMS (Std) | AD 870-1010 | AD 783-1018 | 1110 | -21.1 |
| 549: Fill of western partition ditch 550 | 318 | Charcoal | Hazel (393mg) | 246965 | AMS (Std) | AD 890-1020 | AD 889-1022 | 1080 | -25.2 |
| 655: Fill of cereal drying kiln 677 | 149 | Charcoal | Maloideae (135mg) | 246966 | AMS (Std) | AD 690-900 | AD 687-937 | 1210 | -25.5 |
| 697: Fill of cereal drying kiln 698 | 159 | Grain | Charred barley grain (43mg) | 246697 | AMS (Std) | AD 550-660 | AD 546-656 | 1450 | -23.6 |
| 777: Fill of cereal drying kiln 776 | 200 | Grain | Charred barley grain (15mg) | 246968 | AMS (Std) | AD 600-680 | AD 573-688 | 1390 | -23.6 |
| 963: Fill of Enclosure 12 ditch 945 | 1 | A/bone | Cattle cervical vertebrae (50g) | 231959 | AMS (Std) | AD 350-540 | AD 343-542 | 1620 | -20.6 |
| 1362: Fill of ditch 1319 | 309 | Charcoal | Willow (1039mg) | 246969 | AMS (Std) | AD 780-980 | AD 778-980 | 1150 | -27.1 |
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## 04_01, Roestown 2 (A008/002), analysis of mammalian bone remains:

## 1. Introduction

This report details analysis of the extensive collection of mammalian bone remains retrieved from archaeological excavations at the site of Roestown 2. Excavation took place from $19^{\text {th }}$ September $2005-30^{\text {th }}$ March 2007 as part of the M3 Clonee-North of Kells Road Scheme. The phasing established by the excavation director was applied to the mammalian bone collection and material was recorded from Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4, 5 and 6 as well as from F507, Unphased Area A and Unphased Area B. For presentation of zooarchaeological data and discussion of findings, this phasing was respected although some phases were combined for more concise presentation of results. This combination of phases is clearly outlined in Table 2 and is also specified throughout the report where applicable. In addition to the Tables, Figures and Plates of the main report any tables referred to with the prefix A may be viewed in Appendix 1. Similarly any figures with the prefix A may be consulted in Appendix 2.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 Quantification

The quantification method applied is based on that used for Knowth by McCormick and Murray (2007). This in turn is a modified version of that used by Albarella and Davis (1996). It entails a selective approach to quantification which, rather than counting every fragment of bone, results in the production of NISP values i.e. number of identifiable specimens. The method involves examination of all faunal bone remains but specimens found to be of low-grade information value are not recorded. Consequently the recording of a narrower range of clearly defined bone elements is ensured. Selected elements are recorded provided at least $50 \%$ of the diagnostic zone survives. This procedure avoids multiple counting of very fragmented elements (Ibid).

The range of quantified elements includes any of the following;

- Mandible, where one or more teeth of dP4/P4-M3 row are present or where the mandibular hinge is present, (presence of alveolus is considered as representative of a tooth).
- Scapula, where glenoid articulation is present.
- Ulna, where olecrannon process is present.
- Patella, where at least $50 \%$ is present.
- Atlas or axis, where at least $50 \%$ is present.
- Astragalus, where distal end is present.
- Calcaneum, where sustentaculum is present.
- Carpal 3 (or $2+3$ ).
- Scafocuboid.
- Loose mandibular teeth or loose teeth (including loose maxillary teeth and those that could not be positively classified as either mandibular or maxillary) where the occlussal surface is present.
- Distal and proximal epiphyses of long bones including humerus, radius, metacarpal, femur, tibia, metatarsal and phalanges where at least $50 \%$ of the zone is present (lateral metapodials of horse and pig are not recorded).
- Pelvis, where ilium and/or the ischial section of the acetabulum are present.
- Horncores and antlers, where a complete transverse section is found.
- Cranium, where zygommatic arch is present or where maxilla is present with three or more teeth of dP4/P4-M3 row, (presence of alveolus is considered as representative of a tooth).

Ribs and vertebrae (with the exception of atlas and axis) are not quantified. Any specimen not meeting the above criteria for quantification but found to be of interest due to factors such as indicating pathology, unusual species, butchery, or other significant evidence are recorded as 'noncountable' i.e. the evidence they display is recorded but the elements are not included in quantification of the assemblage.

The MNI i.e. minimum number of individuals will be calculated for all species. This estimates the minimum number of animals that the recorded faunal remains could have come from (Chaplin 1971, 70). It is calculated through dividing the recorded value of each element for a species by its frequency in the skeleton. The resulting highest value is the MNI for that particular species. While both sides and proximal or distal are taken into account for MNI calculations, ageing data is not. When calculating NISP and MNI, any cattle or sheep/goat second metacarpal or second metatarsal are counted as representing 0.5 units (Albarella and Davis 1996, 3-5). This is because the metacarpal and metatarsal of these species consists of two separate units that fuse together as the bone develops (Schmid 1972, 128-129). Specimens consisting of just one of these units in effect represent half of a metapodial and therefore are recorded as such. Any specimens of the central two pig metapodials are also counted as representing 0.5 units following Albarella and Davis $(1996,5)$.

### 2.2 Database Recording

As each element is examined those suitable for quantification (i.e. countable specimens) will be individually recorded on an electronic database. Information including archaeological context, species and element identification, side, zone and percentage of element present, state of fusion, condition of element, evidence of butchery, gnawing, burning or pathology, metrical data, ageing data and any other notable observations will be recorded. A separate database is used for recording of non-countables.

### 2.3 Identification

Identification of the assemblage will involve reference to Schmid (1972) and Hillson (1992) as well as comparison with the author's reference material and on occasion, the reference collection of the School of Geography, Archaeology and Palaeoecology at Queen's University Belfast (QUB). Wherever relevant, attempts will be made to distinguish the very similar species of sheep and goat based on recognised morphological and metrical factors. Morphological differentiation will be attempted for the $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ (deciduous fourth premolar) after Payne (1985, 139-147), for the distal humerus, distal and proximal tibia, astragalus, distal metatarsal and phalanx 1 after Boessneck (1969, 339-341, 350-357), Kratochvil (1969, 483-490) and Prummel and Frisch (1986, 569-574). Scapula and pelvis will be separated as far as possible through comparison of all specimens with the author's and/or QUB reference collection. Metrical differentiation will be attempted for distal metacarpals following Payne (1969, 295-305) and Davis (1992, fig. 2) and for calcaneus following Boessneck $(1969,353)$. Where positive identification to either species can be made they will be referred to as sheep and as goat accordingly. Where positive distinction between the two species is not possible elements will be referred to as sheep/goat.

### 2.4 Ageing

In analysing mammalian bone remains two main methods are used to determine the age of animals. The more reliable of these involves recording eruption and wear patterns of teeth. Recording the state of epiphyseal fusion is the second and less reliable method. Tooth eruption and wear stages will be recorded for the following mandibular teeth; $\mathrm{dP}_{4}$ (deciduous fourth premolar), $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ (fourth premolar), $\mathrm{M}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{3}$ (first, second and third molars) of cattle, sheep/goat and pig. Tooth wear stages (TWS) for cattle and pig follow those of Grant (1982). For sheep/goat, tooth wear stages are after Payne (1973 and 1987). Examination of tooth wear of mammal teeth is based on the alterations that occur in the surface morphology in relation to exposure of dentine as a result of enamel wear (Reitz and Wing 1999, 75). Where the innermost tooth was present in mandible specimens or where loose mandibular M3s were recorded, mandible wear stages have been assigned after Higham (1967). This allows inference of a minimum age the animal in question had reached before its death.

Recording of state of epiphyseal fusion involves examining the rate of development the metaphysis or epiphysis has reached. The metaphysis is the growing end of the shaft of a developing long bone while the epiphysis is a part of a bone that develops from a separate ossification centre but later fuses with the bone (Davis 1987, 16). States of fusion will be recorded under categories set out in the electronic database. A specimen will be recorded as fusing (code J) where spicules of bone joined the metaphysis and epiphysis across the epiphyseal plate although openings still existed between metaphysis and epiphysis. If traces of this fusion line are no longer visible, a specimen will be recorded as fused (code F). Distinctions will made in the recording of unfused specimens including unfused metaphysis (code UM), unfused epiphysis (code UE) and if both metaphysis and epiphysis are found together but still unfused (code UX). For cattle, sheep and pig epiphyseal fusion data follows Reitz and Wing (1999, 76). States of epiphyseal fusion for horse and dog are after Silver (1969, 285-286) and for cat are after Habermehl (1961, 146-153) and Smith (1969, 523530).

### 2.5 Economy/Farming Practise

Through the establishment of age/slaughter patterns for the main domesticates where possible, interpretation of the livestock economy or farming practices at Roestown 2 will be discussed.

### 2.6 Biometrical Data

Measurements will be recorded as appropriate for all fused bones or fused bone fragments. In any case where the physical nature of a specimen has been distorted by factors such as burning or pathology, measurements will not be recorded. The majority of measurements follow the specifications of von den Driesch (1976) using vernier callipers or in some cases a measuring box. For recording the outer curve of horncores a soft tape measure is used. All measurements are recorded in millimetres with most to an accuracy of 0.1 mm although some are recorded to 1 mm accuracy. Some measurements defined by other authors are used including Payne (1969, 296), Boessneck (1969, 353), Payne and Bull (1988, 42) Davis (1992, fig. 2 and 1982) and Eisenmann (1986). Wherever applicable, biometrical data will be used to calculate estimated shoulder heights. Calculation of estimated shoulder height will follow the multiplication factors as outlined by von den Driesch and Boessneck (1974) those of Harcourt $(1974,154)$ will be applied for dog.

### 2.7 Sex Determination

Determination of the sex of faunal remains is possible through examination and analysis of certain elements. In the case of pig, the morphology of the root of the permanent canine tooth or the alveolus (where the canine is absent) should be considered in order to distinguish males and females. Goat horncores may be classified as male or female based on morphology. Cattle metacarpals may be defined as male or female through calculation of the slenderness index
(McCormick 1992). Alternatively, if complete metacarpals are few, identification of the sex of cattle metacarpals may be attempted through examination of the greatest distal width ( Bd ) (McCormick 1997, 822). The presence of antlers for deer or baculum (os penis) for carnivores would indicate male animals.

### 2.8 Butchery/Gnawing/Burning

Any evidence for butchery will be recorded under the categories of cut, chopped, chopped and cut, sawn or sawn and cut. Wherever relevant, evidence for the detachment of horns from the heads of cattle will be classified after Armitage (1990, 85-86). All specimens will be checked for evidence of rodent or carnivorous gnawing. All specimens will also be examined for evidence of burning. Wherever noted, burning will be recorded as either singed i.e. partially burnt, calcined i.e. white, sometimes with blue hue or burnt/blackened i.e. $90-100 \%$ burnt.

### 2.9 Pathology/Injury

For all specimens, the discovery of any pathology or injury will be recorded. Genetic traits such as the absence of the P1 in pig or the P2 in cattle and sheep/goat will also be noted as will any unusual tooth wear patterns.

### 2.10 Comparative Studies

If possible, the evidence produced for this assemblage will be compared to that for other contemporary or similar sites that have produced animal bone assemblages that have been analysed.

### 2.11 Storage Recommendations

The analyst will comment regarding the ultimate curation of the mammalian bone from Roestown 2. The ultimate decision on permanent storage of the assemblage will be made by the National Museum of Ireland following dialogue with the excavation licence holder.

## 3. Results of Analysis

### 3.1 Summary of Findings

The total Number of Identifiable Specimens (NISP) for Roestown 2 is 10,238 . The separate database of non-countable bone fragments contains 137 entries. The range of species evident includes cattle (Bos taurus), pig (Sus sp.), sheep (Ovis aries), sheep/goat (Ovis/Capra), horse (Equus caballus), dog (Canis familiaris), cat (Felis catus), red deer (Cervus elaphus) and mouse (Apodemus/Mus). The three main domesticates of cattle, sheep/goat and pig comprise $91.9 \%$ of the total NISP. Horse, dog and cat make up a further $7.9 \%$ while red deer and mouse account for the remaining $0.24 \%$. Bird bone specimens were observed during recording of the assemblage and were packed separately for analysis by a bird bone specialist. Having produced such a large collection of countable material, Roestown 2 offers the potential for production of reliable datasets less hindered by the limitations that often apply to smaller collections of animal bone.

The total Minimum Number of Individuals (MNI) is 435 animals. If one considers the three main domesticates, it is clear that cattle are the dominant species in terms of NISP, MNI and Meat Values for all phases at Roestown 2. Sheep/Goat is the second most dominant for the majority of phases although pig is more common in terms of NISP for Unphased Area A and in terms of MNI for Phase 2A and Unphased Area A. Meat values were calculated based on the calculations applied by McCormick and Murray for Knowth. Figure 3 shows that cattle comprise in the region of $80 \%$ of the meat represented for all phases (Note: Meat values were calculated only for phases where MNI $=>5$ ). Pig is more significant than sheep/goat for all phases, unsurprising when one considers that pigs tended to be reared almost exclusively for meat consumption and yield a higher percentage of meat per carcass than sheep or cattle. Tables A1-A12 detail NISP and MNI values for each phase. Table A13 illustrates the $\%$ meat weight calculated for the three main domesticates.


Figure 1 Roestown 2: \%NISP for three main domesticates.


Figure 2 Roestown 2: \%MNI for three main domesticates.


Figure 3 Roestown 2: \%Meat values for three main domesticates.
Estimated live weight $=450 \mathrm{~kg}$ for cattle, 23 kg for sheep and 80 kg for pig.
Dressing-out weight $=50 \%$ for cattle \& sheep \& $80 \%$ for pig (McCormick and Murray 2007, 147).

The species distribution pattern for the three main domesticates was compared with those established for other contemporary animal bone assemblages. The patterns show a high level of consistency particularly for cattle with a greater degree of variation between the quantities of sheep/goat or pig evident at different sites. With the exceptions of Knowth and Dun Eoghanachta all sites were excavated as part of the M3 Road Scheme. Data from the non M3 sites is reproduced from McCormick and Murray (2007). All but one of the mid $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ century datasets confirm cattle as the most significant species as its MNI value ranges from $31.7 \%$ (Roestown Phase 1B) to $51 \%$ (Knowth Stage 8). The one exception is Castlefarm Phase 2 where pig has the highest MNI value of $39.4 \%$ as opposed to $34.6 \%$ for cattle (Foster 2009a, 15). It is suggested that this occurrence may be due to Castlefarm 1 being a high status site (Ibid). The distribution pattern for sheep/goat and pig does not conform to the same extent as for cattle. Out of twelve datasets, both species have an almost equal \%MNI value in two instances. For Knowth Stage 8 they both account for $19.5 \%$ and $19.6 \%$ of MNI respectively (McCormick and Murray 2007, 148) while at Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 MNI values of $17.6 \%$ were calculated for both (Coles 2009, 47). In six instances sheep/goat have a higher $\% \mathrm{MNI}$ value than pig with values ranging from $19.6 \%$ to $28.1 \%$. Four of the datasets indicate a higher $\% \mathrm{MNI}$ value for pig ranging from $20 \%$ to $29.5 \%$. As already mentioned, the highest \%MNI observed for pig is at Castlefarm 1 where in Phase 2 it accounts for $39.4 \%$ while cattle make up $34.6 \%$ and sheep/goat $12.6 \%$ of the MNI.


Figure 4 Roestown 2: Comparison of \%MNI distribution for three main domesticates with other mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century data.

Comparison of $10^{\text {th }}-11^{\text {th }}$ century data shows that Roestown 2 and Knowth Stage 9 correspond in terms of cattle having the highest $\%$ MNI of the three species. Pig has a higher MNI value than sheep at Knowth which contrasts with Roestown 2 where the opposite is true. The different distribution displayed for Dun Eoghanachta may be explained by its geographical location. Situated on Inis Mor of the Aran Islands, Co. Galway, sheep would have been more suitable than the other two species for life in this exposed setting hence their dominance of $34.6 \%$ of the MNI.


Figure 5 Roestown 2: Comparison of \%MNI distribution for three main domesticates with other $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century data.

Horse is present for all phases with the exception of F507, but as one might expect, there is a significant drop in NISP and MNI values from the three main domesticates in comparison to the other species present. Dog and cat are represented in small amounts while red deer is evident mainly due to the presence of antler fragments. Only one post-cranial specimen of red deer, a distal radius fragment, was observed amongst the Roestown 2 assemblage. A total of 24 rodent bones were identified as wood/house mouse.


Figure 6 Roestown 2: Species distribution by \%NISP for all species other than the three main domesticates.


Figure 7 Roestown 2: Species distribution by \%MNI for all species other than the three main domesticates.

### 3.1.1 Retrieval Methods

The majority of the Roestown 2 assemblage was retrieved by hand during excavation. Some systematic sieving did take place within Enclosure 3 of Area A where many of the deposits were put through a 10 mm mesh sieve. The excavation director estimates that approximately $15-20 \%$ of the total volume of this enclosure was sieved. Only small fragments of larger bones were recovered using this method. The operation ceased on these grounds and was not used again. The bone recovered through the sieving programme was added to the bulk of the hand-collected material for analysis (O'Hara pers. comm.).

### 3.1.2 Condition of Assemblage

Overall, the assemblage was found to be in a good state. The quality of preservation of each specimen was considered and recorded as either in excellent, good, fair or poor condition. The majority of the countable material, $91.5 \%$, was classified as of good condition, $4.1 \%$ was of excellent condition while $3.7 \%$ and $0.7 \%$ were observed as of fair and poor condition respectively. For elements classified as in fair or poor condition, the outer surface was eroded to varying degrees. In some instances degradation of specimens was due to carnivorous or rodent gnawing (this evidence is detailed in section 3.6.2). In terms of fragmentation of the assemblage, the whole bone equivalent (WBE) was recorded for each specimen and Table 1 indicates that a considerable portion of the assemblage survived as complete elements.

| WBE Surviving | \%Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| Complete | 41.1 |
| $1-10 \%$ | 7.5 |
| $11-20 \%$ | 12.9 |
| $21-30 \%$ | 7.1 |
| $31-40 \%$ | 7.1 |
| $41-50 \%$ | 3.6 |
| $51-60 \%$ | 3.4 |
| $61-70 \%$ | 4.8 |
| $71-80 \%$ | 4.9 |
| $81-90 \%$ | 4.6 |
| $91-99 \%$ | 2.4 |
| Not stated | 0.6 |

Table 1 Roestown 2: Whole Bone Equivalent (WBE) surviving for countable assemblage.

Regarding disturbance levels a number of specimens whose state of fusion was recorded as UX were observed from Phases 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 4 and Unphased Area A. This means that these elements survived with the unfused metaphysis and unfused epiphysis together but obviously still separate units from each other. The fact that they survived to be retrieved in this state would suggest that they were not subjected to any significant ground disturbance between the time they
were deposited and their retrieval during excavation. The excavation director observed that in considering the whole site, significant disturbance was evident, especially to the main enclosing elements in Areas A and B. In particular, Enclosure 1 and 3 saw multiple re-cuts as well as truncation from later features. This would have had the effect of mixing and spreading a number of deposits beyond their original limits. Within Enclosure 1 there was quite a bit of truncation between surviving features, particularly in the northwest corner of the site. A large amount of the surviving features within this enclosure were assigned to Phase 1-2. The excavation director argues that the interior of the site was raised in later periods (Phase 2-3) and consequently animal bone retrieved from surviving features may not be too disturbed although most of it came from ditch deposits (O'Hara pers. comm.).

### 3.1.3 Range of Elements Present

Most of the various body parts are represented in the animal bone assemblage for the three main domesticates. The majority of bones were disarticulated and in a fragmentary state. Remains of the different species were retrieved mixed up together and no specialised dumps of bone e.g. specific carcass parts discarded during primary butchery, were identified. These findings would suggest that the Roestown 2 assemblage largely represents ordinary domestic refuse. The fact that the majority of body parts are evident indicates that animals were butchered and consumed at the site.

### 3.1.4 Survival Rates

Based on research methods devised by Brain (1969) the survival rates for elements of the three main domesticates were plotted in relation to the MNI for each phase. For example, cattle bone survival rates for Phase 1A depict scapula as having the highest survival rate. This is not surprising as the shoulder is one of the primary beef joints. The rump of the animal would also be a significant beef provider and therefore, a reasonable representation of pelvis specimens might also be expected. This is borne out by the Roestown 2 evidence where for most phases survival rate of pelvis is over $50 \%$. Other meat-bearing bones such as humerus, femur and tibia are generally well represented with high survival rates for humerus and tibia in particular.

Other trends that are commonly identified in survival rate patterns are evident for Roestown 2. It is recognised that more fragile bones tend to have a lower survival rate than those that are characteristically more robust. For example, proximal humerus is more porous than the sturdier distal humerus. As the distal zone of this element is early fusing it becomes tougher and more resistant to degradation at an earlier age. In contrast, the proximal humerus is a late fusing zone of softer spongy nature. This means that the distal is much more likely to display a high survival rate while the proximal humerus might be expected to present a much lower rate of survival. This is quite clearly the case for cattle humeri for all phases as proximal survival rates are much less than
for distal. Low survival rates for small bones such as carpals and phalanges are also trends often identified. This is likely to be partially influenced by recovery bias as it is inevitable that larger elements will be more easily retrieved than very small ones. Proximal mandible also demonstrates a high survival rate for cattle. This part of the element is sturdy and has deteriorated to a lesser extent than the distal of the element which is more fragile and susceptible to damage/fragmentation. Only for Unphased Area B does the distal mandible survive to a higher rate than the proximal but as this material is not as stratigraphically significant as other phases, the data cannot be relied on as confidently.


Figure 8 Roestown 2: Phase 1A \%Survival rates for cattle bones.


Figure 9 Roestown 2: Phase 1B \%Survival rates for cattle bones.


Figure 10 Roestown 2: Phase 2A \%Survival rates for cattle bones.


Figure 11 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \%Survival rates for cattle bones.


Figure 12 Roestown 2: Phase 3A \%Survival rates for cattle bones.


Figure 13 Roestown 2: Phase 5 \%Survival rates for cattle bones.


Figure 14 Roestown 2: Unphased Area B \%Survival rates for cattle bones.

The survival rates for sheep/goat mandibles contrast to those for cattle as in all phases, the distal mandible survives to a greater extent than the proximal. The nature of the element is an obvious influence on such findings. The distal mandible of sheep/goat is more compact and strong while the proximal (i.e. condyle and coronoid process) is much smaller in size than that of cattle. This explains why one might expect a higher survival of distal over proximal for sheep/goat mandibles while a higher survival of proximal over distal for cattle mandibles is often the case. Other trends for sheep/goat are similar to those for cattle. Survival rates for scapula, pelvis, and meat-bearing bones such as humerus and tibia are significant.


Figure 15 Roestown 2: Phase 1A \%Survival rates for sheep/goat.


Figure 16 Roestown 2: Phase 1B \%Survival rates for sheep/goat.


Figure 17 Roestown 2: Phase 2A \%Survival rates for sheep/goat.


Figure 18 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \%Survival rates for sheep/goat.


Figure 19 Roestown 2: Phase 3A \%Survival rates for sheep/goat.

For pig high survival rates of scapula dominate although for Phase 2 A mandibles have the highest survival rate. Pelvis is also reasonably well represented. Consistently high survival rates for pig mandibles have been identified in other collections e.g. Knowth (McCormick and Murray 2007, 47) an occurrence which is explained as due to the robust nature of the element. Phase 1B and 2B of Roestown 2 do not concur to this trend although mandibles are reasonably well represented for both. As pigs tended to be a one-purpose animal i.e. bred exclusively for meat consumption, it is
most economical to slaughter them as they approach full size (Ibid, 60). Such practice means that pigs would be killed at a younger age than cattle or sheep/goat, many of which are kept to older ages as they are providers of secondary products. Consequently, in an animal bone assemblage one would expect that fully fused mature pig bones will occur much less than for the other two species. This is often reflected in survival rates data as discarded immature pig bones will suffer greater levels of deterioration due to taphonomic factors than would fully mature and hardened specimens. For Roestown 2, when one compares the survival rates of the meat-bearing bones such as humerus, femur and tibia, their survival rates are much lower than for cattle or sheep/goat. The higher survival rates for scapula and reasonable survival rates for pelvis is expected as these are early fusing elements.


Figure 20 Roestown 2: Phase 1B \%Survival rates for pig.


Figure 21 Roestown 2: Phase 2A \%Survival rates for pig.


Figure 22 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \%Survival rates for pig.

Bones remains from all parts of the body were present for horse while loose teeth accounted for approximately $39 \%$ of the horse bone assemblage. $41 \%$ of horse elements were complete while a further $21 \%$ had a whole bone equivalent of $50 \%$ or greater. A range of disarticulated elements of dog were identified amongst the Roestown 2 collection. Three articulated dog skeletons were also retrieved. Cat bones were present including specimens from all parts of the body. For red deer, as previously mentioned, only one post-cranial specimen was observed while fragments of antler were also identified. A number of bones were identified as mouse and as will be discussed in more detail, the majority of these were from the unphased material while some were retrieved from the souterrain (F507).

### 3.2 Ageing Data and its Interpretation

Both forms of ageing data were recorded for cattle, sheep/goat and pig. Epiphyseal fusion data only, was recorded for horse, dog and cat. Tooth wear data was initially recorded for the three main domesticates separately phase by phase. The resulting age-slaughter patterns can be seen in Figures A1-A6 and A25-A33. In order to allow more concise presentation of findings, the ageing data from several phases was combined as outlined in Table 2. Because of the limited amount of tooth and mandible wear data produced for some phases, it was not always possible to construct reliable ageslaughter patterns. Therefore presentation and discussion of patterns concentrates on the more reliable datasets while the smaller, more limited data is presented but could not be interpreted to the same extent.

| Combined Phases: | Date: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A | Mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to Mid $7^{\text {th }}$ century |
| Phase 2B \& 3A | $8^{\text {th }}$ century |
| Phase 3B \& 4 | $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century |
| Phase 5 | $13^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ century |
| Phase 6 | Post-Medieval to Modern |
| F507 |  |
| Unphased Area A |  |
| Unphased Area B |  |

Table 2 Roestown 2: Combined phasing adopted for more concise presentation of zooarchaeological findings.

The age-slaughter patterns identified for Roestown 2 were then compared to findings for the Early Medieval animal bone assemblage from Knowth. The contemporary Phases/Stages are outlined in Table 3.

| Roestown 2 Phase: | Knowth Stage: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, 2 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}$ <br> $\left(\right.$ Mid $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ century $)$ | Stage 8 <br> $\left(7^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}\right.$ century $)$ |
| Phase $3 \mathrm{~B}, 4$ | Stage 9 <br> $\left(10^{\text {th }}-11^{\text {th }}\right.$ century $)$ |
| $\left(10^{\text {th }}-11^{\text {th }}\right.$ century $)$ | Stage 10 <br> $\left(\right.$ late $12^{\text {th }}-16^{\text {th }}$ century $)$ <br> Phase 5 <br> $\left(13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}\right.$ century $)$ |

Table 3 Roestown 2: Roestown 2 phases compared with contemporary Knowth stages.

In order to recognise animal husbandry practices that may be evident in age-slaughter patterns it should be borne in mind that if livestock are being bred for a meat-producing economy it would be best practice to slaughter animals as they approach maturity (Payne 1973, Davis 1987, 157 or McCormick and Murray 2007, 60). Contrasting with this, an economy centred on secondary products such as milk or wool production would require survival of livestock to older ages (Davis 1987, 158).

### 3.2.1 Cattle Tooth Wear (Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4 and 5)

It is fortunate that documentary evidence and substantial mammalian bone assemblages of Early Medieval date have provided valuable information regarding cattle exploitation for this period in Ireland. As a result, it has been possible to identify certain patterns that one might expect to find when analysing contemporary cattle tooth wear data. The typical age-slaughter pattern for Early Medieval Ireland would be one adhering to a cattle-based dairying economy. A proposed model of dairying, drawing on documentary sources and zooarchaeological evidence, suggests that under such a strategy, only a minority of calves would be slaughtered (McCormick 1992 and McCormick and Murray 2007, 52-54). This is especially applicable to calves younger than six months due to the
fact that it was necessary to have the calf present in order that a cow would yield her milk (Kelly 2000, 38). Therefore in an Early Medieval assemblage one may expect to find little slaughter of young calves. In their analysis of cattle ageing data from Moynagh, Co. Meath (a reliable dataset due to its large size) McCormick and Murray have identified and interpreted particular trends which in general compare with their findings for contemporary Knowth (2007, 52-54). At Knowth the evidence indicates that few calves were slaughtered. The main peak in slaughter of cattle occurs in their second and third years ( $60 \%$ for Stage 8 and $53 \%$ for Stage 9) while animals older than 36 months account for smaller proportions ( $35 \%$ for Stage 8 and 41\% for Stage 9). Moynagh presents a similar pattern. Animals killed in the second and third years are interpreted as being slaughtered specifically as a meat source. The older animals being kept up to four years and older are believed to be representative of males kept for traction and breeding and females kept for dairying. For Knowth and other contemporary sites, biometrical data has indicated that this older age group of cattle is dominated by females. Consequently, the conclusion is that the majority of the animals slaughtered for meat in their second and third years are male (Ibid).

A summary of mandible wear stages recorded for cattle from all phases is detailed in Table A15. For Roestown 2, it is immediately apparent that old animals dominate the cattle assemblage from the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ up to the $14^{\text {th }}$ century (Phase 1 A to Phase 5). Slaughter of animals below the age of 13 months is minimal $(1.7 \%$ for Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $1.8 \%$ for Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A})$ as would be expected for an Early Medieval setting. More substantial slaughter of animals occurs in their second and third years $(43.3 \%$ for Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A, $16 \%$ for Phase 2B \& 3A, $41.6 \%$ for Phase 3B \& 4 and $10 \%$ for Phase 5). But it is animals over the age of three years that strongly outnumber any other age category ( $55 \%$ for Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A, $82.5 \%$ for Phase 2B \& 3A, $58.3 \%$ for Phase 3B \& 4 and $90 \%$ for Phase 5). As illustrated in Figure 23, the majority of these older animals are in the age range of 40 to over 50 months old.

The Roestown 2 age-slaughter patterns have been compared with the contemporary Knowth data to investigate the similarities or differences occurring. Phases 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B and 3A of Roestown 2 span the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century while Knowth Stage 8 equates to $7^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century. The pattern of slaughter contrasts at the two sites. While the main peak in slaughter at Roestown 2 clearly targets animals over three years, cattle at Knowth are predominantly in the two to three years age bracket when killed. Slaughter of calves below the age of one year is similarly meagre for both sites. The policy of slaughtering two and three year old animals is evident for Roestown 2 and may be interpreted as livestock providing a meat source to the inhabitants. However, it cannot be disputed that cattle beyond the age of three years are most prevalent. If one refers to Figures A1-A5 where age slaughter data is presented individually for each phase, most of the cattle from this period are $40-50$ months or over 50 months of age.


Figure 23 Roestown 2: Cattle age-slaughter compared for combined phases following Grant (1982, 92) and Higham (1967, 104).
Phase $1 A, 1 B \& 2 A=$ mid 6 th to mid 7 th century $(N=60)$. Phase $2 B \& 3 A=8$ th century $(N=57)$, Phase $3 B$ \& $4=10$ th to 11 th century $(N=12)$, Phase $5=13$ th to 14 th century $(N=10)$.


Figure 24 Roestown 2: Cattle age-slaughter for Phases 1A, 1B \& 2A combined, Phases 2B \& 3A combined compared with Knowth Stage 8.
Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}=\operatorname{mid} 6$ th to mid 7 th century. Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}=8$ th century. Knowth Stage $8=7$ th to 8 th century.
Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A} N=60$. Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A} N=57$. Knowth Stage $8 \mathrm{~N}=20$.

Roestown 2 Phase 3B and 4 and Knowth Stage 9 are $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century in date. The Roestown 2 pattern is hampered by being based on a small dataset $(\mathrm{N}=12)$. However, the trend is similar to that established for the earlier phases. Again Roestown 2 is dominated by the slaughter of animals older than three years while although less common, a practice of killing animals in their second and third year is also evident. There is no slaughter of calves younger than one year for this period. Of the 12 Roestown 2 specimens, one was assigned an age of 40 months, two 50 months and four over 50 months (Higham 1967, 104). The pattern for Knowth shows some consistency with that identified for Stage 8 in that a minor amount of slaughter of young calves is observed while the peak slaughter continues to be of animals in their second and third year. However there is a marked change as a greater proportion of the Stage 9 animals are killed beyond three years old. For $7^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century Knowth $60 \%$ of cattle were killed in their second or third year while $35 \%$ were killed at a later age. For the $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century material, $53 \%$ were slaughtered in the former age group while $41 \%$ were slaughtered as older animals. This higher incidence of older animals for Stage 9 is highlighted by McCormick and Murray as being irregular when compared with other contemporary sites such as Moynagh or Deer Park Farms (2007, 57-58). The patterns for Roestown 2 would therefore appear to be considerably more irregular as the incidence of older cattle is even greater.


Figure 25 Roestown 2: Cattle age-slaughter for Phases $3 B$ \& 4 combined compared with Knowth Stage 9.
Phase 3B \& $4=10$ th to 11 th century. Knowth Stage $9=10$ th to 11 th century. Phase 3B \& $4 N=12$. Knowth Stage $9 N=61$.

The significant increase in older cattle for Knowth Stage 9 is considered as possibly indicative of post-ringfort settlement where the livestock economy has changed dramatically as the dairy cow loses its previous status as the principal currency of the Early Medieval period (Ibid, 57). The extent of older cattle is highlighted as coming close to the distribution observed for the $10^{\text {th }}$ to early $11^{\text {th }}$ century urban site of Fishamble Street, Dublin (Ibid). As an urban site, provision of beef required supply from outside producers and consequently there would have been less availability of younger cattle than for rural settings. The zooarchaeological data established that older animals dominated the Dublin meat market in the form of male and female animals that had gone beyond usefulness for dairying, traction and reproduction (Ibid). In considering this evidence, McCormick and Murray propose that Knowth Stage 9, demonstrating a similar trend, may represent uncharacteristic rural settlement. Rather than its food being supplied through produce of its own and of clients, it seems credible that some of the beef supply is being provided on a commercial basis from outside suppliers (Ibid). This theory is not applicable to the earlier Roestown 2 evidence i.e. Phase 1A-3A, as this data dates within the period when the dairy cow was still the principle unit of currency in Ireland. It is also before the establishment of Viking urban settlement. Nonetheless Figure 26 clearly illustrates the close similarity between the Roestown 2 slaughter patterns of mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century date and the Fishamble Street data. The husbandry practices evident at these two sites appear to have a lot in common.


Figure 26 Roestown 2: Cattle age-slaughter pattern for Roestown 2 compared with Fishamble Street and Knowth.

It has recently emerged, through evidence from other large Early Medieval assemblages retrieved from M3 sites, that age-slaughter patterns for cattle indicate a dominance of animals older than 3 years. The findings from contemporary phases of Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 (Foster 2009a), Boyerstown 3 (Foster 2009b) and Collierstown 1 (Foster 2009c) will be discussed below.

The Roestown 2 Phase 5 and Knowth Stage 10 datasets are both small ( $\mathrm{N}=10$ and 5 respectively). The dominance of animals older than three years continues at Roestown 2 and as Figure A6 specifies, animals $40-50$ and over 50 months old account for $70 \%$ and $10 \%$ of this phase respectively. As already mentioned, caution is required when interpreting such a small amount of data and it is not possible to present definitive conclusion for a dataset as limited as this one.


Figure 27: Cattle age-slaughter for Phase 5 compared with Knowth Stage 10.
Phase $5=13$ th to 14 th century. Knowth Stage $10=$ late 12 th to 16 th century. Phase $5 \mathrm{~N}=10$. Knowth Stage $10 \mathrm{~N}=5$.

Overall the Roestown 2 evidence seems to suggest a cattle economy that deviates to a considerable extent from that previously established for other contemporary sites. The overwhelming dominance of cattle beyond the age of three and in some cases four years could potentially be biased by processes of taphonomy as discussed earlier (section 3.1.3). Taphonomy may be defined as "The study of the environmental phenomena and processes that affect organic remains after death", (Davis 1987, 17). Such processes may include a wide variety of influences e.g. nature of soil, practices of inhabitants such as tendency to leaving animal bones exposed when discarded thereby creating the potential to be gnawed by carnivores and rodents, be trampled under foot or damaged by weathering. If calf mandible specimens from Roestown 2 have not survived the taphonomic factors they were exposed to due to their more fragile nature, the resulting under-representation may partially explain the dominance of older animals.

By considering the tooth wear evident for loose mandibular first and second molars (M1 or M2) as well as for deciduous fourth premolars (dP4), it should be possible to identify if the mandible wear data is biased towards older animals. As loose teeth should survive even if the mandibles they belong to are immature and do not survive, the presence of unworn M1 or M2 and dP4 in early wear should indicate the presence of calves that might be overlooked when the ageing of mandibles is applied. Unworn M1 and M2 signify cattle in the age range of 0-18 months while dP4 that are in wear indicate the presence of animals in the age range of 1-32 months (McCormick and Murray 2007, 55). Figures A7-A24 show the wear stages assigned to all M1 and M2s and dP4s for cattle. It is clear that the number of unworn M1 and M2s present is very small and while larger amounts of dP 4 s in wear are present e.g. for Phase $2 \mathrm{~A}, 2 \mathrm{~B}$ or 3 A , the majority of these have been assigned older wear stages. Therefore, it would appear that the age-slaughter patterns produced from tooth and mandible wear data do not under-represent younger cattle at Roestown 2.

Figure 28 provides convincing evidence for the dominance of cattle older than 36 months, not only at Roestown 2 but also at some of the other contemporary sites excavated as part of the M3 Road Scheme. This was the case for Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 Phase 2 (Foster 2009a), Boyerstown 3 Phase 3 and Boyerstown 3 Phase 4 (Foster 2009b) where 59\%, 44.9\%, $66.7 \%$ and $75 \%$ respectively were slaughtered in this age category. In four groups out of the eleven illustrated here, more animals were slaughtered in their second and third year than at an older age. For Dowdstown 2 Phase 2 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 Phase 3-5 (Foster 2009a), Boyerstown 3 Phase 1-2 (Foster 2009b) and Collierstown 1 Phase 1-3 (Foster 2009c) majorities of 43.1\%, 45\%, $44.4 \%$ and $51.6 \%$ respectively were killed during their second or third year. Therefore it would appear that two practices are evident in the Early Medieval cattle slaughter patterns for the larger M3 assemblages. In one, peak slaughter occurs in 2-3 year old animals and in the other peak slaughter occurs in animals above the age of 36 months. So the slaughter patterns previously established for Early Medieval cattle (such as at Knowth, Moynagh and Deer Park Farms) with minimal slaughter of calves below 12 months, peak slaughter in animals of 2-3 years old as a meat source and a lesser percentage of slaughter in animals older than 36 months are replicated in some of the M3 datasets. However it is also clear that in other cases, the dominance of older animals is real as it occurs in seven out of the eleven datasets presented below. A conscious replacement of one of these strategies with the other does not seem likely as they are not separated chronologically but co-exist.


Figure 28 Roestown 2: Cattle age-slaughter patterns compared with those for other Early Medieval M3 sites.
Roestown 2 Phase 1A-2A = mid 6th-mid 7th century $(N=60)$. Roestown $2 B-3 A=8$ th century $(N=57)$. Roestown 3B-4 = 10th-11th century ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ).
Dowdstown 2 Phase $2=5$ th- 7 th century $(\mathrm{N}=58$ ). Dowdstown 2 Phase $3=7$ th-10th century $(\mathrm{N}=34)$.
Castlefarm 1 Phase $2=7$ th- 9 th century $(\mathrm{N}=78)$. Castlefarm 1 Phase $3-5=8$ th-10th century ( $\mathrm{N}=40$ ).
Boyerstown 3 Phase $1-2=5$ th- 7 th century $(N=18)$. Boyerstown 3 Phase $3=7$ th -9 th century $(N=12)$. Boyerstown 3 Phase $4=7$ th-10th century $(\mathrm{N}=8)$.
Collierstown 1 Phase 1-3 $=5$ th-7th century $(\mathrm{N}=31)$.
The zooarchaeological evidence has shown that as the full range of skeletal elements was present and in some cases pre-natal or young elements were recorded, cattle were bred, reared, slaughtered and consumed at these M3 sites. The dominance of older cattle contrasts with previously established patterns. But considering that it is evident for various phases at different contemporary M3 sites, this makes it more likely that these patterns genuinely represent a livestock management practice commonly adhered to throughout the Early Medieval period. This evidence may simply indicate that the inhabitants of these sites practiced farming methods where the majority of cattle lived to at least four or five years old as they were exploited foremost for dairy products, traction and breeding.

### 3.2.2 Cattle Epiphyseal Fusion (Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4 and 5)

Various authors have outlined some of the problems associated with the reliability of epiphyseal fusion data. It is therefore considered only briefly for comparative purposes with the tooth and mandible wear based age patterns. Tables A16-A18 illustrate the fusion data observed for all cattle specimens. States of epiphyseal fusion were compiled under the early, middle and late fusing categories of Reitz and Wing (1999, 76). An example of how this data is interpreted may be seen if one considers the proximal metapodium of Phase 1A. A total of 42.5 specimens were observed as fully fused while a single specimen was unfused. This indicates that the 42.5 specimens came from post-natal animals while the unfused specimen suggests the presence of a pre-natal calf. A total of five proximal metapodial cattle specimens were observed as unfused. All were retrieved from the mid 6th-8th century material. This evidence suggests at least some cattle breeding was practiced at Roestown at this time. It is clear that a dominance of older animals is represented in the fusion data as fused specimens outweigh unfused in every category and for all phases from the mid 6th -14th century. Even in the late fusing data, i.e. where bones do not fuse until the age range of 42-48 months, fused specimens are more prevalent thereby indicating a higher frequency of mature and old than immature cattle.

### 3.2.3 Cattle Ageing Data (F507, Phase 6, Unphased Area A and B)

The ageing data for cattle from F507 (occupation deposit of the souterrain), Post-Medieval to Modern Phase 6, and Unphased Areas A and B is limited. Where mandible wear stages could be assigned, no animal below the age of two years is represented while some are over 50 months. (See Table A14 for summary of tooth wear for $\mathrm{N}<10$ ). A single dP4 in early wear from F507 was observed while one unworn M1/2 and two dP4s in early wear from Unphased Area B are evident of young calves. The fusion data also indicates more mature animals as the norm.

### 3.2.4 Sheep/Goat Tooth Wear (Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B and 3A)

The age-slaughter data for sheep/goat suggests that older animals also dominate for this species at Roestown 2. A summary of mandible wear stages recorded for all phases is provided in Table A20. Animals of $28+$ months are the most plentiful age category for all phases from mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ century. However, the amount of mandible wear data varied and for some phases it is so small that definitive patterns could not be established (this includes Phase 3B, 4 and 5). Fortunately more extensive datasets were recorded for the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century phases allowing establishment of a more reliable age-slaughter pattern for this period. The Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A pattern is based on 73 specimens while the Phase 2B and 3A pattern was constructed based on 46 specimens. Figure 29 shows that for the former group a minor amount of lambs ( $6.9 \%$ ) were killed below the age of one year. A more substantial amount of animals ( $35.5 \%$ ) were slaughtered between the ages of 12-28 months while the majority ( $57.5 \%$ ) were older than 28 months when slaughtered. In the Phase 2B
and 3A group, a single specimen (2.2\%) died under the age of five months some animals (30.5\%) were slaughtered in the 12-28 months but once again, slaughter of animals over the age of 28 months is most prevalent ( $67.4 \%$ ).


Figure 29 Roestown 2: Sheep/Goat age-slaughter compared for combined phases following Payne (1973 and 1987) and Higham (1967, 106).
Phase $1 A, 1 B \& 2 A=$ mid 6 th to mid 7 th century $(N=73)$. Phase $2 B \& 3 A=8$ th century $(N=46)$, Phase $3 B$ \& $4=10$ th to 11 th century $(N=12)$, Phase $5=13$ th to 14 th century $(N=4)$.

The Roestown 2 pattern is in stark contrast to that found at contemporary Knowth where the majority of animals were slaughtered between 12-28 months, only a small number were killed as lambs below 12 months and few lived beyond 28 months (McCormick and Murray 2007, 58-59). This peak in slaughter has also been identified for sheep/goat at other contemporary sites (Ibid). The Knowth slaughter pattern would suggest a focus on sheep/goat as a meat source rather than being bred primarily for secondary products such as milk or wool. However, from Early Medieval documentary sources, Kelly has established that the prime role of sheep was as wool suppliers and their meat was not considered of any particular importance. This is borne out by a legal passage that outlines the qualities of sheep as it is mainly concerned with quality of the fleece and skin and does not refer to the meat at all $(2000,67)$. The consumption of mutton is referred to where payment of food-rents included mutton of wethers i.e. male castrates (apart from the few animals selected to grow for breeding purposes, males were castrated after weaning) (Ibid, 69). One suggested interpretation of the Knowth pattern is that the 12-28 month peak indicates killing of wethers for meat (McCormick and Murray 2007, 59). An alternative interpretation is also presented. The documentary evidence makes it clear that the sheep of Early Medieval Ireland were predominantly brown and black fleeced while white-fleeced sheep were uncommon (Kelly 2000, 70). It is known from the primitive breeds of today such as the Soay sheep of Saint Kilda, Outer Hebrides, that wool of the brown/black fleeced varieties is more oily and coarse so that young sheep provide fleeces for
finer quality wool than more mature animals (McCormick and Murray 2007, 59). Therefore, it is possible that sheep were slaughtered at an earlier age in Ireland but that this is reflective of an economy focused on provision of wool rather than meat. The low occurrence of older sheep in the Knowth assemblage has been identified at other contemporary sites such as Deer Park Farms, Moynagh and Fishamble Street (Ibid). Up until now the evidence has suggested that older sheep were only kept in small numbers in Early Medieval Ireland (Ibid).

The Roestown 2 results do not conform to this pattern. Figure 30 shows those animals older than 28 months dominate both the mid 6th to mid 7th century and the 8th century groups of data. If one examines the individual age-slaughter patterns constructed for each phase (Figures A25-A29) it can be seen that in all phases animals over 28 months account for at least $54 \%$ of specimens aged by tooth and mandible wear. In Phases 1A and 1B $62.5 \%$ and $58.3 \%$ (respectively) of sheep/goat were of the mature or adult age categories. For Phase 2A mature, adult or old animals accounted for $54.1 \%$ of the aged specimens. Mature or adult animals comprised $64.3 \%$ of those from Phase 2B while Phase 3A included $72.2 \%$ in the mature, adult and old categories. It would therefore seem that the exploitation of sheep/goat at Roestown 2 is subject to different influences than those that dictate the animal husbandry practice at Knowth or some of the other contemporary sites previously referred to.


Figure 30 Roestown 2: Sheep/Goat age-slaughter for Phases 1A, 1B \& 2A combined, Phases 2B \& 3A combined compared with Knowth Stage 8.
Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{mid} 6$ th to mid 7 th century. Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}=8$ th century. Knowth Stage $8=7$ th to 8 th century. Phase 1A, 1B \& $2 \mathrm{~A} N=73$. Phase 2B \& $3 \mathrm{~A} N=46$. Knowth Stage $8 \mathrm{~N}=25$.

There was some focus on slaughter of animals in the 12-28 month age category ( $35.5 \%$ for Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $30.5 \%$ for Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}$ ) and perhaps these animals, as at other contemporary sites, represent those slaughtered for provision of finer quality fleeces and wethers used as a meat source. But other explanations must be explored for interpretation of the larger distribution of older animals.

When the age distribution for sheep/goat from Roestown 2 is compared with that of other contemporary M3 sites it shows there is variation with peak slaughter occurring in 12-28 month olds for Dowdstown 2 Phase 2 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 Phase 2 and Phase 3-5 (Foster 2009a) and Collierstown Phase 1-3 (Foster 2009c). Animals older than 28 months are dominant for Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 (Coles 2009) and Boyerstown 3 Phase 1-2 (Foster 2009b). These varying distributions cannot be attributed to intentional change in strategy at a given period in time as the 12-28 month peak is observed for datasets ranging in date from $5^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ century, $7^{\text {th }}$ to $9^{\text {th }}$ century and $8^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ century. Similarly the peak in animals older than 28 months is evident for $5^{\text {th }}$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ century and $7^{\text {th }}$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ century datasets. Consequently some other factors must have influenced the different practices that have been identified.


Figure 31 Roestown 2: Sheep/Goat age-slaughter pattern compared with those for other Early Medieval M3 sites.
Roestown 2 Phase 1A-2A = mid 6th-mid 7th century $(\mathrm{N}=73)$. Roestown $2 \mathrm{~B}-3 \mathrm{~A}=8$ th century $(\mathrm{N}=46)$. Roestown 3B-4 = 10th-11th century ( $\mathrm{N}=12$ ).
Dowdstown 2 Phase $2=5$ th- 7 th century $(\mathrm{N}=49)$. Dowdstown 2 Phase $3=7$ th-10th century $(\mathrm{N}=43)$.
Castlefarm 1 Phase $2=7$ th- 9 th century $(\mathrm{N}=17)$. Castlefarm 1 Phase $3-5=8$ th-10th century $(\mathrm{N}=23)$.
Boyerstown 3 Phase $1-2=5$ th- 7 th century $(\mathrm{N}=13)$. Boyerstown 3 Phase $3=7$ th- 9 th century $(\mathrm{N}=5)$.
Collierstown 1 Phase $1-3=5$ th- 7 th century $(\mathrm{N}=18)$.

### 3.2.5 Sheep/Goat Epiphyseal Fusion (Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B and 3A)

The epiphyseal fusion data for sheep corroborates the low incidence of young lambs identified in the tooth and mandible wear data (Tables A21-A23). In the early fusing age category a minimum of $95 \%$ of all specimens were fused for the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century material. Fused specimens are more prevalent in the middle fusing category although a greater proportion of unfused elements are evident. This indicates the presence of some animals below the age of 15-28 months (Reitz and Wing 1999, 76) also reflected in the tooth and mandible wear records. In the late fusing category, in some phases unfused specimens are dominant e.g. Phase 2A, 2B and 3A display $57 \%, 56 \%$ and $52 \%$ of elements as unfused thereby indicating the animals represented were younger than 30-42 months or 36-42 months when slaughtered. However, the fusion data also suggests that for Phase 1 A and $1 \mathrm{~B}, 65 \%$ and $58 \%$ of late fusing elements were fused so that these sheep had at least achieved 30-42 or 36-42 months of age before death (Ibid). Only one proximal metapodial was found to be unfused indicating that the animal it belonged to was pre-natal (Ibid). This specimen was from Unphased Area B so its significance in signifying breeding of sheep is unreliable in stratigraphical terms.

### 3.2.6 Sheep/Goat Ageing Data (Phase 3B, 4, 5, F507, 6, Unphased Area A and B)

Table A19 shows the mandible wear stages assigned for specimens from all other phases. For Phases 3B, 4, 5, 6 and Unphased Area A the total number of mandible wear stages assigned was less than 10 therefore, age-slaughter patterns were not constructed for these more limited datasets. A dominance of older animals is again demonstrated although the Phase 4 data includes three much younger specimens aged at $3-5$ months, $9-10$ months and 10-11 months (Higham 1967, 106). The age-slaughter pattern derived for Unphased Area B is illustrated in Figure A30. No young animals are evident with animals aged 21-26 months accounting for $50 \%$ while mature and adult specimens comprise $20 \%$ and $30 \%$ respectively. No mandible wear stages were assigned for F507 material. In terms of epiphyseal fusion data, the $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century material of Phases 3B and 4 suggest prevalence of older animals (Table A22). Phase 3B in particular is dominated by fully fused specimens with $25 \%$ of the late fusing category being the only portion in an unfused state. $66.7 \%$ of the Phase 4 late fusing category was observed as unfused indicating that the animals they belonged to were not yet 36-42 months old when slaughtered. Therefore, it is still possible that these animals were older than the prime slaughter age of 12-28 months identified for other sites. The Phase 5 data shows that for this $13-14^{\text {th }}$ century material over $90 \%$ of early and middle fusing specimens were fused (Table A22). In the late fusing category only $36 \%$ were fused while $64 \%$ were unfused but this only indicates that $64 \%$ were below the age ranges of 30-42 or 36-42 months (Reitz and Wing 1999, 67). No sheep/goat specimens with state of fusion were retrieved from F507. For the PostMedieval to Modern Phase 6, Unphased Area A and Unphased Area B epiphyseal fusion data is displayed in Tables A22-A23.

### 3.2.7 Pig Tooth Wear (Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B and 3A)

Pigs differ from cattle or sheep/goat in that they do not provide secondary products like milk or wool, therefore they may be considered as being reared entirely for meat supply (McCormick and Murray 2007, 60). Lard derived from pigs also appears to have been consumed in preference to that of cattle or sheep in Early Medieval Ireland (Kelly 2000, 86). In such a scenario it is most efficient to slaughter livestock when they reach full size, or at least when the rate of growth starts to decline (McCormick and Murray 2007, 60). The Early Medieval documentary sources inform us that piglets were born in spring and kept in the farm area until the beginning of August (Kelly 2000, 81). They were then considered strong enough to be moved to feeding and living in woodland. This new woodland location might be close to or far from home and was overseen by swineherds (Ibid, 82). A large variety of food types were fed to pigs but acorns were a particularly significant source (Ibid, 82-83). Acorn crops, ready for consumption in September and October, facilitated fattening of young animals prior to their impending slaughter or building up of reserves to enable their survival through the winter (Ibid, 83).

It was possible to assign mandible wear stages to 79 pig specimens from Roestown 2. Ageslaughter patterns can be considered as reliable for the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century data only as for all other phases the number of specimens was less than ten. Figure 32 shows a minor amount of slaughtering of animals between 7 and 12 months of age with a clear dominance of killing pigs in the 17 to 23 months age range. The presence of a minor amount of animals over 27 months most likely represents older animals that had been kept until this age for breeding purposes. For Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B}$ and 2 A no animals below the age of 17 months were evident, $76.8 \%$ were slaughtered in the 17-23 months old age range while animals older than 23 months accounted for $23.2 \%$. The Phase 2 B and 3 A data displays the same trend as $5 \%$ of the pigs from this period were slaughtered between the ages of 7 and 12 months, a majority of $80 \%$ were killed in the 17-23 months category and $15 \%$ of the animals lived beyond the age of 23 months.


Figure 32 Roestown 2: Pig age-slaughter compared for combined phases following Grant (1982, 94) and Higham $(1967,105)$.
Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}=$ mid 6th to mid 7th century $(\mathrm{N}=43)$. Phase 2B \& 3A=8th century $(\mathrm{N}=20)$, Phase 3B \& $4=10$ th to 11 th century $(N=2)$, Phase $5=13$ th to 14 th century $(N=2)$.

Figure 33 demonstrates that the Roestown 2 pattern is in strong agreement with that established for contemporary Knowth Stage 8. There, a small slaughter peak was observed during the first autumn/winter (at approx. 7-11 months old) while the main peak occurred the following autumn/winter in animals aged 17-23 months (McCormick and Murray 2007, 60-61).


Figure 33 Roestown 2: Pig age-slaughter for Phases 1A, 1B \& 2A combined, Phases 2B \& 3A combined compared with Knowth Stage 8.
Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{mid} 6$ th to mid 7 th century. Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}=8$ th century. Knowth Stage $8=7$ th to 8 th century.
Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A N = 43. Phase 2B \& 3A N = 20. Knowth Stage $8 \mathrm{~N}=20$.

This is a pattern evident at other contemporary rural sites such as Moynagh and Deer Park Farms (Ibid, 62) and confirms a pig-rearing economy focused on providing meat. It was possible to determine sex for 28 mandibles from Knowth and the youngest of these were identified as male. This is considered a small dataset but the larger assemblage from Fishamble Street, Dublin provided a similar pattern as all of the older pigs here were confirmed as female (Ibid, 62-63). The evidence is interpreted as indicating only a few males tended to be retained for breeding purposes with all other males being killed for meat as they reached full size in their second winter. Females were kept as they could all potentially produce litters. Assuming that sows first reproduce at two years old, the zooarchaeological evidence suggests that they were kept to produce one litter and then were slaughtered as few sows aged 30 months or more were evident amongst the Fishamble Street assemblage (Ibid). The presence of neonatal bones would indicate the breeding and rearing of pigs at a location. Neonatal bones were retrieved for all three stages at Knowth as well as at other contemporary sites including Deer Park Farms, Marshes Upper and Fishamble Street (Ibid, 63).

For Roestown 2, it was only possible to determine sex for eleven specimens with mandible wear stages. Consequently it was not possible to infer how livestock was managed in terms of older animals being dominated by females or males. Sex was determined based on examination of the morphology of the canine tooth. The root of the male canine tooth is very wide and as a result it often survives in situ in mandible specimens. In contrast to this, the female canine narrows significantly towards the root. Canine teeth for pig were classified when recorded to database as F (female based on morphology of tooth), M (male based on morphology of tooth), Fa (female based on morphology of alveolus in absence of tooth), Ma (male based on morphology of alveolus in absence of tooth), P (tooth present but sex indeterminate) or A (alveolus present but sex indeterminate). Table A26 shows that eight males and two females with assigned ages have been confirmed in the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century material. Nine of these were at least 17 months old while a single male specimen from Phase 3 A is the youngest animal represented and falls into the $10-11$ month age category. This is likely to have been an animal selected for slaughter during its first autumn/winter. The oldest sexed animals are in the 23-25 month age range where one female and one male are evident. Unfortunately, reliable conclusion on the sex distribution of pigs at Roestown 2 is not possible due to the limited number of aged mandibles with sex determined. Sex determination for pig was also considered for loose mandibular and maxillary canine teeth. Details of these findings are recorded in Tables A27 and A28 and will be discussed in section 3.5.

Although the age-slaughter patterns for Roestown 2 pigs repeat those established for Knowth and contemporary sites such as Moynagh and Deer Park Farms (McCormick and Murray 2007, 62), when they are compared with other M3 sites, more variation is evident. The Roestown data clearly illustrates that peak slaughter occurs in animals aged between 17 and 23 months (although Phase

3B-4 is based on only 2 specimens). However, Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 is the only other dataset where peak slaughter (31.3\%) is in this category (Coles 2009). An equal peak is shared with the older category of 23-27 months as $31.3 \%$ of animals from Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 were slaughtered at this age (Ibid). A strategy of slaughtering younger pigs seems to have been in operation for Dowdstown 2 Phase 2 (Ibid) and Castlefarm 1 Phase 3-5 (Foster 2009a) where peak slaughter occurred in 12-17 month olds. Boyerstown 3 Phase 1-2 had two equal peaks with $36.4 \%$ being killed at 7-12 and 12-17 months (Foster 2009b). Phase 3 of Boyerstown 3 demonstrated a peak in slaughter of piglets below the age of seven months (Ibid). While this may indicate deliberate slaughter of animals for consumption of suckling pig, it should be kept in mind that this particular pattern is based on a small dataset of only nine specimens (Ibid, 19). Overall, the data suggests that a variety of management strategies were applied to the herds of pigs represented at the M3 sites, some were killed at younger ages than the optimal for meat supply. Only at Roestown 2 and Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 does peak slaughter occur in the $17-23$ month age category which is considered the norm based on previous research.


Figure 34 Roestown 2: Pig age-slaughter pattern compared with those for other Early Medieval M3 sites.
Roestown 2 Phase 1A-2A = mid 6th-mid 7th century $(N=43)$. Roestown $2 B-3 A=8$ th century $(N=20)$. Roestown 3B-4 = 10th-11th century ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ).
Dowdstown 2 Phase $2=5$ th- 7 th century $(\mathrm{N}=30)$. Dowdstown 2 Phase $3=7$ th-10th century $(\mathrm{N}=35)$.
Castlefarm 1 Phase $2=7$ th- 9 th century $(~ N=81)$. Castlefarm 1 Phase 3-5 $=8$ th-10th century $(\mathrm{N}=48)$.
Boyerstown 3 Phase 1-2 $=5$ th-7th century $(\mathrm{N}=11)$. Boyerstown 3 Phase $3=7$ th- 9 th century $(\mathrm{N}=9)$.
Collierstown 1 Phase $1-3=5$ th- 7 th century $(\mathrm{N}=12)$.

### 3.2.8 Pig Epiphyseal Fusion (Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B and 3A)

The mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century fusion data reflects the slaughter pattern identified through the tooth and mandible wear evidence (Tables A29-A30). In the early fusing age category only one specimen (Phase 2B) suggests breeding of pigs at the site. An unfused proximal metapodial was recorded, as this zone fuses before birth (Reitz and Wing 1999, 76) its unfused state signifies a pre-natal animal. Other unfused specimens in this category represent animals younger than 12 months when slaughtered. Once again, a practice of killing pigs in their first autumn/winter is indicated. The majority of late fusing specimens were observed as in an unfused state. This is in agreement with the hypothesis that only a small amount of animals were kept alive beyond three years old.

### 3.2.9 Pig Ageing Data (Phase 3B, 4, 5, 6, F507, Unphased Area A and B)

As previously mentioned pig tooth and mandible wear data for phases later than the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century material was too limited to facilitate reliable interpretation. The few mandible wear stages assigned for Phase 4 ( $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century), Phase 5 ( $13^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ century) and Phase 6 (Post-Medieval to Modern) represent animals aged 19 months and older (Higham 1967, 105). Five mandible wear stages were assigned to Unphased Area A specimens while the same number were allocated for specimens from Unphased Area B. Three young specimens are indicated by age ranges of 4-6, 9-10 and 11-12 months. The remaining seven specimens were from animals older than 17 months (Ibid). (See Table A24 for full details). Fusion data for the $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century material is very limited ( $\mathrm{N}=$ 11) but reflects a similar pattern to that found for the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century material. Fused specimens dominate the early fusing category, one fused and two unfused specimens in the middle fusing category signify an animal at least two years old and an animal(s) below this age (Ibid). Three specimens from the late fusing category were all unfused as one might expect, signifying an animal(s) having been slaughtered before reaching three years old. Phase $5\left(13^{\text {th }}\right.$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ century $)$ displays similar trends as do the specimens recorded from Unphased Area A and Area B. (See Tables A30-A31 for full details).

### 3.2.10 Horse Ageing Data

The epiphyseal fusion data for horse remains from all phases is dominated by fully fused specimens (Tables A32-A34). A small number of unfused specimens indicate the presence of animals below the age of 3-3.5 years, 20-24 months and 13-15 months while an unfused distal femur was also recorded. It seems unlikely that horses were bred at Roestown 2 a suggestion that is strengthened by the fact that the teeth present for horse were permanent. The main functions of horse in Early Medieval Ireland were as working animals used for traction and transport (McCormick 2007, 93). Therefore, it is usual that their remains in archaeological settings are dominated by mature animals as they were kept until no longer suitable for working life.

### 3.2.11 Dog Ageing Data

Epiphyseal fusion data for dog shows the majority of this species to be mature animals (Tables A35-A37). An unfused specimen from Phase 1B and Phase 3A indicate the presence of an animal(s) below the age of 11-12 months (Silver 1969, 285-286) while one specimen from Phase 4 is less than 15 months of age (Ibid). Dog teeth were all found to be permanent and some were well worn, one canine tooth was observed to be so worn that its occlusal surface was almost completely dilapidated. Ante-mortem tooth loss was noted for a mandible from Phase 5 where the P1 and P3 had been lost.

### 3.2.12 Cat Ageing Data

Once again mature animals are most prevalent although some unfused specimens of cat were observed (Tables A38-A39). One unfused element from Phase 1 represents an animal younger than the 11.5-20 months age category (Habermehl 1961). For Phase 4 while $60 \%$ of specimens were found to be fused, $40 \%$ were unfused indicating the presence of some very young animals, below the age of 8.5 months while others were less than 11.5-20 months at the age of death. The only cat specimen with fusion data from F507 also indicates a very young individual below 8.5 months (Ibid and Smith 1969).

### 3.2.13 Red deer Ageing Data

Only one post-cranial specimen of red deer was found amongst the Roestown 2 assemblage. This was a distal radius which was fully fused (Table A40).

### 3.3 Biometrical Data

Biometrical data was recorded for all fused bones or fused bone fragments as appropriate. Summaries of measurements for cattle, sheep/goat and pig are detailed in Tables A41-A51. Number of specimens ( N ), mean, minimum, maximum, standard deviation and coefficient of variation is provided for all measurements where $\mathrm{N}>5$. All measurements recorded for horse, dog and cat are listed in Tables A52-A54. The majority of measurements follow the specifications of von den Driesch (1976) although other sources including Davis (1992 and 1982), Payne and Bull (1988), Payne (1969), Boessneck (1969) and Eisenmann (1986) are used. Definitions for all measurements used in analysis of the Roestown 2 assemblage are provided in Table A55. Estimated withers heights were calculated for all complete long bones of cattle, sheep/goat, pig, horse and dog. The multiplication factors of Harcourt $(1974,154)$ were used for dog while those quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck (1974) were used for the other four species.

### 3.3.1 Cattle

The estimated withers heights for cattle ranged from $105-123 \mathrm{~cm}$ (see Table A56). They were calculated following Fock and Matolcsi as outlined in von den Driesch and Boessneck $(1974,336)$. This stature compares as quite similar to that of contemporary Knowth where a range between 102 and 120 cm was observed (McCormick and Murray 2007, 80).


Figure 35: Roestown 2: Estimated withers heights for cattle following Fock and Matolcsi as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck (1974, 336).
Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A N = 35, Phase 2B \& 3A N = 23, Phase $5 N=1$, Unphased $N=8$.

### 3.3.2 Sheep/Goat

For calculation of estimated withers heights, sheep/goat specimens were assumed to be sheep and the multiplication factors of Teichert as specified in von den Driesch and Boessneck were applied (1974, 339). The stature for sheep at Roestown 2 ranged from 49-61cm (see Table A57). In comparison, heights calculated for sheep at Knowth (Stage 9) ranged from $50-62 \mathrm{~cm}$ with a mean height of 54 cm (McCormick and Murray 2007, 90). The mean estimated withers height for the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century specimens at Roestown 2 is 56.1 cm . This compares closely to the mean values for Lagore and Moynagh, which were 57.3 cm and 56.7 cm respectively (ibid, 185). The heights observed for these two sites were noted as representing animals of slightly larger stature than those at Knowth, Cahercommaun or Ballinderry I (Ibid $90 \& 185$ ).


Figure 36 Roestown 2: Estimated withers heights for sheep/goat following Teichert as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck (1974, 339).
Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A N = 15, Phase 2B \& 3A N = 4, Phase $4 N=1$, Phase $5 N=1$, Unphased N = 1 .

Research in England based on analysis of Bd measurements (greatest breadth of distal end) for tibiae and metapodials has indicated an increase in size of sheep in the Romano-British and AngloSaxon periods (Ibid, 95). Mean Bd values for Iron Age datasets provided few examples greater than 25 mm . Contrasting with this, some of the highest means observed were for Anglo-Saxon sites and ranged from $25-26.3 \mathrm{~mm}$. It is suggested that this is brought about by the introduction of improved stock and/or better husbandry practices (Ibid). The data for Early Medieval Ireland does not reflect any such development as the stature of sheep from this time corresponds more closely with preimprovement animals of the Iron Age than with those of Romano-British or Anglo-Saxon Britain (McCormick 1991b, 42-43). The Knowth data is in agreement with this trend as the mean Bd for Stage 8 was 24.2 mm and for Stage 9 was 23 mm (McCormick and Murray 2007, 95). The measurements recorded for Roestown 2 are in line with the pattern observed for Knowth and other contemporary Irish sites. The mean Bd for Phase 1A-3A was $23.1 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~N}=63)$ and for Phase 3B-4 was $22.8 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~N}=4)$, therefore the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century and $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century sheep at Roestown 2 do not suggest any improvement in livestock but rather reflect similar stature to the unimproved British types.

Positive identification of specimens as either sheep or goat was considered during analysis when close inspection for recognised distinguishing morphological traits was carried out. A total of 309 specimens were positively identified as sheep (Table A58) and no specimens were confirmed as goat. Biometrical data was also analysed in case it would demonstrate the presence of goat. Measurements recorded for calcanei, humeri and distal metacarpals were plotted as illustrated in Figures A34-A39 however none of these measurements stood out as potential evidence for goat being present at Roestown 2. In addition, index calculations were considered for the Ddm,
maximum depth of medial trochlea (Davis 1992) and Dtm, depth of external trochlea of medial condyle (Payne 1969) of distal metacarpals. Boessneck found that the index calculated for sheep metacarpals was always over 63 while for goat the index of one specimen was confirmed as exactly 63 but for all others it was below this ( $1969,354-355$ ). Table A59 shows that the index calculations for 11 Roestown 2 specimens were all above 63 with 64.1 being the lowest index calculated. This provides further indication that the majority of animals at Roestown 2 were sheep. The lack of evidence for goat is in agreement with general findings for the Early Medieval period as it only occurs rarely in rural animal bone assemblages (McCormick and Murray 2007, 42). Therefore while reference is made throughout this report to sheep/goat, the morphological and metrical evidence suggests that the assemblage must consist almost entirely of sheep.

### 3.3.3 Pig

Only one pig longbone specimen was suitable for calculation of estimated withers height, this was a radius from Phase 2A. It is a common finding that pig bone remains facilitate less biometrical data than either cattle or sheep as they tended to be slaughtered at an earlier age before many of the middle or late fusing bones have fully developed. Calculation was based on the multiplication factor of Teichert as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck $(1974,341)$. The radius specimen produced an estimated withers height of 69.2 cm (Table A60). This indicates a larger animal than one from Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 (AD 680-920) as Coles calculated one estimated withers height of 67.7 cm for pig (2009, 31).

### 3.3.4 Horse

A total of seven horse specimens were suitable for calculation of estimated withers height. Five of these belonged to the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century material while two were from the Unphased part of the assemblage. For the former group, stature ranged from almost $128-144 \mathrm{~cm}$ with a mean withers height of almost 135 cm . These calculations followed the factors of Kiesewalter as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck $(1974,333)$.

| Phase | Element | GLI | EWH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1B | Metacarpal | 215.5 | 138.1 |
| 2B | Metacarpal | 199.4 | 127.8 |
| 1A | Metatarsal | 270.2 | 144.0 |
| 2B | Metatarsal | 248.8 | 132.6 |
| 2B | Metatarsal | 247 | 131.7 |
| UNPHASED (A) | Metatarsal | 263.8 | 140.6 |
| UNPHASED (B) | Metatarsal | 248 | 132.2 |

Table 4 Roestown 2: Estimated withers heights for horse following Kiesewalter as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck $(1974,333)$.

The range is partially similar to that found at Knowth where four metapodials provided estimated withers heights ranging from $121-141 \mathrm{~cm}$ (McCormick and Murray 2007, 96). Estimated withers heights calculations for horse from Dowdstown 2 phase $2\left(5^{\text {th }}\right.$ to $7^{\text {th }}$ century) and phase $3\left(7^{\text {th }}\right.$ to $10^{\text {th }}$ century) were calculated as 142.3 cm for the earlier phase and 135.9 cm and 148.7 cm for the later phase (Coles 2009, 19 and 30). Metrical data for horse in the Early Medieval period suggests a peak in shoulder heights of $130-134 \mathrm{~cm}$ (McCormick 2007, 95). McCormick highlights the fact that all horses greater than 137 cm come from royal sites such as Lagore and Knowth (Ibid). Of the five Roestown 2 specimens of mid $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ century date, two represent animals with shoulder heights larger than 137 cm . This may be further indication of the high status nature of the site, a theory proposed by the excavation director, particularly in relation to its earliest phase (O'Hara 2008, 63). When data from Viking Dublin is compared with that of contemporary rural sites it is clear that the rural animals were larger than those of the urban setting. Mean shoulder height for horses in Viking Dublin is 129.6 cm as opposed to 130.7 cm for rural sites (McCormick 2007, 95).

### 3.3.5 Dog

Estimtated shoulder heights were calculated for all complete long bones of three articulated dog skeletons and are discussed in section 3.4 below. In addition, greatest length (GL) measurements were recorded for two other specimens, a radius and a femur. Both were from the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century material and estimated shoulder heights were calculated following the multiplication factors of Harcourt (1974, 154). The radius (from phase 3A) produced an estimated shoulder height of 53.1 cm while the femur (from phase 1A) provided an estimated shoulder height of 59.9 cm .

Based on biometrical data for a number of contemporary sites, McCormick and Murray (2007, 9899 and McCormick 1991a, 9) suggest that two distinct groups of dogs were present in Ireland during the Early Medieval period. Distinction between the two groups is based on size. A smaller sized group of dogs with shoulder heights in the range of $26-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ and a larger sized group with shoulder height ranges of $48-72 \mathrm{~cm}$ are recognised (Ibid). The existence of two separate size groups leads to the conclusion that breeding was carefully controlled at this time and care was taken that interbreeding between the two groups did not occur (Ibid). Complete dog bones from Knowth (Stage 8 and 9) produced estimated shoulder heights ranging from $57.7 \mathrm{~cm}-66.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ which represent some of the largest dogs known in Ireland for the seventh-eleventh centuries (Ibid). The calculations for the two individual Roestown 2 specimens represent dogs that belong to the larger sized group and are of similar stature to some of the Knowth examples.

### 3.3.6 Cat

A small amount of biometrical data was recorded for cat as detailed in Table A54. GL and SD measurements for femur and tibia specimens were plotted against similar data to examine how the Roestown 2 animals compare. The data for all other sites is reproduced from McCormick and Murray (2007, 101-102). The Roestown 2 Phase 4 material dates to the eleventh century so that it is earlier than that of Knowth Stage 10. As Figure 37 illustrates, the Roestown 2 femora compare most closely to one of the Dublin specimens although this is one of the larger examples recorded for that urban setting while some of the other Dublin specimens are much smaller than those from Roestown 2. The Roestown 2 specimens are noticeably larger than the Knowth femur which is in turn significantly smaller than most of the other examples. Decline in the size of cats has been observed when Early Medieval rural data is compared with that of urban assemblages. Cats belonging to Early Medieval rural sites, where they most likely lived a comfortable life as a treasured pet, have generally been found to be larger and have lived longer than those from urban Medieval sites (McCormick 1988). Both zooarchaeological findings and documentary evidence suggests cats in Viking and Medieval urban settings were bred for their pelts (Ibid). The small size of the Knowth specimen, which dates to the thirteenth or fourteenth century, has been interpreted as possibly signifying this decline occurring in a rural settlement (McCormick and Murray 2007, 101). The earlier Roestown 2 specimens do not suggest this deterioration has commenced there in the eleventh century. None of the cat specimens from Roestown 2 displayed any evidence of butchery or skinning. This further strengthens the view that the urban policy of breeding cats as a commercial commodity had not transferred to eleventh century Roestown 2.


Figure 37 Roestown 2: Measurements of cat femora compared with other sites. Data for all sites except Roestown 2 reproduced from Figure 4.23 of McCormick and Murray (2007, 102).

Figure 38 demonstrates that measurements for the two cat tibiae from Roestown 2 Phase 4 are very similar and their closest parallel is one of the Lagore specimens although they are not far removed from some of the tenth to eleventh century Dublin examples. The Phase 5 specimen is significantly smaller with only four other specimens having a smaller GL value. Perhaps by this later date of thirteenth to fourteenth century, which is contemporary with the Knowth Stage 10 femur, the decline in quality of life for cats observed there is also true of Roestown 2.


Figure 38 Roestown 2: Measurements of cat tibiae compared with other sites. Data for all sites except Roestown 2 reproduced from Figure 4.21 of McCormick and Murray (2007, 101).

### 3.4 Articulated Dog Skeletons

The articulated remains of three dog skeletons were retrieved during excavations at Roestown 2. Two sets of remains came from Area B (F418 and F484) while the third skeleton came from Area A (F116). All were inspected, analysed and recorded following the methodology previously outlined (section 2). The specimens from Area B were in good condition and survived quite well in tact. The Area A skeleton was much more fragmented. Tables A61-A63 provide details of the countable elements recorded for each skeleton. Radiocarbon dates were obtained for all three animals.

| Feature | Radiocarbon Date | Lab Code | Specimen Dated |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F418 | AD 690-946 | Beta 220114 <br> (Oxcal Calibrated) | Left tibia |
| F484 | AD 725-976 | Beta 220116 <br> (Oxcal Calibrated) | Left humerus |
| F116 | AD 605-769 | Beta 219003 <br> (Oxcal Calibrated) | Left radius |

Table 5 Roestown 2: Radiocarbon dates obtained for articulated dog skeletons.

## F418 (Area B)

In addition to the countable specimens listed in Table A61, rib fragments, vertebrae (apart from the atlas or axis), carpals/tarsals (apart from C3 or scafocuboid) and fibula were retained for permanent storage but not recorded. The elements were generally in a very good state of preservation with many surviving fully or largely in tact. The permanent teeth were all fully developed suggesting that this was a fully-grown animal. States of epiphyseal fusion were observed as outlined in Table A64. Amongst other elements, the femur was fully fused at both the proximal and distal extremities. This indicates that the F418 dog lived to at least 1.5 years old (Silver 1969, 285-286).

## F484 (Area B)

The countable specimens recorded for this dog are listed in Table A62. In addition rib fragments, vertebrae (apart from atlas or axis) and fibulae were retained for permanent storage but not recorded. The skeleton of this animal was also in a very good state of preservation with elements remaining fully/largely in tact. Both mandibles had permanent teeth surviving in situ indicating a mature animal. Epiphyseal fusion data was recorded for all elements where applicable and once again, the femur was fully fused at both the proximal and distal extremities (Tables A65a-A65b). This indicates that the F418 dog lived to at least 1.5 years old (ibid).

## F116 (Area A)

Details of the countable elements recorded from this skeleton are given in Table A63. While some elements survived in good condition and a small number were relatively in tact, this set of remains was the most fragmented of the three dog skeletons. Rib fragments, vertebrae (apart from atlas or axis), some disarticulated and very fragmented pieces of bone were retained but not recorded. Some fragments were recognisable but did not have the zones present to make them qualify as countable specimens. These were also retained for permanent storage. The skull was severely damaged and survived as a collection of very small fragments. Both mandibles had permanent teeth surviving in situ indicating a mature animal. Epiphyseal fusion data was recorded for all relevant elements. As Table A66 indicates this animal was also older than 1.5 years as proximal and distal femur and proximal tibia were fully fused (ibid).

### 3.4.1 Biometrical data for articulated dog skeletons

Measurements were recorded for fully fused bones or bone fragments following von den Driesch (1976). As some elements were complete this facilitated recording of greatest length (GL) measurements which were used to calculate estimated shoulder heights for all three dogs following Harcourt $(1974,54)$. As already mentioned, previous zooarchaeological analysis has indicated the presence of two different dog groups in Early Medieval Ireland, a smaller sized group with shoulder heights ranging from $26-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ and a larger sized group ranging from $48-72 \mathrm{~cm}$ (McCormick and

Murray 2007, 98-99 and McCormick 1991a, 9). Tables 6-8 show that the articulated dogs from Roestown 2 are mostly in agreement with this. The F484 dog produced a mean estimated shoulder height of 51.3 cm while the F116 animal had an estimated shoulder height of 61.8 cm based on a single humerus. Therefore, both dogs fit comfortably into the larger sized dog group of the Early Medieval period. The F418 dog is interesting as its mean estimated shoulder height is just marginally out of the smaller sized group at 40.5 cm . This calculation was based on four complete elements. A radius and tibia both place this animal in the small sized dog group while a humerus and femur give a stature that falls in between the two clearly defined groups. The F418 dog returned a radiocarbon date of AD 690-946 (Beta 220114 Oxcal Calibrated) and it may indicate that dogs of stature outside of the two previously established groups did exist in Early Medieval Ireland. However, reliable conclusion of this being a common occurrence will only be possible based on a much larger body of data than one individual animal.

| Feature | Element | GL (mm) | ESH (cm) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 418 | Humerus | 131 | 42.3 |
| 418 | Radius | 114 | 38.2 |
| 418 | Femur | 139.8 | 42.6 |
| 418 | Tibia | 129.9 | 38.9 |
| MEAN ESH |  | $\mathbf{4 0 . 5 c m}$ |  |

Table 6 Roestown 2: Estimated shoulder height (ESH) calculated for F418 dog.

| Feature | Element | GL (mm) | ESH (cm) |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 484 | Humerus | 154.7 | 50.4 |  |
| 484 | Radius | 158.5 | 52.4 |  |
| 484 | Tibia (Right) | 171.7 | 51.1 |  |
| 484 | Tibia (Left) | 172.2 | 51.2 |  |
| MEAN ESH |  |  | $\mathbf{5 1 . 3 c m}$ |  |

Table 7 Roestown 2: Estimated shoulder height (ESH) calculated for F484 dog.

| Feature | Element | GL (mm) | ESH (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 116 | Humerus | 187.9 | 61.8 |

Table 8 Roestown 2: Estimated shoulder height (ESH) calculated for F116 dog.

Contemporary evidence from Knowth produced estimated shoulder heights of $57.7 \mathrm{~cm}-66.3 \mathrm{~cm}$ representing some of the largest dogs known in Ireland for the seventh-eleventh centuries (Ibid). So the F116 animal from Roestown 2 would be of similar stature to these Knowth specimens while the F484 dog is smaller. Stature of dogs was calculated for specimens from some other sites along the route of the M3. An articulated dog retrieved from excavations at Lismullin (AD 1023-1206, Beta 233922 Oxcal calibrated) had a mean estimated shoulder height of 50 cm which places it towards the smaller scale of the larger-sized dog group (Sloane 2008, 1-4). Although later in date the Lismullin dog is of very similar stature to the Roestown 2 F484 animal. From Dowdstown 2, Phase 2 (AD 420-660, Beta 220119 Oxcal calibrated) two small dogs ( 31.3 cm and 31.9 cm ) and one extremely large animal ( 71.6 cm ) were recorded (Coles 2009, 19). It is considered that the latter may be wolf due to its extreme height and the presence of some very large canine teeth (Ibid). Fifteen dog specimens from Early Medieval Castlefarm 1 allowed estimation of shoulder height ranging from $31.5-62.7 \mathrm{~cm}$ (Foster 2009a). Therefore both small and large sized dogs are evident but there is no other evidence for animals with a stature outside of the two clearly defined groups.

Figures 39 and 40 compare GL and SD measurements from Roestown 2 with those recorded for other Early Medieval sites. The humeri from Phase 1B, 2A and 3A belong to the articulated dogs F116, F418 and F484 respectively. The Phase 2A and 3A tibiae are from the F418 and F484 animals. When plotted, the Roestown 2 specimens are seen to fit comfortably within the range of contemporary measurements.


Figure 39 Roestown 2: Measurements of dog humeri compared with other sites. Data for all sites except Roestown 2 reproduced from Figure 4.19 of McCormick and Murray (2007, 99).


Figure 40 Roestown 2: Measurements of dog tibiae compared with other sites. Data for all sites except Roestown 2 reproduced from Figure 4.20 of McCormick and Murray (2007, 99).

There is no evidence to suggest the presence of lapdogs at Roestown 2. The Early Medieval documentary sources inform that miniature dogs were kept by those of high status and had a particular association with queens and women of high rank (Kelly 2000, 120). As Roestown 2 has been interpreted as representing a high-status site, it would not be unexpected to have come across evidence for lapdogs. They were present at the royal site of Lagore including the smallest example with an estimated shoulder height of 26 cm (McCormick 1991a, 8) but as at Roestown 2, they are absent from contemporary Knowth (McCormick and Murray 2007, 98). The documentary sources refer to different types of herd dogs including 'herd dog of large livestock', 'herd dog of calves' and 'herd dog of sheep' (Kelly 2000, 119). As O'Hara has suggested that some of the enclosures at Roestown 2 may have functioned as livestock corrals (2008, $7,8 \& 11$ ), perhaps some of the dog remains represent animals who worked with the site's farmers in the herding of livestock.

### 3.4.2 Pathology for articulated dog skeletons

Evidence of pathology and injury was detected on four elements belonging to the F116 dog. The left humerus displayed severe deformity towards the distal end of the shaft on its posterior surface (Plate 1). Extensive additional bone growth had occurred, most likely as a result of some sort of trauma and resulting infection. Exostosis and traces of eburnation were observed on two first phalanges while exostosis only was present on another first phalanx. The level of exostosis observed distorted the morphology of all three phalanges. Both suggest degenerative joint disease.


Plate 1 Roestown 2: Dog humerus, severely deformed due to trauma and resulting infection.

### 3.4.3 Butchery for articulated dog skeletons

Two small cut marks were observed on the medial side of the right distal femur of the F116 animal. This was the only evidence for butchery of dog at Roestown 2. Therefore it may be concluded that butchery or skinning of dogs was not a common practice.

### 3.4.4 Gnawing for articulated dog skeletons

There was no evidence to suggest gnawing of the dog skeletons which implies that the animals were not left exposed for any considerable time after death. There was no evidence for burning indicated on the dog remains.

### 3.5 Sex Determination

Sex determination for cattle was attempted by analysis of the metrical data recorded for distal metacarpals. This data is presented in two forms and displayed in Figures 41 and 42. Distal breadth (Bd) was plotted against the slenderness index (SI) (McCormick 1992) for complete metacarpal specimens. Unfortunately the number of complete metacarpals from Roestown 2 was small and a total of 11 specimens could be examined by this method. The data shows a fairly even distribution between females (towards left of chart) and males (towards right of chart) for these mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century specimens. In a dairy-focused economy one might expect this distribution to be more dominated by female animals e.g. when the same measurements were plotted for Knowth Stages 8
and 9, nine female specimens and only one male specimen were evident (McCormick and Murray 2007, 82).


Figure 41 Roestown 2: Sex determination of cattle metacarpals based on comparison of distal breadth (Bd) and slenderness index (SI).
$S I=S D / G L \times 100$. Phase $1 \mathrm{~A}, 1 \mathrm{~B}, \& 2 \mathrm{~A} N=8$. Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A} N=3$.

By examining only the distal metrical data, it is possible to enhance the dataset. Analysis of a very large collection of distal cattle metacarpals from the Viking levels at Fishamble Street, Dublin, led McCormick to the finding that a distal width measurement less than 56 mm represents a female animal while a distal width greater than 57.5 mm is male with measurements in between being classed as indeterminate (1997, 822). In applying this method to the Roestown 2 material, a dominance of female animals (cluster towards LHS) is evident while a smaller portion of the distribution is made up of male specimens (cluster towards RHS).

Once again the number of males is larger than that for Knowth Stage 8 and 9 and may indicate a larger amount of male animals lived beyond the age of 2-3 years at Roestown 2 than at other contemporary locations although females are still the majority. Fusion of the distal metacarpal occurs in the age range of 2-3 years (Reitz and Wing 1999, 76) therefore a distribution of mainly females where fusion has occurred, suggests that it is predominantly males that are slaughtered as younger animals. As already outlined, this is a practice one might expect to find in a dairying economy.


Figure 42 Roestown 2: Cattle metacarpals comparison of distal width (Bd) and distal diaphyseal width (B@f) measurements.
Phase $1 A, 1 B \& 2 A N=29$, Phase $2 B \& 3 A N=23$, Phase $4 N=2, F 507 N=1$, Unphased Area $\mathrm{AN}=1$.

Table 9 summarises the sex distribution observed for Roestown 2 with the predominantly female specimens reflecting dairy-focused farming practices. The percentage of female and male animals was compared with those recorded for the M3 sites of Castlefarm 1, Boyerstown 3, Collierstown 1 and Dowdstown 2 (Table 10). The only datasets from these sites with a reasonable number of metacarpal specimens present is Dowdstown 2 Phase $2(\mathrm{~N}=15)$ and Phase $3(\mathrm{~N}=22)$. Female and male specimens from Phase 2 account for $80 \%$ and $13.3 \%$ of the sexed metacarpals respectively while Phase 3 produces a breakdown of $77.3 \%$ female and $9.1 \%$ male. It is possible that the higher distribution of males at Roestown 2, with $71.9 \%$ female and $21.9 \%$ male in Phases $1 \mathrm{~A}-2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $68 \%$ female and $16 \%$ male in Phases 2B-3A, is partially due to possible external influences.

A possible explanation for the higher than normal male element might be one that will also be considered when discussing the age-slaughter patterns for cattle. This is the possibility that Roestown 2 was not only a settlement with farming and craft activity but also a destination where client tribute or revenue to the church was deposited. It is therefore plausible that the sex distribution is partially influenced by outside sources. Consequently while cows dominate the herd the male population, which is larger than for other sites, may include animals brought here as tribute from other locations.

| Phase | N. | F | M | I |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A, 1B \& 2A | 32 | 23 | 7 | 2 |
| 2B \& 3A | 25 | 17 | 4 | 4 |
| 3B \& 4 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| F507 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unphased Area A | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Unphased Area B | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Table 9 Roestown 2: Summary of sex determination for cattle based on Bd measurements of metacarpals (McCormick 1997, 822).
$\mathrm{N} .=$ number of specimens, $\mathrm{F}=$ female, $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{I}=$ indeterminate.

| Site \& Phase | N | \%F | \%M | \%l |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roestown 2 Phase 1A-2A | 32 | 71.9 | 21.9 | 6.3 |
| Roestown 2 Phase 2B-3A | 25 | 68.0 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| Roestown 2 Phase 3B-4 | 4 | 75.0 | 0.0 | 25.0 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 2 | 8 | 75.0 | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 3-5 | 5 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 20.0 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 6 | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 1-2 | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 3 | 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 5 | 2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Colliertown 1 Phase 2 | 3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Colliertown 1 Phase 3 | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Colliertown 1 Phase 4 | 2 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 |
| Dowdstown 2 Phase 2 | 15 | 80.0 | 13.3 | 6.7 |
| Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 | 22 | 77.3 | 9.1 | 13.6 |

Table 10 Roestown 2: \% sex distribution for cattle based on Bd measurements of metacarpals compared with Castlefarm 1 (Foster 2009a), Boyerstown 3 (Foster 2009b), Collierstown 1 (Foster 2009c) and Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009)
$\mathrm{N} .=$ number of specimens, $\% \mathrm{~F}=$ female, $\% \mathrm{M}=$ male, $\% \mathrm{I}=$ indeterminate.

Sex was also determined for pig where possible through analysis of the morphology of the canine tooth or its alveolus as previously outlined (Section 3.2.7). It has already been established that it was possible to determine sex for a total of eleven pig mandibles (also Section 3.2.7), which is unfortunately a small dataset. Sex determination for mandibles without wear stages as well as for loose mandibular and maxillary canines provides a larger amount of data. A total of 122 mandibular canine specimens from the mid $6^{\text {th }}$ to $8^{\text {th }}$ century phases were sexed and 48 were confirmed as female while 74 were classified as male (Table A27). Seven female and 19 male specimens were identified for the same period when maxillary canines were examined (Table A28).

It would appear that males are more dominant than females, an outcome found for other assemblages from the M3. Table 11 shows that where sex was determined for canine teeth a higher number were recorded as male than female for each site/phase in twelve instances. Only for Castlefarm 1 Phase 3-5 were there more female specimens than male while Collierstown 1 produced one specimen of each sex for both phases 3 and 4. Taphonomic factors may be influential in these patterns. As male canine teeth are larger and more robust than female's they are more likely to survive in the archaeological record.

| Site and Phase | N | F | M |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roestown 2 Mid 6th-8th century | $122(\mathrm{MN})$ | 48 | 74 |
| Roestown 2 Mid 6th-8th century | $26(\mathrm{MX})$ | 7 | 19 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 2 | 38 | 16 | 22 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 3-5 | 23 | 13 | 10 |
| Castlefarm 1 Phase 6 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 1-2 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Boyerstown 3 Phase 6 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Collierstown 1 Phase 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Collierstown 1 Phase 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Dowdstown 2 Phase 2 | 21 | 9 | 12 |
| Dowdstown 2 Phase 3 | 20 | 10 | 10 |

Table 11 Roestown 2: Summary of sex determination for pig based on morphology of canine teeth for various M3 sites.
$\mathrm{N}=$ Number of specimens, $\mathrm{F}=$ Female, $\mathrm{M}=$ Male.
$(\mathrm{MN})=$ mandibular specimens $(\mathrm{MX})=$ maxillary specimens .

### 3.6 Butchery/Gnawing/Burning/Pathology/Injury

### 3.6.1 Butchery

Figure 43 shows that over $90 \%$ of cattle specimens for all phases displayed no traces of butchery. The most common form of butchery observed was chopping. Evidence of bones having been chopped was noted in two forms, either as actual chopmarks visible on surviving specimens or without the presence of chopmarks but the state of the surviving edge indicating that the element had clearly been chopped. The majority of chopped bones consist of the large meat-bearing bones that were most likely chopped during dismemberment of carcasses. Metapodials were often chopped through the shaft, most likely for the extraction of marrow. One Phase 2A cattle metatarsal displayed many small chopmarks at the proximal end of the element (Plate 2). Chopmarks were observed for some vertebrae but there were not enough to determine a systematic butchery method. Some pelvis and scapula specimens also displayed chopmarks but again, the number of examples was too few to define a consistent pattern of butchery. Some horncore and cranium specimens had been chopped and in one instance a cranium had been cleanly chopped in half so that only the left side survived. In five cases it was possible to determine that horncores had been chopped by Armitage's method 'a' which supports the premise that these remains are due to butchery activity (1990, 84-85).


Plate 2 Roestown 2: Cattle metatarsal displaying large amount of small chopmarks.

Although there was some evidence for cutmarks, it was a very small amount in comparison to that of chopmarks. A cutmark observed on a horncore specimen may be due to removal of the horn sheath for craft working while similar evidence was found on a skull that may relate to skinning. The amount of butchery evidence produced for Roestown 2 cattle is too small to allow confirmation of specific butchery practices.


Figure 43 Roestown 2: Butchery recorded for cattle specimens.
$\mathrm{P}=$ chopped, $\mathrm{T}=$ cut, $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=$ chopped $\&$ cut
NOTE: For Phase 1A, $1 \mathrm{~B} \& 2 \mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{T}=0.4 \%$. For Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{T}=0.3 \%, \mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=0.1 \%$.
For Phase 3B \& 4: $T=0.4 \%$. For Phase 5: $T=0.3 \%$

For sheep/goat, evidence of butchery was even less than for cattle as a least $97 \%$ of countable specimens for all phases had no butchery (Figure 44). Evidence of specimens having been chopped was the most common form with minimum evidence for cutmarks observed. Some of the larger meat-bearing bones had been chopped through the shaft, most commonly in the case of the tibia. Cutmarks were observed for a variety of elements including scapula, humerus, pelvis and calcaneum as well as two axis vertebrae. A number of metapodials had been chopped through the shaft. Some of this evidence is obviously butchery while some may be the result of skinning.

Minimal butchery evidence was observed for pig with a minor amount of evidence of chopmarks recorded. Over $96 \%$ of countable specimens did not display any butchery evidence. Several longbones had been chopped through including radius, femur and tibia specimens. In two cases, chopmarks were visible on scapulae immediately above the glenoid cavity while a third scapula had been chopped through so that at least half of the blade including the spine had been removed.

Evidence of butchery was found on a number of horse specimens, consisting mainly of longbones that had been chopped through while three examples of cutmarks on a humerus, a metacarpal and a
metapodial were also noted. This indicates that occasional butchery and skinning of horses occurred at Roestown 2.


Figure 44 Roestown 2: Butchery recorded for sheep/goat specimens.
$\mathrm{P}=$ chopped, $\mathrm{T}=$ cut, $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=$ chopped $\&$ cut
NOTE: For Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A: $T=0.3 \%$. For Phase $2 B$ \& $3 A: T=0.1 \%$.
For Phase 3B \& 4: $\mathrm{T}=0.6 \%$. For Unphased: $\mathrm{P}=0.8 \%, \mathrm{~T}=0.8 \%, \mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=0.8 \%$.


Figure 45 Roestown 2: Butchery recorded for pig specimens.
$\mathrm{P}=$ chopped, $\mathrm{T}=$ cut, $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=$ chopped $\&$ cut
NOTE: For Unphased: $\mathrm{P}=0.7 \%$.


Figure 46 Roestown 2: Butchery recorded for horse specimens.
$\mathrm{P}=$ chopped, $\mathrm{T}=$ cut, $\mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=$ chopped $\&$ cut. NOTE: For Phase $2 \mathrm{~B} \& 3 \mathrm{~A}: \mathrm{T}=0.5 \%, \mathrm{P} \& \mathrm{~T}=0.5 \%$. Butchery of dog was evident in only one case, the right femur of the F116 articulated dog already discussed (section 3.4.3). This suggests that butchery of dogs was not commonly carried out by the Roestown 2 inhabitants. A total of 137 specimens were recorded as 'non-countables' for this site and the majority of these (104 specimens) were deemed worthy of being recorded due to the presence of some form of butchery evidence.

### 3.6.2 Gnawing

A total of 59 specimens displayed evidence of having been gnawed, details of which are presented in Table A67. The majority of these specimens, 51 in total, showed traces of gnawing by carnivores, six had been gnawed by rodents while two specimens demonstrated both carnivorous and rodent gnawing. In an animal bone assemblage that produced 10,238 countable and 137 noncountable specimens, the proportion of material with evidence of gnawing is trivial and suggests than generally, animal carcass waste was disposed of in a manner that meant it was not left exposed for long periods during which it was subjected to the activities of carnivorous or rodent scavengers.

### 3.6.3 Burning

Only 16 cases of burning were observed for the Roestown 2 assemblage. Details are provided in Table A68 which demonstrates that cases of calcined, burnt/blackened and singed material were present. As outlined in Section 2.8, singed refers to specimens that have been partially burnt, calcined is the term applied to specimens observed as white in colour, sometimes with blue hue while burnt/blackened specimens are those found to be $90-100 \%$ burnt. Cattle, sheep/goat and pig specimens demonstrated evidence of burning but such a small collection of burnt elements prevents identification of any cooking or potential waste processing practices.

### 3.6.4 Pathology/Injury

A total of 25 cases displaying palaeopathological evidence are detailed in Table A69. Degenerative joint disease is the possible diagnosis assigned in 17 of the 25 cases. These include nine cattle, four horse, three dog specimens and one cervical vertebra of either cattle or horse. As the tooth and mandible wear data has indicated a prevalence of older cattle, it is unsurprising that the most commonly observed palaeopathological condition is degenerative joint disease. This was observed for two pelvis, two femur, two metatarsal and one first phalanx specimens. Two mandible specimens displayed pitting on the condyle surface, this is a degenerative characteristic associated with older animals due to the actions of cud chewing. A cattle or horse cervical vertebra displayed symptoms of possible osteoarthritis (Plate 3) while it was possible to confirm two other cattle specimens, a femur (Plate 4) and a first phalanx, as indicative of osteoarthritis as exostosis, osteophytes and eburnation were all evident. The cattle specimens are therefore primarily suffering from conditions that are due to their old age and in some cases, the heavy work carried out during their lifetime.


Plate 3 Roestown 2: Cervical vertebra displaying possible osteoarthritis.


Plate 4 Roestown 2: Cattle proximal femur displaying osteoarthritis.


Plate 5a Roestown 2: Cattle metacarpal displaying periostitis (remodelling with thin plaques of woven bone) and depression indicative of an over-lying soft-tissue tumour.


Plate 5b Roestown 2: Cattle metatarsal displaying cutmarks evident of skinning.

Infection was detected in a number of cattle specimens including a mandible with evidence for the existence of a sinus on the condyle. Ante-mortem tooth loss in the area of the dP4/P4 was observed for another cattle mandible as well as alveolur bone resorption which may indicate infection in this specimen. Infection and periostitis (remodelling of bone) were observed for a cattle metacarpal that had a depression visible on the shaft of the bone suggesting the possible existence of an overlying tumour (Plate 5a). Evidence of skinning was also observed on this specimen with marks evident towards the distal end of the metacarpal shaft (Plate 5b). One cattle metatarsal (non-countable specimen) showed evidence of trauma or trauma and infection. Excessive bone growth indicative of exostosis was present on the posterior surface of a shaft fragment. It appears to be the result of a fracturing of the bone that later healed.

A congenital condition or developmental defect was observed for a sheep/goat specimen where two complete second phalanges were fused together as a single unit (Plate 6). This was the only sheep/goat specimen found to display palaeopathological evidence. One pig mandible, confirmed as female, demonstrated infection as the area of the first and second molars was severely distorted with evidence also for alveolur bone recession (Plate 7). Out of a total of five horse specimens with palaepathological evidence, four were diagnosed as degenerative joint disease. A metacarpal, third carpal and two metatarsals all displayed exostosis that suggest a disease known as spavin. This condition usually affects the tarsal bones of horses or carpal bones of cattle limiting mobility (Baker and Brothwell 1980, 117-118). There are a range of causes that may result in this condition some of which include hereditary factors, faulty shoeing and heavy working (Ibid, 118). Therefore, as is suggested for cattle, the palaeopathological conditions observed for horses may largely relate to the
working lives they endured at Roestown 2. One case of possible trauma or infection was observed in a horse first phalanx (Plate 8). The distal end of the specimen displayed excessive bone growth however it was not typical of exostosis. Some form of trauma or infection may have caused the condition. Four specimens from the articulated $\operatorname{dog}$ F116 had palaeopathological evidence and have already been discussed in section 3.4.2.


Plate 6 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat second phalanges, two specimens fused together indicative of congenital disease.


Plate 7 Roestown 2: Female pig mandible displaying infection and alveolur bone recession.


Plate 8 Roestown 2: Horse first phalange with excessive bone growth suggestive of trauma/infection.

Table A70 details dental observations and anomalies recorded for the Roestown 2 assemblage. During inspection and recording of the animal bone assemblage any non-metric genetic traits such as congenital absence of the mandibular P1 in pigs or the mandibular P2 in cattle and sheep/goat were noted. In the case of three pig mandibles and five cattle mandibles these respective absences were observed. Malocclusion or irregular wear was evident for seven cattle teeth while for one first or second mandibular molar one cusp was out of correct alignment. A particularly interesting specimen was a third molar in situ in its mandible. It was from Phase 2B and has the most severely worn occlusal surface the current author has witnessed (Plate 9). The animal that this specimen belonged to would have been well over the age of 50 months and reflects the presence of old cattle that is so profuse in the age-slaughter patterns of Roestown 2. Ante-mortem tooth loss was observed for one sheep/goat and one dog specimen. In four instances for sheep/goat, loose teeth or mandible specimens suggest that the correct alignment of the tooth row was impinged upon by adjacent teeth. Malocclusion was observed for a pig mandibular third molar while the polished nature of the occlusal surface of another specimen is most likely due to taphonomic factors. A dental anomaly was recorded for one maxillary horse tooth, either a P3, P4, M1 or M2. This specimen had two bulbous additions visible on the buccal aspect of the tooth, the cause of this was not determined.


Plate 9 Roestown 2: Cattle mandible containing surviving part of third molar with extremely worn occlusal surface.

Two other observations of note are listed in Table A71. A horse metatarsal displayed some irregular pitting at different areas along the length of its shaft but this is believed to be due to taphonomic factors rather than any palaepathology. One specimen from a four-horned or polycerate sheep was identified from Roestown 2. Only the stump of the smaller horncore is present and it is diminutive in comparison to a regular horncore (Plate 10). Specimens of this type were not a common finding on contemporary M3 sites. One specimen was recorded from Collierstown 1 (Foster 2009c, 15) while a different type of specimen was observed at Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009, 21-22). The latter was a split horncore rather than a true polycerate specimen so that it is a single horncore at its base but split into two horncores approximately half-way along its surviving length (Ibid). Putelat points out that the spread of polycerate sheep through the Baltic, North Sea, British Isles and Iceland reflects the areas of activity of Nordic sailors and may have been the result of trading or migration of people before the Vikings expanded into these areas (2006). In his study of the Early Medieval Irish documentary sources, Kelly did not come across any references to four-horned sheep (2000, 73). Archaeological evidence from Early Medieval Moynagh suggests that such breeds were reasonably common, at least at this site where $12 \%$ of sheep skulls were of the four-horned type (Ibid, 74). One of the main uses of this raw material appears to have been for comb making and four-horned animals would obviously have provided a greater quantity of horn (Ibid). Contrary to this, the M3 assemblage doesn't indicate a significant presence of four- horned breeds


Plate 10 Roestown 2: Sheep horncore indicative of a four-horned sheep, only the diminutive second horncore survives in situ.

## 4. Further Discussion

In terms of species distribution, Roestown 2 has shown a high level of consistency with other contemporary sites with cattle, sheep/goat and pig accounting for the vast majority of assemblages. These three species account for $72.4 \%$ of the $11^{\text {th }}$ century Phase 4 assemblage while for all other phases they comprise a minimum of $80.3 \%$. The distribution for cattle is most consistent amongst the compared sites while there is greater variance between the proportions of sheep/goat or pig. As is usually found for material of this date, the other commonly present species of horse, dog, cat and red deer occur in much smaller amounts than the three main domesticates and account for less than $10 \%$ of MNI in most instances. Mouse was the only other species represented in the animal bone assemblage and its seemingly high representation in F507 (12.5\%) is exaggerated by the low MNI for that feature. When the similar species of sheep and goat are considered, it is usual for Early Medieval assemblages to be dominated by sheep with a much lesser occurrence of goat. This trend is borne out at Roestown 2. Where it was possible to determine specimens as one or other species, all were confirmed as sheep and no goat specimens were observed. Therefore, while referring to sheep/goat throughout the report, it is assumed that the majority of animals at Roestown 2 were sheep.

Although only present in very small amounts, unfused proximal metapodials of cattle and pig were recorded which confirms that breeding took place at Roestown 2. An unfused metapodial was recorded for sheep/goat but this was from the unphased material. The range of body parts represented for the three main domesticates suggests that butchery of animals and consumption of their meat took place at the site. There are no obvious patterns of certain skeletal parts being noticeably absent or present in exceptionally large numbers or concentrations that might be expected if specific joints of meat were being imported or exported by the inhabitants. No specialised dumps of bones were detected and those of the various species were found mixed together. Therefore the Roestown 2 assemblage is characteristic of domestic refuse.

As already discussed (section 3.2.1) an unusually high percentage of older cattle was identified for Knowth Stage 9 and was interpreted as a consequence of the dairy cow no longer being the main unit of wealth (McCormick and Murray 2007, 57). As this age distribution was seen to be more in line with that of urban Fishamble Street, it was concluded that by the $10^{\text {th }}$ to $11^{\text {th }}$ century, Knowth was possibly being supplied with some of its beef from outside sources therefore accounting for a higher than normal level of older cattle (Ibid). The dominance of older cattle is much greater and earlier at Roestown 2 as it occurs right from the beginning of settlement in the mid sixth century. Therefore it is being practised here while the dairy cow is still firmly established as the primary unit of wealth and before the establishment of Viking urban settlement. Consequently the dominance of older cattle at Roestown 2 has to be the result of other circumstances.

The age-slaughter patterns for cattle and sheep/goat at Roestown 2 have clearly established that old animals are most prevalent from the mid sixth to the fourteenth century. The high percentages of older animals are in contrast to livestock management patterns previously determined for Early Medieval assemblages of seventh to eleventh century date such as Knowth, Moynagh or Deer Park Farms. Considering that the Roestown 2 patterns are consistent for most of the Early Medieval period, it seems reasonable to conclude that they reflect a genuine animal husbandry practice that up until now has not been commonly demonstrated through animal bone assemblages. As the prevalence for greater proportions of older cattle and to a lesser extent older sheep has also been observed for other recently analysed collections from the M3, it confirms that the Roestown 2 patterns are not unique. Rather, the dominance of older cattle and sheep, as demonstrated at Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 (Foster 2009a) and Boyerstown 3 (Foster 2009b) can now be seen as a more common occurrence than previously thought in the Early Medieval period. While these similar trends have been identified at different sites, the propensity for slaughtering of older animals is more pronounced at Roestown 2. This may simply be due to a deliberate livestock management practice where cattle and sheep/goat were predominately slaughtered older than the age of four years after their optimum use for dairying, traction and breeding in the case of cattle or
wool production and breeding in the case of sheep/goat. Alternatively a variety of other factors or circumstances may have had an influence. In attempting to determine these, other archaeological evidence as well as the historical setting for Roestown 2 must be contemplated.

Late sixth to early seventh century Roestown 2 is characterised by a secular high status ringfort with associated enclosures and field systems and the practice of metalworking and other crafts (O'Hara 2008, 63). The crafts evident include weaving and textile production, bone working and leather working (Ibid, 49). Based on the relatively small number of artefacts retrieved, O'Hara has concluded that these crafts appear to have been carried out on a small-scale basis and were not central to the site's economy (Ibid, 63). It is possible that the dominance of older cattle and sheep/goat may be partially due to their use as raw materials by the craft workers of Roestown 2. Cattle and sheep/goat that had surpassed their use for dairying, traction or reproduction may have been slaughtered for exploitation of their hides, horns and possibly wool. This however cannot solely explain the dominance of old animals. If exploitation of raw materials such as hides and horns were a priority, one would expect to find more evidence of cutmarks to areas such as the frontal skull, base of horncores and extremities such as toe bones. The butchery evidence has shown there is no major concentration of such evidence so while the presence of older animals may be partially due to slaughtering for hides and horns, it does not provide a full explanation. If sheep were primarily being exploited for wool, their age distribution contradicts the theory applied to Knowth that sheep were slaughtered at the earlier age range of 12-28 months in order to provide a softer, less oily fleece (McCormick and Murray 2007, 59). Some of the artefacts retrieved during excavation at Roestown 2 provided direct evidence for the production of textiles including spindle whorls, a bone needle holder and copper alloy or iron needles (O'Hara 2008, 50). One particularly interesting find was a possible knitting needle which consisted of a curved antler object that had a notch cut into one end (ibid). The excavation director also suggests that some of the metal artefacts provisionally identified as knives and blades may be fragments of broken shears (Ibid). This evidence strengthens the likelihood that at least some of the sheep at Roestown 2 were exploited for wool in spite of their older age and possibly coarser fleece. The documentary sources make reference to a type of wool called cintecal which was too rough for the production of clothing but could be used for making rugs (Kelly 2000, 71). A range of other products such as bed-clothing and outer-clothing could be made from sheepskins with the wool left in place (Ibid). It seems likely that such items could be satisfactorily produced from the skins and fleeces of older animals and would not have necessitated the finer quality raw material that younger sheep would have provided. Some of the older sheep evident at Roestown 2 may be animals who were exploited for their fleece to be used for manufacturing of products that did not require finer quality fleece but could satisfactorily make use of coarser material for their production.

Another possible factor that may have influenced the age distribution pattern is the potential association between Roestown 2 and the nearby royal site of Lagore. The excavation director points out that Roestown 2 has to be interpreted in terms of its proximity to Lagore and the similarities in material culture of the two sites (O'Hara pers. comm.). Lagore is located less than 2 km to the southeast and it would seem that the earliest phases of both sites originated as settlements approximately at the same time (O'Hara 2008, 64). Based on this it is suggested that Roestown 2 may have been intentionally located and developed in relation to the royal site of Lagore (Ibid). It is proposed that Roestown 2 and other contemporary sites may have had the role of providing intermediates between clients and king, possibly acting as centres to receive tribute and food rent from clients as well as forming a protective ring around the seat of royal power (Ibid). If this was the case, then it may provide further explanation for the strong presence of older cattle at Roestown 2 as older animals may have been deliberately selected to be sent as the tribute due from clients, thereby resulting in a dominance of older cattle and sheep/goat obtained from outside sources. Documentary sources inform that the food rent paid to a lord by clients would include "A wether for a feast" (Kelly 2000, 72) i.e. castrate sheep. So it is possible that, as for cattle, a large portion of the sheep at Roestown 2 came from outside sources and therefore the dominance of older animals may be partly due to clients paying tribute with older animals, rather than a livestock management system that is under the exclusive control of the site's inhabitants.

While the development of Roestown 2 and its surrounding landscape changes a great deal from it origins up to the eleventh century, the age distributions for cattle and sheep/goat remain constant. It would therefore seem that whatever political, social or religious changes may have impacted on the Roestown 2 inhabitants over half a millennium, dramatic change is not reflected at any stage in the management and exploitation of livestock. Some significant changes occurred during the second phase of activity with the appearance of cereal-drying kilns and the souterrain (O'Hara 2008, 64). The kilns are located at the centre of the Area B settlement and are interpreted as representing significant change in the site's economy (Ibid). O'Hara suggests a change in ownership at this time with Roestown 2 being acquired by either the monastic site of Trevet or Dunshaughlin, both of which are located in proximity and have abbot lists from the eighth century (Ibid). He also states that, "Both Trevet and Dunshaughlin were most likely part of the paruchia of Armagh, which was among the richest monasteries on the island and in the ninth century appointed stewards (maer) to collect revenues from holdings in Brega," (Ibid, 65). This is particularly interesting as it raises the possibility that Roestown 2, already potentially a centre at which tribute was deposited in its earlier secular phase, may have maintained a similar function into the ninth century when revenue due to the Church may have been gathered here from the inhabitants of South Brega. Once again, the circumstances seem to exist where it is possible that the animal bone assemblage does not solely
represent the livestock of the Roestown 2 inhabitants. It may incorporate animals from outside sources that were delivered to this location as revenue due to an associated church.

None of these explanations can be proven to be the overriding factor determining the age-slaughter patterns evident at Roestown 2. It is likely that a combination of influences are at play including some deposition of tribute or revenue, exploitation of animals as raw materials for craftwork and textile production and a deliberately implemented practice where a much greater proportion of livestock were kept alive to older ages than previously demonstrated by Early Medieval collections. The patterns evident at Roestown 2 are significant because they provide evidence for alternative trends to those already identified for the Early Medieval period in Ireland. While the dominance of older cattle and sheep/goat is much more pronounced here it is not unique as various phases from some of the other large M3 assemblages indicate similar levels of old animals. This suggests that the animal husbandry practices of Roestown 2 are more widespread.

When the age-slaughter patterns for pig were examined, they were found to be much more consistent with those already determined for contemporary sites like Knowth, Moynagh and Deer Park Farms. The typical practice previously observed is one where slaughter most commonly occurs in animals of 17-23 months old. This was the finding for Roestown 2 from the mid sixth to the eighth century (later datasets were too small to facilitate reliable patterns). One could argue that as pigs were a 'one-purpose' animal i.e. a source of meat and lard, there is less likelihood for a lot of variation in slaughter patterns. This is the outcome for Roestown 2 where the exploitation of pigs mirrors that previously established for the Early Medieval period. However, when the recently constructed slaughter patterns for contemporary M3 sites are compared, a greater variance in utilisation of pigs is evident. Apart from Roestown 2, the only other dataset where peak slaughter occurs between 17-23 months is for Dowdstown 2 Phase 3. Peak slaughter of animals aged 12-17 months, 7-12 months and less than 7 months have been observed suggesting a greater variation in management of pig herds than previously demonstrated.

In summary, the age-slaughter patterns established for Roestown 2 and their comparison with contemporary assemblages from the M3 indicate that there is greater variety in animal husbandry practices of the Early Medieval period than it had been possible to determine prior to analysis of these important collections.

Ageing data for the other species present at Roestown 2 was found to be consistent with established patterns. Mature specimens were most common for horse, dog and cat. As the main use of horses was as working animals for transport and traction it is usual for their bone remains to be mature as they would have been kept until beyond use for these duties. The dogs of Roestown 2 may have
been used for guarding, herding, hunting or kept as pets. Only one element, a distal femur, was found to have cutmarks so it is unlikely that they were exploited to any extent for their pelts or flesh. Cats were not exploited in this manner either as no butchery evidence was observed. Animals younger than 8.5 months were evident although mature specimens were more common. It is likely that their chief role was as pets and possibly in pest control.

The biometrical data recorded for Roestown 2 facilitated comparison of the size of animals with contemporary evidence. Some interesting findings were made, particularly in relation to horse and dog. The estimated withers heights calculated for cattle ranged from $105-123 \mathrm{~cm}$ and compared similarly to stature for cattle from contemporary Knowth. Those for sheep ranged from $49-61 \mathrm{~cm}$ and the mean estimated shoulder height values from Roestown 2 were closer to the sheep of Lagore and Moynagh than Knowth where they were of slightly smaller height. As has been commonly observed for sheep of the Early Medieval period in Ireland, there was no indication of improvements in sheep breeding at Roestown 2. Improvement is characteristic of sheep in Britain in the Romano-British and Anglo-Saxon periods and has been interpreted as the result of adopting superior husbandry practice and/or the introduction of improved breeds. Until now, no such improvements have been observed in sheep of the Early Medieval period in Ireland and Roestown 2 conforms to this as the animals there are more in line with the pre-improvement sheep of the British Iron Age. Biometrical data for pig was more limited than for the other two main domesticates. This is a common occurrence due to the tendency for pigs to be slaughtered before they are fully developed hence less fully fused bones appear in the archaeological assemblages for analysis. A single estimated shoulder height of 69.2 cm was recorded which is larger than one observed for Dowdstown 2. There a Phase 3 specimen produced an estimated shoulder height of 67.7 cm (Coles 2009, 31). Comparison with Knowth was not possible as no pig specimen facilitated estimation of shoulder heights. Five specimens of horse of mid sixth to eighth century date produced estimated withers heights ranging from $128-144 \mathrm{~cm}$ with a mean value of approximately 135 cm . Peak shoulder height for Early Medieval horses has been found to range from $130-134 \mathrm{~cm}$ while it has also been observed that any horses of stature greater than 137 cm have come from royal sites including Lagore and Knowth (McCormick 2007, 95). Two of the five Roestown 2 specimens are indicative of animals greater than 137 cm with one being considerably larger at 144 cm . Roestown 2 has been interpreted as a high status site, especially in its earlier phases (O'Hara 20008, 63) and the two horses over 137 cm in estimated withers height are another form of evidence to support this suggestion. Two animals, one of fifth to seventh century date and one of seventh to tenth century date provided estimated shoulder heights of 142.3 cm and 148.7 cm respectively for Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009, $19 \& 31$ ) suggesting this site also reflects some high status characteristics.

The biometrical data for dogs produced some interesting findings as both isolated specimens and the remains of three articulated skeletons allowed calculations of estimated shoulder height. In contrast to some of the horse data that suggested high status or royal site characteristics, no lapdogs were evident at Roestown 2, something that might have been expected, as they were associated with wealthy people of high status or royalty. The majority of stature calculations fit comfortably within one or other of the two distinct dog groups that have been identified for Early Medieval dogs in Ireland based on previous research. The smaller sized group ranges from $26-40 \mathrm{~cm}$ while the larger sized group ranges from 48-72cm (McCormick and Murray 2007, 98-99 and McCormick 1991a, 9). Interestingly the F418 articulated dog produced a number of estimated shoulder height calculations that are outside of the two previously defined categories. Of four complete longbone specimens two provided estimated shoulder heights above the limit of the smaller sized group with values of 42.3 cm (humerus) and 42.6 cm (femur). The mean estimated shoulder height for F 418 was 40.5 cm , which is just marginally above the maximum 40 cm of the small sized group. The F418 dog was the only example from contemporary M3 sites that produced estimated shoulder height values outside of the previously established separate dog groups of the period. This may signify that the stature of Early Medieval dogs was of greater range than previously thought. It will be interesting to see if more examples of dogs outside of the currently existing groups come to light in the future. Some of the biometrical data recorded for cats was compared with contemporary measurements. This led to the conclusion that in eleventh century Roestown 2 there was no indication that the decline in size of cats observed for some sites in the later part of the Early Medieval period (such as Knowth Stage 10) is underway. This is based on the fact that the Roestown 2 femur specimens were noticeably larger than the Knowth femur which is itself significantly smaller than most of the other comparative specimens. Two tibia specimens from eleventh century Roestown 2 (Phase 4) were most similar to a specimen from Lagore but were also not far removed from some of the tenth to eleventh century Dublin examples. A tibia of thirteenth to fourteenth century date was found to be significantly smaller and it is possible that by this time, which is contemporary with Knowth Stage 10, the decline in quality of life for cats is happening at Roestown 2 as well as Knowth.

Considering the size of the Roestown 2 assemblage there was very little evidence of butchery visible on the animal bone remains. For cattle, sheep/goat and pig over $90 \%, 97 \%$ and $96 \%$ of the countable specimens respectively did not display any traces of butchery. At least $90 \%$ of countable specimens of horse similarly showed no signs of butchery while a single specimen of dog displayed butchery. That most commonly observed for cattle was chopmarks, particularly for the larger meatbearing bones which is likely to have occurred during dismemberment of carcasses. Metapodials were also chopped for the extraction of marrow. In comparison to the amount of evidence for chopping, that for cutmarks was very small. A cutmark on a horncore specimen may have resulted from removal of the horn sheath for craftworking while on a skull may be due to skinning activity.

Like cattle, the most common occurrence on sheep/goat specimens was evidence of chopping with a minor amount of skinning recorded. For pig a minor amount of chopmarks were observed but the majority of specimens did not display butchery evidence. Occasional butchery and skinning of horses is reflected where some longbones had been chopped through and three examples of cutmarks were detected. This could indicate consumption, albeit occasional, of horse by the people of Roestown 2 or perhaps use of horsemeat for feeding dogs. A single case of dog butchery was noted where a femur from the F116 articulated dog displayed two cutmarks. Therefore it may be concluded that this was not common practice. $76 \%$ of the specimens recorded as non-countables were recorded due to evidence of butchery, as for the main assemblage the butchery evidence for this group was dominated by chopping evidence with a lesser amount of cutmarks present.

Some evidence of gnawing, both by carnivores and rodents, was observed although it was such a small amount that it implies the bone debris resulting from butchery, food or craftworking waste was not left exposed over lengthy periods of time at Roestown 2. Rather, it would appear that such refuse was generally discarded and covered up efficiently. Evidence of burning was also quite meagre with a total of 16 cases recorded including calcined, burnt/blackened and singed remains. All three of the main domesticates provided evidence of having been burnt. The state of the remains may have been the result of roasting of meat or burning of waste material. With such a small amount of burning evidence any systematic patterns of either activity cannot be reliably asserted.

A number of palaeopathologies and dental anomalies were observed amongst the Roestown 2 assemblage. Out of a total of 25 palaeopathologies 17 were classified as degenerative joint disease for cattle, horse and dog. This complements the tooth and mandible wear data which confirmed a predominance of older cattle. The pathologies evident on cattle specimens are mainly conditions resulting from old age combined in some cases with heavy work. Infection and a case of trauma or trauma and infection were also evident for cattle but degenerative joint disease was the most common occurrence. Only one palaeopathology was observed for sheep/goat and this was a congenital condition where two second phalanges had fused together. A single specimen representing a four-horned sheep was also present for Roestown 2. One case of severe infection was detected for pig in a female mandible specimen the shape of which was acutely distorted in the area of the first two molars. As was the case for cattle, horse specimens with pathologies were mainly diagnosed as degenerative joint disease. Again this suggests they were kept as working animals until they were too old to be used anymore in this manner. The diseases they suffered also appear to have been directly related to the manner in which they were utilised. Four specimens of dog, all from the articulated skeleton F116, were the only examples of palaeopathology for this species. The deformity and excessive bone growth of a humerus was caused by some form of trauma and resulting infection. Three first phalanges of this animal suffered symptoms of degenerative joint
disease. The dental anomalies observed for various species ranged from irregular tooth wear, congenital absence of first premolar in pigs and second premolar in cattle to cases of ante-mortem tooth loss. In four cases for sheep/goat teeth were impinged upon by those adjacent while an interesting third molar in situ in a cattle mandible proved to be the most worn specimen the current author has ever observed and represented an animal well beyond the age of 50 months.

When sex determination was considered for cattle metacarpals, females were more plentiful than males although there were higher proportions of males represented than has been found for other contemporary assemblages. It is possible that this finding provides further evidence that the livestock distribution found at Roestown 2 is not representative of it alone but may be partially due to external influences with higher percentages of older males being the result of animals coming to Roestown 2 from outside sources. Based on the determination of sex for pig canine teeth, there seems to have been a dominance of male animals although taphonomic factors may be somewhat responsible for this outcome given that male specimens are larger and potentially more durable than female specimens therefore more of them may have survived into the archaeological record.

Overall, one of the most striking outcomes from analysis of the Roestown 2 assemblage is the predominance of older cattle and sheep/goat which prevails from the mid sixth to the fourteenth century. Such large proportions of older animals are contrary to previously established patterns for the Early Medieval period. The trends identified at Roestown 2 are reflected in some phases for other contemporary M3 assemblages that have also recently been analysed. It would therefore seem reasonable to conclude that Roestown 2 and some phases of the other M3 assemblages discussed in this report provide evidence for a more varied animal husbandry practice than previous data has indicated.

## 5. Conclusion

The Roestown 2 animal bone assemblage represents an extensive dataset from the Early Medieval period that in some ways compares similarly to findings previously established for this period through analysis of contemporary large collections of animal bone. Contrary to this some of the findings for Roestown 2 are quite different to those already in existence. Examples of this include the unprecedented prevalence of large proportions of older cattle and sheep/goat or the possible indications that dogs of the Early Medieval period may have been of more wide-ranging sizes than previous evidence has demonstrated. The broader material culture and archaeological evidence of Roestown 2 has indicated a site of high status with possible strong links to the adjacent royal site of Lagore and to one or other of the ecclesiastical centres at Dunshaughlin or Trevet (O'Hara 2008). It has been suggested here that perhaps these relationships are at least partially influential factors in the age-slaughter patterns for cattle and sheep/goat which are atypical of those already commonly
established for animal bone assemblages of the period. While some of the Roestown 2 findings are in contrast, they are not unique as similar trends in livestock management and exploitation have been identified for other recently excavated sites such as Dowdstown 2 (Coles 2009), Castlefarm 1 (Foster 2009a) and Boyerstown 3 (Foster 2009b). This suggests that the M3 collections have provided the opportunity to detect a different and genuine variation in livestock utilisation during the Early Medieval period than has been evident through analysis of assemblages up until now.

## 6. Recommendations

The significance of the Roestown 2 animal bone assemblage must not be understated. At the time of writing it is the largest assemblage retrieved from Contracts 1, 2, 3 or 5 of the M3 Clonee to North of Kells Road Scheme. It is an assemblage of good quality preservation that has provided a large amount of ageing and biometrical data particularly dating from the mid sixth to eleventh centuries. It is an important collection not only in its own right but also as a significant comparative source for future research. It is the current author's opinion that the majority of the Roestown 2 collection of countable and non-countable specimens discussed here should be strongly considered for permanent storage. Material from Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4, 5 and F507 should be prioritised while that from Phase 6 (Post-Medieval to Modern), Unphased Area A and Unphased Area B may be viewed as of lesser significance, due to its late date or unphased nature, and therefore not requiring curation. The ultimate decision on permanent storage of the assemblage will be made by the National Museum of Ireland following dialogue with the excavation licence holder. Until then it is recommended that the material is stored in National Museum approved low-acid box (as used by ACS Ltd.) and left ready for transfer to NMI along with the other significant mammalian bone remains retrieved from archaeological excavation along the route of the M3 Clonee to North of Kells Road Scheme.
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## Appendix 1 <br> Tables A1-A72

| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Cat | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 11 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| Cranium | 17 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 19 |
| Loose teeth | 127 | 52 | 14 | 15 | 3 |  | 211 |
| Loose lower incisor | 20 | 2 | 13 |  |  |  | 35 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Loose lower premolar | 31 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  | 44 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 61 | 32 | 3 |  |  |  | 96 |
| Loose lower M3 | 15 | 22 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 39 |
| Mandible | 63 | 21 | 17 | 2 | 1 |  | 104 |
| Atlas | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 5 |
| Axis | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Scapula | 41 | 15 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 63 |
| Humerus | 31 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 2 |  | 60 |
| Radius | 49 | 23 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  | 81 |
| Ulna | 5 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 9 |
| Metacarpal | 33 | 16.5 | 1.5 |  |  |  | 51 |
| C3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Pelvis | 32 | 10 | 8 | 1 | 2 |  | 53 |
| Femur | 27 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 46 |
| Tibia | 38 | 17 | 6 | 3 | 3 |  | 67 |
| Astragalus | 22 | 4 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 32 |
| Calcaneum | 18 | 4 |  |  | 3 |  | 25 |
| Metatarsal | 31.5 | 11 | 1.5 | 2 | 4 |  | 50 |
| Metapodial | 7.5 | 5.5 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 17 |
| Scafocuboid | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Phalanx 1 | 24 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 34 |
| Phalanx 2 | 20 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 22 |
| Phalanx 3 | 8 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 9 |
| NISP | 747 | 292 | 104 | 40 | 30 | 2 | 1215 |
| \%NISP | 61.5 | 24.0 | 8.6 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 |  |
| MNI | 23 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 49 |
| \%MNI | 46.9 | 24.5 | 16.3 | 4.1 | 6.1 | 2.0 |  |

${ }^{11}$ Table A1 Roestown 2: Phase 1A Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^8]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Red deer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Cranium | 21 | 1 | 4 |  | 5 |  | 31 |
| Loose teeth | 90 | 25 | 12 | 8 | 5 |  | 140 |
| Loose lower incisor | 13 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 7 |  | 1 |  | 8 |
| Loose lower premolar | 16 | 4 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 26 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 25 | 14 | 6 |  | 1 |  | 46 |
| Loose lower M3 | 5 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Mandible | 48 | 20 | 12 |  | 7 |  | 87 |
| Atlas | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Axis | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Scapula | 18 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 2 |  | 44 |
| Humerus | 23 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 3 |  | 48 |
| Radius | 21 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 39 |
| Ulna | 6 | 1 | 4 |  | 2 |  | 13 |
| Metacarpal | 22 | 7 | 0.5 | 4 | 8 |  | 41.5 |
| C3 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |
| Pelvis | 19 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 3 |  | 47 |
| Femur | 25 | 4 | 7 |  | 2 |  | 38 |
| Tibia | 25 | 19 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  | 53 |
| Astragalus | 14 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 18 |
| Calcaneum | 20 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 25 |
| Metatarsal | 16 | 10 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 28 |
| Metapodial | 2.5 | 2.5 | 1 | 3 | 7 |  | 16 |
| Scafocuboid | 7 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 8 |
| Phalanx 1 | 25 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 7 |  | 42 |
| Phalanx 2 | 7 | 2 |  |  | 3 |  | 12 |
| Phalanx 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  | 7 |
| NISP | 486.5 | 174.5 | 111.5 | 28 | 66 | 1 | 867.5 |
| \%NISP | 56.1 | 20.1 | 12.9 | 3.2 | 7.6 | 0.1 |  |
| MNI | 13 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 41 |
| \%MNI | 31.7 | 26.8 | 24.4 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 2.4 |  |

${ }^{12}$ Table A2 Roestown 2: Phase 1B Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.
Note: the $\operatorname{dog}$ M1/2 is an M2.

[^9]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Cat | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 22 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 34 |
| Cranium | 36 | 6 | 16 | 1 | 4 |  | 63 |
| Loose teeth | 223 | 82 | 66 | 22 | 12 |  | 405 |
| Loose lower incisor | 41 | 2 | 59 |  |  |  | 102 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 34 |  |  |  | 34 |
| Loose lower premolar | 69 | 16 | 8 | 4 |  |  | 97 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 83 | 59 | 7 |  | 1 |  | 150 |
| Loose lower M3 | 17 | 20 | 3 |  |  |  | 40 |
| Mandible | 92 | 55 | 55 |  | 3 | 2 | 207 |
| Atlas | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 13 |
| Axis | 3 | 3 |  |  | 1 |  | 7 |
| Scapula | 53 | 16 | 32 | 4 | 3 |  | 108 |
| Humerus | 60 | 29 | 23 | 5 | 3 |  | 120 |
| Radius | 62 | 28 | 20 | 2 | 2 |  | 114 |
| Ulna | 10 | 3 | 9 |  | 2 |  | 24 |
| Metacarpal | 44.5 | 18 | 6.5 | 4 | 10 |  | 83 |
| C3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Pelvis | 68 | 23 | 26 | 5 | 3 |  | 125 |
| Femur | 58 | 11 | 17 | 4 | 2 |  | 92 |
| Patella |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Tibia | 66 | 35 | 18 | 2 | 1 |  | 122 |
| Astragalus | 36 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  | 51 |
| Calcaneum | 33 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 3 |  | 58 |
| Metatarsal | 58.5 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 9 |  | 90.5 |
| Metapodial | 9 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 6 |  |  | 26 |
| Scafocuboid | 16 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  | 19 |
| Phalanx 1 | 54 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 13 |  | 88 |
| Phalanx 2 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 |  | 32 |
| Phalanx 3 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 |  | 22 |
| NISP | 1257 | 461.5 | 450 | 81 | 82 | 2 | 2333.5 |
| \%NISP | 53.9 | 19.8 | 19.3 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 0.1 |  |
| MNI | 29 | 18 | 23 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 78 |
| \%MNI | 37.2 | 23.1 | 29.5 | 5.1 | 3.8 | 1.3 |  |

${ }^{13}$ Table A3 Roestown 2: Phase 2A Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.
Note: the $\operatorname{dog}$ M1/2 is an M1.

[^10]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Cat | Red deer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antler |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Horncore | 11 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| Cranium | 19 | 1 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| Loose teeth | 325 | 113 | 68 | 29 | 7 | 1 |  | 543 |
| Loose lower incisor | 51 | 5 | 54 | 1 |  |  |  | 111 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  | 39 |
| Loose lower premolar | 93 | 22 | 17 | 4 |  |  |  | 136 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  | 8 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 143 | 98 | 12 | 2 |  |  |  | 255 |
| Loose lower M3 | 24 | 27 | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 56 |
| Mandible | 82 | 24 | 21 | 1 | 3 |  |  | 131 |
| Atlas | 12 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Axis | 8 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Scapula | 47 | 10 | 22 | 4 |  |  |  | 83 |
| Humerus | 39 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 75 |
| Radius | 79 | 19 | 12 | 13 | 1 |  |  | 124 |
| Ulna | 8 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 16 |
| Metacarpal | 56 | 15 | 6.5 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 80.5 |
| C3 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Pelvis | 48 | 23 | 22 | 5 |  |  |  | 98 |
| Femur | 37 | 19 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 66 |
| Patella |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Tibia | 61 | 33 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  | 117 |
| Astragalus | 41 | 7 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 54 |
| Calcaneum | 49 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 63 |
| Metatarsal | 51.5 | 15 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 70.5 |
| Metapodial | 12 | 5 | 2.5 | 7 |  |  |  | 26.5 |
| Scafocuboid | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |
| Phalanx 1 | 68 | 11 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  | 90 |
| Phalanx 2 | 27 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 36 |
| Phalanx 3 | 15 |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 19 |
| NISP | 1425.5 | 489 | 346 | 105 | 17 | 4 | 1 | 2387.5 |
| \%NISP | 59.7 | 20.5 | 14.5 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.04 |  |
| MNI | 36 | 25 | 20 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 89 |
| \%MNI | 40.4 | 28.1 | 22.5 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 1.1 |  |

${ }^{14}$ Table A4 Roestown 2: Phase 2B Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^11]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Cat | Red deer | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Antler |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Horncore | 9 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |
| Cranium | 15 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 29 |
| Loose teeth | 195 | 51 | 19 | 35 | 4 |  |  | 304 |
| Loose lower incisor | 20 | 1 | 9 |  |  |  |  | 30 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 15 | 3 |  |  |  | 18 |
| Loose lower premolar | 48 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 79 | 25 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  | 110 |
| Loose lower M3 | 21 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| Mandible | 67 | 26 | 22 | 6 | 6 | 1 |  | 128 |
| Atlas | 8 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 12 |
| Axis | 8 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 12 |
| Scapula | 29 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 2 |  |  | 60 |
| Humerus | 38 | 13 | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 62 |
| Radius | 47 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 5 |  |  | 79 |
| Ulna | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 |  |  | 10 |
| Metacarpal | 39.5 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 8 |  |  | 58.5 |
| C3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Pelvis | 43 | 16 | 5 | 13 | 2 |  |  | 79 |
| Femur | 20 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 1 |  |  | 37 |
| Tibia | 41 | 24 | 6 | 9 | 4 | 1 |  | 85 |
| Astragalus | 41 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 48 |
| Calcaneum | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 22 |
| Metatarsal | 48 | 8 | 1.5 | 1 | 8 |  |  | 66.5 |
| Metapodial | 5.5 | 2 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  | 11.5 |
| Scafocuboid | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Phalanx 1 | 38 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 11 |  |  | 62 |
| Phalanx 2 | 12 | 1 |  | 2 | 5 |  |  | 20 |
| Phalanx 3 | 16 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 18 |
| NISP | 911 | 251 | 128.5 | 113 | 68 | 2 | 2 | 1475.5 |
| \%NISP | 61.7 | 17.0 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |
| MNI | 25 | 11 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 56 |
| \%MNI | 44.6 | 19.6 | 16.1 | 8.9 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 1.8 |  |

${ }^{15}$ Table A5 Roestown 2: Phase 3A Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^12]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Cranium | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Loose teeth | 27 | 6 |  | 2 | 1 | 36 |
| Loose lower incisor |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |
| Loose lower premolar | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Loose lower M3 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Mandible | 6 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 12 |
| Scapula |  |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Humerus | 2 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |
| Radius | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 6 |
| Metacarpal | 2.5 | 1 |  |  |  | 3.5 |
| Pelvis | 4 | 5 |  | 1 |  | 10 |
| Femur | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 7 |
| Tibia | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Astragalus | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Calcaneum | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Metatarsal | 2.5 |  |  |  |  | 2.5 |
| Metapodial |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Scafocuboid | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Phalanx 1 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 6 |
| NISP | 76 | 29 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 121 |
| \%NISP | 62.8 | 24.0 | 5.0 | 6.6 | 1.7 |  |
| MNI | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 |
| \%MNI | 36.4 | 27.3 | 18.2 | 9.1 | 9.1 |  |

${ }^{16}$ Table A6 Roestown 2: Phase 3B Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^13]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Cat | Rodent | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| Cranium | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 8 |
| Loose teeth | 45 | 29 | 6 | 7 |  | 1 |  | 88 |
| Loose lower incisor | 5 | 2 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 17 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Loose lower premolar | 15 | 6 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 24 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 29 | 25 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 55 |
| Loose lower M3 | 5 | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Mandible | 14 | 10 | 7 | 1 |  | 2 |  | 34 |
| Atlas |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| Axis |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Scapula | 8 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 2 |  | 16 |
| Humerus | 8 | 4 | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |  | 18 |
| Radius | 2 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 5 |  | 17 |
| Ulna | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  | 3 |
| Metacarpal | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| C3 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Pelvis | 3 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 | 1 | 12 |
| Femur | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 1 | 15 |
| Tibia | 5 | 7 | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 3 | 22 |
| Astragalus | 5 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Calcaneum | 6 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 2 |  | 12 |
| Metatarsal | 6 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 11 |
| Metapodial | 2 | 3 |  |  |  | 20 |  | 25 |
| Scafocuboid | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Phalanx 1 | 6 | 6 |  | 3 | 1 | 2 |  | 18 |
| Phalanx 2 | 5 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 7 |
| Phalanx 3 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| NISP | 191 | 127 | 48 | 26 | 2 | 55 | 5 | 454 |
| \%NISP | 42.1 | 28.0 | 10.6 | 5.7 | 0.4 | 12.1 | 1.1 |  |
| MNI | 8 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 29 |
| \%MNI | 27.6 | 24.1 | 20.7 | 6.9 | 3.4 | 10.3 | 6.9 |  |

${ }^{17}$ Table A7 Roestown 2: Phase 4 Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^14]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Cat | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Cranium | 11 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Loose teeth | 85 | 26 | 5 | 5 | 7 |  | 128 |
| Loose lower incisor | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Loose lower premolar | 19 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 23 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 31 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 52 |
| Loose lower M3 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 12 |
| Mandible | 10 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 1 |  | 27 |
| Atlas | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Axis |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Scapula | 15 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 1 |  | 31 |
| Humerus | 9 | 10 | 3 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Radius | 6 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  | 17 |
| Ulna | 2 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Metacarpal | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| Pelvis | 13 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  | 22 |
| Femur | 9 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Tibia | 10 | 14 | 3 | 2 |  | 3 | 32 |
| Astragalus | 8 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Calcaneum | 12 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 13 |
| Metatarsal | 7.5 | 1 | 2.5 |  |  |  | 11 |
| Metapodial | 0.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 3.5 |
| Scafocuboid | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Phalanx 1 | 16 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 |  | 23 |
| Phalanx 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 8 |
| Phalanx 3 | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 7 |
| NISP | 299 | 121 | 53.5 | 20 | 10 | 3 | 506.5 |
| \%NISP | 59.0 | 23.9 | 10.6 | 3.9 | 2.0 | 0.6 |  |
| MNI | 10 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 26 |
| \%MNI | 38.5 | 26.9 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 3.8 | 7.7 |  |

${ }^{18}$ Table A8 Roestown 2: Phase 5 Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^15]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loose teeth | 3 | 2 |  |  | 5 |
| Loose lower incisor | 2 |  | 4 |  | 6 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 3 |  | 1 |  | 4 |
| Loose lower M3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Mandible | 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 5 |
| Scapula | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| Humerus | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Ulna | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Metacarpal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Pelvis | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Femur | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Tibia | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| Metatarsal | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Metapodial |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.5 |
| Scafocuboid |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Phalanx 1 | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 |
| Phalanx 2 | 2 |  |  |  | 2 |
| NISP | 22 | 11.5 | 7 | 2 | 42.5 |
| \%NISP | 51.8 | 27.1 | 16.5 | 4.7 |  |
| MNI | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| \%MNI | 40 | 20 | 20 | 20 |  |

${ }^{19}$ Table A9 Roestown 2: Phase 6 Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^16]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Dog | Cat | Rodent | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cranium |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Loose teeth | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Loose lower premolar | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Mandible | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 6 |
| Scapula | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Radius | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Metacarpal | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Pelvis |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Femur | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Metatarsal | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Phalanx 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| NISP | 15 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 23 |
| \%NISP | 65.2 | 13.0 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 13.0 |  |
| MNI | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 8 |
| \%MNI | 50 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 |  |

${ }^{20}$ Table A10 Roestown 2: F507 Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^17]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Cranium | 6 |  | 1 |  |  | 7 |
| Loose teeth | 15 | 11 | 11 | 1 |  | 38 |
| Loose lower incisor | 8 | 1 | 13 | 1 |  | 23 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| Loose lower premolar | 10 |  | 2 |  |  | 12 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 14 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 19 |
| Loose lower M3 |  | 3 | 1 |  |  | 4 |
| Mandible | 11 |  | 6 |  | 1 | 18 |
| Atlas |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Axis | 2 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Scapula | 7 | 3 | 10 | 2 |  | 22 |
| Humerus | 2 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 7 |
| Radius | 9 | 3 | 3 |  |  | 15 |
| Ulna | 2 |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Metacarpal | 5 | 2 | 2.5 |  |  | 9.5 |
| Pelvis | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 14 |
| Femur | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Tibia | 8 | 7 | 3 |  | 1 | 19 |
| Astragalus |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |
| Calcaneum | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 3 |
| Metatarsal | 6 | 2 | 0.5 | 1 | 3 | 12.5 |
| Metapodial | 1.5 |  |  |  |  | 1.5 |
| Scafocuboid | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Phalanx 1 | 9 |  | 1 |  |  | 10 |
| Phalanx 2 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Phalanx 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| NISP | 137.5 | 42 | 66 | 7 | 7 | 259.5 |
| \%NISP | 53.0 | 16.2 | 25.4 | 2.7 | 2.7 |  |
| MNI | 5 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 16 |
| \%MNI | 31.3 | 18.8 | 37.5 | 6.3 | 6.3 |  |

21 Table A11 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by
element and species.

[^18]| Element | Cattle | Sheep/Goat | Pig | Horse | Dog | Rodent | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Cranium | 9 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  | 18 |
| Loose teeth | 87 | 15 | 9 | 9 |  | 3 | 123 |
| Loose lower incisor | 5 | 2 | 8 |  |  |  | 15 |
| Loose lower canine |  |  | 3 |  |  |  | 3 |
| Loose lower premolar | 14 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 15 |
| Loose lower P/M |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Loose lower M1/2 | 34 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 40 |
| Loose lower M3 | 5 | 5 | 4 |  |  |  | 14 |
| Mandible | 28 | 16 | 10 |  |  | 3 | 57 |
| Atlas | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |
| Axis | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Scapula | 16 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 23 |
| Humerus | 10 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  | 20 |
| Radius | 9 | 2 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 14 |
| Ulna | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| Metacarpal | 11.5 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  | 16.5 |
| C3 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Pelvis | 13 | 10 | 10 | 1 |  |  | 34 |
| Femur | 16 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  | 1 | 28 |
| Tibia | 11 | 5 | 3 |  |  | 5 | 24 |
| Astragalus | 11 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 13 |
| Calcaneum | 11 | 5 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 20 |
| Metatarsal | 16 | 1 | 0.5 | 1 |  |  | 18.5 |
| Metapodial | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 |  | 3 | 8.5 |
| Scafocuboid | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Phalanx 1 | 18 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| Phalanx 2 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Phalanx 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| NISP | 351.5 | 84.5 | 74.5 | 25 | 1 | 16 | 552.5 |
| \%NISP | 63.6 | 15.3 | 13.5 | 4.5 | 0.2 | 2.9 |  |
| MNI | 10 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 27 |
| \%MNI | 37.0 | 22.2 | 18.5 | 7.4 | 3.7 | 11.1 |  |

${ }^{22}$ Table A12 Roestown 2: Unphased Area B Number of identifiable specimens (NISP) by element and species.

[^19]| Phase 1A |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 23 | 5175 | 88.8 |
| Sheep | 12 | 138 | 2.4 |
| Pig | 8 | 512 | 8.8 |
| Total | 5825 |  |  |
|  | Phase 1B |  |  |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 13 | 2925 | 79.2 |
| Sheep | 11 | 126.5 | 3.4 |
| Pig | 10 | 640 | 17.3 |
| Total | 3691.5 |  |  |
|  | Phase 2A |  |  |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 28 | 6525 | 79.5 |
| Sheep | 18 | 207 | 2.5 |
| Pig | 23 | 1472 | 17.9 |
| Total | 8204 |  |  |
|  | Phase 2B |  |  |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 36 | 8100 | 83.8 |
| Sheep | 25 | 287.5 | 3.0 |
| Pig | 20 | 1280 | 13.2 |
| Total | 9667.5 |  |  |
|  | Phase 3A |  |  |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 25 | 5625 | 88.9 |
| Sheep | 11 | 126.5 | 2.0 |
| Pig | 9 | 576 | 9.1 |
| Total | 6327.5 |  |  |
|  | Phase 4 |  |  |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 8 | 1800 | 79.5 |
| Sheep | 7 | 80.5 | 3.6 |
| Pig | 6 | 384 | 17.0 |
| Total | 2264.5 |  |  |
|  | Unphased Area B |  |  |
|  | MNI | Meat Weight (kg) | \% Meat Weight |
| Cattle | 10 | 2250 | 85.3 |
| Sheep | 6 | 69 | 2.6 |
| Pig | 5 | 320 | 12.1 |
| Total |  | 2639 |  |

Table A13 Roestown 2: Meat values for the 3 main domesticates for all phases where MNI = > 5 .
Estimated live weight $=450 \mathrm{~kg}$ for cattle, 23 kg for sheep and 80 kg for pig.
Dressing-out weight $=50 \%$ for cattle \& sheep \& $80 \%$ for pig (McCormick and Murray 2007, 147).

| Cattle |  | Grant TWS |  |  |  |  | Higham MWS | Approx. age in months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Element type | dP4 | P4 | M1 | M2 | M3 |  |  |
| 3B | LMT | j |  |  |  | g | 20 | 40 |
| 3B | LMT |  |  |  |  | j | 22 | 50 |
| 3B | LMT |  |  |  |  | k | 23 | over 50 |
| 3B | LMT |  |  |  |  | k | 23 | over 50 |
| 4 | LMT |  |  |  |  | b | 15 | 30-31 |
| 4 | LMT |  |  |  |  | c | 16 | 31-32 |
| 4 | LMT |  |  |  |  | j | 22 | 50 |
| 4 | LMT |  |  |  |  | k | 23 | over 50 |
| 4 | LMT |  |  |  |  | k | 23 | over 50 |
| 4 | MN |  |  |  | X | d | 17 | 32-33 |
| 4 | MN |  | X | h | g | a | 14 | 30 |
| 4 | MN |  | - | $f$ | V | 0 | 8 | 15-16 |
| 6 | MN |  | X | g | g | b | 15 | 30-31 |
| Unphased A | MN |  |  |  | X | b | 15 | 30-31 |
|  | MN |  | a | j | g | b | 15 | 30-31 |
|  | MN |  | H | j | g | b | 15 | 30-31 |
|  | MN |  | X | P | c | C | 12 | 24 |
| Unphased B | LMT |  |  |  |  | a | 14 | 30 |
|  | LMT |  |  |  |  | g | 20 | 40 |
|  | LMT |  |  |  |  | g | 20 | 40 |
|  | LMT |  |  |  |  | g | 20 | 40 |
|  | LMT |  |  |  |  | j | 22 | 50 |
|  | MN |  |  |  | X | k | 23 | over 50 |
|  | MN |  |  | k | j | g | 20 | 40 |
|  | MN |  |  | X | A | m | 23+ | over 50 |

Table A14 Roestown 2: Summary of cattle mandible wear for phases where $\mathbf{N}=<10$. Tooth wear stages after Grant $(1982,92)$ and mandible wear stages after $\operatorname{Higham}(1967,104)$.
$\mathrm{LMT}=$ loose mandibular tooth, $\mathrm{MN}=$ mandible.
Phase 3B N = 4, $4 \mathrm{~N}=8,6 \mathrm{~N}=1$, Unphased A N = 4, Unphased B N = 8 .

| Higham MWS | Approx. age in months | Roestown 2 Cattle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1A | 1B | 2A | 2B | 3A | 3B | 4 | 5 | 6 | Unphased A | Unphased B |
| 1 | foetal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | birth/3weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 5-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6-7 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 7-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 8-13 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 15-16 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 16-17 | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 17-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 18-24 |  | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 24 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 13 | 24-30 | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 30 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 15 | 30-31 | 3 |  | 3 | 2 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |
| 16 | 31-32 | 2 |  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 32-33 | 1 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 36 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18/19 | 36-38 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 38 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 40 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 9 | 1 |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |
| 21 | 40-50 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 50 | 3 |  | 4 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  | 1 |
| 23 | Over 50 |  | 1 |  | 5 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| 23+ |  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
|  | Total | 23 | 11 | 26 | 27 | 30 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 8 |

Table A15 Roestown 2: Summary of mandible wear stages for cattle following Higham (1967, 104) assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles for all phases.

Tooth wear stages after Grant $(1982,92)$

| CATTLE |  | Age in months | Phase 1A |  | Phase 1B |  | Phase 2A |  | Phase 2B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Early fusing | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { metapodium } \mathrm{p} \text {. } \\ & \text { acetabulum } \\ & \text { scapula } \mathrm{d} . \\ & \text { humerus } \mathrm{d} . \\ & \text { radius } \mathrm{p} \text {. } \\ & \text { phalanx } 1 \& 2 \mathrm{p} \text {. } \\ & \text { Total early } \\ & \text { fusing } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | fused before birth $\begin{aligned} & 6-10 \\ & 7-10 \end{aligned}$ <br> 12-18 <br> 18-24 | 42.5 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 80.5 | 0 | 71.5 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 11 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 23 | 2 | 13 | 3 |
|  |  |  | 29 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 29 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 5 | 28 | 1 | 74 | 6 | 66 | 10 |
|  |  |  | 40 | 4 | 25 | 4 | 55 | 13 | 79 | 7 |
|  |  |  | 171.5 | 11 | 105 | 8 | 263.5 | 23 | 258.5 | 21 |
|  |  |  | 94 | 6 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 92 | 8 | 92.5 | 7.5 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. metapodium d. calcaneum p . Total mid fusing \% | 24-36 | 52 | 20.5 | 26 | 16 | 80.5 | 32.5 | 74.5 | 29 |
|  |  | 36-42 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 9 |
|  |  |  | 58 | 25.5 | 32 | 19 | 85.5 | 38.5 | 89.5 | 38 |
|  |  |  | 69.5 | 30.5 | 62.7 | 37.3 | 69 | 31 | 70.2 | 29.8 |
| Late fusing | humerus p . radius d., ulna $p$. femur $\mathrm{p} . \& \mathrm{~d}$. tibia p. <br> Total late fusing \% | 42-48 | 54 | 20 | 33 | 15 | 91 | 34 | 62 | 38 |
|  |  |  | 54 | 20 | 33 | 15 | 91 | 34 | 62 | 38 |
|  |  |  | 73 | 27 | 68.75 | 31.25 | 72.8 | 27.2 | 62 | 38 |

Table A16 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B, 2A \& 2B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused cattle specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing (1999, 76). p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology. Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.

| CATTLE |  | Age in months | Phase 3A |  | Phase 3B |  | Phase 4 |  | Phase 5 |  | Phase 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Early fusing | metapodium p . acetabulum scapula d. humerus d. radius p . phalanx $1 \& 2 \mathrm{p}$. Total early fusing \% | fused before birth$6-10$$7-10$$12-18$$18-24$ | 60 | 3 | 3.5 | 0 | 5.5 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 13 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 52 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 193 | 12 | 12.5 | 2 | 29.5 | 0 | 41 | 8 | 6 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 94.1 | 5.9 | 86.2 | 13.8 | 100 | 0 | 83.7 | 16.3 | 85.7 | 14.3 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. metapodium d . calcaneum p. Total mid fusing \% | 24-36 | 57.5 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 36-42 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 62.5 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 4.5 | 10.5 | 4.5 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 81.7 | 18.3 | 100 | 0 | 64 | 36 | 70 | 30 | 0 | 100 |
| Late fusing | humerus p . radius d., ulna p. femur p. \& d. tibia p. <br> Total late fusing \% | 42-48 | 49 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 49 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 76.6 | 23.4 | 83.3 | 16.7 | 63.6 | 36.4 | 81 | 19 | 50 | 50 |

Table A17 Roestown 2: Phase 3A, 3B, 4, 5 \& 6 Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused cattle specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing (1999, 76). p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology. Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.

| CATTLE |  | Age in months | F507 |  | Unphased Area A |  | Unphased Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Early fusing | metapodium p . | fused before birth | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 24.5 | 0 |
|  | acetabulum | 6-10 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 1 |
|  | scapula d. | 7-10 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 1 |
|  | humerus d. radius $p$. | 12-18 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 1 |
|  | phalanx 1\&2 p. | 18-24 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 22 | 2 |
|  | Total early fusing |  | 2 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 70.5 | 5 |
|  | \% |  | 100 | 0 | 88.2 | 11.8 | 93.4 | 6.6 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. metapodium d. | 24-36 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 16 | 7 |
|  | calcaneum p. | 36-42 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
|  | Total mid fusing |  | 2 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 18 | 10 |
|  | \% |  | 100 | 0 | 85.7 | 14.3 | 64.3 | 35.7 |
| Late fusing | humerus p . radius d., ulna p . femur p. \& d. tibia p. | 42-48 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 18 | 7 |
|  | Total late fusing |  | 1 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 18 | 7 |
|  | \% |  | 50 | 50 | 53.3 | 46.7 | 72 | 28 |

Table A18 Roestown 2: F507, Unphased Area A \& B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused cattle specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing (1999, 76). p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p . of current author's methodology.
Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.

| Sheep/Goat |  | Payne TWS |  |  |  |  | Higham MWS | Approx. age in months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Element type | dP4 | P4 | M1 | M2 | M3 |  |  |
| 3B | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 3B | MN | - | X | 9A | 9A | 8G | 15 | 26-28 |
| 3B | MN | - | 12S | 10A | 9A | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 4 | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 4 | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 4 | LMT | - | - | - | - | 4A | 14 | 25-26 |
| 4 | MN | - | 14S | 15A | 10A | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 4 | MN | 13L | - | E | 0 | 0 | 4/5 | 3-4 |
| 4 | MN | 16L | - | 8A | 6A | 9G | 16 | mature |
| 4 | MN | 16L | - | 9A | 9A | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 4 | MN | 14L | - | 5A | C | 0 | 9 | 9-10 |
| 4 | MN | 16L | - | 6 A | E | 0 | 10 | 10-11 |
| 5 | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 5 | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 5 | MN | - | 11A | 10A | 9A | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 5 | MN | - | 9 A | X | 9A | 9G | 16 | mature |
| 6 | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
| 6 | MN | - | - | X | 2 A | C | 13 | 21-24 |
| Unphased A | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
|  | LMT | - | - | - | - | 11G | 17 | adult |
|  | LMT | - | - | - | - | 4A | 14 | 25-26 |

Table A19 Roestown 2: Summary of sheep/goat mandible wear for phases where $\mathrm{N}=<$ 10. Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987) and mandible wear stages after Higham (1967, 106).
LMT = loose mandibular tooth, $\mathrm{MN}=$ mandible.
Phase $3 \mathrm{~B} N=3,4 \mathrm{~N}=9,5 \mathrm{~N}=4,6 \mathrm{~N}=2$, Unphased Area $\mathrm{AN}=3$.

| Higham MWS | Approx. age in months | Roestown 2 Sheep/Goat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1A | 1B | 2A | 2B | 3A | 3B | 4 | 5 | 6 | Unphased A | Unphased B |
| Higham MWS 1 | Approx. age in months foetal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | birth-6weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1.5-3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5-7 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 7-9 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 9-10 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 10-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 11-12 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 12-21 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 21-24 |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 2 |
| 14 | 25-26 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 1 | 3 |
| 15 | 26-28 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | mature | 6 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 2 |
| 17 | adult | 9 | 6 | 17 | 17 | 11 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 18 | old |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18+ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 24 | 12 | 37 | 28 | 18 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 10 |

Table A20 Roestown 2: Summary of mandible wear stages for sheep/goat following Higham $(1967,106)$ assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles for all phases. Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 \& 1987).

| SHEEP |  | Age in months | Phase 1A |  | Phase 1B |  | Phase 2A |  | Phase 2B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Early fusing | metapodium p . humerus d. radius p . scapula d. acetabulum phalanx $1 \& 2 \mathrm{p}$. Total early fusing \% | fused before birth$\begin{gathered} 3-10 \\ 6-8 \end{gathered}$ | 26.5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 29 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 35 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 30 | 3 |
|  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 75.5 | 1 | 53 | 1 | 108 | 0 | 91 | 4 |
|  |  |  | 98.7 | 1.3 | 98.1 | 1.9 | 100 | 0 | 95.8 | 4.2 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. metapodium d. calcaneum p. Total mid fusing \% | 15-24 | 12 | 2 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 19 | 5 |
|  |  | 18-28 | 10 | 4.5 | 8.5 | 0 | 10 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 |
|  |  | 30-36 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 23 | 7.5 | 27.5 | 1 | 29 | 12.5 | 27.5 | 8.5 |
|  |  |  | 75.4 | 24.6 | 96.5 | 3.5 | 69.9 | 30.1 | 76.4 | 23.6 |
| Late fusing | femur p . humerus p . radius d. ulna p . femur d. tibia p. Total late fusing \% | 30-42 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 36-42 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 16 | 20 | 14 | 16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 17 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 23 | 18 | 23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 65.4 | 34.6 | 58.3 | 41.7 | 42.5 | 57.5 | 43.9 | 56.1 |

Table A21 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B, 2A \& 2B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused sheep specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing 1999, 76).
Any sheep/goat elements with stage of fusion recorded were assumed to be sheep rather than goat when assigning age ranges.
p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology.

Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.

| SHEEP |  | Age in months | Phase 3A |  | Phase 3B |  | Phase 4 |  | Phase 5 |  | Phase 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Early fusing | metapodium p . | fused before birth <br> 3-10 <br> 6-8 <br> 6-10 <br> 6-16 | 15 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | humerus d. radius p . |  | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | scapula d. |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | acetabulum |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | phalanx 1\&2 p. |  | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Total early fusing |  | 54 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
|  | \% |  | 94.7 | 5.3 | 100 | 0 | 86.4 | 13.6 | 96.4 | 3.6 | 100 | 0 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. metapodium d. calcaneum p. Total mid fusing \% | $\begin{aligned} & 15-24 \\ & 18-28 \\ & 30-36 \end{aligned}$ | 16 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 19 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 1 | 0.5 |
|  |  |  | 76 | 24 | 100 | 0 | 53.8 | 46.2 | 92.9 | 7.1 | 66.7 | 33.3 |
| Late fusing | femur p . humerus p . radius d. ulna p . femur d. tibia p. <br> Total late fusing \% | $30-42$ | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $36-42$ | 9 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10 | 11 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 47.6 | 52.4 | 75 | 25 | 33.3 | 66.7 | 36.4 | 63.6 | 0 | 100 |

Table A22 Roestown 2: Phase 3A, 3B, 4, 5 \& 6 Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused sheep specimens classified under early, middle or

## late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing 1999, 76).

Any sheep/goat elements with stage of fusion recorded were assumed to be sheep rather than goat when assigning age ranges.
p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology.

Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.

| SHEEP |  | Age in months | Unphased Area A |  | Unphased Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Early fusing | metapodium p . humerus d. radius p . scapula d. acetabulum phalanx $1 \& 2 \mathrm{p}$. Total early fusing \% | fused before birth$\begin{gathered} 3-10 \\ 6-8 \\ 6-10 \\ 6-16 \end{gathered}$ | 4 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 0 | 9 | 3 |
|  |  |  | 100 | 0 | 75 | 25 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. metapodium d. calcaneum p . Total mid fusing \% | 15-24 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  | 18-28 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.5 |
|  |  | 30-36 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
|  |  |  | 6 | 2 | 8 | 4.5 |
|  |  |  | 75 | 25 | 64 | 36 |
| Late fusing | femur p . humerus p . radius d. ulna $p$. femur d . tibia p. Total late fusing \% | 30-42 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 36-42 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 | 3 |
|  |  |  | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |

Table A23 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A \& B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused sheep specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing 1999, 76).
Any sheep/goat elements with stage of fusion recorded were assumed to be sheep rather than goat when assigning age ranges.
p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology.
Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.
(No fused/unfused specimens from F507).

| Pig |  | Grant TWS |  |  |  |  | Higham MWS | Approx. age in months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | Element type | dP4 | P4 | M1 | M2 | M3 |  |  |
| 1B | LMT |  |  |  |  | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| 1B | MN | - | - | - | - | d | 22 | 25-27 |
| 1B | MN | - | - | X | e | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| 1B | MN | - | b | k | c | E | 19 | 19-21 |
| 1B | MN (female) | - | b | f | c | E | 19 | 19-21 |
| 1B | MN (male) | - | b | c | b | H | 19 | 19-21 |
| 2B | LMT |  |  |  |  | c | 21 | 23-25 |
| 2B | MN | - | - | - | - | H | 19 | 19-21 |
| 2B | MN | - | - | X | A | f | 24 | 30+ |
| 2B | MN | - | - | X | f | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| 2B | MN | - | - | X | m | g | 25 | Adult |
| 4 | MN | - | - | - | - | E | 19 | 19-21 |
| 4 | MN | - | - | X | A | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| 5 | LMT |  |  |  |  | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| 5 | MN | - | - | - | X | c | 21 | 23-25 |
| 6 | MN | - | - | x | g | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| 6 | MN | - | d | m | h | c | 21 | 23-25 |
| Unphased A | LMT |  |  |  |  | f | 24 | 30+ |
| Unphased A | MN | - | - | X | U | C | 18 | 17-19 |
| Unphased A | MN | - | b | f | b | V | 18 | 17-19 |
| Unphased A | MN | e | - | H | - | - | 6.5 | 4-5/5-6 |
| Unphased A | MN (female) | - | d | - | - | C | 21 | 23-25 |
| Unphased B | LMT |  |  |  |  | b | 20 | 21-23 |
| Unphased B | MN | - | c | e | c | U | 19 | 19-21 |
| Unphased B | MN | - | - | - | X | H | 13 | 11-12 |
| Unphased B | MN | - | a | d | b | C | 18 | 17-19 |
| Unphased B | MN | - | - | c | V | 0 | 11 | 9-10 |

Table A24 Roestown 2: Summary of pig mandible wear for phases where $\mathbf{N}=<\mathbf{1 0}$. Tooth wear stages after Grant $(1982,94)$ and mandible wear stages after Higham (1967, 105).

LMT = loose mandibular tooth, $\mathrm{MN}=$ mandible.
Phase 1B $N=6,2 B N=5,4, N=2,5 N=2,6 N=2$, Unphased $A N=5$, Unphased $B N=5$.

| Higham MWS | Approx. age in months | Roestown 2 Pig |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1A | 1B | 2A | 2B | 3A | 4 | 5 | 6 | Unphased A | Unphased B |
| 1 | foetal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | birth-1week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1-4 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4-7 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| 7 | 5-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 |  |
| 8 | 6-7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 7-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 8-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 9-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 12 | 10-11 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 11-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| 14 | 12-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 14-15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 15-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 16-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 17-19 | 1 |  | 4 |  | 7 |  |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| 19 | 19-21 | 3 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| 20 | 21-23 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| 21 | 23-25 | 2 |  | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| 22 | 25-27 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 27-29 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 30+ |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| 25 | Adult | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Late maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 11 | 6 | 26 | 5 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 5 |

Table A25 Roestown 2: Summary of mandible wear stages for pig following Higham (1967, 105) assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles for all phases.
Tooth wear stages after Grant $(1982,94)$

| Higham | Approx. age | Phase 1A |  | Phase 1B |  | Phase 2A |  | Phase 3A |  | Unphased A |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MWS | in months | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M |
| 1 | foetal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | birth-1week |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1-4 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 4-7 weeks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 2-4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 4-5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 5-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 6-7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | 7-8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 8-9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | 9-10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | 10-11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| 13 | 11-12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 12-14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 14-15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 15-16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 16-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 17-19 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 19-21 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 21-23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |
| 21 | 23-25 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 22 | 25-27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 27-29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 30+ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | Adult |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | Late maturity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Old |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total no. of female specimens $=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table A26 Roestown 2: Mandible wear stages for sexed pig mandibles from Phase 1A, 1B, 2A, 3A \& Unphased Area A.
$F=$ female,$M=$ male .

| Phase | Element | Sex | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | LMT | F | 7 |
| 1A | LMT | M | 3 |
| 1A | MN | F | 4 |
| 1A | MN | M | 1 |
| Total F |  |  | 11 |
| Total M |  |  | 4 |
| Phase | Element | Sex | N. |
| 1B | LMT | F | 3 |
| 1B | LMT | M | 4 |
| 1B | MN | F | 1 |
| 1B | MN | M | 1 |
| Total F |  |  | 4 |
| Total M |  |  | 5 |
| Phase | Element | Sex | N. |
| 2A | LMT | F | 15 |
| 2 A | LMT | M | 18 |
| 2 A | MN | F | 1 |
| 2 A | MN | M | 5 |
| Total F |  |  | 16 |
| Total M |  |  | 23 |
| Phase | Element | Sex | N. |
| 2B | LMT | F | 10 |
| 2B | LMT | M | 29 |
| 2B | MN | F | 1 |
| 2B | MN | M | 1 |
| Total F |  |  | 11 |
| Total M |  |  | 30 |
| Phase | Element | Sex | N. |
| 3A | LMT | F | 6 |
| 3A | LMT | M | 9 |
| 3A | MN | F | 0 |
| 3 A | MN | M | 3 |
| Total F |  |  | 6 |
| Total M |  |  | 12 |


| Phase 3B | Element <br> LMT | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ \text { M } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total F <br> Total M |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ |
| Phase 4 4 4 4 | Element LMT LMT MN MN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} . \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total F <br> Total M |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \\ & 3 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Phase <br> 5 <br> 5 <br> 5 <br> 5 | Element LMT LMT MN MN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} . \\ 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total F <br> Total M |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 4 \end{aligned}$ |
| Phase <br> Unphased A Unphased A Unphased A Unphased A | Element <br> LMT <br> LMT <br> MN <br> MN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{N} . \\ 1 \\ 4 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Total F <br> Total M |  |  | 2 4 |
| Phase <br> Unphased B Unphased B Unphased B Unphased B | Element <br> LMT <br> LMT <br> MN <br> MN | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sex } \\ \mathrm{F} \\ \mathrm{M} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{M} \end{gathered}$ | N. 1 2 0 3 |
| Total F <br> Total M |  |  | 1 5 |

Table A27 Roestown 2: Sex determination for pig based on morphology of mandibular canine.
$\mathrm{LMT}=$ loose mandibular tooth. $\mathrm{MN}=$ mandible. $\mathrm{F}=$ female, $\mathrm{M}=$ male. $\mathrm{N} .=$ number of specimens.

| Phase | Element | Sex | N. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 2 |
| 1A | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 1 |
| 1B | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 0 |
| 1B | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 2 |
| 2A | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 2 |
| 2A | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 5 |
| 2B | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 3 |
| 2B | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 6 |
| 3A | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 0 |
| 3A | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 5 |
| 4 | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 0 |
| 4 | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 1 |
| 5 | Loose maxillary tooth | F | 1 |
| 5 | Loose maxillary tooth | M | 0 |
| Unphased A Loose maxillary tooth | F | 0 |  |
| Unphased A Loose maxillary tooth | M | 2 |  |
| Unphased B Loose maxillary tooth | F | 1 |  |
| Unphased B Loose maxillary tooth | M | 0 |  |

Table A28 Roestown 2: Sex determination for pig based on morphology of maxillary canine.
$\mathrm{F}=$ female, $\mathrm{M}=$ male. $\mathrm{N} .=$ number of specimens.


Table A29 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B, 2A \& 2B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused pig specimens classified under early, middle or latefusing stages following Reitz and Wing (1999, 76).
p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology.

Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.


Table A30 Roestown 2: Phase 3A, 3B, 4 \& 5 Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused pig specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages
following Reitz and Wing (1999, 76). p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology.
Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium. (No fused/unfused specimens from Phase 6).

| PIG |  | Age in months | Unphased Area A |  | Unphased Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early fusing | metapodium p.scapula d.radius p.acetabulumphalanx 2 p.humerus d.Total earlyfusing$\%$ | fused before birth | 3 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 8 | 3 | 9 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 13 | 4 | 15.5 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 76.5 | 23.5 | 100 | 0 |
| Middle fusing | tibia d. phalanx 1 p. | 24 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | metapodium d. | 24-27 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0 | 1 |
|  | calcaneum p. | 24-30 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total mid fusing |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2 | 2 |
|  | \% |  | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |
| Late fusing | ulna $p$. <br> humerus p | 36-42 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | radius d. femur p. \& d. tibia p . | 42 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | Total late fusing |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
|  | \% |  | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |

Table A31 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A \& B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused pig specimens classified under early, middle or late-fusing stages following Reitz and Wing (1999, 76).
p. = proximal, d. = distal. Scapula d. of Reitz and Wing equates to scapula p. of current author's methodology.
Fusion zone recorded by current author for acetabulum is that between ilium and ischium.
(No pig specimens from F507).

| HORSE <br> Element | Ossification Centre | Age of Fusion | Phase 1A |  | Phase 1B |  | Phase 2A |  | Phase 2B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Scapula <br> Humerus | Bicipital tuberosity | 20 mts | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Radius | Proximal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 3.5 yrs | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 |
| Ulna | Olecranon | 3.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metacarpus | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 1st phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | $13-15 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2nd phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | $9-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 3rd phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Pelvis | Fusion of main bones | $1.5-2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Femur | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Fuses with tibia 1-3 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Tibia | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 20-24 mts | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | 3 yrs | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $16-20 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Metapodial | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 15-20 mts | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused |  |  | 20 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 55 | 1 |
| \% Total fused and unfused |  |  | 90.9 | 9.1 | 94.7 | 5.3 | 98 | 2 | 98.2 | 1.8 |

Table A32 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B, 2A \& 2B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused horse specimens present with age of fusion after Silver (1969, 285-286).

| HORSE Element | Ossification Centre | Age of Fusion | Phase 3A |  | Phase 3B |  | Phase 4 |  | Phase 5 |  | Phase 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Scapula | Bicipital tuberosity | 20 mts | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Humerus | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Radius | Proximal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 3.5 yrs | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulna | Olecranon | 3.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metacarpus | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | $13-15 \mathrm{mts}$ | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2nd phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | $9-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3rd phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pelvis | Fusion of main bones | $1.5-2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Femur | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Fuses with tibia 1-3 mts | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tibia | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 20-24 mts | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | 3 yrs | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $16-20 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metapodial | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $15-20 \mathrm{mts}$ | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused |  |  | 61 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| \% Total fused and unfused |  |  | 95.3 | 4.7 | 100 | 0 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |

Table A33 Roestown 2: Phase 3A, 3B, 4, 5 \& 6 Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused horse specimens present with age of fusion after Silver (1969, 285-286).

| HORSE Element | Ossification Centre | Age of Fusion | Unphased Area A |  | Unphased Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Scapula <br> Humerus | Bicipital tuberosity | 20 mts | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 15-18 mts | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Radius | Proximal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 3.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ulna | Olecranon | 3.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metacarpus | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $15-18 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 13-15 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 9-12 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3rd phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pelvis | Fusion of main bones | $1.5-2 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Femur | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Fuses with tibia 1-3 mts | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| Tibia | Proximal epiphysis | $3-3.5 \mathrm{yrs}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 20-24 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | 3 yrs | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 16-20 mts | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Metapodial | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 15-20 mts | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused |  |  | 4 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| \% Total fused and unfused |  |  | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |

Table A34 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A \& B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused horse specimens present with age of fusion after Silver (1969, 285-286).
(No horse specimens from F507).

| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DOG } \\ \text { Element } \end{gathered}$ | Ossification Centre | Age of Fusion | Phase 1A |  | Phase 1B |  | Phase 2A |  | Phase 2B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Scapula <br> Humerus | Bicipital tuberosity | 6-7 mts | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Proximal epiphysis | 15 mts | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $8-9 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Radius | Proximal epiphysis | $11-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $11-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Ulna | Olecranon | $9-10 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Metacarpus | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 8 mts | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 7 mts | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 7 mts | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3rd phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pelvis | Fusion of main bones | 6 mts | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Femur | Proximal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tibia | Proximal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $13-16 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | $13-16 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 10 mts | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metapodial | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $8-10 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused |  |  | 26 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 82 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| \% Total fused and unfused |  |  | 100 | 0 | 98.25 | 1.75 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |

Table A35 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B, 2A \& 2B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused dog specimens present with age of fusion after Silver (1969, 285-286).

| DOG <br> Element | Ossification Centre | Age of Fusion | Phase 3A |  | Phase 4 |  | Phase 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| Scapula <br> Humerus | Bicipital tuberosity | 6-7 mts | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Proximal epiphysis | 15 mts | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 8-9 mts | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Radius | Proximal epiphysis | $11-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $11-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulna | Olecranon | $9-10 \mathrm{mts}$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metacarpus | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 8 mts | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 7 mts | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 2nd phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 7 mts | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3rd phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pelvis | Fusion of main bones | 6 mts | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Femur | Proximal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tibia | Proximal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $13-16 \mathrm{mts}$ | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | 13-16 mts | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 10 mts | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metapodial | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 8-10 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused |  |  | 83 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \% Total fused and unfused |  |  | 98.8 | 1.2 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 | 0 |

Table A36 Roestown 2: Phase 3A, 4 \& 5 Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused dog specimens present with age of fusion after Silver (1969, 285-286).
(No fused/unfused specimens from Phase 3B. No dog specimens from Phase 6).

| $\overline{\text { DOG }}$ <br> Element | Ossification Centre | Age of Fusion | Unphased Area A |  | Unphased Area B |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scapula <br> Humerus | Bicipital tuberosity | 6-7 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Proximal epiphysis | 15 mts | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $8-9 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Radius | Proximal epiphysis | $11-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $11-12 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ulna | Olecranon | $9-10 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metacarpus | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 8 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1st phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 7 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2nd phalanx | Proximal epiphysis | 7 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3rd phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pelvis | Fusion of main bones | 6 mts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Femur | Proximal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tibia | Proximal epiphysis | 1.5 yrs | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $13-16 \mathrm{mts}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | 13-16 mts | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | 10 mts | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Metapodial | Proximal epiphysis | Before birth | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Distal epiphysis | $8-10 \mathrm{mts}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Total fused and un | fused | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | \% Total fused and | unfused | 100 | 0 | 100 | 0 |

Table A37 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A \& B Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused dog specimens present with age of fusion after Silver (1969, 285-286). (No fused/unfused specimens from F507).

| CAT |  | se 1A |  | se 2B |  | se 3A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| scapula cp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| humerus d. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| radius p. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| acetabulum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| femur p . | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| calcaneum p . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ulna p. 10-11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| phalanges p. 10-11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| humerus p . | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| radius d. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *femur d. 11.5-20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| tibia p. \& d. | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| metapodials d. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \% Total fused and unfused | 100 | 0 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 100 | 0 |

Table A38 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 2B \& 3A Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused cat specimens classified after Habermehl (1961) and *Smith (1969).
(No cat specimens from Phase 1B or 3B. No fused/unfused specimens from Phase 2A).

| CAT <br> Fusion Zone Age of fusion (months) | Phase 4 |  | Phase 5 |  | F507 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused | No. fused | No. unfused |
| scapula cp. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| humerus d. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| radius p. 8.5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| acetabulum 8.5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| femur p . | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| calcaneum p . | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ulna p. 10-11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| phalanges p. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| humerus p . | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| radius d. | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| *femur d. 11.5-20 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| tibia p. \& d. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| metapodials d. | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total fused and unfused | 36 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| \% Total fused and unfused | 60 | 40 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

Table A39 Roestown 2: Phase 4, 5 \& F507 Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused cat specimens classified after Habermehl (1961) and *Smith (1969).
(No cat specimens from Phase 6, Unphased Area A \& B).

| Phase/Feature | Species | Element | Fused/Unfused | No. of specimens |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1B | Red deer | Radius d. | Fused | 1 |
| 4 | Rodent | Femur p. | Fused | 1 |
| 4 | Rodent | Tibia p. | Fused | 1 |
| 4 | Rodent | Femur d. | Unfused | 1 |
| 4 | Rodent | Tibia d. | Fused | 3 |
| F507 | Rodent | Pelvis | Fused | 1 |
| F507 | Rodent | Femur p. | Fused | 2 |
| F507 | Rodent | Femur d. | Unfused | 2 |
| Unphased B | Rodent | Ulna p. | Fused | 1 |
| Unphased B | Rodent | Femur p. | Fused | 1 |
| Unphased B | Rodent | Tibia p. | Fused | 4 |
| Unphased B | Rodent | Metapodial p. | Fused | 3 |
| Unphased B | Rodent | Tibia d. | Fused | 5 |
| Unphased B | Rodent | Metapodial d. | Fused | 3 |

Table A40 Roestown 2: Number of fused (fused and fusing) and unfused specimens present for all other species i.e. red deer and rodent.
(Rodent specimens identified as mouse).

| Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A <br> Cattle | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horncore | Wmax | 27 | 38.08 | 12.2 | 50.6 | 7.18 | 0.19 |
| Horncore | L | 28 | 52.64 | 16.1 | 72 | 9.90 | 0.19 |
| Scapula | GLP | 8 | 134.74 | 28 | 197 | 52.44 | 0.39 |
| Scapula | SLC | 27 | 63.00 | 48.2 | 74.7 | 5.16 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | SD | 6 | 32.55 | 31 | 59.2 | 7.07 | 0.15 |
| Humerus | BT | 35 | 67.37 | 60.6 | 35.3 | 2.69 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | HTC | 60 | 29.89 | 25.7 | 72.3 | 3.09 | 0.05 |
| Metacarpal | GL | 16 | 185.10 | 169.8 | 197.3 | 1.92 | 0.06 |
| Metacarpal | Bp | 42 | 51.66 | 37.4 | 61.4 | 4.63 | 0.04 |
| Metacarpal | Bd | 32 | 54.68 | 46.8 | 64.7 | 4.51 | 0.09 |
| Metacarpal | SD | 22 | 30.64 | 27.9 | 34.8 | 2.19 | 0.07 |
| Metacarpal | B@F | 30 | 50.95 | 44.1 | 58.5 | 3.79 | 0.07 |
| Pelvis | LA | 20 | 64.56 | 53 | 71.9 | 4.76 | 0.07 |
| Tibia | Bd | 50 | 56.05 | 23.7 | 67.2 | 5.63 | 0.10 |
| Astragalus | GLI | 47 | 59.66 | 52 | 66.2 | 2.66 | 0.04 |
| Astragalus | GLm | 52 | 54.40 | 47.7 | 59.6 | 2.46 | 0.05 |
| Astragalus | Bd | 50 | 38.79 | 35 | 45.1 | 2.18 | 0.06 |
| Astragalus | Dm | 43 | 33.13 | 28.3 | 53.8 | 3.67 | 0.11 |
| Astragalus | DI | 48 | 33.33 | 30.6 | 36.4 | 1.29 | 0.04 |
| Calcaneum | GL | 8 | 126.79 | 118 | 140 | 8.48 | 0.07 |
| Metatarsal | GL | 15 | 209.41 | 193 | 225 | 7.88 | 0.04 |
| Metatarsal | Bp | 50 | 43.54 | 36.8 | 53.4 | 3.35 | 0.08 |
| Metatarsal | Bd | 27 | 51.11 | 43.4 | 63 | 4.61 | 0.09 |
| Metatarsal | SD | 34 | 24.69 | 21.2 | 29.9 | 2.19 | 0.09 |

Table A41 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A Summary of cattle measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) $=5$ or less are not included.

| Phase 2B \& 3A <br> Cattle | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horncore | Wmin | 12 | 34.60 | 23.8 | 42.3 | 5.87 | 0.17 |
| Scapula | Gmax | 11 | 48.16 | 29.5 | 57.9 | 8.68 | 0.18 |
| Scapula | GLC | 31 | 64.18 | 58.7 | 73.1 | 4.15 | 0.06 |
| Humerus | BT | 22 | 46.41 | 28.5 | 54.2 | 5.63 | 0.12 |
| Humerus | HTC | 34 | 67.33 | 60.2 | 81.4 | 5.32 | 0.08 |
| Metacarpal | GL | 10 | 186.32 | 173.7 | 195.7 | 2.86 | 0.10 |
| Metacarpal | Bp | 40 | 51.09 | 19.6 | 61.3 | 7.22 | 0.03 |
| Metacarpal | Bd | 25 | 54.17 | 48.6 | 62.5 | 3.87 | 0.14 |
| Metacarpal | SD | 19 | 28.15 | 12.1 | 33.3 | 4.52 | 0.16 |
| Metacarpal | B@F | 24 | 49.49 | 44.9 | 58 | 3.21 | 0.06 |
| Pelvis | LA | 14 | 64.81 | 59.1 | 69.5 | 3.17 | 0.05 |
| Tibia | Bd | 30 | 57.01 | 52.1 | 64.2 | 2.79 | 0.05 |
| Astragalus | GLI | 49 | 60.26 | 54.8 | 66.1 | 2.42 | 0.04 |
| Astragalus | GLm | 56 | 54.77 | 45.6 | 58.7 | 2.46 | 0.04 |
| Astragalus | Bd | 58 | 38.66 | 31.4 | 44.6 | 2.50 | 0.06 |
| Astragalus | Dm | 41 | 33.01 | 27.9 | 38 | 2.21 | 0.07 |
| Astragalus | DI | 56 | 33.46 | 27.6 | 38.8 | 1.80 | 0.05 |
| Calcaneum | GL | 11 | 123.86 | 115 | 137 | 6.54 | 0.05 |
| Metatarsal | GL | 11 | 205.57 | 195 | 215.8 | 7.23 | 0.04 |
| Metatarsal | Bp | 44 | 43.30 | 34.5 | 51.7 | 3.67 | 0.08 |
| Metatarsal | Bd | 32 | 50.33 | 44.8 | 61.3 | 3.90 | 0.08 |
| Metatarsal | SD | 22 | 24.20 | 21.7 | 27.5 | 1.62 | 0.07 |

Table A42 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \& 3A Summary of cattle measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.

| Phase 5 <br> Cattle | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Astragalus | GLI | 6 | 60.50 | 59.5 | 62 | 0.86 | 0.01 |
| Astragalus | Bd | 6 | 38.18 | 36.6 | 39.5 | 1.18 | 0.03 |
| Astragalus | DI | 6 | 34.32 | 33 | 36.2 | 1.24 | 0.04 |

Table A43 Roestown 2: Phase 5 Summary of cattle measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) =5 or less are not included.

| Unphased Area A \& B <br> Cattle | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scapula | GLP | 8 | 58.94 | 46.3 | 65.2 | 7.09 | 0.12 |
|  | SLC | 8 | 39.69 | 26.6 | 54.4 | 11.24 | 0.28 |
| Metacarpal | Bp | 8 | 53.36 | 49.9 | 58.9 | 3.25 | 0.06 |
| Pelvis | LA | 7 | 62.50 | 56.3 | 66.3 | 4.06 | 0.07 |
| Tibia | Bd | 6 | 57.63 | 52.3 | 63.7 | 4.64 | 0.08 |
| Astragalus | Bd | 9 | 37.24 | 32.7 | 45.6 | 4.14 | 0.11 |
| Metatarsal | Bp | 13 | 41.69 | 20 | 51 | 7.95 | 0.19 |
| Metatarsal | Bd | 7 | 50.97 | 46.6 | 61.1 | 4.67 | 0.09 |
| Metatarsal | SD | 6 | 25.13 | 22.7 | 30 | 2.68 | 0.11 |

Table A44 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A \& B Summary of cattle measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) $=5$ or less are not included.

| Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheep/Goat |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Horncore | Wmin | 14 | 23.02 | 14.9 | 37.2 | 7.86 | 0.34 |
| Horncore | Wmax | 13 | 31.90 | 18.3 | 51.7 | 11.04 | 0.35 |
| Scapula | GLP | 16 | 28.37 | 25.7 | 31.3 | 1.42 | 0.05 |
| Scapula | SLC | 22 | 16.35 | 13 | 21.4 | 2.00 | 0.12 |
| Humerus | BT | 34 | 25.27 | 22.9 | 29.4 | 1.87 | 0.07 |
| Humerus | HTC | 48 | 12.63 | 11.1 | 14.2 | 0.80 | 0.06 |
| Radius | Bp | 42 | 27.15 | 23.5 | 30.2 | 1.63 | 0.06 |
| Radius | SD | 8 | 15.53 | 14.2 | 17 | 1.01 | 0.07 |
| Metacarpal | GL | 6 | 113.92 | 105.5 | 123 | 5.96 | 0.05 |
| Metacarpal | Bp | 32 | 20.86 | 18.2 | 23 | 1.28 | 0.06 |
| Metacarpal | Bd | 10 | 23.51 | 22 | 27.3 | 1.54 | 0.07 |
| Metacarpal | SD | 16 | 12.92 | 11 | 15.4 | 1.32 | 0.10 |
| Metacarpal | DtM | 9 | 10.09 | 9.3 | 11.4 | 0.70 | 0.07 |
| Metacarpal | DtL | 9 | 9.32 | 8.6 | 9.9 | 0.43 | 0.05 |
| Metacarpal | Ddm | 8 | 13.94 | 13.3 | 15 | 0.57 | 0.04 |
| Metacarpal | Ddl | 8 | 13.29 | 12.7 | 13.9 | 0.36 | 0.03 |
| Metacarpal | BFdm | 10 | 10.86 | 10 | 13 | 0.86 | 0.08 |
| Metacarpal | BFdl | 9 | 10.70 | 9.3 | 12.8 | 0.94 | 0.09 |
| Pelvis | LA | 10 | 26.07 | 24.2 | 27.6 | 1.07 | 0.04 |
| Tibia | Bd | 39 | 23.28 | 20.5 | 26.4 | 1.26 | 0.05 |
| Tibia | SD | 9 | 12.61 | 11.7 | 14.2 | 0.76 | 0.06 |
| Astragalus | GLI | 10 | 25.12 | 23.8 | 26.4 | 0.89 | 0.04 |
| Astragalus | GLm | 9 | 23.71 | 22.4 | 24.6 | 0.82 | 0.03 |
| Astragalus | Bd | 10 | 16.27 | 14.5 | 17.7 | 0.86 | 0.05 |
| Astragalus | Dm | 6 | 14.87 | 14.4 | 15.3 | 0.29 | 0.02 |
| Astragalus | DI | 10 | 15.14 | 13.3 | 24.1 | 3.20 | 0.21 |
| Metatarsal | Bp | 25 | 18.21 | 14.8 | 20.5 | 1.27 | 0.07 |
| Metatarsal | Bd | 6 | 22.53 | 20.8 | 24.5 | 1.59 | 0.07 |
| Metatarsal | SD | 8 | 11.38 | 10.4 | 12.4 | 0.76 | 0.07 |

Table A45 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A Summary of sheep/goat measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.

| Phase 2B \& 3A <br> Sheep/Goat | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horncore | Wmin | 10 | 19.74 | 16.4 | 23.5 | 2.12 | 0.11 |
| Horncore | Wmax | 10 | 32.11 | 24.4 | 54.5 | 8.68 | 0.27 |
| Scapula | GLP | 11 | 28.01 | 24.6 | 31.3 | 1.91 | 0.07 |
| Scapula | SLC | 12 | 16.39 | 14.5 | 18.1 | 1.25 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | BT | 16 | 24.82 | 20.6 | 29.1 | 2.07 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | HTC | 23 | 12.43 | 10.4 | 14 | 0.89 | 0.07 |
| Radius | Bp | 18 | 27.28 | 24.3 | 31 | 1.77 | 0.06 |
| Radius | SD | 6 | 14.65 | 12.7 | 16.4 | 1.35 | 0.09 |
| Metacarpal | Bp | 16 | 20.55 | 17.9 | 24 | 1.72 | 0.08 |
| Pelvis | LA | 12 | 25.48 | 21.7 | 28.3 | 1.81 | 0.07 |
| Tibia | Bd | 24 | 22.91 | 20.8 | 26 | 1.50 | 0.07 |
| Astragalus | GLI | 8 | 25.26 | 22.5 | 27 | 1.43 | 0.06 |
| Astragalus | GLm | 9 | 23.92 | 21.5 | 26 | 1.29 | 0.05 |
| Astragalus | Bd | 7 | 17.87 | 14.2 | 26.3 | 3.86 | 0.22 |
| Astragalus | Dm | 8 | 14.28 | 12.8 | 15.5 | 0.95 | 0.07 |
| Astragalus | DI | 8 | 14.00 | 12.7 | 15.6 | 0.96 | 0.07 |
| Metatarsal | Bp | 18 | 18.79 | 16.7 | 28.9 | 2.62 | 0.14 |
| Metatarsal | SD | 6 | 10.97 | 10.4 | 11.3 | 0.34 | 0.03 |

Table A46 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \& 3A Summary of sheep/goat measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.

| Phase 5 <br> Sheep/Goat | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Humerus | BT | 8 | 25.09 | 22.2 | 28.8 | 2.01 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | HTC | 9 | 12.78 | 11.5 | 14 | 0.85 | 0.07 |
| Tibia | Bd | 8 | 23.56 | 21.8 | 25 | 1.33 | 0.06 |

Table A47 Roestown 2: Phase 5 Summary of sheep/goat measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.

| Unphased Area A \& B <br> Sheep/Goat | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tibia | Bd | 6 | 23.30 | 22 | 24.7 | 1.08 | 0.05 |

Table A48 Roestown 2: Unphased Area A \& B Summary of sheep/goat measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.

| Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A <br> Pig | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scapula | GLP | 23 | 31.85 | 27.3 | 37 | 2.31 | 0.07 |
| Scapula | SLC | 31 | 21.30 | 17.8 | 24.3 | 1.71 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | Bd | 15 | 36.27 | 31 | 39.4 | 2.06 | 0.06 |
| Humerus | BT | 14 | 26.65 | 24.2 | 28.7 | 1.38 | 0.05 |
| Humerus | HTC | 18 | 18.63 | 16.6 | 28.6 | 2.66 | 0.14 |
| Radius | BpP | 10 | 26.76 | 22.3 | 29.1 | 2.00 | 0.07 |
| Pelvis | LAR | 16 | 27.22 | 21.7 | 29.2 | 1.78 | 0.07 |
| Tibia | Bd | 10 | 28.94 | 27.3 | 30.3 | 0.99 | 0.03 |
| Astragalus | GLI | 8 | 37.30 | 34.1 | 40 | 2.46 | 0.07 |

Table A49 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A Summary of pig measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.

| Phase 2B \& 3A <br> Pig | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scapula | GLP | 12 | 31.48 | 28.1 | 37.9 | 2.68 | 0.09 |
| Scapula | SLC | 13 | 19.71 | 18 | 21.4 | 1.07 | 0.05 |
| Humerus | Bd | 6 | 35.85 | 34.2 | 37.7 | 1.47 | 0.04 |
| Humerus | BT | 8 | 26.61 | 23.3 | 30.1 | 2.15 | 0.08 |
| Humerus | HTC | 9 | 18.20 | 16.4 | 19.1 | 0.99 | 0.05 |
| Radius | BpP | 9 | 25.52 | 21.4 | 27.7 | 1.93 | 0.08 |
| Pelvis | LAR | 8 | 26.44 | 25 | 28.5 | 1.26 | 0.05 |
| Tibia | Bd | 7 | 27.91 | 26.3 | 29.5 | 1.27 | 0.05 |

Table A50 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \& 3A Summary of pig measurements.
Measurements where number of specimens $(\mathrm{N})=$.5 or less are not included.

| Unphased Area A \& B <br> Pig | Measurement | N. | Mean | Min | Max | StDev | CV |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scapula | GLP | 7 | 32.06 | 29.9 | 34 | 1.44 | 0.04 |
| Scapula | SLC | 8 | 20.76 | 18.5 | 23.5 | 1.96 | 0.09 |
| Humerus | Bd | 6 | 37.13 | 35.7 | 38.1 | 0.90 | 0.02 |
| Humerus | BT | 7 | 26.44 | 18.2 | 31.2 | 4.31 | 0.16 |
| Humerus | HTC | 7 | 17.74 | 16.5 | 19.3 | 1.03 | 0.06 |

Table A51 Roestown 2: Phase Unphased Area A \& B Summary of pig measurements. Measurements where number of specimens (N.) = 5 or less are not included.


Table A52 Horse measurements (in mm) with Estimated withers heights (in cm) after Kiesewalter as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck (1974, 333). Measurements are after von den Driesch (1976), Payne and Bull (1988), Davis (1982) and Eisenmann (1986).

| Phase | REC ID | Element | GL | Bp | Bd | SD | LA | LAR | GLI | GLC | Dp | GLP | EWH |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1B | 124 | Scapula | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 34.4 | - |
| 3A | 69 | Scapula | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27.2 | - |
| 3A | 70 | Scapula | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 27.4 | - |
| 1A | 5436 | Humerus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38.9 | - | - |
| 1B | 118 | Humerus | 187.9 | - | - | 14 | - | - | 186.5 | 183.6 | 46 | - | 61.8 |
| 2A | 2 | Humerus | 131 | - | - | 12.3 | - | - | 128.3 | 125.8 | 136.9 | - | 42.3 |
| 3A | 71 | Humerus | 154.7 | - | - | 10.8 | - | - | 152.5 | 151.6 | 37.4 | - | 50.4 |
| Unphased | 9262 | Humerus | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 40 | - | - |
| 1A | 5433 | Radius | - | 17.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 5434 | Radius | - | - | 22.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 5439 | Radius | - | 17.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 7960 | Radius | - | 19.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1B | 122 | Radius | - | 20.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1B | 7354 | Radius | - | 23.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 3 | Radius | 114 | 16.2 | 22.7 | 12.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38.2 |
| 2A | 50 | Radius | - | 17 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2B | 1415 | Radius | - | - | 20.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 110 | Radius | 158.5 | 17.1 | 22.4 | 11.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 52.4 |
| 3A | 111 | Radius | - | - | 22.3 | 11.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 5674 | Radius | - | 22.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 7223 | Radius | 161 | 17.2 | 22.5 | 13.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 53.1 |
| 1A | 10114 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 27.7 | 22.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1B | 128 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 26.6 | 23.9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1B | 7352 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 31.2 | 27.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1B | 7353 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 30.6 | 27.1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 23 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 22 | 20 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 24 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 21.4 | 19.6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 78 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 24.1 | 19.5 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 79 | Pelvis | - | - | - | - | 24.7 | 20.2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 10110 | Femur | 195 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 59.9 |
| 2A | 5 | Femur | 139.8 | - | - | 12.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 42.6 |
| 3A | 109 | Femur | - | - | - | 12.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 5182 | Tibia | - | - | 26.2 | 24.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 10112 | Tibia | - | 37.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1B | 125 | Tibia | - | 39.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 21 | Tibia | 129.9 | 33.2 | 22.1 | 12.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 38.9 |
| 2B | 2969 | Tibia | - | - | 22.6 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 74 | Tibia | 172.2 | 32.2 | 21.3 | 10.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51.2 |
| 3A | 75 | Tibia | 171.7 | 32.5 | 21.3 | 11.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 51.1 |
| 3A | 3550 | Tibia | - | - | 20.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 8285 | Tibia | - | - | 21.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unphased | 3363 | Tibia | - | - | 25.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 25 | Astragalus | 24.8 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 81 | Astragalus | 27.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unphased | 3361 | Astragalus | 29.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 8482 | Calcaneum | 42.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1A | 10115 | Calcaneum | 47.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 7 | Calcaneum | 38.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2A | 26 | Calcaneum | 36.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2B | 1508 | Calcaneum | 36.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 80 | Calcaneum | 40.2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3A | 107 | Calcaneum | 39.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unphased | 3362 | Calcaneum | 49.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Table A53 Roestown 2: Dog measurements (in mm) with Estimated shoulder heights (in cm) after Harcourt (1974, 154). Measurements are after von den Driesch (1976).

| Phase | REC ID | Element | GL | SD | GLP | SLC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 6408 | Scapula | - | - | 13.2 | - |
| 4 | 6456 | Scapula | - | - | 12.3 | 11.1 |
| 4 | 6409 | Radius | 92 | 4.8 | - | - |
| 4 | 6395 | Femur | 102.7 | 8.1 | - | - |
| 4 | 6396 | Femur | 101.9 | 8 | - | - |
| 4 | 6393 | Tibia | 111 | 6.9 | - | - |
| 4 | 6394 | Tibia | 111.2 | 6.9 | - | - |
| 5 | 8699 | Tibia | 107.8 | 6.3 | - | - |

Table A54 Roestown 2: Cat measurements (in mm) after von den Driesch (1976).

| Abbreviation | Description | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GL | Greatest length | vdD |
| GL | Greatest length (horse PH1) | Davis, Eisenmann |
| GL1 | Greatest lateral length | vdD |
| Ll | Lateral length on outer side (only in horses) | vdD |
| GLC | Greatest length from caput (head) (Dog humerus) | vdD |
| BFdm | Maximum breadth of medial trochlea | Davis |
| BFdl | Maximum breadth of lateral trochlea | Davis |
| Ddm | Maximum depth of medial trochlea | Davis |
| Ddl | Maximum depth of lateral trochlea | Davis |
| Dtm | Depth of external trochlea of medial condyle | Payne |
| Dt1 | Depth of external trochlea of lateral condyle | Payne |
| Dp | Depth of proximal end (Dog humerus) | vdD |
| Dp | Proximal depth (horse PH1) | Davis, Eisenmann |
| GLP | Greatest length of glenoid process (in scapula) | vdD |
| SLC | Smallest length of collum (in scapula) | vdD |
| Bd | Greatest breadth of distal end | vdD |
| BT | Greatest breadth of trochlea | Payne \& Bull |
| HTC | Height of trochlea | Payne \& Bull |
| B@F | Maximum breadth of distal fusion point in metapodials | Davis |
| CAc | Greatest length of articular facet | Boessneck |
| $\mathrm{CAc}+\mathrm{d}$ | Greatest length of articular facet + lateral arm | Boessneck |
| Bp | Greatest breadth of proximal end | vdD |
| BpP | Greatest proximal width (used for pig radii) | Payne \& Bull |
| SD | Smallest breadth of diaphysis | vdD |
| LA | Length of acetabulum including lip | vdD |
| LAR | Length of acetabulum on rim | vdD |
| L | Length along outer curve of horncore | vdD |
| Wmin | Minimum width at base of horncore | vdD |
| Wmax | Maximum width at base of horncore | vdD |
| GLm | Greatest length of medial half (in astragalus) | vdD |
| Dm | Greatest depth of medial half (in astragalus) | vdD |
| Dl | Greatest depth of lateral half (in astragalus) | vdD |
| GH | Greatest height (in astragalus of horse) | vdD |
| BFd | Breadth of distal articular surface | vdD |
| BFd | Distal width (horse PH1) | Davis |
| " | Distal articular breadth (horse PH1) | Eisenmann |
| Dd | Distal depth (horse PH1) | Davis |
| 1 | Total length of skull | vdD |

Table A55 Roestown 2: Definitions of measurements recorded following von den Driesch (1976), Davis (1992) and (1982), Payne (1969), Payne and Bull (1988), Boessneck (1969) and Eisenmann (1986).

| Species | Phase | REC ID | Element | EWH (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cattle | 1A | 7814 | MC1 (F) | 111.0 |
| Cattle | 1A | 7975 | MC1 (F) | 112.8 |
| Cattle | 1B | 3089 | MC1 (F) | 118.4 |
| Cattle | 2A | 778 | MC1 (F) | 110.7 |
| Cattle | 2A | 2108 | MC1 (F) | 110.9 |
| Cattle | 2A | 2652 | MC1 (F) | 108.0 |
| Cattle | 2A | 4987 | $\mathrm{MC1}$ (F) | 108.7 |
| Cattle | 2A | 5861 | MC1 (F) | 108.0 |
| Cattle | 2A | 7396 | $\mathrm{MC1}$ (F) | 110.4 |
| Cattle | 2B | 4563 | MC1 (F) | 111.0 |
| Cattle | 2B | 7385 | MC1 (F) | 109.0 |
| Cattle | 2B | 7553 | MC1 (F) | 110.6 |
| Cattle | 3A | 6813 | MC1 (F) | 111.5 |
| Cattle | 3A | 6814 | MC1 (F) | 115.1 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 1951 | MC1 (F) | 109.5 |
| Cattle | 1A | 1134 | MC1 (M) | 113.3 |
| Cattle | 1A | 6698 | MC1 (M) | 119.1 |
| Cattle | 1B | 1822 | MC1 (M) | 116.1 |
| Cattle | 1B | 8001 | $\mathrm{MC1}$ (M) | 106.1 |
| Cattle | 2A | 2198 | MC1 (M) | 122.3 |
| Cattle | 2A | 5518 | MC1 (M) | 113.1 |
| Cattle | 2B | 940 | MC1 (M) | 122.3 |
| Cattle | 3A | 3534 | MC1 (M) | 120.5 |
| Cattle | 3A | 6103 | MC1 (M) | 115.9 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 8952 | MC1 (M) | 116.9 |
| Cattle | 2A | 9559 | MC1 (1) | 118.2 |
| Cattle | 3A | 3535 | MC1 (1) | 114.5 |
| Cattle | 3A | 5887 | MC1 (I) | 106.4 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 9479 | MC1 (1) | 114.5 |
| Cattle | 1A | 1141 | MT1 | 115.5 |
| Cattle | 1A | 1142 | MT1 | 116.2 |
| Cattle | 1A | 5412 | MT1 | 122.6 |
| Cattle | 1A | 7859 | MT1 | 111.6 |
| Cattle | 1A | 7872 | MT1 | 105.2 |
| Cattle | 1B | 7547 | MT1 | 115.5 |
| Cattle | 2A | 1105 | MT1 | 112.2 |
| Cattle | 2A | 3767 | MT1 | 117.0 |
| Cattle | 2A | 3770 | MT1 | 108.9 |
| Cattle | 2A | 3772 | MT1 | 114.2 |
| Cattle | 2A | 4034 | MT1 | 112.5 |
| Cattle | 2A | 4035 | MT1 | 113.0 |
| Cattle | 2A | 5611 | MT1 | 112.8 |
| Cattle | 2A | 8180 | MT1 | 113.6 |
| Cattle | 2A | 9562 | MT1 | 120.9 |
| Cattle | 2B | 242 | MT1 | 111.7 |
| Cattle | 2B | 260 | MT1 | 117.6 |
| Cattle | 2B | 675 | MT1 | 111.9 |
| Cattle | 2B | 1504 | MT1 | 115.5 |
| Cattle | 2B | 3297 | MT1 | 113.9 |
| Cattle | 2B | 4332 | MT1 | 106.3 |
| Cattle | 2B | 4427 | MT1 | 108.5 |
| Cattle | 3A | 3531 | MT1 | 109.4 |
| Cattle | 3A | 6882 | MT1 | 106.5 |
| Cattle | 3A | 7202 | MT1 | 116.1 |
| Cattle | 3A | 7224 | MT1 | 115.0 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 1953 | MT1 | 117.6 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 3518 | MT1 | 121.3 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 8987 | MT1 | 115.5 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 8990 | MT1 | 117.9 |
| Cattle | UNPHASED | 9018 | MT1 | 117.2 |
| Cattle | 2A | 757 | RA | 112.7 |
| Cattle | 2A | 8176 | RA | 105.8 |
| Cattle | 1A | 5448 | TI | 106.8 |
| Cattle | 1B | 7329 | TI | 109.4 |
| Cattle | 2B | 4526 | TI | 106.5 |
| Cattle | 3A | 5703 | TI | 110.4 |
| Cattle | 5 | 3146 | TI | 105.9 |

Table A56 Roestown 2: All estimated withers heights calculated for
cattle after Fock and Matolcsi as outlined in von den Driesch and Boessneck $(1974,336)$.

| Species | Phase | REC ID | Element | EWH (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sheep | 4 | 5241 | $\mathrm{MT1}$ | 60 |
| Sheep | 5 | 4248 | RA | 49 |
| Sheep | 1 A | 7732 | MC 1 | 52 |
| Sheep | 1 A | 6092 | FE | 54 |
| Sheep | 1 A | 5443 | MC 1 | 55 |
| Sheep | 1 A | 7874 | MC 1 | 55 |
| Sheep | 1A | 7875 | MC 1 | 55 |
| Sheep | 1 A | 6756 | RA | 57 |
| Sheep | 1A | 5429 | RA | 58 |
| Sheep | 1B | 1892 | $\mathrm{MT1}$ | 55 |
| Sheep | 1B | 8066 | RA | 57 |
| Sheep | 1B | 9620 | MC1 | 60 |
| Sheep | 2A | 2625 | RA | 51 |
| Sheep | 2A | 2173 | MC1 | 58 |
| Sheep | 2A | 4134 | MT1 | 58 |
| Sheep | 2A | 3856 | MT1 | 59 |
| Sheep | 2A | 3995 | MT1 | 61 |
| Sheep | 2B | 985 | RA | 53 |
| Sheep | 2B | 2915 | MC1 | 55 |
| Sheep | 2B | 4572 | MT1 | 58 |
| Sheep | 3A | 7222 | RA | 54 |
| Sheep | UNPHASED | 3476 | MC1 | 57 |

Table A57 Roestown 2: All estimated withers heights calculated for sheep after Teichert as specified in von den Driesch and Boessneck were applied (1974, 339).
Sheep/goat specimens were assumed to be sheep for calculation of withers height.

| Phase | Species | Element | CountOfElement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | OVA | AS | 3 |
| 1A | OVA | HC | 3 |
| 1A | OVA | HU | 13 |
| 1A | OVA | LMT | 5 |
| 1A | OVA | MN | 3 |
| 1A | OVA | SC | 4 |
| 1A | OVA | TI | 12 |
| 1B | OVA | AS | 2 |
| 1B | OVA | HU | 7 |
| 1B | OVA | LMT | 2 |
| 1B | OVA | MN | 5 |
| 1B | OVA | MT1 | 1 |
| 1B | OVA | PE | 2 |
| 1B | OVA | SC | 7 |
| 1B | OVA | TI | 15 |
| 2A | OVA | AS | 3 |
| 2A | OVA | CR | 2 |
| 2A | OVA | HC | 9 |
| 2A | OVA | HU | 15 |
| 2A | OVA | LMT | 9 |
| 2A | OVA | MN | 13 |
| 2A | OVA | MP1 | 1 |
| 2A | OVA | MT1 | 3 |
| 2A | OVA | PE | 2 |
| 2A | OVA | SC | 6 |
| 2A | OVA | TI | 18 |
| 2B | OVA | AS | 4 |
| 2B | OVA | HC | 3 |
| 2B | OVA | HU | 12 |
| 2B | OVA | LMT | 7 |
| 2B | OVA | MN | 1 |
| 2B | OVA | MT1 | 1 |
| 2B | OVA | PE | 2 |
| 2B | OVA | SC | 4 |
| 2B | OVA | TI | 17 |
| 3A | OVA | AS | 3 |
| 3A | OVA | HC | 5 |
| 3A | OVA | HU | 5 |
| 3A | OVA | MN | 2 |
| 3A | OVA | PE | 2 |
| 3A | OVA | SC | 2 |
| 3A | OVA | TI | 17 |
| 3B | OVA | MN | 2 |
| 4 | OVA | HC | 2 |
| 4 | OVA | HU | 3 |
| 4 | OVA | LMT | 1 |
| 4 | OVA | MN | 3 |
| 4 | OVA | MT1 | 1 |
| 4 | OVA | TI | 3 |
| 5 | OVA | AS | 1 |
| 5 | OVA | HU | 7 |
| 5 | OVA | LMT | 1 |
| 5 | OVA | PE | 1 |
| 5 | OVA | SC | 4 |
| 5 | OVA | TI | 12 |
| F507 | OVA | MN | 1 |
| Unphased | OVA | AS | 1 |
| Unphased | OVA | HU | 2 |
| Unphased | OVA | MN | 2 |
| Unphased | OVA | PE | 1 |
| Unphased | OVA | SC | 1 |
| Unphased | OVA | TI | 8 |
| Total specimens identified as sheep |  |  | 309 |

[^20]| Phase | Species | Element | Ddm | DtM | Index | Confirmed Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | O | MC1 | 15 | 10.9 | 72.7 | Sheep |
| 1A | O | MC1 | 14.5 | 9.3 | 64.1 | Sheep |
| 1A | O | MC1 | 13.4 | 10.2 | 76.1 | Sheep |
| 1A | O | MC1 | 13.8 | 11.4 | 82.6 | Sheep |
| 1B | O | MC1 | 13.3 | 9.4 | 70.7 | Sheep |
| 2A | O | MC1 | 13.7 | 9.8 | 71.5 | Sheep |
| 2A | O | MC1 | 13.7 | 9.5 | 69.3 | Sheep |
| 2A | O | MC1 | 14.1 | 10.2 | 72.3 | Sheep |
| 2B | O | MC1 | 13.3 | 9.9 | 74.4 | Sheep |
| 2B | O | MC1 | 12 | 10.8 | 90.0 | Sheep |
| UNPHASED | O | MC1 | 14.4 | 10.4 | 72.2 | Sheep |

Table A59 Roestown 2: Index calculations for Ddm and Dtm of distal metacarpals of sheep/goat after Boessneck (1969, 354-355).

| Species | Phase | REC ID | Element | EWH (cm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pig | 2 A | 3901 | RA | 69.2 |

Table A60 Roestown 2: Estimated withers height calculated for pig after Teichert as quoted in von den Driesch and Boessneck (1974, 341).

| Species | Group | Element | N. | Side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dog | 1 | Cranium | 1 | L+R |
| Dog | 1 | Loose tooth | 7 | U |
| Dog | 1 a | Loose tooth | 1 | U |
| Dog | 1 | Mandible | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Mandible | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Axis | 1 | U |
| Dog | 1 | Scapula | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Scapula | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Humerus | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1a | Humerus | 1 | R (d. only) |
| Dog | 1b | Humerus | 1 | R (p. only) |
| Dog | 1 | Radius | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 a | Radius | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Ulna | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1a | Ulna | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metacarpal 1 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 a | Metacarpal 2 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metacarpal 4 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metacarpal 5 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metacarpal U | 4 | L |
| Dog | 1a | Metacarpal U | 1 | U |
| Dog | 1 | Pelvis | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Pelvis | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Femur | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Femur | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Tibia | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Calcaneum | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Calcaneum | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Astragalus | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Astragalus | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Scufocuboid | 1 | U |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 2 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 2 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 3 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 3 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 4 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 4 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 5 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Metatarsal 5 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 1 | Phalanx 1 | 3 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Phalanx 2 | 5 | R |
| Dog | 1a | Phalanx 3 | 5 | U |
| Dog | 1 | Phalanx 2 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 1 | Phalanx 3 | 3 | R |
| Dog | 1a | Phalanx 4 | 1 | U |
| Dog | 1 | Phalanx 3 | 1 | R |
| Cattle | 1b | Loose tooth | 3 | U |
| Cattle | 1b | Mandible | 1 | L |
| Cattle | 1b | Loose mandibular tooth | 1 | U |
| Sheep/Goat | 1b | Mandible | 1 | L |

Table A61 Roestown 2: Countable elements present for articulated dog skeleton F418 and associated specimens for other species.
Group 1 = Elements recovered directly from excavation of articulated skeleton. Group 1a= elements recovered from area of skeleton before its direct excavation.
Group $1 \mathrm{~b}=$ Elements recovered from area of skeleton but including other species.

| Species | Group | Element | N. | Side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dog | 2 | Cranium | 1 | $\mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{R}$ |
| Dog | 2 | Mandible | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Mandible | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Axis | 1 | - |
| Dog | 2 | Scapula | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Scapula | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Humerus | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 a | Radius | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 a | Radius | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Ulna | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Ulna | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Metacarpal 2 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 a | Metacarpal 2 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 a | Metacarpal 3 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 a | Metacarpal 3 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Metacarpal 4 | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Metacarpal 5 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Pelvis | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Pelvis | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 a | Femur | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Tibia | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Tibia | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Calcaneum | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Calcaneum | 1 | R |
| Dog | 2 | Astragalus | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Metatarsal 2 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Metatarsal 3 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Metatarsal 4 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Metatarsal 5 | 1 | L |
| Dog | 2 | Phalanx 1 | 11 | U |
| Dog | 2 | Phalanx 2 | 5 | U |
| Sheep/Goat | 2 a | Cranium | 1 | L |
| Sheep/Goat | $2 a$ | Cranium | 1 | R |
| Sheep/Goat | 2 | Pelvis | 1 | R |
| Pig | 2 a | Cranium | 1 | R |

Table A62 Roestown 2: Countable elements present for articulated dog skeleton F484 (Group 2 and $2 \mathrm{2a}$ ) associated specimens for other species.
Group 2 = Elements recovered directly from excavation of articulated skeleton. Group $2 \mathrm{a}=$ bone located near dog skeleton.

| Species | Element | N. | Side |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dog | Cranium | 1 | L |
| Dog | Cranium | 1 | R |
| Dog | Loose tooth | 1 | U |
| Dog | Loose tooth | 1 | U |
| Dog | Mandible | 1 | L |
| Dog | Mandible | 1 | R |
| Dog | Scapula | 1 | L |
| Dog | Scapula | 1 | R |
| Dog | Humeurs | 1 | L |
| Dog | Humeurs | 1 | R |
| Dog | Radius | 1 | R |
| Dog | Ulna | 1 | L |
| Dog | Ulna | 1 | R |
| Dog | Metacarpal 1 | 1 | R |
| Dog | Metacarpal 2 | 1 | R |
| Dog | Metacarpal 3 | 1 | L |
| Dog | Metacarpal 3 | 1 | R |
| Dog | Metacarpal 4 | 1 | L |
| Dog | Metacarpal 4 | 1 | R |
| Dog | Metacarpal 5 | 1 | L |
| Dog | Metacarpal 5 | 1 | R |
| Dog | Pelvis | 1 | R |
| Dog | Femur | 1 | L |
| Dog | Femur | 1 | R |
| Dog | Tibia | 1 | L |
| Dog | Scafocuboid | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Metapodial U | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 1 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 2 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 2 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 2 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 3 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 3 | 1 | U |
| Dog | Phalanx 3 | 1 | U |

Table A63 Roestown 2: Countable elements present for articulated dog skeleton F116.

| Element | Ossification Zone | Age of fusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scapula | Bicipital tuberosity | $6-7$ months |
| Humerus | Proximal Epiphysis | 15 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $8-9$ months |
| Radius | Proximal Epiphysis | $11-12$ months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $11-12$ months |
| Metacarpus | Proximal Epiphysis | Before birth |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | 8 months |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Phalanx | Proximal Epiphysis | 7 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | Before birth |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Phalanx | Proximal Epiphysis | 7 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | Before birth |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth |
| Femur | Proximal end | 1.5 years |
|  | Distal end | 1.5 years |
| Tibia | Proximal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $13-16$ months |
| Fibula | Proximal Epiphysis | $15-18$ months |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | $13-16$ months |
| Metatarsal | Proximal Epiphysis | Before birth |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | 10 months |

Table A64 Roestown 2: States of epiphyseal fusion observed for articulated dog skeleton F418 after Silver (1969, 285-286).

| Element | Ossification Zone | Age of fusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scapula | Bicipital tuberosity | $6-7$ months |
| Humerus | Proximal Epiphysis | 15 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $8-9$ months |
| Ulna | Olecranon | $9-10$ months |
| Metacarpus | Proximal Epiphysis | Before birth |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | 8 months |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Phalanx | Proximal Epiphysis | 7 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | Before birth |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Phalanx | Proximal Epiphysis | 7 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | Before birth |
| Tibia | Proximal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $13-16$ months |
| Fibula | Distal Epiphysis | 15 months |
| Calcaneum | Tuber calcis | $13-16$ months |
| Metatarsal | Proximal Epiphysis | Before birth |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | 10 months |

Table A65a Roestown 2: States of epiphyseal fusion observed for articulated dog skeleton F484 (group 2) after Silver (1969, 285-286).

| Element | Ossification Zone | Age of fusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Radius | Proximal Epiphysis | $11-12$ months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $11-12$ months |
| Femur | Proximal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |

Table A65b Roestown 2: States of epiphyseal fusion observed for F484 (group 2a) dog elements after Silver (1969, 285-286).
Group $2=$ Elements recovered directly from excavation of articulated skeleton. Group $2 \mathrm{a}=$ bone located near dog skeleton.

| Element | Ossification Zone | Age of fusion |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Scapula | Bicipital tuberosity | $6-7$ months |
| Humerus | Proximal Epiphysis | 15 months |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | $8-9$ months |
| Radius | Proximal Epiphysis | $11-12$ month |
| Ulna | Olecranon | $9-10$ months |
| Metacarpus | Proximal Epiphysis <br> Distal Epiphysis | Before birth <br> 8 months |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Phalanx | Proximal Epiphysis <br> Distal Epiphysis | 7 months |
| Before birth |  |  |$|$| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Phalanx | Proximal Epiphysis <br> Distal Epiphysis | Before birth |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ Phalanx | No true epiphysis | Partly ossified at birth |
| Femur | Proximal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |
|  | Distal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |
| Tibia | Proximal Epiphysis | 1.5 years |

Table A66 Roestown 2: States of epiphyseal fusion observed for articulated dog skeleton F116 after Silver (1969, 285-286).

| Phase | $\begin{gathered} \text { REC } \\ \text { ID } \end{gathered}$ | Species | Elements | Gnawing | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | 6248 | Cattle | Scapula | C | Partially gnawed at edge of glenoid cavity. |
| 1A | 7716 | Sheep/Goat | Scapula | C | 2 toothmarks visible on lateral surface, below spine. |
| 1B | 2732 | Sheep/Goat | Radius | C | Distal end may have been gnawed but no teeth marks evident. |
| 1B | 3026 | Cattle | Femur | C | Ball of proximal. |
| 1B | 7549 | Cattle | Metapodial | C | Toothmarks present on outer surface of condyle. |
| 1B | 8000 | Sheep/Goat | Metapodial | C | Gnawed at proximal end in area of the one surviving condyle. |
| 2A | 859 | Cattle | Metatarsal | C | Appears gnawed at proximal extremity although no definite teethmarks visible. |
| 2A | 2109 | Sheep/Goat | Metacarpal | C | Surviving distal end looks as if gnawed |
| 2A | 2151 | Cattle | Metacarpal | C | Gnawed at distal end. |
| 2A | 2612 | Pig | Mandible | R |  |
| 2A | 3987 | Cattle | Femur | C | Ball of proximal only. |
| 2 A | 4822 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Possibly gnawed at proximal, not definitive evidence. |
| 2A | 5779 | Cattle | Calcaneum | CR | While there are no teeth marks present, proximal extremity looks as if it may have been gnawed. Cortex of bone is worn. |
| 2A | 6486 | Cattle | Humerus | C | Toothmarks present on trochlea. |
| 2A | 7407 | Cattle | Mandible | C | Condyle \& part of ramus. Surface of condyle may have been gnawed. |
| 2A | 8171 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Possibly gnawed in area of proximal epiphysis. |
| 2B | 987 | Sheep/Goat | Metacarpal | C | From shape of distal end, looks as if may have been gnawed although no toothmarks are visible. |
| 2B | 1590 | Cattle | Calcaneum | R | Surface of proximal appears to have been gnawed although no definite marks visible. |
| 2B | 2214 | Cattle | Metacarpal | C | Caudal surface |
| 2B | 2238 | Cattle | Calcaneum | R | Proximal epiphysis appears partially gnawed. |
| 2B | 2408 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C |  |
| 2B | 2546 | Pig | Phalanx 1 | R | Gnawing evident on cranial surface \& on distal condyles. |
| 2B | 2874 | Cattle | Metatarsal | C | Gnawed on both cranial \& caudal surfaces immediately |


|  |  |  |  |  | above distal epiphysis. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2B | 2971 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Part of sustentaculum \& rest of 'distal' heavily gnawed. |
| 2B | 2973 | Cattle | Ulna | C | Proximal epiphysis heavily gnawed in parts. |
| 2B | 2989 | Cattle | Metacarpal | C | Chopped at an angle through shaft. Appears to have been partially gnawed at distal end |
| 2B | 3295 | Cattle | Mandible | C | Condyle may have been gnawed. |
| 2B | 4184 | Pig | Scapula | C |  |
| 2B | 4602 | Pig | Humerus | C |  |
| 2B | 4607 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Appears gnawed at sustentaculum. |
| 3A | 3533 | Cattle | Metatarsal | C | Gnawed at distal extremity. |
| 3A | 3536 | Cattle | Metacarpal | C |  |
| 3A | 3582 | Cattle | Phalanx 1 | C | Gnawed at proximal end. |
| 3A | 5706 | Cat | Tibia | CR | No butchery but have puncture mark caused by carnivore tooth on lateral side of element towards proximal end. Also have rodent scraping marks towards distal end on lateral side. |
| 3A | 6121 | Cattle | Mandible | R | Condyle \& part of ramus. Traces of rodent gnawing on medial side of angle of ramus. |
| 3A | 6128 | Cattle | Tibia | C | Appears to be partially gnawed towards distal extremity. |
| 3A | 6162 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C |  |
| 3A | 6597 | Sheep/Goat | Scapula | C | Toothmarks at glenoid cavity. |
| 3B | 9904 | Horse | Humerus | C | 3-4 possible toothmarks present on surface of proximal epiphysis. |
| 3B | 10375 | Cattle | Femur | C | Ball of proximal is heavily gnawed. |
| 4 | 5230 | Horse | Phalanx 1 | C | $\mathrm{Dp}=30.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| 4 | 5955 | Cattle | Astragalus | C | $\mathrm{Dl}=30.5$ <br> Dm not possible. |
| 5 | 3206 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | May have been gnawed at sustentaculum. |
| 5 | 3413 | Cattle | Atlas | C | One feint cutmark visible. Teethmarks from gnawing also visible. |
| 5 | 3450 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Large part of surface of element has been heavily gnawed. |
| 5 | 4242 | Cattle | Scapula | C |  |
| 5 | 8662 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Possibly gnawed at proximal as majority of proximal is gone. <br> (B III). |


| UNPHASED | 3474 | Cattle | Metacarpal | C | Gnawed at proximal end. <br> UNPHASED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 3476 | Sheep/Goat | Metacarpal | C | Gnawed at proximal end. <br> Specimen in 3 pieces. Ddm, <br> BFdm \& BFdl not possible <br> due to wear. |  |
| UNPHASED | 3522 | Cattle | Phalanx 1 | C | Part of distal epiphysis has <br> been gnawed away. |
| UNPHASED | 3526 | Pig | Scapula | C | Appears gnawed in area of <br> proximal fusion. |
| UNPHASED | 8874 | Cattle | Astragalus | C | May have been gnawed, 3 <br> possible toothmarks present <br> in area of heavily eroded <br> distal end. |
| UNPHASED | 9188 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Possibly gnawed in area of <br> sustentaculum. |
| UNPHASED | 9437 | Cattle | Calcaneum | C | Distal area may have been <br> gnawed. |
| 2A | N/C <br> 029 | B/E/C | - | C | Bovid/equid/cervid long bone <br> shaft fragment. Gnawed on <br> one surface. |
| 2B | N/C <br> 009 | Sheep/Goat? | Metacarpal? | C | Probably fragment of <br> metacarpal. Series of <br> toothmarks suggest <br> carnivorous gnawing. |
| 2B | N/C <br> 026 | Cattle? | Radius? | C | Likely to be young cattle <br> radius, cranial surface <br> displays substantial gnawing. |
| 2B | N/C <br> 068 | B/E/C | R | Bovid/cervid/equid rib <br> fragment with several <br> chopmarks visible. Also feint <br> signs of rodent gnawing. |  |
| 3A | N/C <br> 090 | Cattle | Mandible | C | Left mandible with coronoid <br> process in tact. Majority of <br> condyle is missing but <br> displays traces of gnawing. <br> Series of toothmarks are <br> visible on lateral side of <br> surviving ramus. |

Table A67 Roestown 2: Details of gnawing observed for countable and non-countable specimens.
$\mathrm{C}=$ gnawed by carnivores, $\mathrm{R}=$ gnawed by rodents, $\mathrm{CR}=$ gnawed by carnivores $\&$ rodents.
$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{C}=$ non-countable.

| Phase | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { REC } \\ \text { I.D } \end{gathered}$ | Species | Element | Burning | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2A | 2602 | Sheep/Goat | Phalanx 1 | Calcined | Proximal end of element is white with blue hue from burning, but morphology of specimen has not been distorted at all. |
| 2A | 5296 | Cattle | Mandible | $\begin{gathered} \text { Burnt/ } \\ \text { blackened } \end{gathered}$ | Condyle, burnt patch present on one of element, blackened area. |
| 2A | 9338 | Cattle | Mandible | Singed | M1 \& M2 are almost completely blackened - (collection of non-countable fragments similarly blackened). Non-countable Rec 115. |
| 2A | 9340 | Cattle | LT | Singed | Maxillary M1/2 largely blackened. Non-countable Rec 115 also from this context. |
| 2B | 1019 | Cattle | Metatarsal | Singed | A very small isolated patch of blackening on anterior surface of element. Specimen is broken immediately below this so may have been further traces of burning. |
| 2B | 4317 | Sheep/Goat | Phalanx 2 | Calcined | Most of element has a white hue. |
| 4 | 5352 | Cattle | Scafocuboid | Burnt/ blackened | Sporadic blackened patches on interior surface of specimen. |
| Unphased | 8856 | Sheep | Tibia | Singed | Partially blackened. |
| 2A | N/C 043 | Pig | Metapodial | Burnt/ blackened | Approximately half of a medial/lateral metapodial. This is heavily blackened over almost entire surface. None of the other material that this piece was bagged with show any traces of burning. |
| 2A | N/C 052 | - |  | Burnt/ blackened | Undiagnostic fragment, blackened from burning. |
| 2A | N/C 079 | Cattle | Metatarsal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Burnt/ } \\ \text { blackened } \end{gathered}$ | Metatarsal shaft fragment, one area of surface is heavily blackened although other side of element not at all. |
| 2A | N/C 115 |  |  | Singed | 43 fragments including vertebra, metpodial, 1 tooth and a majority of undiagnostic fragments all partially blackened in same manner as REC 9338 and 9340 from the same context. |
| 2A | N/C 116 | Cattle | LMT | Calcined | 2 cusps of an M1/2, almost entirely white due to burning. |
| 2A | N/C 117 |  |  | Singed | 9 fragments that are partially blackened due to burning. |
| 2B | N/C 034 |  |  | Burnt/ blackened | Very small unidentifiable fragment heavily blackened over majority of its surface due to burning. |
| 4 | N/C 126 |  |  | Singed | Undiagnostic longbone fragment partially blackened on exterior. |

## Table A68 Roestown 2: Details of burning observed for countable and non-countable specimens.

$\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{C}=$ non-countable

| Phase | Rec I.D. | Species | Element | Description | Possible Diagnosis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1A | 6136 | Cattle | Mandible | Surface of condyle contains small shallow depression, evidence of existence of a sinus. | Infection |
| 1A | 7458 | Cattle | Mandible | Area of dp4/P4 has ante-mortem tooth loss \& alveolur bone resorption. | Possible infection |
| 1B | 119 | Dog | Humerus | Extensive additional bone growth towards distal end of shaft, severely deformed. | Trauma \& resulting infection |
| 1B | 149 | Dog | Phalanx 1 | Distal end displays Stage 3 exostosis and some traces of eburnation. | DJD |
| 1B | 150 | Dog | Phalanx 1 | Distal end displays Stage 3 exostosis. | DJD |
| 1B | 153 | Dog | Phalanx 1 | Proximal end displays Stage 2 exostosis, small trace of eburnation on proximal articulation. | DJD |
| 1B | 10372 | Horse | Metacarpal | Proximal end displays Stage 3 exostosis, proximal of lateral mc fused to MC1. | DJD (Spavin) |
| 1B | 10373 | Horse | Carpal 3 | Similar pathology evidence to REC 10372 i.e. Stage 3 exostosis. | DJD (Spavin) |
| 2A | 4145 | Cattle | Metatarsal | Proximal epiphysis displays mild traces of eburnation. Shape of shaft partially distorted. | DJD |
| 2A | 5526 | Horse | Phalanx 1 | Distal end displays excessive bone growth on one side. Not typical exostosis. | Trauma/Infection |
| 2A | 7054 | Horse | Metatarsal | Stage 3 exostosis. | DJD (Spavin) |
| 2A | 7396 | Cattle | Metacarpal | Posterior surface of shaft displays thumb-print like depression that may indicate existence of an overlying tumour. Anterior surface displays periostitis - remodelling of the bone with thin plaques of woven bone. | Infection \& Periostitis |
| 2A | 7502 | Horse | Metatarsal | Proximal displays Stage 2 exostosis. | DJD (Spavin) |
| 2B | 951 | Sheep/Goat | Phalanx 2 | Two complete PH2s fused together to form one unit. | Congenital |
| 2B | 1683 | Cattle | Femur | Proximal displays exostosis \& osteophytes, eburnation evident on ball of proximal. | DJD (Osteoarthritis) |
| 2B | 7593 | Cattle | Metatarsal | Proximal articulation displays Stage 3 exostosis. | DJD |
| 3A | 5735 | Cattle | Mandible | Small amount of pitting visible on surface of condyle. (Degenerative characteristic that occurs in relation to chewing cud). | DJD |


| 3A | 9969 | Cattle | Phalanx 1 | Distal end displays Stage 4 exostosis with eburnation \& grooving visible <br> on distal articulation, indicates osteoarthritis. | DJD <br>  <br> possible Infection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Unphased | 1974 | Pig (Female) | Mandible | Area of first \& second molars is severely distorted although it retains an <br> alveolus for one tooth. Alveolur bone recession evident. | Infection |
| 1A | 6727 | Cattle | Mandible | Pitting visible on surface of condyle. <br> (Degenerative characteristic that occurs due to chewing cud). |  |
| 1B | 9631 | Cattle | Pelvis | Eburnation evident on surface of acetabulum closest to pubis | DJD |
| 1B | 10033 | Cattle | Femur | Eburnation visible on surface of ball of proximal. | DJD |
| - | N/C 134 | Cattle | Pelvis | Fragment of pubis, surviving edge of acetabulum is polished indicating <br> eburnation. | DJD |
| - | N/C 135 | Cattle | Metatarsal | Shaft fragment of MT1, posterior surface displays excessive bone <br> growth indicating exostosis. This is likely result of a fracture to the bone <br> which later healed. Therefore, bone growth caused by trauma or trauma <br> \& infection. | Trauma \& Infection |
| - | N/C 136 | Bovid/Equid | Cervical | Vertebra <br> Eburnation \& pitting evident in body of specimen. Possibly additional <br> bone growth. | DJD <br> osteoarthritis) |

Table A69 Roestown 2: Details of palaeopathological evidence observed for countable and non-countable specimens.
Stages of exostosis follow Bartosiewicz et al. (1997).
DJD = degenerative joint disease. N/C = Non-countable specimen.

| Phase | REC I.D. | Species | Element | Dental Observations/Anomolies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2B | 2356 | Dog | Mandible | Alveolur bone recession of incisors, canine, P1 and M2 \& 3 . |
| 1A | 1310 | Sheep/Goat | Mandible | Distal of P4 slightly impinged upon by mesial of M1. |
| 1A | 5464 | Cattle | Mandible | No P2. |
| 1A | 7868 | Pig (female) | Mandible | No P1. |
| 1B | 2770 | Pig (male) | Mandible | No P1. |
| 1B | 3028 | Pig (female) | Mandible | No P1. |
| 1B | 10376 | Cattle | Mandible | Alveolur bone recession \& rotation of either P3 or P4. |
| 2A | 2635 | Cattle | LMT | M1/2. Lingual side of one cusp is out of correct alignment. |
| 2A | 2680 | Sheep/Goat | Mandible | Ante-mortem tooth loss of P2 and P3. |
| 2A | 4043 | Cattle | Mandible | Extra area of growth to occlusal surface of dP4 between cusp $1 \& 2$ on lingual side. |
| 2A | 5335 | Cattle | Mandible | No P2. |
| 2A | 9557 | Horse | LT | Maxillary P3/P4/M1 or M2. 2 bulbous pieces present on buccal side of tooth. |
| 2B | 480 | Sheep/Goat | LMT | M1/2. 2nd cusp is partially worn, likely due to impinging of adjacent tooth. |
| 2B | 494 | Cattle | LMT | M3. Wear of 3rd cusp appears irregular. |
| 2B | 495 | Sheep/Goat | Madible | Second cusp of P4 is partially impinged upon by M1. |
| 2B | 496 | Sheep/Goat | LT | Maxillary molar. One cusp is worn at its edge, likely due to impingement of adjacent tooth. |
| 2B | 2552 | Cattle | LT | Maxillary molar. Malocclusion, unusual wear pattern evident for one cusp - worn so that occlusal surface is almost diagonal. |
| 2B | 4682 | Pig | LMT | M3. Malocclusion, 1st cusp extends to noticeably higher level than 2nd or 3rd cusps. |
| 2B | 10259 | Cattle | Mandible | M3 present is most worn specimen current author has witnessed. TWS way beyond Grant's stage m , therefore, an extremely old animal, well over 50 months. Evidence of resorption at root, slightly broken but no pathology. |
| 3A | 1814 | Cattle | Mandible | No P2. |
| 3A | 7210 | Cattle | Mandible | No P2. |
| 3A | 7236 | Cattle | Mandible | No P2. |
| 3A | 8304 | Cattle | LMT | P4. Wear pattern irregular as distal surface is worn down to much lower height than mesial end of tooth. |
| 3B | 9909 | Cattle | LT | Maxillary molar. Malocclusion, wear pattern irregular as occlusal surface of one cusp is very worn towards one edge but not so worn at other. |
| 5 | 1355 | Cattle | LMT | M3 - Malocclusion, 1st cusp very worn but 2nd cusp much less so as it survives approx. 11.5 mm higher than occlusal surface of 1st cusp. 3rd cusp only very slightly worn. |
| 5 | 3251 | Cattle | LT | Maxillary molar. Malocclusion, wear pattern irregular as occlusal surface of both cusps is at varying levels of wear. |
| 5 | 3424 | Pig | Mandible | M3, occlusal surface has polished appearance, may be due to taphonomic factors. |
| 5 | 8656 | Dog | Mandible | Ante-mortem toothloss of P1 and P3. |

Table A70 Roestown 2: Details of dental observations/anomalies for countable specimens.
P1, P2, P3, P4 = Premolar 1, 2, 3, 4.
M1, M2, M3, = Molar 1, 2, 3. M1/2 = Molar 1 or 2.

| Phase | REC I.D. | Species | Element | Other Observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | Some irregular pitting at different areas <br> along length of shaft. Taphonomic, not <br> pathology. |
| 2A | 8493 | Horse | Metatarsal | Specimen from a 4-horn sheep. Stump of the <br> smaller HC only is present (diminutive in <br> comparison to a regular HC). |
|  |  |  | Sheep | Horncore |

Table A71 Roestown 2: Details of other observations for countable specimens.

| Element | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| AN | antler |
| AS | astragalus |
| BA | baculum [ba] |
| C3 | carpal/capit-trapez |
| CA | cranium |
| CR | femur |
| FE | fibula [fi] |
| FI | horncore |
| HC | humerus |
| HU | loose mandibular tooth |
| LMT | loose tooth |
| LT | loose maxillary tooth |
| LXT | metacarpal |
| MC1 | second metacarpal |
| MC2 | third metacarpal |
| MC3 | fourth metacarpal |
| MC4 | fifth metacarpal |
| MC5 | metacarpal 'number' unknown |
| MCU | mandible |
| MN | metapodial |
| MP1 | metapodial |
| MP2 | metapodial 'number' unknown |
| MPU | metatarsal |
| MT1 | second metatarsal |
| MT2 | third metatarsal |
| MT3 | fourth metatarsal |
| MT4 | fifth metatarsal |
| MT5 | axis (VC2) |
| MTU | metatarsal 'number' unknown |
| N/C | NON-COUNTABLE |
| PA | patella |
| PE | pelvis |
| PH1 | phalanx 1 |
| PH2 | phalanx 3 |
| PH3 | phalanx |
| PHU | radius |
| RA | scapula |
| SC1 | scafocuboid |
| SCU | UC |

Table A72 Roestown 2: Codes used for skeletal elements in electronic database.

## Appendix 2 <br> Figures A1-A39



Figure A1 Roestown 2: Cattle age/slaughter pattern for Phase 1A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 104).
Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 92).


Figure A2 Roestown 2: Cattle age/slaughter pattern for Phase 1B based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 104). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 92).


Figure A3 Roestown 2: Cattle age/slaughter pattern for Phase 2A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 104). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 92).


Figure A4 Roestown 2: Cattle age/slaughter pattern for Phase 2B based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 104). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 92).


Figure A5 Roestown 2: Cattle age/slaughter pattern for Phase 3A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 104). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 92).


Figure A6 Roestown 2: Cattle age/slaughter pattern for Phase 5 based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 104). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 92).


Figure A7 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 1A cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of LMT $=61$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=21$.


Figure A8 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 1A cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $\mathrm{LMT}=13$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=6$.


Figure A9 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 1B cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=25$. No. of specimens in $M N=28$.


Figure A10 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 1B cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of LMT $=8$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=9$.


Figure A11 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 2A cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=82$. No. of specimens in $M N=32$.


Figure A12 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 2A cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=29$. No. of specimens in $M N=14$.


Figure A13 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 2B cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=142$. No. of specimens in $M N=20$.


Figure A14 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 2B cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=24$. No. of specimens in $M N=15$.


Figure A15 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 3A cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant $(1982,92)$.
No. of $\mathrm{LMT}=79$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=19$.


Figure A16 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 3A cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=21$. No. of specimens in $M N=7$.


Figure A17 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 4 cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=29$. No. of specimens in $M N=5$.


Figure A18 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 4 cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $\mathrm{LMT}=8$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=1$.


Figure A19 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 5 cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=31$. No. of specimens in $M N=4$.


Figure A20 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 5 cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of LMT $=10$.


Figure A21 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Phase 6 cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=3$. No. of specimens in $M N=2$.


Figure A22 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Unphased Area A cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $L M T=14$. No. of specimens in $M N=6$.


Figure A23 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Unphased Area B cattle recorded for first and/or second molars following Grant (1982, 92).
No. of $\mathrm{LMT}=34$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=17$.


Figure A24 Roestown 2: Tooth wear stages for Unphased Area B cattle recorded for deciduous fourth premolars following Grant $(1982,92)$. No. of $\mathrm{LMT}=8$. No. of specimens in $\mathrm{MN}=7$.


Figure A25 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat age/slaughter pattern for Phase 1A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 106). Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987)


Figure A26 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat age/slaughter pattern for Phase 1B based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 106). Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987)


Figure A27 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat age/slaughter pattern for Phase 2A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 106). Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987)


Figure A28 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat age/slaughter pattern for Phase 2B based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 106). Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987)


Figure A29 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat age/slaughter pattern for Phase 3A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 106). Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987)


Figure A30 Roestown 2: Sheep/goat age/slaughter pattern for Unphased Area B based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 106).
Tooth wear stages after Payne (1973 and 1987)


Figure A31 Roestown 2: Pig age/slaughter pattern for Phase 1A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 105). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 94). (1 MN identified as male, 1 MN identified as female).


Figure A32 Roestown 2: Pig age/slaughter pattern for Phase 2A based on mandible wear stages assigned to loose mandibular M3s and mandibles following Higham (1967, 105). Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 94). (3 MN identified as male).


Figure A33 Roestown 2: Pig age/slaughter pattern for Phase 3A based on mandible wear stages assigned to M3s in mandibles following Higham (1967, 105).
Tooth wear stages after Grant (1982, 94). (3 MN identified as male).


Figure A34 Roestown 2: Sheep/Goat calcanei C+D and C measurements following Boessneck (1969, 353).


Figure A35 Roestown 2: Phase 1A, 1B \& 2A sheep/goat humeri BT and HTC measurements following Payne and Bull (1988).


Figure A36 Roestown 2: Phase 2B \& 3A sheep/goat humeri BT and HTC measurements following Payne and Bull (1988).


Figure A37 Roestown 2: Phase 5 sheep/goat humeri BT and HTC measurements following Payne and Bull (1988).


Figure A38 Roestown 2: Sheep/Goat distal metacarpal BFdm and Dtm measurements following Davis (1992) and Payne (1967) respectively.


Figure A39 Roestown 2: Sheep/Goat distal metacarpal BFdl and DtL measurements following Davis (1992) and Payne (1967) respectively.

## APPENDIX 7 Osteological Report: Jennie Coughlan

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This report describes the results of the analysis of the human skeletal remains excavated by ACS Ltd. at Roestown 2 (Licence number A008/002). Only two incomplete burials and a single disarticulated skull were identified during excavation.

## 2. OSTEOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

## Burial 1

Burial 1 consisted of the incomplete and fragmentary skull of a child of 10-12 years. Ageing of this individual was based on dental development. There was an additional humeral fragment recovered from the vicinity of the burial but it could not be confirmed to be associated with the skull. Preservation of the skull was poor and it suffered both surface erosion and extensive fragmentation.

Despite the poor condition of the skull there was evidence for bilateral moderate cribra orbitalia, indicative of iron deficiency anaemia. Causes of iron deficiency are variable and include a diet lacking in iron rich foods and/or a diet rich in foods that inhibit the absorption of dietary iron.

There were a total of 22 permanent teeth recovered with this individual with 16 sockets surviving. No dental pathology was noted.

## Burial 2

Burial 2, an adult, was again poorly preserved and survived as a small number of parietal fragments, a small mandibular fragment and fragments of the right scapula, right and left phalanges and a single incomplete cervical vertebra. Despite the poor preservation of the skull a single parietal fragment displayed slight porosity along the sagittal suture. This porosity is suggestive of porotic hyperostosis, a condition that, along with cribra orbitalia, is indicative of iron deficiency anaemia.

## Disarticulated

There was a single disarticulated adult frontal bone recovered during excavations. The orbital region of this bone suggested that this individual was male. There was no evidence for pathology.

## 3. DISCUSSION

A minimum number of two individuals were presented for analysis following excavations at Roestown 2. Both were very incomplete and, as such, only a small level of information could be retrieved from the skeletons. The only pathologies noted were associated with iron deficiency anaemia and it is interesting that both individuals were affected.

## Skeletal Catalogue

## BURIAL 1

| SEX | undetermined | COMPLETENESS | very poor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGE CLASS | child | PRESERVATION | poor |
| AGE RANGE | $10-12$ years |  |  |
| STATURE | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |

BONES PRESENT incomplete frontal, fragment of right temporal, incomplete maxilla, incomplete mandible; (incomplete humeral shaft)

NON-METRIC TRAITS metopic suture
DENTITION

| -------------- | 17 | 12 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --- | ---- | 46 | 45 | 44 | 43 | 42 | 41 |


| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | u |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | 32 | 33 | 34 | 35 | ---- | ---- | ---- |

DENTAL PATHOLOGY
no dental pathology; slight attrition (Stage 1-2)
SKELETAL PATHOLOGY
bilateral moderate cribra orbitalia

## BURIAL 2

| SEX | / | COMPLETENESS | very poor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AGE CLASS | adult | PRESERVATION | moderate |
| AGE RANGE | $/$ |  |  |
| STATURE | $/$ |  |  |

BONES PRESENT incomplete parietals, fragment of left mandible, incomplete first right rib, incomplete right and left hands

NON-METRIC TRAITS /
DENTITION /

DENTAL PATHOLOGY /
SKELETAL PATHOLOGY slight porosity on single parietal fragment - probable porotic hyperostosis
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# APPENDIX 8 Wood Identification Report: Ellen O'Carroll 

# SPECIES IDENTIFICATION \& ANALYSIS OF WOOD SAMPLES FROM ROESTOWN 2, CO. MEATH (A008/002) 

## ELLEN O'CARROLL SEPTEMBER 2008

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Eight samples were submitted from excavations carried out at Roestown 2. Roestown 2 (A008/002, NGR 295792 253807, Roestown townland, Co. Meath) comprised of a series of successive early medieval enclosures with associated field systems beginning in the seventh century and continuing into the 11th century with limited occupation into the 13th century. There were also tentative indications of prehistoric settlement. A good level of preservation ensured animal bone was recovered in significant quantities and a wide range of artefacts was recovered, including objects of antler, bone, iron, bronze, silver, amber, glass, and wood, in addition to pottery sherds and stone objects. Most features suffered from multiple truncations by later features; the enclosing ditches showed evidence for substantial re-cutting and many of the smaller, associated enclosures also had numerous recuts.

Five of the eight samples contained wood and these were from the fill of the enclosure ditch C405 (B3) and the fill of enclosure ditch C450 (B4). The remains of a possible withy was also recognised and analysed within the framework of these studies.

## 2. METHODS

The wood was carefully examined for signs of toolmarks or surface treatment and was then identified to species under a microscope. The process for identifying wood, whether it is charred, dried or waterlogged is carried out by comparing the anatomical structure of wood samples with known comparative material or keys (Schweingruber 1990). Thin slices were taken from the transversal, tangential and longitudinal sections of each piece of wood and sampled using a razor blade. These slices were then mounted on a slide and glycerine was painted onto the wood to aid identification and stop the wood section from drying out. Each slide was then examined under an E200 Nikon microscope at magnifications of 10 x to 500 x . By close examination of the microanatomical features of the samples the species were determined. The diagnostic features used for the identification of wood are micro-structural characteristics such as the vessels and their arrangement, the size and arrangement of rays, vessel pit arrangement and also the type of perforation plates.

All of the wood excavated on each site was sampled for identification and further analysis. The wood samples were firstly washed and recorded on wood working sheets and were then identified as to species. Where appropriate, the samples were measured and described in terms of their function and wood technology. This included point types, split types and individual toolmarks such as facets (individual tool marks) and tool signatures.

The annual tree rings were counted partially under a microscope and partially by eye therefore it is only an approximate age. Average growth rates were also established. A fast growth rate is around 4 mm per year. As different factors (weather and soil conditions) determine growth rates of trees and growth rates vary across each sample average growth rates were calculated for each sample. The growth rates for some samples varied significantly therefore these samples were classified as slow to moderate, moderate to fast and so on.


Figure 1: Wood taxa present in the assemblage

Four taxa which included hazel, oak, elm and blackthorn were identified from the ditch fills at Roestown 2. One of the samples resembled the remains of a withy and has been described as such. The remaining samples contained no woodworking evidence and are probably related to natural infill in the ditches. Dates for material from the fill of the ditch C405 were returned for Cal AD 790-900.

## 3. RESULTS

Table 1: Results from identifications

| Context number | Sample no. | Timber type | Species | Length (metres) | Diamet erl Width X Depth (m) | Wood quality | Age | Growth | Type of split and Wood working Evidence | Comment | Context type | Recommendations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 457: Fill of Enclosure 1 F405 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \# 245 \end{gathered}$ | Brushwood | Hazel |  | 0.11m | Moderate | 5 | Indt. | No |  | Fill of 405 | Discard |
| 460: Fill of Enclosure 1 F405 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \# 203 \end{gathered}$ | Withy type wood | Hazel | Various | 0.006m | Poor and very broken up | 2, 3 | Moderate | Could be split to manufature withy? | Has the appearance of a withy although it is now very broken up and degraded. | Fill of 405 | Photograph wood remains collectively? |
| F484: Fill of Enclosure 1 F450 | Sample <br> \#190 | Peat and clay | No wood |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  | Fill of Enclosure 1: F450 | Discard |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sample } \\ & \# 334 \end{aligned}$ | Peat and clay | No wood |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | Discard |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \# 191 \end{gathered}$ | Peat and clay | No wood |  | N/A | N/A |  |  |  |  |  | Discard |
|  |  | Lumps of wood | Oak | Various |  | Poor \& very broken up | 80+ |  |  |  |  | Bagged one sample for dendro if required |
| 486: Fill of Enclosure 1 F450 | Sample \#244 | Brushwood | Elm |  | 0.15m | Good | 6 | Fast | No |  | Fill of Enclosure 1: F450 | Discard |
| 490: Fill of Enclosure 1 F405 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \# 201 \end{gathered}$ | Natural wood? | Blackthorn |  | 0.15m | Moderate | 13 | Slow to moderate | No |  | Fill of Enclosure 1; F405 | Discard |

## 4. DISCUSSION

## General

There were four taxa types present in the wood remains (Table 1). Hazel, oak elm and blackthorn were identified from the fill of the ditches C450 and C405. Three samples contained no wood (190, 191, 334).

## Unworked wood

The hazel sample 245 from C405 was an unworked brushwood sample as was the elm brushwood (sample no. 244) from C105. The function of this brushwood is indeterminate but the wood may have fallen into the ditch during the lifetime of the site or after it went out of use. One piece of blackthorn wood was also identified from the ditch C405. This blackthorn piece resembled a natural wood piece which may have grown in the ditch at some period in the past.

Lumps of oak wood were also anslysed from C405 and these wood pieces were in poor condition and soft to touch. They broke up when handled. One sample of the oak wood was bagged for possible dendrochronoligical dating as it contained over 80 annual tree rings.

The function of the analysed wood is unknown therefore the results above can only give some indication of surrounding trees in the area in the medieval period. The hazel and blackthorn are representative of dryland scrubland areas and hedgerow trees while the oak and elm are generally associated with primary woodland trees.

## The possible withy

A series of broken and fragmented hazel wood identified from the fill of the ditch C405 have been tentatively identified as the remains of a hazel withy. The wood measured 0.6 cm in average diameter and there were 2 to 3 annual rings present on the hazel wood. The maximum length of the withy fragments was 4.1 cm . The withy tie represents the remains of a plaited rope prepared from young pliable wooden shoots. The function of these withies is to tie one thing to another like to fasten boats together as seen at the Ferriby boats site in East Yorkshire (Wright 1990, 65). It was not possible to determine the function of the withy tie identified here but it was probably discarded in the ditch C405.

Hazel was very common up to the end of the 17 th century and would have been used for the manufacture of many wooden structures such as wattle walls, posts, trackways and baskets. McCracken (1971, 19) points out that "it was once widespread to a degree that is hard to imagine today". With the introduction of brick, steel and slate the crafts associated with hazel became obsolete, and today the woods that supplied hazel have diminished rapidly. Hazel is normally only about $3-5 \mathrm{~m}$ in height and is often found as an understory tree in deciduous woods dominated by oak. It also occurs as pure copses on shallow soils over
limestone as in The Burren in Co. Clare and survives for 30 to 50 years. Its main advantage is seen in the production of long flexible straight rods through the process known as coppicing and these rods have been used as withies as well as many wattle structures. A hazel withy dated to the Iron Age from excavations at the site of Old Croghan Man in Co. Offaly were also analysed by the author (O Carroll, unpublished post excavation report).

## The surrounding landscape at Roestown 2

The sample set analysed from Roestown 2 was very small therefore very little can be said about the surrounding landscape at Roestown 2 in the Early Medieval period. Hazel, oak, blackthorn and elm were present in the surrounding area at the time of use of the site. Hazel and blackthorn are scrub like taxa while oak and elm are more representative of primary woodlands trees.

## 5. Summary and Conclusions on Wood Assemblage

The wood analysed from Roestown 2 indicates that hazel, elm, oak and blackthorn were present in the surrounding landscape in the medieval period. The wood results indicate the site was located close to a scrubland, hedgerow environment as well as primary woodland trees. A series of fragmented hazel wood was identified from the ditch. This hazel wood is similar in nature and form to a withy tie. There was no individual toolmarks recorded on any of the wooden remains.


Plate 1: Remains of hazel withy tie
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#### Abstract

This report presents the results of insect analysis of four samples from the Early Medieval enclosure site of Roestown 2 (M3), Co. Meath (Site Code A008/002 04-01), excavated by Rob O'Hara of Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd. The four samples came from three different phases of the enclosing ditch, dating from the mid- $6^{\text {th }}$ to mid- $10^{\text {th }}$ century AD. During the first phase of the ditch's construction, water beetles dominated, showing that the ditch cut the water table providing the unique preservation conditions that continued to prevail throughout the ditch's history. 'Disturbed ground/arable' indicators were also present, probably as a result of the construction of the ditch, bank and site rather than the presence of arable land in the surrounding landscape. Associated wetland plants began to colonise the ditch and increase in number over the three phases, with a slight hiatus in C479/80 ( $3^{\text {rd }}$ phase).

From the earliest phase of ditch/bank construction numbers of species indicating animal dung, pasture, meadowland and general animal husbandry increased. This was also associated with a gradual increase in synanthropic beetles (i.e. those species associated with human habitation). Animal dung indicators combined with insects indicating 'meadowland' and 'wetland/marshes' found in these assemblages could be reflective of 'stable manure' i.e. animal dung and waste from animal enclosures.

Human excrement and urine was possibly one of the potential sources for the 'halotolerant' beetles seen in the second and third phases of the ditch. The picture of animal husbandry and pastoralism as the dominant farming activities around the site is also suggested by the lack of disturbed ground/arable indicators after the initial two phases of cutting of the enclosing ditch. The curious 'burnt peat'-like material observed in samples in two of the deposits was difficult to confirm as peat. While some of the beetles found in these samples could be secondary indicators of peat, many of them occurred in the other contexts where this material was not observed. It may instead be burnt sod or other burnt organic matter. Examination of the plant remains and their preservation condition might help to ellucidate the origin of this material.


Keywords: Roestown; insect analysis; BUGSCEP; animal dung; pastoralism; halotolerant

## Introduction

This report presents the results of insect analysis of four samples from the Early Medieval enclosure site of Roestown 2 (M3), Co. Meath (Site Code A008/002 04-01). Rob O’Hara of Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd. directed the excavation. The samples were taken from the large enclosing ditch, which had a number of phases of cutting and re-cutting dating from the mid- $6^{\text {th }}$ century to the mid- $10^{\text {th }}$ century AD. During excavation, in one short section of the ditch, layers of organic deposits were encountered. The sampling strategy for these deposits involved analysis of their wellpreserved bioarchaeological remains to get insights into how they were formed, local environmental conditions and, possibly, insights into prevailing conditions within the site itself and any activities carried out there. The four samples examined represent three dated phases of cutting and re-cutting of the ditch, covering a maximum time period of 530-980AD. Sampling from contexts such as these provide a rare opportunity to get a glimpse of local environments around and within Early Medieval settlement sites as few such sites have been examined for their bioarchaeological remains, especially in Ireland.

## Methodology

The field archaeologists took the samples as bulk soil (approximately 10 litres) during excavation. 3 litre sub-samples were processed using the paraffin flotation method (Coope \& Osborne 1968; improved upon by Kenward 1980 and Kenward et al. 1986) at the Botany Department, School of Natural Sciences, Trinity College, Dublin. Paraffin helps to concentrate the recovery of insect remains by adhering to the waxy cuticle of the insect exo-skeleton. This reduces the size of flots and aids the sorting process. The sub-samples produced sufficient insect remains for analysis so further sub-samples were not processed. The resultant flots were stored in $70 \%$ ethanol and scanned for insect remains using a low-powered binocular microscope. Insect fragments were extracted onto wet filter-paper and identified to genus or species level (if possible) using an established set of keys and the writer's own collection of comparative material. Results are laid out in Table 1 (pp.16-23) with nomenclature following Lucht (1987). This is the main European list of Coleoptera and is used in preference to the Irish or British list for palaeo/archaeoentomological analysis. This is mainly so that extirpated species (i.e. species no longer recorded from Ireland), which are often encountered in palaeoenvironmental/archaeological deposits, can be fitted into a taxonomic list easily. Species marked with an asterisk (*) had many immature and newly emerged beetles represented in their numbers. Those marked with a (§) are species not currently recorded in Ireland. The insects were then entered into BUGCEP, an ecological database package for analyzing insect remains from archaeological and palaeonvironmental contexts (Buckland \& Buckland 2006). Insects are grouped into ecologically related habitat types and the results are graphed as a series of stacked histograms
(Figure 1, pp.24-25). This provides a clear picture of the full range of habitats represented as individual species are counted in all the habitat categories that they potentially came from (see Appendix). Index of diversity statistics were calculated for each sample also. This is a measure of the diversity of species represented within the insect assemblages and an indicator of the diversity of habitats from which the insects came (Fisher et al. 1943).

## Analysis

## Sample 186: C460 Fill of C405

## Fill of earliest enclosing ditch (Cal AD 530-650)

- Number of individuals: 138
- Number of taxa (species): 52
- Index of diversity: 31

During excavation this deposit was described as 'plastic, dark brown peaty layer with high organic content'. Sample processing concurred with this description. This deposit produced a large numbers of insect remains, especially unidentifiable fly puparia and fly body parts. There were a high percentage of immature and newly emerged beetles, indicating an in situ breeding population. This would also suggest a stable environment for a prolonged period of time. There was a high number of species and a high index of diversity indicating a diverse range of habitat sources for the insect assemblage.

Aquatic beetles were the largest component in the assemblage with three species, Octhebius minimus, Helophorus spp and Hydrobius fuscipes, the dominant taxa (Fig. 1 'Aquatics'). All are indicative of general watery environments, but Helophorus grandis and H. fuscipes are specifically indicative of standing water (Fig. 1 'Indicators: Standing water') (Hansen 1987). A number of the beetles present were indicative of arable land, pasture and meadow that might have surrounded the site (Fig. 1 'Pasture/Dung', 'Meadowland', 'Indicators: Dung', 'Disturbed/arable', 'Sandy/dry disturbed/arable'). Dung-feeding beetles like Aphodius luridus, Cercyon depressus and Anotylus rugosus were probably present due to animal dung. Ceutorhynchus assimils, C. floralis, Phyllotreta sp and Chaetocnema hortensis are found on a variety of ground herbs and weeds of arable/disturbed ground (Dieckman 1972; Koch 1992; Bullock 1993). Ground beetles like Trechus quadristriatus and Bembidion lampros are also indicative of disturbed ground with bare vegetation (Lindroth 1974; 1985) and may indicate the presence of ploughed ground in the immediate environs of the site. However, construction of the ditch and bank would have disturbed the ground, encouraged the growth of weeds and providing sutiable habitats for many of these species.

There are very few 'synanthropic' insects i.e. insects who are specifically associated with human habitation sites (see Kenward \& Hall 1995; Reilly 2003). A small number of 'general synanthropes' do occur, mainly 'compost-type' beetles such as Cryptophagus spp, Mycetaea subterranea and a few carrion feeders like Omosita spp, and Catops spp. However, all are found in natural environments and in the context of this deposit are not necessarily indicative of on-site human activity.

Some general indicators of woodland were also present including Phosphuga atrata, Phratora sp, Tachinus rufipennis and Agathidium rotundatum. All are suggestive of wet leaf litter and woodland detritus. Phratora sp is found on willow and poplar (Bullock 1993). This might reflect trees in the surrounding landscape or the detritus of wood used on the site and dumped in the ditch.
'Wetland/marshes' and 'heathland/moorland' indicators also occur in the assemblage (Fig.1). Species such as the Elaphrus ulignosus, Pterostichus minor, Microcara testacea, Telmatophilus typhae are common in wet woodland, marshes and bogs (Lindroth 1974; Koch 1989; Duff 1993) but it is possible that the wet ditch provided sutiable habitats, especially if wetland plants like rushes and reeds became established in the base. The presence of low-lying wet areas in the vicinity of the site (Drumree to the southwest) may also be the source of some of these species (Brooks \& Farrell 2005).

Overall, the assemblage appears to indicate an open body of water in the ditch bottom at this time, which probably gradually filled with plant detritus from plants growing in the ditch and on the bank. The bare recently disturbed ground, possibly in the aftermath of the construction of the ditch and bank, may have provided suitable habitats for many of the insects recovered in the assemblage. In addition, a number of beetles indicate the presence of pasture in the surrounding landscape with some wetland/carr woodland also suggested. Specific indicators of on-site activities (domestic rubbish, food processing) were not present in any significant numbers in this particular assemblage.

## Sample 194: C490 fill of C404.

Fill of first re-cut of enclosng ditch (Cal AD 710-910, 920-960)

- Number of individuals: 168
- Number of taxa: 64
- Index of diversity: 38

During excavation this deposit was described as 'truncated deposit of brown, soft peaty material, quite silty'. Sample processing concurred but also observed evidence of 'burnt' peat-like material, which was brittle to the touch. Small fragments of burnt plant remains were observed under the microscope. This was the richest sample in terms of beetle remains. It had a high number of taxa and a relatively high index of diversity, again, indicating a very mixed range of habitat origins. This sample came from the first re-cut of the ditch and the most obvious difference between this deposit and the earlier deposit (C460) is the lower number of 'Aquatic' and 'Indicators: standing water' beetles (Fig.1). However, the deposit was still clearly very wet and organic and a larger proportion of the assemblage was represented by decaying wet plant matter, dung and generally foul habitatindicators (Fig. 1 'Wetlands/marshes', 'Dung/foul habitats', 'Pasture/dung', 'Carrion', 'Indicators:Dung'). As well as large numbers of generalist dung/foul indicators such as Cercyon analis and Megasternum obscurum, there were a larger number of dung beetles specifically indicating pasture and animal dung like Aphodius depressus, A. sphacelatus, A. fimetarius and other unidentified Aphodius beetles (Jessop 1986). This would appear to provide a clearer picture of surrounding pasture land and/or animals within the enclosure, which resulted in animal dung being incorporated into the ditch fill.

There was also a small rise in general synanthopic insects and others that may indicate the presence of domestic dumped waste, as well as naturally occurring decaying plant matter (Fig. 1 'General synanthropic', 'Mould beetles'). These habitats are represented by species such as Cryptophagus spp, Atomaria spp, Ephistemus globulus, Aglennus brunneus and Mycetaea subterranea. These species could also potentially have become incorporated into material like 'stable manure' (i.e. a combination of animal dung, straw, meadow plants), which might have been cleared from animal pens/houses and dumped into the ditch (see Hall \& Kenward 1998 for fuller discussion). A number of 'Meadowland' beetles are present in this deposit including Chrysolina sp, Longitarsus sp and various Chaetocnema spp.

A small background woodland element is still present in the assemblage including the ground beetle Carabus granulatus, found in deciduous woodland (Lindroth 1974), and Atrecus affinis, found in woodland litter (Alexander 2002). These 'background' elements may have been casualties from
gathered wood/timber on site or nearby woodland. It is notable that unlike many contemporary or later medeival sites no structural wood pests (bark beetles/woodworm beetles) are found in these deposits.

The 'burnt peat' material noted in this deposit remains somewhat anomalous. While there are a number of 'Moorland/heathland' and 'Wetland/marshes' species present, which might be secondary indicators of peat, the type and number of species is not particularly significant. Species like Plateumaris discolor/sericea and Altica sp are indicative of sphagnum, reeds, cotton-grass, heather and other bogland plants (Bullock 1993). Limnobaris dolorosa is indicative of reeds and rushes (Bullock 1993) and all three species are unique to this deposit. However, Notaris scirpi and $N$. acridulus are also bogland indicators, being found on reeds, bull-rushes and other aquatic plants (Hyman 1992; Duff 1993), and they occur in this deposit and the subesquent two deposits, possibily indicating the presence of these plants within the ditch itself. The other possible explanation for their presence is they were incorporated into 'stable manure' i.e. they were in animal dung due to animals grazing on wet meadows or riverside locations. The 'burning' may simply be accidental burning of sod layers or dry manure, which was then subequently dumped into the ditch.

One further interesting species of note is Cercyon depressus, a halotolerant (i.e. salt-tolerant) beetle found regularly in urban medieval and post-medieval deposits in Dublin and elsewhere (Reilly 2003; Hall et al. 2005). It is found in decaying seaweed in the natural environemnt (Hyman 1994) but somehow conditions within human cesspits during this period appeared to mimic its habitat requirements, possibly as a result of salts in human urine. Salt-tolerant beetles, while common in coastal or salt marsh locations, are also found in salt mineral-rich ploughed soils or wheren salt mineral precipitation is prominent (Buckland \& Buckland 2006).

Its presence is therefore not necessarily an indicator of seaweed within the deposit but possibly the use of this section of the ditch as a latrine or a deposition site for human excrement cleared from a latrine. Many of the 'Dung/foul habitat' beetles found in this deposit are commonly found in human cesspits in urban medieval sites also. In summary, this assemblage gives some interesting insights into the environment of the ditch itself - wet but not necessarily open water with associated growing wetland plants - and insights into on-site activity and the surrounding landscape mosaic. Pasture and animal husbandary is clearly indicated with plant-feeding and dung-feeding beetles indicative of these landscapes/activities dominating the assemblage. In addition, human waste is tentatively indicated by some key beetle species, suggesting the use of this part of the ditch as a general dump for household/latrine and animal pen waste.

## Sample 187: C479/80 fill of C450

## Fill of $2^{\text {nd }}$ rec-cut of enclosing ditch (Cal AD 770-980)

- Number of inidividuals: 54
- Number of taxa: 30
- Index of diversity: 29

During excavation this deposit is described as 'thin layers of organic material seperated by thin bands of grey silt'. During processing this was the least 'organic' of the four samples with the smallest flot. This deposit produced the smallest assemblage of the four but with a similarly high number of taxa and a high index of diversity, suggesting a continued mixed range of origins for the assemblage.

The nature of the assemblage is similar to C490 above, with small numbers of aquatic and 'standing water' beetles but a continued high presence of 'pasture/dung', 'dung/foul' species (Fig.1). This would suggest that, although the organic portions of this deposit were separated by thin layers of silt, the use of this section of the ditch for general dung disposal continued. This deposit formed part of the fill of the second re-cut of the enclosing ditch so it is also possible that some re-deposition or incorporation of the pre-existing organic deposits occurred. Nevertheless, the ditch was clearly still wet at this point as, despite the presence of silt, the organic material survived in situ and no deterioration in preservation of the insect fragments was noted. 'Wetland/marshes' indicators formed the largest portion of the assemblage (Fig.1).

The deposit has notably fewer 'meadowland' and 'disturbed/arable' plant indicators and a smaller variety of 'general synanthropic' beetles. However, the high number of dung beetles would suggest that animal dung is still present within the deposit. Also, the 'halotolerant' element is still present with Cercyon depressus joined by the dung beetle Aphodius niger (Hyman 1992), not currently on the Irish list of beetles. Both of these species may be tentative indicators of the continued presence of human waste.

Overall, the deposit would appear to indicate a slower or more gradual build up of organic waste, perhaps separated by silt layers due to more intermittent use of this section of the ditch for disposal of waste. The surrounding environment is less visible within the assemblage, with meadowland and arable/disturbed ground indicators reduced or missing from the assemblage.

## Sample 191: C484 fill of C450

Above basal silt of $2^{\text {nd }}$ re-cut of enclosing ditch (Cal AD 770-980)

- Number of individuals: 101
- Number of taxa: 49
- Index of diversity: 38

During excavation this deposit was described as 'thick green-brown fibrous organic layer'. Also observed during processing were lenses of possible 'burnt peat', as in 194 above. This sample produced abundant insect remains, particularly fly puparia and body parts (not identified). There were frequent beetle remains, with a high number of taxa and a high index of diversity, once again indicating a very mixed assemblage.

The dominant groups were 'pasture/dung' and 'dung/foul habitat' indicators (Fig.1). These groups include many of the species discussed already including Aphodius dung beetles and others possibly indicative of human waste. The higher number of water beetles and indicators of standing water than C479/80 would suggest that standing water in the ditch had increased, perhaps suggesting that the second re-cut of the ditch remained open for a long time. There was also an increase in the variety of 'wetland/marshes' plant-feeding beetles e.g. Telmatophilus typhae, Microcara testacea, Kateretes pedicularis, Notaris scirpi and N. acridulus, suggesting a gradual re-colonisation of the ditch with wetland plants such as reeds, rushes and mosses.

This assemblage bears some resemblance to Sample 186 but with a much smaller number of water beetles and a much greater number of dung and foul habitat indicators. It would appear to indicate a complex environment of open stagnating water, dumped household rubbish, dung and naturally occurring plants. The meadowland plant indicators could have been incorporated into the assemblage through 'stable manure' and there are almost no 'disturbed ground/arable' indicators. This would suggest that the 'disturbed/arable' and 'sandy/dry disturbed/arable' element in the assemblages of the earlier deposits were indicative of the disturbance caused by the construction of the site/ditch/bank rather than the presence of ploughed/arable ground in the surrounding landscape (Fig.1).

## Discussion

## General Summary

These four samples represent different phases in the history of the enclosing ditch at Roestown and although there are similarities between the assemblages there are some interesting differences. In particular, the number of water beetles indicating open water in the base of the ditch drops through time albeit with a slight recovery in the final deposit. Associated wetland plants begin to colonise the ditch and increase in number from the first cut of the ditch (AD530-650) to the first re-cut (AD710-910) perhaps reflecting a longer phase of opening. The third phase of the ditch, during the second re-cut (AD770-980), shows two different depositional patterns. A slower, gradual accumulation reflected in the assemblage in C479/480 and a more rapid accumulation, reflected in the assemblage in C484. This was perhaps due to the increased wetness of this section of the ditch and the re-establishment of a breeding, in situ wetland plant community with its associated insect fauna.

From the time the ditch is first cut, the number of species indicating animal dung, pasture, meadowland and general animal husbandry increases. This is also associated with a gradual increase in synanthropic beetles (i.e. those species associated with human habitation, human houses). However, the strong animal dung signature within the faunas would suggest that the habitation sources of rubbish were primarily animal pens as 'meadowland' and other background elements also found in these assemblages could be reflective of 'stable manure'. Human excrement and urine is, however, one of the potential sources for the 'halotolerant' beetles seen in C 490 and $\mathrm{C} 479 / 80$.

It is difficult from the beetles alone to confim if the curious 'burnt peat'-like material observed in samples 191 and 194 is in fact peat. While some of the beetles found in these samples could be secondary indicators of peat, many of them occur in the other contexts where this material was not observed. It may instead be burnt sod or other burnt organic matter originating within one of the structures on the site. Examination of the plant remains and their preservation condition might help to ellucidate the origin of this material.

## The insects from Roestown and their wider archaeological context

As noted in the introduction, few early medieval 'rural' or isolated settlement sites in Ireland have been examined directly for their bioarchaeological remains and fewer still using archaeoentomology. For two that have - Coolure Demesne crannog, Co. Westmeath (exploratory analysis) (Reilly \& Johnston 2007, forthcoming) and Deer Park Farms, Co. Antrim (Kenward \& Allison 1994) - the sampling strategy concentrated on samples from within the enclosed 'living space' of the sites, primarily because both had well preserved, extensive waterlogged deposits making this a viable option. This is also true of the early medieval crannog at Buiston, Ayrshire (Kenward et al. 2000). The exceptional preservation of organic remains at Deer Park Farms meant that the insect assemblages identified could more readily be compared with urban medieval sites of similar date and suggested long, continuous occupation over perhaps a millennium (Kenward \& Allison 1994). This was particularly indicated by the high concentration of 'synathropic' insects decomposing 'bedding/rooofing' material indicators, stored product pests, structural wood pests, human and animal parasites. Both Buiston and Coolure produced very different assemblages, devoid of much of this 'synanthropic' signature but containing some elements of the foul conditions suggestive of dumped human waste (Reilly \& Johnston 2007, forthcoming). However, the sampling contexts of these sites provide few direct parallels for Roestown.

Few urban deposits from Irish sites of similar date are available for comparison although the earliest levels of Essex Street West are roughly contemporary with the second re-cut phase of Roestown (Reilly 2003). However, the samples from this phase are almost exclusively from within habitation structures, both animal and human. There are superficial similarities in terms of the high number of dung and foul habitat indicators but these deposits also contain higher numbers of synanthropic indicators such as human fleas and structural wood pests.

It would appear that perhaps the most useful parallels might be drawn from the type of feature sampled - a large enclosing ditch around a settlement site. Again, contemporary sites for which insect analysis has been carried out are few in number but there is a larger corpus of material that can be looked at if contemporanity is not the primary concern.

A small number of samples were examined for insect remains from the early medieval enclosure at Killickaweeny, M4, Co. Kildare (Carlin et al. 2007, 2008). This site is similar to Roestown in that it was primarily a 'dryland' site but part of the ditch and one large internal pit cut the water table and contained thin organic deposits. The dominant habitat type indicated by the insects from both the ditch and the pit was decaying vegetation and animal dung. It was not clear, due to the small assemblage, if the material was accumulating over a long period or was deliberately deposited
(Reilly 2007a, forthcoming). The other dominant habitat was water and it was clear that the ditch had permanent standing water in its base, which was probably stagnant but may have flowed at times of high water table. These are all features that Killickaweeny has in common with Roestown and would suggest superficially that ditches around such sites generally were dug deep enough in places to cut the water table, which gave rise to this limited organic preservation.

Dung and decaying vegetation taxa dominated the insect assemblages from the ditches of Bronze Age Haughey's Fort (Anderson 1989), Chancellorsland (Reilly 2007b, forthcoming) and the Iron Age enclosures of Tattershall Thorpe, Lincolnshire (Chowne et al. 1986) and Mingies Ditch, Oxfordshire (Allen \& Robinson 1993). Where dung beetles dominate insect assemblages it is assumed that the surrounding landscape was predominately pastoral (Allen \& Robinson 1993) and that their incorporation into ditch fills is due to the close proximity of grazing animals. However, where dung feeders occur in conjunction with 'synanthropic' and 'meadowland' taxa, it is thought more likely that they originate from a domestic source i.e. from within the enclosures or within structures (Hall \& Kenward 1998). In the case, of Killickaweeny, no synanthropic species were found and, therefore, it is possible that dung accumulated in the ditch over a long period of time from surrounding pastureland and without the need for deliberate dumping. This is borne out by the plant remains, which indicates a variety of phosophorus-loving plants possibly growing around and within the ditch (Johnston 2007, forthcoming). In the case of Roestown dung taxa are found associated with a great variety of other habitat indicators including 'meadowland', 'disturbed ground' and 'synanthropic' beetles. This would suggest that the dung beetles are incorporated into more general deposits of waste from structures within the enclosure itself, rather than simply grazing animals that happen to be in the vicinity of the ditch. Nevertheless, the suggestion of animal husbandry and pastoralism as the dominant farming activities surrounding and within the site is also bourne out by the lack of disturbed ground/arable indicators after the initial two phases of cutting of the enclosing ditch. This would suggest that the bare ground being exploited by ground beetles such as Trechus quadristriatus, Bembidion lampros and weed plant beetles like Phyllotreta sp and Ceutorhynchus assimilis in the early deposits was as a direct result of the construction of the site and not arable land in the immediate vicinity of the ditch. The fact that this dominant pastoral picture does not change substantially from the mid- $6^{\text {th }}$ to the mid $-10^{\text {th }}$ century suggests relative stability in the local land use pattern.

This analysis would benefit from comparisons with other environmental analyses such as plant remains and zoological remains to test if the picture of the local environment of Roestown suggested by the insect remains is consistent in all bioarchaeological indicators.

Eileen Reilly, 2007
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Table 1. Species List (nomenclature after Lucht 1989; See appendix for 'EcoCodes' assigned to each insect)

| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
| Species/Genus |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carabidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carabus granulatus L. | - | 1 | - | - | Damp meadowland, wet woodland |
| Elaphrus uliginosus F. | 2 | - | - | - | Wetlands, marshes |
| Trechus quadristriatus (Schrank) | 3 | 1 | - | - | Open ground with short vegetation |
| Bembidion lampros (Hbst.) | 1 | - | - | - | Disturbed ground, open dry ground |
| Pterostichus strenuus (Panz.) | - | 1 | - | 1 | Wet plant debris, woodlands |
| P. nigrita (Payk.) | 1 | - | - | - | Wetlands, marshes |
| P. minor (Gyll.) | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Wetlands, marshes |
| P. niger (Schall.) | - | - | 1 | - | Wet woodlands |
| P. madidus (F.) | - | 2 | - | - | Disturbed ground, woodlands, omnivorous |
| Pterostichus sp. | 1 | - | - | - | Varied habitats |
| Agonum fuliginosum (Panz.) | - | - | 1 | - | Wetlands, marshes |
| Agonum sp. | - | 2 | - | 1 | Varied habitats |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dysticidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydroporus incognitus Sharp | - | - | - | 2 | Shaded peaty pools, prefers acid conditions |
| H. nigrita (F.) | - | - | - | 1 | In water, prefers acid conditions |
| H. longulus Muls. | 4 | - | - | - | In water, prefers acid conditions |
| Hydroporus spp. | 2 | 2 | - | - | aquatic habitats |
| Agabus bipustulatus L. | 2 | - | - | - | In water, all types |
| Agabus sp. | 1 | - | - | - | aquatic habitats |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agabus/lllybius sp. | - | 1 | - | - | aquatic habitats |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gyrinidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gyrinus sp. | - | 1 | - | - | In varied aquatic habitats |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydraenidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Hydraena britteni Joy/riparia Kug. | 10 | - | - | 1 | temporary/permanent water bodies, flowing, stagnant |
| Hydraena sp. | - | - | - | - | aquatic habitats |
| *Octhebius minimus (F.) | 11 | 2 | - | - | Mostly freshwater, canal, ditches, lakes |
| Octhebius sp. | - | 1 | 2 | 1 | aquatic habitats |
| Limnebius truncatellus (Thun.) | 3 | - | - | - | In water, assoc. with flowing water |
| L. nitidus (Marsham) | 1 | - | - | - | In mud beside water |
| Limnebius sp. | - | - | - | 1 | aquatic habitats |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hydrophilidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Helophorus grandis III. | 1 | - | - | - | Shallow streams, drainage ditches |
| H. brevipalpis Bedel | 3 | - | - | - | In many, varied aquatic habitats |
| H. minutus F. | 4 | - | - | - | In water, ponds, ditches |
| Helophorus spp. | 10 | 4 | 6 | 4 | aquatic habitats |
| Colostoma orbiculare (F.) | - | 2 | 2 | - | Marshes, wetlands |
| Cercyon depressus Steph. | - | 3 | 2 | - | Salty environments, under seaweed, decaying plant matter |
| C. impressus Sturm. | 2 | - | - | - | Dung, carrion, damp litter, grazed land |
| C. haemorrhoidalis (F.) | - | - | 2 | 1 | Cow dung, stable manure, grazed land |
| C. analis (Payk.) | - | 9 | 1 | 2 | Dung, rotting vegetation |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
| Megasternum obscurum (Marsham) | 3 | 5 | 2 | 5 | Rotting plant matter, dung, leaf litter |
| Cryptopleurum minutum (F.) | - | 1 | - | - | Dung, rotting vegetation, open grazed land |
| *Hydrobius fuscipes (L.) | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Standing water, detritus beside water |
| Anacaena globulus (Payk.) | 5 | - | - | 2 | In running, standing water |
| Laccobius sp. | 3 | 1 | - | - | In water, moss beside water |
| Histeridae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Onthophilus striatus (Mull.) | - | 2 | - | 2 | Dung, decaying vegetation |
| Silphidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phosphuga atrata (L.) | 1 | - | - | - | Woodland debris, predatory on snails |
| Catopidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| *Catops spp. | 7 | - | - | - | Decaying vegetation, fungi, carrion |
| Leiodidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agathidium rotundatum (Gyll.) | 1 | - | - | - | In decaying tree fungi |
| Clambidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clambus sp. | 1 | - | - | - | Slime moulds, decaying vegetation/wood |
| Ptilidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ptenidium sp. | 2 | 1 | - | - | Decaying vegetation carrion |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
| Staphylinidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Micropeplus fulvus Er. | - | - | 2 | 3 | Decaying vegetation/compost, stable manure (Syn) |
| M. porcatus (F.) | - | 9 | 2 | 1 | Same as above |
| Phyllodrepa sp. | 1 | - | - | - | Wood debris, birds nests |
| Omalium rivulare (Payk.) | - | 8 | - | - | Decaying vegetation/compost, stable manure (Syn) |
| Omalium sp. | - | - | 1 | 1 | Decaying vegetation |
| Xylodromus sp. | - | 1 | - | - | Decaying vegetation/compost, dung (Syn) |
| Olophrum piceum (Gyll.) | - | - | 3 | 2 | Wetland, heathland, plant debris |
| Lesteva sp. | - | - | - | 1 | Wetlands, marshes, in moss |
| Carpelimus sp. | - | - | - | 1 | Wet vegetation, riverbanks |
| Anotylus rugosus (F.) | 1 | - | - | 2 | Dung, decaying vegetation, open grazed land |
| A. sculpturatus (Grav.) | - | 2 | - | - | Dung, carrion, open grazed land |
| A. tetracarinatus Block | - | 3 | - | 1 | Dung, carrion, open grazed land |
| Oxytelus sculptus Grav. | - | 2 | - | 1 | Decaying vegetation/compost, excrement |
| Anotylus/Oxytelus sp. | - | - | 1 | - | Foul environments generally |
| Platystethus arenarius (Geoff.) | - | 4 | - | 2 | Dung, carrion, muddy river banks |
| Platystethus sp. | 1 | - | - | - | Foul environments generally |
| Stenus spp. (3 spp.) | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | Aquatic environments generally |
| Rugilus rufipes (Germ.) | - | 1 | - | - | Wet decaying plant debris, stable manure |
| R. orbiculatus (Payk.) | - | 1 | - | - | Wet decaying plant debris, stable manure |
| Lathrobium sp. | 1 | - | - | - | Wet litter, moss, wetlands in general |
| Gyrohypnus liebei Scheer. | - | - | 1 | 3 | Wet decaying plant debris, stable manure, open grazed land |
| Gyrohypnus sp. | - | 3 | - | - | Wet decaying plant debris, stable manure, open grazed land |
| Xantholinus sp. | - | - | - | 1 | Decaying vegetation generally |
| Atrecus affinis (Payk.) | - | 1 | - | - | Beneath decaying bark, carnivorous |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
| Othius sp. | - | 2 | - | - | Woodland environments, humus layer |
| Staphylinus sp. | 1 | 1 | - | - | grassland, decaying vegetation, carrion, dung |
| Quedius sp. | 1 | - | - | 1 | Varied habitats |
| Quedius/Philonthus sp. | - | 5 | 1 | 1 | Varied habitats |
| Tachinus rufipes (L.) | - | - | - | 1 | Open grazed land, dung, decaying vegetation |
| §T. rufipennis (Gyll.) | 2 | 1 | - | - | Woodland, from carrion |
| Tachyporinae indet. | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | Foul environments generally |
| Cypha sp.? | - | 1 | - | - | Decaying vegetation wood |
| Drusilla canaliculata (F.) | - | - | 1 | - | Heaths, moorland, assoc. with ants |
| Aleochara sp.? | - | - | - | 2 | Carrion |
| Aleocharinae gen. et sp. indet. | 3 | 10 | 2 | 5 | Varied habitats |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pselaphidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bythnius sp. | - | 1 | - | - | Moss, rotting wood |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cantharidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rhagonycha sp. | 1 | - | - | - | herbs, trees, scrub, woodland |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Elateridae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Melanotus sp. | 1 | - | 1 | - | Rotting wood |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scirtidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Microcara testacea (L.) | 3 | 1 | - | 4 | On vegetation near water |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Brachypteridae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kateretes pedicularis (L.) | - | 2 | - | 1 | On rushes/reeds near water, damp woodlands |
| Brachypterus urticae (F.) | - | - | - | 1 | On nettles, open grazed land |
| (F.) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitidulidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Meligethes sp. | 1 | - | - | - | On pollen of var. herbs/flowers, mainly meadowland |
| Omosita sp. |  | - | - | - | On carrion, fungi, woodlands, meadows |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cucujidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monotoma spp. | - | - | - | 2 | On grass heaps, compost |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cryptophagidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Telmatophilus typhae (Fallen) | 2 | - | - | 2 | On bulrushes near water |
| Cryptophagus spp. | 1 | 5 | - | 5 | On fungi, decaying vegetation (Syn) |
| Atomaria spp. | - | 13 | - | 2 | On fungi, decaying vegetation (Syn) |
| Ephistemus globulus (Payk.) | - | 3 | - | - | On manure, compost heaps (Syn) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Colydiidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aglenus brunneus (Gyll.) | - | 1 | - | - | Decaying vegetation, dung, compost (Syn) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Endomychidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mycetaea subterranea (Marsham) | 2 | 11 | 1 | 8 | Litter refuse, compost (Syn) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
| Scarabaeidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aphodius fossor (L.) | - | - | 2 | - | dung (grazed land/woodland) |
| A. luridus (F.) | 2 | - | - | - | dung (grazed land/woodland) |
| A. depressus (Kug.) | - | 2 | - | - | dung, often horse (woodland) |
| A. sphacelatus (Panz.) | - | 3 | - | - | Dung (open grazed land) |
| A. foetidus (Hbst.) | - | - | - | 3 | Dung, dry ground (grazed) |
| A. fimentarius (L.) | - | 2 | - | - | Dung (open grazed land) |
| §A. niger (Panz.) | - | - | 1 | - | Dung, decaying vegetation, muddy ground |
| *Aphodius spp. | - | 3 | 3 | 3 | Dung generally |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chrysomelidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plateumaris discolor (Panz.)/sericea (L.) | - | 1 | - | - | On cotton-grass, reeds, sphagnum |
| Chysolina sp. | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | Wide variety of ground herbs, open and wooded land |
| Phratora sp. | 1 | - | - | - | On poplar, willow, in wet places |
| Phyllotreta undulata Kuts. | - | 2 | - | - | Disturbed/arable land, various Cabbage family members |
| Phyllotreta sp. | 5 | - | - | 2 | Various herbs of disturbed ground |
| Longitarsus sp. | - | 1 | - | - | On various herbs of disturbed ground/arable land, woodland margins, meadowland |
| Altica sp. | - | 1 | - | - | On heather, hazel - wetlands, disturbed ground |
| Chaetocnema hortensis Geoff. | 3 | 2 | - | 1 | On various wild grasses/plantain, disturbed ground/arable |
| Chaetocnema sp. | - | 3 | - | - | Various wetland/disturbed ground herbs |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Curculionidae |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apion sp. | - | 1 | - | 1 | On various ground herbs |


| Sample No | 186 | 194 | 187 | 191 | Habitat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 490 | 479/480 | 484 |  |
|  | 1st phase of ditch | Re-cut of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch | 3rd phase of ditch |  |
|  | AD 530-650 | AD 710-910 | AD 770-980 | AD 770-980 |  |
| Otiorhynchus sp. | - | 1 | - | - | Leaf miner of various shrubs, trees, herbs |
| Notaris scirpi (F.) | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | On bulrushes, reeds, in waterside locations |
| N. acridulus (L.) | - | 1 | - | 2 | On roots of aquatic plants (Glyeria spp., Polygonum amphibium) |
| Limnobaris dolorosa (Goeze) | - | 2 | - | - | On rushes, reeds, bog cotton, in swampy, wet places |
| Ceutorhynchus assimilis (Payk.) | 1 | - | - | - | On various Cabbage spp. in arable/disturbed ground |
| C. floralis (Payk.) | 1 | - | - | - | On various Cabbage spp. in arable/disturbed ground |
| Ceutorhynchus sp. | - | - | 1 | - | Primarily on Cabbage spp. and other ground herbs |
| Total individuals | 138 | 168 | 54 | 101 |  |
| Total taxa (species) | 52 | 64 | 30 | 49 |  |
| Index of Diversity (Fisher's $\alpha$ ) | 31 | 38 | 29 | 38 |  |
| Diptera |  |  |  |  |  |
| Calliphora vicina (puparia) | - | 2 | - | - |  |

Calliphora vicina (puparia)

* taxa with a lot of fragments of immature or newly emerged individuals
$\S$ taxa not currently on the Irish list of Coleoptera
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## 1. Summary

## The project

1.1 An excavation was undertaken by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd at Roestown 2, Co Meath, Ireland. Two areas of activity were identified (Areas A and B), which included a series of successive early medieval enclosures. This report presents the results of plant macrofossil, charcoal, cremated bone and mollusc analysis of the fills of ditches, pits, hearths, gullies, postholes, kilns and a souterrain. A sample of coprolites from the site was also analysed.

## Results

1.2 Oats and barley were the main crops used on the site, with wheat, rye, peas and wild foods also forming components of the diet. Flax may have been used to produce linen, and/or linseed oil for food, preservative or medicinal uses. The kilns were used for drying the cereal crops prior to storage or grinding.
1.3 Charcoal analysis suggests that open deciduous woodland, dominated by hazel and oak, was growing near the site during its occupation, and provided a source of fuel and building materials. Other species identified were alder, ash, Maloideae, cherries, willow/poplar, elder, holly, birch, elm, yew and heather. A diverse range of fuels were used in the cereal-drying kilns.
1.4 Burnt bone was recovered from 151 contexts. Most derived from ditches, but burnt bone was also recovered from linear features, gullies, pits, postholes, kilns, hearths, a souterrain, a spread, furrow, metalled surface, the fill of a burial, and an occupation fill. Sixty-seven of these contexts contained animal or possible animal bone; no human bone was identified. None of the bone in the remaining contexts could be identified, and it was not possible to determine if it was human or animal. The degree of oxidation varied, suggesting a range of burning conditions: although $60 \%$ contained fully oxidised bone, some contexts contained charred bone, and the remainder contained partially oxidised bone, or a mix of charred/ partially/ and fully oxidised bone. Identifiable animal bone included pig, sheep-goat, cattle, horse, dog, bird and small mammal.
1.5 Small quantities of poorly preserved remains of terrestrial snails and marine shellfish were recovered from 20 contexts at this site - all bar one of the deposits being ditch fills. All of the land snails recovered were of ecologically catholic taxa and of no
value for habitat reconstruction. Marine shell was present in 10 deposits (all ditch fills) but only in trace amounts. All of the remains were of edible shellfish, including periwinkle, whelk, cockle and ?oyster, but the remains were too few to be of interpretative value beyond indicating importation of these food resources from the coast (approximately 35 kilometres away).
1.6 Two fragments of possible coprolite were recovered from the lower topsoil, but the material proved not to be faecal in nature. It was perhaps simply mineral concretion but its curious surface appearance suggested that it may perhaps be very degraded pot (?prehistoric).

## 2. Project background

## Location and background

2.1 An excavation was undertaken by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd at Roestown 2, Co Meath, Ireland (NGR 295792 253807). A series of successive early medieval enclosures with associated field systems beginning in the $7^{\text {th }}$ century and continuing into the $11^{\text {th }}$ century with limited occupation into the $13^{\text {th }}$ century was revealed. The principal enclosure was re-cut on at least two successive occasions between the $8^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ centuries AD , with the character of the enclosure changing noticeably on each occasion. Evidence for metalworking of both ferrous and nonferrous metals was uncovered across the enclosure. Drying kilns and numerous gullies were located in the interior, and a dry-stone souterrain was situated at the centre of the site. This report presents the results of plant macrofossil, charcoal, cremated bone and mollusc analysis of the fills of ditches, pits, hearths, gullies, postholes, kilns and the souterrain. A sample of coprolites from the site was also analysed.

## Objective

2.2 The objective was to analyse the plant macrofossils, charcoal, cremated bone and molluscs from the site, in order to provide information about the diet, land use and local environment.

## Dates

2.3 Samples from batch 7 were received by Archaeological Services Durham University in April 2008. Analysis and report preparation was conducted between April 2008 March 2009.

## Personnel

2.4 Sample processing was undertaken by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd. The residues were sorted by Dr Charlotte Henderson and Mr Bryan Atkinson. Plant macrofossil analysis was carried out by Dr Helen Ranner and charcoal analysis was by Mr Lorne Elliott. Cremated bone analysis was by Dr Anwen Caffell, with faunal identifications by Ms Louisa Gidney. The mollusc and coprolite samples were analysed by Mr John Carrott (Palaeoecological Research Services). Report preparation was by Dr Charlotte O'Brien.

## Archive

2.5 The licence number is A008/002. The charcoal, flots, bone, molluse and possible coprolite samples are currently held at the Environmental Laboratory at Archaeological Services Durham University awaiting collection or return.

## 3. Plant macrofossil analysis

## Methods

3.1 The residues were examined for plant remains, shells, bones, pottery sherds and metalworking debris. The dry flots were scanned at up to x60 magnification using a Leica MZ7.5 stereomicroscope for charred and waterlogged plant remains. Identification of these was undertaken by comparison with modern reference material held in the Environmental Laboratory at Archaeological Services Durham University. Plant taxonomic nomenclature follows Stace (1997). Contexts (256), (542), (545), (546), (696), (777), (830), (931), (1089), (1308) contained substantial quantities of charred plant remains, and therefore the flots were sub-sampled using a riffle box. $50 \%$ of contexts (542), (545) and (1089), $25 \%$ of contexts (256), (546), (931) and (1308), $12.5 \%$ of context (696), and $6.25 \%$ of context (777) and (830), were analysed. The results were multiplied up to give an estimate of the full contents of the flots, with the entire flot scanned in each case to ensure that all taxa present were recorded.

## Results

3.2 Charcoal flecks and small fragments of bone were frequently recorded in the residues. Clinker, hammerscale, unworked flint and molluscs were also occasionally present. Context (800) contained a pre-Quaternary fossil, which will have derived from the Carboniferous limestone bedrock of the area. Low numbers of insect remains, vegetative material and uncharred seeds were recorded in some of the flots, but most of these appeared to modern intrusive material. Uncharred plant remains which are likely to have been preserved through waterlogging were present in ditch fill (484), and are discussed further below. Charred heather twigs and tubers/rhizomes were occasionally recorded in the flots. The results of the plant macrofossil analyses are presented in Appendix 1.1-1.14.

## Enclosure 1

3.3 Charred plant macrofossils were present in 9 of the Enclosure 1 ditch fills, and were particularly abundant in the fills of ditch re-cuts F770 and F1319. They were dominated by oats and barley grains, with lower numbers of wheat grains. Weed seeds and chaff were also present in low numbers. A single charred flax seed was recorded in fill (566), and charred hazel nutshell fragments were present in fills (566) and (643). Uncharred hazel nutshell fragments and sloe fruitstones occurred in fill (484).

## Enclosure 2

3.4 Charred plant macrofossils were present in low numbers in 6 of the Enclosure 2 ditch fills. These included oats and barley grains, and hazel nutshell fragments.

## Enclosure 3

3.5 Charred plant macrofossils were present in low numbers in 10 of the Enclosure 3 ditch fills. These comprised grains of oats, barley and wheat, hazel nutshell fragments, grass seeds and sclerotia (resting bodies) of the soil fungus Cenococcum geophilum.

## Enclosure 5

3.6 Charred plant remains in ditch fill (287) comprised 3 oat grains, 1 barley grain, 3 indeterminate cereal grains and a hawthorn fruitstone.

## Enclosure 7

3.7 Fill (137) comprised 1 charred barley grain and 1 indeterminate cereal grain.

## Enclosure 10

3.8 Charred plant macrofossils were relatively abundant in ditch fill (952). They were dominated by oat grains, with lower numbers of barley and wheat grains.

## Enclosure 11

3.9 Charred plant macrofossils were abundant in ditch fill (931). They comprised roughly equal numbers of oats and barley grains, with a few weed seeds also present.

## Enclosure 14

3.10 The charred plant macrofossil assemblage in ditch fill (987) was dominated by wheat grains. Lower numbers of oat grains and a pea, were also recorded. Fill (747) comprised an oat grain and an indeterminate cereal grain.

Enclosure 16
3.11 A charred oat grain and indeterminate cereal grain were present in fill (996).

Enclosure 4, 8, 9, 12, 15
3.12 Charred plant remains were absent from Enclosure 4, 8, 9, 12 and 15 ditch fills.

## Hearths and firespots

3.13 Charred plant macrofossils were abundant in the hearth and firespot fills (contexts $256,1078,1089,1308$ and 1309). They all comprised similar assemblages, which were dominated by oat grains, with barley grains also common. Weed seeds and oat chaff fragments were present in low numbers. In addition, a wheat grain, a rye grain and 2 fragments of rye chaff were present in context (1089), fill of hearth F1077.

## Kilns

3.14 The fills of kiln F698, F776 and F832 comprised abundant charred remains. These were dominated by oat grains, with barley grains common, and weed seeds and chaff also present. The largest assemblage was in fill (777) of kiln F776 (Figure 3.1). Charred plant remains were present in very low numbers in the fills of kiln F677, and included a few oat grains, barley grains and a hazel nutshell fragment.


Figure 3.1: the proportions of charred remains in context (777), fill of kiln F776

## Gullies and linear features

3.15 Seven linear features contained charred plant macrofossils. These were present in low numbers and included oat grains, barley grains, wheat grains, weed seeds, soil fungus sclerotia, a hawthorn fruitstone and occasional fragments of chaff.

Pits
3.16 Five pit fills contained charred plant macrofossils. They were few in number in most, but a slightly higher number of remains was recorded in fill (1285). The assemblage in this context comprised oats grains, barley grains, weed seeds and a single wheat grain.

## Souterrain

3.17 Charred plant remains were absent from most of the fills associated with the souterrain, except for context (506), which comprised 2 small oat grains and 7 indeterminate cereal grains.

## Other contexts

3.18 Two oat grains, a wheat grain and 2 indeterminate cereal grains were present in occupation deposit (119). Charred plant macrofossils were absent from grave fill (165), and colluvial spread (1515).

## Discussion

Diet
3.19 Cereals used during the early medieval occupation of the site were oats, barley, wheat and rye. Table 3.1 lists the frequency of the charred remains and shows that oats and barley were the most important crops on the site, with wheat recorded in a significantly lower number of contexts (Table 3.1), and generally with low numbers of remains present. Rye was recorded in a single context (Hearth fill 1089), and therefore is likely to have been a minor crop, or was possibly only growing as a weed amongst the other cereals. In many of the contexts, cereal grains were recorded in low numbers, and therefore it is difficult to establish if there were changes in the crop husbandry regime over the duration of the occupation of the site. From the data available, there appears to have been little change, with oats and barley predominant in all phases (Table 3.1), although there is limited evidence that wheat may have increased in use in Phase 3.
3.20 The oat grains were divided into 2 size categories: large grains which were retained on the 2 mm sieve; and small, slender grains which passed through this sieve. All of these may be from Avena sativa (cultivated oats), as the spikelets of this species usually have two fertile florets, the first producing larger grains than the second (Jacomet 2006). In addition, the identification of floret bases of Avena sativa/strigosa in 6 contexts confirms the presence of cultivated oats at the site. However, the abundance of the small, slender grains, coupled with the identification of Avena fatua (wild oats) floret bases in kiln fill (830), suggests that at least a proportion of these grains are from wild species of oats, and some may be from other wild grasses.

Table 3.1: the number of contexts in which charred plant macrofossils are recorded within each phase

| Area A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Phase 1 | Phase <br> 2 | Phase <br> 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 6 | Unphased | Total no. of contexts |
| Oats | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 15 |
| Barley | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 9 |
| Wheat | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Rye | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hazel nutshells | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Hawthorn fruitstones | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Peas | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flax | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Area B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Phase 1 | Phase <br> 2 | Phase <br> 3 | Phase 4 | Phase 6 | Unphased | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total no. } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { contexts } \end{gathered}$ |
| Oats | 4 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 30 |
| Barley | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 27 |
| Wheat | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 |
| Rye | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Hazel nutshells | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| Hawthorn fruitstones | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peas | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Flax | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

3.21 The cereal grains on the site were generally in a poor condition, and surface damage of the barley grains often prevented their identification to species. A few of the better preserved barley grains were recorded as hulled, but it was not possible to determine whether 6 -row or 2 -row barley was used at the site. The few fragments of barley chaff were also too damaged to allow detailed identifications.
3.22 Wheat species cannot be reliably identified from their grains, as wheat grain morphology is very variable. However, it is likely that bread wheat was used at Roestown 2, as the diagnostic chaff of bread wheat was recorded in 3 contexts.
3.23 A single charred pea was recorded in context (987)(a Phase 2 ditch fill of Enclosure 14), suggesting that legumes may have formed a part of the diet. In addition, the presence of charred hazel nutshell fragments and hawthorn fruitstones indicates that wild foods were also gathered.
3.24 A predominance of oats and barley is typical of cereal assemblages from early medieval sites, and studies indicate that the main crops grown in Ireland at that time were 6 -row hulled barley, oats and rye (Monk 1986; McClatchie 2007). Barley and oats were also the main crops identified from other early medieval sites along the M3 corridor, such as Baronstown 1 (Archaeological Services 2009a), Collierstown 1 (Archaeological Services 2009b), Clowanstown 3 (Archaeological Services 2008a),

Garretstown 2 (Archaeological Services 2008b) and Castletown Tara 3 (Archaeological Services 2009c), and from early medieval sites recently studied in Co. Laois, including Killeany 1 (Archaeological Services 2008c) and Derrinsallagh 3 (Archaeological Services 2008d). By contrast, bread wheat and legumes were more widely cultivated in the post-Norman period (Monk 1986). The presence of bread wheat may reflect a high status for the site, as it is at the top of the list of relative prestige of cereals outlined in the $8^{\text {th }}$ century law text Bretha Déin Chécht (Binchy 1966). However, the low status crops of hulled barley and oats were more frequently used at the site.

## Flax

3.25 There was evidence for textile production at Roestown 2 in the form of spindle whorls, copper alloy or iron needles and a bone needle holder (O'Hara 2007). A charred flax seed was recorded in ditch fill (566) of Enclosure 1, which may indicate that flax was used for linen production at the site. Flax may also have been used to produce linseed oil for food, preservative or medicinal uses, and in addition to producing clothing, the fibres may have been extracted to produce ropes or sacking. The by-products of oil and fibre production could also have been used as fodder or fuel (Bond \& Hunter 1987). The flax seed may have become charred during drying prior to processing or storage. Flax has occasionally been recorded from prehistoric sites in Ireland, but it occurs more commonly on sites from the early historic period onwards (Monk 1986). Seeds and capsules have been recorded from medieval contexts from Fishamble Street, Dublin City (Geraghty 1996), and seeds have been recorded from other sites along the route of the M3, for example at the early medieval sites at Castlefarm 1 and Collierstown 1 (Archaeological Services 2009db), the postmedieval site at Rath Hill 1 (Archaeological Services 2008e) and at the Iron Age/early medieval site at Lismullin 1 (Archaeological Services 2008f).

## Cereal-drying kilns

Charred oats and barley grains were abundant in kilns F698, F776 and F832 suggesting that they were cereal-drying kilns, used to dry the crops prior to storage or grinding. Chaff fragments and weed seeds were present in low numbers relative to the cereal grains, which may indicate that the crops had been processed prior to drying. However, it is also possible that unprocessed crops were dried, as the surface damage of the grains indicates they were exposed to high temperatures, and weeds and chaff would burn away before the cereal grains (Boardman \& Jones 1990). The
mixture of crop types in the fills is likely to reflect several firings of the kilns, with insufficient clearing out after each use, although the cultivation of maslin crops cannot be ruled out. These deliberately mixed crops were sown in order to reduce the risk of total crop failure (Jones \& Halstead 1995).
3.27 Kiln F677 may also have been a cereal-drying kiln, although only very low numbers of charred cereal grains were recorded in the fills. This may indicate that the kiln had been cleared out prior to its collapse.

## Other features

3.28 Charred plant remains were recorded in many of the other features on the site, and were present in large numbers in ditches F770, F1319, F901 and F936, and pit F1287. These probably reflect the use of the ditches and pits for the disposal of domestic waste, including caches of grain accidentally burnt during the drying process. The use of these features as rubbish dumps is corroborated by the abundance of animal bone and waste from metalworking processes (section 5 this report; O'Hara 2007). Charred grain was also abundant in the hearth and firespot fills, reflecting the domestic nature of these features.
The plant macrofossil analysis provides little information as to whether the souterrain was used as a refuge or for storage. The few charred cereal grains present may represent some domestic waste.

## Palaeoenvironment

3.29 Most of the charred weed seeds recorded on the site are likely to have grown with the crops, particularly the arable weeds, fat-hen and black bindweed. Some of the other taxa, e.g. hemp-nettle, nipplewort, knotgrass, redshank, docks and ribwort plantain, may also have occupied nearby areas of waste ground or open pasture. Redshank and fat-hen grow in nutrient-rich conditions (Preston et al. 2002), which indicates that the soils were either naturally nutrient-rich or were manured. Sheep's sorrel and heathgrass may have grown on nearby acid heath, and sedges and pale persicaria would have favoured areas of damp ground. Charred sclerotia (resting bodies) of Cenococcum geophilum were occasionally recorded. This soil fungus is an ectomycorrhizal species which has mutualistic associations with some tree roots, particularly members of the Fagaceae, Pinaceae and Betulaceae (Hudson 1986). Its presence may reflect burning of nearby woodland soils, perhaps during clearance
activities, or the use of turves for fuel. The occasional presence of charred heather twigs and tubers/rhizomes may also derive from turf burning.
3.30 Previous insect analysis has indicated that waterlogged conditions existed in some of the ditches (O'Hara 2007). The presence of pollen in ditch fills (484) and (490), recuts of Enclosure 1, also suggests anaerobic preservation of these fills (Archaeological Services 2008g). These conditions allowed the preservation of uncharred remains of hazel nutshells and blackthorn (sloe) fruitstones in ditch fill (484), indicating that these shrubs or small trees were growing in or beside ditch F450 as it infilled. The plant macrofossils and molluscs (section 5), suggest that the other ditch fills analysed for this report were not deposited under waterlogged conditions.

## 4. Charcoal analysis

## Methods

4.1 Charcoal was collected from the residues and flots and added to pre-sorted material. Following Boardman (1995), identifications were made on fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$. At least 100 fragments were identified per context, where available. The transverse, radial and tangential sections were examined at up to x600 magnification using a Leica DMLM microscope. Identifications were assisted by the descriptions of Hather (2000) and Schweingruber (1978), and modern reference material held in the Environmental Laboratory at Archaeological Services Durham University. A single entity of charcoal from a short-lived tree species was provided for radiocarbon dating from contexts (549), (655) and (1312). In addition, charred barley grains were provided from contexts (548), (697) and (777).

## Results

4.2 The results of the charcoal analyses are presented in Appendix 2.1-2.9. The frequencies of the different charcoal species within the features are presented in Figure 4.1.


Figure 4.1: The frequency of charcoal species within the enclosure ditches, hearths/firespots, kilns, gullies/linears, pits and souterrain

## Enclosure ditches

4.3 Charcoal was recorded in many of the enclosure ditch fills. Hazel and oak were the most frequently recorded species, with alder, ash and elder, also common. Heather (Calluna vulgaris), holly, Maloideae (hawthorn, whitebeam, apple group), cherries (Blackthorn, wild cherry, bird cherry etc), willow/poplar and elm were also occasionally recorded. Charcoal was generally present in low quantities in the ditch fills, but a larger amount was recorded in context (144), fill of ditch F239 from Enclosure 3, the assemblage of which was dominated by oak and hazel.

## Hearths and firespots

4.4 A small quantity of alder charcoal was present in context (256), and a single fragment of oak and hazel charcoal were recorded in contexts (1089) and (1308), respectively. Identifiable charcoal was absent from contexts (1078) and (1309).

## Kilns

4.5 Small quantities of charcoal were present in the kiln fills, which included alder, hazel, Maloideae, cherries and elder. Identifiable charcoal was absent from context (696).

## Gullies and linear features

4.6 Most of the gully and linear fills comprised small quantities of charcoal. Species represented were alder, hazel, ash, holly, Maloideae, cherries, oak and willow/poplar. In addition, linear (1279) included 3 small fragments of yew charcoal.

Pits
4.7 Several pit fills comprised small quantities of charcoal. Alder, birch, heather, hazel, ash, cherries, oak, willow/poplar and elm, were recorded.

## Souterrain

4.8 All of the souterrain fills comprised low to moderate quantities of charcoal, with hazel, ash and oak, being most frequently recorded. Alder, holly, cherries and willow/poplar were present at lower frequencies.

Occupation deposit
4.9 A few fragments of charcoal were identified from the occupation deposit (119). These were alder, hazel, ash, oak and elder.

## Discussion

## Early medieval landscape

4.10 All of the charcoal species are native to Ireland and were probably available in the local landscape. Oak and hazel were abundant, with oak probably forming highcanopy woodland with ash and elm, while hazel would have grown in the understorey vegetation, by the woodland margins or in scrub, with the other small trees and shrubs such as cherries and Maloideae. Holly was also occasionally recorded, which is a common understorey shrub of oak woodland (Preston et al. 2002). Alder would have favoured wetland habitats, for example in carr or along riverbanks. Willow and poplar charcoal cannot be differentiated with certainty (Hather 2000), and therefore the fragments of Salicaceae charcoal may derive from willows growing in similar wetland areas to the alders, or poplar trees which would have thrived on moist soils in mixed, deciduous woodland (Preston et al. 2002). A small amount of birch was recorded, the different species of which cannot be differentiated on the basis of charcoal morphology (Hather 2000). The species native to Ireland are downy birch (Betula pubescens) and silver birch (Betula pendula). Downy birch favours acidic,
wet soils, and could have grown in riverside vegetation with alder and willow, while silver birch grows on light, well-drained soils (Preston et al. 2002). Elder was relatively frequently recorded on the site. This synanthropic shrub or small tree, thrives on the nitrogen-rich soils associated with human habitation (Gale \& Cutler 2000), and is an aggressive pioneer, able to quickly colonise derelict ground (White et al. 2005). Yew was recorded in a single context (Linear fill 1279), which is an evergreen tree of generally well-drained soils (Preston et al. 2002). It grows in mixed deciduous woods on limestone (ibid.). Heather also favours well-drained soils, and may have grown in open woodland, or on areas of nearby heath.
4.11 The frequencies of charcoal species in the different phases of occupation of Area A and Area B are presented in Figures 4.2 and 4.3, respectively. In general, the charcoal assemblages from the different phases show a similar pattern, with oak and hazel predominant throughout the occupation of the site. Elder and ash were frequently recorded in phases 3 and 4 in Area A, and in the earlier phases in Area B. Both are colonizers of open, waste ground (Preston et al. 2002) which suggests that areas of the local woodland had been cleared. Pollen analysis of Phase 2 and 3 ditch fills in Enclosure 1 reflected an open landscape strongly influence by human activity, with alder growing in wetland areas, and hazel forming scrub. Regional woodland comprised oak, and to a lesser extent elm and birch (Archaeological Services 2008g).


Figure 4.2: The frequency of charcoal species in Area A by phase


Figure 4.3: The frequency of charcoal species in Area B by phase

## Uses of the wood

4.12 The presence of charred grain, animal bone and industrial residues suggests that many of the features on the site were used for the disposal of waste from domestic and industrial activities (section 3 and 5; O'Hara 2007). Therefore, many of the charcoal assemblages reflect the wood species used for fuel on the site. Many of the charcoal fragments were too small to differentiate if they were from roundwood (branchwood) or timber (stemwood), but in general, roundwood was more often identified, suggesting that twigs, branches and young stems were gathered, in preference to felling mature trees to provide fuel. Alder, hazel, Maloideae, cherries, oak and elder were recorded in the kiln fills, which suggests that a diverse range of species were used as fuel for cereal-drying. In addition, some of the fragments may derive from timber and brushwood structures within the kiln, on which the grain was placed to allow efficient drying. Alder, hazel and oak were recorded in the hearth fills, however only small quantities of charcoal were recovered from these features, and it is likely that an equally diverse range of fuels was used.
4.13 The charcoal assemblages are also likely to include burnt building materials. Ash and oak were important structural timbers (O’Donnell 2007; Stuijts 2007), while hazel was traditionally used for wattling, due to the flexibility of the young stems (Orme \& Coles 1985). A yew stave was among the wooden artefacts identified at Roestown 2 (O'Hara 2007), which illustrates the uses of this dense, tough, elastic wood. Yew also makes good firewood (O’Donnell 2007).
4.14 Heather charcoal was present in 2 contexts, and may represent the burnt remains of ropes, brooms, bedding, thatch or baskets (Gale \& Cutler 2000). Heather may also have been used for kindling.
4.15 The fills from the souterrain were dominated by hazel, ash and oak roundwood charcoal, with some fragments measuring between $2-3 \mathrm{~cm}$ diameter. This charcoal may represent domestic fuel waste, or may be the remains of burnt wattle structures.

## 5. Cremated bone analysis

## Methods

5.1 Burnt bone was recovered from 151 contexts, which had a total weight of 1261.9 g . Each context was passed through a nest of sieves, with mesh sizes of $10 \mathrm{~mm}, 5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 2 mm (McKinley 2004). Each fraction was weighed and the largest fragment of bone was measured.

## Results and interpretation

5.2 Summary data for each context is presented in Appendix 3.1, and the fraction weights per context are given in Appendix 3.2.
5.3 The weight of burnt bone per context ranged from $<0.1 \mathrm{~g}$ to 99.5 g (Appendix 3.1). The latter was context (649), from ditch (649). The second heaviest context was (115), from ditch (168), and the third was context (1285), the fill of pit (1287). However, in general the weight of bone in each context was small, averaging 8.4 g per context, and with 94 contexts ( $62.3 \%$ ) weighing $<5.0 \mathrm{~g}$.
5.4 Almost two-thirds of the burnt bone $(818.8 \mathrm{~g})$ came from ditches (Table 5.1), and just over half of this material came from five ditches: (168), (649), (164), (113) and (102). Pits yielded 152.0 g of burnt bone, most of which came from pits (1287) and (1294). Kilns contained 91.0 g of burnt bone, 87.3 g of which came from cereal drying kiln (677). A moderate amount of burnt bone (70.6g) was also found in linear features, but no individual feature contained a particularly large quantity of bone (the largest was context (1276) from linear (574), at 18.2 g ). Burnt bone was also found in smaller quantities in gullies, postholes, hearths, deposits, topsoil, the souterrain, a spread, furrow, metalled surface, the fill of a burial, and an occupation fill (Table 5.1).

Table 5.1: Cremated bone from different context types

| Context Type | Number of <br> contexts | Weight of burnt bone |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| g | \% |  |  |
| Ditches | 87 | 818.8 | 64.9 |
| Linear features | 18 | 70.6 | 5.6 |
| Gullies | 2 | 4.9 | 0.4 |
| Pits | 10 | 152.0 | 12.0 |
| Postholes | 3 | 15.2 | 1.2 |
| Kilns | 8 | 91.0 | 7.2 |
| Hearths | 3 | 9.3 | 0.7 |
| Souterrain | 5 | 8.6 | 0.7 |
| Deposits | 2 | 5.7 | 0.5 |
| Topsoil | 3 | 52.1 | 4.1 |
| Other | 10 | 33.7 | 2.7 |
| Total | 151 | 1261.9 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0}$ |

5.5 Over half the contexts ( $57.6 \%$ ) were only slightly fragmented, with most of the material in the largest sieved fraction, and most of the remainder were moderately fragmented (Appendix 3.2). In general, the lightest contexts were more severely fragmented, and the heavier contexts were less so. The maximum fragment size ranged from 3.4 mm to 64.5 mm , the latter occurring in context (108) from ditch (113). Large fragments ( $>50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) were also present in seven other contexts, mainly from ditches, but also context (655) from kiln (677), and context (400) (topsoil). Although some of the lightest contexts contained small maximum fragments, many contexts had maximum fragments of moderate size, and the mean was 27.6 mm .
5.6 The colour of the bone fragments varied between contexts, and often a range of colours was present within the same context suggesting diverse burning conditions (Appendix 3.1). Dark brown and black colouration occurs when the bone is charred at low temperatures (below $c .300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) or when there is a severe oxygen restriction (McKinley 2004). Dark grey and grey colours occur when bone is partially oxidised, indicating temperatures of between $c .300-600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and/or a restricted oxygen supply (ibid.). A buff or white colour occurs when bone is completely oxidised, after exposure to temperatures greater than $c .600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a plentiful supply of oxygen (ibid.). Ninety contexts (59.6\%) contained bone that was largely white or pale grey in colour, indicating full oxidation. A small number of contexts contained charred bone that was mainly black and brown. The remainder all contained partially oxidised bone, or a mixture of charred, partially oxidised and fully oxidised bone.
5.7 All fragments were examined with a view to identification. Forty-one contexts contained definite fragments of animal bone, and a further 26 contexts contained fragments of possible animal bone (Appendix 3.1). These included the fills of several ditches (including the 5 which yielded the greatest quantity of burnt bone), 3 pits (including the two heaviest), 2 cereal-drying kilns, several linear features, the backfill deposits and floor levels of the souterrain, a hearth/ firespot, posthole, deposit, occupation fill, and topsoil. Unfortunately, none of the remaining contexts contained any identifiable bone, and it was not possible to determine whether the bone was human or animal.
5.8 Eighty-three contexts contained small fragments of unburnt bone (Appendix 3.3), 57 of which included fragments of animal or possible animal bone. Bone in the remaining contexts could not be identified to species. The identifiable animal bone is listed in Appendix 3.4 and included pig, sheep-goat, cattle, horse, dog, bird and small mammal.
5.9 Context (144), from ditch (113), contained a small broken piece of burnt bone (12.0 x $10.4 \times 7.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) weighing 0.8 g . On the flat unbroken surface it had a small central hole, surrounded by a circular groove $c .3 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. Two further arced grooves could have been part of additional larger concentric circles surrounding the central hole. These grooves extended to the broken edges of the bone fragment. A fragment of clay pipe was present in context (963), from ditch (945). It is recommended that an artefact specialist examines both objects.

## 6. Mollusc analysis

## Methods

6.1 The sediment samples from the site were processed to 500 microns by the excavator. Shell remains recovered from 20 deposits ( 24 samples) were submitted for analysis of the assemblages present. The submitted material was examined for mollusc remains and these were identified as closely as possible with reference to published works (main sources Cameron 2003, Cameron \& Redfern 1976, Ellis 1969, Hayward \& Ryland 1995; Kerney 1999, Kerney \& Cameron 1979). The quantities of identifiable remains were very small so that where minimum numbers of individuals could be readily determined counts were recorded (based on numbers of shell apices for snails and of sided-valves for bivalves) for any identifiable remains. Nomenclature for
terrestrial forms follows Kerney (1999), marine taxa follow Hayward \& Ryland (1995).

## Results

6.2 Small quantities of poorly preserved remains of terrestrial snails and marine shellfish were recovered from 20 contexts at this site - all bar one of the deposits (Context 507, deposit sealing floor of souterrain) being ditch fills. Details of the submitted remains are presented in Appendix 4.1 by context and sample number.

## Discussion

6.3 Almost all of the land snails recovered were of the catholic taxa Cepaea/Arianta sp . and of no value for ecological reconstruction - the exception being a single apex fragment of Vitrea crystallina/V. contracta from Context (507) (also widespread and found in a wide variety of terrestrial habitats).
6.4 Marine shell was present in 10 deposits (all ditch fills) but only in trace amounts. All of the remains were of edible shellfish, including periwinkle, whelk, cockle and ?oyster, but the remains were too few to be of interpretative value beyond indicating importation of these food resources from the coast (approximately 35 kilometres away).

## 7. Coprolite analysis

## Methods

7.1 Two fragments of possible coprolite recovered from the lower topsoil were submitted for assessment. A small subsample from each of the possible coprolite fragments was examined using the 'squash' technique of Dainton (1992). This was undertaken to assess the content of eggs of intestinal parasitic nematodes but routinely reveals other microfossils, such as pollen and diatoms, and, if present, these are also noted. The assessment slides were scanned at 150 x magnification with 600 x used where necessary.

## Results

7.2 Archaeological information, provided by the excavator, is given in square brackets.

## Context 120 [lower topsoil]

Sample 4 (two possible coprolite fragments; total weight 18 g )
The fragments had a somewhat porous surface appearance and did indeed resemble faecal concretion. However, both of the 'squash' subsamples were wholly inorganic and no eggs of intestinal parasites or other identifiable microfossil remains were seen.

## Discussion

7.3 On examination, the submitted material proved not to be faecal in nature. It was perhaps simply mineral concretion, but its curious surface appearance (with numerous small 'pores') suggested that it may perhaps be very degraded pot (?prehistoric), with the temper inclusions leached from the matrix leaving the somewhat porous structure. No further study of the submitted material is required.
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Appendix 1.1: Plant macrofossil data from Enclosure 1 ditch fills - phased contexts

[c-cultivated plant; h-heath; t-tree; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.2: Plant macrofossil data from Enclosure 1 ditch fills - unphased contexts

| Area |  | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase |  | 3 a | 3b | 3b | 3b | 3c | 3c | 3c |
| Context |  | 549 | 548 | 1299 | 1312 | 542 | 545 | 546 |
| Sample |  | 318 | 305 | 310 | 309 | 306, 307 | 307 | 304 |
| Feature |  | F550 | F1319 | F1319 | F1319 | F770 | F770 | F770 |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Bone (unburnt) |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Hammerscale |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Clinker |  | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 |
| Earthworm cocoons |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Semi-vitrified fuel waste |  | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 2 |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Chenopodium album (Fat-hen) | seed | - | 64 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| (a) Fallopia convulvulus (Black Bindweed) | nutlet | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | large grain | - | 112 | - | - | 52 | 82 | 184 |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | small grain | - | 140 | - | - | 36 | 66 | 188 |
| (c) Avena strigosa / sativa (Cultivated Oats) | floret base | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | grain | - | 196 | - | - | 38 | 102 | 276 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | rachis segment | - | 8 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate (Relative abundance) | grain fragment | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) | grain | - | 276 | - | - | 54 | 36 | 116 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) | rachis segment | - | 32 | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) | rachis internode | - | - | - | - | - | - | 8 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Hulled Barley) | grain | - | 32 | - | - | 8 | 10 | 24 |
| (c) Triticum aestivum (Bread Wheat) | rachis node | - | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | 8 |
| (c) Triticum spp (Wheat species) | grain | - | 36 | - | - | 14 | 34 | 44 |
| (r) Lapsana communis (Nipplewort) | achene | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 4 |
| (r) Persicaria maculosa (Redshank) | nutlet | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (x) Brassica spp (Cabbages) | seed | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (x) Cenococcum geophilum (Soil Fungus) | sclerotia | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| (x) Chenopodium spp (Goosefoot) | seed | - | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| (x) Lamiaceae undiff. (Mint family) | nutlet | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| (x) Rumex spp (Dock) | nutlet | - | 4 | - | - | 2 | 2 | - |

[a-arable weed; c-cultivated plant; r-ruderal; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.3: Plant macrofossil data from Enclosure 2 ditch fills

[c-cultivated plant; t-tree]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.4: Plant macrofossil data from Enclosure 3 ditch fills

[c-cultivated plant; t-tree; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.5: Plant macrofossil data from Enclosure 4, 5, 7, 8 and 9 ditch fills

| Area | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 6 a | 6 a | 6 a | 1a | 1a | 2 | 3 a | 3 a | 4 a | 4 a | 4b |
| Context | 135 | 136 | 158 | 224 | 287 | 137 | 227 | 229 | 186 | 197 | 194 |
| Sample | 76 | 77 | 116 | 61 | 107 | 32 | 87 | 85 | 115 | 53 | 51 |
| Feature | F134 | F134 | F134 | F225 | F288 | F172 | F230 | F230 | F187 | F196 | F195 |
| Enclosure | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) | - | - | - | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bone (calcined) | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Bone (unburnt) | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Burnt / cracked stones | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - |
| Charcoal | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Flint - unworked (total number) | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Molluscs | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tooth | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal | - | - | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Clinker | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Modern roots | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Vegetative material (uncharred) | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) large grain | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate grain | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate (Relative abundance) | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) grain | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| (t) Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn) fruitstone frag. | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[c-cultivated plant; t-tree]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.6: Plant macrofossil data from Enclosure 10, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 16 ditch fills

[c-cultivated plant; r-ruderal; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.7: Plant macrofossil data from hearths and firespots

| Area |  | A? | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context |  | 256 | 1078 | 1089 | 1308 | 1309 |
| Sample |  | 70 | 255 | 265 | 284 | 285 |
| Feature |  | Firespot | Firespot or hearth F1076 | Firespot or hearth F1077 | Hearth <br> F1311 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hearth } \\ & \text { F1311 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (calcined) |  | - | 1 | 1 | - | - |
| Charcoal |  | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - |
| Hammerscale |  | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) |  | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Charcoal |  | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Clinker |  | - | - | 2 | 1 | - |
| Heather twigs (charred) |  | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Insect |  | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Modern roots |  | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| Semi-vitrified fuel waste |  | 1 | 2 | - | 1 | - |
| Tubers / rhizomes (charred) |  | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Uncharred seeds |  | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Fallopia convulvulus (Black Bindweed) | nutlet | 4 | 5 | 6 | - | - |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | floret base | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | large grain | 82 | 22 | 18 | 452 | 768 |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | small grain | 154 | 251 | 50 | 744 | 1248 |
| (c) Avena strigosa / sativa (Cultivated Oats) | floret base | 2 | - | - | 4 | 24 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | grain | 6 | 34 | 90 | 68 | 16 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate (Relative abundance) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { grain } \\ \text { fragment } \end{array}$ | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) | grain | 22 | - | 78 | 84 | 176 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Hulled Barley) | grain | 12 | 67 | 18 | 4 | 24 |
| (c) Secale cereale (Rye) | grain | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| (c) Secale cereale (Rye) | rachis segment. | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| (c) Triticum spp (Wheat species) | grain | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| (r) Persicaria maculosa (Redshank) | nutlet | - | 3 | 16 | 4 | - |
| (w) Carex spp (Sedges) | trigonous nutlet | - | - | 2 | - | - |
| (w) Cyperaceae undiff. (Sedge family) | nutlet | 2 | - | - | - | - |
| (x) Chenopodium spp (Goosefoot) | seed | - | - | 2 | 4 | - |
| (x) Prunella vulgaris (Selfheal) | achene | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| (x) Rumx spp (Dock) | nutlet | 2 | 2 | - | 4 | - |
| Indeterminate | seed | - | - | 4 | - | - |

[a-arable weed; c-cultivated plant; $r$-ruderal; w-wetland; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.8: Plant macrofossil data from kilns

| Context |  | 655 | 656 | 670 | 674 | 696 | 697 | 777 | 830 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample |  | 149, 172, 173, 220 | 155, 171 | 174 | 260 | 160 | 149 | 200 | 197 |
| Feature |  | Kiln F677 | Kiln F677 | Kiln F677 | Kiln F677 | Kiln F698 | Kiln F698 | Kiln F776 | Kiln F832 |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) |  | 3 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - |
| Bone (unburnt) |  | 3 | 3 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Charcoal |  | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Flint - unworked (total number) |  | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Hammerscale |  | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bone (unburnt) |  | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Burnt clay |  | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Charcoal |  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Clinker |  | - | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| Heather twigs (charred) |  | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 |
| Modern roots |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Semi-vitrified fuel waste |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Tubers / rhizomes (charred) |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Uncharred seeds |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) Fallopia convulvulus Black Bindweed | nutlet | - | - | - | - | 16 | - | 32 | 16 |
| (c) Avena fatua (Wld Oat) | floret base | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | large grain | - | 5 | - | - | 200 | 14 | 1520 | 960 |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | small grain | - | 2 | - | - | 536 | 15 | 2192 | 1280 |
| (c) Avena strigosa / sativa (Cultivated Oats) | floret base | - | - | - | - | - | - | 64 | 96 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | grain | 2 | 1 | - | - | 96 | 12 | 320 | 544 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | culm nodes | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate (Rel abund) | fragment | - | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate (Relative abundance) | twisted awn fragment | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) | grain | - | - | 1 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 112 | 624 |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Barley species) | rachis segment | - | - | - | - | 64 | - | - | - |
| (c) Hordeum spp (Hulled Barley) | grain | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | 16 | 192 |
| (r) Galeopsis spp (Hemp-nettle) | nutlet | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| (r) Persicaria maculosa (Redshank) | nutlet | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | 48 | - |
| (r) Plantago lanceolata (Ribwort Plantain) | seed | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| (r) Polygonum aviculare Knotgrass | nutlet | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| (t) Corylus avellana (Hazelnut) | fragment | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (x) Chenopodium spp (Goosefoot) | seed | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | 16 |
| (x) Rumx spp (Dock) | nutlet | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| Indeterminate | seed | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - |

[a-arable weed; c-cultivated plant; r-ruderal; t -tree; x -wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

[c-cultivated plant; t-tree; x-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.10: Plant macrofossil data from linears and gullies - Area B

[c-cultivated plant; h-heath; r-ruderal; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.11: Plant macrofossil data from pits - Area A

| Phase | 6 a | 6 a ? | 6 a ? | 6 a ? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 175 | 209 | 210 | 211 |
| Sample | 15 | 62 | 63 | 64 |
| Feature | Pit F178 | Pit F212 | Pit F212 | Pit F212 |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Burnt / cracked stones | - | - | - | 1 |
| Charcoal | - | - | - | 1 |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal | 1 | 1 | 2 | - |
| Modern roots | - | 2 | 2 | - |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) large grain | - | - | 1 | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate grain | - | 1 | - | - |

[c-cultivated plant]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.12: Plant macrofossil data from pits - Area B

[a-arable weed; c-cultivated plant; $x$-wide niche]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 1.13: Plant macrofossil data from the souterrain

| Phase |  | 2a | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context |  | 507 | 500 | 506 | 512 | 513 | 514 | 518 |
| Sample |  | - | 132 | 103 | 179 | 177 | 178 | 183 |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) |  | 2 | - |  |  |  |  | - <br>  <br> - |
| Burnt / cracked stones |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal |  | 2122 | 3- | 3-- | 3-- | 3--- | $3$ | 2--- |
| Insect |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Modern roots |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vegetative material (uncharred) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | small grain | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | grain | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate (Relative abundance) | grain fragment | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

[c-cultivated plant]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)
Appendix 1.14: Plant macrofossil data from other features

|  |  | A | A | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase |  | 3? | ? | ? |
| Context |  | 119 | 165 | 1515 |
| Sample |  | 69 | 10 | 330 |
| Feature |  | Occupation deposit | Grave | Colluvial spread |
| Residue matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |
| Bone (burnt) |  | 3 | 1 | - |
| Bone (unburnt) |  | - | - | 1 |
| Charcoal |  | 1 | - | 1 |
| Flot matrix (relative abundance) |  |  |  |  |
| Charcoal |  | 2 | 1 | - |
| Modern roots |  | 3 | 2 | - |
| Tubers / rhizomes (charred) |  | 1 | - | - |
| Uncharred seeds |  | 1 | - | - |
| Vegetative material (uncharred) |  | 1 | - | - |
| Charred remains (total number) |  |  |  |  |
| (c) Avena spp (Oat species) | small grain | 2 | - | - |
| (c) Cerealia indeterminate | grain | 2 | - | - |
| (c) Triticum spp (Wheat species) | grain | 1 | - | - |

[c-cultivated plant]. Relative abundance is based on a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest)

Appendix 2.1: Charcoal data from Enclosure 1 ditch fills

| Area | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1a | 2a | 2b | 2b | 2c | 2c | 3 a | 3 | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Context | 427 | 535 | 643 | 800 | 566 | 858 | 570 | 448 | 545 | 549 | 1299 | 1312 |
| Sample | 236 | 259 | 232 | 227 | 228 | 231 | 107, 209 | 131, 146 | 307 | 318 | 310 | 309 |
| Feature | F405 | F404 | F642 | F642 | F1104 | F1104 | F571 | F450 | F770 | F550 | F1319 | F1319 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 1.882 | 0.862 | 2.397 | 0.126 | 11.737 | 0.182 | 7.782 | 3.132 | 0.159 | 2.256 | 1.347 | 5.173 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 1.882 | 0.862 | 2.397 | 0.126 | 11.737 | 0.182 | 7.782 | 3.132 | 0.159 | 1.824 | 1.347 | 5.173 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1 | 6 | 32 | 4 | 91 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 24 | 8 | 23 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.397 \\ (5 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.034 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.626 \\ & (6 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.116 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 4.134 \\ & (22 F) \end{aligned}$ |
| Calluna vulgaris (Heather) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.105 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Corylus / Alnus (Hazel / Alder) | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.027 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | $\begin{gathered} 1.882 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.179 \\ (8 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.047 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.177 \\ & (19 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.071 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.642 \\ & (7 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.108 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.446 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Fraxinus excelsior (Ash) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.008 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.009 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.349 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.092 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.140 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.048 \\ & (3 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.892 \\ & (5 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Maloideae (Hawthorn, whitebeams, apple group) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.062 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.024 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Prunus spp (Cherries) | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.066 \\ & (3 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 2.979 \\ (5 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.465 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.251 \\ (7 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.070 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.715 \\ & (15 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.057 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.262 \\ & (17 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.455 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Salicaceae (Willow or poplar) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.083 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ |  | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.018 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 1.039 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Sambucus nigra (Elder) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 1.712 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 5.939 \\ & (33 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.019 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bark | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.039 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified >4mm fraction | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.035 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.665 \\ (9 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified < 4 mm fraction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.432 | - | - |

$F=$ number of charcoal fragments.

Appendix 2.2: Charcoal data from Enclosure 2 ditch fills

| Area | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 1a | 1b | 2 | 2 |
| Context | 131 | 252 | 109 | 220 |
| Sample | 83 | 67 | 66 | 82 |
| Feature | F282 | F250 | F102 | F102 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 0.031 | 0.059 | 0.026 | 0.037 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 0.031 | 0.059 | 0.026 | 0.037 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | $\begin{gathered} 0.015 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.026 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.016 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - |
| Salicaceae (Willow or poplar) | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |

$\mathrm{F}=$ number of charcoal fragments.

Appendix 2.3: Charcoal data from Enclosure 3 ditch fills

| Area | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 3 a | 3b | 3b | 3b | 3b | 3b | 4 a | 4 a | 4 a | 4 a | 4 a | 4 a |
| Context | 108 | 107 | 110 | 144 | 145 | 151 | 106 | 111 | 112 | 150 | 160 | 161 |
| Sample | 50, 237 | 58 | 47 | 49 | 88 | 48 | 44 | 46, 54 | 43, 57 | 45 | 75 | 60 |
| Feature | F113 | F239 | F239 | F239 | F239 | F239 | F114 | F114 | F114 | F114 | F164 | F164 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 6.371 | 1.929 | 0.809 | 49.757 | 15.123 | 3.076 | 2.581 | 5.701 | 0.069 | 0.095 | 1.338 | 0.11 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 71 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 6.371 | 1.929 | 0.809 | 34.67 | 13.818 | 2.205 | 1.902 | 5.701 | 0.069 | 0.095 | 1.338 | 0.11 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 44 | 8 | 3 | 107 | 128 | 20 | 24 | 24 | 3 | 3 | 21 | 5 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.831 \\ & (17 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | 0.511 (8F) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.549 \\ & (12 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.085 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.165 \\ & (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.259 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | $\begin{gathered} 0.152 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.576 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.777 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.181 \\ & (20 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | 0.338 (5F) | $\begin{gathered} 0.369 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.430 \\ & (12 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.217 \\ (1 F) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.429 \\ (9 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Fraxinus excelsior (Ash) | $\begin{gathered} 0.205 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 0.571 (9F) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.545 \\ & (26 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.941 \\ & (16 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.029 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.046 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.101 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Ilex aquifolium (Holly) | - | - | - | 0.115 (2F) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Maloideae (Hawthorn, whitebeams, apple group) | $\begin{gathered} 0.025 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | 0.185 (1F) | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prunus spp (Cherries) | $\begin{gathered} 0.487 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 0.113 (1F) | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.578 \\ & (3 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.019 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.536 \\ & (17 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.178 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 27.665 \\ (56 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.024 \\ (82 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.751 \\ & (14 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.685 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.284 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.014 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.030 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.544 \\ & (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.055 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ |
| Salicaceae (Willow or poplar) | - | - | - | 2.155 (6F) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.026 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Sambucus nigra (Elder) | $\begin{gathered} 0.069 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.129 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | 0.039 (2F) | 0.064 (1F) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.044 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.099 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.055 \\ & (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Ulmus sp (Elm) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.128 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Bark | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | 0.380 (3F) | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Unidentified > 4mm fraction | $\begin{gathered} 0.066 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.032 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | 0.053 (1F) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified <4mm fraction |  | - | - | 1.121 | 1.305 | 0.871 | 0.679 | - | - | - | - | - |

$F=$ number of charcoal fragments.

| Phase | A/6a | A/1a | A/1a | A/2 | A/4a |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 136 | 224 | 287 | 137 | 186 |
| Sample | 77 | 61 | 107 | 32 | 115 |
| Feature | F134 | F225 | F288 | F172 | F187 |
| Enclosure | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 9 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 0.02 | 0.065 | 0.325 | 1.494 | 0.031 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 0.02 | 0.065 | 0.325 | 1.494 | 0.031 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 1 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.325 \\ & (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.065 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.021 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.020 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 1.447 \\ (9 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Diffuse porous | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.031 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ring porous | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.026 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |

Appendix 2.5: Charcoal data from Enclosure 11, 14, 15 and 16 ditch fills

| Phase | B/1a | B/1b | B/2a | B/2a | B/2d | B/2d | B/2d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 1023 | 931 | 747 | 987 | 648 | 713 | 996 |
| Sample | 294 | 217, 250 | 257 | 256 | 270 | 271 | 199 |
| Feature | F1025 | F901 | F748 | F900 | F649 | F684 | F959 |
| Enclosure | 11 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 0.077 | 0.054 | 0.128 | 0.024 | 0.148 | 0.113 | 0.068 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 0.077 | 0.054 | 0.128 | 0.024 | 0.148 | 0.113 | 0.068 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.022 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.128 \\ & (5 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Fraxinus excelsior (Ash) | $\begin{gathered} 0.077 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.045 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Ring porous | - | - | - |  | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.076 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Bark | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.024 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Unidentified > 4 mm fraction | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.081 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.068 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |

$\mathrm{F}=$ number of charcoal fragments.

| Area | A? | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 256 | 1089 | 1308 | 655 | 656 | 670 | 674 | 697 | 777 | 830 |
| Sample | 70 | 265 | 284 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 149,172, \\ & 173.220 \end{aligned}$ | 155, 171 | 174 | 260 | 159 | 200 | 197 |
| Feature | Firespot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hearth } \\ & \text { F1077 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hearth } \\ \text { F1311 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kiln F677 | Kiln F677 | Kiln F677 | Kiln F677 | Kiln F698 | Kiln F776 | Kiln F832 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 2.438 | 0.108 | 0.028 | 0.266 | 0.044 | 0.023 | 4.764 | 0.322 | 0.036 | 0.153 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 10 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 2.438 | 0.108 | 0.028 | 0.266 | 0.044 | 0.023 | 4.764 | 0.322 | 0.036 | 0.153 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 52 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | $\begin{aligned} & 2.438 \\ & (52 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.606 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Corylus / Alnus (Hazel / Alder) | ( | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.145 \\ (1 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.028 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Maloideae (Hawthorn, whitebeams, apple group) | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.191 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Prunus spp (Cherries) | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.043 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | - | 0.108 (1F) | - | - | - |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.305 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Sambucus nigra (Elder) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.044 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 2.853 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Diffuse porous |  | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.032 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | (1) | - | (6) | - | - | - |
| Unidentified $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ fraction |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.177 \\ (3 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.153 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |

$F=$ number of charcoal fragments.

Appendix 2.7: Charcoal data from linears and gullies

| Area | A | A | A | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 6b | 6b | 6b | 1a | 1a | 6 | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Context | 126 | 138 | 279 | 824 | 1111 | 598 | 619 | 620 | 689 | 1276 | 1279 | 1281 |
| Sample | 33 | 90 | 94 | 219 | 239 | 296 | 152 | 169 | 154 | 283 | 297 | 287 |
| Feature | $\begin{gathered} \text { Linear } \\ \text { F219 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linear } \\ & \text { F283 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linear } \\ & \text { F280 } \end{aligned}$ | Curviline ar gully F825 | Gully | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain } \\ & \text { F557 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain } \\ & \text { F622 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Drain } \\ & \text { F622 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Linear } \\ \text { F607 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Linear } \\ \text { F574 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linear } \\ & \text { F1279 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Linear } \\ & \text { F1282 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 0.043 | 0.329 | 0.102 | 0.061 | 0.44 | 1.345 | 6.249 | 1.617 | 2.806 | 4.228 | 8.466 | 2.195 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 0.043 | 0.329 | 0.102 | 0.061 | 0.44 | 1.345 | 6.249 | 1.22 | 2.806 | 4.228 | 6.759 | 2.195 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 2 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 10 | 59 | 43 | 29 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.220 \\ & (13 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.056 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Corylus / Alnus (Hazel / Alder) | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.047 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.025 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.069 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.032 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.023 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.123 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Fraxinus excelsior (Ash) | $\begin{gathered} 0.018 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.075 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 1.345 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.321 \\ (8 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.299 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ |
| Ilex aquifolium (Holly) | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.048 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.715 \\ (9 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Maloideae (Hawthorn, whitebeams, apple group) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.062 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.057 \\ (1 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Prunus spp (Cherries) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.020 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | (1F) | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.510 \\ (9 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.178 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.313 \\ & (2 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 6.249 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 2.208 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.677 \\ & (44 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.909 \\ & (29 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.716 \\ & (10 F) \end{aligned}$ |
| Salicaceae (Willow or poplar) | - | (3F) | $\begin{gathered} 0.027 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.552 \\ (5 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.381 \\ (3 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Sambucus nigra (Elder) |  | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.038 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - |
| Taxas baccata (Yew) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.079 \\ \text { (3F) } \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{-}$ |
| Ring porous | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | ( | $\begin{gathered} 0.166 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Bark | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.031 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Unidentified > 4mm fraction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.095 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Unidentified < 4mm fraction | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0.397 | - | ( | 1.707 | - |

$F=$ number of charcoal fragments.

Appendix 2.8: Charcoal data from pits

| Area | A | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 6 a | ? | 4 | 4 | 4 | ? | ? | ? | ? |
| Context | 175 | 365 | 421 | 422 | 522 | 610 | 1285 | 1295 | 1296 |
| Sample | 15 | 326 | 166 | 165 | 180 | 163 | 288 | 300, 336 | 299 |
| Feature | Pit F178 | Pit F364 | Pit F411 | Pit F411 | Pit F523 | Pit F611 | Pit F1287 | Pit F1294 | Pit F1294 |
| Charcoal (g/number of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 0.099 | 0.759 | 0.572 | 4.798 | 0.072 | 0.688 | 3.679 | 2.601 | 3.466 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ (g) | 0.099 | 0.759 | 0.572 | 1.403 | 0.072 | 0.688 | 3.679 | 2.601 | 2.379 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 3 | 20 | 6 | 30 | 2 | 12 | 78 | 22 | 36 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.214 \\ (5 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 1.403 \\ & (30 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.688 \\ & (12 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.238 \\ (5 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Betula spp (Birch) | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.277 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Calluna vulgaris (Heather) | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.904 \\ & (18 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.572 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Fraxinus excelsior (Ash) | $\begin{gathered} 0.099 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.053 \\ & (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.043 \\ & \text { (11F) } \end{aligned}$ | - |
| Prunus spp (Cherries) | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.026 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.024 \\ & (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 2.207 \\ & (52 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.558 \\ & \text { (11F) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.247 \\ & (34 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ |
| Salicaceae (Willow or poplar) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Ulmus sp (Elm) | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.132 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ |
| Bark | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.414 \\ & (11 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified > 4mm fraction | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.107 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified < 4mm fraction | - | - | - | 3.395 | - | - | - | - | 1.087 |

$F=$ number of charcoal fragments.

Appendix 2.9: Charcoal data from the souterrain and occupation deposit

| Area | B | B | B | B | B | B | B | A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Phase | 4 | 4 | 2a | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 ? |
| Context | 500 | 506 | 507 | 512 | 513 | 514 | 518 | 119 |
| Sample | 132 | 103 | 1 | 179 | 177 | 178 | 183 | 69 |
| Feature | Souterrai n | Souterrai n | Souterrai n | Souterrai n | Souterrai n | Souterrai n | Souterrai n | Occupatio n deposit |
| Charcoal ( $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{number}$ of fragments) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total charcoal (g) | 2.118 | 4.303 | 2.286 | 11.16 | 10.298 | 15.987 | 1.735 | 0.349 |
| Percentage of sample analysed | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total charcoal analysed >4mm (g) | 2.118 | 4.303 | 2.286 | 10.741 | 10.298 | 15.531 | 1.735 | 0.349 |
| Number of analysed charcoal fragments $>4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | 22 | 35 | 10 | 52 | 56 | 58 | 14 | 8 |
| Alnus glutinosa (Alder) | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.161 \\ (1 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.666 \\ (8 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - | 0.089 (1F) |
| Corylus avellana (Hazel) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.557 \\ & \text { (10F) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.142 \\ & (34 \mathrm{~F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.314 \\ (3 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.888 \\ (4 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.961 \\ & (21 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.676 \\ & (21 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.450 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | 0.031 (1F) |
| Fraxinus excelsior (Ash) | $\begin{gathered} 1.068 \\ (9 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 1.853 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.574 \\ (5 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.802 \\ & (31 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.806 \\ (6 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.285 \\ & (12 F) \end{aligned}$ | 0.129 (4F) |
| Ilex aquifolium (Holly) | ( | - | (6) | ( | $\begin{gathered} 0.159 \\ (1 F) \end{gathered}$ | (6) | ( | - |
| Prunus spp (Cherries) | $\begin{gathered} 0.154 \\ (1 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Quercus sp (Oak) | $\begin{gathered} 0.339 \\ (2 F) \end{gathered}$ | - | $\begin{gathered} 0.119 \\ (1 F) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.968 \\ & (32 F) \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.254 \\ & (29 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | 0.069 (1F) |
| Salicaceae (Willow or poplar) | - | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.376 \\ & (3 F) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.795 \\ (2 \mathrm{~F}) \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| Sambucus nigra (Elder) | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | 0.031 (1F) |
| Bark | - | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.645 \\ & (3 F) \end{aligned}$ | - | - | - | - |
| Unidentified < 4mm fraction | - | - | - | 0.419 | - | 0.456 | - | - |

$F=$ number of charcoal fragments.

Appendix 3.1: Summary of cremated bone remains

| Context | Context Detail | Bone Colour | Species | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 41 | ? | Brown \& dark grey to pale grey, white | Unknown | 5.3 |
| 101 | Fill of (102) | Buff/ white, some mid/ dark grey, black | Animal | 32.3 |
| 106 | Fill of ditch (114) | Buff/ white | Unknown | 3.8 |
| 107 | Fill of ditch (113) | Buff/ white, some mid/ dark grey | Animal? | 24.3 |
| 108 | Fill of ditch (113) | Black \& brown, some white | Animal | 10.5 |
| 109 | Fill of (102) | Pale grey, white, some black \& brown | Animal? | 19.5 |
| 110 | Fill of ditch (114) | White, some mid/ dark grey | Animal? | 11.7 |
| 111 | Fill of ditch (114) | White, pale grey, some dark grey/ black | Animal? | 5.5 |
| 112 | Fill of ditch (114) | Buff/ white | Unknown | 8.2 |
| 115 | Fill of ditch (168) | White, pale grey, some mid/ dark grey | Animal | 96.4 |
| 118 | Fill of ditch (168) | White, some mid/ dark grey, black | Animal | 20.0 |
| 119 | Occupation fill | White, pale grey | Animal? | 2.2 |
| 120 | Lower topsoil | White, pale grey | Unknown | 15.1 |
| 126 | Fill of linear (219) | White, pale grey, some black | Animal? | 4.5 |
| 130 | Fill of ditch (113) | White, pale grey | Animal | 4.7 |
| 131 | Fill of (282) | White, pale grey, some mid/ dark grey | Animal | 13.6 |
| 135 | Fill of ditch (134) | White | Unknown | 0.5 |
| 136 | Fill of ditch (134) | White | Unknown | 2.0 |
| 137 | Fill of ditch (172) | White | Animal | 2.7 |
| 138 | Fill of linear (283) | Black, grey, white | Unknown | 0.7 |
| 140 | Fill of ditch (285) | White | Unknown | 2.1 |
| 144 | Fill of ditch (113) | White, pale grey, mid \& dark grey | Animal | 15.4 |
| 145 | Fill of ditch (113) | Brown \& black, dark grey, pale grey, white | Animal | 6.2 |
| 150 | Fill of ditch (114) | White, some mid \& dark grey | Unknown | 4.8 |
| 151 | Fill of ditch (113) | White, pale grey, pink | Animal | 13.6 |
| 152 | Fill of ditch (113) | White | Unknown | 1.0 |
| 158 | Fill of ditch (134) | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 160 | Fill of ditch (164) | White, pale grey | Animal | 30.8 |
| 161 | Fill of ditch (164) | Brown \& black, pale grey, white | Animal | 27.1 |
| 162 | Fill of ditch (164) | White, pale grey | Animal | 24.2 |
| 163 | ? | White, pale grey | Animal | 4.5 |
| 165 | Fill of Burial 1 | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 167 | ? | White | Animal? | 0.4 |
| 175 | Fill of 13th century pit (178) in Enclosure | White, some dark grey, black \& brown | Animal? | 15.8 |
| 186 | Fill of ditch (187) | White, some dark grey \& black | Animal? | 3.6 |
| 191 | Fill of linear (238) | White | Unknown | 4.1 |
| 192 | Fill of ditch (230) | White | Unknown | 1.8 |
| 194 | Fill of ditch (195) | White | Unknown | 0.1 |
| 205 | Fill of linear (205) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 0.6 |
| 209 | Fill of pit (212) | White, pale grey, some dark grey | Unknown | 2.9 |
| 210 | Fill of pit (212) | White | Unknown | 0.4 |
| 211 | Fill of pit (212) | White | Unknown | 0.1 |

Appendix 3.1: continued

| Context | Context Detail | Bone Colour | Species | Weight <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 220 | Fill of (102) | Black \& brown, some white | Unknown | 8.4 |
| 221 | Fill of ditch (282) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 1.7 |
| 223 | Metalled surface | White, some dark grey \& black | Unknown | 5.6 |
| 224 | Fill of ditch (225) | White, pale grey | Animal? | 2.6 |
| 227 | Fill of ditch (230) | Black, some white | Animal | 17.7 |
| $227 / 228$ | Fill of ditch (230)? | White | Unknown | 0.8 |
| 229 | Fill of ditch (230) | White, pale grey, some dark grey | Animal | 1.6 |
| 233 | Fill of linear (234) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 2.4 |
| 252 | Fill of ditch (250) | White, black | Unknown | 1.9 |
| 253 | ? | White, pale grey | Unknown | 7.9 |
| 255 | Deposit | White | Animal? | 3.2 |
| 256 | Firespot/ hearth | White, pale grey, some mid \& dark grey, black | Animal? | 8.8 |
| 261 | Fill of ditch (272) | Dark, mid \& pale grey, some white | Animal | 14.6 |
| 269 | Fill of ditch (102) | White, pale grey | Animal? | 6.4 |
| 273 | Fill of linear (274) | White, pale grey, some mid \& dark grey | Animal? | 4.7 |
| 275 | Fill of ditch (276) | White | Unknown | 0.1 |
| 279 | Fill of ditch (280) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 5.9 |
| 287 | Fill of ditch (288) | Black, white, pale grey | Animal? | 6.6 |
| 365 | Fill of pit (364) | White | Unknown | 0.1 |
| 400 | Topsoil | White, pale grey | Animal | 34.9 |
| 401 | Lower topsoil | White | Unknown | 2.1 |
| 413 | Fill of ditch (405) | White | Animal | 6.9 |
| 414 | Fill of ditch (405) | White | Unknown | 5.4 |
| 418 | Fill of ditch (404) | White, pale grey | Animal | 1.6 |
| 420 | Fill of ditch (404) | White | Unknown | 4.7 |
| 424 | Fill of ditch (405) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 1.0 |
| 431 | Fill of ditch (404) | White | Animal | 15.7 |
| 436 | Fill of ditch (450) | White, mid grey to black | Unknown | 5.9 |
| 437 | Fill of ditch (450) | White | Unknown | 0.4 |
| 439 | Fill of ditch (450) | White, part black | Unknown | 0.6 |
| 442 | Fill of ditch (450) | White | Unknown | 3.2 |
| 447 | Fill of ditch (450) | White, pale grey, black | Animal? | 11.8 |
| 448 | Fill of ditch (450) | White, pale grey | Animal? | 1.6 |
| 455 | Fill of ditch (450) | White | Unknown | 0.6 |
| 472 | Fill of ditch (405) | White, pale grey | Animal? | 2.0 |
| 476 | Fill of ditch (450) | White | Unknown | 0.6 |
| 482 | Fill of ditch (450) | White, pale \& mid grey | Unknown | 6.7 |
| 483 | Fill of ditch (450) | White | Unknown | 1.4 |
| 484 | Fill of ditch (450) | Pale, mid \& dark grey | Unknown | 0.5 |
| 490 | Fill of ditch (404) | White | Unknown | 2.5 |

Appendix 3.1: continued

| Context | Context Detail | Bone Colour | Species | Weight (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 500 | Backfill deposits + floor levels in souterrain, chamber 1, passage 1 | White | Animal | 1.0 |
| 506 | Fill of souterrain, chamber 1 | White, pale grey | Unknown | 1.9 |
| 507 | Deposit sealing floor of souterrain | White | Unknown | 0.4 |
| 512 | Backfill deposits + floor levels in souterrain | White, some mid grey | Animal | 5.2 |
| 518 | Backfill deposits + floor levels in souterrain | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 542 | Fill of ditch (770) | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 549 | Lowest fill of ditch (550) | Buff/ white | Unknown | 10.5 |
| 566 | Fill of ditch | Black \& brown, dark to pale grey, white | Animal | 53.8 |
| 570 | Curvilinear ditch (571) | Black \& brown, dark to pale grey, white | Animal? | 19.4 |
| 580 | Fill of linear (581) | White, pale grey | Animal? | 1.0 |
| 590 | Fill of gully (591) | White, dark grey | Unknown | 1.9 |
| 605 | ? | White | Animal | 1.0 |
| 608 | Fill of linear (607) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 8.6 |
| 619 | Fill of pit (611) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 4.7 |
| 620 | Fill of ditch (622) | Black, brown, white | Animal? | 12.7 |
| 624 | Fill of ditch (660) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 5.0 |
| 634 | Fill of posthole (638) | White, pale grey | Animal | 7.4 |
| 635 | Fill of posthole (638) | Dark, mid \& pale grey, some white | Animal | 7.7 |
| 636 | Fill of posthole (637) | White | Unknown | 0.1 |
| 639 | Stone deposit | White, pale grey | Unknown | 2.5 |
| 643 | Fill of ditch (642) | White, pale grey, mid \& dark grey | Animal | 25.6 |
| 647 | Fill of ditch (649) | White | Unknown | 1.1 |
| 648 | Fill of ditch (649) | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 649 | Fill of ditch (649) | Brown, black, dark to pale grey, white | Animal | 99.5 |
| 654 | Cereal drying kiln (677) | Brown, dark grey, pale grey, white | Animal | 12.6 |
| 655 | Cereal drying kiln (677) | Brown \& black, some white | Animal | 52.0 |
| 656 | Cereal drying kiln (677) | Black, mid \& pale grey, some white | Animal | 20.8 |
| 663 | Fill of furrow (664) | White | Unknown | 3.5 |
| 670 | Cereal drying kiln (677) | Dark, mid \& pale grey | Animal? | 1.9 |
| 674 | Fill of ditch (675) | White | Unknown | 1.9 |
| 676 | Fill of ditch (672) | White | Unknown | 3.5 |
| 689 | Fill of linear feature | Black, dark to pale grey, white | Animal? | 2.0 |
| 696 | Fill of cereal drying kiln (698) | White, pale grey | Animal | 0.5 |

Appendix 3.1: continued

| Context | Context Detail | Bone Colour | Species | Weight <br> (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 697 | Fill of cereal drying kiln (698) | White | Unknown | 0.1 |
| 736 | Fill of ditch (735) | White | Unknown | 1.8 |
| 747 | Fill of ditch (748) | Black, some white | Unknown | 0.5 |
| 777 | Cereal drying kiln (776) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 0.3 |
| 782 | Fill of pit (778) | White | Unknown | 2.3 |
| 800 | Fill of ditch (642) | White, pale grey, black | Unknown | 1.1 |
| 818 | Fill of linear (819) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 2.6 |
| 820 | Fill of ditch (821) | White | Unknown | 2.9 |
| 824 | Curvilinear (825) | White | Animal? | 0.3 |
| 826 | Fill of linear (827) | Grey, pale grey, white | Unknown | 0.2 |
| 830 | Fill of kiln (832) | White | Unknown | 2.8 |
| 858 | Fill of ditch (1104) | White, pale to mid grey, black | Animal | 4.1 |
| 907 | Fill of linear (904) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 4.1 |
| 931 | Fill of ditch (901) | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 963 | Fill of ditch (945) | White, pale grey | Animal | 11.3 |
| 987 | Fill of ditch (900) | Black, grey, white | Unknown | 0.6 |
| 1078 | Fill of hearth (1076) | White | Unknown | <0.1 |
| 1089 | Fill of hearth (1077) | White | Unknown | 0.4 |
| 1111 | Fill of gully (1112) | White | Unknown | 3.0 |
| 1184 | Fill of ditch (735) | White, grey | Unknown | 0.2 |
| 1201 | Fill of ditch (1065) | White | Animal | 9.3 |
| 1208 | Fill of ditch (550) | White, some brown \& black | Unknown | 13.8 |
| 1254 | Fill of linear (1255) | White | Animal? | 1.1 |
| 1266 | Fill of linear (660) | White | Unknown | 0.7 |
| 1269 | Fill of ditch (1267) | White | Unknown | 0.8 |
| 1270 | Fill of ditch (1267) | White, pale grey | Unknown | 2.8 |
| 1273 | Fill of linear (1271) | Mid to pale blue-grey, white | Unknown | 2.1 |
| 1276 | Fill of linear (574) | Dark to pale grey, white | Animal | 18.2 |
| 1281 | Fill of linear (1282) | Grey, pale grey, white | Animal | 12.7 |
| 1285 | Fill of pit (1287) | Brown \& black, dark to pale grey, white | Animal | 76.1 |
| 1291 | Fill of ditch (1290) | White, black | Animal | 4.7 |
| 1295 | Fill of pit (1294) | White, pale to dark grey, some black \& brown | Animal | 14.9 |
| 1296 | Fill of pit (1294) | White, pale \& mid grey | Animal | 34.7 |
| 1334 | Fill of ditch (1336) | White | Unknown | 2.7 |
| 1362 | Fill of ditch (1361) | White | Unknown | 1.0 |
| 1482 | Localised spread | White, pale grey | Unknown | 3.2 |

Appendix 3.2: Burnt bone fraction weights and fragment size

| Context | Total Weight$\mathbf{g}$ | Fraction Weights |  |  |  |  |  | Max. Frag Size mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $>10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | 2-5mm |  |  |
|  |  | g | \% | g | \% | g | \% |  |
| 41 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 94.3 | 0.3 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.7 |
| 101 | 32.3 | 19.2 | 59.4 | 12.0 | 37.2 | 1.1 | 3.4 | 40.0 |
| 106 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 97.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 30.8 |
| 107 | 24.3 | 18.7 | 77.0 | 5.1 | 21.0 | 0.5 | 2.1 | 51.0 |
| 108 | 10.5 | 8.5 | 81.0 | 1.9 | 18.1 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 64.5 |
| 109 | 19.5 | 11.2 | 57.4 | 6.0 | 30.8 | 2.3 | 11.8 | 36.6 |
| 110 | 11.7 | 7.6 | 65.0 | 3.5 | 29.9 | 0.6 | 5.1 | 34.1 |
| 111 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 45.5 | 2.7 | 49.1 | 0.3 | 5.5 | 26.2 |
| 112 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 95.1 | 0.3 | 3.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 | 39.0 |
| 115 | 96.4 | 25.8 | 26.8 | 59.1 | 61.3 | 11.5 | 11.9 | 43.4 |
| 118 | 20.0 | 10.5 | 52.5 | 9.1 | 45.5 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 36.9 |
| 119 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 40.9 | 1.3 | 59.1 | 14.7 |
| 120 | 15.1 | 10.1 | 66.9 | 5.0 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.8 |
| 126 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 86.7 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 0.2 | 4.4 | 36.0 |
| 130 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 36.2 | 2.8 | 59.6 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 30.6 |
| 131 | 13.6 | 6.8 | 50.0 | 6.6 | 48.5 | 0.2 | 1.5 | 30.1 |
| 135 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.2 |
| 136 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 95.0 | 0.1 | 5.0 | 27.1 |
| 137 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 70.4 | 0.6 | 22.2 | 0.2 | 7.4 | 21.0 |
| 138 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 57.1 | 0.3 | 42.9 | 12.7 |
| 140 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 76.2 | 0.5 | 23.8 | 16.9 |
| 144 | 15.4 | 8.8 | 57.1 | 5.8 | 37.7 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 32.2 |
| 145 | 6.2 | 4.3 | 69.4 | 1.4 | 22.6 | 0.5 | 8.1 | 31.6 |
| 150 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 54.2 | 2.0 | 41.7 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 24.5 |
| 151 | 13.6 | 6.8 | 50.0 | 6.5 | 47.8 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 29.1 |
| 152 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.3 |
| 158 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 5.0 |
| 160 | 30.8 | 17.4 | 56.5 | 12.9 | 41.9 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 32.3 |
| 161 | 27.1 | 20.7 | 76.4 | 6.0 | 22.1 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 61.6 |
| 162 | 24.2 | 20.7 | 85.5 | 3.2 | 13.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 45.2 |
| 163 | 4.5 | 0.9 | 20.0 | 3.6 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.6 |
| 165 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 4.8 |
| 167 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 50.0 | 0.2 | 50.0 | 18.3 |
| 175 | 15.8 | 11.4 | 72.2 | 4.0 | 25.3 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 44.3 |
| 186 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 80.6 | 0.5 | 13.9 | 0.2 | 5.6 | 43.1 |
| 191 | 4.1 | 2.1 | 51.2 | 2.0 | 48.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.4 |
| 192 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.7 |
| 194 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7.2 |
| 205 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 |
| 209 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 89.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 10.3 | 49.2 |
| 210 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 10.7 |
| 211 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7.0 |
| 220 | 8.4 | 3.6 | 42.9 | 4.5 | 53.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 27.7 |
| 221 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 82.4 | 0.3 | 17.6 | 18.7 |

Appendix 3.2: continued

| Context | Total Weight | Fraction Weights |  |  |  |  |  | Max. Frag Size mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | >10mm |  | $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | 2-5mm |  |  |
|  |  | g | mm | g | \% | g | \% |  |
| 223 | 5.6 | 5.3 | 94.6 | 0.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.0 |
| 224 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 96.2 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 27.2 |
| 227 | 17.7 | 16.5 | 93.2 | 1.1 | 6.2 | $<0.1$ | 0.6 | 47.3 |
| 227/228 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.3 |
| 229 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 37.5 | 0.6 | 37.5 | 0.4 | 25.0 | 20.4 |
| 233 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 83.3 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 0.2 | 8.3 | 35.0 |
| 252 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 94.7 | $<0.1$ | 5.3 | 18.5 |
| 253 | 7.9 | 6.9 | 87.3 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.8 |
| 255 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 81.3 | 0.6 | 18.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.8 |
| 256 | 8.8 | 2.2 | 25.0 | 6.5 | 73.9 | 0.1 | 1.1 | 23.1 |
| 261 | 14.6 | 10.5 | 71.9 | 3.7 | 25.3 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 34.6 |
| 269 | 6.4 | 4.3 | 67.2 | 0.9 | 14.1 | 1.2 | 18.8 | 45.8 |
| 273 | 4.7 | 3.8 | 80.9 | 0.7 | 14.9 | 0.2 | 4.3 | 22.0 |
| 275 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 6.7 |
| 279 | 5.9 | 3.9 | 66.1 | 1.8 | 30.5 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 43.9 |
| 287 | 6.6 | 4.4 | 66.7 | 1.3 | 19.7 | 0.9 | 13.6 | 40.9 |
| 365 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 10.8 |
| 400 | 34.9 | 18.5 | 53.0 | 16.1 | 46.1 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 53.6 |
| 401 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 90.5 | 0.2 | 9.5 | 18.5 |
| 413 | 6.9 | 5.4 | 78.3 | 1.4 | 20.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 31.9 |
| 414 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.0 |
| 418 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.1 |
| 420 | 4.7 | 4.7 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 41.3 |
| 424 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| 431 | 15.7 | 15.6 | 99.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 0.6 | 56.7 |
| 436 | 5.9 | 5.8 | 98.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 1.7 | 57.5 |
| 437 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.0 |
| 439 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 12.7 |
| 442 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.9 |
| 447 | 11.8 | 8.5 | 72.0 | 3.3 | 28.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.6 |
| 448 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 31.3 | 1.0 | 62.5 | 0.1 | 6.3 | 18.6 |
| 455 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.8 |
| 472 | 2.0 | 1.2 | 60.0 | 0.8 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.0 |
| 476 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 14.0 |
| 482 | 6.7 | 4.2 | 62.7 | 2.5 | 37.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 30.2 |
| 483 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.3 | 92.9 | 0.1 | 7.1 | 18.3 |
| 484 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.5 |
| 490 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.0 |
| 500 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| 506 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.3 |
| 507 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 75.0 | 0.1 | 25.0 | 10.8 |
| 512 | 5.2 | 1.6 | 30.8 | 3.6 | 69.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.5 |
| 518 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 5.8 |
| 542 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 6.6 |

Appendix 3.2: continued

| Context | Total Weight$\mathbf{g}$ | Fraction Weights |  |  |  |  |  | Max. Frag Size mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $>10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | 2-5mm |  |  |
|  |  | g | mm | g | \% | g | \% |  |
| 549 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 91.4 | 0.9 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 34.0 |
| 566 | 53.8 | 42.6 | 79.2 | 11.1 | 20.6 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 40.8 |
| 570 | 19.4 | 18.2 | 93.8 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 0.4 | 2.1 | 36.9 |
| 580 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 25.4 |
| 590 | 1.9 | 1.8 | 94.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 5.3 | 22.9 |
| 605 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 |
| 608 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 48.8 | 4.1 | 47.7 | 0.3 | 3.5 | 37.4 |
| 619 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 97.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 26.2 |
| 620 | 12.7 | 11.3 | 89.0 | 1.4 | 11.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 |
| 624 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 37.4 |
| 634 | 7.4 | 5.0 | 67.6 | 2.3 | 31.1 | <0.1 | 1.4 | 38.0 |
| 635 | 7.7 | 5.3 | 68.8 | 2.3 | 29.9 | $<0.1$ | 1.3 | 27.7 |
| 636 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.9 |
| 639 | 2.5 | 1.6 | 64.4 | 0.9 | 35.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.4 |
| 643 | 25.6 | 19.6 | 76.6 | 5.1 | 19.9 | 0.9 | 3.5 | 39.4 |
| 647 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.6 |
| 648 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 5.3 |
| 649 | 99.5 | 81.6 | 82.0 | 17.5 | 17.6 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 55.0 |
| 654 | 12.6 | 11.6 | 92.1 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.2 |
| 655 | 52.0 | 42.5 | 81.7 | 6.7 | 12.9 | 2.8 | 5.4 | 50.4 |
| 656 | 20.8 | 14.3 | 68.8 | 4.5 | 21.6 | 2.0 | 9.6 | 34.1 |
| 663 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.8 |
| 670 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 78.9 | 0.4 | 21.1 | 17.0 |
| 674 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 73.7 | 0.3 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 10.5 | 24.0 |
| 676 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 80.0 | 0.7 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.0 |
| 689 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 70.0 | 0.6 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.3 |
| 696 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 40.0 | 0.3 | 60.0 | 11.3 |
| 697 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 100.0 | 7.7 |
| 736 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 |
| 747 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 80.0 | <0.1 | 20.0 | 13.2 |
| 777 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 9.0 |
| 782 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 78.3 | 0.5 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 17.0 |
| 800 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 90.9 | 0.1 | 9.1 | 21.8 |
| 818 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.7 |
| 820 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| 824 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.2 |
| 826 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 7.3 |
| 830 | 2.8 | 1.7 | 60.7 | 0.7 | 25.0 | 0.4 | 14.3 | 20.2 |
| 858 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 46.3 | 2.0 | 48.8 | 0.2 | 4.9 | 22.0 |
| 907 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 92.7 | 0.3 | 7.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.2 |
| 931 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 3.4 |
| 963 | 11.3 | 4.7 | 41.6 | 4.8 | 42.5 | 1.8 | 15.9 | 42.5 |
| 987 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 83.3 | 0.1 | 16.7 | 10.9 |
| 1078 | <0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 100.0 | 8.0 |

Appendix 3.2: continued

| Context | Total Weight <br> g | Fraction Weights |  |  |  |  |  | Max. Frag Size <br> mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | >10mm |  | $5-10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |  | 2-5mm |  |  |
|  |  | g | mm | g | \% | g | \% |  |
| 1089 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 50.0 | 0.2 | 50.0 | 10.9 |
| 1111 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 |
| 1184 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.7 |
| 1201 | 9.3 | 9.3 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 31.1 |
| 1208 | 13.8 | 13.1 | 94.9 | 0.7 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 43.3 |
| 1254 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 63.6 | 0.4 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.4 |
| 1266 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 85.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 14.3 | 23.1 |
| 1269 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 23.6 |
| 1270 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 96.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 3.6 | 28.2 |
| 1273 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 95.2 | 0.1 | 4.8 | 18.7 |
| 1276 | 18.2 | 17.5 | 96.2 | 0.4 | 2.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 46.4 |
| 1281 | 12.7 | 7.0 | 55.1 | 5.1 | 40.2 | 0.6 | 4.7 | 20.6 |
| 1285 | 76.1 | 29.9 | 39.3 | 37.9 | 49.8 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 43.2 |
| 1291 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 97.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | <0.1 | 2.1 | 30.5 |
| 1295 | 14.9 | 8.3 | 55.7 | 6.2 | 41.6 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 45.3 |
| 1296 | 34.7 | 16.3 | 47.0 | 17.6 | 50.7 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 40.0 |
| 1334 | 2.7 | 1.2 | 44.4 | 1.5 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 22.4 |
| 1362 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.4 |
| 1482 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 93.8 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 26.2 |

Appendix 3.3: Contexts containing unburnt bone

| Context | Species | Context | Species |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 106 | Animal | 365 | Animal? |
| 107 | Animal | 400 | Unknown |
| 108 | Animal | 448 | Unknown |
| 109 | Animal | 507 | Animal |
| 110 | Animal? | 508 | Animal? |
| 111 | Animal | 518 | Animal |
| 112 | Animal | 521 | Animal |
| 119 | Animal | 522 | Unknown |
| 125 | Animal | 545 | Unknown |
| 126 | Unknown | 546 | Unknown |
| 131 | Animal | 548 | Unknown |
| 135 | Animal | 570 | Animal |
| 136 | Animal | 590 | Animal? |
| 137 | Animal | 643 | Animal |
| 138 | Unknown | 648 | Animal |
| 140 | Animal | 652 | Unknown |
| 144 | Animal | 655 | Animal |
| 145 | Animal | 656 | Animal |
| 150 | Animal | 696 | Unknown |
| 151 | Animal | 697 | Animal? |
| 158 | Animal | 713 | Unknown |
| 160 | Animal | 744 | Animal |
| 161 | Animal | 747 | Animal |
| 162 | Animal | 777 | Animal |
| 165 | Unknown | 800 | Animal |
| 175 | Unknown | 818 | Unknown |
| 176 | Unknown | 824 | Unknown |
| 186 | Unknown | 826 | Animal |
| 194 | Animal | 858 | Animal |
| 197 | Animal | 931 | Unknown |
| 209 | Animal | 987 | Unknown |
| 210 | Unknown | 996 | Unknown |
| 211 | Animal | 1023 | Animal? |
| 220 | Animal? | 1097 | Unknown |
| 221 | Animal | 1111 | Animal? |
| 223 | Unknown | 1201 | Animal |
| 229 | Animal | 1276 | Animal? |
| 252 | Animal | 1281 | Animal |
| 273 | Animal | 1285 | Animal |
| 275 | Unknown | 1296 | Unknown |
| 279 | Animal | 1515 | Unknown |
| 287 | Animal |  |  |

Appendix 3.4: Identifiable animal bone

| Context | Species | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101 | Pig | Tooth (burnt) |
| 106 | Pig | Maxilla (unburnt) |
| 108 | Pig | Mandible (unburnt); tooth (unburnt); metapodial (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat - size | Rib (unburnt) |
| 109 | Cattle | Tooth (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 111 | Sheep / goat | Jaw (unburnt) |
| 112 | Bird | Phalanx (unburnt) |
|  | Cattle | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 115 | Cattle-size | Tooth root (burnt); $2^{\text {nd }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx (burnt); ulna (burnt); intermediate carpal (burnt |
| 118 | Cattle | $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
| 119 | Cattle-size | Thoracic vertebra (unburnt) |
|  | Horse | $3^{\text {rd }}$ phalanx (unburnt) |
| 125 | Cattle | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 128 | Pig | $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ phalanges (unburnt) |
|  | Small mammal | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 131 | Cattle | Tooth (unburnt) |
|  | Pig | Pubis (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Metatarsal (unburnt) |
| 140 | Sheep / goat | Mandible (unburnt) |
| 144 | Dog | Tooth (unburnt) |
|  | Pig | Occipital (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Metapodial (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat-size | Femur (burnt) |
| 145 | Cattle | Tarsal juvenile (burnt) |
| 150 | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 151 | Sheep / goat | Scapula (burnt) |
| 158 | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 161 | Cattle-size | Thoracic vertebra (burnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 162 | Cattle | Tooth (unburnt) x 2; metapodial (unburnt); radius (burnt) |
|  | Pig | $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Calcaneum (burnt) |
| 173 | Pig | Occipital (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Radial carpal (unburnt) |
| 194 | Sheep / goat | Radius (unburnt); metacarpal (unburnt) |
| 197 | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 211 | Pig | Sacrum (unburnt) |
|  | Cattle | Tooth - deciduous incisor (unburnt) |
| 227 | Pig | Ischium (burnt) |
| 229 | Pig | Tooth (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Scapula (unburnt) |
| 232 | Cattle | Astragalus (burnt) |
|  | Domestic fowl-size | Ulna (burnt) |
| 252 | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 256 | Pig | $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
| 261 | Cattle | $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
| 279 | Cattle | Sesamoid (unburnt) |
| 287 | Sheep / goat | Astragalus (unburnt) |

Appendix 3.4: continued

| Context | Species | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 400 | Sheep / goat | Thoracic vertebra (burnt) |
| 431 | Cattle | Ulna (burnt) |
| 500 | Cattle | Sesamoid (burnt) |
| 507 | Small mammal | Abundant (unburnt) |
| 518 | Small mammal | Abundant (unburnt) |
| 521 | Bird | Phalanx (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Calcaneum (unburnt) |
|  | Small mammal | Abundant (unburnt) |
| 566 | Pig | $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Mandible (burnt) |
| 570 | Cat / dog-size | Astragalus (unburnt) |
|  | Cattle | Metatarsal (unburnt) |
|  | Pig | Tooth (unburnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 620 | Sheep / goat | Occipital (burnt) |
| 634 | Pig | $2^{\text {nd }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
| 649 | Cattle | Humerus (burnt) |
| 654 | Sheep / goat | Vertebra (burnt) |
| 655 | Cattle | Mandible (burnt) |
| 656 | Cattle-size | Occipital (unburnt) |
|  | Pig | Mandible (unburnt) |
| 696 | Pig | Tooth (burnt) |
| 744 | Small mammal | Tooth (unburnt) |
| 747 | Pig | Humerus (unburnt) |
| 826 | Sheep / goat | Radius (unburnt) |
| 1201 | Cat / dog-size | Rib (unburnt) |
|  | Pig | Metatarsal (burnt) |
| 1276 | Cattle-size | Vertebra (burnt) |
| 1281 | Pig | $1^{\text {st }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
| 1285 | Sheep / goat | Calcaneum (burnt) |
|  | Small mammal | Abundant (unburnt) |
| 1291 | Sheep / goat-size | Vertebra (burnt) |
| 1295 | Possibly pig | Femoral head (burnt); lacrimal (burnt) |
| 1296 | Pig | $2^{\text {nd }}$ phalanx (burnt) |
|  | Sheep / goat | $2^{\text {nd }}$ phalanx (burnt) |

Appendix 4.1: Roestown 2, County Meath, Republic of Ireland: Details of submitted mollusc remains. Key: $\mathrm{m}=$ many ( $>50$ fragments); vm = very many ( $>200$ fragments); an asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ denotes that the weight includes that of some adhering sediment.

| Context | Context description | Sample | Approximate no. fragments Iflakes | Maximum fragment size $/ \mathrm{mm}$ | Weight Ig | Identified remains and notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 108 | Fill of ditch C113 | 2 | m | 25 | 4 | 1 Cepaea/Arianta sp. (10 larger shell fragments); 1 periwinkle (Littorina littorea (L.)) fragment |
| 111 | Fill of ditch C114 | 17 | m | 20 | 2* | 1 Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
| 135 | Fill of ditch C134 | 76 | 51 | 10 | <1 | 1 land snail apex |
| 135 | Fill of ditch C134 | 80 | 2 | 23 | 7* | 2 Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
| 136 | Fill of ditch C134 | 23 | 30 | 23 | 8* | 3 Cepaea/Arianta sp.; 1 Cepaea ?nemoralis (L.) |
| 158 | Fill of ditch C134 | 24 | 5 | 23 | 7* | 5 Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
| 160 | Fill of ditch C164 | 30 | vm | 55 | 24* | soft and disintegrating marine shell in sediment - probably oyster (Ostrea edulis L.) |
| 161 | Fill of ditch C164 | 109 | 1 | 26 | 6 | small whelk - probably juvenile common whelk (Buccinum undatum L.). |
| 162 | Fill of ditch C164 | 28 | 25 | 30 | 4* | marine shell in sediment - probably oyster (Ostrea edulis L.) |
| 162 | Fill of ditch C164 | 111 | 2 | 17 | 4 | both fragments (including 1 apex) representing 1 periwinkle (Littorina littorea (L.)) |
| 162 | Fill of ditch C164 | 112 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 1 fragment of ?common whelk (cf. Buccinum undatum L.) |
| 186 | Fill of ditch C187 | 29 | vm | 42 | 10 | flakes of very soft and disintegrating marine shell - probably oyster (Ostrea edulis L.) |
| 229 | Fill of ditch C230 | 105 | 1 | 24 | 2 | 1 Cepaea ?nemoralis (L.) - looks modern |
| 412 | Fill of ditch C405 | 195 | 1 | 25 | 3 | 1 common cockle (Cerastoderma edule (L.)) valve fragment |
| 412 | Fill of ditch C405 | 249 | 15 | 15 | 1 | 1 Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
| 415 | Fill of ditch C404 | 144 | 8 | 21 | 1 | 1 Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
| 425 | Fill of ditch C405 | 233 | vm | 16 | 1 | 1 land snail apex |
| 440 | Fill of ditch C450 | 196 | vm | 39 | 9 | very soft and disintegrating marine shell - probably oyster (Ostrea edulis L.) |
| 469 | Fill of ditch C404 | 248 | vm | 45 | 14 | 3 larger fragments and very many mm-flakes probably all from 1 indeterminate side oyster (Ostrea edulis L.) valve |
| 491 | Fill of ditch C404 | 252 | vm | 24 | 11 | 8 CepaealArianta sp. |
| 507 | Deposit in souterrain | ? | 2 | 4 | $<1$ | 1 Vitrea crystallina (Müller)/V. contracta (Westerlund) apex fragment; 1 unidentified land snail apex fragment |
| 535 | Fill of ditch C404 | 258 | vm | 17 | 3* | 6 larger fragments including 1 apex of Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
| 598 | Fill of ditch C557 | 295 | 2 | 22 | 3* | 2 Cepaea ?nemoralis (L.) - look modern |
| 771 | Fill of ditch C770 | 316 | 1 | 23 | 2* | 1 Cepaea/Arianta sp. |
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## 1. Summary

## The project

1.1 An excavation was undertaken by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd at Roestown 2, Co Meath, Ireland. This report presents the results of pollen analysis of two samples taken from the site.

## Results

1.2 Contexts (484) and (490) contained a relatively diverse range of pollen, principally derived from herbaceous taxa, with grasses and cereal -type pollen well represented, and also some arboreal taxa, including alder, birch and hazel.

## 2. Project background

## Location and background

2.1 An excavation was undertaken by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd at Roestown 2, Co Meath, Ireland. This site consists of a series of successive early medieval enclosures with associated field systems. There were also tentative indications of prehistoric settlement. This report presents the results of pollen analysis of two samples from: context (484), enclosure ditch Phase 3; and context (490), enclosure ditch - Phase 2A.

## Objective

2.2 The objective was to provide information about the land use, agricultural activities and palaeoenvironment at the site.

## Dates

2.3 Samples were received by Archaeological Services Durham University in February 2008. Analysis and report preparation were conducted in July 2008.

## Personnel

2.4 Sample preparation was carried out by Mr Bryan Atkinson. Dr Mairead Rutherford carried out the pollen analysis and compiled the report.

## Archive

2.5 The licence number is A008/002 (E3055). The samples are currently at the Environmental Laboratory at Archaeological Services Durham University awaiting collection or return.

## 3. Method

3.1 Pollen was extracted from one ml of each sediment sample. A single Lycopodium spore tablet from batch 307862 was added to each sample before processing (the average number of spores per tablet from this batch is 13,500 ). The samples were pre-treated with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid to remove any carbonates before undergoing sodium hydroxide digestion. The residue was then sieved through a $125 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ sieve and over a $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ mesh. After being washed in distilled water, the samples were heated in an acetylation mixture of acetic anhydride and sulphuric acid. The samples were washed again before the pollen was separated from the mineral matrix using a heavy liquid technique, with sodium polytungstate at a density of $1.95 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$. The extracted pollen was then dehydrated using industrial methalated spirits followed by tertiary butyl alcohol.
3.2 The pollen was mounted in silicone fluid and examined at $\times 500$ magnification. Identification of pollen and spores was undertaken by comparison with modern reference material, using Moore et al (1991) as a guide. Plant taxonomic nomenclature follows Stace (1997). A minimum number of 500 pollen grains were counted for both samples.

## 4. Results

4.1 Contexts (484) and (490) contained a relatively diverse range of pollen, principally derived from herbaceous taxa, with grasses and cereal -type pollen well represented. Relatively small amounts of tree pollen are present in both samples. Context (490) yields abundant fungal spores associated with human habitation. Microscopic charcoal is present in both samples. The results of the pollen analysis are presented in Table 1.

## 5. Discussion

5.1 Context (484) contains abundant grass and cereal pollen. Within this open grassland environment, meadow flowers such as daisies, thistles and dandelions grew. Weeds associated with cultivated land are present (e.g. plantains and dock). The disturbed ground indicator, Artemisia, is also recorded. Tree pollen is represented mainly by alder, which probably grew in wetter areas. Regional tree pollen includes oak and, to a lesser extent, elm and birch. Evidence for some hazel scrub is also present.
5.2 Context (490) is dominated by Artemisia spp. A range of herb taxa include plantains, daisies, dandelions and grasses. Arboreal pollen is present as for context (484). The microfossil assemblage from context (490) is also of interest, because of the abundance of fungal spores recorded. Type 7A (Chaetomium sp.) is most common, which are cellulose-decomposing fungi
occurring on e.g. plant remains, fibres and dung. Apart from occurrence in natural habitats, Chaetomium spores appear to be linked to archaeological sites (Van Geel 2006), for example in settlements where dung, damp straw, clothing and leather may have been available as substrates.
5.3 The pollen and spore assemblages from both samples suggest a landscape strongly influenced by human activity, including cereal cultivation. The low number of arboreal pollen grains relative to herbaceous grains reflects a landscape that has been widely cleared of woodland, a practice that was undertaken intensively from the later Iron Age in Ireland (Mitchell \& Ryan 1997). The microscopic charcoal is likely to represent a residual background level associated with habitation, although some may derive from 'slash and burn' clearance of nearby scrub or heathland.

## 6. Sources

Mitchell \& Ryan 1997 Reading the Irish Landscape, Dublin
Moore, P D, Webb, J A, \& Collinson, M E, 1991 Pollen analysis, 2nd edition Oxford
Stace, C, 1997 New Flora of the British Isles, 2nd Edition, Cambridge
Van Geel, B 2006 Fossil ascomycetes in Quaternary deposits Nova Hedwigia 82 (3-4), 313-329

Table 1: Data from pollen assessment [frequency: p - present ]

| Phase | 3 | 2A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 484 | 490 |
| Sample | 189 | 193 |
| Volume processed (ml) | 1 | 1 |
| Charcoal | p | p |
| Lycopodium spores | 46 | 32 |
| Pollen/spores (absolute counts): Arboreal taxa |  |  |
| Alnus (Alder) | 17 | 5 |
| Betula (Birch) | 3 | 2 |
| Ulmus (Elm) | 1 | 2 |
| Quercus (Oak) | 5 | 1 |
| Corylus (Hazel) | 13 | 11 |
| Salix (Willow) | - | 3 |
| Sambucus (Elder) | 2 | 7 |
| Herbaceous taxa |  |  |
| Apiaceae (Carrot family) | - | 2 |
| Artemisia (Mugwort) | 21 | 297 |
| Asteraceae (Daisy family) Cirsium (Thistles) | 1 | 1 |
| Asteraceae (Daisy family) Lactuceae | 2 | 4 |
| Serratula-type (Daisy family) | - | 1 |
| Taraxacum-type (Dandelions) | 15 | 8 |
| Brassicaceae (Cabbage family) | 5 | 50 |
| Calluna vulgaris (Heather) | 1 | 7 |
| Cereal -type | 112 | 29 |
| Chenopodiaceae (Goosefoot family) | 1 | 8 |
| Caryophyllaceae (Pinks family) | 1 | 2 |
| Plantago lanceolata -type (Ribwort Plantain type) | 9 | 2 |
| Plantago major-media (Greater Plantain) | 1 | 1 |
| Poaceae (Grass) | 288 | 55 |
| Cyperaceae (Sedges) | 2 | 4 |
| Rumex (Dock) | 10 | - |
| Rumex obtusifolius (Broad-leafed dock) | 3 | 1 |
| Rosaceae (Rose family) | 1 | 3 |
| Potentilla-type (Rose family) | 1 | 1 |
| Sanguisorba-type (Rose family) | 1 | - |
| Ranunculaceae (Buttercup family) | 10 | - |
| Scrophularia -type (Figwort -type) | 3 | 3 |
| Chelidonium-type (Poppy family) | - | 1 |
| Polygonum (Bistort) | - | 1 |
| Viola type (Violet family) | 1 | - |
| Pteridophyte spores |  |  |
| Filicales | - | 1 |
| Polypodium | 1 |  |
| Fungal spores |  |  |
| Type 44 | p | p |
| Type 7a | - | a |
| Type 113 | - | p |
| Total number of pollen grains | 530 | 512 |

Table 2: Data from preliniary pollen assessment [frequency: p - present]

| Phase | 1A | 3 | 3 | 2A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Context | 460 | 479/480 | 484 | 490 |
| Sample | 192 | 188 | 189 | 193 |
| Volume processed (ml) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Charcoal | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |
| Lycopodium spores | 1 | 6 | 11 | 7 |
| Pollen/spores (absolute counts) <br> Arboreal taxa |  |  |  |  |
| Alnus (Alder) | - | - | 4 | 3 |
| Betula (Birch) | - | - | 3 | - |
| Corylus (Hazel) | - | - | 1 | - |
| Herbaceous taxa |  |  |  |  |
| Asteraceae (Daisy family) Cirsium (Thistles) | - | - | - | 1 |
| Asteraceae (Daisy family) Lactuceae | - | - | 2 | - |
| Brassicaceae (Cabbage family) | - | - | 5 | 3 |
| Calluna vulgaris (Heather) | - | - | - | 2 |
| Cereal -type | - | - | 54 | 2 |
| Chenopodiaceae/Amaranthaceae (Goosefoot/Pigweed families) | - | - | - | 1 |
| Plantago lanceolata -type (Ribwort Plantain type) | - | - | 1 | - |
| cf. Poaceae (Grass) | - | 1 | 13 | 2 |
| Poaceae (Grass) | - | - | 45 | 5 |
| Rosaceae (Rose family) | - | - | - | 2 |
| Ranunculaceae (Buttercup family) | - | - | 2 | - |
| Scrophularia -type (Figwort -type) | - | - | 6 | 36 |
| Indeterminate | - | - | 7 | 14 |
| Total number of pollen grains | 0 | 1 | 143 | 71 |
| Total concentration of pollen grains (grains/ml) (> 100,000 for full analysis recommendation) | 0 | 2250 | 175500 | 136929 |
| Arboreal and herbaceous grains: exotic pollen ( $>5$ for full analysis recommendation) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 13.0 | 10.1 |

NOTE: The above table is taken from Durham University ASU Report 1919. It was an assessment of pollen potential for full analysis, on which Table 1 is based and is included here for comparative purposes [ ROH ].
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## Introduction

Bird bones were separated out during analysis of the mammal bones and submitted for analysis. A few bones of the domestic mammals were included; these bones were recorded but are not discussed below. The records were made available for inclusion in the mammal report. The bones were identified to taxon using the reference collections of the author. Recently broken bones were counted as single specimens. Measurements were taken of the major elements and follow von den Driesch (1976). The archive includes further details not presented in the text.

## Results

In total 195 bones were recorded from 36 contexts. Most of the bird bones are from ditch fills and contexts associated with the souterrain. A summary of the distribution of the taxa in each context is given in Table 1. The bird bones represent at least 11 taxa.

Almost $30 \%$ of the bones are of domestic fowl, Gallus gallus. These are mainly of single bones spread throughout the assemblage. The fills of ditch 239 , however, contained 18 bones from at least three birds and fill 108 of ditch 113 contained 24. Most of these last are from one individual, found as an articulated skeleton. The 15 indeterminate elements from this fill, primarily vertebrae and phalanges, are probably also from this bird. One of the tarsometatarsi was submitted for C14 dating, the other has a scar from a recently broken off spur (the spur itself was not recovered) indicating that this was a cock bird. The length of this bone is 75.3 mm . Other measurements of this bird, and of other bones, are given in the archive data table. This and the other fowl were small birds by recent standards, about bantam size, but typical of the period. A few bones from fill 108 are from other individuals, including an immature bird and one wing bone with knife cuts. The bones from 144 of 239 also include a cut wing bone and two with gnaw marks, probably from cat. The bones also include an un-spurred tarsometatarsus, indicating a probable female. The single bone from 455 is definitely from a hen as it contains the medullary bone laid down for eggshell production.

The next most frequent taxa are the small passerines and corncrake, Crex crex. Some of the passerine bones are from ditch contexts, including 12 bones from one blackbird/thrush in ditch 230, but most of them and all of the corncrake bones are from the souterrain. One of the passerine bones is of a sparrow sized bird and another is comparable with a bird of lark size. All of the others are similar to blackbird and other members of the thrush family. The high numbers in context 512 are probably from one individual and some of the indeterminate bones may be from this bird too. Other ribs and toes may be of corncrake; at least two individuals are represented by the 19 identified bones. Another five bones are present in the other fills of passage 3. The seven corncrake bones from the cubbyhole in the passage are probably from another individual.

Two bones of quail, also a summer visitor like the corncrake, were also recovered from the passage and five bones of waders are also present. Two of these bones match lapwing, Vanellus vanellus, while the other three match plover, probably the golden plover, Pluvialis apricaria. These two waders often flock together on farmland in winter.

A goose bone is also present in fill 512 and there are five single bones in other contexts. None could be identified to species but are of greylag/domestic or similar size. Just one bone of duck was found, from ditch 770, again it could not be precisely identified but is of mallard size.

There are two bones each of buzzard, Buteo buteo, and raven, Corvus corax, from four different ditch and pit contexts but there are no other raptors or corvids.

This is quite a large assemblage of bird bones, although very small in comparison with mammals. Several taxa are represented including domestic fowl, wild game and wild birds that were probably not eaten. Finds of small passerines can be incidental finds of natural mortalities. In the souterrain, however, they are associated with corncrake, quail and waders, all of which are likely to have been consumed.

While fowl were held in some esteem (Kelly 1998), at early sites bones of wild birds are usually common and fowl rare, whereas later urban and Anglo-Norman ones are dominated by domestic poultry and have few wild species other than those killed as pests (Hamilton-Dyer 2007a). The exceptional site at Lagore had an unusually large bird assemblage (Stelfox 1938), although very small in comparison with the many tons of mammal bones. Domestic fowl were present but the assemblage is dominated by geese and ducks at over four times the number of fowl bones. Only one corncrake was recovered, but this may not be a true picture of the species representation as sieving did not take place and there are no bones at all of other small birds such as quail, waders and small passerines. Until recently there have been relatively few sites
in the area with more than a handful of bird bones, and that have been sieved. This present assemblage has an interesting mix with both domestic fowl and corncrake common.

The bird assemblage at Knowth is dominated by fowl but geese and ducks combined are almost equal and there is just one bone of corncrake (Hamilton-Dyer 2007b). Several (sieved) early medieval sites with high numbers of corncrake bones have recently been reported from this area, e.g. Lismullin (HamiltonDyer in prep) and Raystown (Murray in prep) but these have few domestic fowl. The environment of this site includes arable, meadow and a mill stream, offering a wide variety of habitats for birds, excepting those that need substantial woodland. The relatively low numbers of geese and especially duck perhaps indicate minimal waterfowling; instead corncrake and other birds would have been netted in the fields. This assemblage, and others recently excavated in Co. Meath are providing valuable data from a variety of settlements that has been very sparse until now.
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| Phase | Feature | Context | Goose | Duck | Fowl | Quail | Corncrake | Wader | Buzzard | Raven | Passerine | Indet. | Totals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A 1A | Ditch 282 | 221 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| A 1A | Ditch 288 | 316 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| A 1B | Ditch 250 | 252 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| A 3 | Occup deposit | 119 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| A 3A | Ditch 113 | 108 | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 39 |
| A 3A | Ditch 230 | 229 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 2 | 14 |
| A 3B | Ditch 239 | 107 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | 7 |
| A 3B | Ditch 239 | 144 | - | - | 12 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | 15 |
| A 3B | Ditch 239 | 151 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| A 4A | Ditch 114 | 153 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 |
| A 4A | Ditch 164 | 160 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| A 4A | Ditch 164 | 162 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| A 4A | Ditch 164 | 163 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| A 6B | Ditch 168 | 118 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| B 1A | Ditch 405 | 412 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| B 2A | Ditch 404 | 419 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| B 2A | Ditch 404 | 535 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| B 2A | Ditch 764 | 763 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| B 2A | Souterrain 1 | 507 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| B 2A | Souterrain cubby | 524 | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 10 |
| B 2B | Ditch 642 | 643 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| B 3 | Ditch 450 | 455 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| B 4 | Pit 411 | 422 | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| B 4 | Pit 509 | 508 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| B 4 | Souterrain 3 | 512 | 1 | - | - | 1 | 19 | 5 | - | - | 16 | 25 | 67 |
| B 4 | Souterrain 3 | 514 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| B 4 | souterrain 3 | 517 | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| B 4 | Souterrain 3 | 518 | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Ditch 1282 | 1306 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Ditch 660 | 624 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Ditch 770 | 1321 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Gully 1123 | 1122 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Kiln 677 | 672 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Pit 1294 | 1296 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 1 |
| ? | Posthole 1389 | 1388 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| - | Topsoil | 400 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Total | 6 | 1 | 58 | 2 | 31 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 40 | 48 | 195 |
|  |  | \% | 3.1 | 0.5 | 29.7 | 1.0 | 15.9 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 20.5 | 24.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% probable domestic poultry |  |  | 33.3\% | \% excluding <br> articulated bird <br> skeleton | \% excluding articulated bird skeleton |  | 25.7\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \% wild |  |  | 42.0\% |  |  |  | 46.8\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix 1: Roestown 2 Data Table

| Context | Sample | Nisp | Species | Element | Side | Code | \% | Size | Frag | Notes | Butchery | GI | Bp | Sd | Bd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 |  | 1 | Pig | Fib | R | Dm | 15 | 2 |  | Fused |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 |  | 1 | Cow | Sfrag |  |  |  | 2 |  | Looks like fish but is sphenoid/palatine junction |  |  |  |  |  |
| 116 |  | 1 | Dog | Mc4 | R | w9 | 95 | 3 |  | Large, distal still unfused |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Pig | Fib |  | M | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Cat | Fib |  | wo | 90 | 3 |  | Immature unfused |  |  |  |  |  |
| 643 |  | 1 | Pig | Fib | L | Dm | 15 | 2 |  | Distal unfused |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | Ss | 1 | S/g | Tib | R | Pm | 50 | 2 |  | Pu neo lamb |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | Ss | 1 | S/g | Oc | R | Pm | 30 | 2 |  | Ilium neo lamb |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | Ss | 1 | S/g | Fem | R | Dm | 30 | 2 |  | Du neo lamb |  |  |  |  |  |
| 145 | Ss | 1 | Mam | Rib |  | Pm | 75 | 2 |  | Prob neo lamb |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 |  | 1 | Fowl | Fem | L | Pm | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 |  | 1 | Fowl | Fem | R | w | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 66.7 |  | 6 | 13.1 |
| 107 |  | 1 | Fowl | Hum | R | Dm0 | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 14.2 |
| 107 |  | 1 | Fowl | Rad | R | wo | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 53.8 |  |  |  |
| 107 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tib | R | w | 98 | 4 |  |  | Kr*dj | 110 |  | 6.3 | 10.9 |
| 107 |  | 1 | Passer | Fem | L | Pm | 70 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | L | w | 98 | 3 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 5 | Bir | Phf |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Cf fowl |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 5 | Bir | Rib |  | w | 80 | 2 |  | Cf fowl |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 5 | Bir | vx |  | w | 95 | 2 |  | Cf fowl |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Cmc | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair |  | 38.8 |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Cmc | L | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair |  | 38.3 |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Cor | R | w | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 53.8 |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Cor | L | wo | 80 | 3 |  | Pair |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 |  | 1 | Fowl | Fem | R | Pm | 60 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Fem | L | wo | 98 | 3 |  | Pair |  | 77.9 |  | 7.3 |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Fem | R | wo | 80 | 3 |  | Pair |  |  |  | 7.4 |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Fib |  | M | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Hum | R | w | 98 | 3 |  | Cat gnawed |  | 72 | 19.7 | 7.1 | 15.2 |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Hum | L | wo | 80 | 3 |  | Pair |  |  | 19.7 |  | 15.2 |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Oc |  | мо | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Rad | L | w | 98 | 3 |  | Pair |  | 62.1 |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Rad | R | wo | 80 | 3 |  | Pair |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 2 | Fowl | Sca |  | wo | 95 | 3 | Y | Pair |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Synsac |  | мо | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tib | L | w | 98 | 4 |  |  |  | 110 |  | 6.2 | 10.7 |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Tib | L | wo | 80 | 3 |  | Pair |  |  |  | 6.5 | 10.4 |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Tib | R | wo | 80 | 3 |  | Pair |  |  |  | 6.6 | 10.5 |
| 108 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tmt | R | Pm | 20 | 2 | Y | Immature |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Tmt | R | w | 98 | 3 |  | Sex m? Broken off spur scar |  | 75.3 |  | 6.4 |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Tmt | L | w? |  |  |  | Taken for c14 dating |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 |  | 1 | Fowl | Uln | L | wo | 98 | 3 | Y |  | K**m | 59.7 |  |  |  |
| 108 | Abg | 1 | Fowl | Uln | L | w | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 69.4 |  |  |  |
| 118 |  | 1 | Fowl | Rad | R | Pm | 60 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 119 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tib | L | w | 90 | 3 |  | Immature |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Bir | Phf |  | w | 98 | 1 |  | Prob fowl |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Bir | Vc |  | w | 95 | 2 |  | Prob fowl |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 |  | 1 | Buzzard | Tmt | L | Pm | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 |  | 1 | Fowl | Cmc | L | w9 | 95 | 2 |  |  |  | 32.2 |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Fowl | Cor | R | w9 | 90 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 |  | 1 | Fowl | Cor | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 46.4 |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Fowl | Fem | L | w9 | 90 | 3 |  | Cat gnawed |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Fowl | Fem | R | Pm | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Fowl | Hum | L | Dm0 | 75 | 3 |  | Cat gnawed |  |  |  | 5.3 | 12.1 |
| 144 |  | 1 | Fowl | Hum | L | Dm0 | 30 | 2 |  |  | K?De |  |  |  | 15 |
| 144 |  | 1 | Fowl | Oc | R | M | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Fowl | Sca | R | Pm | 75 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 | Ss | 1 | Fowl | Ste |  | Nm | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 |  | 1 | Fowl | Synsac |  | w9 | 90 | 3 |  | Path twisted |  |  |  |  |  |
| 144 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tmt | L | w9 | 95 | 3 |  | Sexf |  |  |  |  |  |
| 151 |  | 1 | Fowl | Fem | L | Dm0 | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 7.2 | 15.3 |
| 153 |  | 1 | Passer | Hum | R | w9 | 90 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tib | R | Dm0 | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.1 | 9.3 |
| 162 |  | 1 | Fowl | Uln | L | Dm0 | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 163 |  | 1 | Fowl | Cor | L | w | 75 | 3 |  |  |  | 55.5 |  |  |  |
| 221 |  | 1 | Passer | Uln | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  | 35.3 |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Biro | Ph1f |  | w | 98 | 1 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Biro | Rib |  | w | 90 | 1 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Cor | L | W | 95 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Fem | L | Pm | 20 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 2 | Passer | Hum |  | W | 98 | 2 |  | Pair, blackbird/thrush size |  | 27.2 | 8.1 | 3 | 6.2 |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Rad |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  | 29.1 |  |  |  |


| Context | Sample | Nisp | Species | Element | Side | Code | \% | Size | Frag | Notes | Butchery | GI | Bp | Sd | Bd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 229 |  | 2 | Passer | Sca |  | Pm | 75 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Ste |  | Nm | 50 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | R | w | 98 | 3 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  | 47.6 |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | L | wo | 95 | 3 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Tmt | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  | 32.8 |  |  |  |
| 229 |  | 1 | Passer | Uln | L | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  | 32.8 |  |  |  |
| 252 |  | 1 | Raven | Tmt | R | Dm0 | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 316 |  | 1 | Fowl | Cor | R | Pm | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400 |  | 1 | Fowl | Sca | R | Pm | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 412 |  | 1 | Goose | Sca | R | Pm | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 419 |  | 1 | Goose | Hum | R | Dm0 | 30 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.4 | 25 |
| 422 |  | 1 | Fowl | Cor | R | w | 95 | 3 |  |  | Kmt | 48.2 |  |  |  |
| 422 |  | 1 | Goose | Uln | L | Dm0 | 20 | 2 | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 455 |  | 1 | Fowl | Fem | R | Dm0 | 60 | 3 |  | Sex f medullary |  |  |  | 6.6 | 14.2 |
| 507 |  | 1 | Passer | Cor | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 507 |  | 1 | Passer | Furc |  | Am | 20 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 507 |  | 1 | Passer | Ste |  | Nm | 20 | 1 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 507 |  | 1 | Passer | Uln | L | Pm | 75 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 508 |  | 1 | Fowl | Hum | L | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.4 | 13.9 |
| 512 |  | 1 | Biro | Ph3w |  | w | 98 | 1 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Biro | Phf |  | w | 98 | 1 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 22 | Biro | Rib |  | w | 90 | 2 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Biro | Vc |  | w | 95 | 1 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Crex | Cmc |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair |  | 29.6 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Cmc | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 26.8 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Crex | Cor |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair |  | 24.5 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Hum | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 45.6 | 9.5 | 3.1 | 6.4 |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Hum | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Broken just below prox |  | 47 | 9.6 | 3.2 | 6.6 |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Hum | L | Dm0 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.5 |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Rad | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 37.2 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Rad | R | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Rad | L | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 39.8 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Crex | Sca |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair both broken just below prox |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Ste |  | w | 75 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Tmt | L | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Uln | L | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 42.6 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Crex | Uln | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 39.7 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Crex | Uln | R | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Goose | Fem | L | M | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Cmc | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Cor | L | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Fem | R | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  | Large thrush eg fieldfare |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Furc |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Passer | Hum |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair, blackbird/thrush |  | 30.3 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Jaw |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Max |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Rad | L | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Ste |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | L | Dm0 | 75 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | R | Pm | 50 | 2 |  | Large thrush eg fieldfare |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Tmt | L | Dm0 | 75 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Passer | Uln | R | Pm | 50 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Passer | Uln |  | w | 98 | 2 |  | Pair, blackbird/thrush |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Quail | Cor | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 23.4 |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 2 | Wader | Hum |  | Pm | 75 | 2 |  | Pair, cat gnawed, cf plover |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Wader | Rad | L | Dm0 | 50 | 3 |  | Cf lapwing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Wader | Ste |  | N | 15 | 2 |  | Cf plover |  |  |  |  |  |
| 512 |  | 1 | Wader | Uln | L | Dm0 | 30 | 2 |  | Cf lapwing |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Bir | Tib | L | Dm0 | 30 | 2 |  | Fledgling |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Biro | Hum | L | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  | Small bird |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Crex | Tmt | R | w | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 42.8 |  | 3.3 |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Passer | Hum | R | w | 98 | 2 |  | Sparrow size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | R | wo | 98 | 2 |  | Sparrow size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Passer | Tib | R | Dm0 | 60 | 2 |  | Blackbird/thrush size |  |  |  |  |  |
| 514 |  | 1 | Quail | Hum | R | Dm0 | 75 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 517 |  | 1 | Crex | Fem | L | Dm0 | 60 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 517 |  | 1 | Crex | Fem | R | Pm | 75 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 517 |  | 1 | Crex | Tmt | R | Dm0 | 20 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 518 |  | 1 | Crex | Tib | R | Dm0 | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 |  | 2 | Biro | Frag |  |  |  | 2 |  | Eg passer |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Cmc | R | w90 | 90 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Cor | R | w9 | 95 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Context | Sample | Nisp | Species | Element | Side | Code | \% | Size | Frag | Notes | Butchery | GI | Bp | Sd | Bd |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Cor | L | Pm | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Hum | R | W | 98 | 2 |  |  |  | 45.3 | 8.3 | 3 | 5.7 |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Hum | L | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 2.9 | 5.6 |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Rad | R | Pm | 75 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 |  | 1 | Crex | Uln | R | Pm | 75 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 524 |  | 1 | Passer | Tmt | R | W | 98 | 2 |  | Eg lark size |  | 26.3 |  |  |  |
| 535 |  | 1 | Raven | Hum | R | W | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 90.6 | 24.9 | 8.7 | 20 |
| 624 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tib | L | Dm0 | 20 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.4 |
| 643 |  | 1 | Fowl | Cmc | R | W9 | 90 | 2 |  |  |  | 40.8 |  |  |  |
| 643 |  | 1 | Goose | Cor | R | Dm0 | 50 | 2 | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 672 |  | 1 | Fowl | Rad | R | W | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 63.6 |  |  |  |
| 763 |  | 1 | Goose | Cor | R | W | 95 | 3 | Y |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1122 |  | 1 | Fowl | Tib | L | Pm | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1296 |  | 1 | Buzzard | Uln | R | Dm0 | 75 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1306 |  | 1 | Fowl | Uln | L | W | 98 | 3 |  |  |  | 75.6 | 9 | 4.5 |  |
| 1321 |  | 1 | Ana p/d | Cor | R | M | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1388 |  | 1 | Fowl | Hum | L | Dm0 | 50 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |

Appendix 2: Metric data for bird bone assemblage from Roestown 2.
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## Illustrations

Figure $1 \quad$ Critical dimensions of a stave. After Comey $(2002,110)$
Table 1 Dimensions of the Roestown 2 staves

## 1 Introduction

1.1 Two staves were submitted for analysis from Roestown 2, excavated by Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd. Both staves were found in an organic deposit at the base of an enclosing ditch, and date to the Early Medieval period.

2 Methods
2.1 Each wood piece was identified by a first selection under a binocular microscope at a magnification of $10 x-40 x$. This was used to discern features such as ring growth or insect channels. Samples one cell thick was taken with a razor blade from the transverse, radial and tangential planes of the wood. Analysis of thin sections was completed under a transmitted light microscope, at magnifications of $100 \mathrm{x}, 200 \mathrm{x}$ and 400 x . The anatomical structure of the wood samples was compared to a reference collection supplied by the National Botanic Gardens and keys (Schweingruber 1978; Hather 2000).

## 3 Results

3.1 Two staves were examined from this site (A008/002:484:1 and A008/002:484:3). Find one was made from yew (Taxus baccata), while Find 3 was made from oak (Quercus sp.). The staves were measured using Comeys (2002) methodology developed to consistently record material from stave built vessels. The critical dimensions of each stave (Fig. 1) were measured, and recorded in Table 1. The height of the stave, and three measurements of both width and depth are taken, to account for variation in taper through the length of the stave. The disc locating groove, chime and base are also recorded.

Figure 3-3
Dimensions of a stave


Labels indicate the points from which the measurements used in this thesis have been taken.

Fig. 1 - Critical dimensions of a stave. After Comey (2002) 110

A008/002:484:1 is an obliquely converted tangential yew stave. The height of the stave is 302.7 mm . Find no. 1 has two disc locating grooves, suggesting the presence of a base and lid disc. The narrower part of the stave was considered to be the top of the artifact, while the wider part was considered to be the base. The depth of the piece (A1-A3), ranges from 13.21 to 12.86 mm , getting slightly narrower at the base. The width of piece (B1-B3) ranges from 29.41 mm to 53.44 mm , getting significantly wider at the base. The disc locating groove in the top is Type A (triangular shaped) while at the base is Type D (rectangular shaped) (Comey 2003-2004, 43). A008/002: 484:3 is a tangentially split oak stave. The height of this piece is 606 mm . The depth of the piece (A1-A3) ranges from 14.8 to 7.45 mm . The width of the piece (B1-B3) ranges from 62.52 mm to 55.04 mm . The disc locating groove is Type D (Comey 2003-2004, 43). The shape of the stave suggests it represents an open conical vessel (Comey 2002, 109).
3.2 The craft of coopering was well established from the early Christian period (Hurley and Mc Cutcheon 1997). It soon was used in industrial proportions with the manufacture of casks for wet and dry drink and provisions (Hurley and Mc Cutcheon 1997, 557). Stave built casks were used for home storage, domestic chores and the commercial storage and transportation of goods. The casks were frequently re-used as water-butts and cisterns (Hurley 2003, 351). In the Waterford excavations, staves date mainly from the twelfth and mid twelfth century onwards. Yew (Taxus baccata) was the preferred timber for the staves from smaller vessels, although some oak was used. The hoops were generally split yew branches. The staves from larger tubs and casks were all carved from oak. Hazel and willow were used as withies to bind the staves (Hurley and Mc Cutcheon 1997, 554). Comey also notes that yew was generally used as components of stave built vessels during the Medieval period (2002). By the eleventh century, yew became the favoured wood species for stave built vessels, including the hoops and bases (Comey 2002). It is unclear why yew would have superseded oak, hazel and ash as components of stave built vessels, given that they were obviously readily available and used in other structures of the time period (O'Sullivan 1998). Comey postulates that it could be related to cultural selection and status, yew is often associated with royalty and used as a metaphor for wholesomeness (2003-4 52-53). However, yew is a very useful timber, it has great elasticity and strength. While oak does not have the same elasticity as yew, it is still extremely strong and durable, and this is probably why the two taxa were generally favoured for use as staves.

## 4 Summary

4.1 Two staves were submitted for identification from an Early Medieval ditch fill. Find no. 1 was identified as yew, while Find no. 3 was identified as oak. The staves represent two different vessels. Find no. 1 was 302.7 mm long, and had two disc locating grooves, at the base and top of the stave, indicating the stave was lidded. Find no. 3 was almost twice as long as Find no. 1 ( 606 mm ), and represented an open conical vessel. The staves compare well with other staves from the Early Medieval and Medieval period in Ireland, including a variety of yew staves excavated at the medieval site of Castlefarm 1 (O'Donnell forthcoming). Both of the staves should be photographed and illustrated.
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Table 1 - Dimensions of the Roestown 2 staves

| Fill 484 | Find 1 | Find 1 | Find 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identification | Taxus baccata L. (yew) |  | Quercus sp. L. Liebl (oak) |
| Conversion | Oblique tangential |  | Tangential |
|  | All dimensions in mm |  | 14.8 |
| A1 | 13.21 |  | 14.75 |
| A2 | 14.65 |  | 7.45 |
| A3 | 12.86 |  | 606 |
| H | 302.7 | (for top-more narrow <br> portion) | 10.53 |
| X | 4.82 | 3.92 | 36.81 |
| C | 21.1 | 32.02 | 6.55 |
| Y | 10.3 | 9.8 | 62.52 |
| B1 | 29.41 |  | 60.42 |
| B2 | 42.93 |  | 55.04 |
| B3 | 53.44 |  |  |
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## Introduction

One-hundred-and-forty lithic finds from the archaeological investigations of a highly disturbed prehistoric site at Roestown 2, Co. Meath were presented for analysis (Table 1). The finds were recovered from secondary contexts and are associated with the remains of successive early medieval enclosures in two areas ( A and B ) with associated field systems.

## Methodology

All lithic artefacts are examined visually and catalogued using Microsoft Excel. The following details are recorded for each artefact which measures at least 2 cm in length or width: context information, raw material type, artefact type, the presence of cortex, artefact condition, length, with and thickness measurements, fragmentation and the type of retouch (where applicable). The technological criteria recorded are based on the terminology and technology presented in Inizan et al. 1999. The general typological and morphological classifications are based on Woodman et al. 2006. Struck lithics smaller than 2 cm are classed as debitage and not analysed further. The same is done with natural chunks.

## Quantification

The lithics are 137 flaked pieces of flint, one flaked piece of chert, one worked and another unworked quartz crystal (Table 1). One-hundred-and-nineteen artefacts are larger than 2 cm in length and width and were therefore recorded in detail.

## Provenance

Over 50 percent of the lithic artefacts were recovered from the topsoil $(\mathrm{n}=74)$. The remainder was excavated from a series of ditch and pit fills, deposits, occupation layers and the fill of a corn-drying kiln (Table 2).

## Condition:

The lithics survive in variable condition (Table 3). The majority of lithics are patinated and seventy-four artefacts are incomplete. The lustre observed on two artefacts (A008/002:100:32, $\mathrm{A} 008 / 002: 107: 1$ and $\mathrm{A} 008 / 002: 473: 5$ ) is a direct result of their exposure to heat, i.e. they did not directly come into contact with fire, but where perhaps strewn around a hearth. One third of the flaked artefact component made of flint bears the remnants of cortex. Some lithics are heavily plough or machine damaged.

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{\square}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \times \\ & \stackrel{\star}{ \pm} \\ & \stackrel{\text { O}}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & \text { 는 } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | E E 든 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cong \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:100:7 | 100 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Heavily Patinated | 36 | 20 | 13 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:8 | 100 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 22 | 26 | 8 | Yes | distal right direct and right edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:100:9 | 100 | Flint | Core | Yes | Patinated | 25 | 23 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:100:10 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 16 | 24 | 7 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:14 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Burnt | 21 | 19 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:100:15 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:16 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:20 | 100 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Rolled | 49 | 35 | 15 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:22 | 100 | Flint | Blade | No | Heavily Patinated | 58 | 20 | 8 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:23 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 36 | 26 | 10 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:24 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 21 | 21 | 3 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:26 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 18 | 24 | 10 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:27 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:30 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:32 | 100 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Lustred | 28 | 21 | 5 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:100:33+34 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 37 | 19 | 8 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:35 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 17 | 22 | 10 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:38 | 100 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 22 | 22 | 7 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:40 | 100 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Heavily Patinated | 29 | 31 | 7 | Yes | distal right direct abrupt, right edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:100:42 | 100 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 27 | 24 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:100:43 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 22 | 15 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:44 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:76 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:84 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 32 | 25 | 8 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:89 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 29 | 17 | 4 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:100:90 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 30 | 22 | 4 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:93 | 100 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 41 | 30 | 13 | No | left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:100:94 | 100 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 29 | 20 | 7 | Yes | No |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \\ & \text { むt } \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{\circ}{\lambda}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \times \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{4} \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \underline{y} \\ \underline{y} \\ \stackrel{5}{5} \\ 0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { E } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { 部 } \\ \text { B } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:100:95 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:96 | 100 | Flint | Split Pebble | Yes | Burnt | 35 | 23 | 9 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:97 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 38 | 26 | 8 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:100:98 | 100 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 21 | 16 | 3 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:99 | 100 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 53 | 22 | 12 | No | No |
| A008/002:100:100 | 100 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:100:102 | 100 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Heavily Patinated | 59 | 33 | 9 | No | left edge and distal direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:104:1 | 104 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:106:1 | 106 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 17 | 21 | 8 | No | No |
| A008/002:107:1 | 107 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Lustred | 35 | 15 | 6 | Yes | distal and proximal direct abrupt |
| A008/002:116:1 | 116 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 22 | 17 | 8 | No | distal direct abrupt |
| A008/002:118:1 | 118 | Flint | Chunk | No | Heavily Patinated | 24 | 15 | 14 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:119:5 | 119 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 28 | 29 | 7 | No | No |
| A008/002:120:1 | 120 | Flint | Blade | No | Heavily Patinated | 33 | 13 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:125:1 | 125 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:125:2 | 125 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 36 | 21 | 11 | No | No |
| A008/002:135:4 | 135 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 30 | 24 | 6 | No | proximal direct semiabrupt, right edge inverse low angle |
| A008/002:137:1 | 137 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:140:1 | 140 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 24 | 9 | 4 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:145:1 | 145 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 60 | 29 | 10 | No | No |
| A008/002:150:1 | 150 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Burnt | 31 | 20 | 7 | No | No |
| A008/002:153:1 | 153 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 35 | 34 | 12 | No | distal direct abrupt, left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:175:3 | 175 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 26 | 29 | 11 | No | distal right edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:181:2 | 181 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:186:1 | 186 | Flint | Flake | No | Burnt | 23 | 24 | 8 | No | No |
| A008/002:186:2 | 186 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:197:1 | 197 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:9 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 35 | 20 | 7 | No | right edge direct semiabrupt |


| $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & i x \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\circ}{2}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㐅 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | E E 든 $\vdots$ 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cong \\ & \text { む } \\ & \hline 0 \\ & \vdots \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:400:11 | 400 | Flint | Blade | No | Heavily Patinated | 32 | 14 | 6 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:13 | 400 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 15 | 23 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:16 | 400 | Flint | Core | Yes | Patinated | 43 | 29 | 15 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:20 | 400 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 35 | 24 | 4 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:24 | 400 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:25 | 400 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Patinated | 40 | 13 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:26 | 400 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 27 | 29 | 8 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:31 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Burnt | 14 | 22 | 12 | No | distal, right and left edge abrupt |
| A008/002:400:32 | 400 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:35 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Slightly Patinated | 26 | 23 | 5 | Yes | bifacial |
| A008/002:400:37 | 400 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Heavily Patinated | 24 | 28 | 10 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:38 | 400 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:42 | 400 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 25 | 12 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:43 | 400 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Burnt | 28 | 15 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:45 | 400 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:46 | 400 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 32 | 16 | 8 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:47 | 400 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 21 | 20 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:50 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 57 | 35 | 18 | No | distal direct abrupt, left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:400:53 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 30 | 15 | 4 | Yes | proximal inverse semiabrupt, left edge inverse low angle |
| A008/002:400:54 | 400 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:55 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 43 | 23 | 6 | No | left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:400:61 | 400 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 23 | 5 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:66 | 400 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 26 | 20 | 6 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:67 | 400 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 33 | 31 | 10 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:68 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 32 | 16 | 7 | No | left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:400:74 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 42 | 27 | 9 | Yes | bifacial |
| A008/002:400:81 | 400 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 29 | 12 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:82 | 400 | Flint | Blade | No | Heavily Patinated | 46 | 13 | 5 | Yes | No |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ì } \\ & \text { in } \\ & i=1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{\stackrel{\circ}{\lambda}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 㐅 } \\ & \text { © } \\ & \text { O } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { E } \\ \text { E } \\ \text { 产 } \\ \vdots \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \cong \\ & \text { \# } \\ & \hline 0 \\ & E \\ & 0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:400:85 | 400 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 25 | 16 | 5 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:86 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 28 | 8 | 3 | Yes | proximal left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:400:87 | 400 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 39 | 20 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:88 | 400 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:400:91 | 400 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Patinated | 30 | 17 | 4 | No | No |
| A008/002:400:94 | 400 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 26 | 18 | 6 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:95 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Heavily Rolled and Patinated | 33 | 20 | 8 | No | right edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:400:96 | 400 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Slightly Patinated | 51 | 24 | 11 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:400:97 | 400 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 27 | 16 | 8 | Yes | distal direct abrupt |
| A008/002:400:98 | 400 | Flint | Core | Yes | Patinated | 29 | 25 | 37 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:401:3 | 401 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Rolled | 24 | 27 | 5 | No | bifacial |
| A008/002:401:5 | 401 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 19 | 21 | 10 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:401:6 | 401 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 21 | 17 | 2 | No | No |
| A008/002:401:8 | 401 | Flint | Core | No | Patinated | 18 | 18 | 8 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:401:9 | 401 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 57 | 31 | 6 | No | No |
| A008/002:401:12 | 401 | Chert | Retouched Artefact | No | Reasonably Fresh | 43 | 30 | 6 | Yes | bifacial |
| A008/002:414:1 | 414 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Patinated | 20 | 15 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:422:3 | 422 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 36 | 29 | 13 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:429:4 | 429 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 39 | 21 | 7 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:438:4 | 438 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 34 | 22 | 7 | No | No |
| A008/002:453:2 | 453 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 28 | 22 | 3 | No | No |
| A008/002:473:5 | 473 | Flint | Flake | No | Lustred | 17 | 33 | 7 | No | No |
| A008/002:473:6 | 473 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 20 | 22 | 3 | No | proximal inverse abrupt |
| A008/002:492:2 | 492 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 29 | 15 | 4 | No | No |
| A008/002:513:1 | 513 | Flint | Blade | No | Patinated | 21 | 10 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:566:13 | 566 | Flint | Flake | No | Burnt | 27 | 14 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:566:16 | 566 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Heavily Patinated | 32 | 18 | 4 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:566:17 | 566 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Burnt | 38 | 45 | 11 | No | No |


| $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { oi } \\ \text { 2 } \\ 0 \\ \text { 른 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{x} \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{\lambda} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \times \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 들 } \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | E हु 흠 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \vdots \\ & 0.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:598:7 | 598 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 31 | 20 | 5 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:619:1 | 619 | Flint | Debitage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A008/002:623:1 | 623 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 33 | 25 | 6 | No | right edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:654:2 | 654 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 31 | 14 | 4 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:657:1 | 657 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Patinated | 37 | 32 | 10 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:658:1 | 658 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 26 | 16 | 5 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:747:1 | 747 | Quartz Crystal | Core? | No | Reasonably Fresh | 24 | 29 | 22 | No | No |
| A008/002:782:1 | 782 | Quartz Crystal | Crystal | No | Burnt | 24 | 19 | 13 | No | No |
| A008/002:782:2 | 782 | Flint | Flake | No | Slightly Patinated | 34 | 26 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:893:1 | 893 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 30 | 38 | 5 | No | proximal inverse abrupt, distal direct abrupt |
| A008/002:906:1 | 906 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 26 | 17 | 4 | No | bifacial |
| A008/002:906:2 | 906 | Flint | Blade | No | Heavily Patinated | 35 | 11 | 3 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:907:1 | 907 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Patinated | 38 | 14 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:968:1 | 968 | Flint | Blade | No | Rolled | 27 | 17 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:993:4 | 993 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 40 | 16 | 6 | No | right edge direct low angle, distal direct abrupt, left edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:993:5 | 993 | Flint | Flake | Yes | Heavily Patinated | 39 | 20 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:998:1 | 998 | Flint | Flake | No | Heavily Patinated | 22 | 19 | 5 | No | No |
| A008/002:1035:1 | 1035 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 65 | 35 | 10 | Yes | distal right and left inverse low angle |
| A008/002:1059:1 | 1059 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 41 | 52 | 9 | Yes | distal direct abrupt |
| A008/002:1064:1 | 1064 | Flint | Flake | No | Patinated | 32 | 11 | 4 | No | No |
| A008/002:1218:1 | 1218 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Patinated | 25 | 12 | 3 | No | No |
| A008/002:1218:2 | 1218 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Patinated | 37 | 25 | 9 | No | proximal and right edge direct semiabrupt |
| A008/002:1240:1 | 1240 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | Yes | Burnt | 31 | 28 | 9 | Yes | left edge inverse semiabrupt |
| A008/002:1240:2 | 1240 | Flint | Core | Yes | Patinated | 25 | 21 | 13 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:1240:3 | 1240 | Flint | Blade | Yes | Patinated | 45 | 21 | 5 | Yes | No |
| A008/002:1240:4 | 1240 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Patinated | 41 | 25 | 7 | No | left edge direct abrupt and semiabrupt |
| A008/002:1273:1 | 1273 | Flint | Flake | No | Burnt | 36 | 27 | 9 | No | No |
| A008/002:1291:3 | 1291 | Flint | Retouched Artefact | No | Slightly Patinated | 52 | 31 | 12 | Yes | left edge direct semiabrupt |

Table 1 Composition of the Lithic Assemblage from Roestown 2 (E3055)

| Find Number | Context | Description | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:100:7 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:8 | 100 | Topsoil. | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:100:9 | 100 | Topsoil. | Core |
| A008/002:100:10 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:14 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:15 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:16 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:20 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:22 | 100 | Topsoil. | Blade |
| A008/002:100:23 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:24 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:26 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:27 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:30 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:32 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:33+34 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:35 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:38 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:40 | 100 | Topsoil. | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:100:42 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:43 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:44 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:76 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:84 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:89 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:90 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:93 | 100 | Topsoil. | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:100:94 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:95 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:96 | 100 | Topsoil. | Split Pebble |
| A008/002:100:97 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:98 | 100 | Topsoil. | Flake |
| A008/002:100:99 | 100 | Topsoil. | Blade |
| A008/002:100:100 | 100 | Topsoil. | Debitage |
| A008/002:100:102 | 100 | Topsoil. | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:104:1 | 104 | Fill of ditch C141, Area A | Debitage |
| A008/002:106:1 | 106 | Fill of ditch C114, Area A, Encl A4 | Blade |
| A008/002:107:1 | 107 | Fill of ditch C113, Area A, Encl A3 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:116:1 | 116 | Fill of ditch C132, Area A, Phase 1 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:118:1 | 118 | Fill of ditch C168, Area A | Chunk |
| A008/002:119:5 | 119 | Occupation debris below C100 | Flake |
| A008/002:120:1 | 120 | Lower topsoil, below C100 | Blade |
| A008/002:125:1 | 125 | Fill of linear ditch C202, Area A | Debitage |
| A008/002:125:2 | 125 | Fill of linear ditch C202, Area A | Flake |
| A008/002:135:4 | 135 | Fill of ditch C172, Area A, Phase 1 | Retouched Artefact |


| Find Number | Context | Description | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:137:1 | 137 | Fill of ditch C172, Area A, Phase 1 | Debitage |
| A008/002:140:1 | 140 | Fill of C285, Area A, Encl A1 | Blade |
| A008/002:145:1 | 145 | Fill of ditch C113, Phase 3, Encl A3 | Blade |
| A008/002:150:1 | 150 | Fill of ditch C114, Phase 3, Encl A4 | Flake |
| A008/002:153:1 | 153 | Fill of ditch C114, Phase 3, Encl A4 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:175:3 | 175 | Fill of pit C178, Area A, Phase 5 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:181:2 | 181 | Fill of ditch C114, Phase 3, Encl A4 | Debitage |
| A008/002:186:1 | 186 | Fill of ditch C187, Area A | Flake |
| A008/002:186:2 | 186 | Fill of ditch C187, Area A | Debitage |
| A008/002:197:1 | 197 | Fill of ditch C196, Area A | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:9 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:11 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:13 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:16 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Core |
| A008/002:400:20 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:24 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:25 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:26 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:31 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:32 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:35 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:37 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:38 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:42 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:43 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:45 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:46 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:47 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:50 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:53 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:54 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:55 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:61 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:66 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:67 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:68 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:74 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:81 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:82 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:85 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:400:86 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:87 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:88 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Debitage |
| A008/002:400:91 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:94 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Flake |


| Find Number | Context | Description | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A008/002:400:95 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:96 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:400:97 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:400:98 | 400 | Topsoil, Area B | Core |
| A008/002:401:3 | 401 | Deposit between C400 \& upper ditch fills | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:401:5 | 401 | Deposit between C400 \& upper ditch fills | Flake |
| A008/002:401:6 | 401 | Deposit between C400 \& upper ditch fills | Flake |
| A008/002:401:8 | 401 | Deposit between C400 \& upper ditch fills | Core |
| A008/002:401:9 | 401 | Deposit between C400 \& upper ditch fills | Flake |
| A008/002:401:12 | 401 | Deposit between C 400 \& upper ditch fills | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:414:1 | 414 | Fill of ditch C405 in Area B, Phase 1 | Blade |
| A008/002:422:3 | 422 | Fill of pit C411, Area B, Phase 4 | Flake |
| A008/002:429:4 | 429 | Fill of ditch C405, Area B, Phase 1 | Flake |
| A008/002:438:4 | 438 | Fill of ditch C450, Area B, Phase 3 | Flake |
| A008/002:453:2 | 453 | Fill of ditch C450, Area B, Phase 3 | Flake |
| A008/002:473:5 | 473 | Fill of ditch C450, Area B, Phase 3 | Flake |
| A008/002:473:6 | 473 | Fill of ditch C450, Area B, Phase 3 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:492:2 | 492 | Stone surface/possible pathway, Area B | Flake |
| A008/002:513:1 | 513 | Deposit in souterrain, passage 3, Area B | Blade |
| A008/002:566:13 | 566 | Fill of ditch C1104, Area B, Phase 2 | Flake |
| A008/002:566:16 | 566 | Fill of ditch C1104, Area B, Phase 2 | Flake |
| A008/002:566:17 | 566 | Fill of ditch C1104, Area B, Phase 2 | Flake |
| A008/002:598:7 | 598 | Fill of ditch C557, Area B, Phase 6 | Flake |
| A008/002:619:1 | 619 | Fill of ditch C622 | Debitage |
| A008/002:623:1 | 623 | Fill of linear C626 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:654:2 | 654 | Fill of cereal drying kiln C677 | Flake |
| A008/002:657:1 | 657 | Fill of furrow | Flake |
| A008/002:658:1 | 658 | Fill of furrow | Flake |
| A008/002:747:1 | 747 | Fill of ditch C748, Area B, Phase 2 | Core? |
| A008/002:782:1 | 782 | Fill of pit C778 | Crystal |
| A008/002:782:2 | 782 | Fill of pit C778 | Flake |
| A008/002:893:1 | 893 | Fill of linear C894 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:906:1 | 906 | Fill of linear C903 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:906:2 | 906 | Fill of linear C903 | Blade |
| A008/002:907:1 | 907 | Fill of linear C904 | Blade |
| A008/002:968:1 | 968 | Fill of ditch C933, Area B, Phase 2 | Blade |
| A008/002:993:4 | 993 | Occupation deposit, Area B, Phase 1 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:993:5 | 993 | Occupation deposit, Area B, Phase 1 | Flake |
| A008/002:998:1 | 998 | Fill of ditch C1034 | Flake |
| A008/002:1035:1 | 1035 | Fill of ditch C905 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:1059:1 | 1059 | Fill of ditch C900, Area B, Phase 2 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:1064:1 | 1064 | Fill of ditch C1065, Area B, Phase 1 | Flake |
| A008/002:1218:1 | 1218 | Fill of linear C902, Area B, Phase 2 | Blade |
| A008/002:1218:2 | 1218 | Fill of linear C902, Area B, Phase 2 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:1240:1 | 1240 | Fill of ditch C1239 | Retouched Artefact |


| Find Number | Context | Description | Type |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A008/002:1240:2 | 1240 | Fill of ditch C1239 | Core |
| A008/002:1240:3 | 1240 | Fill of ditch C1239 | Blade |
| A008/002:1240:4 | 1240 | Fill of ditch C1239 | Retouched Artefact |
| A008/002:1273:1 | 1273 | Fill of linear C1271 | Flake |
| A008/002:1291:3 | 1291 | Fill of ditch C1290, Area B, Phase 1 | Retouched Artefact |

Table 2 Context Information for the Assemblage from Roestown 2 (E3055)

| Condition | AmOUNT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reasonably Fresh | 2 |
| Slightly Patinated | 4 |
| Patinated | 70 |
| Heavily Patinated | 25 |
| Rolled | 3 |
| Heavily Rolled/Patinated | 1 |
| Lustred | 3 |
| Burnt | 11 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ |

Table 3 Assemblage Condition from Roestown 2 (E3055)

## Technology/Morphology:

The worked artefacts represent six types of flaking products, 33 retouched artefacts and an unmodified quartz crystal (Table 4).

## Cores

All but one (A008/002:747:1) of the six cores and the split pebble (A008/002:100:96) are made of flint. The possible core A008/002:747:1 is made of quartz crystal. It shows the attempt to knap or split the crystal, it may also have been mounted between something. With the exception of one core (A008/002:400:98), the remaining five cores are bipolar types, including one scalar example (A008/002:400:16). Core A008/002:400:98 is a multiplatform core, but mostly one single platform was used. It was abandoned without bipolar use.

It has to be noted that the bipolar cores are less patinated, as are their respective flakes and blades. The cores were produced on split beach flint pebbles or larger flakes thereof. The majority of the bipolar cores were reduced while resting on an anvil which suggests the existence of a certain amount of skill and a clear reduction strategy, as opposed to a simple smash-and-see approach (O’Hare 2005).

| TYPE | AMOUNT |
| :--- | :---: |
| Core | 6 |
| Blade | 22 |
| Flake | 55 |
| Debitage | 21 |
| Retouched Artefact | 33 |
| Split Pebble | 1 |
| Chunk | 1 |
| Crystal | 1 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ |

Table 4 Assemblage Composition from Roestown 2 (E3055)

The cores rarely exceed 40 mm in length (Fig. 1), the majority measuring between $20-30 \mathrm{~mm}$ which is a clear reflection of the size of the locally available raw material and the reduction technique used. Core A008/002:401:8 is an exceptionally small core.


Figure 1 Dimensions (mm) of the Cores and Chunks from Roestown 2 (E3055)

## BLADES

Eleven of the twenty-two flint blades were recovered from the topsoil. At least two blades (A008/002:140:1 and A008/002:400:61) bear the distinctive characteristics of the use of a bipolar technology for their production. The remaining blades appear to have been produced using a direct single platform technology with a medium soft or soft stone.

Four blades show use-wear on their right and/or left edges (A008/002:100:22, A008/002:400:81, A008/002:400:82 and A008/002:907:1). Use-wear was not detected on any of the bipolar blades, which indicates that these were not intentionally produced, but rather have to be regarded as by-products.

Generally, the blades rarely exceed 50 mm in length (Fig. 2), the majority measuring between $20-40 \mathrm{~mm}$. One of the three larger blades (A008/002:100:22) is heavily patinated and was produced on a carefully prepared and well-maintained single platform conical blade core. Together with three further smaller, heavily patinated blades (A008/002:400:11, A008/002:400:87 and A008/002:906:2), eight flakes and what appears to be a microlith (A008/002:400:86), they represent an earlier phase on the site, i.e. a residual Early Mesolithic occupation, which is represented by blades, flakes and retouched blades and is only prevalent in the topsoil (Areas A and B) and a number of ditch fills (Area B) and an occupation layer which may be re-deposited.


Figure 2 Dimensions (mm) of the Blades, Flakes and Retouched Artefacts from Roestown 2

## FLAKES

The 55 flakes are all made of flint. None refits and it appears that most of them were brought to the site, rather than produced in situ. Split pebble flake A008/002:100:14 is of interest, as an attempt appears to have been made to use it as a bipolar core.

The flakes were predominantly produced on single platform cores. At least three flakes (A008/002:150:1, A008/002:400:66 and A008/002:566:13) appear to have produced using a bipolar method.

The flakes rarely exceed 40 mm in length (Fig. 2), the majority measuring between $20-35 \mathrm{~mm}$ long.

Five flakes (A008/002:100:32, A008/002:400:20, A008/002:400:26, A008/002:429:4 and A008/002:782:2) show use wear and/or polish on their right and/or left edges.

The few core rejuvenation flakes (e.g. A008/002:422:3, A008/002:429:4, A008/002:438:4, A008/002:566:16 and A008/002:993:5) attest to the presence of an expert knapper on the site. The majority of these flakes (A008/002:429:4, A008/002:438:4, A008/002:492:2, A008/002:566:16 and A008/002:993:5) are heavily patinated and were produced using a soft hammer. Together with three other flakes (A008/002:100:90, A008/002:998:1and A008/002:1064:1) they represent an Early Mesolithic occupation of the site.

Flake A008/002:1273:1 is quite large and was produced using a hard hammer. Its very large platform and morphology would seem to indicate that it was produced in the Late Mesolithic period (see also the retouched artefacts).

## Debitage

The presence of 21 pieces of debitage suggests that knapping took place at the site. Two heavily patinated pieces of debitage (A008/002:400:32 and A008/002:400:54) appear to be the distal ends of Early Mesolithic blades.

## Retouched Artefacts:

Circa 24 percent of the flaked assemblage (including the debitage, 28 percent excluding the debitage) is retouched. This can be regarded as unusually high for a predominantly Early Neolithic settlement (see Woodman 1994). The 33 retouched artefacts can be divided into seven main groups: one microlith, eleven scrapers, six arrowheads/arrowhead attempts, one invasively retouched form, one possible plano-convex knife, one distally trimmed flake and twelve miscellaneous retouched artefacts.

## Microlith

The microlith (A008/002:400:86) is a heavily patinated, partially backed bladelet that shows use-wear on its right edge.

## SCRAPERS

The eleven scrapers can generally be divided into four categories:

- three hollow scrapers (A008/002:473:6, A008/002:893:1 and A008/002:1059:1)
- four concave scrapers (A008/002:153:1, A008/002:623:1, A008/002:1240:1 and A008/002:1240:4)
- three convex end scrapers (A008/002:116:1, A008/002:400:31 and A008/002:400:50)
- one side scraper (A008/002:100:93)

Two of the hollow scrapers are missing one of their 'arms'. Scraper A008/002:400:50 is a large classic convex end scraper which shows extensive plough damage. Scrapers A008/002:116:1 and A008/002:400:31 are very small convex end scrapers. The former was produced on a split pebble half.

## ARROWHEADS

The arrowheads can be divided into a broken leaf/lozenge shaped fragments (A008/002:906:1), two hollow-based arrowhead (A008/002:400:35 and A008/002:401:12) and abandoned arrowhead production attempts/roughouts (A008/002:135:4, A008/002:400:74 and A008/002:401:3). The latter appear to have been produced by beginner and novice knappers and are either too small and/or too thick and were therefore abandoned.

## Invasively Retouched Form

The invasively retouched form (A008/002:1291:3) is retouched on its left edge. It also has a natural hollow on its distal end and appears to have been used as a hollow scraper.

## Plano-Convex Knife

Artefact A008/002:400:9 is a plough-damaged fragment of a possible plano-convex knife.

## Distally Trimmed Flake

Retouched artefact A008/002:1035:1 is a large distally trimmed flake that is generally associated with Late Mesolithic assemblages.

## Miscellaneous Retouched Artefacts

The twelve miscellaneous artefacts contain artefacts which were most likely used as some forms of scrapers (e.g. A008/002:100:8, A008/002:100:40, A008/002:100:102, A008/002:107:1, A008/002:400:53, A008/002:400:95, A008/002:400:97 and A008/002:1218:2) and as a blade knife (A008/002:400:68). Many of these would have been which were produced by beginner knappers and were clearly meant to be scrapers.

The miscellaneous retouched artefacts A008/002:100:8, A008/002:100:40, A008/002:107:1 and A008/002:175:3 appear to be re-used Neolithic flakes and blades. They may have been re-used in the Late Neolithic period or Bronze Age. A further indication of a possible use of the site during the Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age are four miscellaneous retouched artefacts which were produced on bipolar flakes (A008/002:400:53, A008/002:400:55, A008/002:400:97 and A008/002:1218:2).

As can be expected, the size of the retouched artefacts generally corresponds to the measured blade and flake sizes in the assemblage.

## Dating:

Given the location of the site on a hill and in the vicinity of a fresh water source, it is not surprising that the assemblage has to be regarded typologically and technologically as a palimpsest including Early Mesolithic, Late Mesolithic, Neolithic and possible also Bronze Age diagnostic elements.

It can be divided into four groups: (1) artefacts clearly associated with the Early Mesolithic such as the microlith and a number of blades and flakes, which represent a residual component really only present in the topsoil; (2) a flake and another large distally trimmed flake date to the Late Mesolithic; (3) the majority of artefacts are associated with an Early Neolithic occupation of the site and its environs and include the leaf/lozenge shaped arrowheads, the invasively retouched form, the majority of the miscellaneous retouched artefacts as well as the platform cores; (3) artefacts dated to the Late Neolithic/Early Bronze Age such as the hollow-based arrowheads, the plano-convex knife, the hollow and concave scrapers, micro disc scrapers, retouched bipolar blades and flakes and the scalar and bipolar cores (O’Hare 2005, Woodman et al. 2006).

It is highly likely that the Early Neolithic artefacts may have been associated with an occupation of a Neolithic house at the site, the remains of which were highly disturbed by later activities (see Comparative Material).

The recycling and re-use of earlier prehistoric artefacts such as Early Neolithic blades and flakes in the Late Neolithic and/or Early Bronze Age is a common phenomenon in Irish later prehistory (O'Hare 2005).

## Conservation

Lithics do not require specific conversation, but should be stored in a dry, stable environment. Preferably, each lithic should be bagged separately and contact with other lithics should be avoided, so as to prevent damage and breakage, in particular edge damage which could later be misinterpreted as retouch. Larger and heavier items are best kept in individual boxes to avoid crushing of smaller assemblage pieces.

## Comparative Material

Palimpsest assemblages are comparatively rare in Ireland. However, two similar assemblages were recovered during the excavation of a Neolithic house sites at Gortore, Co. Cork (Sternke 2007a) and Johnstown 1, Co. Meath (Sternke 2007b). These assemblages also included leaf-shaped arrowhead fragments and roughouts, hollow scrapers and residual Early Mesolithic components as well as a few Bronze Age lithics. The Gortore assemblage also included Later Mesolithic artefacts.

A further similar assemblage was also recovered during excavations of a rectangular Neolithic house and a circular Bronze Age enclosure at Haggardstown (06E0485), Co. Louth (Sternke 2007c). This assemblage also included leaf-shaped arrowhead fragments and roughouts, invasively retouched forms, a large number of scrapers (mainly convex end scrapers and micro disc scrapers) and a large Bronze Age bipolar lithic component.

In general, the Early Mesolithic blades and the microlith are comparable to those excavated at Mount Sandel, Co. Derry (Woodman 1985), while one flake and the distally trimmed flake compare to material excavated at Ferriter's Cove, Co. Kerry (Woodman et al. 1999).

## Discussion

Flint is available in larger and smaller nodules along the Meath and Louth coasts or in the glacial tills. The use of a limited single platform and bipolar technology on small to medium sized pebbles is in parts the result of this availability. The majority of these flint nodules are rather small pebbles with an average dimension of $4-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ and often only permit the use of a bipolar or scalar technology to efficiently reduce the nodule achieving a maximum outcome, i.e. the largest possible amount of suitable and usable blanks. The result is the regionally dominant split pebble scalar (Later Neolithic) and bipolar (Late Neolithic/Bronze Age) character of the eastern lithic assemblages. Given the technological composition of the Neolithic and Bronze Age component of the Roestown 2 assemblage, i.e. predominantly production debris and retouched tools, it is safe to assume that at least some tools were produced at the site. This is
certainly the case for the Early Mesolithic and Neolithic artefacts, while the Late Mesolithic distally trimmed flake would have been imported to the site in the form of a blank or finished product. The chert and quartz crystal used in the Neolithic is most likely to be of local origin.

## Summary

The 140 lithic finds from the archaeological excavation of a multi-period site at Roestown 2 (E3055), Co. Meath are 137 flaked pieces of flint, one flaked piece of chert, one worked and another unworked quartz crystal. The flaked assemblage contains six cores, 22 blades, 55 flakes, 33 retouched artefacts, one chunk, one split pebble, one unworked quartz crystal and 21 pieces of debitage.

The assemblage is dominated by a typological and technological component characteristic of the Early and Later Neolithic periods. This includes the flakes produced on single platform cores, leaf/lozenge shaped arrowheads and invasively retouched forms (first half of the Neolithic) and hollow-based arrowheads, the plano-convex knife, scalar cores, hollow scrapers and concave scrapers (second half of the Neolithic). It can also be expected that some of the bipolar flakes and blades also belong to the Neolithic phase or to the Early Bronze Age.

In addition, a residual Early Mesolithic element in the assemblage comprising of a small number of blades and flakes as well as a microlith was recovered from the topsoil. A single flake and a distally trimmed flake represent a sporadic use of the site at some point in the Late Mesolithic period.

The small residual Early Mesolithic component indicates that blade production may have taken place at or more likely near the site during that period. The presence of a small amount of cores and debitage suggests that a limited lithic production took place at the site during the Neolithic period and the Bronze Age. Together with the discarded retouched tools, the recovered cores, flakes, blades, debitage and retouched artefacts represent waste from a limited lithic production and the immediate use of lithic tools at the site, possibly in predominantly domestic activities.

This site makes an important contribution to the evidence for prehistoric settlement and land use in Co. Meath despite the fact that the lithics derive from a secondary context.
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04_01 Roestown 2 (A008/002)
Worked Antler and Bone Objects and Waste

Ian Riddler and Nicola Trzaska-Nartowski<br>March 2009

The assemblage includes 47 objects and three fragments of waste, all of early medieval date. The proximity of the site to Lagore Crannog, just 2 km away, inevitably means that comparisons have been drawn, in the first instance, from that site. That is not always an easy thing to do, however, because Hencken was very selective in his publication of bone and antler material from the site, publishing some objects in very sparse detail, and ignoring others entirely. Re-evaluations of the material culture of Lagore have drawn attention to the deficiencies in its published record (Comber 2004). Thus it has been possible to relate some elements of the assemblage, and particularly the combs, motif pieces and spearheads, directly with published and unpublished material from Lagore, whilst other components have not been compared in such detail. The pins, awls and needles, in particular, have only been assessed against published material. At the same time, they have also been viewed against the other recently excavated sites of the area, notably Baronstown 1 and Castlefarm 1.

## Combs

Fragments of five combs came from separate contexts. They span the period from the eighth century to the late tenth or early eleventh century and include a rare example of Scandinavian origin, the only comb of its specific type yet to have been found in Ireland. The earliest combs are represented by three fragments of double-sided composites (100:29, 131:1 and 227:1-3). Two of these fragments are end segments, both with straight backs and with little space between the teeth and the end of the comb. In one case (131:1) the area beyond the connecting plates (which were not recovered) is filled with several irregular rows of single ring-and-dot motifs. The extension of the end segment some distance beyond the connecting plates is a feature of Dunlevy's class D combs (Dunlevy 1988, 358-61). The decoration of end segments with ring-and-dot motifs is a common feature of double-sided composite combs and occurs on some of the earliest examples of class B, but not usually as rows of patterning. A row of motifs can be seen on a comb from Dowdstown 2, as well as on double-sided composites from Carraig Aille, Dublin, Feltrim and Lagore, but the closest parallel occurs on a comb from Raheennamadra (Ó Ríordáin 1949, fig 13.14I; Hartnett and Eogan 1964, fig 13.457; Simpson 1999, pl III; Hencken 1950, fig 98.242; Stenberger 1966, fig 3.29). A second end segment (100:29) is undecorated and has a straight back with rounded ends. Its proportions again place it into Dunlevy's class D and suggest that it is of eighth to ninth century date. The earlier class B combs from Lagore are considerably broader than this comb and lie within a
range of $43-52 \mathrm{~mm}$ in width. Class D combs, in contrast, are rarely wider than 45 mm and have straight or profiled back edges. A fragment (227:1-3) includes a part of the central area of the comb, as well as a separate end segment. The connecting plates are trapezoidal in section and are decorated with paired diagonal lines forming a continuous chevron pattern. Saw marks are long and prominent on all four edges. Two or more of these three characteristics can be seen on a number of double-sided composite combs of ninth to tenth century date. Chevron patterning is very common at this time and occurs alongside prominent saw marks on a ninth century comb from Dublin High Street (Riddler and TrzaskaNartowski forthcoming). Similar dating evidence is provided by a comb from Buckquoy, Orkney, where the pattern occurs alongside prominent saw marks; the comb was asssigned to the ninth to tenth century (Ritchie 1976-7, 196 and fig 7.55). It can also be seen on connecting plates of trapezoidal section on a comb from Killickaweeney, where there are no saw marks, however (Riddler and Trzaska-Nartowski 2008, 48, fig 3.10 and appendix 12). A comb from Knowth has connecting plates of the same section and prominent saw marks, but no decoration (Riddler, Trzaska-Nartowski and Barton forthcoming).

Two single-sided composite combs belong to the tenth century to early eleventh century and add an extra dimension to the material culture of the settlement. A fragment of a small comb (422:1-2) includes elaborate decoration in the form of paired crossing diagonal lines and ring-and-dot motifs, as well as hatched areas and decoration along the tops of the tooth segments. The connecting plates have a flat baseline and sinuous back that tapers towards one end before rising again. This is a characteristic of Scandinavian combs of tenth century date, as is the elaborate decoration and the use of rivets made of copper alloy, rather than iron. Comparable combs have come from Birka and Haithabu, in particular (Tempel 1970, 41 and abb 4.6; Ulbricht 1978, taf 32.7). The form belongs to Ambrosiani's class B, although the decoration is not matched at Birka and is closer to that seen on combs from Haithabu (Ambrosiani 1981, 62 and taf 29; Tempel 1969, taf 22.58). The use of copper alloy rivets is a defining characteristic of this comb type (Ambrosiani 1981, 72). The decoration of the tooth segments with ring-and-dot motifs is another unusual feature. It occurs also on a single tooth segment from Thetford, which is possibly of this type (Rogerson and Dallas 1984, fig 187.18). Combs of Scandinavian origin do not occur at Lagore, although there is one example of an Irish copy of an earlier Scandinavian comb type, produced in the late ninth to early tenth century (Hencken 1950, fig 98.1044). A much larger single-sided composite comb (1321:1-25) has connecting plates of broad, D-shaped section that curve along their baseline and taper towards each end. The comb is decorated on both sides at the centre, with bands of vertical and diagonal lines set in two registers and bounded by vertical incised lines. Similar combs occur across most of the northern world in the later tenth to early eleventh century (Tempel 1969, 92-9). The presence of prominent saw marks recalls the earlier series of double-sided composite combs and suggests that the comb is of local origin, produced in Ireland in a common design of the period. It can be compared with several combs from Dublin, as well as an incomplete comb from Lincoln and an example
from York (Riddler and Trzaska-Nartowski forthcoming; White 1981, fig 6; MacGregor 1982, fig 49.528). The comb has been well used and there are wear patterns on the teeth, occurring on one side in particular, suggesting that it was usually held in the same position in the hand, and combed from preference on one side. One end of the comb has been deliberately rounded and it appears that it had fractured in use. It was trimmed and repaired, and continued in use in its shortened form until it was eventually discarded.

## Antler and Bone Waste

A single fragment of antler waste (100:80) has been trimmed to a rectangular shape and sawn across its upper and lower edges. It represents an unfinished tooth segment from a comb, its size and thickness suggesting that it was intended for use on a single-sided composite of tenth or eleventh century date, rather than an earlier double-sided comb. A few fragments of comb manufacturing waste came from nearby Lagore and a larger sample was recovered from Castlefarm 1 (Comber 2008, 93). Even a single piece like this provides evidence for comb making on or near the excavated area of the settlement and recalls the situation in contemporary England, under which comb making took place on a small scale within most settlements (Riddler 1996). The development of urban centres with specialist areas for comb making is a later phenomenon of the eleventh to twelfth centuries.

A fragment of an ovicaprid tibia (400:77) includes the distal end of the bone and part of the midshaft, which has been facetted by knife. The function of this bone is unclear. It could be an offcut, with the interest of the bone worker centred on the remainder of the bone; or it could represent an early stage in object manufacture. The three bone spearheads from the site have all been produced from ovicaprid tibiae but this offcut is too short for that purpose.

## Pins, Awls and Needles

The assemblage of antler and bone is dominated by pins, awls and needles, as is usually the case. It includes four pins, four awls and six needles, as well as twelve fragments of shafts that are not identifiable to type. In addition, there is also a fragment of bone pin manufacturing waste. The four pins all vary markedly in their types. The earliest type is represented by two fragments (119:1 and 119:2) almost certainly from the same pin. The pin is fairly short but the head is now missing. The defining characteristic of the object is its swollen shaft, set above a taper to a sharp point. This is a feature of pins known from contemporary deposits in Ireland, England and Scotland (Stevenson 1955; MacGregor 1985, 119-21; Foster 1990, 150-1; Riddler, Trzsaska-Nartowski and Hatton forthcoming). Both Foster and MacGregor have noted that short pins (less than 70 mm in length) with hipped or swollen shafts do not appear before the seventh century (MacGregor 1985, 121; Foster 1990, 151). More recent work indicates
that they continue to the mid eighth century, but no later (Riddler, Trzaska-Nartowski and Hatton forthcoming). A sample of alder (Alnus glutinosa) from F119 was radiocarbon dated to AD 680-890 (Beta 229293), confirming this dating. A second pin (175:1) is less than 70 mm in length and has a simple, globular head, but it lacks any swelling of the shaft and this is important in terms of its dating. Instead, it has a circular shaft through most of its length, which becomes square in section over its lower part. A similar change in section of a shaft can be seen on a bone or antler pin from Castle Acre, Norfolk (Margeson 1982, fig 47.45). It was found alongside a series of short pins with hipped shafts, forming a medieval group that can be readily distinguished from the earlier forms noted above, belonging instead to the late eleventh to mid twelfth century (Margeson 1982, 248-9). A simple bone or antler pin from Waterford has a globular head, as with this example, and came from a context of early twelfth century date (Hurley 1997, 672 and fig 175.42). A twelfth century date can be applied also to a stick pin (414:3) made from a pig fibula, which has no discernible head. It is a very simple form that can be seen at Dublin and Waterford in twelfth century contexts (Walsh 1997, fig 68.16; Hurley 1997, fig 17.5.35 and 38).

The only pin to include decoration (639:1) is made of antler and has a lightly spatulate head, with a small panel of incised patterning on one side. The form represents a transition between earlier pins, mostly made of bone, which have large spatulate heads, and the later series of stick pins, where the size of the head is considerably reduced. The earlier group belong to the late tenth to eleventh century (SchwarzMackensen 1976, 44) and the transitional form is of eleventh to twelfth century date. Comparable examples of pins of this type are known from Dublin in particular where most, unfortunately, are unpublished. A fragment of bone waste (432:1) provides evidence of on-site pin making in the tenth to eleventh century. The fragment has fractured at either end and represents an early stage in shaping cattle sized bone to produce large pins with long shafts of circular section. Comparable pins have spatulate heads, some of which were decorated (MacGregor 1985, 120 and fig 64.36 and 38).

The four awls are made from pig fibulae with the head cut from the distal end of the bone in each case. The heads are either unmodified or lightly trimmed, so that they vary noticeably in their widths. Two of them fall within the size range established for Castlefarm 1, whilst one example (108:3) is somewhat shorter in length. Seen against the Castlefarm 1 sample, it appears that the significant characteristic of bone awls was their length, which is fairly consistent, whilst the width of the head varies considerably (Figure 001). Interestingly, with bone needles the situation is reversed, with a variation in lengths but only a small range of head widths. Objects of this type were regarded by Hencken $(1938,38)$ as pins but they are identical in bone type and form to awls of later prehistoric date, and that appears to be a better interpretation of their function (Sellwood 1984, 387-9; Seager Smith 2000, 224 and fig 89.1-2). They do not include any wear marks indicative of a function as pins and the only wear traces to be seen are confined to the tip of the shaft, again suggesting that they were implements rather than dress accessories.

They form a long-lasting type of implement, extending back into later prehistory and continuing in use into the twelfth to thirteenth century.

The six needles include four complete examples and one that is unfinished. In addition, there are also twelve fragments of needles or pins, most of which probably stem from needles. All twelve are made from pig fibulae. The bone needles form a fairly homogeneous group. They have all been produced from pig fibulae. The unfinished example has a perforated head but the shaft has not been completed. It confirms the working process seen also at Castlefarm 1, whereby the head was prepared and perforated first, before the shaft was trimmed to shape. The head of this needle has not been modified and, as a result it is a little wider than the remainder, all of which have been trimmed to widths of 8.5 to 11.5 mm . The same range of head widths can be seen also at Baronstown 1 and Castlefarm 1 (Figure 001). The modified heads have oval perforations, invariably cut by knife rather than being drilled, and straight shafts tapering usually to sharp points. Hencken inevitably described these objects also as pins, and he was following the general interpretation of the time (Hencken 1938, 38). Leeds had earlier argued that slightly modified, perforated pig fibulae from Sutton Courtenay were dress pins, 'a cord or thong with one end knotted being passed through the perforation and, after the pin itself had been thrust through the two folds of material which it was desired to fasten together, twisted round the pointed end of the pin in a single or double hitch’ (Leeds 1923, 183). Ironically, his view of their use was based on his own studies of Irish copper alloy brooches and pins. In recent years, they have been regarded as textile implements, used with loose mesh woollen fabrics. Although their head forms vary, a common factor is the presence of a flat or near-flat apex and this allowed them to be pressed into fabric. Andersson has noted that most examples of the object type are made from pig fibulae, generally with a flat or rounded heads, with the majority between 70 and 100 mm in length (Andersson 2003, 145-7 and fig 74). At Baronstown 1, Castlefarm 1 and Roestown 2 every single example has been made from a pig fibula, with the head invariably cut from the distal end of the bone. Every awl has also been made in the same way, whilst pins were made of both bone and antler, and from cattle bone as well as pig fibulae.


Figure 001 Bone Needle and Awl Sizes from Roestown 2, Baronstown 1 and Castlefarm 1

## Spearheads

Three bone spearheads (100:28, 161:3 and 491:4) have all been made from ovicaprid tibiae, almost certainly stemming from sheep. One spearhead (100:28) has been cut from the upper part of the midshaft, where it is triangular in shape, with the butt end shaped and perforated in the customary fashion. A second spearhead (161:3) is unfinished. It has been roughly sliced across the lower part of the midshaft but has not otherwise been worked. The third spearhead (491:4) is a conventional form of the period, with the butt end cut from just above the distal end of the bone and the blade sliced across the midshaft. It can be compared with spearheads from Cahercommaun, Carraig Aille, Clonfad, Feltrim Hill, Knowth and Raheennamadra (Hencken 1938, fig 38.674; Ó Ríordáin 1949, fig 14.32H, 208I, 211I, 221I and 278; Hartnett and Eogan 1964, fig 13.459 and 462; Stenberger 1966, fig 3.9, 10, 41 and 42). Hencken mentioned the presence of ten spearheads from Lagore and illustrated one of them (Hencken 1950, 194 and fig 106.673). All of them have been made from ovicaprid bones, with at least five cut from the lower part of the tibia midshaft, one of the most common bones to be used for this object type. The two stratified examples from Lagore came from deposits attributed by Hencken to his Period II (Hencken 1950, 194). This period was assigned to $c$ AD $850-934$ by Hencken and more cautiously to the ninth to
eleventh centuries by O'Meadhra (1987, 63-4). A recent example from Clonfad came from a context of eighth to ninth century date (Paul Stevens, pers comm) and it is likely that they extend in date from the eighth to the tenth century, given that there are also unpublished examples from Dublin. One of the spearheads (491:4) came from the fill of ditch F404, whilst the other was recovered from the topsoil.

Hencken regarded them as spearheads but was not aware of Crowfoot's suggestion that they may have been used as pin-beaters (Hencken 1950, 194; Crowfoot 1945). Crowfoot's suggestion was only a tentative one and was not helped by the occurrence of large groups of these items in several prehistoric graves. At least nine examples were found in a Bronze Age burial at Snailwell and sixteen came from an Iron Age burial at Grimthorpe (Lethbridge 1949; Longworth 1984, 158 and pl 46.104; Stead 1968, 170 and fig 16). All of the Irish examples have been hollowed at the butt end and almost all of them also include lateral perforations. This strongly suggests that they were intended to be hafted. Elsewhere, some of these perforations have been found to contain bone or iron pins (Cunnington 1923, 86-7; Sellwood 1984, 385; Hallén 1994, 205). Hafted implements are much more likely to have served as spearheads than pin-beaters. Moreover, recent work by Olsen on the wear patterns visible on a large assemblage from Fiskerton in Lincolnshire also confirmed that they were spearheads, and this interpretation has been adopted for contemporary implements from England and the Continent (Olsen 2003; Hallén 1994, 206-7; Riddler 2007, 315-6; Westphalen 1999, 8).

## Antler and Bone Stamps

A complete bone stamp (161:7) tapers to an indented oval terminal at one end and has three short teeth cut at the other end. They are curved in section, indicating that they were intended to be scored along the surface of an object, decorating it with three parallel combed lines. Briscoe has argued that these objects should be referred to as 'dies' rather than stamps and although that is strictly correct, there is room for confusion with other objects also referred to as dies; the term stamp is preferred here, as it has been elsewhere (Briscoe 1981, 2; MacGregor 1985, 194; Hallén 1994, 216). The presence of designs at both ends of the stamp places it within Knaut's group 2; stamps of group 1 have only one working face (Knaut 1987, 467-70 and abb 6). The majority of stamps belong to Knaut's group 1, and group 2 stamps are quite rare. Knaut illustrated ten examples from Holland and northern Germany, to which there can be added stamps from Hamwic and Ipswich (Riddler, Trzaska-Nartowski and Hatton forthcoming). The presence of rilled lines forming teeth at one end of the stamp is a feature seen elsewhere on antler stamps from the Broch of Burrian, Canterbury, Hamwic, Ipswich, Norwich and Sandtun (MacGregor 1974, 78 and fig 10.144; 1985, 194; Riddler 1986, 19 and fig 2; 2001, 245; Ayers 1994, 29 and fig 17.4). They come from contexts of eighth to tenth century date and although they vary in their precise shapes and sizes, the intention was the same in each case: to score a surface with comb-like parallel lines. The opposite end has a simple, oval indentation, one of the most common stamped patterns. A second implement (160:1) is an
antler tine end that has been neatly facetted to a pentagonal section and hollowed throughout. The narrow end has two diagonal lines incised into it and because the centre is hollow, the resultant pattern has a domed centre with four lines radiating from it. Simpler cross patterns are known from Bac Mhic Connain and Hamwic and a stamp from Frisia includes diagonal lines with dots in the interstices (Hallén 1994, 216 and fig 16.4; Knaut 1987, abb 5.3). Stamps from Hamwic and Zülpich are closer in design with raised centres and radiating lines, albeit with more lines than can be seen here (Knaut 1987, abb 5.18).

The early Anglo-Saxon series of stamps has always been related to ceramics and they are widely regarded as pot stamps (Riddler 1986; MacGregor 1985, 194; Myres 1970; Träger 1985). This works reasonably well for the fifth and sixth centuries but is noticeably imprecise thereafter. At Hamwic, for example, the nine stamps discovered to date do not correlate well at all with the stamped ceramics from the site (Riddler 1986; 1988; 1993, 115; Timby 1988, figs 17-18). Whilst Myres attempted to explain the stamps from Scotland as having 'strayed in ancient times from their natural context' (Myres 1970, 350) it is much more likely that they were used to stamp other materials, most likely leather. The Scottish examples from the Bac Mhic Connain, the Broch of Birsay, the Broch of Burrian and Dun an Fheurain all come from aceramic sites, as does the Irish corpus, which is limited to the two examples from Roestown 2, as well as a stamp from Clonfad 3 (Hallén 1994, 216 and fig 16.4; Stevenson 1951-2; MacGregor 1974, 78 and fig 10.144; 1985, 194 and fig 104; Ritchie 1970-1, 105 and fig 4.34; Myres 1970). A stamped leather sheath from a late seventh century context at Hamwic provides important early evidence for the early stages at which vegetable tanning, which provided more supple leather capable of being stamped, was coming into use (Cameron 2005, 61 and fig 32). Thereafter, stamped leather becomes commonplace in England and Ireland, although antler and bone stamps are not seen after the tenth century, and may have been superceded by stamps made of other materials.

## Antler Handle

A near complete antler handle (235:3-6) has been sawn from a section of antler tine and hollowed throughout. The outer surface has been smoothed and facetted by knife and the presence of iron staining at one end suggests that it had been used. This particular type of handle, which is perforated throughout its length, appears to come into widespread use during the tenth to eleventh centuries, continuing into the medieval period (Nicholson 1998, 485; MacGregor, Mainman and Rogers 1999, 1971; Riddler, TrzaskaNartowski and Hatton forthcoming). It conforms with Becker's type C from Berlin-Spandau that she defined as large, undecorated handles that can be distinguished from smaller examples, which were often decorated (Becker 1989, 125 and taf 36). They form simple, functional handles that may have been used with larger implements than the smaller, finer handles.

## Motif Pieces

One of the most spectacular components of the assemblage of antler and bone objects lies with the presence of no less than eight motif pieces. They have been incised into what at first appears to be a wide variety of animal bones, including several sections of innominate bone, a horse metatarsal, a horse radius, a fragment of cattle sized long bone and a horse scapula. Taking a minimal view, however, all of the bone could conceivably stem from the skeleton of a single horse and it is very interesting to see a pronounced preference for horse bone as the raw material. The homogeneity in species is echoed also in the motif pieces designs themselves, which are centred on interlace panels of three main types, with individual variants of these forms. A number of the pieces include complete carved panels as well as lightly incised patterns that form outlines for the same design, and are often placed in close proximity to the carved motifs. The scientific dating obtained from several of the contexts from which these pieces emerged has been compared with the generally less reliable stylistic dating of the motif pieces themselves, and there is a broad agreement. All of the motif pieces can be placed stylistically within the period of the eighth to early ninth century, which makes them contemporary with the Lagore motif pieces, and the scientific dating is mostly in agreement with this suggestion. The only disparity lies with two motif pieces (414:1 and $414: 4$ ) recovered from separate fills of the enclosure ditch F405. A radiocarbon sample from a different fill of the ditch provides a date of AD 530-650 (Beta 220115), which seems to be a little too early for the patterns seen on the motif pieces.

The innominate bone (535:1) has a complete interlace panel on one side, which has been skilfully finished in a sharply incised style that can be described as linear, following the definition of O'Meadhra (1987, 130). The panel looks like the finished end product of a process and can be regarded as a prototype rather than a trial. The interlace pattern is sinuous and leaf-like, and is cut as a single line throughout. This is unusual for a motif piece, where the majority of interlace is deeply incised with the use of paired lines, rather than single incised patterns. It is almost a negative version of a customary pattern. There is a very lightly incised trial of a similar interlace pattern on the other side of the bone. Its closest parallels have both been inscribed on stone and consist of a slate from the River Bann and a stone motif piece from Gransha, County Down (O’Meadhra 1979, figs 40, 80a and pl 30). The Gransha motif pieces have been dated to the ninth to tenth centuries (O'Meadhra 1987, 59). Interlace of this type does not occur in earlier seventh century Insular manuscripts but becomes common from the period of the Book of Lindisfarne onwards, through the first half of the eighth century (Alexander 1978, figs 35, 41 and 51). The motif piece came from a fill of the first recut of the enclosure ditch F404. A radiocarbon date of AD 710-910; AD 920-960 (Beta 220114) was obtained from another of the fills of this phase. The motif piece itself can be dated to the eighth to early ninth century, on stylistic grounds.

A fragment of cattle sized innominate bone (401:21) is decorated on one side with three motifs of the same type. Two of these are complete, whilst a small fragment of the third motif has been set perpendicular to the others, and has largely been lost. All three motifs are two strand interlace designs with winged terminals, a motif seen also on the horse metatarsal motif piece (432:3). The two complete panels are both incomplete and unfinished at their ends. The design itself is a common one, particularly on motif pieces of eighth to ninth century date. This motif piece came from the topsoil like layer that concealed the cut for enclosure 1.

The lower part of a horse metatarsal (432:3) includes several carved panels, as well as incised designs. Three completed panels include chip-carved interlace decoration and there are also five areas of incised patterning. Two of the complete panels represent a readily recognisable pattern, that of the butterfly knot, a discrete and normally square interlace pattern which is normally constructed from eight points (TrzaskaNartowski forthcoming). One of the patterns is somewhat squat and irregular, whilst the other is more uniform. The squat pattern also has an incised constructional outline for this design set beside the panel. This consists of a C shape, to which an X has been added. It represents half of the pattern that would be needed to construct this design. The third motif is a band of two strand interlace with winged ends. There are also two trial areas for this design, which consist essentially of bands of crossing diagonals lines, forming hatched patterns. In addition, there are two basic triquetra trials and an outline for a butterfly knot where the central area has been carved with two preliminary strokes, and then abandoned. Both motifs are characterised by their flexibility and they can be accommodated easily into irregular spaces. Neither can be dated with any precision, although the motif piece can be set on typological grounds into the eighth to ninth century. The motif of the interlace panel with winged ends recurs on the motif piece from Illaunloughan Island alongside triquetra motifs, and in a more elaborate form on a motif piece from Lagore, where most of the motifs are accompanied by incised trials, set beside them in each case. The Lagore motif piece has been dated on stylistic grounds to the eighth to early ninth century (White Marshall and Walsh 2005, figs 13 and 96; Hencken 1950, fig 95.324; Youngs 1989, 176). A radiocarbon date of AD $710-910$ was recorded from context 418 , one of the fills of the second phase of ditch F404 (Beta 220114); context 432 represented another of those fills. The motif piece would fit well into the earlier part of that date.

A fragment of cattle sized long bone (401:19) includes a deeply carved triquetra motif, bounded by a single framing line. Nearby are four deep rhomboidal depressions, cut with a blade but lacking any outlines, so that the form of the motif is indistinct, an unusual situation for a motif piece. The triangular triquetra utilised three initial point marks and has been skilfully cut in chip-carved technique with the aid of a sharp knife. The simple triquetra design, which cannot be closely dated, although it is worth noting that they can be seen in mid to late seventh century manuscript illumination, notably with Durham

Cathedral Library MS A.II. 10 (Alexander 1978, fig 10); but they continue in use for a long period thereafter.

Four small fragments of bone (685:1-3), stemming from a cattle sized scapula, or possibly from an innominate bone, include three carved motifs. Two of the fragments fit together and include a butterfly interlace motif and a narrow interlace panel with winged terminals, motifs common to the other pieces within the assemblage. The butterfly interlace panel overlies in part an earlier incised interlace design. A fourth fragment includes another butterfly interlace panel. The style of these panels differs slightly though not markedly - from that seen on the horse metatarsal motif piece (432:3). The motifs are larger and more curvilinear. They are likely to be of a similar, eighth to early ninth century date. The fragments came from the fill of the rectangular enclosure 15 , on the southern side of enclosure 1 .

In contrast to the series of motif pieces outlined above, the proximal end of a horse radius (1291:1) is covered in designs. The bone may originally have been broken to extract marrow and is similar in this respect to several unpublished examples from Dublin excavations, fractured about the same point and subsequently adapted as surfaces for carved and incised motifs. The motifs are all confined within the available space, confirming that they were added after the bone had been fractured. The anterior face forms the main surface with nine complete panels of designs, as well as several that are incomplete and four incised patterns. They include five triquetras, a rectangular panel of interlace with blunt ends, two interlace panels with winged terminals and a butterfly interlace panel. The interlace panel with blunt ends, formed from two opposed strands, is the only pattern not seen elsewhere in the Roestown 2 assemblage. Each of the motifs is placed at a different angle and they fill almost all of the space available. All of them are chip-carved. There are also two panels of unfinished chip-carved interlace patterns and two areas with very vague incised outlines, which have been abandoned. Of the numerous lightly inscribed lines, several represent initial elliptical outlines for triquetras. The medial face of the bone has two complete chip-carved panels of interlace, one with a winged terminals at both ends and the other with a similar terminal at one end and an angled terminal at the other, where the interlace has been fitted awkwardly into an irregular shape. A lightly inscribed circle is just visible above the stub of the ulna, with nicked knife marks indicating its centre. A narrow band formed of two lines bisects the circle and a few curved lines of interminate nature are also visible. This face also contains two rectangular interlace panels with winged terminals and a butterfly interlace panel; all are finished, chip-carved designs. They are set into a smoothed area of the bone, which has become polished from wear and handling and also includes a scorch mark, suggesting that it acted, in effect, as a resting place for a hot implement on the bone. Triquetras, butterfly interlace panels and two strand panels with blunt ends all appear on a motif piece from Lagore that utilises the distal end of a cattle radius (Hencken 1950, fig 95.324). Both the range
of designs and their execution link this piece closely with the others from Roestown, and suggests that they are all broadly contemporary. This piece came from the fill of ditch 1290 .

Another fragment of innominate bone (412:1) has two distinctly different sides. One includes at least three lightly incised, small triquetra patterns, as well as several curved lines and a sub-rectangular empty frame. Whilst some of the patterns are complete, others are little more than scratches into the bone. One triquetra has an indented area and this indicates the beginning of the process whereby they were transformed from incised patterns to carved designs. The other side of the bone has three complete, carved motifs. Two are complex butterfly interlace panels, set perpendicular to each other and rhomboidal in shape with three interlaced strands. The third pattern is an asymmetrical interlaced loop, a rare occurrence of this pattern within the Roestown 2 assemblage. The pattern can also be seen on a motif piece described below, as well as the cattle radius motif piece from Lagore (Hencken 1950, fig 95.324). Three asymmetric loops are joined in a continuous single strand pattern. The edge of the bone also includes further incised patterns, including small triquetras and curved loops resembling butterfly patterns. The piece came from one of the fills of the enclosure ditch F405. Another of the fills of the ditch provided a radiocarbon date of AD 530-650 (Beta 220115) but this particular motif piece is closely related to the remainder of the assemblage, as well as to a Lagore motif piece dated stylistically to the eighth to early ninth century; and that would be the preferred date for the piece.

The same disparity of dating occurs with the eighth of the motif pieces (414:4), which was recovered from another fill of the enclosure ditch F405. It consists of part of a horse scapula, including the glenoidal end and a section of the blade. The lower face includes a complete panel carved with a complex interlace design that has winged terminals, a variation on the pattern seen elsewhere in the remainder of the assemblage. Immediately beside it is a hatched grid that represents an early stage in its design, effectively the point at which it was sketched in linear form and turned from a rectangular pattern to one based on diamond shapes. These shapes formed the basis of the completed panel. A second motif of an asymmetrical looped interlace is unfinished and an incised section of the pattern forms part of the design, enclosed within a rectangular panel. Mistakes have been made in the placing of the loops and the panel was abandoned. Both sides of the bone are covered in lightly incised curved lines, densely applied to the flatter areas of the bone. The one complete panel and its unfinished neighbour have both been carved in the same style as the remainder of the assemblage.

Motif pieces are an Insular and more particularly an Irish phenomenon, seldom occurring outside of Ireland (O'Meadhra 1987, 78-9). They have often been related to metalwork, which they resemble closely. Comparisons can also be made with other media, although not all motifs are paralleled in all materials. Organic materials like textiles and leather were also richly decorated and may have required
preparatory studies of motifs. There is a close relationship between the Roestown 2 motif pieces and those from nearby Lagore, particularly in terms of the cattle radius mentioned several times above, but also with other bone examples (Hencken 1950, fig 95.324; Youngs 1989, 176; O'Meadhra 1979, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 119$ ). The animal panels of that motif piece do not occur at Roestown 2 but it is the smaller details, in particular, that link them. Of particular note is the rear face of the motif piece, where the only designs are outlines of small-scale triquetras, precisely like those on the Roestown 2 examples. A largely overlooked cattle sized scapula motif piece from Lagore (O’Meadhra 1979, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 121$ ) bears a quadrilobate knot, as well as a basic incised outline for an interlace panel with winged terminals. It also has two opposed arcs with associated lines, as seen at Roestown 2 (414:04). A fragment of a smaller scapula from Lagore (O’Meadhra 1979, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$ 122) includes a complete butterfly interlace panel, a common motif at Roestown 2. A further motif piece from Lagore (O’Meadhra 1979, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} 123$ ) forms a close parallel for the horse radius piece from Roestown 2 (1291:1). Both are covered in a similar range of motifs, included simple and double line carved triquetras, butterfly interlace panels and rectangular interlace with blunt ends. Small incised triquetras occur on both pieces, as well as compass drawn circles. The latter are quite rare within the corpus of motifs, usually occurring elsewhere as an element of marigold patterns, and they are seen more often on stone than bone. Even the range of bones links the two sites, particularly when viewed against later assemblages. The obvious difference is that Roestown 2 is dominated by horse bones and includes innominate bone, a horse metatarsal, a horse radius, a fragment of cattle sized long bone and a horse scapula. At Lagore the range extends to two cattle radii, as well as cattle and sheep-sized scapulae. There is a common interest in the radius and the scapula, whilst the large assemblage of motif pieces from Dublin is dominated by rib bones and cattle sized long bones, with little use of scapulae or innominate bones.

## Miscellaneous Bone Fragment

A fragment of a cattle sized radius midshaft (131:4) is smoothed and heavily polished on one side, much in the manner of a bone skate. Too little of the object remains to be certain about this identification, but the radius was one of the more common bones used for bone skates. Five of the twenty-two skates from Ipswich were shaped from cattle or horse radii and they were often used elsewhere, usually in smaller numbers than the metapodial bones, but occasionally as the dominant bone type (Riddler, TrzaskaNartowski and Hatton forthcoming; Becker 1990, 20 and 22-3). The equatible Irish climate would not have allowed for too much skating on ice, but bone skates have been found in Dublin and would be expected elsewhere in early medieval Ireland (National Museum 1973, 39).

## Catalogue

## Combs

A008/002:100:29
A fragmentary antler end segment from a double-sided composite comb, fractured across a rivet hole. It has a straight back edge and rounded corners, and the teeth are graduated in a straight line on one side, and in an irregular curve on the other. One set of teeth survives and they show traces of considerable wear on both sides. The connecting plates extended almost to the last tooth on each side.

| Length: | 16.0 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 42.2 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.3 mm |
| Weight: | 2.2 g |

A008/002:131:1
A fragment of an antler end segment from a double-sided composite comb, fractured across a rivet hole. None of the teeth now survive. They were graduated in a curve on each side towards the straight back edge of the segment and there are slight traces of wear on a few of the stubs. Between the ends of the connecting plates and the back edge there are three rows of single ring-and-dot motifs on one side and two rows on the other.

| Length: | 18.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 24.4 mm |
| Thickness: | 2.2 mm |
| Weight: | 1.2 g |

A008/002:422:1-2
A fragment of a small single-sided composite comb with decorated connecting plates of narrow D-shaped section. The fragment includes three tooth segments, fastened to parts of two connecting plates by four copper alloy rivets, with traces of two further rivet holes. One of the connecting plates is heavily abraded and its outer surface has disappeared. The other has a flat baseline and a lightly curved back which tapers towards one end before widening again. It is decorated at the centre by paired crossing diagonal lines with small, single ring-and-dot motifs inbetween them. The upper part of each connecting plate has a framing line with closely spaced diagonal hatching above it, and the tops of the tooth segments include double ring-and-dot motifs in a continuous pattern. No teeth survive; there are traces of some wear on the remaining stubs, but there are no saw marks from the cutting of the teeth.

| Length: | 82.0 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 11.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 10.3 mm |
| Weight: | 7.7 g |

## A008/002:227:1-3

A fragment of a double-sided composite comb of antler, now in two parts, and including two tooth segments, fastened to sections of two connecting plates by an iron rivet, as well as a separate end segment. No teeth survive. The connecting plates are trapezoidal in section and are decorated with paired diagonal lines forming continuous chevron patterns. Saw marks from the cutting of the teeth are long and prominent on all four edges. The surviving part of the end segment has a straight back edge and is undecorated

| Length: | 46.0 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 21.7 mm |
| Thickness: | 9.2 mm |
| Weight: | 3.4 g |

A008/002:1321:1
A fragment of a single-sided composite comb with broad connecting plates of D-shaped section. The comb includes parts of two antler connecting plates, fastened to an end segment and eight tooth segments by seven iron rivets. The connecting plates have a lightly curved baseline and curved back and taper to either end. They are decorated at the centre with bands of diagonal and vertical saw incised lines in two registers, separated by a blank space and bounded by broad bands of vertical lines. Saw marks from the cutting of the teeth are prominent on both sides. The comb teeth taper evenly to blunt ends with traces of lateral wear on both sides.

| Length: | 172.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 42.2 mm |
| Thickness: | 14.0 mm |
| Weight: | 30.1 g |

## Antler and Bone Waste

A008/002:400:77
The distal end of an ovicaprid tibia, including the articular surface and part of the midshaft. The end of the midshaft has been roughly facetted by knife, so that it is lightly tapered on three of its sides; the bone is otherwise unworked.

| Length: | 102.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 22.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 18.3 mm |
| Weight: | 22.5 g |

A008/002:100:86
An incomplete antler tooth segment blank, fractured across part of its surface. It has been sawn across the upper and lower edges and trimmed by knife along its length and across its broad surfaces, removing almost all of the upper surface tissue.
Length: $\quad 24.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
Width:
Thickness:
55.2 mm

Weight:
5.3 mm
6.3 g

## Pins

A008/002:119:1
A fragmentary shaft from a bone pin, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is circular in section and widens to a swollen middle area before tapering to a sharp point. Highly polished.

| Length: | 41.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 5.0 mm |
| Thickness: | 4.4 mm |
| Weight: | 0.7 g |

A008/002:119:2
A small fragment of a bone shaft from a pin, almost certainly the upper part of pin 119:1, although it does not join directly to that shaft. Circular to oval in section, straight throughout and highly polished.

| Length: | 14.8 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 3.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 2.8 mm |
| Weight: | 0.1 g |

A008/002:175:1
A complete bone or antler pin with a shaft of circular section surmounted by a globular head with a flat apex. The lower part of the shaft changes to a square section and tapers to a sharp point. Slightly degraded, with traces of polish.

| Length: | 63.8 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 4.7 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.9 mm |
| Weight: | 1.0 g |

A008/002:414:3
A fragmentary bone pin, produced from a pig fibula, with a straight shaft of oval section. The pin has a lightly rounded and bevelled apex, with no discernible head, and tapers evenly along its length. It is lightly polished and has fractured across the shaft.

Length:
57.6 mm

Width:
4.8 mm

Thickness:
4.1 mm

Weight:

A008/002:432:1
A fragment of bone pin manufacturing waste, cut from a cattle sized longbone and roughly facetted by knife to a circular section, with part of the upper surface of the bone still visible. Fractured at either end.

Length:
Width:
Thickness:
Weight:
91.7 mm
7.8 mm
7.7 mm
7.9 g

A008/002:639:1
A fragment of an antler pin, with a straight shaft of circular section leading to a flattened, spatulate head with a lightly curved apex. The head is decorated on one side with diagonal hatching set within a panel and including a vertical line at the centre. The reverse includes cortile tissue and is undecorated. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 42.9 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 12.0 mm |
| Thickness: | 6.0 mm |
| Weight: | 1.8 g |

## Awls

A008/002:108:3
A complete bone awl, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head is lightly rounded with a flat apex, and the shaft is straight and oval in section, leading to a rounded point. The object is highly polished.

| Length: | 68.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 11.8 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.6 mm |
| Weight: | 2.5 g |

## A008/002:598:3

A near complete bone awl, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head has been trimmed to provide a concave apex and relatively narrow sides, which lead to a lightly curved shaft of oval section, tapering to a sharp point, for which the tip is missing. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 83.0 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 8.0 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.3 mm |
| Weight: | 1.4 g |

A008/002:620:4
A fragmentary bone awl, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone; the apex is now missing. The shaft is straight and of irregular section, and tapers to a rounded point with traces of lateral wear on it. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 90.1 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 7.8 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.6 mm |
| Weight: | 2.4 g |

A008/002:1285:1
A complete bone awl, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head has not been modified at all, whilst the shaft is lightly curved and irregular in section, leading to a rounded point. Highly polished throughout.

| Length: | 87.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 12.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 4.1 mm |
| Weight: | 2.0 g |

## Needles

A008/002:107:2
A complete bone needle, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head has been lightly trimmed, and pierced by an oval knife-cut perforation. The shaft is straight and oval in section, and tapers to a rounded point. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 82.2 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 11.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 4.3 mm |
| Perforation Diameter: | 3.1 to 3.4 mm |
| Weight: | 1.9 g |

A008/002:119:4
A complete bone needle, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head has been trimmed to a flat apex with rounded corners, and is pierced by an oval knife-cut perforation. It leads to a thick, lightly curved shaft of oval section, which tapers to a sharp point. The needle is highly polished throughout.

Length:
Width:
Thickness:
Perforation Diameter:
Weight:
88.0 mm
9.6 mm
4.7 mm
3.5 to 3.6 mm
2.6 g

A008/002:160:2
A fragment of a bone needle, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head has a lightly rounded apex and is pierced by an oval knife-cut perforation. The shaft is straight and oval in section; the lower part is missing. Lightly polished throughout; now in two pieces.

| Length: | 54.9 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 11.8 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.4 mm |
| Perforation Diameter: | 4.2 to 4.7 mm |
| Weight: | 1.1 g |

A008/002:175:5
A complete bone needle, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head has a flat apex and rounded corners, and is pierced by a sub-oval knife cut perforation. The shaft is straight and flattened oval in section, and tapers to a rounded point. Highly polished throughout.

Length:
Width:
Perforation Diameter:
Thickness:
Weight:
85.6 mm
11.2 mm
4.2 to 4.4 mm
4.5 mm
2.2 g

## A008/002:643:1

A near complete needle, lacking just a part of the tip. It has been produced from a pig fibula, with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head is rounded throughout and pierced by an oval knife cut perforation. The shaft is straight and oval in section, and tapers to a rounded point. Polished along its length.

| Length: | 89.3 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 8.8 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.0 mm |
| Weight: | 2.1 g |

A008/002:689:1
An unfinished bone needle, produced from a pig fibula with the head cut from the distal end of the bone. The head is unmodified, except for an oval, knife cut perforation. The shaft has been partially trimmed but not completed, and retains some of the proximal end of the bone.

| Length: | 117.9 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 13.0 mm |
| Thickness: | 4.2 mm |
| Weight: | 2.7 g |

## Fragmentary Pins or Needles

## A008/002:100:13

The shaft and point of a bone pin or needle, made from a pig fibula and highly polished. The shaft is straight, and oval in section, and tapers to a rounded point.

| Length: | 56.0 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 3.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.4 mm |
| Weight: | 0.8 g |

A008/002:100:17
The shaft of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula and oval in section. It is straight and relatively narrow, and tapers over the lower section to a point, which is missing. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 60.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 3.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.3 mm |
| Weight: | 0.8 g |

A008/002:100:85
A fragment of the lower part of the shaft and point of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is straight and flattened oval in section, and tapers to a rounded point. Slight abraded, with traces of polish.

| Length: | 48.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 5.1 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.5 mm |
| Weight: | 0.7 g |

A008/002:109:1
A fragmentary shaft from a bone pin or needle, made from a pig fibula. The shaft is straight, and oval in section, and tapers towards a point, which is missing. Highly polished.

| Length: | 45.9 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 5.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 4.4 mm |
| Weight: | 0.9 g |

A008/002:119:3
The lower part of the shaft and point of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is straight and oval in section, and tapers to a sharp point; it is polished throughout.

| Length: | 54.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 4.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.2 mm |
| Weight: | 0.8 g |

A008/002:144:1
A fragment of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. It has fractured across a perforation at the head. The shaft is straight and oval in section; it has fractured above the point. Highly polished throughout.

Length:
Width:
Thickness:
Weight:
57.1 mm
7.8 mm
3.6 mm
1.2 g

A008/002:144:3
A fragment of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. It has fractured at the base of the head, across a perforation. The shaft is straight and facetted by knife; it is oval in section and leads to a sharp point. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 74.8 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 7.3 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.0 mm |
| Weight: | 0.9 g |

A008/002:162:3
A fragment of the shaft of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is oval in section and tapers lightly along its length; it is fractured at both ends. Polished throughout.

| Length: | 47.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 5.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.8 mm |
| Weight: | 1.2 g |

## A008/002:162:4

A fragment of the shaft of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft has a flattened oval section and is lightly curved. It tapers over the lower part to a rounded point. Slightly degraded; polished throughout.

| Length: | 62.8 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 5.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.2 mm |
| Weight: | 1.1 g |

A008/002:255:1
A small fragment of the lower part of the shaft and rounded point of a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is oval in section and tapers evenly to the point; it is highly polished.

| Length: | 24.7 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 3.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 2.0 mm |
| Weight: | 0.1 g |

A008/002:566:18
A fragment of the lower part of a shaft from a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is straight and has a flattened oval section. It tapers evenly to a point, which is now missing, and is highly polished.

| Length: | 46.8 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 4.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 3.1 mm |
| Weight: | 0.8 g |

A008/002:580:1
A fragment of the lower part of a shaft from a bone pin or needle, produced from a pig fibula. The shaft is straight and has a flattened oval section, tapering evenly to a point, with the tip missing. It is highly polished.

| Length: | 34.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 5.0 mm |
| Thickness: | 2.9 mm |
| Weight: | 0.5 g |

## Spearheads

A008/002:100:28
A fragment of a bone spearhead, cut from an ovicaprid tibia midshaft and now fractured at both ends. A lateral perforation passes through two sides of the bone close to the upper end, where there is a modern break. The shaft is triangular in section and tapers to a point, the fracture at this end occurred in antiquity. The surface has been polished.

| Length: | 69.3 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 13.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 14.3 mm |
| Weight: | 6.9 g |

## A008/002:161:3

A fragmentary section of bone midshaft, cut from the lower part of an ovicaprid tibia, and fractured at either end. At one end the bone has been roughly sliced, and is facetted by knife; it is otherwise unmodified and appears to be an unfinished spearhead.

| Length: | 91.1 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 12.6 mm |
| Thickness: | 10.7 mm |
| Weight: | 7.7 g |

A008/002:491:4
A near complete bone spearhead, produced from the lower midshaft of an ovicaprid tibia. The distal end has been removed, so that the object is hollow. It has been perforated laterally just below the butt end and sliced diagonally across the posterior face to produce a broad blade, the tip of which is missing. Highly polished throughout.

| Length: | 101.4 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 14.6 mm |
| Thickness: | 11.9 mm |
| Weight: | 12.7 g |

## Antler and Bone Stamps

A008/002:160:1
A complete antler stamp, formed from an antler tine end that has been facetted to a pentagonal section, and hollowed throughout. The narrow end includes two incised diagonal lines, forming a cruciform pattern.

| Length: | 47.3 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 16.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 14.7 mm |
| Weight: | 7.8 g |

A008/002:161:7
A complete bone stamp, cut from the lower part of the midshaft of a cattle-sized long bone and facetted to shape by knife. One end has an oval indentation, whilst the other has three short teeth, curved in section.

| Length: | 75.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 10.8 mm |
| Thickness: | 5.7 mm |
| Weight: | 3.5 g |

## Antler Handle

A008/002:235:3-6
A near complete antler handle, produced from a straight section of tine, sawn at either end and hollowed throughout. The outer surface has been smoothed and facetted by knife and there is iron staining towards one end.

| Length: | 118.1 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 31.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 25.0 mm |
| Weight: | 58.9 g |

## Motif Pieces

A008/002:401:19
A fragment of cattle sized long bone, fractured at both ends and split along its length, providing a lightly curved section of midshaft. It is decorated with a single deeply carved triquetra motif, with a second motif nearby, that appears to be unfinished.

| Length: | 92.6 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 41.4 mm |
| Thickness: | 12.3 mm |
| Weight: | 20.3 g |

A008/002:401:21
A small fragment of a cattle sized innominate bone, fractured at both ends. One side of the bone includes three incised patterns of the same type, with narrow rectangular interlace designs ending in winged terminals. Two of the patterns are set together, with the third perpendicular to them, and largely missing from the fractured bone.

| Length: | 59.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 39.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 19.3 mm |
| Weight: | 15.7 g |

A008/002:412:1
A section of cattle sized innominate bone, decorated on one side with three carved panels, two of complex three strand interlace in butterfly patterns and one with an asymmetric looped pattern. There are numerous lightly inscribed, curved lines along the edge of the fragment, some of which are small triquetras. The same patterns are repeated on the other side of the bone and one triquetra has been indented in part, the initial stage in transforming it into a carved design.

| Length: | 123.4 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 99.5 mm |
| Thickness: | 24.4 mm |
| Weight: | 91.2 g |

A008/002:414:4
A fragmentary horse scapula, including the glenoidal end and part of the blade, decorated on the flatter side with two carved panels (one finished and the other unfinished), as well as numerous incised patterns, some for interlace designs, whilst others are little more than scratches. The other side includes large numbers of curved lines, both irregularly shaped circles and ovals crossed by diagonal lines, covering most of the available space.

| Length: | 169.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 94.4 mm |
| Thickness: | 37.4 mm |
| Weight: | 106.5 g |

A008/002:432:3
The lower midshaft and distal end of a horse metatarsal, decorated with three complete carved interlace panels, as well as five areas with incised patterns. The incised patterns largely reflect the designs of the complete panels and are generally placed in close proximity to them. The bone is otherwise unworked.
Length: $\quad 165.0 \mathrm{~mm}$

Width: $\quad 44.9 \mathrm{~mm}$
Thickness: $\quad 35.1 \mathrm{~mm}$
Weight: $\quad 115.7 \mathrm{~g}$

A008/002:535:1
A section of cattle sized innominate bone with a modern fracture at one end, decorated with a rectangular panel of open geometric interlace on one side and with a faint linear pattern on the other side, as well as a small triquetra pattern.

| Length: | 102.0 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 59.9 mm |
| Thickness: | 25.4 mm |
| Weight: | 49.3 g |

A008/002:685:1-3
Four fragments of bone, two of which join together, and all of which stem from a single bone, probably a scapula, of cattle size. Three of the fragments of bone include motifs, two of which are butterfly interlace panels, whilst the third in a narrow rectangular panel of interlace with winged terminals. One of the butterfly interlace panels overlies an earlier incised interlace panel, set at a different angle.

| Length: | 52.3 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 25.0 mm |
| Thickness: | 10.2 mm |
| Weight: | 14.6 g |

A008/002:1291:1
The proximal end of a horse radius, including the articular surface and the upper part of the midshaft, as well as a section of the ulna. It is decorated by a series of sixteen interlace panels, as well as an area above the ulna, where a faint circle has been inscribed. There are also numerous scratches and smaller areas of lightly inscribed patterning. An area on the medial face, below the articulation, has been smoothed and is lightly scorched. It may have been used as a rest for hot metal implements.

| Length: | 148.5 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 80.1 mm |
| Thickness: | 46.1 mm |
| Weight: | 128.9 g |

## Miscellaneous Bone Fragment

## A008/002:131:4

A fragment of a radius midshaft from a cow or horse, fractured at both ends and with one side smoothed and highly polished. The bone is otherwise unworked.
Length:
60.8 mm
Width:
Thickness: $\quad 18.7 \mathrm{~mm}$
24.3 mm
Weight:
12.3 g

## Late Post-Medieval Butchered Bone

A008/002:400:80
A complete section of a pig radius midshaft, sawn neatly at both ends and representing animal butchery rather than bone working.

| Length: | 16.2 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 23.3 mm |
| Thickness: | 16.8 mm |
| Weight: | 6.3 g |

A008/002:400:36
A complete section of the midshaft of a pig tibia, sawn at both ends and otherwise unmodified. Butchery rather than bone working.

| Length: | 67.1 mm |
| :--- | :--- |
| Width: | 20.7 mm |
| Thickness: | 16.9 mm |
| Weight: | 21.1 g |

## Unworked Bone

A008/002:110:2
A small fragment of one edge of a piece of rib bone, of fairly small size, possibly from a sheep-sized animal. Fractured at both ends and not worked.
Length: $\quad 29.6 \mathrm{~mm}$

Width: $\quad 8.1 \mathrm{~mm}$
Thickness: $\quad 2.4 \mathrm{~mm}$
Weight: $\quad 0.6 \mathrm{~g}$

A008/002:211:1-2
Two conjoining foetal pig metapodial bones, unfused at both ends. One is complete and the other lacks one of its articulations. Not worked.
Length: $\quad 51.3 \mathrm{~mm}$
Width: $\quad 7.2 \mathrm{~mm}$
Thickness: $\quad 5.5 \mathrm{~mm}$
Weight: $\quad 0.8 \mathrm{~g}$

A008/002:580:2
A length of ossified animal cartilage, oval in section and hollow throughout, fractured at one end.

Length:
Width:
Thickness:
Weight:
129.7 mm
11.4 mm
8.3 mm
6.4 g
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## APPENDIX 16a: Worked stone by Anne Carey

Six stones from Roestown 2 were examined as part of stone tool specialist analysis. They comprised one anvil, one possible rotary quern, one possible gaming piece, one whetstone, one pounder and one piece of perforated slate.

## Anvils

The uniform feature defining an anvil is a roughened convex or flat working surface, displaying pockmarks and fractures, attained as a result of having been struck with another implement. The stones were rarely shaped prior to use and a variety of sizes of stone are usually encountered in excavation contexts. They can function in domestic use or in the ore benefication process, where ore is extracted from the host rock prior to smelting. Most stone tools are poor chronological indicators and they have not been afforded widespread detailed study.

A008/002:175:6
Roughly triangular shaped worked stone, possible anvil. The base and sides of the stone are rough and uneven. The working surface is irregular, with several areas of pecking, which localized into two main areas on this surface and not subject to grinding wear. The most intense area of pecking occurs in the centre of the stone, which is concave and the areas measure L. $110 \mathrm{~mm} \times \mathrm{W}$ th 100 mm . The second area of pecking is near the top of the stone, measuring $90 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$. The rest of the surface is irregular without evidence of wear, though the surface is partly scored with lightly incised lines, though not of sufficient depth or number to indicate a reuse as a whet stone. Dimensions: L. 410 mm , Wth 270 mm , Th. 90 mm .

## Gaming Stones

It is clear from a review of the literature that board games have played throughout the world and from prehistoric to modern times. Gaming stones have not been the subject of a detailed study in Ireland or Britain but they have been extensively studied by Professor Stuart Swiny from the Aegean to the Middle East (1986 The Kent State University Expedition to Episkopi Phaneromeni in Studies in Mediterranean Archaeology Vol. LXXIV: 2, Part 2, pp33-64) and such is their profusion that they appear on most of the finds inventories from Bronze Age settlement sites in Cyprus and elsewhere in the region. The gaming stones consist of flat stones consisting of parallel rows of cups or squares, though there are numerous examples of fixed stones with similar markings. The games would then have been played with pebbles, seeds or shells. It is not possible to definitively classify a pebble as a gaming piece in the absence of other evidence but small smoothed pebbles may well have had this function.

Roestown 2 A008/002:654:1
Small, smoothed flat pebble, possible gaming piece. Dimensions: L 30.8 mm , Wth 20.2 mm , Th 3 mm .

## Whet Stones

Whetstones are hard, medium or fine-grained stones used for sharpening or honing tools. A distinction is sometimes made between a whetstone and a hone, on the basis that a hone is used for fine sharpening and they are almost exclusively fine-grained stones. They can also be perforated to allow them to be hung on a belt. Though the occurrence on sites in Ireland of whet stones and hones has not been the subject of much study, they have been mentioned in finds inventories over a wide period and sharpening tools in general can be numerous on medieval sites in particular. The geology of the stone is important in the choice of a stone, though re-used roof tiles have also been utilised as whetstones, and portability of the stone was probably also a factor.

Roestown 2 A008/002:400:58
Possible whetstone. Roughly d-shaped stone, unshaped apart from incised lines along the long edge. The incisions comprise eight lines along the short axis of the edge (max L 20.2 mm , min L 10.3 mm ) and a single deeply incised line in the centre of the edge (L 70mm), cutting through the eight shorter lines. Dimensions: L 80 mm , Wth 40 mm , Th 20.6 mm .

## Pounders

Pounders are characterized by the presence of a pitted work surface marked with small pockmarks at one or both ends of a roughly oval stone. Pounders were hand held and are often cobble-sized stones, weather worn or water rolled and not specifically shaped to facilitate use. They can function in domestic use or in the ore benefication process, where ore is extracted from the host rock prior to smelting. Most stone tools are poor chronological indicators and they have not been afforded widespread detailed study.

Roestown 2 A008/002:570:1
Pounder. Roughly d shaped rolled pebble, smooth and regular all over. There is a small area with attrition marks on one edge (L 30mm, Wth 1mm). Dimensions: L 90mm, Wth 70.5 mm , Th 40 mm .

## Roofing Slate

Roestown 2 A008/002:513:3
Roughly rectangular shaped slate, unworked on all sides apart from a perforation (Diameter 10mm) near the top of the object. Dimensions: L 50 mm , Wth 30.5 mm , Th 10 mm .

## Rotary Querns

Rotary querns are generally represented in the find inventories of medieval sites in Ireland and throughout Europe. In terms of their occurrence and use in Ireland, the rotary quern was introduced from the first or second century A.D. (Caulfield 1969, 61).

Some work that has been carried out on rotary quernstones in Ireland (Bennett and Elton 1898, Curwen 1937, Caulfield 1966 and 1969) and the results are valuable especially in their dating and typological discussions. In terms of technological innovation, the rotary quern represents a significant departure from earlier grain processing methods. Previously a to- and -fro grinding motion was employed, where the grain was placed on a broad lower stone and crushed, and ground to flour by a smaller hand held rubbing stone. The most common implement employed for carrying out this process was the saddle quern, known from agricultural communities as early as 7000 B.C. in the Near East. The introduction in the Iron Age of the rotary quern, with its revolving upper stone, was to have far reaching implications for the processing of grain, although the adoption of the new technology did not see the immediate dispatch of the less advanced quern. They were to work simultaneously, sometimes on the same sites, as at Cahercommaun and Ballinderry 2 for a short period (Connolly 1994, 32).

The rotary principle, employed in both the rotary quern and the mill, involves the crushing of grain between two circular stones, with the upper one revolving upon the lower. The upper stone was perforated centrally and it was through a spindle (originally wooden but later with metal components), set in the lower stone and fitted into the central perforation of the upper stone, that the mechanism was securely linked. Much work has been done on the classification of rotary querns. Three main types of rotary quern have been identified (Caulfield 1966). These are beehive, disc and pot querns.

Roestown 2 A008/002:400:60
Fragment of worked stone, only the dressed upper surface and one side of which survives. The working surface and the remaining sides are fractured and a more detailed classification cannot be made than either the upper stone of a rotary quern or a rubbing stone. Dimensions: L 60mm, Wth 40.5 mm , Th 30 mm .

## APPENDIX 16b: Stone objects by Jon Stirland

| Project | Roestown 2, M3 Stone Finds Description Report |
| :--- | :--- |
| Archaeologist | Jon Stirland |
| Site | Roestown 2 |
| Project Start Date | 20 January 2008 |
| Report Date | 20 January 2008 |
| Job No | $04 \_01$ |

## 1.Introduction

This report provided descriptions 10 stone objects found during the excavation of the Roestown 2 Site along the route of the M3. The objects consist of:

- One unfinished broken Loom weight (A008/002:620:2),
- a stone ingot mound (A008/002:432:2),
- Three stone hones (A008/002:175: 7), (A008/002:108: 2) \& A008/002:437:2
- a stone lamp (A008/002:2)
- one none archaeological objects a rounded river rolled stone (A008/002/401/17)
- Two fragments of gaming boards (A008/002:151:2) and (A008/002:108:1)
- One possible rubbing stone (A008/002:400:73)
- Stone Ball (Possible natural river rolled peddle) (A008/002:401:17)

The many of the stone objects within this assemblage have a limited diagnostic nature, which inhibits any accurate dating. With the exception of the two fragments of gaming boards (A008/002:151:2) and (A008/002:108:1) and the stone ingot mound (A008/002:432:2) which strongly suggest an early medieval date. The inscribed pattern found on both fragments of gaming board appears to suggest that both fragments of stones contains a pattern of inscribed squares possibly associated the game Tafl (pronounced TAH-bl) dates back to before 400 AD, and was played throughout Scandinavia, Iceland, Germany, England, Wales and Ireland. It remained popular until the C17th AD, when it was gradually supplanted by chess. The word tafl is probably derived from the Latin tabula, which also referred to a board game. The game was also sometimes called hnefatafl, meaning 'king's table'. Historical -tafl boards could have anywhere from 49 ( $7 \times 7$ ) to 324 ( $18 \times 18$ ) cells or squares. The squares were sometimes checkered, while other boards had only the centre and corner squares distinguished. Some tafl boards placed the
pieces on the intersections of the lines rather than in the squares themselves. Others had holes for pegged pieces to be placed in.

## 2. Methodology

The methodology used to assess the nature of these stone objects was stylistic relative dating and a literature review comparing and contrasting other example from previous archaeological excavations and publications.

## 3. List of Stone Finds

| Site | Find No | Feature | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:620:2 | 620 | Broken Loom Weight |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:432:2 | 432 | Stone Ingot Mound |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:175:7 | 175 | Hone Stone |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:108:2 | 108 | Hone Stone |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:437:2 | 437 | Hone Stone |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:162:2 | 162 | Stone Lamp |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:401:17 | 401 | Stone Ball Possible natural river rolled pebble |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:151:2 | 151 | Fragment of Gaming Broad |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:108:1 | 108 | Fragment of Gaming Broad |
| Roestown 2 | A008/002:400:73 | 400 | Rubbing Stone |

## 4. Catalogue

| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:620:2 |  |
| Type | Broken Loom Weight | A008/002:620:2 Broken Loom Weight |
| Stone Type | Sandstone |  |
| Weight | 53g |  |
| Shape | Broken, <br> cylindrical slightly | $\pi$ |
| Description | Part of a cylindrical loom weight. <br> $\mathrm{W}: 42 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> L: 36 mm <br> T: 21 mm . <br> It outside surface has been shaped into a cylindrical tube with rounded edges. It broken internal surface contains two u-shaped depression formed during an attempt to form a hole through the centre of the object. |  |
| Interpretation | This loom weight appears to have been broken during its production. |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:432:2 |  |
| Type | Stone ingot mould | A008/002:432:2 Stone ingot mould |
| Stone Type | Sandstone |  |
| Weight | 320 g |  |
| Shape | Irregular slightly trapezoidal |  |
| Description | Stone ingot mould <br> $\mathrm{L}: 84 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> W: 64 mm <br> $\mathrm{T}: 25 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> It consists of one worked surface containing two linear parallel moulds with a width of 9 mm each and a length of 69 mm and 57 mm . <br> The stones worked surface also contains evidence of third unfinished mould located directly below the longer of the two finished moulds. <br> This trapezoidal shaped slab of sand stone has generally irregular sides that possibly suggest it originally form part of a larger slab that may have contained other ingot mould |  |
| Interpretation | Stone ingot mould used in the casting of metal ingots of two different sizes. The discolouration of its surfaces suggests it has been used. |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:108:2 | A008/002:108:2 Hone stone |
| Type | Hone stone |  |
| Stone Type | Limestone |  |
| Weight | 51 g |  |
| Shape | Irregular |  |
| Description | Generally this irregular <br> shaped slab of limestone <br> contains very little <br> evidence of general use. <br> Its two main surfaces are <br> covered in very shallow <br> striations with no visible <br> patterns. Both its main <br> surfaces appear slight <br> polished suggesting uses. |  |
|  | L: 85mm <br> W: 59 mm <br> D: 19 mm. |  |
| Interpretation | Hone stone possibly used <br> as an abrasive surface. |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:175:7 | A008/002:175:7 Hone Stone |
| Type | Hone stone |  |
| Stone Type | Quartz Mica Schist |  |
| Weight | 137 m |  |
| Shape | Elongated with <br> rounded edges |  |
| Description | All of this objects <br> surface shows clear <br> signs of being used. It <br> edges are rounded and <br> smooth and both its <br> mains surfaces are <br> polished in appearance. <br> The object contains no <br> other distinguishing <br> features. |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:437:2 |  |
| Type | Hone stone | A008/002:437:2 Hone stone |
| Stone Type | Fine Sandstone |  |
| Weight | 274g |  |
| Shape | Rectangular |  |
| Description | This hone stone has evidence for use on all its surfaces. Its two main surfaces contain deep pingroves approximately 56 mm long and 80 mm long. <br> L: 93mm, <br> W: 40 mm <br> D: 26 mm . |  |
| Interpretation | Hone stone used for producing point on pins, needles and other object. |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:162:2 |  |
| Type | Stone lamp | A008/002:162:2 Stone lamp |
| Stone Type | Mudstone |  |
| Weight | 43g |  |
| Shape | Rounded |  |
| Description | This rounded piece of mudstone contains a man made depression in one of its two surfaces. This depression as a diameter of 34 mm and a depth of 12 mm . There is slight evidence of discolouration located within the depression suggesting burning. <br> L: 54 mm <br> $\mathrm{W}: 48 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> D: 22 mm . |  |
| Interpretation | Stone lamp. |  |


| Project | 04 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |  |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/ 002:401:17 |  |
| Type | Stone ball, Possibly a a <br> natural river rolled pebble | A008/002:401:17 Stone ball (natural <br> river rolled pebble?) |
| Stone Type | Sandstone |  |
| Weight | 95 g |  |
| Shape | Round |  |
| Description | There is no visual <br> evidence upon the surface <br> of this stone to suggest use <br> or wear. Its general <br> appearance suggests it is a <br> river rolled pebble. |  |
| Interpretation | Naturally occurring river <br> rolled pebble. |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:151:2 |  |
| Type | Fragment of gaming board | A008/002:151: 2 Fragment of gaming <br> board |
| Stone Type | Limestone |  |
| Weight | 161 g |  |
| Shape | Irregular |  |
| Description | This irregular shaped slab <br> of limestone contains an <br> inscribed grid of squares <br> on one of its surfaces, of <br> which only a 5 x 5 area <br> can now ber seen, <br> consisting of approx. 25 <br> squares. It appears the <br> grid pattern was originally <br> more extensive across the <br> slabs original surface. <br> L: 141mm <br> W: 140mm <br> D: 49mm. |  |
| Interpretation | Fragment of gaming board <br> (See <br> description in <br> introduction). |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:108: 1 $\quad$ A008/002:108: 1 Fragment of gaming |  |
| board |  |  |
| Type | Fragment of gaming <br> board | Limestone |
| Stone Type | 299 g |  |
| Weight | Irregular <br> Shape | This small irregular <br> shaped fragment of a <br> limestone slab, containing <br> an inscribed grid pattern <br> of squares of which 13 <br> full squares can still be <br> seen. Located upon the <br> same face as the grad <br> pattern is a curving line of <br> four parallel lines over <br> which the grid pattern has <br> been inscribed. <br> L: 155mm <br> W: 84mm <br> D: 11mm. |
| Interpretation | Fragment of gaming <br> board <br> (See description within <br> introduction). |  |


| Project | 04_01 M3 Contract 2 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Site | Roestown 2 |  |
| Finds No | A008/002:400:73 | R008/002:400:73 Rubbing stone |
| Type | Rubbing stone |  |
| Stone Type | Mudstone |  |
| Weight | 424 g |  |
| Shape | Rounded / Oval |  |
| Description | Naturally occurring <br> river rolled pebble <br> with slight evidence <br> of wear/ use upon <br> one of its surfaces. |  |
| L: 114 mm <br> W: 72 mm <br> D: 39 mm. |  |  |
| Interpretation | This naturally <br> occurring pebble <br> appears to have been <br> used as an abrasive <br> surface. |  |
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## APPENDIX 16c: Stone spindle whorl: Richard O'Brien

## General Introduction

Hand spinning of fibres was the earliest method to make yarn for clothing until the invention of the spinning wheel in the Middle Ages. The hand spinning was generally done using a spindle, usually of wood, weighted at one end with a perforated object giving balance and equilibrium during spinning. This weight is classed as the spindle whorl. This method of hand spinning is still in use today in underdeveloped countries. However spinning can be done without using a whorl; a thin relatively straight branch with a bulbous end can serve the purpose adequately.

As hand spinning was such an integral part of everyday life any materials to hand were utilised by the spinner. Raw materials as diverse as human femur ends, lead, wood, animal bone, antler, clay, Samian ware, jet, lignite, amber, bronze, iron, stone (generally sandstone but occasionally mudstone, limestone and slate), glass, coal and even dried cow dung have been used as whorls. Spindle whorls were also used in necklaces with many examples known from Africa: thus the same object served a dual purpose.

## Irish Spindle Whorl Evidence

In Ireland early prehistoric whorls are rare as the raw materials were probably organic, and thus generally do not survive, although in the last ten years more examples have been found in response to increased excavation activity. It is likely than Neolithic people spun wool and other fibres into thread for clothing, but no definitive examples exist.

Disc-shaped whorls found in association with houses / domestic contexts include a highly decorated whorl from Killemly Co. Tipperary (E2126:15) firmly dated to the Middle Bronze Age 1256-1012 cal BC (UB7205) (O'Brien 2009c) and an undecorated whorl from a round house in Tober Co. Offaly (Walsh 2007, 15 [illustrated]).

A number of possible Iron Age spindle whorls are known: one with hour-glass perforation was found from a hut-circle site dated to the Early Iron Age from Scrabo, Co. Down (Owens 1970). A bone whorl was also found on an earthwork dated to the Late Iron Age / Early Christian period in Grannagh, Co. Galway (Rynne 1971).

It is from the Early Medieval and Hiberno-Norse periods that the vast majority of spindle whorls are recorded with important assemblages from Garryduff ringfort Co. Cork and Lagore crannog Co. Meath. A number of lead examples have been recorded from Woodstown, Co. Waterford (O'Brien 2004). The stone varieties naturally survive better, but on some Early Medieval sites bone spindle whorls predominate. The
classic example is Cahercommaun Stone Fort in Co. Clare where Hencken, excavating in 1934, defined a 4-stage classification based on sectional profiles; disc-shaped, hemispherical, cylindrical and bowl-shaped (Hencken 1938, Fig. 27, 43). The latter varieties invariably are made from cut-ends of femurs or humerii with the bowl-shaped whorls representing lathe-turned and finely decorated examples. Recently published whorls include a roughly cylindrical-shaped, possibly lathe-turned example made of antler burr, decorated on the edge, and a disc-shaped stone example, both from the $8^{\text {th }}-10^{\text {th }}$ century dated enclosure site of Killickaweeny 1 Co. Kildare (Carlin et al 2008, Fig 3.10, 48).

## Irish Spindle Whorl classification ${ }^{23}$

The primary consideration for spindle whorls was weight and this must be known for each object. Generally a weight range lying between 7.8 g and probably not exceeding 500 g , depending on the type of yarn desired, and the source fibre, is the acceptable range for spindle whorls. Often the lighter the whorl used the finer the yarn was produced. A diameter range between $34-134 \mathrm{~mm}$ is standard with most whorls measuring less than 70 mm in diameter. A diameter less than 30 mm was probably too small to have allowed the whorl turn clock-wise during the spinning movement. A thickness range between $2.8 \mathrm{~mm}-$ 24.3 mm is acceptable - thickness does not have to be completely uniform across the surface. The thicker the whorl at the centre the better the grip on the spindle during rotation, making the spinning movements smoother. The overall shape is generally circular to allow the correct clock-wise movement on the spindle: once there is sufficient balance across the whorl a perfect circular shape is not a prerequisite for good whorl functionality. The sectional profile largely depends on the material used, so stone generally is disc-shaped, bone being hemispherical. A central or almost central perforation with a profile not overtly slanted was desirable, with a perforation size between $7.5-33.9 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter. A diameter below 4 mm is probably too thin to have gripped the spindle sufficiently to spin even the lightest of fibre. Such objects with narrow perforations were probably beads: conversely a large perforation relative to the overall whorl size meant the necessity of a thicker spindle, contributing to less weight where it was needed most.

Decoration should not be used to date whorls as the common concentric circles around the perforation are the simplest and most obvious way to decorate such objects: examples are found from the Bronze Age right through to the medieval era. The bowl-shaped examples from Cahercommaun, Co. Clare included perfectly concentric circles with ring-and-dot motifs but these are rarities. Type and degree of decoration was down to the spinner's preference so variation is to be expected.

[^21]
## Roestown 2: A008/002:473:4

## Description

The find came from a fill of the re-cut of the enclosure ditch, and was found in an assemblage of Early Medieval material. It is circular in plan and disc-shaped in section. The stone is dark grained shale and is undecorated - what appears to be 2 incomplete concentric lines along one edge are these bedding planes. Both faces are rough and uneven due to the bedding planes fragmenting. It measures $33-34 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, $8-9 \mathrm{~mm}$ in thickness, and weighs 12 g . The perforation is central, drilled from one face and measures 8 mm diameter.

## Discussion

The Roestown 2 object is an undecorated whorl of the disc-shaped variety. Disc-shaped whorls are recorded from the Middle Bronze Age onwards and represent the commonest form of stone whorls, being easy to manufacture. In the Early Medieval period stone disc-shaped whorls are frequently found in ringforts, often in the ditch fills. Recent stone disc-shaped examples include a shale whorl from the ditch of a multi-phase enclosure site in Kilnacranna, Nenagh Co. Tipperary, E3266 (O’Brien 2009a), and a sandstone whorl from the ditch of a uni-vallate enclosure in Hughes-Lot East, Cashel Co. Tipperary, 03E0807, (O’Brien 2009b).

In comparison to stone disc-shaped whorls from Garryduff I ringfort Co. Cork, Roestown 2 closely resembles examples (416(b)) and (530) in terms of weight, size, thickness and perforation details (O'Brien 1994, 211-4, Plate 7.2, Table 30; O’Kelly 1962).

## Conclusion

The Roestown 2 object is a classic undecorated whorl of the disc-shaped variety. Although disc-shaped whorls have been dated from the Middle Bronze Age the contextual information here clearly points to a date from the Early Medieval period. The Roestown 2 whorl is smaller, slightly thinner and lighter than the circular disc-shaped whorls from Castlefarm 1 (A017/001:208:6 \& A017/001:224:1). It can however be closely paralleled with two whorls from Garryduff I ringfort Co. Cork.

A comparison with the ten stone whorls from the nearby Lagore Crannog Co. Meath would be a beneficial study for further analysis of the Roestown 2 b whorl.
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## APPENDIX 17: Glass Beads by Cecily Cropper

## The Beads

by Cecily Cropper

The assemblage comprised a total of 34 beads from 12 individual sites along the M3. Thirty of these are glass, 2 are of faience and one each is of bone and stone. A final object is a possible bead fragment of amber

## Classification

Within the glass, both monochrome and polychrome beads are represented including annular, globular, barrel, segmented, cable and dumb-bell beads. This report has drawn upon various classifications including those proposed by Beck (1927), Guido (1978) and Hirst (2000). In the bead inventory within this report, dimensions include $L=$ Length, $\mathrm{D}=$ Diameter, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Perforation Diameter. The amber bead includes $\mathrm{W}=$ Width and $\mathrm{Dp}=$ Depth .

## Manufacture

This report does not go into great detail on individual bead manufacture, as much is already written on methods of manufacture on beads from archaeological contexts, such as Guido (1978) and Küçükerman (1988). Further invaluable reference can also be taken from modern-day bead makers such as Adams (2005). All perforated beads, unless otherwise stated, have been wound, that is manufactured by winding molten glass around a thin metal rod (or mandrel) which is then heated to fuse and soften joins and irregularities within the bead. The glass for the dumb-bell beads has been gathered, where a gob of molten glass has been picked up on the end of a rod. Whilst hot, the molten glass has then been cinched in the middle to form the two lobes. In the case of the blue toggle from Roestown 2, the cracking-off point at the end of one lobe is still visible, where it has been knocked off from the rod in order to cool.

## Monochrome Translucent Blue

Blue beads came predominantly from both Castlefarm 1 and Roestown 2 with one also from Ardsallagh 2, ranging in tone from pale blue-tinted to appearing opaque in reflected light. Blue annular and globular beads are renowned for being ubiquitous and long-lived, certainly from the Iron Age onwards, and thus not a great deal of help for dating. This infamy is caused no doubt in part, by the fact that blue glass, in most cases coloured by cobalt, is the easiest glass to make (Küçükerman, 1988, 81). It is likely however, that a proportion of the beads from the M3 excavations are of an Iron Age date, notably the one from Ardsallagh 2 and perhaps the darker blue examples from both Castlefarm 1 and Roestown 2. Guido $(2000,175)$ recognised that whilst this type of bead is not closely datable overall, a more significant
number of Irish examples tend to come from sites or contexts dating from the 7th to the 10th centuries AD. This latter statement is well supported by finds of similar cobalt blue beads from a long list of sites including but certainly not limited to: Lough Gur, Co. Limerick (O Riordain, 1949), Lough Faughan Crannog, Co. Down (Collins, 1955), Garryduff, Co Cork (O’Kelly, 1963) and Feltrim Hill, Co. Dublin (Hartnett \& Eogan, 1964).

The two barrel beads from Roestown 2 are both distinctive having the same paler grey-blue colour with a lot of small internal bubbles and a high gloss on the external surface. One (492:01) has the faint impression of a spiral pattern on opposing sides, as if a coloured glass had been applied there but perhaps not fused, possibly due to non-compatible coefficients of expansion. The pattern and general barrel shape is similar to a bead from Garryduff I, Co. Cork (O'Kelly, 1963, p.69, Fig.13, no.282) that is light blue with applied opaque white spirals on opposing sides and with an early medieval date. Both these beads from Roestown 2 have some residue on the perforation surface, most likely remnants of a ceramic-based bead release or former used to facilitate removal of a bead from the metal rod.

Blue segmented glass beads are also relatively common on early medieval sites in Ireland, even those comprising four units that have been recovered along with two and three segmented beads also, including Lough Gur (O Riordain, 1949, p.90, Fig.19, no.91), Lagore Crannog (Hencken, 1950, p.141; Fig.67, nos.51, 680, p.139), Garryduff (O’Kelly, 1963, p.69, Fig.13, nos. 484,485; p.76) and Deer Parks Farm, Co. Antrim (Hamlin \& Lynn, 1988, p.47, Fig.56).

## Castlefarm 1

1 A017/001:562:01 Translucent green-blue tinted, globular. L 5.5-7 mm; D 9 mm ; PD 3.5-4 mm
2 A017/001:319:01 Translucent dark blue, annular. L 4.5-5.5 mm; D 7.5 mm ; PD 3.5mm
3 A017/001:208:11 Translucent mid-blue, globular. 14-6 mm; D 7.5 mm ; PD 4-4.5 mm
4 A017/001:208:12 Translucent mid-blue annular. L 3.5-4 mm; D 7 mm ; PD 4.5 mm

## Ardsallagh 2

5 A008/034:4:01 Translucent dark blue, globular. $15.5-6.5 \mathrm{~mm}$; D 7.5 mm ; PD 3.5-4 mm.

Roestown 2
6 A008/002:400:70
Translucent dark blue, globular. $13.5-4 \mathrm{~mm}$; D 6.5 mm ; PD 3.75 mm .
7 A008/002:1081:01 Translucent dark blue, globular. L 5-5.5 mm; D 9 mm ; PD 4-4.5 mm.
8 A008/002:492:01 Translucent light grey-blue, barrel. Faint spiral pattern on two sides. L 9 mm ; D 8 mm ; PD 4 mm .

| 9 A008/002:1182:01 | Translucent light grey-blue, barrel. Reddish-brown residue on perforation |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | surface. L 9 mm; D 9.5 mm; PD 6 mm. |

## Monochrome Opaque Yellow

The two opaque yellow beads both come from Roestown 2. The partial but diagnostic bead is an example of Guido's Class 8 (1978, 73-6), the main characteristics being the flattened perforation surfaces, and also the 'dull egg-yellow colour'. In Ireland, examples from a burial at Loughey, Donaghadee, Co. Down were found in association with a Meare Lake spiral bead dating from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $2^{\text {nd }}$ centuries BC and a fibula dating to the mid- ${ }^{\text {st }}$ century BC (ibid., 74-5). Other examples however, concentrating in Somerset and Moray in Scotland give a broader general date range for this bead type of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ to $2^{\text {nd }}$ centuries BC until about AD 50 (ibid., 76). The second opaque yellow (A008/002:566:03) is not illustrated and is too fragmentary to be diagnostic. However, it is more likely to be globular bead rather than an annular.

## Roestown 2

11 A008/002:400:69 Partial opaque yellow annular bead, flattened perforation sides. L 2.5 mm ; D 8 mm; PD 3 mm . Note: A008/002:566:03 Partial opaque yellow bead. Not illustrated

## Cable (both monochrome and polychrome)

There are 5 beads made with one, two and three twisted and flattened cables. Three of these come from Roestown 2, and one each from Castlefarm 1 and Baronstown 1. Two further more conventionallyshaped cable beads come from Ardsallagh 5 and Dowdstown 2. The tightly twisted rilled bead (491:03) from Roestown can be compared to a similar example from the Viking burial assemblage from Kilmainham, Co. Dublin. This is labelled ' g ' in Armstrong's illustration (Armstrong, 1921, p.72, Fig.1), a ' $D$ '-shaped bead that Guido, in a more recent analysis of this particular assemblage, likens to contemporary metal examples, particularly from Scandinavia, but also copied from examples such as the bronze sword mounting from Lisnacrogher, Co. Antrim of a probable 9th century date (1985, 101).

491:05 is a roughly twisted single cable that is pretty much a flattened version of 491:03, though not as finely twisted. The join is still visible where the ends have fused, often the case with these types of folded beads (Guido, 1978, 8). It is worth noting that both of these beads, 491:03 and 491:05, were found immediately adjacent to each other and may possibly then have been closely associated with each other prior to deposition.

The three remaining beads (Roestown 2, Castlefarm 1 and Baronstown 1) consist of multiple cables fused together and also have in common the remnants of an opaque yellow vitreous glass or paste present all around the cables and in between twists. The Baronstown cable has been further fused rather unevenly onto a solid flattened core of translucent blue glass. This compares particularly with Nos. 11 and 109 from Cush (O Riordain, 1940, p.147, Fig.35), but particularly No. 11 that has also been fused onto a blue core. There is also an example (No. 35) from Garryduff (O'Kelly, 1963, p.69, Fig.13; p.76) that Beck classified as Saxon and from Feltrim Hill, Co. Dublin (Hartnett \& Eogan, 1964, p.31, Fig.15, No.535).

The bead from Ardsallagh 5 is of 2 polychrome cables wound to form herringbone pattern comparable to one (no. 239) from Lough Gur (O Riordain, 1949, p.90, Fig.19) dating to approximately the 8th to 11th centuries. An example (no. 1289, unillustrated) from Lagore Crannog Period II is of similar colours, yellow and green glass fused onto a blue core (Hencken, 1950, 139) as is one from White Fort, Co. Down (Waterman, 1956, p.86, Fig.10, No.1). More complex herringbone beads came also from Lagore (Hencken, 1950, p.138-9, Fig.66-7, nos.283, 984), Garryduff I (O’Kelly, 1963, p.69, Fig.13, no.346) and Seacash, Co. Antrim (Lynn, 1978, p.66, Fig.9, no.1), the latter being dated to the 9th to 10th centuries AD.

What is interesting to note that technically the Ardsallagh 5 herringbone bead could be interpreted as being the finished stage of manufacture of the cable bead from Baronstown 1 that has the same colouration. Does this mean that the roughly twisted and crudely fused cable beads are perhaps unfinished? And if this were the case, it is then interesting to note the presence of these very similar beads at the three sites of Roestown, Baronstown and Castlefarm.

The annular from Dowdstown 2 has most likely been manufactured by winding a single reticella cable around a mandrel. Comparative beads, with this fine reticella cabling, can be seen in beads dating to the 6th-8th centuries AD from County Antrim, that Brugmann describes as having 'applied twisted trails' (2004, Fig.134).

## Roestown 2

12 A008/002:491:03 Translucent colourless blue-green tinted rilled bead comprised of a single finely twisted rod, the ends overlapping where fused together. L 2.25 mm ; D 9 mm ; PD 5.5 mm .

13 A008/002:491:05 Translucent colourless blue-green tinted bead comprised of a single twisted rod. L 5 mm ; D 9 mm ; PD 5 mm .

14 A008/002:491:02 Opaque light yellow-green bead of two twisted rods, with remnants of an opaque yellow glass/paste trailed between both rods and individual twists. L 8 mm ; D 8.5 mm; PD 5 mm .

## Castlefarm 1

15 A017/01:34:01 Partial bead comprised of three twisted cables (aligned in the same direction) of opaque yellow-green glass fused together with the remains of opaque yellow vitreous paste between each cable and within some of the twists. Remnants of the same opaque yellow and some opaque red on the perforation surface, the latter possibly left over from a clay core used as a former. L 7.5 mm ; D 10 mm ; PD 5 mm .

## Baronstown 1

16 A008/017:5012:01 Partial bead comprised of three twisted cables of gree-blue tinted glass fused onto a core of translucent blue glass. Remnants of opaque vitrous paste/glass between the two types of glasses, and between the cables and twists of the blue-green glass. L 6.5; D c. $11 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{PD}$ c. 7 mm .

## Ardsallagh 5

17 A008/038:38:1
Opaque blue annular bead with an opaque yellow/translucent light green cable composed of two separate twisted rods forming a herringbone pattern.
L 4.5-6.5 mm; D 9 mm ; PD 4 mm .

## Dowdstown 2

18 A008/033:101:132 Translucent globular reticella bead comprising three twists of blue with very fine opaque white cables, creating a zig-zag pattern. L 5 mm ; D 7 mm ; PD 3.5 mm .

## Polychrome Annular

Although this type of bead and decoration are relatively common bead throughout the Iron Age and early medieval periods the blue-green tinted bead itself is possibly re-used Roman glass. The red opaque applied glass forming the decorative but irregular wave pattern has weathered considerably.

## Lismullin 1

19 A008/021:753:01 Translucent light green-blue globular bead with applied, opaque red trail.
L 7 mm ; D 14 mm ; PD 4 mm .

## Dumb-bells (both monochrome and polychrome)

There are 3 solid double-segmented objects that are known as 'dumb-bell' beads due to their shape and lack of perforation (Beck, 1927, 40). Two are from Roestown 2 and the third from Castlefarm 1, the latter being decorated with circular blobs of possibly opaque yellow or discoloured white glass. Dumb-bell beads are well represented in Ireland, mostly known from a number of crannog sites including Ballinderry Crannog 2, Co. Offaly (Hencken, 1942, 51; Fig.21, no.251, 57), Moylarg Crannog, Co. Antrim (Buick, 1893, 33, 35-6), Lagore Crannog, Co. Meath (Hencken, 1950, p.139, Fig.67, no.1471) all of an early medieval date. However, very similar Iron Age examples also come from the Isle of Man, including a pair from the fort at Scarlett on the southern coast (Gelling, 1958, p.94, Fig.4, nos.5-6), and a trio from a settlement site at Braust (Isle of Man Government, 2008). Wilde described this type as a 'medieval double bead' and part of a composite object, being attached by wire around the central indent to a metal pin (1857, 163-4, Fig.118, No.42). Hencken $(1942,51)$ places them as buttons or toggles rather than beads.

## Castlefarm 1

20 A017/001:795:3 Translucent light green bead. Each lobe has three applied dots of creamy white opaque glass approximately 3 mm in diameter. The smaller lobe has a large internal bubble. L 13 mm ; D 9.5 mm .

## Roestown 2

21 A008/002:400:44 Translucent cobalt blue bead. Cracked off pontil mark present (diameter 2 mm ). L 11 mm ; D 6 mm .
22 A008/002:552:01 Translucent green-blue tinted bead. Chipped on one lobe. Vestigial pontil on intact lobe (diameter 2.5 mm ). L 11 mm ; D 6.5 mm .

## Post-Medieval

The following beads are most likely post-medieval in date, with some exhibiting weathering consistent with that period. The two faceted beads are both from Rath Hill 1 and have been made in the same fashion. The facets have been produced by pressing the bead onto a flat surface or marver, rather than cutting. They are not particularly well made. Although the site of Boyerstown 1 is of 12th-14th century date, the black bead would appear to be post-medieval, perhaps even 20th century.

## Philpotstown 1

23 A008/024:32:01 Partial translucent blue globular bead, iridescent weathering. L 8.5 mm ; D c. 10.5 mm ; PD 3.5 mm .

## Philpotstown 4

24 A008/083:10:01-03 Three translucent pale lemon yellow globular beads, opalescent weathering. L 7.5 mm ; D 7.5 mm ; PD 2.5 mm .

## Boyerstown 1

$25 \mathrm{~A} 023 / 013: 4: 4320$ Opaque black globular bead, high gloss. L 7.5 mm ; D 10 mm ; PD 2.5 mm .

## Rath Hill 1

26 A017/018:89:02 Translucent blue-green faceted bead. L 10.5 mm ; PD 3 mm .
27 A017/018:132:03 Translucent blue faceted bead, opalescent weathering. L 13 mm ; PD 3 mm .

## Faience

Faience melon beads are not found in pre-Roman contexts and the example from Lismullin 1 is likely to be of a c. mid 2nd century AD manufacture (Dr. Alison Sheridan24, pers.comm.). Interestingly, good comparisons to the melon bead can be found from Garranes, Co. Cork (O Riordain, 1942) that have not been distinguished as being faience although their descriptions imply a glazed surface rather than an entirely glass bead. A further example of what is certainly faience (again, a note of a blue glazed surface only) comes from Ballinderry Crannog 2 (Hencken, 1942, 51; Fig.21, no.12, 52) dated as Roman but from an early medieval context. Faience is well evidenced in Britain and Ireland during the Bronze Age period, from the early 2 nd millennium BC to approximately 1500 BC and in Britain it is most well known from sites concentrated around Stonehenge, attributed to the Wessex culture, and Scotland (Sheridan 2005, 218). Blue segmented faience beads are the most common type in the Bronze Age (Williams et al, 1991, 55) so it is possible that the small bead from Calliaghstown is indeed of this period.

## Lismullin 1

A008/021:160:06
Partial melon bead with light turquoise blue glaze on external and internal surfaces. L 10 mm ; D c. 15 mm ; PD 8.5 mm .

## Calliaghstown 1

A030/002:118:01
Partial segmented ?bead. Light turquoise blue glaze on external surface. L 5 mm ; D 4-4.5 mm; PD 2 mm .

[^22]
## Bone

There is little further to say about this; identification of animal source is impossible without thin section analysis.

## Boyerstown 1

A023/013:4:388
Globular bead, polished external surface. Remains of tool marks on one perforation side. L 6 mm ; D 6 mm ; PD 2.5-3 mm.

## Stone

## Calliaghstown 1

A030/002:212:01

> Partial opaque ivory-white annular bead. External surface highly polished. Possibly of a fine-grained impure marble. L 3.5 mm ; D c. 6 mm ; PD c. 4 mm .

## Amber

The single find of amber has possibly been worked and if so is a fragment only of a larger object. It is a common material on Early Christian sites and is not out of place at Roestown 2.

## Roestown 2

A008/002:570:02
Small irregular fragment of weathered orange amber, in two pieces.
L 10mm; W 8 mm ; Dp 5 mm .

## Discussion

The assemblage points towards imports, possibly from as early as the Bronze Age in the form of a small segmented bead of faience recovered from the ring-fort of Calliaghstown 1. Recent analysis has indicated significantly different chemical compositions between Bronze Age faience from Egypt and the Mediterranean and that occurring within Britain, Scotland and Ireland, enough to suggest discrete manufacturing centres in the south of England and Scotland, rather than long distance trade (Sheridan, 2005, 224). Faience also occurs as a 2nd century Roman import at the Iron Age site of Lismullin 1, not an isolated occurrence as others have been located from the long-lived sites of Garranes (also yielding Roman pottery) and Ballinderry Crannog 2. A bead of possibly re-used Roman glass also comes from Lismullin 1.

The assemblage also points towards continuity. Dumb-bell beads show a Celtic origin, not just from Ireland but interestingly a significant number also coming specifically from Iron Age sites on the Isle of Man. The dumb-bell beads also show a continuity of bead type, whether through continued manufacture
or just through heirloom status, from the Iron Age and throughout the early medieval period as evidenced through the two from Roestown 2 and the third from Castlefarm 1. This continuity from Iron Age to early medieval is also seen in the Iron Age type of opaque yellow annular, also from Roestown 2.

As well as external trade the assemblage also points towards internal interaction, at least. All the cable beads are typically early medieval, and can be readily and easily compared to others from significant early medieval sites dating from the 6th to the 10th centuries such as Lagore Crannog, Garranes, Garryduff, Cush and Lough Gur, amongst others throughout Ireland. The similarity between the cable beads from Roestown 2, Castlefarm 1 and Baronstown 1 certainly indicates local interaction and on a wider scale, trade, though perhaps not necessarily in high-quality finished products.
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# APPENDIX 18: E-ware pottery by Ian Doyle 

## The Early Medieval pottery (E ware) from Roestown 2, Collierstown 1 and Castletown Tara 1, Co. Meath

## Ian W. Doyle MA MIAI

The excavations at Roestown 2, Collierstown 1 and Castletown Tara 1 produced a small quantity of Early Medieval pottery from a range of contexts. This report identifies and describes this material and a background discussion concerning the occurrence of the pottery follows. It should be noted that Mediterranean imports (a Bii/LRA 1 amphora and a PRSW bowl) from Collierstown II have been reported upon separately. The pottery as excavated was washed and numbered and subsequently examined by the writer. The pottery has been grouped according to type and a minimum number of vessels have been calculated. The size of each sherd is given, as is the thickness and the diameter where possible.

## Gaulish imported pottery

In summary, there are five sherds of E ware representing two E1 pots from Roestown II, a single sherd of E ware from Collierstown I and two sherds of E ware from Castletown Tara I.

## Roestown 2

A008/002:772:1 and 805:1 Rim sherd from E1 jar. Two well preserved joining sherds.
Approximately $20 \%$ of the rim circumference. Everted rim with shallow lid seat. Fabric is brown-grey in colour. Rim diameter 17 cm , Size $98 \mathrm{~mm} \times 31 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 6 mm .
F772 fill of pit F1103, F805 fill of ditch F642

A008/002:1449:1 Rim sherd from E1 jar. Weathered, approximately 12\% of the rim circumference. Everted rim. Fabric has buff-white internal surface and a grey-black rim and external surface.

Rim diameter 16 cm , Size $55 \mathrm{~mm} \times 22 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 6 mm
Fill of sub-rectangular feature F1453

A008/002:131:2 E ware body sherd. Well preserved, fabric has cream-grey internal surface, greybrown external surface. Shoulder sherd.

Size $42 \mathrm{~mm} \times 24 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 5 mm
Fill of ditch F282

A008/002:131:3 E ware body sherd. Well preserved, fabric has cream-white surfaces.
Size $30 \mathrm{~mm} \times 16 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 4mm. Fill of ditch F282

## Castletown Tara I

A008/025:287:1 E ware body sherd. Slightly weathered, fabric has cream-white surfaces. Shoulder sherd. Size $54 \mathrm{~mm} \times 23 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 4 mm . Fill of ditch F358

A008/025:248:1 E ware body sherd. Slightly weathered, fabric has cream-brown surfaces. Shoulder sherd. Size $35 \mathrm{~mm} \times 31 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 4 mm .

Fill of ditch C900

## Collierstown I

A008/015:69:1 E ware rim sherd. Well preserved, but split such that only external face survives. Fabric is buff-brown. Lack of everted rim form suggests that sherd may represent an E5 lid sherd or possibly E3 bowl. This is not 'imitation PRSW' (Medieval Archaeology 2008, 370).

Rim diameter 19 cm , Size $52 \mathrm{~mm} \times 21 \mathrm{~mm}$, Thickness 6 mm
Fill of ditch F409

Table 1: Details of pottery by excavated context

| Context | Find No. | Comment | Area | Phase | Context Summary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Roestown 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 772 | $: 1$ | E1/E4 rim | B | I A | Fill of pit F1103; sherd joins 805:1 |
| 805 | $: 1$ | E1/E4 rim | B | 2 B | Fill of ditch F642 |
| 1449 | $: 1$ | E1/E4 rim |  |  | Fill of sub-rectangular feature F1453 |
| 131 | $: 2,: 3$ | 2 E ware <br> bodysherds | A | 1 A | Fill of ditch F282 |
| Castletown Tara 1 | $: 1$ | E ware <br> bodysherd |  |  | Fill of ditch F358 |
| 287 | $: 1$ | E ware <br> bodysherd |  |  | Fill of ditch F900 |
| 248 | $: 5$ |  |  |  |  |

## Discussion

The ceramics from Roestown represent the remains of two E1 jars while the sherds from Castletown Tara 1 and Collierstown 1 represent single vessels. This material adds to the known quantity of this material in the north Leinster area. Other sites in this area of Co Meath, which are predominantly secular settlements, such as Randalstown, Lagore, Moynagh Lough, Rathoath, have also produced imported pottery of this period (Thomas 1981; Doyle forthcoming).

The presence of imported pottery inland in Co Meath is not surprising given the fact that at least two potential importation points near the coast have been discovered. In 1988 a gas pipeline project uncovered a series of burials and enclosures at Colp West near the mouth of the Boyne. While this archaeological complex was only partly excavated a series of enclosing ditches, an annular gulley and over one hundred extended burials were revealed. Sherds from three Mediterranean amphorae and five to six E ware vessels were found within an enclosure. References to Colp can be cited from the seventh century Muírchu's Life of Patrick, which refer to 'Inber Copla/Inber Colpidi' (Gowen 1989; Charles-Edwards 2000, 16; Doyle 2001). These historical references appear to suggest a landing point at Inber Colptha. Sherds were also recovered during the excavation of a sequence of enclosures near the coast at Ninch near Laytown (Mc Conway 2004). The quantity and frequency of imports at Ninch suggests that this site had direct access to sea borne trade and that imports further inland in Co Meath were perhaps mediated through sites such as Ninch or Colp West.

It is this area between the rivers Liffey and Boyne, which is coeval with the early historic kingdom of Brega that significant quantities of E ware were imported. It is clear that Mediterranean vessels also circulated in smaller quantities in this area, as attested to by finds from Colp West, Lusk, Randalstown and Collierstown 1. What is of interest from the archaeological investigations associated with the M3 is the concentration of sherds of E ware in the area around Lagore. The material from the M3 project adds to the growing volume of imported pottery in this part of southern Co Meath. The M3 project has yielded E ware from Collierstown, Garretstown and Roestown, which are all located within close proximity to Lagore crannog. Other finds in this vicinity include sherds of E ware from Ratoath and Summerhill Demesne. Against the background of the general distribution of $E$ ware pottery in northern Leinster this appears as a distinct cluster away from the known finds closer to the Boyne and the coast. Accordingly, Lagore may have acted as a focal point for trade and subsequent redistribution outwards to locations such as Roestown and others.

The Collierstown sherd of E ware is notable in that it represents a probable E ware E 5 lid . This is not 'imitation PRSW' (Medieval Archaeology 2008, 370). The sherd lacks the typical everted rim form of E1 jars and is also larger than the typical diameter of the E1 jar. Accordingly, it is potentially an example of an E5 lid. These ceramic lids were conical in shape with an increase in body thickness towards a central raised boss (Campbell 2007, Fig. 33). While a groove or seat to accommodate a lid is a feature on some E1 rim sherds the number of E5 pot lids, which have been recognized, is relatively low. Fragments of E5 lids are known from Dalkey Island, Mount Offaly, Co Dublin, Caherlehillan, Co Kerry, Ballycatteen, Co Cork, Clogher, Co Tyrone, Colp West, and Site M at Knowth, Co Meath. Lids such as these are quite rare and what is equally significant in the Collierstown example is that it was stratified in the same context with a sherd of Phocaean Red Slipware (PRSW). In terms of dating, PRSW is normally assigned to the fifth-sixth centuries AD, whereas the conventional dating for E ware is somewhat later, generally between the late sixth - later seventh centuries AD (Campbell 2007, 46). The presence of two quite rare ceramic forms in a cemetery context is notable and may suggest activity at the site involving consumption or simply the deposition of sherds.

The point of origin of E ware is somewhat unclear, though that it is western Gaul is likely as vessels in similar fabrics are known from post-Roman sites in western France, in Bordeaux in particular and in the regions of the Touraine, Saintonge and Poitou to the north of Bordeaux (Wooding 1996, 77-8). E ware, as a kitchen or table ware, is likely to have been carried as a commodity in ships with wine in wooden casks and possibly with other perishable goods (Thomas 1990). Recently Campbell has argued that E ware should not be seen as a kitchen ware but as a range of containers for goods rather than as pottery per se. The product identified by Campbell is a red dye stuff from the plant Dyer's Madder, however nuts, spices and honey are suggested products as well as other exotic luxury goods (Walton Rogers 2005; Campbell 2007, 80). E ware has a wide Insular distribution ranging from south-west Britain, southern Wales, the Isle of Man, western Scotland and north-eastern, eastern, southern and midland parts of Ireland. E ware has a more marked Irish distribution with some forty sites in Ireland, primarily with settlement functions, known to have produced this pottery to date.
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## APPENDIX 19: Medieval pottery by Niamh Doyle

## Medieval and post medieval pottery from Roestown 2

By Niamh Doyle MA MIAI

The pottery assemblage from Roestown 2, County Meath contains thirty-four sherds of pottery including locally made $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century wares, Leinster Cooking Ware and a sherd of imported Merida-type pottery. Post medieval activity is represented by fragments of $18^{\text {th }}-19^{\text {th }}$ century glased red earthenware.

## Methodology

These fragments were identified visually in accordance with existing typologies. A brief description of fabric and decoration is given. The different types of pottery are presented in tabular form. Medieval vessel types and styles of manufacture were identified in accordance with the Medieval Pottery Research Group's classification of ceramic forms (1998). Both medieval and post medieval types were identified based on information from published excavations in Ireland and existing typologies.

## Dating

Date ranges for the pottery types are based on published dates for the production and distribution of pottery excavated from archaeological sites in Ireland, England and the United States of America.

## Quantification

The table in figure x illustrates the number of sherds found within each type and their percentage within the whole assemblage. The Minimum Number of Vessels (MNV) is a vessel count based on a frequently occurring diagnostic feature of the vessels represented in the assemblage. The high instance of jugs within the assemblage means that basing the MNV on the occurrence of rim-handle fragments, as representative of handled jars, is suitable. Unfortunately there are no rim-handle fragments present within the assemblage from Roestown 2, indicating a MNV of 0 . The table shown in figure x illustrates the date range and MNV for each medieval and post medieval pottery type. A count of the Minimum Number Represented (MNR) of each vessel type is included where possible.

| Type | Number of Sherds | Form | Date range |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Local medieval | 21 | $\mathrm{jar} / \mathrm{jug}$ | 13th-14th C |
| Local Fine ware | 4 | $\mathrm{jar} / \mathrm{jug}$ | 13th-14th C |
| Leinster Cooking Ware | 8 | jar, cooking vessel | 12 th-14th C |
| Merida type | 1 | $\mathrm{jar} / \mathrm{jug}$ | 13th-14th C |
| Glazed red earthenware | 1 | jar | 18th-19th C |
| Total | 35 |  |  |

Figure 1. Table of pottery from Roestown 2, County Meath.

## - Medieval Pottery

## Local Medieval pottery

The pottery has a sandy fabric and is orange in colour with inclusions of small stones, haematite, mica and quartz and small pores on the surface. This medieval pottery is similar to the local pottery described by Sands (2006) from Tullykane, which, at 3201 sherds, has had the largest assemblage of this type to date. The surface of the pottery is quite abraded, making it difficult to determine if the pottery was hand built or wheel thrown, although it is recorded in both forms at Tullykane (Sands 2006).

This pottery was also identified within pottery assemblages from excavations in County Meath at Castlefarm 1(Doyle 2007 c), Garretstown 2 (Doyle 2007 b) and Dunboyne 4 (Doyle 2007 a) and is similar to the Killeen-type pottery in the medieval pottery assemblage from Killeen Castle (Doyle 2006). This locally made medieval pottery type has also been found at excavations in County Meath in advance of road works at Rathhill 1, Ross 1 and 2, and 4, Williamstown Bawn 2, Collierstown 1 and 2, Skreen 2, Dowdstown, Castletown Tara 1, Baronstown 1 and Lismullin 1. This local type of pottery from County Meath is different to that identified at Trevit 1, County Meath (Doyle 2007 d) and to the Meath-type ware identified at Pheonixtown 1 (Doyle 2007 e), both of which had a soft, powdery fabric.
$60 \%$ of the assemblage is comprised of local medieval pottery, represented by body sherds from jug and jar forms. At least one jug/-handled jar is represented by the presence of a strap handle fragment (400:3) with an incised pattern, unfortunately the fragment is too small to identify the pattern. A jug form with an everted sagging (1484:1) base is also represented, the vessel has been burnt externally indicating it was used to heat its contents over a fire.

## Local Fine ware

Sands discusses the presence of Dublin-type fineware and a local fineware similar to Trim ware at Tullykane (Sands 2006). The assemblage from Roestown 2 contains four fragments of fineware, ranging from reduced grey and buff to orange, with consistently soft powdery fabric with occasional haematite inclusions. A MNR of three vessels are represented ( $11 \%$ of the pottery assemblage) with a patchy green/yellow lead glaze.

## Leinster Cooking Ware

Leinster Cooking Ware is a hand built ware found on most sites in southeastern Ireland from the $12^{\text {th }}$ to $14^{\text {th }}$ centuries. The fabric is coarse and contains large plates of quartz, mica and occasionally decomposed feldspar (Ó Floinn 1988). The assemblage contains eight fragments from a MNR of three vessels of this type, representing $21 \%$ of the pottery assemblage.

## Merida-type

The assemblage contains one fragment of Merida type with the characteristic red-orange coloured hard sandy fabric with a burnished exterior. These vessels have been made in the Iberian Peninsula since the thirteenth century until present day. The assemblage contains a single fragment (473:10) of this type with the characteristic fine, sandy micaceous fabric, it is orange in colour with a grey-brown burnished surface (Hurst et al, 1986 69). The fragment reveals a bubble within the fabric that could account for the vessel breaking at this weakened part of the vessel. $13^{\text {th }}-14^{\text {th }}$ century Merida-type pottery was also found at Tullykane, County Meath (Sands 2006).

## - Post medieval pottery

## Glazed red earthenware

A wide variety of glased red earthenware vessel forms were produced in Ireland and England in the $18^{\text {th }}$ and $19^{\text {th }}$ century for use in the kitchen, garden, pantry and for both household chores and industry. A body fragment (401:18) from a small jar is glazed with a green/black glaze, indicating a MNR of one.
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Appendix 1: Catalogue for Roestown 2

| Scheme <br> Number | Context | Find <br> number | Type | Part |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| A008/002 | 100 | 12 | Local Fine Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 19 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 36 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 37 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 41 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 80 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 82 | Local Fine Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 87 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 100 | 88 | Local Fine Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 175 | 4 | Local Fine Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 235 | 1 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 1 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 14 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 15 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 22 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 23 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 30 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 33 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 39 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 48 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 52 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 62 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 72 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 75 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 93 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 400 | 102 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 401 | 1 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 473 | 8 | Leinster Cooking Ware | bf |
| A008/002 | 473 | 10 | Merida-type | bf |
| A008/002 | 512 | 1 | Local Medieval | bf |
| A008/002 | 598 | 5 | Local Medieval |  |
| A008/002 | 1484 | 1 | Local Medieval |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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## Introduction

A total of 10.35 Kg of slag was recovered from the medieval site at Roestown 2.

Excavations at this site revealed extensive evidence for early medieval settlement that may have originated in the mid-sixth century AD and probably continued as a settlement into the 11th century AD. Carpentry and ironmongery must have been part of daily life, but other crafts were also practised; particularly bone working and textile weaving. There was strong evidence for fine metalworking during the seventh century. The principal enclosure was re-cut on at least two successive occasions between the eighth and 10th centuries AD, with the character of the enclosure changing noticeably on each occasion (O’Hara \& Murphy 2007).

As is usually the case on many medieval sites the majority of the slag from this site came from ditch fills and there was no evidence for any residues being closely associated with distinctive metalworking hearths or deposits.

The majority of the slag from this site is associated with iron-working, the morphology of the slags indicates that most are associated with iron smithing. There was no evidence for any smelting slags amongst the assemblage. A total of nine definite and two possible broken smithing hearth cakes were identified in the assemblage. They range from $0.15-0.80 \mathrm{Kg}$ in weight which is generally quite a low weight range for this type of material, many medieval sites would have smithing cakes with average weights in excess of 1.0 Kg and often up to 2.5 Kg . Smaller smithing cakes point to small-scale ironworking taking place, rather than being a production centre, sites with only a few small cakes would indicate that only artifact repair and recycling was taking place.

### 2.0 Stages in the Iron-working Process

The iron-working process is quite complex and involves several stages:

- Stage 1: Sourcing of ore and fuel resources (may have exploited bog ore or local mineral resources, difficult to identify ore on site as it may appear similar to stones found naturally in the soil. Examination of charcoal deposits can provide information on fuel types being exploited, impact on local environment can be mapped using pollen analysis).
- Stage 2: Ore preparation for smelting (this often involves sorting, crushing and roasting of the ore).
- Stage 3: Furnace construction for smelting (there is a large variety in shapes and sizes of furnaces and it is often difficult to tell from the archaeological remains what the original furnace was like. The superstructure was usually clay-built and often destroyed by the smelting process or by weathering over time).
- Furnace construction for smithing (this could involve slight changes and re-use of the smelting furnace).
- Stage 4: Bloom refining or primary smithing (all that may remain in the archaeological record is a charcoal patch, hammerscale, slag and some evidence for an anvil in the form of a large flat stone or a post-hole which may have held a wooden block. Iron blooms could appear very similar to slag cakes on the site due to corrosion on the outer surface, the only way to clearly distinguish a bloom is to X -ray any of the heavier/more dense pieces to determine levels of pure iron).
- Stage 5: Artefact manufacture or secondary smithing (also repair and recycling, scraps and offcuts of waste material may be found).


### 3.0 Evidence for non-ferrous activity at Roestown 2

There were three crucible fragments recovered during excavation at this site, these were not included within assemblage for archaeo-metallurgical report, but their presence points to some small-scale nonferrous metal-working on the site.

It was noted that some of the Roestown 2 crucible fragments bore glazed residues (A008/002:566:8) which, with a number of hardened glassy residues recovered during excavation, suggested some glass working on-site.

There is very little comparative published material available for analysis on Irish crucibles, they have mainly been examined from a morphological perspective and not a technical/analytical one. Analyses were carried out on several Irish crucibles in 1927, the analyses carried out were mainly on crucibles which had slag or metallic prills adhering to their inner surfaces. Of the eight analyses carried out the results indicated mainly copper and tin as the metallic elements present, no lead, zinc or silver were detected (Moss 1927: 178). Apart from this work carried out in 1927 there are no other published data presently available on analyses of Irish crucibles.

The recent analysis carried out on a crucible from Coonagh West in Co. Limerick revealed that copper and tin were the main metals being melted within the crucible, with smaller quantities of silver, lead and zinc and trace quantities of gold (Wallace 2008). Elements such as zinc are very volatile and so diffuse into the crucible wall, zinc can be over-represented in analytical results as it leaves a far higher signature
due to its volatility (Bayley 1992: 817-8). Lead was often added to copper alloys to enhance the casting properties.

Most crucibles have a glaze on their inner surface where metals and crucible fabric have been heated to temperatures in excess of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ these high temperatures often cause partial melting of the inner surface of the crucible along with the metals within.

When the molten metal is poured off there is a residue of crucible slag left behind which has formed a glazed or vitrified layer often glassy in appearance. This vitrified layer has often led to the assumption that crucibles or vitrified material is associated with glass-working. Molten fragments of glass and discarded faulty glass objects are usually more indicative of glass-working. Crucibles can be associated with glass-working but there have been very few examples identified in medieval north European contexts.

Small vitrified glassy slags are frequently formed during iron smithing activities, as the hot slag hits the surrounding clay the silica and alumina in the clay are heated rapidly often cooling into a glassy state.

### 4.0 Analysis of 5 samples of archaeo-metallurgical material excavated at Roestown 2

By L. Anguilano, BSc, MSc, MSc, AIM, Experimental Techniques Centre, Brunel University, Kingston Lane, Uxbridge, Middlesex UB8 3PH, UK.

## Introduction

Five samples of metallurgical debris were examined to identify the formation processes, the raw material used and the firing conditions. The five samples were all identified as iron smithing slag and underwent petrographic, chemical and mineralogical investigation.

| ETC ID | Site | Feature | Sample No. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 4 1 0 8} \_12$ | Roestown 2 | F1252 | sample 298 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 1 0 8} 13$ | Roestown 2 | F162 | sample 25 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 1 0 8} 14$ | Roestown 2 | F400 | sample 253 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 1 0 8} \_15$ | Roestown 2 | F316 | sample 118 |
| $\mathbf{2 4 1 0 8} 1 \mathbf{1 6}$ | Roestown 2 | F414 | sample 168 |

Table 1: Roestown 2 samples examined, and project IDs

## Visual inspection

A visual examination of the samples was carried out before and after the cutting of the samples. The samples were all identified as smithing slags of the concave/convex type. The slags are of a circular/elliptic shape, indicating that the air flow was strong enough to produce the slag in the centre of the pit (see Plates $1 \& 2$ ).


Plate 1: Sample 24108_12


Plate 2: Sample 24108_16

After the initial visual screening the samples were given a project ID (Table 1), and were cut to perform the chemical and petrographic analyses. Two fragments were cut at the edge of each sample to leave the bulk of the sample as intact as possible. One fragment was cut and mounted in resin and to be analysed using optical and electron microscopy, and one fragment was used to produce a fine powder for analysis using X-Ray Diffraction and X-ray Fluorescence.

Optical Microscopy allows identification of the iron bearing phases and their texture. The Scanning Electron Microscope facilitates chemical analyses of the phases initially identified using Optical

Microscopy and provides more detailed imaging of the samples due to a far higher magnification capacity. Both X-Ray Diffraction and Fluorescence techniques allow bulk analyses. The diffraction technique allows identification of the mineral phases present in the samples, while the fluorescence technique gives a bulk chemical analysis of the sample.

## X-Ray Diffraction analysis

As already mentioned in the previous section the X-ray diffraction technique was used to identify the mineralogical association in the slag. The results show that all the slags contain olivine and quartz ( SiO 2 ).

|  | fayalite | Mg Fayalite | Mn fayalite | quartz | cristobalite | maghemite | w ustite | hematite | MgAlspinel | leucite | NaAISiO4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24108_12 |  |  | X | X |  | X |  | X |  |  |  |
| 24108_13 | X |  |  | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24108_14 |  | X | X | X |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |
| 24108_15 | X |  |  | X |  |  | X |  |  |  |  |
| 24108_16 |  |  | X | X |  |  | X |  | X |  | X |

Table 2: XRD Results indicating the mineral phases present in each sample.

## X-Ray Fluorescence analysis

The bulk chemical analyses performed with X-Ray Fluorescence indicates the samples are generally chemically uniform for the major compounds (see Appendix 1), FeO around $40 \%$, silica around $30 \%$, alumina and MnO around $10 \%$ with one outlier depleted in iron oxide ( $\sim 35 \%$ ) and enriched in silica (~35\%) (24108_13).


## Scanning electron microscopy and $X$-ray microprobe analysis

Sample 24108_13 was thoroughly analysed by SEM-EDS showing fayalite with hopper polyhedral morphology indicating a slow cooling speed, associated with an iron oxide not detected by XRD, from the morphology the iron oxide can be identified as wustite (Figure 8). The olivine has a concentration of CaO and MgO around $0.5 \mathrm{wt} \%$ zoned in the crystals.


Plate 3: SEM Image of sample 24108_13 showing polyhedral/skeletal olivine (grey) and very fine dendritic iron oxide (light grey) associated with the formation of iron metal (white).

### 5.0 Conclusions from Visual, Contextual \& Analytical Assessment

The evidence from analysis points to all of the slags analysed being related to the iron smithing process (Stages 4 \& 5, refer Section 2.0). There was no evidence for any of the slags being associated with nonferrous working. Overall the slags from Roestown indicate the presence of a specialised process. The concavo-convex shaped slags are the product of hot oxidation of the metal with a small input of silica from various sources (lining, ashes, dust and eventually flux). This is the dominant process during heating of the iron piece for hot forging. The quantity of iron oxide lost during this type of work must be related to the size of the bar to be shaped and the length of the work. In this case the amount of iron oxide varies between the samples, the types of oxides also change indicating a change in the oxidation conditions during the process. The polyhedral shape of the olivine indicates a very slow cooling speed that correlates with a long working period when the slags stay at the crystallisation temperature around $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for several hours.

One tiny piece of copper waste was identified during visual assessment; this may have been a small spillage during the casting of an artifact in a mould. The results of analyses did not point to any evidence for copper slags on the site.

The large quantity of pins ( 16 ferrous and 16 non-ferrous) and the variety of other copper alloy and iron objects point to some of these artefacts being manufactured on site. The analytical results suggest slags are mainly linked to iron smithing. The quantity of slag is relatively quite small in comparison to the many medieval iron slag assemblages in excess of 50 Kg , and occasionally up to 1.5 tonnes such as the assemblage from Lowpark, Co. Mayo (Wallace \& Anguilano 2007).

Metalworking evidence from Roestown 2 points mainly to small-scale ferrous activity being carried out at the site. The ferrous evidence points exclusively to smithing activity, no smelting slags were identified. The morphological and analytical evidence from the Roestown 2 metallurgical material points mainly to secondary smithing or artefact forging being carried out and it is quite likely iron artefacts found were also being produced and repaired on the site.
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Appendix 1: Bulk chemical analysis obtained by X-Ray Fluorescence showing the chemical comparison between Ardallagh, Dunboyne, Roestown and Castelfarm

|  | Na2O | MgO | Al2O3 | SiO2 | P2O5 | S | K2O | CaO | Ti |  | 2 O | MnO | FeO | CoO | NiO | CuO | ZnO | As2O3 | O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24108 | 1.40 | 1.30 | 10.01 | 28.09 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 1.94 | 2.18 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 11.32 | 42.68 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 1 |
| 24108_2 | 1.52 | 1.39 | 10.53 | 31.17 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 2.07 | 2.32 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 10.51 | 39.23 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 24108_3 | 1.60 | 1.56 | 7.92 | 42.42 | 0.34 | 0.21 | 2.32 | 2.92 | 0.27 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 8.07 | 31.94 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.37 |
| 24108_4 | 1.48 | 1.3 | 10 | 31 | 0 | 0. | 2. | 2 | 0 | 0.01 | 0. | 11.04 | 39 | 0. | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 6 |
| 24108_5 | 2.18 | 2.05 | 10.23 | 58.04 | 0.41 | 0.5 | 3.14 | 2.59 | 0.48 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 3.45 | 16. | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.06 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| 24108_6 | 71 | 1.74 | 10.75 | 44.2 | 0.42 | 0.36 | 2.60 | 3.20 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.74 | 27.62 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.21 |
| 24108_7 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 11.0 | 32.2 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 2. | 3. | 0. | 0. | 0. | 9.66 | 37.3 | 0 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0. | 0.00 | , 1 |
| 24108_8_1 | 1.51 | 1.17 | 9.66 | 25.00 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 1.82 | 1.38 | 0.14 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 12.27 | 45.67 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.62 |
| 24108_8_2 | 1.35 | 1.17 | 9.6 | 24.9 | 0.36 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1. | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0. | 12.30 | 45.8 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.69 |
| 24108_8_3 | 1.28 | 1. | 9. | 25 | 0.36 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 1. | 0. | 0.0 | 0. | 12.23 | 45.6 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0. | 0.84 |
| 24108_8_4 | 1.38 | 1. | 9.70 | 25.00 | 0.36 | 0.34 | 1.83 | 1.39 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 12.27 | 45.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.72 |
| 24108_9 | 1.71 | 1.57 | 13.05 | 32.13 | 0.48 | 0.4 | 2.42 | 1.8 | 0.66 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 11.78 | 33.4 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 24108_10 | 1.42 | 1.47 | 11.48 | 31 | 0.43 | 0.3 | 2. | 4.38 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 12.60 | 33.13 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0 |
| 24108_11 | 1.42 | 1.34 | 10.48 | 28.94 | 0.39 | 0.27 | 2.04 | 1.83 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 12.37 | 40.21 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.53 |
| 24108_12 | 1.42 | 1.34 | 10.54 | 29.4 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 2.02 | 2.10 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 10.86 | 40.99 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.31 |
| 24108_13 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 9.32 | 35 | 0.37 | 0.3 | 2. | 2.42 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 9.60 | 37.17 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.30 |
| 24108_14 | 1.26 | 1.29 | 10.11 | 27.81 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 1.88 | 2.90 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 11.47 | 42.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.51 |
| 24108_15 | 1.46 | 1.40 | 11.34 | 29.40 | 0.42 | 0.35 | 2.11 | 2.47 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 10.57 | 40.03 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.29 |
| 24108_16 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 10.49 | 26.11 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 1.92 | 2.29 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 11.67 | 43.62 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.62 |

## APPENDIX 21: Coin Report by John Stafford Langan

Job no./Site: 04_01 Roestown 2
Licence no.: A008/002
Feature no.: 143
Find no.: A008/002:143:29
Description: Coin
E3055

This find is an Irish copper halfpenny of George III struck in either 1766 or 1769. The coin is corroded but was obviously very worn before deposition and the only remaining feature is the differential corrosion pattern over the bust and several characters of the legend. The bust style is identifiable as being one used only on Irish coins of these two dates.

Coins of this type circulated in Ireland up to 1860 , but in practice examples are seldom encountered or identified later than 1830 or so. However the circulating copper currency of the time did include a quantity of completely worn copper disks which from slightly early finds where they are still recognisable must be the remaining English and Irish coppers from George II and George III's earlier issues.

This find is such a piece - a coin from just before 1770, which has circulated until it is almost completely flat, but fortunately still marginally recognisable, which places its deposition at 1830-1840 or slightly later.


Figure 1: Location of Roestown 2


Figure 2: Location of Roestown 2 on current OS background
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Figure 5: Roestown 2, extract from 3rd edition OS map, Meath sheets 38 \& 44


Figure 6: Detailed location of Roestown 2


Figure 7: Post-excavation plan of Area B showing location of detailed plans


Figure 8: Post-excavation plan of Area A showing location of detailed plans


Figure 9: Post-excavation plan of Enclosures 2-5 \& 7 and other features


Figure 10: Post-excavation plan of Enclosure 4 and other features


Figure 11: Post-excavation plan of Enclosure 6 and other features



Figure 14: Post-excavation plan showing Enclosures 11, 14 \& 15 and other features



Figure 16: Post-excavation plan showing features south of Enclosure 15
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Figure 18: Mid-excavation plan of souterrain



Figure 20: Post-excavation plan of northern part of Enclosure 1 and other features
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Figure 22: Enclosure 1 ditch sections
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Figure 28: Enclosures 5, 6 and 7 ditch sections
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Figure 33: Enclosures 14 and 15 ditch sections
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Figure 45: Phases 1 Continuity, 2A \& 2B



Figure 47: Phase 3 and existing Phase 2 features


Figure 48: Phases 4 \& 5
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Plate 4: View of causeway F493 over backfilled ditch F405 from the northeast (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_4)


Plate 5: View of articulated dog skeleton in Enclosure 1 (F405). C ${ }_{14}$ date 710-960 AD (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_5)


Plate 6: View of F450 cutting fill of F404 in Enclosure 1 from the west (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_6)


Plate 7: View of articulated dog skeleton in Enclosure 1 (F450). $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ date 770-980 AD (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_7)


Plate 8: Aerial view of enclosures in Area A from the northeast (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_8: Studiolab)


Plate 10: View along F264 with earlier ditches F282 \& F102 in the background from the west


Plate 11: Post-excavation view of Enclosure 4 (F134) from the southwest (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_11)


Plate 12: Pre-conservation image of spearhead A008/002:135:3 (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_12)


Plate 13: Post-excavation view of pit F178 from the northwest (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_13)


Plate 14: View of terminals of Enclosure 2 (F282) \& Enclosure 5 (F288) from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_14)


Plate 15: View of Enclosure 6 (F132) from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_15)


Plate 16: View of articulated dog skeleton in F132. $\mathrm{C}_{14}$ date 630-710 AD (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_16)


Plate 17: Post-excavation view of Enclosure 8 (F230) from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_17)


Plate 18: Post-excavation view of ditches (F934-F936) forming Enclosure 10 from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_18; Hawkeye)


Plate 19: Post-excavation view of Structure D in relation to F934-F938 from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_19: Hawkeye)


Plate 20: Overhead view of Enclosure 11 from the west (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_20: Hawkeye)


Plate 21: Overhead view of Enclosure 12 showing F645 \& F1250 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_21: Hawkeye)


Plate 22: View of F1250 cutting backfilled ditch F1315 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_22)


Plate 23: View of metalled surface F960 sealing ditch F1315 from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_23)


Plate 24: View of Enclosures 14 and 15 from the southeast (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_24: Hawkeye)


Plate 25: View of ditch sequence F933 etc cutting Enclosure 10 from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_25: Hawkeye)


Plate 26: View along F343 at the western terminal of F114 from the west (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_26)

Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd.


Plate 27: Overhead view of souterrain from the east (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_27: Hawkeye)



Plate 29: Mid-excavation view of Chamber 1 from the north. Note backfill and damage to walls (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_29)


Plate 30: Pre-excavation view of in-situ capstones (F504) along the southern half of Passage 1 (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_30)


Plate 31: Post-excavation view along Passage 1 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_31)


Plate 32: Post-excavation view of Chamber 2 from the west. Note air vent (F534) (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_32)

Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd.
 in foreground (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_33)


Plate 34: Pre-excavation view of backfill deposits within Passage 2 from the west (04_01_Roestown 2_CP018_09)


Plate 35: View of trapdoor feature from the east (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_35)



Plate 37: Post-excavation view of doorway to Chamber 3 from the east (04_01_Roestown


Plate 38: Detail of cubbyhole (F524) in the eastern wall of Passage 3 from the west (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_38)


Plate 39: View along Passage 3 detailing width constriction from the south
(04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_39)


Plate 40: Post-excavation view of Structure A truncated by later features from the southwest (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_40: Hawkeye)

Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd.


Plate 41: View of Structure B (F885) from the east (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_41)


Plate 42: Overhead view of Structure B and gullies (F823, F825, F1112, F1188) cut by F603 (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_42: Hawkeye)


Plate 43: Overhead view of Structure C (F591, F667, F834, F843) and gullies (F590, F665)
(04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_43; Studiolab)



Plate 45: Post-excavation view of Structure E from the northwest (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_45: Hawkeye)


Plate 46: Post-excavation view of kiln F677 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_46)


Plate 47: Section through kiln F677 showing burnt layers cut through by F682 from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_47)


Plate 48: Post-excavation view of kiln F698 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_48)


Plate 49: Post-excavation view of kiln F776 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_49)


Plate 50: Pre-excavation view of kiln F832 cut by F821 from the east (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_50)


Plate 51: Post-excavation view of kiln F832 cut by ditch F821 from the east (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_51)


Plate 52: Post-excavation view of F550, F1267 \& F1271 from the east (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_52:


Plate 53: Detail of section through F550 etc from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_53)


Plate 54: Detail of section through F550 etc from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_54)


Plate 55: Detail of section through F550 etc from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_55)



Plate 57: Post-excavation view of F603 etc from the southwest (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_57: Hawkeye)


Plate 58: Detail of metalled surface F255 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_58)


Plate 59: Detail of metalled surface F817 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_59)


Plate 60: Detail of metalled surface F1188 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_60)


Plate 61: View of F492 sealing Enclosure 1 (F450) from the northeast (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_61)



Plate 63: View of metalled surface F1337 cut by F1336 from the south-east (04_01_Roestown


Plate 64: Burial 1 from the east. Note possible metalled surface F180 beneath remains (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_64)


Plate 65: Burial 2 from the north. Note metalled surface F181 beneath remains (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_65)



Plate 67: View of fire spot F1076 from the south (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_67)


Plate 68: View of fire spot F1077 from the north (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_68)


Plate 69: Faint traces of crossed plough marks within Enclosure 14 from the north (04_01_Roestown


Plate 70: Selection of finds from Roestown 2 (John Sunderiand 04_01_JSUN_1040)


Plate 71: Copper alloy cross-shaped mount A008/002:765:1 and other finds from Roestown 2 (John Sunderland 0401 JSUN 1032)


Plate 72: Bone motif piece from Roestown 2 A008/002:1291:1 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1007)


Plate 73: Selection of iron knives from Roestown 2 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1025)


Plate 74: Merels game board from Roestown 2 A008/002:401:20 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1037)


Plate 75: Selection of glass beads from Roestown 2 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1024)


Plate 76: Selection of lignite bracelet fragments from Roestown 2 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1030)


Plate 77: Selection of bone pins from Roestown 2 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1003)


Plate 78: Selection of ringed pins from Roestown 2 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1034)


Plate 79: Selection of bone combs from Roestown 2 A008/002:422:1-2, A008/002:227:3, A008/002:1321:1-25 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1013)


Plate 80: Medieval iron javelin head A008/002:135:3 (John Sunderland 04_01_JSUN_1028)


Plate 81: Fragment of bone spearhead A008/002:100:28 (204 Roes 0100 28)


Plate 82: Fragment of antler comb A008/002:100:29 (204 Roes 0100 29)

Plate 83: Bone needle A008/002:107:2(204 Roes 0107 02)


Plate 84: Bone pin fragment A008/002:119:1 (204 Roes 0119 01a)


Plate 85: Bone needle A008/002:119:4 (204 Roes 0119 04a)


Plate 86: Fragment of antler comb A008/002:131:1 (204 Roes 0131 01e)


Plate 87: Bone needle fragment A008/002:160:2 (204 Roes 0160 02)


Plate 88: Bone or antler pin A008/002:175:1 (204 Roes 0175 01)


Plate 89: Fragment of antler comb A008/002:227:1 (204 Roes 0227 01)


Plate 90: Near complete antler handle A008/002:235:3-6 (204 Roes 0235 03)


Plate 91: Midshaft of pig tibiaA008/002:400:36 (204 Roes 0400 36a)


Plate 92: Decorated bone A008/002:401:19 (204 Roes 0401 19r)
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Plate 93: Decorated bone A008/002:412:1 (204 Roes 0412 01zk)


Plate 94: Decorated bone A008/002:414:4 (204 Roes 0414 04zm)
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Date: Jul '08
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Plate 95: Bone spearhead A008/002:491:4 (204 Roes 0491 04)



Plate 97: Antler pin fragment A008/002:639:1 (204 Roes 0639 01b)


Plate 98: Bone needle A008/002:643:1 (204 Roes 0643 01a)


Plate 99: Decorated bone A008/002:685:1 (204 Roes 0685 01ze)



A008/002:432:2

| Archaeological Consultancy <br> Services Ltd. Unlt 27, Boyne Business Park, Greenhills, Drogheda, Co, Louth | Site: M3 Clonee-North of Kells PPP Scheme | Scale: As scalebar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Contract 2, Roestown 2 | Date: Jul 08 |
|  | Issued for: Excavation Report | Origin: J. Kurkowicz \& P. Woiff |
|  | Client: Meath County Council | Drawing no:: 04_01_C3273i |

Illustration 3: Stone ingot mould from Roestown 2
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Archaeological Consultancy
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Drawing no.: 0401 C3274i
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Illustration 7: Selection of glass beads from Roestown 2
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A008/002:566:23

## Archaeological Consultancy
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Site: M3 Clonee-North of Kells PPP Scheme
Scale: As scalebar Contract 2, Roestown 2 Date: Jul '08 Greenhills, Drogheda, Co. Louth


A008/002:100:29

| Archaeological Consultancy Services Ltd. <br> Unit 21, Boyne Business Park, Greenhills, Drogheda, Co. Louth | Site: M3 Clonee-North of Kells PPP Scheme Contract 2, Roestown 2 | Scale: As scalebar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Date: Jul '08 |
|  | Issued for: Excavation Report | Origin: J. Kurkowicz \& P. Wolff |
|  | Client: Meath County Council | Drawing no.: 04_01_C3280i |

Illustration 10: Selection of bone comb fragments from Roestown 2


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ An Iron Age-Medieval site on Shetland Island. A catalogue of metal artefacts from the site can be viewed at www.cf.ac.uk/hisar/archaeology/reports/scalloway/catmetal.html

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Item \#11 on Drawing IV at Retracing Irelands Lost Archaeology. Available at www.ucc.ie:8080/cocoon/doi/worsaae [Accessed 20 March 2009]

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ www.ucc.ie/celt/online/T100001A.html

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ AFM1027.1 Dunchadh, son of Gillamochonna, successor of Seachnall, the most distinguished wise man of the Irish, died at Coloin, in Germany. Available at www.ucc.ie/celt

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ See various entries in The Annals of Ulster. www.ucc.ie/celt/published/T100001A/index.html
    ${ }^{6}$ AU 888.3 'Mael Pátraic, scribe and excellent scholar, superior of Treóit and steward of the community of Patrick for the district south of the Mountain, rested'.

[^5]:    7 'The regulations concerning proper behaviour' dealing with the mutual obligations of clergy and laity, can be traced from at least the eighth century.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ These texts and others can be searched through the electronic Dictionary of the Irish Language available at the Corpus of Electronic Texts at www.ucc.ie/celt
    ${ }^{9}$ Dícuill the Geographer Liber de mensura orbis terrae.
    ${ }^{10}$ Mark Hall, 'A double sided hnefatafl board from Cathedral Hill, Downpatrick' at www.downcountymuseum.com/uploads/HnefatafiBoard.doc

[^7]:    Robert O'Hara BA MIAI
    May 2009

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by 6 , M1/2 were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 1230 but the NISP value was 1215).

[^9]:    ${ }^{12}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by $6, \mathrm{M} 1 / 2$ were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 875 but the NISP value was 867.5).

[^10]:    ${ }^{13}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by 6 , M1/2 were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 2360 but the NISP value was 2333.5).

[^11]:    ${ }^{14}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by $6, \mathrm{M} 1 / 2$ were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 2413 but the NISP value was 2387.5).

[^12]:    ${ }^{15}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by 6 , M1/2 were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 1487 but the NISP value was 1475.5).

[^13]:    ${ }^{16}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by $6, \mathrm{M} 1 / 2$ were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials $\mathrm{MC} 2 / \mathrm{MT} 2 / \mathrm{MP} 2$ were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 122 but the NISP value was 121).

[^14]:    ${ }^{17}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by $6, \mathrm{M} 1 / 2$ were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 458 but the NISP value was 454 ).

[^15]:    ${ }^{18}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by $6, \mathrm{M} 1 / 2$ were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 514 but the NISP value was 506.5 ).

[^16]:    ${ }^{19}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by $6, \mathrm{M} 1 / 2$ were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 43 but the NISP value was 42.5).

[^17]:    ${ }^{20}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by 6 , M1/2 were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    (No cattle or sheep/goat MC2/MT2/MP2 present, therefore total number of countable elements and NISP value was 23).

[^18]:    ${ }^{21}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by 6 , M1/2 were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 264 but the NISP value was 259.5).

[^19]:    ${ }^{22}$ Loose teeth include loose maxillary teeth and teeth that could not be definitely classified as either mandibular or maxillary. Cranium includes either zygommatic arch or tooth row where 3 or more teeth of the dP4/P4-M3 tooth row were present.
    For calculation of MNI;
    Loose teeth or unfused epiphyses were not counted. Incisors for cattle and sheep/goat were divided by 8 , for pig were divided by 6 . Pig canines were divided by 2 . Premolars were divided by 6 , M1/2 were divided by 4 , M3 were divided by 2 and phalanges were divided by 8 . With the exception of teeth and phalanges, left and right were taken into account for all elements. Proximal and distal ends were taken into account for all elements where applicable.
    In the case of cattle or sheep/goat metapodials MC2/MT2/MP2 were counted as 0.5 units.
    In the case of pig MC/MT/MP were counted as 0.5 units.
    (This explains why the total number of countable elements was 555 but the NISP value was 552.5 ).

[^20]:    Table A58 Roestown 2: Details of specimens positively identified as sheep based on morphological traits.

[^21]:    ${ }^{23}$ Based on Masters Thesis 1994 undertaken by the author

[^22]:    ${ }^{24}$ Head of Early Prehistory, Archaeology Department, National Museums Scotland

[^23]:    Plate 2: Aerial view of Roestown 2 from the west (04_01_Roestown 2_CP100_2: Studiolab)

