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## A <br> LETTER, ©®c.

START not at my Name, you formidable Cbampions againft the Patriots of Ireland: I have not rijen from the Dead. Such an one might indeed affrigbt, but could not convince you : And well for you he could not; for Conviction, you are perfuaded, would ruin you. But recollect, and you will clearly perceive, that you might ever write on at the fame rate, though you were thoroughly convinced.
I AM well known in the political World : I am as much refpected in the Region of party-colour'd Gentry, as the Trunk-maker was heretofore in the Theatre; and, in all Places I refort, am called a faitbful Iribman; fo that you cannot get Difhonour, by entering the Lifts with me : It is fome Condefcenfion in me, in your prefent Circumftances, to take a Turn with either of you.

To be more particular in the Defcription of myfelf; I am, and have been, long in the Family of the $V$ indicator of the Proceeding of the Honourable Houfe of Commons, \&cc. and could I conveniently let you A 2
into the feveral Branches of my Office, which arife from the Confidence my Mafter daily places in me, you would be tempted to give me the Name of Scrub inftead of that of Dionyfius.

One Branch of my Office, however, I muft acquaint you with ; that is, to buy everyPrint that appears in the Street, (for herein confifts my Mafter's Extravagance) and to perufe them all carefully, (which indeed is an ample Punifhment for all my Sins) and to lay before my Mafter thofe which ftrike me as fit for his Infpection; but to conceal the reft, that his Time may not be mifemployed, in an Examination of Works, neither of publick nor private Ufe.

This Duty impofed upon me hath made me acquainted with A tbird Letter to the Publick-An Anfwer to the Proceeding, \&xc. So far as the fame relates to the Confiderations, \&c. -and, An Anfwer to Part of a Pampblet, intitled, The Proceeding, \&c. by the Autbor of the Obfervations, \&c.-which I have moft faithfully with-held from the Perufal of my Mafter ; being very unworthy Returns for the Pains he took to inform you, with all others, who needed Inftruction upon the Subject.

But it is not enough that I have acted thus: I know you will be much difappointed, if you cannot provoke my Mafter to write more, and to keep your employed ; you will fancy, nay, you will report, that you have given fuch, a ftunning Blow, that he cannot quickly recover his Senfes. I muft therefore let you know, that your Performances were too mean to be admitted into his Prefence, when I, in the Anti-chamber, conld effectually confute what you do not confute one another in.
IT makes me very uneafy to fee how differently you exprefs yourielves about the Vindication, according
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cording to the different Effects it hath upon your Several Paffions.

The Town generally faith, it is cool and difpar-fionate;-you, Mr. Letter-writer, fay, Page 16, Tbat the Autbor is very angry; and again, Page 17, "That he appears in an angry Mood from his " firft fetting out, to the Conclufion of his Paper.

You, Mr. Confiderer, fay thus, Page 5, "To
" fay nothing of his perfonal Invectives, which are
" indeed too low."
But you, Mr. Obfervator, talking of the Struggle that the Vindicator hath made, to keep to himfelf and his AJociates certain refpected Titles, fay, "That this hath been hitherto done, by poifoning "the Minds of uninform'd People, with moft in". decent and ill-grounded Invertives. But now, "that be is brougbt into a cool Method of Reafoning, "t the Queftion muft be left to the Deciiion of " unprejudiced Readers."

I pray you to fettle this Matter amongft yourfelves; for it was impoffible he could be angry and a cool Reafoner ; that he could have refrained from Invectives, and have made ufe of very low ones at one and the fame Inftant.

There is a furprifing Miftake in the Triumvirate about this Matter, which I cannot take upon me to rectify. However, this I muft obferve, that they all concurred, in finding Fault at one time or other, though they could not agree in the Point.

Having fo far addreffed you jointly, I muft now take you feparately in the order you ftep'd into the World.
The Letter-writerfirft made his Appearance in a moft dieadful Fury, for the Contempt with which he had been treated; and I cannot but fay, he had good Reafon to be outrageous; for he was indeed treated with infinite Contempt, when he was fenA 3
tenced
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tenced to be chaftis'd, by the Confiderations and Ob fervations.

But, poor Man! he hath, as angry Men commonly do, given my Mafter every Advantage over him he could wifh for.

He charges, Page 15 , the Vindicator, with faying, "That he (the Letter-writer) very precipi"tately declared, that our unhappy Difputes have " been, not about Efentials, but merely upon Forms " 6 and Ceremonies.

And from thence to the End of the 16 th Page, he hath moft ingeniounly laboured to prove, and at length with great Clearnefs fhewed to a Demonftration, that he did by fair Argument, and from a Concatenation of Caufes, draw this Conclufion, " That the Difputes were not about Effentials, but
 confers he hath fairly overthrown the Charge of Precipitancy; for he proved himfelf to be a very flow Reafoner: And fo I muft take the Liberty to leave him where I found him.

The Considerer next advanced, not one Whit lefs angry than the Letter-writer ; but being conftitutionally more phlegmatick, did not fo fuddenly run himfelf off his Speed.

He, Page 5, with great Refentment, charges my Mafter, with giving him foul Ufage, " by blend" ing and perplexing his Arguments, with thofe " of others, with whom he was in no Connection; "s and whofe Pamphlets he had not feen or heard " of, until they were in Print.

I believe my Mafter, if he were acquainted with this Matter, would find it pretty difficult to contrive an Apology for this Author. All that ought to be faid upon the Occafion is, that the Letter-Writer, who appears to be a pretty Scholar, very converfant, in his favourite Author;
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and the Obseryator, who is as expert in Figures, as any Hocus-Pocus Doctor with Cups and Ball, are made his Companions. If they are afhamed of one another, who can help it ? The Readers think much alike of them all.

The Considerer will fee, what a Hurry the Letter-Writer was thrown into, by being put under the Difcipline of his Friends, the Considerations and Observarions; how he flung about, and endangered every Body near him, for being put into fo glaring a Light; and he may fee, what Pains the Observator hath taken, Page 3, at fetting out, to eftablifh an Opinion, that he had not any Acquaintance with the Considerer. If the $V$ indicator fhould prefume to make an Apology to either, he certainly may expect, to bring the others upon his Back; and therefore muft wait, until they agree amongft themfelves, which deferves the Preference.

I cannot however but obferve, Mr. Considerer, that you vaftly exceed your Brethren in Modefy; for where you will not pretend to fay, you are not vanquibed, you are decently filent. Thus you have fairly given up the Precedent of 1749: To be fure, you mean not more to trouble the World with an unprofitable Criticifm about Confent and Intention; and you are without Doubt afhamed of that fimple Obfervation, that the Attorney-General made the Motion ; and of the foolifh Apology, that you formerly made for his Majefity's Servants not inferting originally the Word Consent:

But why were you not explicit about the Objection, tbat the Recital was inferted in GreatBritain? It was not enough to be filent about this, as you knew in your Confcience that Argument was never made Uie of, you fhould have A 4
been honeft enough effectually to Difarm the mifcbievous Enemies of this Country.

You have indeed relinquifhed all the Precedents you formerly fo vehemently relied upon, for which I give you Credit. But then you muft take Notice, that your Readers are hereby taught, not entirely to depend upon your peremptory Decifions.
Explain for your Readers the following Words in Page 4, 5. of your Anjwer. "Therefore he " (the Considerer) muft fay, that the Writer " of the Vindication betrays a Want of Candour, as " well as a Diffidence in his Abilities to defend the "Caufe he hath engaged in, when he endeavours c to ftop a Search after Truth, by introducing the

- "Name of that Body (the Houle of Commons) " into the Debate, in the Manner he hath, more "t than once, done in the Courfe of his Argu" ment."
I believe, whoever reads this will think the Au thor thereof was confoundedly frightened: But pluck up your Spirits, Mr. Considerer, you have ftroked the Commons in the preceding Paragraph, " wherein you hope you have kept clear of offend"" ing an important Body, for whofe Confitutional "Rights, no one can have a higher, or more juft " Refpect."

I wifh indeed you had not diftinguifhed thefe Words Confitutional Rights by Italicks, by which you feem to Hint, that they claim fome Rights not altogether Confitutional; and that you referve to yourfelf a Power of expounding your Words hereafter, either for or againft them, as Occafion fhall offer, or they fhall be $u p$ or down: If they fhould take that into their Heads, it may go ill with you. But as your Intention, you fay, was not to offend them, the beft your Friends can expect is, that you
may be overlooked; fince the Commons never can fubmit their Rigbts or Privileges to be difcuffed by Laweyers, or to be determined by fudges of inferior

## Courts.

Bu t be fo Kind as to Point out, how my Mafer could vindicate the Commons, without bringing the Name of that Body into tbe Debate. He hath faid indeed, " that there were fundry Pamphlets, little " better than Libels againft the Commons, againft " the Confitution, and againft Trutb, at a great Ex" pence, and in a Manner unufual in this Kingdom, " put into the Hands of all who would accept of " them, from the Capital to the remoteft Corner " of the Nation."

Can this be denied? If you doubt it, look into your own Confiderations, the Obfervations, the tibree Letters to the Publick, and, above all, into the curious QUeries impudently addreffed to all the ferious boneft and well-meaning People of Ireland. Who encouraged thefe Papers? Who adopted them? Who patronized them? Who difperfed them? Can Libels only be on one Side? Suppofe the Majority had happened to be on the other Side on the ever Memorable 17 th of December; would not the Commons have been a moft refpectable Body of People? Has not the Majority been hourly abujed by Libellers, from the Day on which it was fixed, that the Parliament fhould be prorogued, becaufe they were not on that Side? Has any one Printer or Publiber been yet fent to Newgate, for thus libelling one of the Eftates in Parliament?

If their Advocates cannot punifh, allow them to complain. Words will not put you into the Pillory: Do not ftop their Mouths, and then fay they will not tell Truth. Trutb is worfhipped by every Lover
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of Liberty; but it is prophaned in the Mouth of a Slave.

You have fo flhuffled the Words Appropriation and Application, that you have fairly worn out their Meaning; yet I cannot blame you for this, becaufe your Bufinefs was to puzzle.

The whole Difpute arofe upon a Surplus after the Truft was dijcharged; for fo was the Fact, when a new Supply was demanded, It hath been fhewn by the Vindication, that every Surplus hath been appropriated or applied, (take which Word you like,) to the current Service of the Publick, or to the publick Service, (chufe alfo whichfoever Expref fion you like beft in this Place) and that therefore the Commons could not, without betraying the Rights, which were intrufted to their Care, and without totally changing, nay fubverting the regular Proceeding in Parliament, folemnly allow, that they could not even propofe the Application of a Surplus, which they had ever done, without the previous Confent of the Crown.
$I_{T}$ is poffible learned Men may be able to contrue Things fo, as to make them unintelligible to plain natural Reafon. It muft be fo, or there could not arife fo many Difficulties in the Scripture; I mean in the Explanation of the Scripture; for there are truly none in the Scripture.

Now give me leave, without one Scrap of Learning more than what I have picked up accidentally, (of which I dare not make Ufe, left I fhould mifapply it, as my Betters often do ;) to fay, that if the Hiftory of this Affair in the Vindication be true, and that hatb not been difputed, the Commons have an inherent Right, to apply every Surplus, after the Ends of Government are anfwered, within the Term for which the Supply was granted ; and that therefore
therefore, all that is faid about the Right of Application in the intermediate Time, is an Evafion of the Point in Difpute, and nothing at all to the Purpofe. Therefore, Mr. Considerer, give me leave without Offence, to charge you with the going befide the true Queftion, and making Ufe of your two beloved Words, Appropriation and Application, as old Women mumble Charms over Children, under Pretence of curing the Worms, but in Truth, to cbeat the Parents of their Money.

I am fure you will be aftonifhed at my great Knoweledge in this Matter, and be curious to know how I came by it. To gratify your Curiofity, I muft inform you, that I am one of the many Politicians made by the late active Winter; and that I foorn to draw Learning from any Place but the Fountain-Head ; and the Fountain-Head indeed I applied to: For very early I contracted an Acquaintance with one of the Servants, belonging to the Houfe of Commons, who permitted me, in Difguife, to ftand within the Door, where I learned all I know of the Matter; and I do now moft heartily Wifh, you had gone to the fame School, for your own Improvement, and the 2 uiet of the Publick.

However, though you have difturbed Men's Minds not a little; you have made fome Amends, by exhibiting the prettieft Method of replying that ever was invented: Such an One as will excellently ferve all whimfical or abfurd Authors, who fhall fucceed your. It is fo entertaining, that it cannot fail to pleafe a Reader.

When your main Argument is turned TopfyTurvy, then you charge your Antagonift with Equivocation, put two Meanings upon his Words, chufe that which is leaft obvious, but moft apt for
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your Purpofe, and down you lay him at your Feet.-Excellent and Stout.

When you find your Antagonift hath clearly proved, that you either knew nothing of the Matter, or wilfully concealed the Strength of the $\mathrm{Ar}_{3}$ gument, you take as much Merit to yourfelf, allowing there is no very efential Difference between you.-Truly ingenuous!

When great Labour is ufed, to fhew your Proofs from Hiftory to be Falfe or Impertinent, and that is demonftrated; then you make a new State of the Cafe, againft which your Adverfary could not provide; and tell him, be might bave fpared the unneceffary Pains be batb taken: And thus you ingenioufly give him a Go-by.— Very Honeft!

When your Adverfary thinks he hath fully anfwered all your Objections, you at once dafh his Hopes to Pieces, and deftroy the Force of every thing he hath faid, by looking big, and pofitively affuring your Readers, that be batb attempted, but in vain, to anfwer. - Wondrounly modeft !

Facts are nothing in your Way. His you deny, by affirming the contrary to be true. Your own you eftablifh by afferting them to be Facts.

Precedents, the ftronger they happen to be, fo much the worfe for them; for you prove, with a clearnefs peculiar to yourfelf, that they proving too much, are good for notbing.

The whole you finifh, by affirming in Capitals, that you are Unanswered, and thus the Piece is rendered compleat.
$H_{A P P Y}$ Man! who hath difcovered fo eafy a Road to Fame, by being for ever invincible.

In the third Place, I muft addrefs my felf to the Observator, an incorrigible old Sinner, who deals much in Facts, and obftinately, without pretending to the Cloak of Modefty, adheres to them, though they have been confuted by Friends and Foes.

Indeed, Mr. Observator, I cannot wonder at any Thing you fay; but however, for your own fake you thould have a little Caution, and not point out directly to the very Spot wherein your Guilt appears in its ftrongeft Colours. In what Part of the 35 th Page of the Vindication, doth the Author dicclaim all Pretence to the fole Right of drawing up Heads of Money-Bills, as you affert he doth, in Page 5, of your Anfwer. He there denies indeed, that the jole Right of baving Money-Bills take their Rife in the House of Commons, was, as you falfly afferted, in your Obfervations, an Argument for throwing out the Money-Bill. He meddles not farther with the Affair. He had no Occafion to infift upon it; for it was not in Difpute: And he had acted imprudently, if he had difclaimed it, when the Considerations, that were publifhed by Autbority, and difperfed for his Majesty's Service, had exprefsly, Page 33, admitted the Right of granting Money to be in the Commons: And however jealous you may be of the Author, or he of you, you dare not difpute his Doctrine, nor has he difavowed it. But your Charge in this Place againft the Vindicator is but a Peccadillo, compared with what is to come.

In the Account you give, Mr. Observator, of the Bill in 1749, Pages 6, 7, 8, you are big with Mifreprefentation ; but this Matter having been already fully difcuffed between the Considerations and the Vindication, and at length having been given up by the Considerations, fullenly
lenly enough ; it is not worth while, to revive it at your Inftance. It will be enough to fix my Finger upon the Point, in which you and your Friend, Mr. Considerer, woefully difagree._Page 8, you fay, " In Confequence whereof, (that is of "s imaginary Meffages, Esc.) the Words agreeably "c to bis Majefty's moft gracious Intentions, were in" ferted, in the Heads of the Bill, as moft expreffive "6 of his Majefty's previous Conjent, and of the " Manner of obtaining thereof; and it is now very " well known, that if the Terms on which his Ma"jefty's previous Confent was given, had not been ${ }^{6}$ complied with, as it was chearfully, and in a moft "s remarkable Manner, by thofe who applied to the * Lord Lieutenant on this Occafion, the Bill for " Payment of Part of the Loan-Debt, would not " have been then paffed into a Law, without " Words being inferted either here or in Great" Britain, for the Support of his Majefty's Preroga" tive and Dignity."

Now, Mr. Observator, attend with Patience, to fee yourfelf brought to Shame by the Considerer, with whom you dare not contend; for he ftruts under the Cloak of Power, with which I am fure you will not ftrive.

Page 7 of the Considerations, the Author fpeaking of this very Point, faith, "As the Occafion " was new, it is not to be wondered at, that the Gen" tlemen who conducted the Affair, on this Side of ${ }^{\text {of }}$ the Water, fhould not be exact as to the Form " in which his Majefty's Confent ought to appear : " And probably, they apprehended that the Return 6. of a Bill for that Purpofe, under the Great Seal " of Great Britain, would be a fufficient Notification "6 of his Majefty's previous Confent to fuch Applica"6 tion. Again, Page 9, he faith, "c But as that " Recital, (agreeably to your Majefy's moft gracious © Intentions)
"Intentions) though it feems ftrongly to imply his "Majefty's previous Confent, had not clearly and " explicitly expreffed the fame, and not having " done fo, might occafion future Cavils on that "Head; it is faid (and I prefume the Fact is " well known to be true) that Objections were made " to this Bill, on that Account, by thofe to whom " it was, as ufual, referred in Great-Britain. How
" it happened, that his Majelty's Confent was not
" by them, at that Time, inferted, may I think be
"fairly accounted for; as the Omiffion on this Side,
" feemed to have been occafioned merely by the
" Novelty of the Cafe, without any Intention of
"queftioning the King's Right, \& ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$."
IF thou haft one blufh about thee, let it out, Mr. Observator, at being thus expofed by thy Fellow-Labourer.

But, I fear, it is toc late, to call for Marks of Contrition. Thy Observations warranted a Sufpicion that you were miftaken in every Article ; but thy Defence of thofe Obfervations, in a pretended Answer to the Vindication, fhews clearly, that you cannot fhelter yourfelf under that Apology of being miftaken; nay, that you fcorn to be fcreened. You are grown callous, and refolved to brazen it out in the Face of the World. Thus, Page 9, you infift, that your Affirmation in the Obser vations,
"That one Argument employed for rejecting of " the Bill, was raifed on the Pretence of the fole "Right of having Money-Bills take their Rife in " the Houfe of Commons, and that no Alteration " fhould be made in thofe Bills, after they are pre"pared by the Houfe", is TRUE, and tbat you never bave an evil Defign in wobat you werite. - What could prompt you to perfift in fuch a $\mathrm{F}_{1 \text { b }}$, but a wicked Defign againft this Country? It is evident, the Tale was not calculated for this Meridian ; be-
caufe it is here univerfally known to be falfe. The Minority of December 17, to a Man, could confute you; the Author of the Considerations, (and his Authority is fo far out of all Queftion) Page 20, declares, "He could not fuppofe it could be fo," and in his Anfwer, though be was called upon to be explicit, is fullenly filent, which with him is a Knockingunder. But you have, doubtlefs, made a Merit of this Matter elfewhere, and chufe to hazard your Reputation, rather than to retract. Some you hope will ftill believe you; and therefore you will not give up, by an open Confeffion. But even thofe you muft lofe, when they find out, that your Friend, the Principal Advocate for your Party, hath given you up.

In Page 1o, you fay, " that the Inference " drawn by the Vindicator, Page 72 , is all " his own, and no way warranted by the Obferva"vations".

Wondrous Effrontery! turn to Page 22 of the Obfervations, and read thefe Words and Figures, "The Debt of the Nation at Lady-day 1745, $f_{6} 25^{8517} 106$-" and this Obfervation immediately following, "But it appears, that the Nation "paid Intereft for $£ 335000$." - Again : Turn to Page 23, where this Obfervation will fare you full in the Face, "That it was fomewhat extraordi" nary, that an additional Loan fhould be made " of $f 70000$ at this Time, when fo large a Sum " of Money as 71947 was allowed to be due to " the Nation (abftracted from the former Loans)
" after anfwering all the Demands on Account of " the Eftablifhments, and all other Charges what" foever to the 25 th of March 1745, and that it " is well known that a confiderable Arrear is con" ftantly due on thefe Articles."

Do not thefe feveral Obfervations well warrant the Inference drawn by the Vindication for the Objervations, Page 72. "That it is extraordinary, that " the Nation fhould be reported, to be in Debe but " two hundred and fifty-eight thoufand, five hun"dred and feventeen Pounds, ten Shillings and "s fix pence; and fhould, if it had a Power over " the Surplus, without the Previous Consent of " the Crown, pay at the fame Time Intereft for " fo much a greater Sum ; and not only fubmit " to this Hardihip, but alfo raife a farther Sum of " feventy thoufand Pounds at Intereft.

Can any other Meaning be put upon thefe $\mathrm{Ob}_{\mathrm{b}}$ servations? If there can, why did not the $\mathrm{Ob}^{\text {- }}$ servator fhew his Skill, and draw another more natural, and more agreeable to his Intention. He beft knew, if there was any fuch: And as he hath not favoured us with another, we muft be content with that we have, though it unhappily expofes his bad Heart.

The Method you have taken to prove, that the Vindicator hath miftated the Fact, when he affirmed, "That the Troops of this Country were car" ried to the Affiftance of England; and that there" fore the Difference could not be called a fav" ing, \&c." is fingularly merry. For you prove it, by thewing, that there were drawn out of the Kingdom, one Regiment of Horfe, two Regiments of Dragoons, and fourteen Regiments of Foot. Indeed, you fay, "That in order to keep up our Troops to the " full Complement of 12000 Men, the Regiments " which remained in Ireland, were increafed in "Numbers by the Addition of private Men, and a " few Officers." Even this is not true. The Vimdicator's Remarks are general, upon the fluctuating Condition of the Army during the whole War ; and it cannot be forgotten, that in 1745, the Army
was fo fmall, the Foot confifting of four Regiments only of 1400 Men each, that it was thought neceffary by fome Gentlemen, to move in Parliament, for an Addrefs to his Majefty, to raife 4000 Foot, for the Security of the Kingdom.

You have not denied, that inftead of one Farthing of your boaffed Saving being in the Treafury, the Nation really contracted a Debt between 174 F and 1547, of $£ 389396$ 11. Your new jefuitical Expedient to impofe upon your Readers, and to keep up the Credit of your Saving, is indeed a Mafter-piece, "That if there had not been thofe "S Savings, the Debt would have been in that Time "f $£ 43713317 \mathrm{I}$, inftead of $f .389396 \mathrm{II}$." What, think you it was a Favour, not to lay out Money, voted for a certain Eftablifhment, when that Eftablifhment did not exift? A wretched Minifter of State would you make indeed, if fuch were to be your CEconomy. It would be kind, if you would in your Recantation, which, if you have any Candour left, you muft foon make, obferve for the Vindicator, That if there was not Provifion made in one Sefion for a larger Eftablijbment, than continued to the other Seffion, there could not be a Saving, even in your way of computing.; and thus you will give his Argument againft you its juft Force.

I have very little Skill in Figures, juft as much as I have acquired merely to keep fome minute Accounts in the Family ; yet I am able, by the Aid of an undefigning Uniderftanding, free from Art or Cunning, to detect a new Fallacy, furprizing only, as it was unneceffary.

To explain the Thing fully, I muft firft quote your inference, before I examine your Juggle, that you may not have a Subterfuge left.

Page 27, you fay; "Thus I have fated the "Supplies voted, and the Produce of the Aids
" granted
" granted, from Lady-day 1739 to Lady-day 1749:
"And it fully appears that the Produce of the Aids
" hath always fallen fhort of the Supplies. The Rea-
" der may perceive that there has been fome Increafe
" in the Produce of the Aids, but as this Increafe
" did not enable them to anfwer the Supplies for
" which they were granted to the Crown ; fo we
" may conclude that the Redundant Money in the
"Treafury at Lady-day 1749, did not arife from
"Exceedings in the Aids, as fome would vainly
" imagine. We mult therefore look out for fome
" other Source of this Redundancy."
"The Increafe of the Hereditary Revenue has
" contributed thereto, and that in a treble Propor" tion, to what the Increafe in the additional Du" ties has done ; but the chief Source of this Re"dundancy has been the Savings in the Civil Lift, " and Military Eftablifhment.

You are, I think, fairly beaten out of your Fortrefs, called Savings, and therefore 1 fhall have no more Words with you upon that Subject. And indeed you begun to fufpeet that this was not tenable, and therefore you provided another Place of Force to retire to ; viz. the treble Proportion of the Increafe of the Hereditary Revenue. But this muft alfo now fall into the Hands of the Conqueror; as foon as it is recollected, that the Additional Duties are given but in Aid of the Hereditary Revenue; and that not a Penny of the Hereditary Revenue can ever be jufly called a Redundancy, if the Publick Services call for the Application of any Part of the Additional Duties; for the Principal muft be confumed, before the Aid can be wanted.

Now, to thew clearly the bonef Manner in which you ftate the Supplies voted, and the Produce of the Aids granted; and how fairly you prove that the Produce of the Aids hath always fallen Short of
the Supplies, I will examine the particular Æra of 1743 , having procured the neceffary Information for that Period, and that will ferve for all; there being no other Variation in the feveral Inftances, than what arifes from the different Ballances.

Page 22, you fay, the Debt of the Nation at Lady-day 1743 was voted, to be a Sum, not exceeding $33^{1}, 440 \mathrm{l}$. 12 s .6 d . and that the Supply granted toward Payment of the faid Debr, \&cc. was a Sum not exceeding 521906 l . Io s. 6 d . from whence you deduct $9^{-} 4^{2} l$. granted by Parliament, and then the Supply remaining is $512,164 l$. Ios. $6 d$.

Then you proceed to fhew how the Account for the two Years turned out.

Nett Produce of Additional Duties and Poundage - —
DedufaDeficiency in the Fund for Intereft
And Payments made by Virtue of King's Letters, \&cc. not included in the Eftimate for Supply
$2873 \quad 15 \quad 10$
$\qquad$
$\square$

## (21)

Perhaps a fouler Fraud never was attempted to be impofed upon Mankind than this appears to be, even upon the Face of this Account: For herein is confeffed, that there was a Fund provided for the Payment of an Intereft for a certain Principal, and yet the Principal is not feparated, as fairly it ought, from the Total of the Supply.

The Debt to bear Intereft was a Sum of $327,590 \mathrm{l}$. I 8 s . II d . which being deducted from the Total of the Supply, there remained but 184,573 l. IIs. 7 d . for the Support of the Eftablifhment; fo that in Truth, the additional Duties, (allowing alfo for the Article deficient in the Fund for Intereft,) produced $92,808 \mathrm{l} .17 \mathrm{~s} .2 \mathrm{~d}$. more than they were granted for. You may out of this, if you pleafe, deduct $59,074 \mathrm{l}$. 9 s . 1 d . paid, by Virtue of King's Letters, 8xc. and you will find a Redundancy of $33,734 l$. 8 s . I $d$ s belonging to the Nation, inftead of a Deficiency of 293,856 10 s. 10 d .

What could provoke you to give this additional Inftance of your Difingenuity, when you had declared, Page 19, that there was no Need to dwell longer upon the Point, fince it is now finally determined, by bis Majefty's ordering the Payment of the Debt out of the Money remaining in bis Treafury? Was it only to Thew, that you had an inexhauftible Fund of Mifreprefentation about you? You need not have taken the Trouble, we were all ready to acknowledge your great Abilities in that Way. Some have reafoned ill; others have failed in Exactnefs, as to Fact. But you have outltripp'd them all. In your whole Operation, you have not produced one tolerable Reafon, or one true State of any Tranfaction.

In one of the weekly Papers I obferved two Lines, which I muft borrow upon this Occaion.

The Wretch that often has deceiv'd; Though Truth he fpeaks, is ne'er believ'd.

Thus have I taken you to Tafk feparately; do not be Angry, that I put you once more together ; I doubt not but by this Time, you diflike one another, but if each of you will but obferve the Figure he cuts in the Groupe, he will be the eafier reconciled to his Company.

In your joint State then, let me afk you what provoked yout to enter into a Controverfy, that was fo eminently Superior to your Capacities? If I knew you, I could without Delay or Difficulty difcover your Motives: But truly you are not amongft my Acquaintance; and yet you muft be of very low Degree. But the loweft of my Companions admires Virtue ; and honours the Man, who acts upon virtuous Principles, whether he be or be not Succersful.

Had you Property, had you Children, had you Friends, or had you from any Caufe, a Love for this Kingdom, you would have contributed to have raifed Friends for it, not have laboured to create Enemies; not only to create Enemies, but to make bitter Enemies of the beft Friends.

Suppose the Majority of the Commons had erred; and erred in a Point of Intereft, wherein the Crown, or Great-Britain, was materially concerned, and was prejudicially affected: Would not a good Man throw a Veil over the Fault? Would an honeft Man aggravate it? Would a charitable Man expofe innocent Millions to a Refentment,
that might produce Ruin; becaufe he or his Party were outvoted ?

If thefe Things be fo, then have you forfeited all Title to the amiable Characters of Honefty, Goodnefs or Charity: For you have expofed all our Infirmities; you have aggravated our Faults; and you have endeavoured to rouze the Lion to Anger-And indeed it would be no great Matter, if you only were to be the Victims.

What Profit could all your Writings produce, if they were the wifeft upon Earth, when the Queftion to which they relate, is like never to arife more? What but Difappointment, unforgiving, malicious Difappointment, could ftir Men up to appeal to Perfons, who could not change the Judgement, but might, through Mifinformation, conceive a hurtful Opinion of thofe who gave it? Confider thefe Things well, and reform before it be too late: It will recommend me much hereafter, if I can bring about the Repentance of three fuch Sinners: Sinners, who are at prefent like Swearers, in Danger of Damnation, for a Crime, that hath in it neither Pleafure or Profit.

Permit me, through you, to convey one Word to the Haberdasher of Small Ware, who made his Appearance laft Week. I have his Work now under Perufal, but have not yet determined, whether I fhall or fhall not lay it before my Mafter. But left he fhould, in a Hurry, fend Abroad more of his Small Ware, before I have well examined his former Cargoe, I muft give him fome Advice for his Conduct. Indeed, it would be well, if he drop'd all Thoughts of meddling with Politicks. They are not his Profeffion, and are above his Comprehenfion. But if he will not be advifed in this Point, bid him not Dimmock-like to throw down a Gauntlet, which he is very fure will not be taken
up. I have read in a great Book of Travels, that lies in our Hall, that in Cbina, the Mandarins are obliged daily to throw into a Cheft, by a Hole in the Lid, their Obfervations on Publick Tranfactions; when the Emperor dies, the Cheft is opened, and out of the Papers found therein, his Hiftory is compofed. A Word to the Wife.
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