

Bodleian Libraries

This book is part of the collection held by the Bodleian Libraries and scanned by Google, Inc. for the Google Books Library Project.

For more information see:

http://www.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/dbooks



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 2.0 UK: England & Wales (CC BY-NC-SA 2.0) licence.

Zelotes and Honestus reconciled:

O R,

An Equal Cheek to Pharifaifm and Antinomianifm continued: BEING THE SECOND PART OF THE SCRIPTURE-SCALES

To weigh the gold of gospel-truth, to balance a multitude of opposite scriptures, to prove the gospelmarriage of *Free-grace* and *Free-will*, and restore primitive harmony to the gospel of the day.

By a lover of the whole truth as it is in Jefus.

How is the moft fine gold changed ! - Take heed that ye be not deceived : for many shall come in my name, faying, I am Christ' DOCTRINAL: - 'I am Christ' MORAL: -But, 'To the law, and to the testimony : if they speak not according to this word, it is because there is no light in them' [or at least because] their wine is mixed with water, and their filver is' [partly] 'become dross.' BIBLE.

"Si non eft Dei gratia, quomodo falvat mundum? Si non eft libe-"rum arbitrium, quomodo judicat mundum?" Aug.

SHREWSBURY:

Printed by J. EDDOWES: and fold at the Foundery, and by J. BUCKLAND, in Pater-nofter-Row, London, 1775.

[Price EIGHTEEN-PENCE,]

ADVERTISEMENT.

T HE reader is defired to turn to the end of the book, where he will find an Appendix intitled,

To the controversy, which is intended to be ended by the Scripture-Scales.

к

E

Y

That Appendix may be confidered as a supplement to the preface, and to the Explanation of fome terms used in these sheets.

Just published, price Six-pence,

The FICTITIOUS and the GENUINE CEEED: Being a Creed for Arminians, composed by Richard Hill, Esq; to which is opposed A Creed for those who believe that Christ tasted death for every man.

By the Author of the Checks to Antinomianism.

London: Printed by R. Hawes, (No. 34.) in Lambfireet, near Spital-Square, 1775.

ALSO

Ready for the Prefs, by the fame Author,

An ESSAY on the Twin-Doctrines of Christian Impersection and a Death Purgatory;

Being a full Answer to " A Creed for Perfectionists."

[iit]

PREFACE.

ъŤ

THE Reconciler invites the contending parties to end the controversy; and, in order to this, he beseeches them not to involve the question in clouds of evalue eavils, or personal reflections; but to come to the point, and break, if they can, either the one or the other of his Scripture-Scales; And, if they cannot, to admit them both, and, by that means, to give glory to God and the Truth, and be reconciled to all the Gospel, and to one another.

BEING fully perfuaded that christianity fuffers greatly by the opposite mistakes of the mere Solifidians, and of the mere Moralists; we embrace the truths and reject the errors, which are maintained by these contrary parties. For, by equally admitting the doctrines of grace, and the doctrines of justice; -by equally contending for faith and for morality, we adopt what is truly excellent in each fystem; we reconcile Zelotes and Honeftus; we bear our testimony against their contentious partiality; and, to the best of our knowledge, we maintain the whole truth as it is in Jesus. If we are mistaken, we shall be thankful to those who will set us right. Plain scriptures, close arguments, and friendly expolulations, are the weapons we chule. We humbly hope, that the unprejudiced reader, will find no other in these pages : And to engage our opponents to use fuch only, we present to them the following Petition.

For Candor's fake;—for Truth's fake;—for Peace's fake;—for the Reader's fake;—and, above all, for the fake of Chrift, and the honour of chriftianity; whoever ye are, that fhall next enter the lifts againft us, do not wiredraw the controverfy by uncharitably attacking our perfons, and abfurdly judging our fpirits, inflead of weighing our arguments, and confidering the fcriptures which we produce. Nor pafs

2 2

over"

over fifty folid reafons, and an hundred plain paffages, to cavil about non-effentials, and to lay the firefs of your answer upon mistakes, which do not affect the firength of the cause, and which we are ready to correct, as soon as they shall be pointed out.

Keep close to the queftion : do not divert the reader's mind, by ftarting from the point in hand upon the most frivolous occasions; nor raise dust to obscure what is to be cleared up. An example will illustrate my meaning. Mr. Sellon, in vindicating the Church of England from the charge of Calviniim, observes, that her catechifm is quite anti-calviniftic, and that we ought to judge of her doctrine by her own catechifm, and not by Ponet's Calvinian catechifm, which poor young king Edward was prevailed upon to recommend fome time after the eftablishment of our church. Mr. Toplady, in his Hiftoric Proof, inftead of confidering the question, which is, whether it is not fitter to. gather the doctrine of our church from her own anti-Calvinian catechifm, than from Ponet's Galvinian catechifm; Mr. Toplady, I fay, in his answer to Mr. Sellon, fastens upon the phrale poor young king Edward, and works it to fuch a degree, that he raifes from itclouds of thining duff, and pillars of black imoke; filling, if I remember right; a whole fection with the prailes of King Edward, and with reflections upon Mr. Sellon : And in this bright cloud of praise, and dark cloud of dispraise, the question is so entirely loft, that I doubt if one in an hundred of his readers has the least idea of it, after reading two or three of the many pages, which he has written on this head. By fuch means as this, it is, that he has made a ten or twelve Shilling book, in which the church of England is condemned to wear the badge of the church of Geneva. And the Calvinifts conclude, Mr. Toplady. has proved, that the is bound to wear it; for they have paid dear for the Proof:

That very gentleman, if fame is to be credited, has fome thoughts of attacking the *Checks*. If he favours me with *juft* remarks upon my miftakes (for 1 have probably made more than one; the' 1 hope none

none is of a capital nature) he shall have my fincere thanks : But, if he involves the question in clouds of perfonal reflections, and of idle digreffions; he will only give me an opportunity of initiating the public more and more into the mysteries of Logica Genevenfis. I therefore intreat him, if he thinks me worthy of his notice, to remember that the capital questions-the questions, on which the fall of the Calvinian, or of the anti-Calvinian doctrines of grace turn, are not, Whether I am a fool and a knave; and whether I have made fome mistakes in attacking antinomianism: but, Whether those miltakes affect the truth of the anti-folifidian and anti-pharifaic golpel, which we defend ;-Whether the two gofpel-axioms are not equally true; -Whether our fecond scale is not as scriptural as the. firft ; - Whether the doctrines of juffice and obedience are not as important in their places, as the doctrines. of grace and mercy ;- Whether the plan of reconciliation laid down in Sec. xvii, and the marriage of Free-grace and Free-will, defcribed in Sect. xxiv, are not truly evangelical; - Whether God can judge the world in righteousness and wisdom, if man is NOT at free, unnecefficated agent;- Whether the juftification. of obedient believers by the WORKS OF FAITH, is not as fcriptural as the justification of finners by FAITH itfelf ;- Whether the eternal falvation of adults is not of remunerative justice, as well as of free-grace ;- Whether that falvation does not SECONDARILY depend on. the evangelical, derived worthinefs of obedient, perfevering believers ; as it PRIMARILY depends on the. original and proper merits of our atoning and interceding Redeemer ; -- Whether man is in a flate ch probation ; or, if you please, Whether the Calvinian. doctrines of finished salvation and finished dannation are true; Whether there is not a day of initial falvation for all mankind, according to various difpen. fations of divine grace ;- Whether Corift did not talle: death for every man, and purchase a day of initial. redemption and falvation for all finners, and a day of eternal redemption and falvation for all perfevering. believers ;- Whether all the fins of real apostates ;

a 3

.

or foully-fallen believers thall fo work for their good, that none of them thall ever be damned for any crime he thall commit; - Whether they thall all fing louder in heaven for their greateft falls on earth ;-----Whether our abfolute, *jer/onal* reprobation from eternal life, is of God's *free-wrath* thro' the decreed, necefary fin of Adam; or of God's *juft-wrath* thro' our own obfinate, avoidable perfeverance in fin;----Whether our doctrines of non-necofficating grace, and of *juft-wrath*, do not exalt all the divine perfections; and Whether the Calvinian doctrines of necofficating grace and free-wrath, do not pour contempt upon all the attributes of God, his Sovereignty not excepted.

These are the important questions, which I have principally debated with the Hon. and Rev. Mr. Shirley, Rich. Hill, Efq; the Rev. Mr. Hill, the Rev. Mr. Berridge, and the Rev. Mr. Toplady. Some less effential collateral; questions I have touched upon, fuch as, Whether Judas was an abfolutely-gracelefs hypocrite, when our Lord raifed him to apoftolic honours;-Whether fome of the most judicious Calvinists have not, at times, done justice to the doctrine of Freenoil and + co-operation, &c. Thefe and the like questions I call collateral, because they are only occafionally brought in; and becaufe the walls which defend our doctrines of grace fland firm without them. We hope therefore, that if Mr. Toplady, and the other divines who defend the ramparts of myflical Geneva, should ever attack the Checks, they will erect their main batteries against our towers, and not against fome infignificant part of the fcaffolding, which we could entirely take down, without endangering our Jerufalim in the leaft .-- Should you refuse to grant our reasonable request; should you take up the

+ The Rev. Mr. WHITEFIELD in his answer to the Bishop of London's perforal letter, says, "That prayer is NOT the SINGLE " work of the Spirit, without any CO-OPERATION OF OUR OWN, " I readily confess.—Where refirmed, that there was NO CO-OPERA-" TION OF OUR OWN MINDS, together with the impulse of the spirit of Ged?"—Now, that MANY- self thost of falvation merely by NOT the pen to perplex, and not to folve the queftion; to blacken our character, and not to illustrate the obfcure parts of the truth; you must give us leave to look upon your controversial attempt as an evasive show of defence, contrived to keep a defenceles, tottering error upon it's legs, before an injudicious, bigoted populace.

. If you will do us, and the public justice, come to close quarters, and put an end to the controverly by candidly receiving our Scripture-Scales, or by plainly flowing that they are falle. Our doctrine entirely depends upon the two goipel axioms, and their necessary confequences, which now hang out to public view in our Gospel-balances. Nothing therefore can be more eafy than to point out our error, if our fystem is erroneous. But, if our Scales are just; if our doctrines of Grace and Juflice, -of Free-grace and Free-will are TRUE: it is evident that the Solifidians and the Moralifts are both in the wrong, and that we are, upon the whole, in the right. I fay upon the whole; because infignificant mistakes can no more affect the firength of our cause, than a cracked flate, or a broken pane can affect the folidity of a palace, which is firmly built upon a rock. Therefore, if you are an admirer of Zelotes, and a Solifidian oppofer of Free-will, of the law of liberty, and of the remunerative juffification of a believer by the works of faith; raile no duft: candidly give up antinomianiim; break the two

pillars.

NOT CO-OPERATING WITH THE SPIRIT'S IMPULSE, is evident, if we may credit thele words of the fame reverend author. "There is "a great difference between GOOD DESIRES, and good babits. MANY "bave the one, who NEVER ATTAIN to the other. MANY" [thro' the Spirit's impulfe] "bave GOOD DESIRES to fubdue fin : and yet "RESTING" [thro' want of co-operation] "in these GOOD defires, "fin has ALWAYS the dominion over them." WHIT. WORKS, Vol. iv. page 7, 11.—Mr. Whitefield grants in these two passes all that I contend for in these pages, respecting the doctrine of our concurrence or co-operation with the Spirit of free-grace, that is, respecting the doctrine of Free-will: And yet his warmest admirers will probably be my warmest opposers. But why?—Because I, aim at [what Mr. Whitefield formetimes overlooked] Confissency.

pillars on which it ftands ; necessitating Free-grace, and forcible Free-wrath: Or prove, if you can, that our SECOND SCALE, which is directly contrary to your ; doctrines of grace, is irrational, and that we have forged or misquoted the passages which compose it .-- . But, if you are a follower of Homefus, and a neglecter of Free-grace, and falvation by faith in Jefus. Christ; be a candid and honest disputant. Come at cnce to the grand queflion; and terminate the con-troverfy, either by receiving our FIRST SCALE, which. is directly contrary to your scheme of doctrine: Or by proving, that THIS SCALE, is directly contrary to. Reafon and Scripture, and that we have mifquoted or mittaken most of the passages which enter into it's. composition. I fay most, tho' I could fay all: For if. only two paffages properly taken in connexion with the context, the avowed doctrine of a facred writer,. and the general drift of the fcriptures; - if only. two fuch paffages, I fay, fairly and truly fupport each fection of our Scripture-scales, they hang firmly, and can no more, upon the whole, be invalidated, than. the scripture itself, which, as our Lord informs us, CANNOT BE BROKEN. John x. 35.

I take the Searcher of hearts, and my judicious, unprejudiced readers to witnefs, that, thro' the whole: of this controverly, far from concealing the moft. plaufible objections, or avoiding the ftrongeft arguments which are, or may be advanced against our. reconciling doctrine, I have carefully fearched them out, and endeavoured to encounter them as openly as David did Goliath. Had our opponents followed this method. I doubt not but the controverly would have ended long ago in the destruction of our prejudices, and in the rectifying of our miltakes -Oh, if we all preferred the unspeakable pleasure of finding out the truth, to the pitiful honour of pleasing. a party, or of vindicating our own miltakes; howfoon would the ufeful fan of fcriptural, logical, and brotherly controverly, purge the floor of the church ! How soon would the light of truth, and the flame. of love, burn the chaff of error, and the thorns of prejudica prejudice with fire unquenchable! May the past triumphs of bigotry suffice! and, instead of facrificing any more to that detestable idol, may we all henceforth do whatever lies in us, to hasten a general reconciliation, that we may all share together in the choicest blessings, which God can bestow upon his peculiar people; the Spirit of pure, evangelical truth; and of fervent, brotherly love!

Madeley, March 30, 1775.

An EXPLANATION

Of some Terms used in these. Sheets.

THE word Solifidian is defined, and the characters of Zelotes, Honeflus, and Lorenzo are drawn in the ADVERTISEMENT prefixed to the first part of this work. It is proper to explain here a few more words, or characters.

PHARISAISM is the religion of a pharifee.

A PHARISEE is a loofe or firice profession of natural or revealed religion, who fo depends upon the fystemof religion which he has adopted, or upon his attachment to the fchool or church he belongs to; [whether it be the fchool of Plato; Confucius, or Sections ;-whether it be the church of Fern/alem, Rome, England, or Scotland]-who lays fuch a firefs on his religious or moral duties, -and has fo good an opinion" of his prefent harmleffnefs and obedience, or of his future reformation and good works, as to overlook . his natural impotence and guilt, and to be infenfible. of the need and happinels of being justified freely [as a finner] by Goa's grace thro' the redemption that is in Jelus Chrift, Rom. iii. 24 ---- You may know him : (1) By his contempt of, or coldness for, the Redeemer and his free grace :- (2) By the antichriftian, unscriptural confidence, which he reposes in his best endeavours; and in the felf righteous exertions of his own

own free-will:—Or (3) by the jefts he paffes upon, or the indifference he betrays for, the convincing, comforting, affifting, and fanctifying influences of God's Holy Spirit.

ANTINOMIANISM is the religion of an Antinomian.

An ANTINOMIAN is a christian who is [anti nomon] AGAINST THE LAW OF Chrift, as well as AGAINST THE LAW of Mofes : He allows Christ's law to be a rule of LIFE, but not a rule of JUDGMENT for believers, and thus he deftroys that law at a firoke, as a law; it being evident that a rule, by the perfonal observance or non observance of which Christ's fubjects can never be acquitted; or condemned, is not a law for them. Hence he afferts that christians shall no more be justified before God by their perfonal obedience to the law of Chrift, than by their perfonal, obedience to the ceremonial law of Mofes. Nay, he believes, that the best christians perpetually break Chrift's law; that no body ever kept it but Chrift himfelf; and that we shall be justified or condemned before God in the great day, not as we shall perionally be found to have finally kept or finally broken Chrift's law; but, as God shall be found to have before the foundation of the world arbitrarily laid, or not laid. to our account, the merit of Christ's keeping his own. law. Thus, he hopes to fland in the great day merely. by what he calls " Chrift's imputed righteou/ne/s;" excluding with abhorrence from our final juftification the . evangetical worthinefs of our own perfonal, fincere obedience of repentance and faith ;-- a precious obedience this, which he calls dung, drofs, and filthy rags ; just as if it was the infincere obedience of felf-tighteous pride, and pharifaic hypocrify. Neverthelefs, tho' he thus excludes the evangelical, derived worthiness of the works of faith from our eternal justification and falvation, HE DOES good works, if he is-[in other respects] a good man. Nay, in this case, he piques him/elf to do them; thinking he is peculiarly obliged to make people believe, that, immoral as his lentiments are, they draw after them the greatest benc-

x

benevolence and the frictest morality: But Fulfome shows the contrary.

FULSOME represents a confifent antinomian-that is, one who is fuch in practice, as well as in theory. He warmly espouses Zelotes's doctrine of finished falvation; believing that, before the foundation of the world, we were all Calvinifically, i. e. perfonally ordained to eternal life IN CHRIST, OF to eternal death IN ADAM, without the least respect to our own works, that is, to our own tempers and conduct. Hence he draws this just inference : "If Chrift never died for " me, and I am CALVINISTICALLY-reprobated, my " best endeavours to be finally justified, and eter-" nally faved, will never alter the decree of repro-" bation, which was made against me from all eter-" nity. On the other hand, if I am CALVINISTI-" CALLY-elected, and if Chrift abfolutely fecured, " yea FINISHED my eternal falvation on the crofs; " no fins can ever blot my name out of the book of " life. God, in the day of his almighty power, will " irrefiftibly convert or reconvert my foul; and then. " the greater my crimes shall have been, the more " they will fet off divine mercy and power in for-" giving and turning fuch a finner as me ; and I fhall " only fing in heaven louder than lefs finners will " have caule to do." Thus reasons Fulfome, and, like a wife man, he is determined, if he is an absolute REPROBATE, to have what pleasure he can before God pulls him down to hell in the day of his power ? Or, if he is an abfolute ELECT, he thinks it reasonable comfortably to wait for the day of God's power. in which day he shall be irrefifibly turned, and abfolutely fitted to fing louder in heaven the praifes of CALVINISTICALLY-DISTINGUISHING love :-- a love this, which [if the antinomian gospel of the day be true] eternally juffifies the chief of finners, without any perfonal or inherent worthinefs.

INITIAL SALVATION is a phrase which fometimes occurs in these sheets. The plain reader is defired to understand by it, Solvation begun, or, an inferior state of acceptance and present Salvation: In this state ftate finners are actually faved from hell, admitted to a degree of favour, and gracioufly entrusted with one or more talents of grace, that is, of means, power, and ability to work out their own [eternal] falvation, in due fubordination to God, who, confistently with 'our liberty, works in us both to will and to do, according to the difpentation of the heathens, jews, or chriftians, of his good pleafure

By the ELECTION OF GRACE, underftand the free, and merely gratuitous choice, which God [As A WISE AND SOVEREIGN BENEFACTOR] arbitrarily makes of this, that, or the other man, to befow upon him one, two, or five talents of *Free-grace*.

Opposed to this election, you have an ABSOLUTE RE-PROBATION, which does not draw damnation after it, but only rejection from a fuperior number of talents. In this fense God reprobated Enoch and David :- Enoch, with respect to the peculiar bleffings of judaism; and David, with regard to the ftill more peculiar bleffings of christianity. But altho' neither of them had a share in the election of God's MOST peculiar grace; that is, altho' neither was chosen and called to the bleffings of christianity; their lot was never cast with those imaginary " poor creatures," whom Calvin and his followers affirm to have been from all eternity reprobated with a reprobation, which infallibly draws eternal damnation after it. For Enoch and David made their election to the rewards of their difpensations fure by the timely and voluntary obedience of faith. And fo might all those who oblinately bury their talent or talents to the laft.

By FUTURE CONTINGENCIES, understand those things, which will, or will not be done; as the free, unnecefficated will of man shall chuse to do them or not.

By SEMINAL EXISTENCE, understand the existence that we had in Adam's loins before Eve had conceived; or the kind of being, which the prince of Wales had in the loins of the King, before the Queen came to England. (177)

THE SECOND PART

FTHE

SCRIPTURE SCALES.

SECTION XIV.

Containing the scripture-dostrine of the perseverance of the saints.

I fromised the Reader, that Zelotes and Honestus should soon meet again, to fight their last battle; and that I may be as good as my word, I bring them a fecond time upon the stage of controverly. I have no pleafure in feeing them contend with each other; but I hope, that when they shall have shot all their arrows, and spent all their strength, they will quietly fit down, and liften to proposals of reconciliation; They have had already many engagements, but they feem determined that this shall be the sharpest. Their challenge is about the doctrine of perfeverance. Zelotes afferts that the perfeverance of believers depends antirely upon God's almighty grace, which nothing can fruffrate ; and that, of confequence, no believer can finally fall. Honeftus on the other hand maintains, that continuing in the faith depends chiefly, if not entirely, upon the believer's free-will; aid that of confequence, final perfeverance is, partly, if not altogether, as uncertain as the fluctuations of the hu-The reconciling truth lies between those man heart. two extremes, as appears from the following propofitions, in which I fam up the fcripture-doctrine of perfeverance.

1.God makes us glorious 2.Thofe promifes are neipromifes to encourage us ther compulfory nor abfoto perfevere. God lute. We R

1. God on his part gives us his gracious help.

1. FREE-GRACE always does its parc.

1. Final perseverance depends FIRST, on the final, gracious concurrence of free-grace with free-will.

I.As free-grace has in all things the pre-eminence over free-will, we mult lay much more stress upon God's faithfulnefs, than The upon OUR OWN. fpouse comes out of the wildernefs leaning upon her Beloved, and not upon herfelf.

i The believer ftands upon two legs (if I may fo fpeak) Gop's faithfulnefs and The one is HIS OWN. always found, nor can he reft too much upon it, if he does but walk strait as a wife chriftian ; and does not foolihly hop as an antinomian, who goes only upon his right leg; or as a pharifee, who moves entirely upon the left.

and he can again run the way of God's commandments.

1. When gofpel minifters ipeak of OUR FAITHFUL NESS, they chiefly mean . -(1) Our faithfulnefs in | of godlinefs, is the high repenting,

z. We muft on our part faithfully use the help of God.

2 FREE-WILL DOES NOT always do its part.

2. Final perseverance depends secondLy, on the final, faithful concurrence fofree-will with free-grace.

2. But to infer from thence, that the fpoule is to be CARRIED by herBeloved every step of the way, is unfcriptural. He gently DRAWS her, and the runs. He gives her his arm, and fhe leans. But far from DRAGGING her by main force, he bids her remember Lot's wife.

2. The believer's left leg (I mean HIS OWN faithfulnefs) is fubject to many humours, fores, and bad accidents; especially when he does not ule it at all; or when he lays too much ftreis upon it, to fave his other leg. If it is broken, he is already fallen; and if he is out of hell, he must lean as much as he can upon his right leg, till the left begins to heal,

2. To aim chiefly at being faithful in external works, means of grace, and forms road

repenting, that is, in renouncing our fins and pharifaic righteoufnefs; and in improving the talent of light, which thows us our natural depravity, daily imperfections, total helplefinefs, and conftant need of an humble recourfe to, and dependance on divine grace. - And (2) Our faithfulness in believing (even in hope against hope) God's nedeeming love to finners in Chrift; in humbly apprehending, as returning prodigals, the gratuitous forgivenels of fins thro' the blood of the Lamb; in chearfully claiming, as impotent creatures, the help that is laid on the Saviour for us; and in conftantly coming at his. word, to take of the water of life freely. And fo far as Zelous recommends this evangelical disposition of mind, without opening a back-door to antinomianiim, by covertly pleading for fin, and dealing about his imaginary decrees of forcible grace and lovereign wrath, he cannot be too highly commended.

1. If Zelotes will do juftice to the doctrine of perfeverance,

road to pharifaifm, and insincere obedience. I grant, that he who is humbly faithful in little things, is faithful alto in much; and that he, who flothfully neglects little helps, will foon fall into great fins : But the profeffors of christianity cannot be too frequently told, that if they are not firft faithful in maintaining true poverty of fpirit, deep felf-humiliation before God, and high thoughts of Christ's blood and righteoufnels; they will foon flide into laodicean pharifaifm : and, lehu-like, they will make more of their own partial, external, felfish faithfulnefs, than of divine grace, and the fpirit's power : A moft dangerous and common error this, into which the followers of Honeflus are very prone to run, and fo far as he leads them into it, or encourages them in it, he deferves to be highly blamed; and Lelotes, in this respect, hath undoubtedly the advantage over him.

2. Would Honeftus kindly meet Zelotes half way, he R 2 muft

feverance, he must speak of the obedience of faith, that is, of genuine, fincere obedience, as the oracles of God do. He must not blush to display the glorious rewards, with which God hath promifed to crown it. He must boldly declare, that for want of it the wrath of God cometh upon the children of di/obedience - upon fallen believers, who have no inherisance in the kingdom of Chrift and of God. Eph. v. 5.-In a word, inftead of emalculating ' Sergeant if, who valiantly guards the dostrine of perfeverance," he should show him all the respect, that Chrift himself does in the gofpel.

must speak of free-grace, and of Chrift's obedience unto death as the fcriptures do. He must glory in displaying divine faithfulnefs, and placing it in the most conspicuous and engaging light. He must not be ashamed to point out the great rewards of the faith which inherits promifes, gives glory to God, and out of weakness makes us ftrong to take up our crofs, and to run the race of obedience. -In a word, he must teach his willing hearers to depend every day more and more upon Chrift ; and to lay as much itrefs upon his promiles, as they ever did upon his threatenings.

To fum all up in two propositions.

1. The *infallible* perfeverance of *obedient* believers, is a most fweet and evangelical doctrine, which cannot be prefied with too much earneitness and contlancy upon fincere chriftians, for their comfort, cncouragement, and establishment. z. The *infallible* perfeverance of *difobedient* believers, is a molt dangerous and unferiptural doctrine, which cannot be prefied with too much alfiduity and tendernefs upon antinomian professions for their re-awakening and fanctification.

To fee the truth of these propositions, we need only throw with candor into the scripture-scales, the weights weights which Zelotes and Honeflus unmercifully throw at each other; taking particular care not to break, as they do, the golden beam of evangelical harmony, by means of which the oppofite scales, and weights, exactly balance each other.

1. The Weights of FREE-GRACE thrown by Zelotes.

1. The Lord fhall es-TABLISH thee an HOLY people to himfelf, as he hath SWORN unto thee, Deut. XXVIII. 9.

1. Know therefore the LORD thy God: he is God, the faithful God, who keepeth COVENANT. Deut. vii. 9.

1. He hath made with me an EVERLASTING CO-VENANT, ordered in all things and sure: for this is ALL my falvation and ALL my defire. 2 Sam. XXIII. 5. 2. The Weights of FREE-WILL thrownby Honestus.

2. IF thou shalt KEEF the commandments of the Lord thy God, and WALK in H18 ways. Ibid.

2. But THEY &c. have tranfgreffed the COVE-NANT. — THEY CONTI-NUED NOT in my COVE-NANT, and I regarded them not. Hof.vi.7. Heb. viii. 9.

2. THEY have broken the EVERLASTING covenant; therefore hath the curfe devoured the earth. If. xxiv. 5. — THEY kept not the COVENANT OF GOD, and REFUSED to walk in his law, &c. fo a

fire was kindled in Jacob, and anger alfo came up against lfrael; BECAUSE they BELIEVED NOT in God,and TRUSTED NOT in HIS SALVATION, &c. The wrath of God came upon them, &c. and SMOTE DOWNthe chosen of lfrael. Pfalm lxxviii. 10, 21, 22, 31.

[Hence it appears, that part of the everlasting covenant ordered in all things and SURE, is, that those who break it prefumptuously, and do not repent, as David didgbefore it is too late, shall SURELY be smitten down and defroyed.] R 3 1. With him [the Father of lights] is NO variablenefs, neither fhadow of TURNING. James i. 17. — I am the Lord, I CHANGE NOT: [I ftill bear with finners during the day of their vifitation:] therefore ye, fons of Jacob, are not confumed. Mal. iii. 6.

Objerve here, that, altho' Goa's effence, and the principles of his conduct towards man, never change ; yet, as He loves righteoufneis, and hates iniquity ; and as He is the Rewarder of the righteous, and the Punisher of the wicked; he must shew himself pleased or aipleased, a Rewarder or a Punisher, as moral agents turn from fin to righteoufnejs, or from righteou[ne]s to fin. Without this kind of change ad extra, he could not be holy and just :- he could not be the Judge of all the earth : - he could not Le God

2. The angel of his preience SAVED them : in his LOVE and PITY he remembered them. Bur THEY rebelled, and vexed his holy fpirit; THERE-FORE he WAS TURNED to be their ENEMY. If. Ixili. 9, 10.-The Lord God of lfrael faith : I faid indeed, that thy house, and the house of thy father, should walk before me FOR EVER: BUT NOW, be it far from me; FOR, &c. they that defpife me fhall be lightly efteemed. 1 Sam. ii. 30. - And the word of the Lord came to Jonah, faying, Preach unto Nineveh the preaching that | BID thee : - And Jonah cried and faid, Yet forty days, and Nineveh SHALL BE overthrown. So the people of Nineveh believed God, &c. For the king fat in afhes, and caufed it to be proclaimed, &c. Cry mightily to God, yea let every one TURN from his evil way, &c. Who can

tell if God will TURN and repent, that we perifh not. And God faw their works, that they turned from their evil way: And God repented of the evil, which HE HAD SAID, that he would do unto them, and he DID IT NOT. Jonah iii. i, &c. [From the preceding remarkable passages it is evident, that, except in a few cases, the promises and threatenings of God, so long as the day of grace and trial lasts, are conditional; and that that, even when they wear the most ABSOLUTE aspect, the condition is generally implied.]

1. The GIFTS and CALLing of God are without REPENTANCE. Rom. x1.20. - The apolile evidently speaks these words of God's gifts to, and calling of the jewish nation. The Lord is fo far from repenting (PROPERLY fpeaking) of his having once called the lews to the Mofaic covenant of peculiarity, that he is ready nationally to re-admit them to his peculiar favour, when they shall nationally repent, embrace the gofpel of Chrift, and fo make their fincere calling to the christian covenant fure by believing. But does this prove that God forces repentance upon every Jew, and that when the lews will nationally repent, God will

2. I GAVE her time to repent, and the repented not. Rev. 11.21.-Becaufe I have CALLED, and ye retused, &c. I also will mock - when your DE-STRUCTION cometh as a whirlwind. Prov. i. 24. &c. - The Lord [to Speak FIGURATIVELY and after the manner of men] RE-PENTED that he had made Saul king over lfrael. 1 Sam. xv. 35. That is, when Saul proved unfaithful, the Lord rejected him in as politive a manner as a king would reject a minitter, or break a general, when he repents of his having raifed them to uifices, of which they how fhow themfelves abfolutely unworthy.]

abfolutely and irrefiftibly work out their falvation for them ? It Zelotes thinks fo, I defire him to look into the scale of Honestus.]

1. We [-who hold fast the profession of our faith without wavering] - are not of them who draw back unto perdition; but of them that believe to the faving of the foul. Heb. x. 39.-We believe, that, THROUGH THE GRACE of our Lord Jefus Christ, we SHALL 2. If that, which ye have heard from the beginning thall remain in you, 1 John ii. 24.—If ye continue in the faith, Col. i. 23 —If ye continue in his goodnefs, Rom. xi. 22.—If ye do thefe things, 2 Peter i. 10. — If we hold fait the confidence firm unto the end, SHALL BE SAVED. Acts end, Heb. iii. 6. - For xv. 11. he that fhall endure unto

THE END, the fame fhall be faved. Mat. xxiv. 13.—[Should Zelotes endeavour to fet afide thefe, and the like foriptures, by faying, that each contains a chriftian IF, and not a jewith IF, i. e. a de/cription, and not a condition; I refer him to Equal Check, Part I. p. 104, where that triffing objection is anfwered,]

1. If his [David's] children FORSAKE my law, &c. then will I vifit their tranfgreffion with the rod, &c. neverthelefs my loving kindnefs will I not UTTERLY take from HIM [David, by utterly caffing off his posterity] nor fuffer my truth to fail [as it would do, if I appointed that the Messah should come of another family.] Pf. lxxxix. 30, &c.

1. Thus fath the Lord, Scc. O lfracl, fear not e for I have redeemed thee; I have called thee by thy name, thou art mine. When thou paffeft thro' the 2. And thou, Solomon my fon, know thou the God of thy father, and ferve him with a perfect heart, and a willing mind: for the Lord fearcheth all hearts, and underftandeth all the imaginations of the thoughts; If thoufeek him he will + be found of thee; but IF thou FORSAKE him, he will caft thee OFF FOR EVER. Take heed now. 1 Chr. xxviii. 9.

2. And the fpirit of God came upon Azariah, and he went out to meet Afa, and faid unto him, Hear ye me, Afa and ALL Judah: The Lord is with you,

⁺ When Isaiab faitb, I was found of them that fought me not, &cc. Rom. x. 23, he does not contradict his own exhortation to seek the Lord while he may be found: That noble testimony to the doctrine of grace does not militate against the doctrine of liberty. But it proves, (1) That free-grace is always before hand with free-will, and (2) That as God freely called the Jews to the Mosaic covenant of peculiarity; fo he gratuitously calls the Gentiles to the Christian covenant of peculiarity; neither Jews nor Gentiles having previously fought that inessimable favour. But when God has fo fur revealed himself either to Jew or Gentile, as to fay, Seek ye m; fuce, wo to him who does not answer in truth, and in time, Thy face, Lord, will I feek.

the waters, I will be with THEE; and thro' the rivers, they shall not overflow THEE: when thou walkest through the fire, THOU shalt not be burnt, &c. If. xliii. 1, 2.

I. ALL the PROMISES of God IN HIM [Chriff] are YEA, and in him AMEN. 2Cor i. 20.—[And fo are all the MENACES: for he is the faithful Witnefs, and the Mediator of the new covenant, which has its threatenings, as well as its promifes : as appears from the oppofite words, fpoken by Chrift himfelf.]

their public and national, as well as private and perfonal accomplishment.] Rev. ii. 5, 15, 16, 23.-iii. 16.

I. God willing more abundantly to fhow to the heirs of promife fi. e. to obedient believers] the IM-MUTABILITY of his counfel, confirmed it by an OATH : that by two IM-MUTABLE things the word and oath of the Lord in which it was IMPOSSIBLE for God to lie, we might have a STRONG confolation, who have fled for refuge to lay hold upon the hope let before us Heb. vi. 17, 18.

1. And thou fhalt call his name Jefus, for he fhall you, WHILE YE be with him; and IF YE feek him, he will be found of you; but IF YE forfake him, he will forfake you. 2 Chr. xv. 1, 2.

2. Remember whence thou ART FALLEN, repent, and DO thy first works, or elfe I will REMOVE thy candless the first work of my mouth against them, that hold the doctrine of the Nicolaitans. — I will kill her children with death. — I will spue thee out of my mouth. [Awful threatnings the fe, which had

2. As truly AS I LIVE, faith the Lord, &c. your carcaffes shall fall in this wilderness; and all that, have murmured a-&c. gainft me, DOUBTLESS YE SHALL NOT COME INto the land, concerning which I SWARE tO MAKE you dwell therein, fave Caleb and Joshua, &c. Ye shall bear your iniquities, &c. and ye shall know my BREACH OF PROMISE. Numb xiv. 28-34.

2. My mother and my brethren [i.e. MY PEO-PLE shall SAVE HIS PEOPLE | PLE | are these, who hear from their fins. Mat.i.21. the word of God, and KEEP it, Mat. XII. 50. I

will DESTROY MY [backfliding] PEOPLE, fince THEY RETURN NOT. er. xv. 7.

1. I will take you to me for a people, and be to you A GOD. Ex. VI. 7.

2. But if thine heart TURN AWAY, fo that thou wilt not hear, &c. I denounce unto you this day,

that ye fhall SURELY PERISH. Deut. XXX. 17, 18 .-ledeed the hand of the Lord was against them Jucken they difobeyed to DESTROY them, &c. until they were CONSUMED. Deut. ii. 15. - Now all these things, &c. are written for OUR admonition. 1 Cor. x. 11.

1. The Lord thy God hath CHOSEN THEE to be a special people unto himfelf. - He brought forth HIS PEOPLE with joy, and HIS CHOSEN With gladnels. Deut. xiv. 2. -Pf. cv. 43.

1. My [aithful] people shall NEVER be A-SHAMED. |oel 11. 27.

1. The work of righteoufnefs fhall be PEACE, quietnefs, and affurance FOR EVER : and MY PLO-PLE shall dwell in apeace able habitation, and in SURE dwellings, and in quiet reiting-places. H. XXXII. 17, 18.

1. The eternal God is thy refuge, and underneath are the EVERLASTING ARMS, &C. ISRAEL thall Sc. 1

2. And the Lord spake to Moles, faying, Get you up from among this congregation this SPECIAL, CHOSEN people that I may confume them in a moment. Num. xvi. 45.

2. Thou my unfaithful people hadit a whore's forchead : thou refufedit to be ASHAMED. |er.III. S.

2. EVERY ONE of the house of lirael, that SEPA-RATETH HIMSELF fromme, faith the Lord, I will CUT HIM OFF from the midit of MY people. Ez. xiv.7. There is NO PEACE to the WICKED. If.lvii.21.

2. That the houle of lirael may GO NO MORE ASTRAY from me, &c. but that they MAY BE dwell in SAFETY alone, | my people. Ez. xiv. 11. -OBSY

&c. Happy art thou, O - OBEY my voice, and Ifrael : who is like unto thee, O people SAVED BY THE LORD, the thield of THY HELP. Deut. XXXIII. 27, &c.

ye that be MY PEOPLE. Jer. vii. 23. - Wo unto them [l/rael and Ephra m] FOR they have fled from me: DESTRUCTION unto them, BECAUSE they have

tranfgreffed against me .- They RETURN NOT to the Moft High, Hof. vii. 12, 16.

1. The Lord will PITY his people. Joel ii. 18.

begin at the Houfe of God.

1. Hath God ab/olutely] caft away his people [the Jews?] God forbid! God has not caft away his people, whom he foreknew as believing ; the Jews being as welcome to believe in Christ as the Gentiles. | Rom. xi. 1, 2.

I. Zion faid, The Lord hath forfaken me, and my Lord hath forgotten me. Can a woman forget her fucking child, that fhe fould not have compaffion on the fon of her womb? Yea they may forget, yet WILL I NOT forget thee. If. xlix. 14, 15.

1, Jefus having loved [d (ciples] he his own LOVED them UNTO THE END of his flay in this world, except him that was once his own familiar triend, in whom he trufted,

fhall The Lord 2. judge his people. Heb. X. 30. UDGMENT MUST 1 Pet. 1v. 17.

2. Ye are a CHOSEN choice generation, &c. which in time paft wERE NOT a people, but ARE Now the people of God: which HAD NOT obtained mercy, but NOW HAVE obtained mercy by believing.] 1 Pet. ii. 9, 10. 2. Therefore the children of Ifrael could not fland before their enemies, &c. because they were ACCURSED: neither will I be with you ANY MORE [Jaid the Lord] EXCEPT ye defiroy the accurled thing from amongit you. Jofh. vii. 12.

2 I will call her BE-LOVED, Who WAS NOT beloved. - Jeius loved HIM the young ruler, who went away forrowing -1 will love them NO MORE. Rom. ix. 25. Mar. x. trusted, Judas, whom our x. 21.-Hof. ix. 15. Lord himjelf excepts. John

xvii.12. See page 101.] John xiii.1.—I have loved thee with an EVERLASTING LOVE, [or with THE LOVE with which 1 loved thee OF OLD, when I brought thee out of Egypt] therefore with loving kindnels have I drawn thee. Jer. xxxi. 3. [Compare the word everlafting in the original, with these words, when Ifrael was a child, when 1 loved him, and called my fon out of Egypt. Hof. xi. 1.]

I. Truly God is good to Israel. Pf. lxxiii. I. —This God is our God FOR EVER and ever; he will be our guide even UNTO DEATH. Pf. xlviii. 24.

2. Even to fuch as are of a CLEAN heart. *Ibid.* —Depart from evil, DO GOOD, and dwell FOR E-VERMORE.—Bind mercy and truth about thy neck, &c. so fhalt thou find favour, &c. IN THE SIGHT

OF GOD and man. Pf. xxxvii. 27 .- Prov. iii. 3, 4.

1. Who fhail lay ANY THING to the charge of God's ELECT? [them that are in Chrift, who walk not after the flefh, but after the fpirit?] It is God that juffifieth : who is he that condemneth them? Rom. viii. 1, 33, 34.

1. All things are YOURS [ye Corinthians] and YE ARE CHRIST'S and Chrift is God's. — Of him YE ARE IN CHRIST Jefus. 1 Cor. iii. 21.—i. 30.

1. To them, that arfanclified by God the Ia ther, and PRESERVED IN JESUS CHRIST, and callec 2. [No righteous judge:] For to be SPIRITUALLY minded is LIFE and peace; but to be CAR-NALLY minded is DEATH. Ver/e 6.--- Whofoever hath finned againft me, faid the Lord, HIM will I blot out of my book. Ex. xxxii. 33.

2. Examine yourfelves [ye Corinthians] whether ye be in the FAITH, &c. Know ye not, &c. that Chrift is in you; except YE BE REPROBATES? — 2 Cor. xiii. 5.

2. To them, who by atient CONTINUANCEIN WELL-DOING, SEEK for glory, honeur, and imnor-

ed [to enjoy the bleffings of his gospel. Jude 1.]

1. If we believe not, yet HE ABIDETH FAITH-FUL; he cannot deny himfelf. z Tim.ii. 13. [Therefore]

1. Except THE LORD KEEP the city, the WATCH-MAN waketh but IN VAIN. Pf. cxxvii, 1.

- 2 Tim. iv. 5.-1 John v. 18.

1. He [the Lord] led him [Jacob] about &c. he KEPT him as the apple of his eye. AS an eagle fluttereth over her young, taketh them, beareth them on her wings: SO the Lord alone did lead him. Deut. xxxii. 10, 11, 12.

1. Holy Father, KEEP THRO' THY OWN name thofe, whom thou haft given me [that I may impart unto them the peculiar bis fings of my dilpensation.] John xvii, 11. mortality, [God will render] eternal life. Rom. ii. 7.

2. IF we deny him, he will also DENY US: [For he abideth faithful to his THREATENINGS, as well as to his promises] ver. 12. 2. I fay unto all, watch. — Watch THOU in ALL things. — He that is begotten of God KEEPETH HIMSELF. Mark xiii. 37.

2. There was no firange God with him [Jacob]-But &c. they forfook God, &c. facrificed to devils, &c. and when the Lord faw it, he abhorred them; [and faid] I will fpend mine arrows upon them. Ver. 12, 15, 17, 19, 23.

2, KEEP YOURSELVES in the love of God.—Little children KEEP YOURselves from idols.—Fathers &c. love not the world, &c. If any [of you] love the world, the love of the Father is not in d in fpirit.] Jude 21.—I

him. [He is fallen from God in spirit.] Jude 21. — I John v. 21.—ii. 15. 1. You, who are KEPT ! 2. Through FAITH [on

1, I

s

by the POWER OF GOD you unto SALVATION, ready in to be revealed in the laft co time. 1 Peter i. 5.

2. Through FAITH [on your part.] Ibid. — Hold. ing FAITH, and a GOOD CONSCIENCE, which fome having put away, concerning FAITH have made SHIPWRECK. 1 Tim.j.19 2, You

I. I AM PERSUADED, that neither death, nor life, &c. nor angels, &c. nor any other creature NOTE : he does not fay, incorruptible crown not Nor any iniquity | fhall BE ABLE TO SEPARATE US not as one that beateth the from the love of God, | air : But I KEEP my body which is in Chrift Jefus, | under, &c. LEST that by our Lord. Rom, vili, 38.

2. YOUR INIQUITIES have SEPARATED between you and your God. If. Ixv. 12.- I fo run for an as uncertainly : fo fight I, any means &c. 1 myfelf fould be a CAST-AWAY,

[or] a REPROBATE. I Cor. 1X. 26, 27.

I. I know whom I have believed, and I am PER- of perfons with God ---SUADED, that He is ABLE TO KEEP that, which I have committed unto him AGAINST THAT DAY. 2 Tim. i. 12.

2. There is no refpect Thou partakest of the root of the olive tree, &c. fome of the branches are BROKEN OFF, &c. Boaft not thyfelf against them. &c BY UNBELIEF they

were BROKEN OFF, and thou flandeft by faith &c. FEAR &C. LEST he alfo SPARE NOT thee. Rom ii. 11.-xi. 17, &c. Give all diligence to add to your faith virtue &c. for IF YE po these things ye shall NEVER fall, 2 Peter 1. 5, 10.

1. In ALL these things we are MORE than con- | faith :- For I have KEPT querors, THRO' HIM that loved us. Rom. vill. 37.

1. Moreover, whom he did predeftinate [i. e. appoint to be conformed to the image of his Son, according to the CHRISTIAN difpenfation them he alfo called to believe in Chrift :] and whom he [thus] called [to believe in Chrift, when they made

2. I HAVE KEPT the the ways of the Lord, and HAVE NOT wickedly departed from my God. 2 Tim. iv. 7, Pf. xviii. 21. 2. Many are CALLED [10 believe :] but few are choien to the rewards of faith. Mat. xxii. 14. -O thou wicked fervant, I forgave thee all that debt [i. e. I JUSTIFIED thee :] becaufe thou defiredit me. &c. fhouldit thou not alfo have

aftually believing them he alfo justified : and whom he justified [as finners by faith, and as believers by the works of faith] them he also glorified. Rom. VIII. 30.-By one OFFERing he hath perfected FOR EVER in atoning merits them that ARE SANC-TIFIED: Heb. x. 14.-Here we have a brief account of the method, in which God brings abedient, per/evering believers to glory. But what has this to do with Zelotes's perfonal and unconditional predefination to eternal life or to eternal death ?] To flow therefore, that the fense, which he gives to these passages is erroneous, I need only prove, that all those who are called are NOT JUSTIFIED;

made their calling fure by have had compassion on thy fellow fervant, even as I HAD PITY on thee ? And his Lord was wroth. and delivered him to the TORMENTORS. Mat. XVIII. 32, &c. - He that defpifed Mofes's law, DIED WITHOUT MERCY, &C. of how MUCH SORER punihment shall he be thought worthy, who hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith HE WAS SANC-TIFIED, an unholy thing! Heb. x. 29,-Ye believers] shall be hated of all men, &c. but he [of you] that endureth TO THE END, fhall be [eternally] SAVED. Mat. x. 22. [For God] will render ETER-NAL LIFE to them, who by PATIENT CONTINU-ANCE in well-doing feek for glory. Rom, ii. 7.

and that all those who are justified, and fanttified, are NOT GLORIFIED; but only those who make their calling, election, justification, fanctification and glorification SURE by the obedience of faith unto the end. And I prove it by the opposite scriptures.]

Can any unprejudiced perfon read the preceding. passages without feeing : (1) That, according to the icriptures, and the gospel-axioms, our perseverance, is suspended on two grand causes, the first of which is merciful Free-grace, and the fecond, faithful Free-will. - (2) That those two causes must finally act in conjunction: And, -(3) That when Free-grace hath enabled S 2

abled Free-will to concur, and to work out its own falvation, if free-will obftinately refuses to do it till the night comes when no man can work, free-grace gives up free-will to its own perversenes; and then perfeverance fails, and final apoftacy takes place.

E CTION XV.

The important dostrine of perseverance is farther weighed in the scripture-scales.

"HE feriptures produced in the preceding fection might convince an impartial Reader, that Zelotes and Honeflus are both in the wrong with refpect to the doctrine of perfeverance, and that a biblechristian holds together the doctrines which they keep afunder. But confidering that prejudice is not eafily convinced; and fearing, left Zelotes and Honeftus will both think they have won the day, the one against free-will, and the other against free-grace, merely because they can quote behind each other's back fome paffages which I have not yet balanced, and which each will think matchlefs; I fhall give them leave to fight it out before Candidus, reminding him, that Zelotes produces No. 1, against Free-will, that Honeftus produces No. II, against Free-grace, and that I produce both numbers to show, that our free-will must concur with God's free-grace in order to our perfevering in the faith, and in the obedience of faith.

1. A VINEYARD of red wine. I the Lord rol XXVII. 2, 3.

2. I had planted thee a NOBLE VINE, wholly a **KEEP IT : I will water it | right feed : how then art** EVERY MOMENT: left | thou turned into the deany hurt it, I will keep | generate plant of a strange it NIGHT AND DAY, If. | vine unto me? &c. Thou faidft, &c. I have loved .ftrangers,

frangers, and after them I wILL GO. Jer. ii. 21, 25 — What could have been done MORE to MY VINE-TARD, that I have not done in it? Wherefore, when I looked that it fhould bring forth grapes, brought i. forth wILD grapes? And now, I will tell you what I will do to my vineyard, &c. I will lay it WASTE, and &c. command the clouds, that they rain NO RAIN upon it. If. v. 4, 5, 6.

1. The Lord God of Urael faith, that he hateth PUTTING AWAY. Mal.ii. 16. [And yet he allows it for the caule of fornication. Mat. v. 32.]

2. BACKSLIDING Ifrael, &c, hath played the harlot. And I faid, &c. Turn thou unto me: But SHE RETURNED NOT: and her treacherous fifter Judah faw it. And I faw, when,

for — adultery, I had PUT HER AWAY, and given her a bill of DIVORCEMENT: yet her treacherous fifter Judah FEARED NOT. Jer. iii. 6, 7, 8.

1. The righteous shall NEVER be REMOVED. Prov. X. 30-

1. The mountains shall depart, &c. but my kindness shall NOT DEPART from thee, neither shall the COVENANT OF MY PEACE be REMOVED, faith the Lord. If. liv. 10. 2. I marvel that ye are so soon REMOVED from thim that called you. Gal. i. 6.

2. Unto the WICKED God faith: What haft thou to do to declare my flatutes, or that thou fhould it take MY COVE-NANT in thy mouth? Pf. 1. 16. — O lfrael, if thou wilt put away thy abomi-

nations out of my fight, thou shalt NOT REMOVE. Jer. iv. 1.—Jerusalem hath grievously finned: THEREFORE she is REMOVED, Lam. i. 8.—My God will cast them away, BECAUSE they did not hearken unto him. Hof. ix. 17.

1. They that truft in the Lord shall be as mount Zion, which CANNOT be removed, but ABIDETH FOR EVER, As the moun2. Lord, who fhall A. BIDE in thy tabernacle? —He that WALKETH UPrightly and WORKETH righteoufness, &c. He that BOES

S .3 .

tains

tains are round about Je- | DOES these things shall round about HIS PEOPLE, from henceforth, even FOR ! EVER. Pf. CXXV. 1, 2.

rusalem, so the Lord is | NEVER be moved. Psalm xv. 1, 2, 5. - ABIDE in me, and I [will abide] in you. John xv. 4. - He that dwelleth in the fecret

place of the most High [Thou Lord art my hiding place, Pf. xxxii. 7.] fhall ABIDE under the fhadow of the Almighty. Pf. xci. 1,-He that DOEs the will of God, AEIDETH for ever. I John ii. 17. - DRAW OUT thy foul to the hungry, &c. and the Lord shall guide thee CONTINUALLY, and, &c. thou fhalt be like a fpring of water, whole waters FAIL NOT. If, lviii. 10, 11.

1. The LORD will fpeak | PEACE unto his people, and to his faints. Pfalm ; in PEACE .- If the house be Ixxxv. 8 .- Peace shall be | WORTHY, let your PEACE upon Ifrael. Pf. cxxv. 5. | come upon it .- As many [For] Chrift is our peace. | as WALK according to this Eph. ii. 14.

2, BE DILIGENT that you may be found of him rule (i.e. as becomes a new creature) PEACE be on

them, and mercy. 1 Peter iii. 14. - Mat. x, 13. -Gal. vi. 15, 16.

I. O'CONTINUE thy loving-kindnefs unto them | that KNOW THEE.

2. And thy righteoufnefs to the UPRIGHT IN HEART. Pf. XXXVI. 10 .---He [the apostate] FLAT-

TERETH HIMSELF in his own eyes, &c. he hath LEFT OFF TO BE wife, and TO BO good, &c. He fetteth himfelf in a way that is not good, he abhorreth not evil, &c. There are the workers of iniquity FALLEN, &c. and shall NOT be able to rife. Ver, 2. 3, 4, 12. - Wholo CONTINUETH in the perfect law of liberty, he being a DOER of the WORK, this man shall be BLESSED. Jam. i: 25. - They went out from us, but [in general] they were not of us [that O'NTINUE in the perfect law of liberty] For nad they been of us [that are fill DOERS of the work] they would no doubt have continued with us: The gneflicks, or antinomians, would not have been able

able to draw fo many over to their pernicious ways, or 2 Pet. ii. 2, &c.] But they went out tenets. [they joined the antinomians] that they might be made manifest, that they were not ALL of us, i. e. that IN GENERAL their heart had departed from the Lord; and from us; they of late being of us more by profestion, than by possession of the faith which works by obedient love. 1 John, 11, 19.

St. John fays, They were not ALL of us, to leave room for fome exceptions. For, as we are perfuaded. that many, who have gone over to the folifidians in our days, are still OF us that are DOERS of the WORK: So St. John did not doubt, but fome. who had been feduced by the primitive antinomians [See verse 26.] continued to obey that perfect law of liberty, which the Nicholaitans taught them to decry. May we, after his example, be always ready to make a proper diffinction between the folifidians that are of us, and those that are not of us ! That is, between those, who still keep Christ's command, ments; and those, who break them with as little ceremony as they break a ceremonious "rule of life," or a burdensome rule of civility!

1. Let them that fuffer according to the will Ibid. - Say ye to the of God, commit the KEEP- | righteous, that it shall be ING OF THEIR foul to WELL WITH THEM, for Goo, &c. as onto a they shall eat the fruit of FAITHFUL Creator. 1 Pet. iv. 19.

1. I will betroth thee unto me POR EVER, &c. I will even betroth thee unto me in FAITHFUL-NESS. - The Lord is FAITHFUL, WhO SHALL STABLISH YOU and KEEP you from evil. - To him that is ABLE to KEEP YOU from failing, and to prefent

2. In WELL DOING. THEIR DOINGS. If. iii. 10.

2. If ye have not been FAITHFUL in the unrighteous mammon [that which is least] who will commit unto you the true riches ? Luke xvi. 11.-He made HIS OWN people to go forth like SAEEP, and guided them like a flock. And he led them on SAFELY, fore the prefence of his gloty with exceeding joy. Hof. ii. 19, 20. 2 Theff. 111, 3. Jude 24.

fent you FAULTLESS be- SAFELY, fo that they feared not, &c. Yet they KEPT NOT his tellimonies : but TURNED BACK and DEALT UNFAITHFULLY : Sec.

When God heard this, he &c. greatly ABHORR'D Ifrael: So that he FORSOOK the tabernacle, &c. which he had placed among men, &c. Pf. lxxviii. 52, &c.

1. The earth, which beareth thorns, is rejectbe burned. But, beloved, we are perfuaded BETTER which accompany salvation, tho' we thus fpeak. Heb. 6. 8, 9.

2. For, &c. ye have ministered to the faints, ed : and &c. its end is to and DO MINISTER : fo that, in the judgement of charity, which hopeth all things of you, and things | things, efpecially where there . are favourable appearances, . it is right in me to hope the best of you, nor will I fafpect you, till you give me-

cause to to do. However remember that If we fin wilfully, &c. there remaineth [for us] &c. a fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries [i. e. apostates] Heb. vi, 10. - x. 20, 27.

1. I am CONFIDENT of FORM it UNTIL the day [all things] in as much as

2. It is meet for me to this very thing, that he, | think this of you all, bewho has BEGUN a good | caufe I have you in my work in you, WILL PER- | heart [and charity hopeth . of Jefus Chrift. Phil. i. 6. | in my bonds, &c. ye are partakers of my grace :---

ye have ALWAY'S OBEYED. Phil. 1. 7--- 11. 12. [Thus spake the apostle to those who continued to OBEY. But to . his disobedient converts he wrote in a different frain. O foolish Galatians, who hath bewitched you, that you fould NOT OBEY the truth? - Have ye fuffered fo many things IN VAIN ? - I defire now to CHANGE my voice, for I ftand in DOUBT of you. Gal. iii. 1, 4. -1V. 20.

1. The Lord is MY z. My defence is God, who rock, and my fortrefs, and SAVETH the UPRIGHT in my heart. my deliverer: my God, my firength, in whom I will truft, my buckler, and the horn of MY falvation, and MY high tower. Pf. xviii. 2.

forth wITH the WORKERS OF INIQUITY. PLCXXV.4.5.

1. I will put MY SPI-RIT within you, and caufe you [so far as is confistent with your moral agency] to walk in my flatutes, and ye fhall [or will] keep my judgments, and do them. Ez. XXXVI. 27.

1. ISRAEL shall BE SAV-ED in the Lord with an EVERLASTING SALVATI-ON. IS. XIV. 17.

1. O Lord fave me, and 1 shall be faved, for thou art my praise. Jer. xvii. 14.—Salvation is of the Lord. Jonah ii. 9.

1. The foundation of God STANDETH SURE, having this feal: the Lord knoweth them that are HIS. 2 Tim. ii. 19.

heart. Pf. vii. 10. — De good, O Lord, to those that are doop and UP-RIGHT in their hearts: As for fuch as TURN ASIDE unto their crooked ways, the Lord shall lead them

2. Thus faith the Lord God, I will yet for this BE ENQUIRED OF by the house of Israel, to do it for them. Ez. XXXVI. 37. —Ye stiff-necked, &c. ye do always RESIST THE HOLY GHOST, as your fathers did. Acts vii. 51.

2. How shall we efcape, if we NEGLECT SO GREAT SALVATION. Heb. ii. 3. — Remember Lot's wife. Luke xvii. 32.

2. Thy faith hath faved thee. Luke vii. 50.—Yer are faved, if ye keep [in memory and practice] what I have preached unto you, 1 Cor. xv. 2.

2. And let every one that nameth the name of Chrift DEPART from iniquity. *Ibid.*—Now if any man have not the fpirit of Chrift, he is none of

HIS. ROM. viii. 9. HIS PECULIAR people [being] an HOLY nation ZEALOUS of good works, 1 Pet. ii. 9. Tit. ii. 14.—Be ZEALOUS therefore, and repent: [or] 1 will SPUE THEE OUT of my mouth. Rev. iii. 19, 16.

I. THOU

I. THOU wilt PERform the truth to Jacob, and the mercy to Abraham, which THOT HAST SWORN to our fathers from the days of old.-TO PERFORM the mercy promiled to our Fathers, and to remember his holy COVENANT. and the OATH, which he fware to our father Abraham. Micah vii. 20.-Luke i. 72.

2. I will FERFORM the OATH, which I fware unto Abraham thy father, &c. BECAUSE that Abraham OBEYED my voice, and KEPT my charge, my commandments, my flatutes, and my laws. Gen. xxvi. 3, 5.—Thus fays the Lord God of Ifrael, CORSED be the man, that OBEY-ETH NOT the words of this COVENANT, which I commanded your fathers fin the day that I brought

them forth from the iron furnace] faying, OBEY myvoice and no them, fo fhall ye be MY PLOPLE, and I will be your God; that I may PERFORM the OATH, which I HAVE SWORN to your fathers. Jer. xi. 3, 4) 5.

1. Surely goodnefs and mercy fiall follow me att THE DAYS OF my life. Pf. skiil. 6.

2. IF thou CONTINUE in his goodne/s. - Holding faith, and a good confeience, which fome having PUT AWAY, concerning.

faith have MADE SHIPWRECK. Rom. xi. 22.-1 Tim. i. 18. 19.

1. A thousand shall fall at thy fide, and ten thoufand at thy right hand: but it SHALL. NOT come night THEE. Pl. xci. 7.

1. My sheer [obedient believers] hear my voice, and 1 know [approve] them, and they follow me: and I cive anto them eternal life, and they fhall kever PERISH, neither 2. BECAUSE thou HAST MADE the most High thy habitation. BECAUSE he HATH SET his love upon me, THEREFORE will I deliver him. Ver/si 9. 14-

2. The Lord preferveth the FAITHFUL, &c. Be of good courage, and he shall strengthen your heart, ALL YE, that HOPE IN THE LORD. Pf. XXXI. 23; 24.—IT YE WILL FEAR the neither fhall any PLUCK | the Lord, and OBEY his THEM out of my Father's voice, and NOT REBELL against his commandment hand. John x. 27. &c. then fall ye CONTINUE

following the Lord YOUR GOD. But if ye WILL NOT OBEY, &c then thall the hand of the Lord be against you .- Only SERVE HIM in truth, with all your heart: for confider how great things he has done for you. But if ye fhall fill DO WICKEDLY, ye shall be CON-SUMED. 1 Sam. xil. 14, 15, 24, 25. [Left Samuel's testimony should be rejected as unevangelical, I produce that of Christ himself; hoping that Zelates will allow our Lard to understand his own gofpel. | Bear much fruit, so thall ye be my difciples. As the Father hath loved me, to have I loved you : CONTINUE YE in my love. IF YE KEEP my commandments, ye shall ABIDE in my love: even as I have kept my Father's commandments, and ABIDE in his love. John xv. 8. &c .- Every branch in me that beareth not fruit, he taketh away-and they are burned. John xv. 2, 6.

There shall arife | I. falle Chrifts, and shall many. - Take HEED that thow great figns, infomuch that [IF IT WERE | Verfes 4. 5. - They POSSIBLE they fhall DE-CLIVE Thainoas flead into error the VERY ELECT. Mat. XXIV. 24.

2. They shall deceive no man DECEIVE YOU. that cau/e divisions by good words DE-CEIVE the hearts of the fimple. Rom. xvi. 18. Query : Are all the fimple

believers, whom party-men DECEIVE, very REPRO-BATES ?] - I have espoused you to Christ, &c. But I fear, left, by any means, as the ferpent BEGUILED Eve, fo your minds should be CORRUPTED. 2 Cor. xi. 2, 3. - They HAVE BEEN DECEIVED [or, have erred] BROM THE FAITH [anenhayn Sugar, the very word used by our Lord, and Arengthened by a preposition] 1 Tim. vi. 10. - When Zelotes fuppofes, that the claufe (if it were poffible) necessarily implies an impoffibility, does he not make himfelf ridiculous before those who know the fcriptures? That

That expression IF IT WERE POSSIBLE, is used only on four other occasions; and in each of them it notes great difficulty, but by no means an impoffibility. Take on'y two inflances: IF IT WERE POSSIBLE ye would have plucked out your own eyes; and have given them to me. Gal. iv. 15. - Paul hafted to be at Jerusalem the day of pentecost, IF IT WERE POSSIBLE for Acts xx. 16. Now is it not evident, either him. that Paul wanted common fense if he hasted to do what could not absolutely be done; or that the expression IF IT WERE POSSIBLE implies no impofibility ? And is not this a proof, that calvinifm can now DECEIVE Zelotes, as eafily as the tempter formerly DECEIVED Aaron, David, Solomon, Demas, and Iudas in the matter of the golden calf, Uriah, Milcom, and Mammon?

1. I have prayed for thee, that thy faith FAIL NOT. Luke xxii. 32.

That Peter's faith failed for a time is evident from the following oblervations: (1) Faith without works is dead : much more faith with lying, curfing, and the repeated denial of Chrift :- (2) Our Saviour himfelf faid to his difciples, after a far lefs grievous fall, How is it that you have NO FAITH. Mark iv. 40.-(3) His adding . immediately, When thou art converted, firengthen thy brethren, flows, that Peter would ftand in need of convertion, and confequently of living, conve ting faith; for, as by killing unbelief we depart from God, fo by living faith we are converted to him.

2. I know thy works. &c. thou holdeft faft my name, and haft NOT DE-NIED MY FAITH [as Peter did] - Having damnation becaufe they have CAST OFF their firft FAITH. Rev ii. 13. 1 Tim. v. 12. -Which [a good confcience, the believer's most precious jewel, next 20 Chriff fome having put away, concerning FAITH. have made SHIPWRECK. I Tim. i. 19.-WITHOUT FAITH it is impossible to PLEASE God. - The just fhall live by FAITH, but if he draw back [i.e. if he make ship wreck of faith my foul thall have no PLEASURE in him. Heb. xi 6.-x. 38.-If any [believer] provide not for his own, &c. he hath denied the FAITH, and Is WORSE

۱

him. Hence it is evident | worse than an INFIDEL. that, if Chrift prayed, I Tim. v. 8. that Peter's faith might

not fail AT ALL, he prayed conditionally; and, that upon Peter's refuging to watch and pray, which was the condition particularly mentioned by our Lord, Chrift's prayer was no more answered than that which he foon after put up, about his not drinking the bitter cup, and about the forgiveness of his revilers and murderers. But, if our Lord prayed (as feems most likely) that Peter's faith might not fail or die like that of Judas, i. e. in fuch a manner as never to come to life again, then his prayer was perfectly answered: for the candle of Peter's faith, which a fudden blaft of temptation [and not the extinguisher of malicious, final obstinacy] had put out, Peter's faith, I fay, like the fmoking flax, caught again the flame of truth and love, and fhone to the enlightening of thousands on the day of pentecoft, as well as to the conversion of his own foul that very night. However, from our Lord's prayer, Zelotes concludes, that true faith can never fail, in flat opposition to the foriptures, which fill the opposite fcale; yea, and to reason, which pronounces, that our Lord was too wife to fpend his laft moments in afking, that a thing might not happen, which, if we believe Relotes, could not poffibly happen.

1. God even our Father, who hath loved us, lieve, ye shall NOT be and given us EVERLAST- | ESTABLISHED. If. vii. Q. ING confolation, &c. STA- | God PRESERVETH NOT BLISH you in every good word and work. 2 Theff. | &c. He withdraweth not 11. 16, 17 .-- He who ESTA-BLISHETH US with you in Chrift, &c. is Gop. -2 Cor. 1. 21.

2. IF YE WILL NOT DEthe life of the WICKED, his eyes from the RIGH-TEOUS, &c. He sheweth them their work, and their transgreffions, &c. He openeth also their ear

to discipline, and commandeth, that they RETURN from iniquity. IF THEY OBEY and ferve him, they т will will fpend their days in prosperity, &c. But it THEY OBEY NOT, they shall PERISH, &c. and die without knowledge. Job xxxvi. 6-12.

1. Chrift fhall alfo con-FIRM YOU UNTO THE END, that ye may be God, &c. If any [of you] blamelefs, &c. God is | defile the temple of God, FAITHFUL, by whom ye were called unto the fellowfhip of his Son. 1 Cor. 1. 8, 9.

2. Know ye not that YE ARE the temple of HIM WILL GOD DESTROY. Chap, iii. 16, 17.-If thy right eye offend thee, PLUCK it out, for it is profitable for thee that

cne of thy members fhould PERISH, and not that thy whole body fhould be CAST INTO HELL. Mat. v. 29. -DESTROY not him with thy meat, FOR WHOM CHRIST DIED. - For meat DESTROY not the WORK or God [in] thy brother, who flumbleth, or is offended. Rom. xiv. 15, 20, 21. The Lord having SAVED the people, &c. afterward DESTROYED THEM that believed not, Jude 5 .- They did ALL drink, &e. of that fpiritual rock, which followed them : and that rock was Chrift. But with MANY OF THEM, God was not well pleafed; for they, &c. were DE-STROYED of the destroyer. 1 Cor. x. 4, 5, 10. They were BROKEN OFF becaufe of unbelief, and thou standest by faith, &c. CONTINUE in his goodness, otherwise thou also shalt be CUT OFF. Rom. xi. 20, 22.-Thro' thy knowledge shall the weak brother PERISH, FOR WHOM CHRIST DIED, &c. Wherefore, if meat make my brother to offend [and fo to PERISH] I will eat no flesh while the world standeth. 1 Cor. viii. 11, 13.-There shall be false teachers among you, who &c. denying the Lord that BOUGHT THEM, shall bring upon themselves swift DESTRUCTION .-Thefe shall UTTERLY PERISH in their own corruption, and shall receive the reward of unrighteousnes, &c. curfed children, who have FORSAKEN THE RIGHT WAY. 2 Pet. ii. 1, 12, 15. See also the fcriptures quoted, in page 102.

I. He

1. He hath faid, I will never leave thee, nor forfake thee: fo that [in the way of duty] we may boldly fay, The Lord is my helper. Heb. xiii. 5, 6. — [I add in the way of duty, becaufe God made that promife originally to Jofhua, who knew God's breach of promife, when Achan flepped out of the way of duty. Compare Jofh. i. 5, with Jofh. vii. 12, and Numb. xiv. 34.]

1. Then the devil taketh him up into the holy city, and fetteth him on a pinnacle of the temple, and faith unto him, If thou be the Son [er child] OF GOD, caft thyfelf down; for it is written, He shall give his angels charge concerning thee, &c. [not only left thou fall finally, but alfo] left thou dash thy foot against a stone. Mat. iv. 5, 6. Pf. xci. 11, 12.

How wifely does the tempter quote fcripture, when he wants to inculcate the *abfolute* prefervation of the faints! Can Zelotes find a fitter paffage to fupport their un2. My people have committed two evils, they have FORSAKEN ME, &C. —I will even FORSAKE you, faith the Lord. Jer. ii. 13. Chap. xxiii. 33.— The deftruction of the tranfgreffors and of the finners fhall be together, and THEY that FORSAKE the Lord fhall be consumed, &C. and they fhall both burn together, and none fhall quench them. If i. 28, 31.

2. Jefus faid it is written again, Thou shalt not tempt the Lord thy God. Mat. iv. 7.—Neither let us tempt Christ, as some of them also tempted, and were DESTROYED of serpents. 1 Cor. x.9.

[Who can tell how many have been deftroyed by dangerous errors, which, after infinuating themfelves into the bofom of the fimple, by means of their fmoothnefs and fine colours, drop there a mortal poifon, that too often breaks out in virulent exprefions, or in practices worthy of - "Mr. Fulfome?"]

conditional perfeverance! It is true however, that he never quotes it in favour of his doctrine: for who cates to plow with fuch an heifer? (fanum habet in T 2 cornu.) cornu.) Therefore, the' fhe is as fit for the work, as most of those which he does it with; he never puts her to his plow, no not when he makes the most crooked furrows. Should it be afked, why the devil did not encourage Christ to throw himself down, by giving him fome hints, that a grievous fall would humble him, would make him sympathize with the fallen, would drive him nearer to God, would give him an opportunity to shout louder the praises of preferving grace, &c. I reply, that the tempter was too wise to show fo openly the cloven foot of his doctrine: too decent, not to fave appearances: too judicious to imitate Zeloter.

SECTION XVI.

What thoughts our Lord, St. John, St. Paul, and St. James, entertained of fallen believers. A parallel between the backfliders delineated by St. Peter, and theje who are described by St. Jude. An horrible deflruction awaits them, for denying the Lord that bought them, and for turning the grace of God into lasciviousness.

I T is impossible to do the doctrine of perfeverance justice, without confidering what Christ and the Apostles fay of apostates. Even in their days the number of falling and fallen believers was fo great, that a confiderable part of the last Epistles seems to be nothing but a charge against apostates, an attempt to reclaim pharifaic and antinomian backfliders, and a warning to those who yet flood, not to fall away after the same example of unbelief and conformity to this present world.

Begin we by an extract from Christ's episites to the churches of Asia. Tho' the EPHESIANS hated the DEEDS of the Nicolaitans, yet after St. Paul's death, they fo far inclined to lukewarmne/s, that they brought upon themselves the following reproof. I hav (205)

have fomewhat against thee, because THOU HAST LEFT thy first love. Remember therefore, whence THOU ART FALLEN, and repent, and do thy first works, OR FLSE I will remove thy candleslick. - The church at PER-GAMOS was not in a better condition, witness the fevere charge that follows: Thou haft them that hold the doctrine of Balaam, who taught Balac to caft a stumbling-block before the children of Israel, &c. to commit fornication. So haft thou also them that hold the dostrine of the Nicolaitans, which thing I hate. Repent, OR ELSE I will fight against thee with the fword of my mouth. - The contagion reached the faithful church of THYATIRA, as appears from thefe words : Thou Sufferest that youman Jezebel-to SEDUCE MY SERVANTS to commit fornication. - But unto, &c. as many as have not THIS DOCTRINE, and have not known the DEPTHS OF SATAN, I will put upon you. none other burden. - In SARDIS a few names [only] haa not defiled their garments, the generality of christians there had, it feems, a name to live and were dead. -But the fall of the Laodiceans was universal: before they fufpected it, they had all, it feems, flidden back into the fmooth, downward road that leads to hell. I know thy works, fays Chrift, I would thou wert cold or hot. So then, because thou art lukewarm, I will fpue thee out of my mouth. - [Like thofe: who flands complete merely in NOTIONS of imputed righteousness thou says, I am rich, &c. and have. need of nothing; and knowest not that thou art wretched, poor, blind, and naked. Rev. 11. 111.

Can we read this fad account of the declension, and falling away of the faints, without asking the following questions? (1) If backfliding and apostacy were the bane of the primitive church, according to our Lord's doctrine; and if he did not promise to any of those backfliders, that victorious, almighty grace would CERTAINLY bring them back; what can we think of Zelotes's doctrine, which promises infallible perseverance, and ensures finished falvation to EVERY backfliding, apostatizing believer? (2) If the primitive church, newly collected by the fpirit and fprinkled by the blood of Chrift, guided by apostolic preachers, preferved by the falt of perfecution, and guarded by miraculous powers, thro' which apostates could be given to Satan for the destruction of the flesh, [witness the case of Ananias, Sapphira, and the inceftuous Corinthian ;] - If the primitive church, I fay, with all these advantages, was in such danger by the falling away of the faints, as to require all those reproofs and threatenings from Christ himfelf: is it not aftonifing, that whole bodies of protestant believers should rife in our degenerate days to fuch a pitch of unferiptural affurance, as to promife themselves, and one another, absolute, infallible perfeverance in the divine favour? - And (3) if the apostate Nicholas, once a man of honest report, full of the Holy Ghoft and wildom, but afterwards (it feems) the ring-leader of the Nicolaitans: if Nicholas, I fay, went about to lay a fumbling-block before christians, by teaching them that fornication would never hinder their final perfeverance, never endanger their finished falvation; does Zelotes mend the matter when he infinuates withal, that fornication, yea, adultery, and, if need be, murder, will do chriftians good, and even answer the most excellent ends for them ?

Confider we next what were St. John's thoughts of antinomian apostates. He had such a sight of the mischief, which their doctring did, and would do in the church, that he declares, This is love, that we wALK after his commandments. This is the commandment, that ye have heard from the beginning, ye should WALK in it. For MANY DECEIVERS are entered into the world, who confess not [practically] that Jefus Christ is come in the flesh [to destroy the works of the Devil: who deny Christ in his holy doctrine: and, among cther dangerous absurdities, will even give you broad hints, that you may commit adultery and murder without ceasing to be God's dear children. Bot believe them not.] Look to your felves that we lose not these

those things which we have wrought. Wholeever TRANSGRESSETH and ABIDETH NOT in the practical] doctrine of Chrift, hath not God &c. If there come any unto you, and bring not this coctrine, receive him not into your house, neither bid him God speed. 2 John 6, to 10. - Again, He that faith, I know him, and keepeth not his commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him. - Thefe things have I written unto you, concerning them that SEDUCE you. 1 John 1. 4, 26. - Little children, let no man DECEIVE you: He that DOES rightcousness is rightcous, &c. He that COMMITTETH fin is of the devil, &c. In THIS the children of God are manifest, and the children of the Devil. 1 John iii, 7, &c. - They [who hold the contrary doctrine] went out from us, but [in general] they acere not of us * - they were not ALL of us [the heart of most of them had already departed both from God and from us.] 1 John ii, 19.

What a fine opportunity had St. John of faying here, "If they are elect they will INFALLIBLY come back to us." But, as he believed not the modern "doctrines of grace," he fays nothing, either for Calvin's reprobation, or Dr. Crifp's election. Nor does he drop the leaft hint about a day of God's power, in which changelefs love was INFALLIBLY to bring back one of all those backfliders, to make him fing louder the praifes of free, fovereign, victorious grace. See page 92. This paffage of St. John therefore, which Zelotes quotes as a demonstration of Calvinian election, makes againft it, rather than for it.

Altho' 1 have frequently mentioned St. Paul's thoughts concerning fallen believers, I am perfuaded, that

That this is St. John's meaning appears from the abfurdity of fuppofing, that one and all backfliders are calvinifically reprobated: For, if being of us, means being calvinifically elected; when the loving apoftle fays, If they had been of us they would no doubt brue continued WITH US; it neceffarily follows, that all who do not continue with us — all who ftart afide for any time, are not of us, i. e. upon the Calvinian plan, are abfolute reprobates, mere hypocrites: a doctrine this, too flocking to be admitted even in myfiical Geneva,

(208 -)

that the reader will not be forry to fee them balanced with St. James's fentiments on the fame fubject.

Buckfliders.

St. PAUL's account of | St. JAMES's account of une faithful believers.

1. Alexander the copper-Imith, who was once a zealous christian, fee Acts xix. 33.] did me much evil: the Lord reward him according to his works. - No MAN (i. e. no believer) flood with me, but ALL forfook me: I pray God that it may not be laid to their charge. 2 Tim. iv. 14. - I fear left when I come I shall not find you fuch as I would-left there be debates, envyings, wraths, itrifes, backbitings, whisperings, swellings, tumults; and left my God humble me among you, and that I shall bewail many, which have finned already, and have not repented of the uncleanness, and tornication and lacivioufnels, which they have commit-

2. My brethren, &c. if there come unto your affembly a man in goodly apparel, and allo a poor man in vile raiment, and ve have respect to him that weareth the gay clothing, &c. are ye not partial ? &c. But YE HAVE DESPISED the poor, &c. -If ye have respect to perfons ye commit fin, &c. for wholoever [of you] shall keep the whole law, and yet offend in one point, he is guilty of all. - From whence come wars among you ? Come they not even of your luits? &c. YE ADULTERand adultereffes. ERS know ye not that, &c. whofoever will be a. friend of the world, is. the enemy of God ? lam. 11. 1, &c. 1V, 1, 4.

ted. 2 Cor. xii. 20, 21 - Not forfaking the affembling of ourfelves together as the manner of fome is, &c. for if we fin wilfully [as they do] there remaineth no more facrifice for fin, but a certain fearful looking for of jugdment and fiery judignation, which shall devour the adversaries, &c. [e/pecially him] who hath trodden under foot the Son of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith he was fanctified, an unholy thing, and

and hath done despite to the spirit of grace. Heb. x. 25, &c. - Many [fallen believens] walk, of whom I have told you often, and now tell you even weeping, that they are enemies of the crois of Chrift ; whose end is DESTRUCTION, whose God is their belly - and who mind earthly things. - For all [comparatively speaking] feek their own, and not the things which are Jefus Chrift's. Phil. in. 18.-11. 21.

The epifile to the Hebrews is a treatife against apoftacy, and of confequence against Calvinian perfeverance. As a proof of it, I refer the reader to a convincing Difcourfe on Heb. ii. 3. which Mr. Olivers defigns for the prefs. The whole Epistle of St. Jude, and the fecond of St. Peter, were particularly written to prevent the falling away of the faints, and to ftop the rapid progress of apostacy. The Ep. of St. Jude, and 2 Pet. ii. agree fo perfectly, that one would think the two apostles had compared notes, witness the following parallel.

St. PETER's description of St. JUDE's description of antinomian apostates.

1. They have FORSA-KEN the right way ;-following the way of BALA. AM, who loved the WA-GES of unrighteousness. 2. Pet. 11. 15.

1. SPOTS are they and blemilhes, fporting themfelves with their own deceivings, while they FEAST with you, ver. 13.

1. They WALK after the FLESH in the LUST of UNCLEANNESS. Ver. 10.

1. They speak GREAT SWELLING WORDS of vanity-they promife them [whom they allure] liberty, antinomian backfliders.

2. These be they, who SEPARATE themfelves .---They ran greedily after the error of BALAAM for REWARD. Jude, ver. 19, 140

2. Thefe are spors in feasts of charity, your when they FRAST with you; feeding themfelves without fear. ver. 12.

2. FILTHY dreamers-WALKING after their own LUSTS. ver 8, 16.

2. Their mouth fpeaketh GREAT SWELLING words: - creeping in unawares [1. e. infinuating ty, while they them felves are the fervants of corruption. ver. 18. 19.

I. As natural, brute beafts, &c. they SPEAK E-VIL of the things that they underftand not (especially of the perfect law of liberty) and shall utterly perish in their OWN COR-RUPTION, Ver. 12.

I. Wells without water, clouds that are CARRIED with a tempeft — beguiling, UNSTABLE SOULS to whom the mift of DARK-NESS IS RESERVED FOR EVER. VET. 14. 17 [How far was St. PETER from foothing ANY of the backfliders by the fmooth doctrine of their NECESSARY, IN-FALLIBLE return!]

1. [St. Peter indirectly compares them to] The AN-GELS that SINNED, [whom] God fpared not, but cast down to hell, and delivered into CHAINS OF DARK-NBSS to be referved unto IUDGMENT. Ver. 4.

From this remarkable parallel it is evident, that the Apostates described by St. Peter, and the backsliders painted by St. Jude, were one and the same kind of people: and by the following words it appears, that all those backsliders really fell from the GRACE OF GOD, and denied the Lord that BOUGHT THEM.

ting themselves into rich' widows houses] baving men's perfons in admiration. ver. 4, 16.

2. These SPEAK EVIL of those things which they know not (especially of Christ's law) But what they know naturally, as brute beasts in those things they CORRUPT THEM-SELVES. Ver. 10.

2. Clouds they are without - water, CARRIED about of winds, trees whose fruit withereth, &c. WANDERING STARS, 10 whom is RESERVED the blackness of DARKNESS FOR EVER. ver. 12, 13. How far was St. JUDE from rockingANY of those apostates in the cradle of 1N-FALLIBLE per [everance!] 2. St. Jude compares them to The ANGELS who KEPT NOT their first estate, but left their own habita- -tion, &c. referved in everlafting CHAINS UNDER the DARKNESS, unto JUDGMENT of the great day. ver. 6.

I. Even

I. Even denying the 2. Ungodly men, turn-ILORD THAT BOUCHT ing THE GRACE OF OUR THEM, and bring upon GOD into lascivious fnels, themselves swift DE- and DENYING [in work STRUCTION, &c. whose at 'cast] THE ONLY LORD &c. DAMNATION slumbereth not. 2 Pet. ii. I. CHRIST, [as Lord, Lawgiver, or Judge.] Jud. 4.

St. Peter more or lefs directly defcribes thefe backfliders in the fame epifile, as people who have forgotten that they WERE PURGED from their old fins—who do not give all diligence to add to their faith, wirtue—who do not make their calling and election fure—who after they have ESCAPED the pollutions of the world THRO' THE KNOWLEDGE of our Lord Jejus Chrift, [i. e. thro' a true and living faith] are again intangled therein, and overcome; whose latter end is worse than the beginning—who, after they have KNOWN THE WAY of righteou/ness, TURN from the holy commandment delivered unto them, and verify the Proverb, "The Sow that was WASHED, is turned to her wallowing in the mire."

Here is not the least hint about the certain return of any of those backfliders, or about the good that their grievous falls will do either to others or to themselves. On the contrary, he represents them ALL as people, that were in the high road to DESTRUCTION. And far from giving us an antinomian innuendo about the final perfeverance of all blood-bought fouls, i. e. of the whole number of the redeemed, he begins his epifile by declaring, that those felf-deftroyed backfliders denied the Lord that BOUGHT them, and concludes it by this feasonable caution : There are in our belowed Brother Paul's epifiles things [it feems, about the election of grace, and about justification without the works of the law which they that are unlearned [or rather, auadeis, un-teachable] and unfable, wrest &c. unto their own destruction : ye therefore, belowed, feeing ye know these things before, [being thus fairly warned beware left YE ALSO, being led away with the error of the wicked, fall from your OWN (212)

own fleadfastness: but grow in grace, and in the knowledge of our Lord Jefus Christ: which is the best method not to fall from grace—the only way to inherit the blessing, with which God will crown the faithfulness and genuine perfeverance of the faints.

I read the heart of Zelotes: and feeing the objection he is going to flart, 1 oppofe to it this quotation from Baxter. 'To fay that then their faith [which 'works by faithful love] DOIS MORE than CHRIST 'did, or God's GRACE, is a putid cavil. Their faith, &c.is no efficient caufe at all of their pardon, or juftification: it is but a neceffary, receptive qualification; he that fluts the window caufeth darknefs: but it is fottifh to fay, that he who opens it, DOES MORE than the SUN to caufe light, which he cauf-'eth not at all; but removeth the impediment of re-'ception; and faith itfelf is God's gift:'-as all other talents are, whether we improve them or not.

I should lose time, and offer an infult to the reader's understanding, were I to comment upon the preceding fcriptures; fo great is their perfpicuity and number. But I hope, I shall not infult his candor by proposing to him the following queries. (1) Can Zelotes and Honeftus be judicious protestants, I mean confiftent defenders of bible-religion, if the one throws away the weights of the fecond icale, whilf the other overlooks those of the first? - (2) is it not evident, that, according to the scriptures, the perseverance of the faints has two caufes: the first, free-grace and divine faithfulnefs; and the jecond, free-will and human faithfulness produced, excited, affisted, and nourified, but NOT NECESSITATED by free-grace ? --(3) With respect to the capital doctrine of perseverance alfo, does not the truth lie exactly between the extremes, into which Zelotes and Honeftus perpetually run ?- And laftly: is it not clear, that if Candidus will hold the truth as it is in Jesus, he must stand upon the line of moderation, call back Zelotes from the east, Honestus from the west, and make them ordially embrace each other under the fcripture-meridian.

There the kind father falls upon the neck of ridian. the returning prodigal, and the heavenly bridegroom meets the wife virgins : - There Free-grace mercifally embraces Free-will, while free-will humbly ftoops at the foot-ftool of free-grace : There the fun goes down no more by day, nor the moon by night : that is, the two gospel-axioms, which are the great doctrinal lights of the church, without eclipfing each other thine in perpetual conjunction, and yet in continual opposition: There, their conjugal, mysterious. powerful influence gladdens the new erufalem, fertilizes the garden of the Lord, promotes the fpiritual vegetation of all the trees of righteousness which line the river of God, and gives a divine relifh to the fruits of the fpirit which they conftantly bear. There, as often as Free-grace fmiles upon Free-will it fays, Be faithful unto death, and I will give thee the crown of life : And as often as Free-will fees that crown glitter at the end of the race, it fhouts, Grace ! Free-grace ! unto it; a great part of our faithfulnels confitting in afcribing to Grace all the honour, that becomes, the FIRST CAUSE of all good - the ORI-GINAL of all visible and invisible excellence.

Perfeverance must close our race, if ever we receive the prize; let then the fcriptural account of it close my fcales. But before I lay them by, I must throw in two more grains of fcriptural truth; lest the reader should think, that I have not made good weight. If I thought that Zelotes is a gro/s antinomian; and Honeftus an *immoral* moralist; and that they malicioufly tear the oracles of God in pieces; I would make them full weight by the two following fcriptures:

1. The wrath of God, is revealed from heaven against all ungodliness, and unrighteousness of men, who hold the truth [or a part of it] in unrighteousness. Rom. 1, 18. 2. I teftify, &c. that if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy [much more if he takes away from the words of every book in the old and new testament] God shall take take his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things, which are written in this book. Rev. xxii. 18, 19.

But, confidering Zelotes and Honeftus as two good men, who fincerely fear and ferve God in their way; and being perfuaded that an injudicious fear of a gofpel-axiom, and not a wilful aversion to the truth, makes them caft a veil over one half of the body of bible-divinity; I dare not admit the thought, that those fevere fcriptures are adapted to their cafe. 1 shall therefore only afk, whether they cannot find a juitable reproof in the following texts.

1. I am against the prophets, faith the Lord, word of God [contained that feal my word [con- No. 1] of none effect by tained No. 2] every one your tradition, Mat. xv. from his neighbour. Jer. XXIII. 30.

2. Ye have made the 6. [Equally dismembering christianity, ye still help the adver aries of the gospel,

to put in practice their pernicious maxim, DIVIDE AND CONQUER. And who requires this at your hands? Who will give you thanks for fuch fervices as these ?

S ECTION XVII.

A scriptural plan of reconciliation between Zelotes and Honeftus; being a DOUBLE DECLARATION to guard equally the two gospel-axioms, or the doctrines of FREE-GRACE and FREE-OBEDIENCE. Bishop Beveridge faw the need of guarding them both. Gospel-ministers ought equally to defend them. - An answer to Zelotes's objections against the declaration which guards the doctrine of free-obedience. - An important distinction between a PRIMARY truft in FIRST caufes and means. and a SECONDARY truft in SECOND caufes and means. -Some observations upon the importance of the second gospel-axiom. - Which extreme appearea greater to Mr. Baxter, that of Zelotes, or that of Honeftus .--The author's thoughts upon that delicate subject.

I HAVE

I HAVE hitherto pointed out the opposite errors of Zelotes and Honestus, and shown that they consist in fo maintaining one part of the truth as to reject the other; in fo holding out the glory of one of the gospelaxioms as to eclipse the other. I now present the Reader with what appears to me a fair, scriptural, and guarded plan of reconciliation between themselves, and between all good men, who difagree about the doctrines of faith and works—of free-grace and obedience. The declaration which the Rev. Mr. S—y defired the Rev. Mr. W—y to fign at the Bristolconference, gives me the idea of this plan: Nay, the first part of it is nothing but that declaration itself, guarded and strengthened by some additions in brackets.

IT IS PROPOSED:

I. THAT the preachers, who are fappoled to countenance the pharifaic erpor of Honestus, shall fign the following ANTI-PHA-**RISAIC** Declaration, which guards the doctrine of faith and free-grace, without bearing hard upon the doctrine of obedience and free-will; and afferts the free, gratuitous juffification of a finner in the day of conversion and afterwards, without denying the gracious, remunerative justification of a believer, who, in the day of trial and afterwards, keeps the faith that works by love.

L. WHEREAS the doctrinal points in the minutes

2. THAT the preachers, who are supposed to countenance the antinomian error of Zelotes, shall fign the following ANTI-SO-Declaration. LIFIDIAN which guards the doctrine of obedience and freewill, without bearing hard upon the doctrine of faith and free-grace; and allertsthe gracious, remunerative justification of a believer in the day of trial and afterwards, without: denying the free, gratuitous justification of a finner in the day of converfion, and afterwards.

2. WHEREAS the books published against the said U 2 minutes,

nutes of a conference held in London, Aug. 7, 1770, have been understood to favour [the pharifaic] juitification [of a finner] by works: now the Rev. John Wefley, and others affembled in conference, do declare that we had no fuch meaning; and that we abhor the doctrine of [a finner's] juftification by works, as a most perilous and abominable doctrine; and as the faid minutes are not for do not appear to [ome people] fufficiently guarded in the way they are expressed, we hereby folemnly declare in the fight of God; that as SINNERS-before God's throng-according to the doffrine of FIRST caufes-and with respect to the FIRST covenant, or the law of INNOCENCE, which fentences ALL'SINNERS to defruction we have no truft or confidence but in the mere mercy of God, thro' the fole righteou[nefs and] alone merits of our Lord and Saviour Jefus Chrift, for juffification or falvation, either in life, death, or the day of judgment: and though no one is a real

minutes, have been underftood to favour the prefent, inamiffible, and eternal juffification of all fallen believers before God, that is, of all those, who having made thipwreck of the faith that works by obedient love, live in Laodicean eafe; and, if they pleafe, in adultery, murder, or inceft : now the Rev. Mr. **** and others do declare, that we renounce fuch meaning, and that we abhor the doctrine of the folifidians or antinomians, as a most perilous and abominable doctrine: and as the faid books are not for do not appear to fome people] fufficiently guarded, we hereby folemnly declare in the fight of God, that AS PENITENT, OBEDI-ENT, and PERSEVERING BELIEVERS - before the MEDIATOR's throne-according to the doctrine of SECOND caufes-and with refpect to the SECOND COvenant, or the law of CHRIST, which fentences all his impenitent, dilobedient, apoflatizing fubjects, to destruction] we have no truft, or confidence,

(216)

chriftian believer, real (and confequently, tho' no one can be faved as a believer) who does not good works, where there is time and opportunity; vet our works have no part in [properly] meriting or purchaiing our falvation from firit to last, either in whole or in part; the best of men, when they are confidered as SINNERS, being justified freely by God's grace, thro' the redemption that is in Jefus Chrift. Rom. 111. 24.

dence, + but in the truth of our repentance towards God, and in the fincerity of our faith in Chrift for justification, or falvation, in the day of conversion and afterwards:-no truft, or confidence, but in ourfinal perfeverance in the obedience of faith, for juilification or falvation in death, and in the day of judgment. BECAUSE no one is a REAL believer under any difpensation of gofpel-grace, and of confequence no one can be faved, who does not good

works, i. e. who does not TRULY REPENT, BELIEVE, and OBEY, as there is time, light, and opportunity. Nevertheless our works, that is, our repentance, faith, and obedience, have no part in PROPERLY meriting or purchasing our falvation from first to last, either in whole or in part; the PROPERLY-meritorious cause of our ETERNAL, as well as intermediate and initial falvation, being ONLY the merits, or the blood and righteousness of our Lord and Saviour Jefus Christ.

on, which defends the	The preceding declarati-
doctrine of free-grace,	
and	U3 ence,

[†] I beg that the reader would pay a peculiar attention to what precedes, and follows this claufe. I, myfelf, would condemn it, as fubverfive of the doctrine of grace and pharifaical, if I confidered it as detached from the context, and not guarded and explained by THE WORDS IN CAPITALS, upon which the greateft ftrefs is to be laid. If Zelater has patience to read on, he will toon fee how the fecondary truft in the obedience of faith, which I here contend for, is reconcilable with our primary truft in Chrift.

and the gratuitous justification and falvation of a SINNER, is founded on fuch scriptures as these:

1. If Abraham were juffified by works he hath WHEREOF TO BOAST. -To him that WORKETH NOT, but believeth on him that JUSTIFIETH THE UNGODLY, his faith is imputed, &c .- God imputeth righteoufnefs WITH-OUT WORKS. - NOT BY works of righteoufnefs which we have done, BUT OF HIS MERCY he SAVED us. - BY GRACE are ye SAVED, thro' faith : and that NOT OF YOURSELVES. it is the GIFT of God: NOT OF WORKS, left any man fhould boaft.-By the deeds of the law fhall NO FLESH BE JUSTIFIED, &C.

ence, and the remunerative justification and falvation of a BELIEV-ER, is founded on fuch fcriptures as thefe:

2. Was not Abraham our father justified BY WORKS?-Ye fee how BY WORKS a man is justi-FIED, and not by faith only .- We are SAVED by HOPE. - In DOING this, thou thalt SAVE thyfelf .-He that ENDURETH UNto the end, the fame fhall be SAVED. - He became the author of ETERNAL SALVATION to them that OBEY him. - THIS fhall turn to my SALVATION THRO' your prayer. -With the mouth confesfion is MADE to SALVA-TION. - By thy WORDS thou shalt be jUSTIFIED. -The DOBRS of the law [of Chrift] shall be jus-

TIFIED, &C.

And let none fay, that this doctrine has not the fanction of good men. Of an hundred whom Zelotes himfelf confiders as orthodox, I fhall only mention the learned and pious Bifhop Beveridge, who, tho' a rigid calvinift in his youth, came in his riper years to the line of moderation which I recommend; and flood upon it when he wrote what follows, in his Thoughts upon our call and election. Third Edit. page 297.

"What then should be the reason, that so many should be called and invited to the chiefest good, the Seet. 17 .

(219)

' the highest happiness their natures are capable o ; ' yet fo few of them fhould mind and profecute it ' fo as to be chosen, or admitted into the participa-' tion of it? What shall we afcribe it to? The ' will and pleafure of almighty God, as if he de-" lighted in the ruin of his creatures, and therefore " altho' he calls them, he would not have them come ' unto him? No: that cannot be : for in his re-" vealed will, which is the only rule that we are to " walk by, he has told us the contrary in plain terms, " and has confirmed it too with an oath; faying : · As I live, I have no pleasure in the death of the wicked, · but that he should turn from his way and live, Ez. • xxxiii. 11. and elfewhere he affures us, that he " would have all men to be faved, and come to the know-· ledge of the truth, 1 Tim. ii. 4. And therefore if " we believe what God fays, nay if we believe what " he has sworn, we must needs acknowledge, that ' it is his will and pleasure, that as many as are · called, should be all chosen and faved : and in, · deed if he had no mind we fhould come when " we are called to him, why thould he call us all • to come? Why has he given as his word, his mi-" nifters, his ordinances; and all to invite and ob-· lige us to repent and turn to him; if after all he * has refolved not to accept of us, nor would have " us come at all? Far be it from us that we should " have fuch hard and unworthy thoughts of the great * Creator and Governor of the world; especially · confidering that he has told us the contrary, as plain. " ly as it was poffible to express his mind unto us,"

Then the Bilhop mentions five reafons why many are called but few chofen: and he clofes them by thefe words (page 310) * The last reafon which our Saviour gives in this parable, is becaufe of those who are called, and come too at the call, many come not aright, which he fignifies by the man that came without the wedding garment; where, altho' he mentions but one man, yet under that one is comprehended all of the fame kind, even all fuch perfons-

Sect. 17.

' fons-as profes to believe in Chrift, and to expect · falvation from him, yet will not come up to the ' terms which he propounds in the gospel to them. even to walk worthy of the vocation wherewith they are called. Eph. iv, 1. And indeed this is the. " GREAT REASON of ALL, why of fo many, who are ' called, there are fo few chofen, becaufe there are. ' fo few, who do all things which the gospel requires. of them. Many, like Heroa, will do many things ;-' and are almost perfuaded to be christians as Agrippa. "was, &c. Some are all for the duties of the first ' table without the fecond, others for the fecond " without the first. Some' [like heated Honeftus] 'are. " altogether for obedience and good works without " faith in Christ: Others' [like heated Zelotes] " are as " much for faith in Chrift, without obedience and ' good works. Some' [like mere moralifts] ' would do " all themfelves, as if Chrift had done nothing for " them : others,' [like mere folifidians] " fancy that · Chrift has fo done all for them, that there is no-' thing left for themfelves to do; and fo betwixt " both forts of people' [between the followers of Ho-' neftus, and thoje of Zelotes] ' which are the far greater ' part of those who are called, either the MERITS OF elfe the LAWS of Chrift are flighted and con-' temned. But is this the way to be faved ? No ' furely."

Hence it is evident, that if Bilhop Beweridge is right here, the faving truth lies exactly between the mistake of Zelotes and the error of Honeflus. Now if this is the true state of the question, is it possible to propose a plan of reconciliation more scriptural than that, which so secures the MERITS of Christ as not indirectly to overthrow his LAWS, and so enforces his LAWS as not indirectly to set as and security of the security And is not this effectually done in the reconciling declarations? Do they not equally guard the two gospel-axioms? Do they not with impartiality defend free-grace and free-obedience? And might not peace peace be reftored to the church upon fuch a fcriptural, rational, and moderate plan of doctrine?

I fear, that a laffing reconciliation upon any other plan is impoffible : for the gofpel muft ftand upon it's legs [the two gospel-axioms] or it must fall. And if Satan, by transforming himfelf into an angel of light prevails upon good, miftaken men to cut off one of these legs, as if it were useless or mortified; some good men, who are not yet deceived, will rife up in its defence. So fure therefore as the gates of hell shall never prevail against the church of the living God-the pillar and ground of the truth, there shall always be a fuccession of judicious, zealous men, disposed to hazard their life and reputation in the noble caufe of gofpeltruth, and ready to prevent the mystical ark from being overfet on the right hand or on the left. If a pious Crifp, for example, pushes it into the antinomian ditch, for fear of the pharifaic delution ; a pious Baxter will enter his proteft against him : and if a Taylor throws it into the pharifaic ditch, for fear of the antinomian error; God will raife up a Wefley to counterwork his defign, Nay, a Wesley is a match for a benevolent Taylor, and a feraphic Hervey; and I hope, that fhould Mr. Sh-y ever defire him to fign an anti-pharifaic declaration, he will not forget to defire Mr. Sh-y to fign also an anti-folifidian proteft; every gospel minister being an equal debtor to both axioms: nor can I conceive why Mr. Sh-p thould have more right * folemnly to fecure the first axiom, than Mr. W-y has folemnly to guard the lecond.

[•] Mr. Wefley is too judicious a divine to fign a paper, that leaves the 2d axiom quite unguarded : accordingly we find that axiom guarded in these words of Mr. Sb—y's declaration, "No one is a believer, (and confequently cannot be faved) who doth not good quorks, where there is time and opportunity." Nevertheles this clause does not by far form to folemm a guard, as might have been demanded upon to remarkable an occasion. Mr. Sb—y, and the clergy that accompanied him, might with propriety have been defired to remove the fears of those who figned the declaration which he had drawn up, by figning

But, leaving those two divines, I return to Zelotes, who feems very much offended at my faying, We have no truft, nor confidence, that any thing will fand us inflead of repentance, faith, and obedience : an affertion this, which implies, that [with respect to SECOND causes, and SECONDARY means] we place A SECONDARY truft and confidence in the graces which compose the christian character. But I afk, wherein does the herefy of this doctrine confift? Do I renounce orthodoxy when I fay, that with respect to fome sE-COND means, and fome SECOND caufes, I have no truft nor confidence but in my eyes to fee, in my ears to hear, and in my throat to fwallow ? Should not 1 be fir for Bedlam, if I trufted to fee without eyes, to hear without ears, and to fwallow without a throat? If I have not a truft, that my thoes will answer the end of shoes, and my hat the end of a har; may I not wifely put my floes upon my head, and my hat on my feet? And if I have not a confidence, that my horfe will carry me better than a broom-flick, may I not as well get upon a broom-flick, as on horfeback? What would Zelotes think of me, if I did not

If Mr. Sb-y and his friends had refused to fign such a memorandum as this, the world would have had a public demonstration, that Calvinism is the doctrine of *protestant-indulgences*; and that it stablishes *speculative*, and consequently makes way for *practical* antinomianism in its most flagrant immoralities, as well as in its most waning refinements.

ing at leaft the following memorandum. — For as much as Aaron, David, Solomon, Peter, and the inceftuous Corinthian did not do good works, when they, or any of them, worthipped a golden-calf, Milcom, and the abomination of the Zidonians, — denied Chrift, or committed adultery, murder, or inceft, we bereby folemnly declare in the fight of God, that we abbor the dostrine of the folifidians whofay, that the above-mentioned backfliders had juftifying, faving faith, while they committed the above-mentioned crimes; fuch a dostrine being most perilous and abominable; because it abfolutely overturns the xiith Art. of our church, and encourages all chriftians to make Chrift the minister of fin, and to believe that they may commit the most atrocious crimes, without losing their faith, their juffification, and their title to a throne of glory.

not trust that bread will nourish me sooner than poiion, and that fire will warm me better than ice? Is it not a branch of wildom to trust every thing, just so far as it deserves to be trusted; and a piece of madness to do otherwise?

O ye admirers of Zelotes's gospel, come, and I will explain to you all my supposed error. I truft ONLY and SOLELY in GOD as the first and capital CAUSE, and in CHRIST as the first and capital MEANS, of my prefent and eternal SALVATION: But befides this PRIMARY truft, I have a thousand Take a few inflances. I have INFERIOR trufts. a fure trust and confidence, that the bible will farther me in the way to eternal falvation, more than the Alcoran : - baptifm, more than circumcifion: the Lord's supper, more than the jewish passover : - the house of God, more than the play-house :- praying, more than curling : - repentance, faith, hope, charity, and perfeverance; more, far more than impenitency, unbelief, despair, uncharitablenes, and apoftacy.

If I am an heretic for faying that fomething befides Christ is conducive to faluation, and of confequence may, in its place and degree, be trusted in for falvation; is St. Paul orthodox, when he exhorts the Philippians to WORK OUT their own SALVATION, affures them that his affliction: fhall turn to his SALVA-TION THRO' their prayers, and writes to Titus, that in DOING the work of an evangelist, he fhall SAVE himfelf, and them that hear him ?

Again: will Christ stand me instead of repentance? Has he not said himself, Except yE repent, ye shall perish? Will He stand me instead of saith? Did he not affert the contrary when he declared, that he who BELIEVETH NOT, shall be damned? Will He stand me instead of evangelical obedience? Does he not maintain the opposite doctrine, where he declares, that he will bid them depart from him, who call him Lord, Lord, and DO NOT the things which he saith? Will He stand me instead of perseverance? Has he not said himself himfelf, that he will deny them that deny him; that he will finally own us as his disciples, IF WE CONTINUE in his words; and that he, who ENDURETH TO THE END, the same shall be SAVED? — Zelotes finds it caffer to raife difficulties, than to remove those which are thrown in his way. He comes therefore, with his mouth full of objections against my second declaration. Let us lend him an ear, and give him an answer.

OBJ. 1. " If with respect to the doctrine of SECOND causes, and SECOND means, of eternal falvation, you have no truft or confidence to be faved AS A PENITENT, OBEDIENT, and PERSEVERING BE-LIEVER, but by true repentance, faith, obedience, and perseverance; you cannot repose your whole truft upon God alone; nor can you give Chrift all the glory of your falvation."

ANSWER. To make God a 2d CAUSE, and Chrift a 2d MEANS of falvation, is not to give them the glory : it is to pull them out of their throne, and make them ftoop to an office unworthy of their matchlefs dignity. If the king gave you a purfe of gold, could you not give him all the glory of his generofity, without supposing that he was the laborious digger of the golden ore, the ingenious coiner of the gold, and the diligent knitter of the purfe? If you complimented him in all these respects, lest he should not have ALL the glory ; would you not pour contempt upon his greatnefs? And do you not fee, that, by a parity of reason, what you call " robbing God and Chrift of their glory," is only refusing to dishonour them, by afcribing them a fhameful office; I mean the office of a second caufe, or of a secondary means of falvation? Can you not conceive, that to give a general the honour of a fergeant, under pretence of giving him ALL the honour, is to fet him below an enfign, and rank him with an halberd-bearer? Again : When you fay, that, in general, upon a journey, with respect to SECOND causes and means, you have no trust or confidence, but in your money, in the goodness o you f

your horfes and carriage, in the paffable flate of the roads, in the skill of your driver, &c. do you betray any mistrust of divine providence? On the contrary, does not your diffinction of SECOND caules and sE-COND means flow, that you referve your PRIMARY trust and confidence for God, who is the FIRST CAUSE of your bleffings; and for his providential care over you, which is the FIRST MEANS of your prefervation? And if a pretender to orthodoxy charged you with atheifm or herefy for your affertion; would you not give him your vote to be an officer of the protestant-inquisition; if the black tribunal, which totters in Spain, fhould ever be fet up in England?

OBI. H. " Your first declaration indeed exalts Chrift; but the fecond uncrowns him to crown our graces - yea, to crown OURSELVES as poffeffed of fuch and fuch graces; which is the rankest popery, and the very quintessence of pharifaifm."

How can my crowning repentance, ANSWER. faith, and obedience with a scriptural coronet, rob Chrift of his peculiar crown? Are we not indebted to him, both for our graces, and for the coronet, with which he rewards our acceptance and improvement of his favours? Would it be right in you to reprefent me as an enemy to the crown and king of England, for afferting that Barons, Earls, and Dukes have received from him, or his predeceffors. the light of wearing coronets, or fecondary crowns ? Is it not the glory of our Sovereign, to be at the head of a crowned peerage? And would you really henour him, if on a coronation day you fecured the glory of his imperial crown, by kicking the coronets off the heads of all the peers, who come to pay him homage? Would he thank you for that ill-judged proof of your loyalty? Would he not reprove you for your unparallel'd rafhnefs? And think you that Christ will commend the antinomian zeal, with which you fet up the great image of finished falvation in the plain of mystical Geneva, upon an heap of the coronets wherewith he and his apofiles have crowned w

crowned the graces of believers? Can you fearch the facred records without finding there the doctrine, which you reprefent as treasonable or heretical? Did you never read, O quoman great is THY faith ! THY FAITH hath SAVED thee ? And what is this, but allowing believers to wear a faluation coronet - a coronet this, which they will justly cast before the throne of the grace that gave it them, and offered it all the day long to those, who obstinately put it from them ? - Did you never read, We are SAVED by HOPE :- Be FAITHFUL unio death, and I will give thee the CROWN of life : - He is the author of ETERNAL SALVATION to them that OBEY him : - He will give the CROWN of life to them that LOVE him, &c? Is not this granting a falvation coronet to the hopeful, faithful, obedient, loving believer? And if you throw my scales away, and cry out " Armenian " methodifm turned out rank popery at last," think you there are no bibles left in the king-No people able to read fuch fcriptures as. dom ? these ? Let no man BEGUILE you of your reward thro' voluntary humility - fair Speeches - and deceivableness of unrighteousness. - Hold fast that which thou hast, that noman take THY CROWN, on any pretext whatever: no not on the most plausible of all pretexts, " Pray, give me THY CROWN, for it is not confiftent with that of the Redeemer." - Who could fuggeft to good men, fo artful and dangerous a doctrine? -Who, but the deceitful adverfary, that can as eafily transform himself into an angel of light, to rob us of our crosum of righteoufness, as he formerly could tranfform himself into a serpent, to rob our first parents of their crown of innocence?

OBJ. III. "You may turn and wind as long as you please; but you will never be able to reconcile your doctrine with the doctrines of grace; for if you have the LEAST trust and confidence in your graces, you do not trust wHOLLY in the Lord; you trust PARTLY in an arm of flesh, in direct opposition to this scripture, Cursed

• The title of a calvinific pamphlet published against the fourth chick.

Cursed is the man, who trusteth in man, and maketh flesh his arm. Jer. xvii. 5."

ANSWER. I grant that our doctrine can never be reconciled to what you call " the doctrines of grace," because your partial doctrines of grace are irreconcilable with the holy, free, and equitable gospel of Chrift: but, we can as eafily reconcile the PRIMARY truft mentioned in our first declaration, with the se-CONDARY truft mentioned in the fecond, as you can reconcile my fecond scale with the fuft, Our fecondary confidence, which arifes from the testimony of a good confcience, no more militates in our breaft with our primary confidence, which arifes from the love of Chrift; than our regard for the queen excludes our respect for the king. In myslick Geneva indeed they teach, to the honour of the king, that the royal fpouse is all filthy : but in our Jerusalem we affert, that she is all glorious, and that the king greatly defires her beauty. To uncrown her therefore, and load her with infamy, can never be the way of honouring andpleafing our Melchifedec.

With respect to the passage, which you produce from Jeremiah, the fense of it is fixed by what immediately follows, And whose heart departeth from the Lord. These words show, that the trust forbidden in that scripture, is only SUCH a trust in man and things, as makes our hearts depart from the Lord. Now this can never be the truft and confidence mentioned in our fecond declaration: For, in both declarations, we fecure to God, as the first cause; and to Christ as the first means, ALL the glory which is worthy of the first cause, and of the first means : and, I repeat it, if you afcribe to the Lord any other glory, you infult him as much as you would do a prince, if you. gave him the glory which belongs to his confort or his cook :- I mean the glory of bearing fine children, and of making good fauces.

Again: There is no medium between *fome* degree of *truft*, and the *utmost* degree of *distruft*. Now if the fcripture which you produce, *abfolutely* forbids W 2 every

Sect. 17.

every degree of inferior traft in man or things, it follows that the more full we are of diftruft and diabolical fuspicions, the more godly we are. And thus, for fear of putting any degree of fecondary trust in manor in things, we must mistrust all our wives as adultereffes, all our friends as traitors, all our neighbours as incendiaries, all our fervants as murderers, and all cur food as poilon. But if this fair confequence of your doctrine ftands, what becomes of charity, which thinketh no evil, and hopeth all things? And if the words of Jeremiah are to be understood in your narrow fense, what becomes of Christ himfelf, who reposed a degree of trust in man-yea, in Judas, whilst he counted him faithful? That expression of Job therefore, He [the Lord] putteth no truft, [that is, noal folute truft] in his faints, is to be understood for as not to contradict the words of St. Paul, He [the Lord] counted me faithful, [i. e. trufted in me] putting me into the ministry; or the prophetic words. of David concerning Christ and Judas, yea, mine orun. familiar friend IN WHOM I TRUSTED, who did eat of my multiplied bread, hath lifted up his heel against me.

To conclude: If England fmiles yet at the imbecility of the king, who durft not venture over London-bridge, and wondered at those who trufted that fabrick as a folid bridge; fhall we admire Zelotes's wifdom, who wonders at our having a feriptural, inferior truft in the graces which form the christiant character ? and fhall we not count it an honour to be fulpected of herefy, for having a fure trust and confidence, that true repentance, and nothing elie, will answer for us the end of repentance ?- that true faith, and nothing elfe, will answer for us the end of faith? - that evangelical obedience, and not an imputed righteoufnefs, will answer for us the end of evangelical obedience? - and that final perfeverance, and not whims about "finished falvation," will answer for us the end of final perfeverance?

Having thus answered Zelotes's objections against the declaration which guards the *fecond* gospel-axiom, I shall now present him with some observations upon the importance of that axiom. (1)

(1) The FIRST axiom, or the doctrine of grace, holds " forth chiefly what Chrift has done; and the SECOND' axiom, or the doctrine of obedience, holds forth chiefly what we are to do; now, any unprejudiced perfon muft own, that it is as important for us to know our own work, as to know the work of another. (2) In the day of judgment we shall not be judged according to Chrift's works and experiences, but according to our own.-(3) Thousands of righteous heathens, it is to ' be hoped, have been faved without knowing any thing of Chrift's external work ; but none of them . were ever faved without knowing and doing their own work, that is, without working out their falvation with fear and trembling according to their light. -(4) Most of the Jews, that have been faved, have gone to heaven without any explicit, particular acquaintance with Chrift's merits : (See Equal Check, . p. 43. Note.) but none of them was ever faved without fearing God and working righteou[ness.- (5) To this day, those that are faved, three parts of the. world over, are in general faved by the gracious light that directly flows from the fecond gospel-axiom, thro' Chrift's merits, altho' they never heard of his name. (6) England and Scotland, where the redeeming work of Chrift is glorioufly preached, fwarm neverthelefs with practical antinomians; that is, with men who practically separate works from faith, and the decalogue from the creed. Now all thefe gnoffics follow the foolifh virgins, and the unprofitable fervant into hell, crying Lord! Lord! and forgetting to do what Chrift commands. - (7) We can never be too thankful for the light of both axioms ; ; but, were I obliged to feparate them, I had much rather obey with Obadiah, Plato, and Cornelius; than to believe with Simon Magus, Nicholas, and " Mr. Fullome."

These, and the like observations, appeared for weighty to judicious Mr. Baxter, that in the preface to his Confession of faith, page 29, he fays: 'The "great objection is, that I ascribe too much to works.

- I fhall

· - I shall now only fay, &c. that I fee many " well-meaning, zealous men dividing our religion," [which is made up of the two gospel-axioms] ' and run-" ning into two DESPERATE EXTREMES. One fort" [at the head of whom is ZELOTES] ' by the heat of oppolition to popery do feem to have forgotten, that " faith and Chrift himfelf are but means, and a way for the revolting foul to come home to God by ; and thereupon place all the effence of their religion " in bare telieving; fo making that the whole, which is but the door or means to better, even to a conformity of the foul to the image and will of God. " Others' [at the head of whom is HONESTUS] 'obferv-· ing this error, fly fo far from it as to make faith ' itfelf, and Chrift, to be fcarce necessary : fo a'man " have God's image, fay they, upon his foul, what " matter is it, which way he comes by it? whether • by Chrift, or by other means! And fo they take · all the hiftory of Chrift to be a mere accident to our necessary belief; and the precepts only of ho-· linefs to be of absolute necessity. The former con-" temn God, under pretence of extolling Chrift. The ' latter contemn Chrift, under pretence of extolling "God alone. - He that pretending to extol Chrift or · Faith degrades godlinefs, thereby fo far rejects God : ' and he, that on pretence of extolling Godlines, ' degrad s Faith, fo far rejects Chrift, &c. I there-' fore DETEST BOTH thefe extremes ;' [that of Zeand that of Honeflus:] 'But yet it being iotes, the FORMER which I take to be the GREATER, and " which to many men of better repute give too much countenance to, in their inconfiderate disputes ' against works in justification, I thought I had a · call to fpeak in fo great a caufe."

It appears from this excellent quotation, that judicious Mr. Eaxter gave the preference to the *fecond* gofpel-axiom, and thought the doctrine of *Heneflus* lefs dangerous than that of *Zeletes*. For my part, tho' *Zeletes* thinks me partial, I keep my feales even; and according to the weights of the fanctuary which I have

I have produced, I find that Zelotes and Honeflus are EQUALLY wanting. I thank them both for embracing one axiom : I check them both for neglecting the other : and if Zelotes deferves faperior praile for maintaining the first axiom, I will cheatfully give him the first place in my effeem : I confes however, that I am still in doubt about it, for two reasons: (1) Zelotes preaches indeed the first gofpel-axiom, for he pr. aches Chrift and free-grace : but, after all, for whom does he preach them ? For every creature according to the gospel charter? - No: but only for the little flock of the elect. If you believe his gospel, there never was a fingle dram of free, faving grace in the heart of God; or one fingle drop of precious, atoning blood in the veins of Christ, for the immense herd of the reprobates. Before the beginning of the world, they were all perfonally appointed neteffarily to fin and be damned. Thus, according to Zelotes's doctrine, free grace, and the first gospel axiom, are not only mere chimeras with respect to a majority of maskind ; but free wrath lords it with fovereign caprice over countlefs myriads of men, to whom Chrift may with the greatest propriety be preached as a reprobating damner, rather than as a gracious redeemer.---(2) I could better bear with Zelotes's inconfistencies, if he were fatished with diminishing the genuine cordial of free grace, and adulterating it with his bitter tincture of free wrath, and with his lufcious fyrup of wanton free-grace : but alas! he openly or fecretly attacks the doctrine of fincire obedience: he calls them " poor creatures," who zealoufly plead for it: he unguardedly intimates, that they are out of the way of falvation : and (Oh ! tell it not among the heathens:) he fometimes gives you " deadly hints about the excellence of difobedience : " fin works for our good :- it keeps us humble :--" it makes Chrift more precious : - it endears the " doctrines of fovereign, rich, diftinguishing grace: " - it will make us fing louder in heaven."

"You wrong me [fays Zelotes] you are a flanderer of God's people, and a calumniator of gospel-"ministers.

Sect. 17-

"ministers. I, for one, frequently enforce the ten. " commandments upon believers." True, Sir ; but how do you do this? Is it not by infinuating more or lefs, fooner or later, as your moral audience and your pious heart can bear it, that the decalogue is not now a rule to be judged by, but "a rule of life," the breach of which will answer all the above-mentioned excellent ends in believers? And what is this, but preaching protestant-indulgences, as I faid before ? When you do this, do you not exceed the popifh diftinction between venial and mortal fins? yea, do you: not make all the crimes of every fallen believer; venial? Nay more, do you not indirectly reprefent their grievous falls as profitable? And to feal up the delufion, do you not perfuade the fimple wherever yougo, that our works have nothing to do with our eternal justification before God? That our everlasting falvation is finished by Chrift alone, and that whoever believes fallen believers will be condemn'd by their bad works, is an enemy to the golpel, an Armenian, . a Pelagian, a Papitt, an Heretick ?

If this character of Zelotes is just; and if Honeflusis a confcientious good man, who preaches Chrift every facrament-day, and who enforces fpiritual, fincere obedience, (i. e. true repentance, true faith, true hope, and true love to God and man, in all their branches;) and who does it with fincerity, affiduity, and warmth, I cannot but think as favourably of him as I do of his antagonist.

I must however do Zelotes the justice to fay, that an appearance of truth betrays him into his favourite error. If he does not lay a fcriptural ftrefs upon the indifpenfablenefs of obedience, it is chiefly for fear of "legalizing the gospel," and robbing God's children of their comforts. See that fond mother, who prides herfelf in the tendernefs she has for her children. She will not suffer the wind to blow upon them: the sum must never shine on their delicate faces: no downy bed is soft enough, no sweet-meats are sweet enough for them; left they should know wearinefs

wearinefs they must always ride in the eafieit of carriages: their tutor mult be turned out of door, if he ventures to give them proper correction. All the day long, they must be told what an immense estate they are born to, and how their father has put it out of his own power to cut off the entail. Above all, no body must mention to them the duty they owe to him. Duty - that bad word duty must not abridge their priviledges, and ftamp their obedience with legal and fervile meanne/s. In a word by her injudicious, tho' well-meant kindnefs, the unnerves their conflications, ippils their tender minds, and brings deadly diforders upon them. Her fondness for her children is the very picture of Zelotes's tender regard for believers. Noduty must be PRESSED upon the mas duty; no command INSISTED upon, no felf-denial ORDERED, left the dear people should lose the sweetness of their gospel liberty. And, if at any time "Mr. Falfome's" humours call aloud for physick, it is given with fo much honey, that the remedy fometimes feeds the mortal difeafe.

Honeflus fees, and juftly dreads, the error of Zelotes; and, to avoid it, he is fo fparing of gofpel-encouragements, that he deals chiefly (if not wholly) in fevere precepts, and hard duties. You may compare him to a ftern father, who, under pretence of making his children flardy, and keeping them in proper fubjection, makes them carry as heavy burdens, as if they were drudging flaves, and threatens to difown them for every impropriety of behaviour.

Not io a gospel-minister, who reconciles both extremes. He knows how to use sweets and bitters, promises and threatenings, indulgence and severity. He is like a wife and kind father, who does not spare the rod when his children want it; but nevertheless wins them by love as much as possible; — who does not disaherit them for every fault, and yet does not put it out of his power to do it, if they take to a vicious course of life, and obstinately trample his paternal love under foot. Reader, who of the three is in the right, Zelotes, Honeflus, or the Reconciler?

SEC-

SECTION XVIII.

The dostrines of free-grace and free-will are farther maintained against Honestus and Zelotes by a variety of scripture-arguments.

I Flatter myfelf, that the harmonious opposition of the scriptures produced in the preceding sections, demonstrates the truth of the gospel-axioms. But left prejudice should hinder Honestus and Zelotes from yielding to conviction, I present them with some scriptural arguments, which, like so many buttress, will, I hope, support the doctrines of freegrace and free will, and render them as firm as their folid basis, reason and revelation. I begin with the doctrine of free-grace.

(2) We cannot do the least good without faith and love : and the least degree of true faith and genuine love springs first from free-grace : for Faith is the gift of God, love is the fruit of his spirit, and when the apostle wishes charity to his converts, he wishes it them FROM GOD the Father, who is the author of EVERY good and perfect gift. Now if our every good thought, word, and work, springs from faith and love; and if faith and love spring from God; is it not evident, that he is the first cause of our genuine righteousness, as well as of our existence ?

(3) When God fays, A/k and you shall have, does he not show himself the original of all that we want for body and soul, for time and eternity? And if God owes us nothing — if the help that is done upon earth, earth, the Lord originally does it him/elf, is it not the height of ingratitude and pride to refirain from God, and arrogate to ourfelves, the glory due to him and his infinite perfections ?

(4) We are commanded in every thing to give thanks; but if grace is not the fource of all the good we do, or receive; does it not follow, that, in fome things the original glory belongs to us, and therefore we deferve thanks before God himfelf? And is not this the horrid fin of Anti-chrift, who fitteth as God in the temple of God, and there receives divine honours as if he were God?

(5) Does not reason dictate, that God will not give his glory to another, and that even the MAN, who is his fellow must pay him homage? Is it not the Almighty's incommunicable glory to be the first cause of all good, agreeably to those words of our Lord, There is none good [i. e. SELF-good, and truly SELF-righteous] but God, from whom goodness and righteousness flow, as light and heat do from the fun? How dangerous then, how dreadful is the error of the felf-righteous, who are above flooping to divine goodness, and giving it it's due! If robbing a church of its ornzments is facrilege, how facrilegious is the pride of a pharifee, who, by claiming original goodness, robs God's grace of its indisputable honours, and God himself of his incommunicable glory!

(6) To flow christians how ridiculous and fatanic is the pride of the felf-righteous, I need only remind them that Christ himfelf.—Christ the righteous (as the Son of David) declined all felf-righteous (as the not call his works, The works that I do in my Father's name, or by my Father's grace? And did he not, as it were, annihilate himfelf, when he faid, Why calleft thou me good without any reference to the Godhead, of which I am the living temple?—I can do nothing of myself.—I state not of myself, but the Father that dwelleth in me, HE DOES the works.—Learn of me to be LOWLY IN HEART? What real christian can read fuch scriptures without learning to disclaim all felfrightighteoufnels and to abhor pharifaic dotages? If Honeftus is a reasonable christian, 1 need say no more to reconcile him to Free-grace.

I know not which of the two extremes is the most abominable, that of the pharifee, who, by flighting free-grace, will not allow God to be the first cause of all our good works; or that of the antinomian, who, by exploding free will, indirectly represents the parent of good as the first cause of all our wickedness. This last error is that of Zelotes, to whom 1 recommend the following arguments.

1. All rationals [as fuch] are necessarily endued with free will, otherwife reason and confcience would be powers as abfurdly bestowed upon them, as perfuafivenels upon a carp, and a tafte for mulic upon an oviter. What are reason and confcience but powers, by which we diffinguish right from wrong, that we may chufe the one and refuse the other? And how do they reflect upon God's wildom, who fuppole, that he gave and reffored to man thefe powers, without giving him a capacity to use them! And what can this capacity be, if it is not free will? As furely then as wings and legs prove, that eagles have a power to fly, and hares to run; whether they fly, or run, towards the sportsman's destructive weapon, or from it: fo furely do reason and confeience demonstrate, that men are endued with liberty, i. e. have a power to chufe, whether they make a right or a wrong choice. Again,

2. What is a human foul? You juftly anfwer, It is a thinking, willing, accountable thing: And I reply, from the very nature of our foul then, it is evident, that we are, and ever fhall be free-willing creatures. For the moment fouls have loft their power of thinking and willing freely, they are no longer accountable: moral laws are as improper for them as for raging billows. None but fools would attempt to rule delirious perfons and mad men by penal laws. The reafon is plain: people flark mad, thinking freely no longer, are no longer freewillers;

willers; and being no more free-willers, they are no more confidered as moral agents. So certain then as man is a reasonable accountable creature, he is endued with free-will for : all rationals under God are accountable, and all accountable beings have more or lefs power over themselves and their actions. He [the Lord] himfelf made man from the beginning, and left him in the hand of his counsel: if thou wilt to keep the commandments, and to perform acceptable faithfulnes. He hath fet fire and water before thee : fretch forth thy hand unto whether thou wilt. Before man is life and death, and whether him liketh fhall be given him. Eccl. xv. 14, &c. The tempter therefore may allure, but cannot force us to do evil; and God himfelf fo wifely invites, and fo gently draws us to obedience, as not to turn the fcale for us in an irrefiftible manner.

(3.) O the abfurdity of fuppofing, that God has appointed a day, in which he will judge the world in righteoufnefs, if the world is not capable of making a right and a wrong choice; and if Chrift, Adam, or the Devil abfolutely turn the fcale of our morals for us! O the blot fixed upon God's wifdom, when he is reprefented as rewarding men with heavenly thrones, for having done the good, which they could no more avoid doing, than rivers can prevent their flowing! O the diffuonour done to his justice, when he is repre-fented as featencing men to everlafting burnings, for committing fin as necessarily as a leaden ball tends to the center !

(4) If free-grace does all in believers without freewill, why does David fay, the Lord is my HELPER? Why does our church pray after the Pfalmist, Make hafte to HELP me? Why does St. Paul declare, that The Spirit it/elf * HELPETH our infirmities? X Why

• The word in the original has a peculiar force : $[\sigma u r a v \tau i \lambda a u.$ **Cavetal**] It expresses at once how God's Spirit does his part (σur) with us, and [arti] over AGAINET us; like two perfons that take up a burden together and carry it, the one at one end, and the other at the other end; or like a minister and a congregation, who join in prayer by alternately taking up the responses of the Church, Why did he not fay, I can do abfolutely nothing, inflead of faying, I can do all things, thro' the Lord who firengtheneth me? And when Chrift had faid, Without me you can do nothing, why did he not correct himfelf, and declare, that we can no nothing with him, and that HE ALONE must do all? Nay, why does St. Paul apply to himfelf and others, when THEY WORK WITH God, the very fame word that St. Mark applies to God, when HE WORKS WITH men? We are, ouveryou, WORKERS TOGETHER WITH God. 1 Cor. iii. 9.-The Lord, ouverystros, WORKING TOGETHER WITH them. Mark xvi. 20.

(5.) Do not all the PROMISES, the performance of which is fuspended upon fome term to be perform'd by us thro' divine affistance, prove the concurrence of free-grace with free-will ? When God fays, Seek, and you shall find. — Forgive, and you shall be forgiven. — Come unto me, and I will give you rest. — Return to me, and I will return to you, &c. When God, I fay, speaks this language, who does not fee free-grace courting and alluring free-will ? Free-grace fays, Seek ye my face, and free-will answers, Thy face, Lord, will I feek. On the other hand, unbelievers know, that fo long as their free-will refuses to fubmit to the terms fixed by free-grace, the promise miscarries, and God himself declares, Ye shall know my breach of promise. Numb. xiv. 34.

(6.) As the promises, which free-grace makes to fubmiffive free-will, prove the doftrine of the gospelaxioms; fo do the THREATENINGS, which anxious free-grace denounces, left it fhould be rejected by free-will: Take also two or three examples.—1 will cast them that commit adultery with her, into great tribulation, EXCEPT they repent of their deeds.—ExCEPT ye repent, ye shall all likewise perish—He that believeth not shall be damned—If we fin wilfully [i. e. obstinately, and to the last moment of our day of grace] after we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth [for us] &c. a fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries, &c. Who does not see here, that Sect. 18.

that free-grace provoked by inflexible free-will, cau, and will act the part of inflexible justice ?

(7.) The e is not one reproof, encomium, or exhortation in the old and new testament, that does not support the capital doctrines of free-grace, or free-will. When Christ fays with a frown: How is it that you have no faith? O perverse generation, HOW long shall I fuffer you ?- O generation of vipers, BRING FORTH FRUIT meet for repentance.-Have ye your heart YET hardened ?- When he fmiles and fays, Well done, good and faithful fervant :- When he marvels, and cries out, Great is thy faith :- Or when he gives fuch gracious exhortations, Be not faithles, but believing :-Come to the marriage :- Be faithful unto death :- Only believe :- When Chrift, I fay, speaks in this manner, is it not as if he expressed himself in such words as thefe? My free grace trics every rational means to win your free-will. I reprove you for your fins, I commend you for your faith, I exhort you to repentance, I shame you into obedience; I leave no stone unturned, to flow myfelf the rational Saviour of my rational, free-creatures.

(8.) I may proceed one flep farther, and fay: There is not one commandment in the law, nor one direction in the gospel, that does not demonstrate the truth of this doctrine. For all God's precepts and directions are for our good, therefore free-grace gave Now if God is wife, as well as gracious, it them. follows that he gave his precepts and directions to PREEagents, that is, to free-willing creatures. Let a king who has loft his reason, make a code of moral laws for trees, or horses: Let him fend preachers into every mill in the kingdom to give proper directions to cogwheels, and to affure them, that if they turn fast and right they shall grind for the royal family, and if they ftop or turn wrong, they shall be cut to pieces and ground to faw-dust : But let not the absurdity of a fimilar conduct be charged upon God.

(9.) Every humble confession of fin shows the various workings of free-grace and free-will. I have finned—I have done wickedly, &c. is the language of freewill foftened by free-grace. To fuppole that thefe acknowledgments are the language of free-grace alone, is to fuppole that free-grace fins and does wickedly. And when we heartily join in fuch petitions as thefe: Turn us, and we shall be turned :—Draw me, and I will run after thee :—Bring my foul out of prison, that I may praise thy name :—Sawe, or I perish, &c. do we not teel our free-will endeavouring to apprehend freegrace? Is this herefy? Did not St. Paul maintain this doctrine in the face of the church, and feal it with the account of his own experience, when he faid, I follow after, if that I MAY APPREHEND that, for which also I AM APPREHENDED of God?

(10.) To conclude: there is not a damned fpirit in hell, that may not be produced, as a living witnefs of the double doctrine which I defend. Why is Lucifer loaded with chains of darkness? Is it because there was never any free-grace for him ? and because freewrath marked him out for destruction, before he had perfonally deferved it ? No; but because his freewill kept not the first estate of holines, into which God's free grace had placed him. Why is Judas gone to his own place? Is it because the Holy Ghoft spake an untruth when he faid, that [till the day of retribution comes God's mercy is over all his works? No: but because Judas's free-will was so obstinately bent upon gaining the world, that, according to our Lord's declaration, HE LOST HIS OWN Joul, became a fon of perdition, and, by denying in work the Lord that bought him, brought upon himself swift destruction. Now if Judas himself cannot fay, 'God's free-wrath fent me to hell, and not my free-will; I am here in Adam's · place, and not in my own. I never rejected against " myfelf the counfel of a gracious God; for, with " respect to ME, the Father of mercies was always " unmerciful-the God of all grace had never any fav-• ing grace :'-If Judas, I fay, cannot juffly utter theie blasphemies, furely none can: and if none can, then every finner in hell demonstrates the truth of the gofpelgospel-axioms, and is a tremendous monument of the vengeance jufly taken from free-will, for doing obstinately despite to the Spirit of free-grace.

(11.) But, leaving Judas to experience the truth of this awful fcripture, The backflider in heart shall be filled with HIS OWN ways, let your foul foar upon the wings of faith and reason to the happy regions, where the spirits of just men made perfect shine like stars or funs in their father's kingdom. Ask them, to whom, and to what do you ascribe your falvation? and you hear them all reply, Salvation is of the Lord.—Not unto us, but to his name we ascribe glory.—Of his own mercy he saved us, to the praise of the glory of HIS GRACE. What a noble testimony is this to the doctrine of FREE-GRACE!

(12.) Nor does the Lord ftand lefs for their FREEwill, than they do for his FREE-GRACE. Proftrate yourfelf before his everlasting throne, and with all becoming reverence ask the following question, that you may be able to vindicate God's righteous ways before unrighteous man: * Let not the Lord be angry, and I will take upon me to Speak unto the Lord: didft thou admit those happy spirits into the Lord: didft thou out of partiality to their perfons? If they are rais'd to glorious thrones, while damned spirits are cast into yonder burning lake, is it merely because absolute grace and absolute wrath made originally all the difference? In a word, is their falvation so of thy free-grace, that their free-will had absolutely nohand in the matter?

Methinks that I hear the Judge of all the earth giving you the following answer, which appears to me perfectly agreeable to his facred oracles.

O injudicious man, how canft thou be fo flow of heart to believe all that I, and my prophets have faid?
Am not I a Judge as well as a Saviour? Can I
fhew myfelf a righteous Judge, and yet be partial in judgment? Nay, fhould I not be the most unjutt
of all judges, if from my righteous tribunal I diftributed heavenly thrones and infernal racks out of diffinguishing grace," ' and diffinguishing wrath?
Know that all fouls are mine; and that in point of X 3 ' judgment ' judgment there is no respect of persons with me. In the great day I judge, that is, I condemn or justify, I punish or reward, every man according to HIS WORK, " and confequently according to HIS FREE-WILLS. for if a work is not the work of a man's free-will, " it is not HIS work, but the work of him that uses ' him as a tool, and works by his inftrumentality. So certain then as the office of a gracious Saviour is. ' compatible with that of a righteous judge, my capi- tal doctrines of free-grace and free-will are confistent with each other. If thefe, therefore, walk with me " in white, know that it is because they are worthy: for the righteous is MORE EXCELLENT than his neighbour.-Like good and faithful ferwants, they occupied " till I came; and lo, I came and my REWARD was " with me. They have kept the faith; and I have . kept my promife. They have not finally forfaken me; and I have not finally for faken them. They have kept * the word of my patience; and I have kept them from the great tribulation. They have made themselves ready " [tho' fome have done it only at the eleventh hour] and I have admitted them to the heavenly feait. . They have done my commandments, and they are en-" tered by the gates into the new Jerufalem. My freegrace gave them their free-will: their free-will yielded to my free-grace : and now my free-grace crowns their faithfulnefs. They were faithful unto. · death, and I have given them the crown of life. Thus " my free-grace and meney, which began the work of their falvation, concludes it in conjunction with my " iruth and juffice : and my free-willing people shout " Grace ! Grace ! when they confider the top flone, as ' well as when they behold the foundation of their falvation. My free-grace is ALL to them, and their " BREE WILL is fo much 10, me, that I am not ashumed. " to call them brethren, and to acknowledge, that as " the bridegroom rejoiceth over the bride, fo do I rejoice " over them, because when they heard my voice, they " know the day of their visitation, and did not harden. " their hearts to the laft,"

Sect. 19.

If Honestus and Zelotes candidly weigh the preceding arguments in the balance of the fanctuary, they will, I hope, drop their prejudices against freegrace and free-will, and confent to a speedy, lasting reconciliation. But Zelotes is ready to fay, that there can be no reconciliation between Honestus and himfelf, because he cannot in confeience be reconciled even to me, who here act the part of a mediator; tho' I come nearer to " the doctrines of grace" than Honestus does. Confider we then the capital objections of Zelotes: and if we can answer them to his fatisfaction, we shall probably remove out of his way the strongest bars which the author of discord has fixed between him and Honestus.

SECTION XIX.

Zelotes produces his first objection to a reconciliation with Honestus. That objection is taken from God's FORE-KNOWLEDGE, and turns upon a frivolous supposition, that the CERTAINTY of an event implies it's NECES-SITY. — Our Lord is introduced as answering for himself, and showing, how his PRESCIENCE is consistent with our LIBERTY; and his goodne/s, with the just destruction of those, who obstinately fin away their day of initial falvation. — A fine observation of Archbishop King upon the consistency of God's FORE-KNOW-LEDGE with our FREE-WILL. — The absurdity of supposing, that GOD cannot CERTAINLY know future events, which depend upon the WILL of FREE agents, because WE cannot do it.

W HILST Honeftus fays, that he has no great objection to the doctrine of free-grace, when it is flated in a rational and fcriptural manner, Zelotes intimates that he is ftill averfe to the doctrine of freewill; and declares that capital objections are in his way, and that, till they are answered, he thinks it his duty equally to oppose Honeftus and the reconcider. Hear Hear we then his objections, and let us fee if they are as unanfwerable as he fuppofes them to be.

OBJ. 1. "You want to frighten me from the doc-" trines of grace, and to drive me into the herefy of " the free-willers, by perpetually urging, that the " perfonal, unconditional, and eternal rejection of " the non-elect is inconfistent with divine mercy, " goodnefs, and juffice: but you either deny, or grant " God's foreknowledge. If you deny it, you are an " atheift : it being evident, that an ignorant God is " no God at all. - If you allow it, you mult allow " that, when God made fuch men as Cain and Judas, " he foreknew that they would CERTAINLY deferve " to be damned : and that when he made them upon " that foreknowledge, he made them that they might " NECESSARILY deferve to be damned. And is not " this granting all that we contend for, namely, that " God does make, and of confequence has an indif-" putable right of making veffels of wrach, without " any respect to works and free-will? Is it not far " better to fay, that we have no free-will, than to " rob God of his prescience ?"

ANS. We need neither rob God of his prefeience, nor man of his free-will. I grant, God made angels and men, that IF THEY WOULD NOT be eternally faved, they might be damned. But what has this doctrine to do with yours, which supposes that he made fome angels and men that they might abfolutely and necessfarily be damned. Is not our doctrine highly confistent with God's goodness and justice; while yours is the reverse of these divine perfections? Again,

Your argument, tho' ingenious, is inconclusive, because it is founded upon the common mistake of fhisting the words upon which it chiefly turns. The flaw of it confists in substituting the clause NECESSA-RILY deserve to be damned, instead of the clause CER-TAINLY deserve to be damned; just as if there was no difference between certainty and necessary. But a little attention will convince you of your error. It is certain that I write this moment, but am I necessary it? May I not drop my pen, and meditate, read, or walk? The chaim which, in many cafes, feparates absolute certainty from absolute necessity, is as immense as that, which stands between a point and infinity. Take notice of the infect that buzzes about your ears : does it not exift as certainly as God himfelf? but would it not be a kind of blasphemy to fay that it exifts as necessarily? Would it not be at least paying to a fly, an honour which is due to none but God, the only supreme and ab folutely-necessary Being? And when you support your doctrines of grace by confounding certainty with necessity, do you not support them by confounding two things, which, in a thouland cafes, and especially in the present one, have no more connection than the two poles? Have not judicious calvinifts granted, that altho' the prefcience of God concerning Judas's destruction could not itand [cum eventu contrario] with his falvation; yet it flood perfeely well [cum possibilitate ad eventum contrarium] with the POSSIBILITY of his jalvation? And is not this granting, that altho' God clearly faw, that Judas would not repent, he clearly faw alfo that Judas MIGHT have repented in the accepted time, which is all that I contend for. See Davenant's Animad. Cambridge Edition, 1641. page 38.

To be a little more explicit: let me again intreat you to fall with me before the throne of grace, where the Redeemer teaches mortals to be meek, lowly, and wile in heart. Spread your doubts before him in fuch humble language as this. " Thou Light of the world, let " not thy creature remain in darkness with respect to · the most important question in the world. Am 1 ap-· pointed necessarily to fin on and be damned? Is my " damnation finished? Haft thou absolutely ordained me to be a veffel of wrath, and irrevocably appointed " my eternal rejection without any respect to my per-" fonal free-will? Does thy FOREKNOWLEDGE NE-· CESSITATE my actions, or may I chuse life or death, " and thro' thy mercy, or justice, have either the one or " the other, according to my free, unnece/fitated choice - ' my -my choice equally opposed to unavillingness and to necessity? Speak, gracious Lord, that if I am a necessary agent, I may, without any farther perplexity, yield myself to be carried by the irrefistible fiream of thy free-grace, or of thy free-wrath, to the throne in heaven, or to the dungeon in hell, which thou hast appointed for me from all eternity, according to the doctrine of the heathen poet:

' Solvite mortales animos, curis que levate:

' Fata regunt orbem, carta stant omnia lege.' +

If Chrift is the Logos; — if he is Reafon and the Word — the eternal Wifdom, and the uncreated Word of the Father; may we not get a fatisfactory answer to the preceding question by confidering with humble prayer his unerring word, and by diligently listening to the reafon which he has given us? And shall I take an unbecoming liberty if I suppose, that He himsfelf expostulates with Zelotes in such words as these?

' Son of man, if thou chargest the reprobation of ' the damned, or their predestination to eternal death, " upon my free-wrath, my fovereignty, or Adam's fin, thou infultest my goodness and justice. 1 hat · reprobation has no properly-original caule, but their · own perfonal free-will. I would a thousand times have crushed thy primitive parents into atoms, " when they forfeited my favour, rather than I would " have fpared them to propagate a race of creatures, " most of whom, according to thy doctrines of grace, are under an absolute necessity to fin on and be damned. . Thou haft a wrong idea of my word and attributes. . With the wildom, and equity of a tender-hearted iudge I condemn the victims of my juffice, and I ' do it merely for their perfonal and obflinate contempt · of my free-grace. Be then no longer miltaken ; " my decree of reprobation is nothing but a fixed refolution

+ O ye mortals, difmils your cares, and unbend your minds. Predefination rules the world : all things happen according to a fixed decree. Manilus. lution of giving finners over to the perverseness of their free-will, if they resist the drawings of my free-grace to the end of their day of initial falvation. And what can be more equitable than such a resolution? Is it not right that free-agents, who to THE LAST despise my goodness, should become monuments of my despised goodness, which is but another name for my windiffive justice? 'I forefaw indeed, that by such a final contempt of

" my grace, many would bring destruction upon them-· felves ; but, having wifely decreed to make a world " of probationers and free-agents, I could not neceffarily ' incline their will to obedience, without robbing " them of free agency: nor could I rob them of free. ' agency without foolifhly defeating the counfel of " my own mind, and abfurdly fpoiling the work of my own hands. Befides, from the beginning, my " intention was not only to flow my power and good-" nefs in creating, but also to display my wildom and ' juffice in governing accountable creatures, to whom, " without respect of persons, I should render according to their works -- eternal life to them, who by patient continuance in well-doing Jeek for glery; but tribulation and anguish to them that are contentious and disobe-" dient '

⁶ I abhor extorted, forced, neceffary fubmiffion in ⁶ rationals: it fuits the daftardly children of the de-⁶ vil, and not the free born fons of God. I could not ⁶ then in wifdom fend upon this world fuch over-⁶ powering flreams of light; or permit the tempter to ⁶ fpread fuch thick darknefs upon it, as might invin-⁶ cilly, or neceffarily turn the fcale of man's will for ⁶ loyalty or rebellion. 'So unadvifed a flep would im-⁶ mediately have taken them out of the flate of pro-⁶ bation, in which I had placed them.'

• Again: Had I directly or indirectly thrown into • the fcale a weight fufficient to turn it irrefiftibly, I • ftould have acted a most unreasonable and detestable • part: (1) A most unreasonable part; for if I alone • COMPLETELY work out the falvation of believers, • according cording to what thou calleft finished Salvation, nothing can be more ABSURD, than to appoint a day
of judgment and rewards, to beflow upon the elect an eternal life of glory according to THEIR WORKS:
(2) n oft detestable part; for if I earneftly invited
al rise wicked to chuse life, after having absolutely
chosen death for most of them, should I not show
myself the most hypocritical of all tyrants?

⁶ But, thou flumbleft at my FOREKNOWLEDGE, and ⁶ afkeft, why I beftow the bleffings of *initial falva-*⁶ *tion* upon thofe whofe free-agency will certainly ⁶ abufe my goodnefs, and do defpite to the fpirit of ⁶ my faving grace. Thou thinkeft, " It is wrong in ⁶ me to give them that *will perifh* the cup of *initial* ⁶ *falvation*, when I know they *will not* accept the ⁶ cup of *eternal* falvation. Thou fuppofeft it would ⁶ be better to reprobate them at once, than to expose ⁶ them to a greater damnation, by putting it in their ⁶ power to reject the terms of *eternal* falvation, and ⁶ by that means to fall from *initial jalvation*." But ⁶ I fhall filence thy objections by proposing fome plain ⁶ queftions to thee, as I once did to my fervant Job."

(1) ' is it reasonable to suppose, that I should pervert my nature, and act in a manner contrary to my perfections, to prevent free-agents from perverting their nature, and acting in a manner contrary to their happine/s? What woulds thou have thought of my wildom, if I had appointed Luciter to hell, and Adam to the grave, from eternity; for fear they should deferve those punishments by wilfully falling from heaven and from paradife? Is it not absurd to fancy that the Creator must bring him/elf in guilty of misconduce, left his rational creatures fhould render themselves fo?

(z) 'If thou thinkeft it right in me, to command that the gofpel of my free-grace be preached to every creature; altho' thou knoweft, that the neglecters of it will, like the people of Capernaum, fall into a deeper hell for their final contempt of that favour; why fhouldest thou think it wrong in me to ' extend * extend the virtue of my blood, and the firivings of * my fpirit, to thole, who will finally reject my free-* grace? When thou approvent the extensive tenour * of my gospel-commission, doest thou well to be an-* gry, or to fret, like Jonah, at the extensiveness * of my mercy? Doest thou not see, that, if I were * absolutely merciles towards fome men, my com-* mission to preach the gospel to every man would be * utterly inconfistent with my veracity?

(3.) ' Have I not a right to create FREE-agents, and to place them in a flate of PROBATION, that I may wifely REWARD their obedience, or juftly PU-NISH their rebellion? Who art thou, that replieft against God? Shall the thing formed fay to him that formed it, "Why hast thou made me a free-agent? a probationer for heavenly rewards, or infernal punishments?" May not I appoint, that free willing unbelievers, who do final despite to the spirit of my free-grace, shall be wessels of wrath felf-fitted for destruction; and that free-willing, obedient believers shall be wessels of mercy, afere-prepared unto glory by my free-grace, with which their free-will has happily concurred ?"

(4.) 'In the nature of things, must not Free-agents, 'in a state of probation, be free to fall, as well as free to stand? When thou weightst gold, if thou hinderest one scale from turning, doest thou not effectually hinder the free motion of the other fcale?'

(5.) 'Does it not become me to flow myfelf good and gracious, tho' my creatures prove wicked and ungrateful? Should I extinguish or rettrain my light, because some people love darkness rather than light? If they will not do their duty by me, as obedient creatures; ought I not to behave to them as a gracious Creator, and to hold out the golden feeptre of my mercy, before. I strike them with the iron rod-of my vengeance? And should not the honour of my divine attributes, be considered more than the additional degrees of misery, which un-Y " grateful free-agents will obstinately bring upon " themselves ?"

(6.) When I had decreed to create a world of freeagents, and to try their loyalty, in order to reward the obedient and punish the rebellious, could I execute my wife, juft, and gracious plan without suffering fin to enter into the world, if free agents would commit it? Is permitting the possibility of fin any more than permitting, that free-will might, or might not concur with my free-grace? And could I ever have judged the world in righteoufnefs, if I had not permitted fuch a possibility?

(7.) ' If I had given the cafting vote for Peter's obedience, and for Judas's difobedience, fhould I not have fixed an eternal blot upon my impartiality? Thinkeft thou, that I could be fo unwife and unjuft, as to hold univerfal affizes, to judge angels and men according to what they have done thro' mere necesfity? Shall irrefiftible free-grace, and omnipotent freewrath, commit fpiritual rapes upon the human will? and fhall I reward or punish overpowered mankind according to fuch rapes? Far be the thought from thee ! Far be the iniquity from me! I judge the world in righteoufnefs, and not in madnefs; according to their own works, and not according to mine.'

(8.) ' When I forefaw that fin would enter into the " world, could I have been juit, if I had not decreed to punish finners? Could I with justice fentence " moral agents either to non-existence, or to a wretched existence, BEFORE they had done wickedly ?- AF-* TER they had finned, and I had gracioully promifed them a Saviour, could I, without fhewing myfelf · full of diffimulation, partiality, and falfhood, condemn those that perifh, BEFORE I had afforded " them the means of recovery, by which many of * their fellow-finners, under the same circumstances, " artain eternal falvation ? Must not, in the nature of " things, those, who work out their damnation, be coubly guilty, or I be notorioully partial? Muft they

' they not appear without excuse before all; or I, ' without mercy, long-fuffering, and truth towards-' them ?'

(9.) 'Doeft thou not fee, that altho' the ministra-' uon of righteousness and rewards exceeds in glory, ' yet the ministration of condemnation and punish-' ments is GLORIOUS? Befides, are they not clofely "connected together? Has not the fear of hell, as ' well as the hope of heaven, kept thousands of mar-' tyrs from drawing back to perdition, when the ' fnares of death compassed them about? Nay, is ' not the spirit of bondage unto fear the beginning of ' wildom, and of most conversions? and shall I act a · deceitful part for thousands of years together; ' working upon my people by a lie; and making ' them believe that they have damnation if they dilbe-" lieve, or if they caft off their first faith, when yet [apon thy scheme] there is nothing but finished salva-' tion for them ?

(10.) ' Will not the damnation of obstinate finners ' answer as important ends in the worlds of rationals, ' as prifons and places of execution do in the king-" doms of this world? If incorrigible, free-willing " rebels fin to all eternity, will it not be just in me, ' to make the line of their panishment run parallel ' to the line of their wickedness? Does not thy rea-' fon dictate, that an unceasing contempt of my • holy law, and a perpetual rebellion against creat-'ing, redeeming, and fanchifying grace, will call * aloud for a perpetual out-pouring of my righteous 'indignation? And does it not follow, that the eternal damnation of rebels eternally-obftinate-of " rebels, who have WANTONLY trampled under foot " the bleffings of INITIAL SALVATION, is as con-" fiftent with my despiled GOODNESS, as with my · provoked justice ?'

(11.) ' As I could not justly condemn necessary ' agents to infernal mifery : fo I could not delight in, " and reward the obedience of fuch agents. And as " thou haft more pleafure in the free, loving motions of . 006 • one of thy friends, than in the neceffary motions of ten • thousand pieces of clock-work, let them move ever • fo regularly: fo do I put more value upon the free, • voluntary obedience of one of my people, than • upon all the neceffary revolutions of all the plane-• tary worlds. Why then wilt thou, by thy doctrine • of bound-will, rob me of what I value most in the • universe-the free obedience of my faithful fer-• vants-the unforced, spontaneous love of my mysti-• cal body, my spouse, my church?

(12.) 'With respect to my foreknowledge of fin, it had abfolute y no influence on the commission of it. Thou thinkest the contrary, because thou canft not, in general, certainly foresee what thy neighbours will do, unless they are absolutety directed and influenced by thee: but the consequence does not hold. Short-fighted as thou art, doess thou not sometimes with a degree of certainty foresee things, which thou art so far from appointing, that thou would set gladly prevent them, if thou didst not consider, that such a step would be inconsistent with thy wisdom, and the liberty of others?

(13.) ' Again, may not my foreknowledge of a future event imply the CERTAINTY of that event ' with respect to me, without implying its NECESsiry with respect to the free-agent, who spontane-" oufly brings it about ? Suppose thou wert perfectly " acquainted with the art of navigation, the force of ' every wind, the fituation of every rock and fand-· bank, the firength and burden of every fhip, the ' disposition and defign of every mariner, &c .- Sup-· pote again, thou faweft a thip going full fail juit · against a dangerous rock, notwithstanding thy re-'peated fignals and loud warnings to the pilot; · mighteft thou not forefee the certain loss of the fhip, " without laying the least necessity upon the pilot to " fteer her upon the fatal fpot, where the goes to · pieces? And fhal not I, from whom no fecrets are " hid, and before whom things past and to come meet ' in one immoveable everlatting now :- fhall not I, · who Seft. 19.

" who inhabit eternity, where he that was, and is, and ' IS TO COME, thows himfelf the unchangeable I AM, · -- fhall not I, I fay, forefee the motions and actions of all my free-agent-creatures, as certainly, as a " wife at ift forefees the motions of the watch which: ' he has made? Imperfect as the illustration is, it is " adapted to thy imperfect understanding. For, tho" " thou canit not comprehend how I know future con-' tingencies, thou canft eafily conceive, that as no one · but a watchmaker, can perfectly forefee what may ac-' celerate, ftop, or alter the motion of a watch; for " none but the creator of a free-agent, can perfectly " forefee the future motions of a free-agent. If hell is naked, and destruction hath no covering before me; 19 • it not abfurd to suppose, that the human heart can be " hid from my all-piercing eye? And if thou, who · livest but in a point of time, and in a point of /pace ; If thou, whole faculties are fo shallow, and whole · powers are to circumfcribed ;-if thou, I fay, in that " point of time and fpace which thou filleft, canft fee " what is before thee; why fhould not I, an all-wife and fuperlatively-perfect spirit, who fill all times, ' and all places, thro' an infinite NOW and a boundlefs " HERE, lee allo what is before me ? Perceivest thou " not the abfardity of meafuring me with thy fpan?" " Try to weigh the mountains in a balance, and to " measure the feas in the hollow of thy hand : and, f if thou findelt thyfelf confounded at the bare thought of a talk to easy to my omnipotence, fall " in the dust, and confess that thou hast acted an " unbecoming part, in attempting to put the very" · fame bounds to my omnifcience, which I have put to · the foreknowledge. To conclude:'

(14.) 'Thou art ready to think hard of my wifdom, goodnels, or forefight, for giving a talent of faving grace to a man, who, by burying it to the laft, enhances his own deftruction: To folve this imaginary difficulty, thou afcribeft to me a dreadful fovereignly—an horrible right of making veffels to difficulty, and filling them with wrath, merely Y 3.

to flow my absolute power. But let me exposulate ' a moment with thee. - I forefaw indeed, that the " flothful, unfaithful mar, to whom I gave one talent, " would bury it to the laft: but if I had kept it from him; if I had afforded him no opportunity of thew-' ing his faithfulnefs, or his unfaithfulnefs; what " could I have done with him? Had I fent him to. " hell upon foresen disobedience, I should have acted " the abfurd and cruel part of a judge, who hangs. ' an honeft man to day, under pretence that he fore-' fees, the honeft man will turn thief to-morrow :---* had I taken him to heaven, I fhould have rewarded. foreseen unfaithfulness with heavenly glory .- And, · had I refused to let him come into existence, my. ' refufal would have been attended with a glaring * abfurdity, and with two great inconveniencies. (1), "With a glaring absurdity: For if I forefee, that a " man will certainly bury his talent; and if, upon this forefight, I refuse that man existence, it follows, I fore faw, that a thing which thall never come to pafs, fhall certainly come to pafs. And what can be more. " unworthy of me, and more abfurd, than fuch a forefight? (2) The notion that my fore-knowledge of the man's burying his talent, should have made me-· fupprefs his existence, is big with two great incon-" veniencies. For first, I should have defeated my. sown purpose, which was to shew my distributive. " s juffice, by rewarding him, if he would be FAITE-FUL; or by punishing him, if he would continue · in his UNFAITHFULNESS. And fecondly, I should. · have broken, almost without interruption, the laws of the natural world, and nipped the man's righte-'ous posterity in the bud. Had I for instance, prevented the wickedness of all the anceftors of the. · Virgin Mary by forbidding their existence, ten times. over I might have suppressed her useful being, and, " my own important humanity. Nay, at this rate, · I might have deftroyed all mankind twenty times • over.-Drop then thy prejudices: be not wife above . what is written for thy instruction. Under pretence . 0

• of exalting free-grace, do not pour contempt upon • free-will, which is my maßter-piece in man, as man-• himfelf is my maßter-piece ia this world. Remem-• ber, that hell is the just wages, which abused free-• grace gives to free-willing, incorrigible finners ; • and that heaven is the gracious reward, with which • my free-grace, when it is submitted to, crowns the • obedience of corrigible, perfevering believers. Nor • forget, that, if thou opposent the doctrine of free-• grace, thou underminest my cross, and infultest me • as a Saviour; and if thou decryeft the doctrine of • free-will, thou sapest the foundation of my tribu-• nal, and affrontest me as a judge.'

To the arguments contained in the preceding plea, I add an extract from a difcourse written, I think, by Archbishop King, with a design to reconcile the prodestinarians and the free-willers.

Foreknowledge and decrees, fays that judicious
writer, are only affigned to God, to give us a notion of the *fteddinefs* and certainty of the divine
actions; and if fo, for us to conclude that what is
reprefented by them is inconfiftent with the contingency of events or free-will, &cc. is the fame abfurdity as to conclude, that China is no bigger than a
fheet of paper, becaufe the map that reprefents it
is contained in that compafs.'

The fame ingenious author proposes the 'argument, that has so puzzled mankind, and done so much infchief in the world. It runs thus: "If God forefee, &c. that I shall be faved, I shall infallibly to be so; and if he forefee, &c. that I shall be damned, it is unavoidable. And therefore it is no matter what I do, or how I behave myself in this life."--If God's foreknowledge were exactly conformable to ours, the confequence would seem just: but, &c. it does not follow (because our foresight of events, if we suppose it infallible, must presuppose a necesfity in them) that therefore the divine prescience must require the same necessity in order to it's being certain. It is true, we call God's foreknowledge and our

Sect. Po-

" our own, by the fame name; but this is not from any real likeness in the nature of the faculties, but · from fome proportion observable in the effects of " them : both having this advantage, that they pre-" vent any furprize on the perfon endowed with them. " Now as it is true, that no contingency of freedom in * the creatures, can any way deceive or furprife God, · put him to a lofs, or oblige him to alter his mea-· fures : fo, on the other hand, it is likewife true, that . ' the divine prescience does not hinder freedom : and a. " thing may either be, or not be, notwithstanding that " forefight of it, which we afcribe to God. When · therefore it is alledg'd, that if God forefees I shall · be faved, my falvation is infallible; this does not " follow : because the foreknowledge of God is not · like man's, which requires necessity in the event, m " order to it's being certain; but of another nature " confident with contingency; and our inability to-· comprehend this, atifes from our ignorance of the " true nature of what we call foreknowledge in God, . &c. Only of this we are fure, that in this it differs. " from ours, that it may confift either with the being, " or not being of what is faid to be forefeen, &c. Thus . St. Paul was a chofen veffel, and he reckons himfelf ' in the number of the predeflinated, Eph. i. 5. And · yet, he supposes it possible for him to mils of falvation : and therefore he looked upon himfelf as ob-· liged to use mortification, and exercise all other e graces, in order to make his calling and election " fure; left, as he tells us, that by any means, when F · have preached to others, I my felf should be a cast-away, or a reprobate, as the word is translated in other · places.

This author's important observation, concerning the difference between God's foreknowledge and ours, may be illustrated by the following remark. Hearing and fight are attributed to God, as well as foreknowledge and forefight .- He that planted the EAR, fays David, shall he not HEAR? And he that formed the EYE, shall he not SEE ? Now is it not as abfurd to measure God's PER-FECT

FECT manner of forefeeing and foreknowing, by our IMPERFECT forefight and foreknowledge, as to meafure his PERFECT manner of feeing and hearing by OUF IMPERFECT manner of doing it? If Zelotes faid, I cannot fee the inhabitants of the planets :--I cannot fee the antipodes : - I cannot fee thro" that wall :- I can fee nothing of folids but their furface, &c. therefore Gop cannot fee the inhabitants of planets, the antipodes, &c. would not his argument appear to you inconclusive? Nevertheles it is full as firong as the following, on which Zelotes's objection is founded : I cannot CERTAINLY FORESEE the FREE thoughts, and CONTINGENT intentionsof the human heart, therefore God cannot do it : I am not omniscient, therefore God is not fo. If I argued in this manner, would you not fay ?- O injudicious man, how long wilt thou measure God's powers by thine? See, if thou canft, what now paffes in my break. Nay, fee thy own back :- See the fibres which compose the fieth of thy hands, or the vapour that exhales out of all thy pores. And if these near -these prefent-these material objects are out of the reach of thy SIGHT, what wonder is it, if future contingencies are out of the reach of thy FORESIGHT? Ceafe then to confine God's foreknowledge within the narrow limits of thine, and own that an omnipresent, omniscient, and everlasting spirit, who is over all, thro' all, and in all, and whole permanent existence and boundless immensity comprehend all times and places, as the atmosphere contains all clouds and vapours-Own, I fay, that fuch a Spirit can, at one glance, see from his eternity all the revolutions of time, far more clearly than thou canft fee the characters, which thine eyes are now fixed upon. And confels, that it is the highest absurdity to suppose, that an omniprefent, omnipotent, Spiritual, and eternal EYE, which is before, behind, and in all things, times, and places, can ever be at a loss to know or foreknow any thing ? And what is God but fuch an eye? And what are divine knowledge and foreknowledge, but the fight of fuch a spiritual, eternal, and omnipresent Eye?

I do.

I do not know whether this vindication of our free-agency, of God's foreknowledge, and of the confiftency of both, will pleafe my readers: but I flatter myfelf that it will farisfy Candidus. Should it foften the prejudices of Zelores, without hardening, those of Honeftus, it will promote the reconciliation which I endeavour to bring about, and answer the end which I proposed, when I took up the pen, to throw some light upon this deep and awful part of my subject.

SECTION XX.

Zelotes's second objection to a reconciliation. That objection is taken from Prefident Edwards and Mr. Voltaire's doctrine about necessity.—The danger of that doctrine. The truth lies between the extremes of rigid bound-willers and rigid free-willers. We have liberty, but it is incomplete, and much confined.—The doctrines of power, liberty, and necessity, are cleaned up by plain descriptions; and important distinctions.— The ground of Mr. Edwards's mistake about NECES-SITY is discovered; and his capital objection against FREE-WILL is answered.

TELOTES has another specious objection to a reconciliation with Honeftus : It runs thus : OBJ. II. "Honeflus is FOR free-will, and I am " AGAINST it. How can you expect to reconcile us ? " Can you find a medium between free-will and ne-" ceffiny? Now, that we are not free-willing creatures " may be demonstrated from reason and experience. " (1) From reason: Does not every attentive mind " fee, that a man cannot help following the laft " dictate of his understanding; that fuch a dictate " is the necessary result of the light in which he " fees things; that this light likewife, is the ne-" ceffary refult of the circumstances in which he is " placed, and of the objects, which he is farrounded " with ;-and of confequence, that all is necessary ; " one event being as necessarily linked to, and " brought

" brought on by another, as the fecond link of a " chain in motion, is necessarily connected with, and " drawn on by the first link. Thus, for example, the " accidental, not to fay the providential fight of Bath-" fheba, necessarily raifed unchaste defires in David's " mind : These defires necessarily produced adultery : " And adultery, by a chain of neceffary confequences, " meceffarily brought on murder. All these events were " decreed, and depended as much upon each other, " as the lofs of a thip depends upon a ftorm, and a " ftorm upon a ftrong rarefaction or condensation of " the air. -(2) Experience flows, that we are not at " liberty to act otherwise than we do. Did you " never hear paffionate people complain, that they " could not moderate their anger? How often have " perfons in love declared, that their affections were " irrefiftibly drawn to, and fixed upon fuch and fuch " objects ? You may as foon bid an impetuous river " to ftop, as bid a drunkard to be fober, and a thief " to be honeft, 'till fovereign, almighty, victorious " grace makes them fo. " The way of man is not in " himfelf : it is not in man that walketh to direct his " fteps. Jer. x. 23."

ANS.

This very passage was urged to a friend of mine by the obdurate highwayman, who was hang'd laft year at Shrewfbury: He cited it on the morning of his execution, to execute his crimes, and to comfort himself. He had drunk so deep into the doctrine of neceffity, bound-will, and fatalifm, that he was entirely inacceffible to repentance. What pity is it, that Zelotes should countenance fo horrid a mifapplication of the scriptures ! Heated Auflin is my Zelotes in this respect. Bishop Davenant faith of him, that " be did not abbor " fate;" and to prove his affertion, he quotes the following words of that Father. " If any one attributes buman affairs" [which take in all the bad thoughts, words, and actions of men] " to FATE, " because be calls the WILL and the POWER of God by the name of " FATE, LET HIM MOLD bis fentiment, and alter bis language. " Sententiam teneat, linguam corrigat, Aug. De grat. Lib. 5. c. 1." -Is not this granting Mr. Voltaire as much FATALISM as he contends for? and guilding the FATAL pill fo pioufly, as to make it go down glib with all the rigid bound-willers in christendom?

Ans. I grant, that the way of man is not in himfelf to make his escape, when the hour of vengeance is come, and when God furrounds him with his judgments ; and that this was leremiah's meaning, in the verie which you quote to rob man of moral agency, is evident from the words that immediately precede. The pastors are BECOME BRUTISH: THEREFORE they shall not prosper, and all their flocks shall be scattered: behold the noise of the bruit [the hour of vengeance] is come, and a great commotion out of the north country, to make the cities of Judah defolate, and a den of dra-Then come the misapplied words, O Lord, I pons. know that the way of a man [to make his efcape] is not in him/elf, &c. Correct me, but with judgment, &c. left thou bring me to nothing. See verfes 21, 22, 24. -With respect to David, he had probably refifted as firong temptations to impurity, as that by which he fell: and he might, no doubt have flood, if he had not been wanting to himfelf; both before, and at the time of his temptation .- With regard to what you fay about a ftorm ; two thips of equal ftrength may be toffed by the fame tempeft, and without necessity one of them may be loft by the negligence, and the other faved by the skill of the pilot. And if we may believe St. Paul, the lives which God had given him, would have been loft, if the failors had not flayed in the fhip to manage her to the laft. Acts xxvii, 31, 34 .- You appeal to experience : but it is as much against you, as against Honestus. Experience shows that we have liberty, and thus experience is against you. Again, experience convinces us, that our liberty has many bounds, and thus experience is against Honeflus.- As to your scheme of the concatenation of forcible circumstances and events, it bears hard upon all the divine perfections. God is too wife, too good, and holy, to give us a confcience and a law, which forbid us to fin; and to place us in the midft of such forcible circumstances, as lay a majority of mankind under an absolute necessity of finning to the laft, and being damned for ever. - We are therefore endued with

а,

a degree of free-will. Thro' him who tafted death for every man, and thro' the free-gift which came upon all men, we may chuse life in the day of initial falvation: We may, by grace [by the faving grace which has appeared to all men] purfue the things that make for our peace; or we may by nature [by our own natural powers] follow after the things that make for our mifery, just as we have a mind. We cannot do all, fays one, therefore we can do nothing: We can do fomething, fays another, therefore we can do all. Both confequences are equally falfe. The truth stands between these two extremes. Besides :

The doctrine of bound-will, draws after it a variety of bad confequences. It is subversive of the moral difference, which fubfifts between virtue and vice. It takes away all the demerit of unbelief. It leaves no room for the rewardablene's of works. It firkes at the propriety of a day of judgment. It represents truth and error like two almighty cha ms, which irrefiftibly work upon the elect and the reprobates, to bring about God's absolute decrees about our good or bad works, our finished falvation or finished damnation. In a word, it fastens upon us the groffest errors of pharifaic fatalists, and the wildest delusions of antinomian golpelers.

Having thus given a general answer to the objection proposed, I remind the reader, that Mr. Edwards, Prefident of New-Jerfey college, is exactly of Zelotes's fentiment with respect to necessary or bound-will. They agree to maintain, that necessary circumstances necesfarily turn the scale of our judgment, that our judgment necessarily turns the leale of our will, and that the freedom of our will confilts merely in chuling with willing nefs what we chufe by neceffity. Mr. Voltaire also at the head of the fatalist abroad, and one of my opponents at the head of the Calvinifts in England, give us, after Mr. Edwards, this falle idea of liberty.

To fhew their mistake, I need only to produce the · Liberty cannot be where words of Mr. Locke. Z there

• there is no thought, no volition. no will, &c. So a " man striking himself or his friend, by a convul-" five motion of his arm, which is not in his power · by volition or the direction of his mind, to ftop or " forbear; nobody thinks he has liberty in this; every " one pities him, as acting by necessity and constraint. "Again, there may be thought, there may be will, " there may be volition, where there is no liberty. · Suppose a man be carried, whilst fast asleep, into · a room, where is a perfon he longs to fee, and be there locked fast in beyond his power to get out; • he awakes and is glad to fee himfelf in fo defirable · company, which he flays willingly in; that is, he * prefers his flaying to going away. Is not this flay · woluntary? I think nobody will doubt it, and yet · being locked fast in, he is NOT at liberty to stay,-· he has NOT freedom to be gone. So that liberty is · not an idea belonging to volition or preferring; but to the perfon having the POWER of doing or for-· bearing to do, according as the mind shall chuse For direct.' Effay on Hum. Und, Ch. 21.

This excellent quotation encourages me to make a fuller enquiry into the miftakes of the rigid predefiimarians, and rigid free-willers, who equally flatt from the truth that lies between them both. It is greatly to be wifhed, that the bounds of neceffity and liberty were drawn confiftently with reason, for pure, and experience. I shall attempt to do it; and if I am fo happy as to fucceed, I shall reach the center of the difficulty, and point out the very spring of the waters of firife: Honeflus will be convinced, that he has too high thoughts of our liberty: Zelotes will see, that his views of it are too much contracted: and Candidus will learn to avoid their contrary mittakes. I begin by a definition of neceffity, and of liberty.

Moral philosophers observe that necessity is that confraint upon, or confinement of the soul, whereby we cannot do a thing otherwise than we do it. Hence it appears, that, fristly speaking, there is no such thing as moral necessity. For, could we be CONSTRAINED to Sect. 20.

to do unavoidable good or evil, that good were not good, that evil were not evil. Could we be NECESSA-RILY CONFINED in the channel of virtue or of vice, as a river is confined in its bed, without any power to retard or accelerate our virtuous or vicious motions as we fee fit; our tempers and actions would lofe their morality and their immorality. To fpeak with propriety, necessary has no place but in the natural world: firicitly fpeaking, it is excluded from the moral world: for what we may and must regulate or alter, cannot poffibly be necessary or unalterable. Nevertheless I shall by and by venture upon the improper expression of moral necessary, to convey the idea of a strong, moral propensity or habit, and to point out with greater ease Mr. Edwards's mistake.

This ingenious author afferts, that, by the law of our nature, we chuse what we SUPPOSE to be, upon the whole, most eligible. I grant it is so in most cases; nevertheless I deny necessity, because there is no necessity imposed upon us to SUPPOSE, that, upon the whole, a thing is most eligible, which at first fight appears to be so to the eye of prejudice or passion; our liberty being chiefly a limited POWER to mind either the dictates of reason and conficience, or those of prejudice and passion: — to follow either the motions of the tempter, or those of divine grace. I fay a *limited power*, because our power is *incomplete*, as will appear by confidering the particulars of which our liberty does, and does not consist. And,

(1.) It does not confift in * general in a power to chufe evil and mifery as fuch. Seldom * do men, who Z 2 are

[•] I use those limited expressions because, upon second thoughts, I do not absolutely assent to Mr. Edwards's doctrine, that the will always necessarily follows the last dictate of the understanding. I now think, that in this respect Calvin's judgment deferves our close attention, ⁴⁴ Sic interdum flagitii turpitudo conscientiam urget, ut ⁴⁴ non fibi imponens sub falsa boni imagine, sed sciens et volens, in ⁴⁴ malum ruat. Ex quo affectu prodeunt iste voces, Video meli-⁴⁴ ora proboque, Deteriora sequor." Inst. Lib, 2. Cap. 2. sect. 23. —Sometimes

are yet in a state of probation-men, who are not degenerated into mere fiends, chufe evil only as evil. When we purfue fome evil, it is then generally under the appearance of fome good; or, as being of two evils the lefs confiderable; or, as leading to fome good, which will fooner or later make us ample amends for the prefent evil. For God having made us for the fupreme good, which is the knowledge and enjoyment of himfelf, he has placed in our fouls an unquenchable thirst after happines; that we may ardently feek him the fountain of true happinefs. It can hardly be faid therefore, that probationers are at liberty with respect to the capital enquiry, Who will shew us any good? We naturally defire good, just as an hungry man defires food : altho' he may fay, I do not chuse to be hungry, yet he is fo, whether he will or not

(2.) But altho' an hungry man is necessarily hungry, yet he does not eat necessarily, for he may fast if he pleases; and when he chuses to eat, he may prefer bad to wholesome food; he may take more or less of either; he may take it now or by and by—with deliberation or with greedines, as he pleases. Apply this observation to our necessary hunger or thirst after happines. All probationers necessarily ask: Who will shew us any good? But altho' they necessarily aim at happines, yet they are not necessarily aim at it in this or that way :—altho' they cannot but choose that end

-Sometimes the borrid nature of vice fo urges the conficience, that the finmer, no longer imposing upon bimself by the faile appearance of good, knowingly and willingly rushes upon evil. Hence flow these worms, I fee and approve what is good, but follow what is bad.

Since these fiberts went to the prefs, I have seen Mr. Wesley's Thoughts upon Necessity. He strongly fides here with Galvin against Mr. Edwards. For after afferting, that fometimes our first, fometimes our last judgment is according to the impressions we have received; that in some cases we may, or may not receive those impressions; and that in most, we may vary them greatly; he denies that the will necession obeys the last judgment, and affirms, that "The mind has an intrinsic power of cutting off the connexion between the judgment and the will." Sect. 20.

end, yet they are not *irrefifibly* obliged to choose any one particularly means to attain it.

Here then room is left for free-will or liberty. We may choose to go to happines, our mark, by faying, What shall we eat? What shall we drink? Wherewith f :ll we be clothed ? Who will give us corn and wine, filver and gold, worldly honours and fenfual gratifications?-Or we may fay, Who will give us pardon and peace, grace and glory? Lord, lift thou up the light of thy countenance upon us.-In a word, tho' we are not properly at liberty to choose happines in general; that choice being morally necessary to us; yet, in the day of initial falvation, we may chufe to feek happinels in ourfelves, in our fellow-creatures, or in our Creator: We may choole a way that will lead us to imaginary, and fading blifs, or to real and eternal happines: Or, to speak as the oracles of God, we may choose death or life.

This being premifed, I observe, that our liberty confitts, (1) in our being under NO NATURAL necesfity with regard to our choice of the means, by which we pursue happines; and, of confequence, with regard to our schemes and actions. I repeat it, by natural necessity I mean, an absolute want of power to do the reverse of what is done. Thus, by natural neceffity an ounce is outweigh'd by a pound; it can no ways help it; and a man, whole eyes are quite put out, cannot absolutely fee the light, should he defire and endeavour it ever fo much. Hence it appears, that, when Peter denied his Master, he was under no natural necessity fo to do; for he might have confeffed him, if he had pleafed : When the martyrs confessed Christ, they might have denied him with oaths, if they had been fo minded : and whe . David went to Uriah's bed, he might have gone to his own. There was no fhadow of natural necessity in the cafe. We may then, or we may not admit the truth or the lie, that is laid before us as a principle of action. Thus the Eunuch without neceffity admitted the truth delivered vered to him by Philip; and Eve without necessity entertained the lie, which was told her by the ferpent.

(2.) Our liberty confifts in a power carefully to confider, whether what is prefented to us as a principle of action, is a *truth* or a *lie*; left we fhould judge according to *deceitful appearances*. Our bleffed Lord, by fleadily using this power, fleadily baffled the tempter: And Adam, by not making a proper use of it, was fhamefully overcome.

(3.) It confifts in a power natural to all moral agents, to do acts of fin if they pleafe, and in a fupernatural or gracious power [bestowed for Christ's fake upon fallen man] to forbear, with fome degree of eafe, doing finful acts, † at least when we have not yet fully thrown ourfelves down the declivity of temptation and passion; and when we have not yet, by that means, contracted such strong habits, as make virtue or vice morally necessary to us.

(4.) It confifts in a gracious power to make diligent enquiry, and to apply in doubtful eafes to the Father of lights for wifdom, before we practically decide, that fuch a doctrine is true, or that fuch an action is right. Had Eve and David ufed that power, the one would not have been deceived by a flattering ferpent; nor the other by an impure defire.

But (5.) the higheft degree of our liberty confifts in a power to fulpend a courle of life entered upon; to

Principiis obsta, fero medicina paratur.

⁺ I make these exceptions for two reasons: (1) Because I am fensible of the justness of Ovid's advice to persons in love,

For if love, and indeed any other violent paffion, is not refifted at it's first appearance, it soon gets to such a height, that it can bardly be mastered, till it has had it's course: (2) Because an habit firongly rooted is a second nature. It is far easier to refrain from the first acts, than to break off inveterate habits of virtue or of vice. In such cases, powerful, uncommon impulses of grace or of temptation are peculiarly necessary to throw us out of our beaten tract. Hence the firong comparison of the prophet, Can the Ethiopian thange his skin, or the leopard his spots ? then may ye also, that are accustomed to do evil, do good—without a more than common assistance of divine grace.

to re-examine our principle, and to admit a new one, If it appear better; especially when we are particularly affifted by divine grace, or ftrongly wrought upon by temptations adapted to our weaknefs. Thus by their gracious free agency, Manaffes and the prodigal fon fuspended their bad course of life, weighed the cafe a fecond time for the better, admitted the truth which they once rejected, and from that new principle wrought righteoufnefs: while, on the other hand, Solomon, Judas, and Demas, by their natural free-agency suspended their good course of life, weighed the cafe a fecond time for the worle, admitted the lie which they once deteiled, and from that new principle wrought damnable iniquity. Is not this account of our real, tho' limited liberty, more agreeable to scripture, reafon, conscience, and experience, than the necessity maintained by Calvinific bound-willers and deistical fatalist?

] have already observed, [Equal Check, Part I. p. 24] that the feemingly contrary fystems of those gentlemen, like the two opposite half-diameters of a circle, meet in natural necessity, a central point which is common to both; Mr. Voltaire, who is the apoftle of the deiftical world, and Mr. Edwards, who is the oracle of Calvinific metaphyficians, exactly agreeing to reprefent man as a mere, tho' willing flave to the circumstances in which he finds himfelf, and to load him from head to foot, and from the cradle to the grave, with the chains of absolute necessity, one link of which he can no more break, than he can make a world. Their error, if I mistake not, springs chiefly from their overlooking the important difference there is, between NATURAL nece/ity, and what the barrennels of language obliges me to call MORAL necessity. Hence it is, that they perpetually confound REAL liberty which is always of an ACTIVE nature, with that kind of neceffity in difguife, which I beg leave to call PASSIVE liberty. Clear definitions, illustrated by plain examples, will make this plain; will unravel the myftery mystery of fatalism, and rescue the capital doctrine. of *liberty* from its confinement in mystical Babel.

(1.) A thing is done by NATURAL necessity, when it unavoidably takes place, according to the fixed laws of nature. Thus, by natural necessity, a ferpent begets a ferpent, and not a dove; a fallen man begets a fallen child, and not an angel; a deaf man cannot hear, and a cripple cannot be a fwift racer.

(2.) A thing is done by MORAL neceffity [if I may use that improper expression] when it is done by a free-agent with a peculiar degree of readiness, refolution, and determination; — from firong motives, powerful arguments, confirmed habits: and when it might nevertheless be done just the reverse, if the free-agent pleased. Thus, by a low degree of MORAL neceffity, chaste, conficientious Joseph straggled out of the arms of his master's wife, and cried out, How CAN I do this great wickedness, and fin against God? And, by an high degree of it, Satan hates holiness, God abhors fin, and Christ refused to fall down, and worship the devil.

(3.) I have observed in the second check, that Mr. Edwards's celebrated treatife, upon free-will, turns in a great degree upon a comparison between balances and the will. To flow more clearly the flaw of his performance, I beg leave to venture upon the improper, and in one fense contradictory, expression of PASSIVE liberty. By PASSIVE liberty [which might also be called MECHANICAL liberty] I mean the readiness with which just scales turn upon the least weight thrown into either of them. Now it is certain that THIS liberty [fo called] is MERE nece/fity: for two even scales necessarily balance each other, and the heavier scale necessarily outweighs the lighter. According to the fixed laws of nature, it cannot be otherwise. It is evident therefore, that when Mr. Edwards avails himfelf of fuch popular, improper expressions as these, "Good scales are free to turn either way-just balances are at liberty to rife or fall by the leaft weight," he absurdly imposes upon the moral Sect. 20,

moral world a MECHANICAL freedom or liberty, which is MERE NECESSITY. His mistake is fet in a still clearer light by the following definition.

(4.) ACTIVE liberty is that of LIVING creatures, endued with a degree of power to use their powers in VARIOUS manners: Their prerogative is to have in general the weight that turns them in a great degree AT THEIR OWN DISPOSAL. Experience confirms this observation: How many stubborn beasts, for example, have died under the repeated strokes of their drivers, rather than to move at their command! And how many thousand jews chose to be destroyed rather than to be faved by him, who faid: How often WOULD I have gathered you, Sc. and YE WOULD NOT? Hence it appears, that ACTIVE liberty subdivides itself into brutal liberty, and rational, or moral liberty.

(5.) BRUTAL liberty belongs to beafts, and RATI-ONAL OF MORAL liberty belongs to men, angels, and God. By BRUTAL liberty understand the power, that beafts have to use their animal powers various ways, according to their inftinct, and at their pleafure. By RATIONAL liberty understand the power that God, angels, and men have to use their divine, angelic, or human powers in various manners, according to their wifdom, and at their pleafure. Thus while an oak is tied fast by the root, to the spot where it feeds and grows, a horfe carries his own root along with him; ranging without necessity, and feeding as he pleases, all over his patture. While an horfe is thus employed, a man may either make a faddle for his back, a fpur for his fide, a collar for his fhoulder, a stable for his conveniency, or a carriage for him to draw : - or, leaving these mechanical businesses to others, he may think of the fcourge that tore his Saviour's back, call to mind the spear that pierced his fide, reflect upon the crofs that galled his shoulder, the stable where he was born, and the bright carriage in which he went to heaven: or he may, by degrees, fo innure himfelf to infidelity, as to call the gospel a fable, and Chrift an impoftor.

According

According to these definitions it appears, that our fphere of liberty encreases with our powers. The more powers animals have, and the more ways they can use those powers, the more BRUTAL liberty they have alfo: Thus, those creatures that can, when they pleafe, walk upon the earth, fly thro' the air, or fwim in the water, as fome forts of fowls, have a more extensive liberty than a worm, which has the freedom of one of those elements only, and that too in a very imperfect degree.

As by the help of a good horfe a rider increases his power to move fwiftly, and to go far; fo by the help of science and application, a philosopher can penetrate into the fecrets of nature, and an Archytas or a Newton can

Aerias 1 tentare domos, animo que rotundum Transmigrare polum.

Such geniufes have undoubtedly more liberty of THOUGHT than those fots, whose minds are fettered by ignorance and excess, and whole imagination can just make shift to flutter from the tavern to the playhoule, and back again .- By a parity of reason, they. who enjoy the glorious liberty of the children of God, who can in a moment recollect their thoughts, fix them upon the nobleft objects, and raife them, not only to the ftars, like Archytas; but to the throne of God, like St. Paul;-they, who can become all things to all men, be content in every flation, and even fing at midnight in a dungeon, regardless of their empty ftomachs, their fcourged backs, and their feet made faft in the flocks; they, who can command their paffions and appetites, are free from fin, and find "God's fervice perfect freedom ;"-: hefe happy people, I fay, enjoy far more liberty of HEART than the brutish men, who are fo enflaved to their appetites and paffions, that they have just liberty enough left them, not to ravish the women they set their eyes upon, and not

⁺ Soar to the ftars, and with his mind travel round the univerfe,

not to murder the men they are angry with. But altho' the liberty of God's children is glorious now, it will be far more fo, when their regenerate fouls fhall be matched in the great day with bodies blooming as youth, beautiful as angels, radiant as the fun, powerful as lightning, immortal as God, and capab'e of keeping pace with the Lamb, when he fhall lead them to new fountains of blifs, and run with them the endlefs round of celefial delights.

To return: Innumerable are the degrees of *liberty* peculiar to various orders of creatures : but no animals are accountable to their owners for the use of their powers, but they which have a pecaliar degree of knowledge. Nor are they accountable, but in proportion to the degree of their knowledge and liberty. Your horfe, for inftance, has power to walk, trot, and gallop; you want him to do it alternately, and if he does not obey you, when you have intimated your will to him in a manner fuitable to his capacity, you may, without folly and cruelty, fpur or whip him into a reasonable use of his liberty and powers: for inferior creatures are in subjection to their possessors in the Lord. But if his feet were tied, or his legs broken; and you fpurred him to make him gallop; or if you whipped a hen to make her fwim, and an ox to make him fly; you would exercise a foolish and tyrannical dominion over them. This cruel abfurdity however, or tantamount, is charged upon Chrift by those, who pretend to "exalt him" mott. They thus dishonour him, as often as they infinuate that the children of men have no more power to believe than hens to fwim, or oxen to fly; and that the Father of mercies will damn a majority of them, for not using a power, which he determined they should never have.

Some people affert, that man has a little liberty in natural, but none in *spiritual* things. I diffent from them for the following reasons. (1) All men (moasters not excepted) having a degree of the human form, they probably have also a degree of human capacity pacity-a measure of those mental powers, by which we receive the knowledge of God: a knowledge this, which no horfe can have, and which is certainly of a (piritual nature.-(2) The fame apostle, who informs us, that the natural man [fo called] the man, who quenches the spirit of grace under his dispensation, cannot know the things of the spirit of God, because they are discerned only by the light of the spirit, which he quenches or refifts-the fame apostle, I fay, declares, that What may be KNOWN of God is manifest in them (the most abandon'd heathens) for God hath shewed it unto them-so that they are without excufe; because, when they KNEW God [in fome degree] they glorified him not as God, according to the degree of that knowledge: but became brutish, besotted perfons; or, to fpeak St. Paul's language, they BECAME vain in their imaginations-they BECAME fools-their fooligh heart WAS DARKENED-WHERE-FORE God gave them up to a reprobate mind, and they were left in the deplorable condition of the chriftian apostates described by St. Jude, fenjual, having not the (pirit : In a word, they became Psychicoi * MERE animal men, the FULL reverse of Spiritual men: 1 Cor. ii. 14. Far from being the wifer for the light, that [graciously] enlightens every man who cometh into the world, they became inexcusable by changing the truth of God into a lie, and turning their light to darknefs, thro' the wrong use which they made of their liberty.

When the advocates for necessity deny man the talent of spiritual liberty, which divine wildom and grace

[•] PSYCHE is fometimes taken only for the principle of animal life: Thus, Rev. 8, 9. The third part of the fea became blood, and the third part of the creatures which were in the fea, and had Psy-CHAS, not a nature, but ANIMAL LIFE, died. Hence Calvin himfelf renders the word pfychicos, ANIMAL-MAN, tho' our translators render it NATURAL man, as if the greek word were phyficos. And upon their mistake, a wast majority of mankind are rashly represented as being abfolutely destitute of all capacity to receive the faving truths of religion.

grace have bestowed upon him, they fondly exculpate themselves, and rashly charge God with Calvinistic reprobation. For, who can think that an oyster is culpable for not flying as an eagle? And who can help fluddering at the cruelty of a tyrant, who, to fhew his fovereignty, bids all the idiots in his kingdom folve Euclid's problems, if they will not be calt into a fiery furnace? Nor will it avail to fay, as Elisha Coles and his admirers do, that, tho' man has loft his power to obey, God has not loft his power to command upon pain of eternal death: For, this is pouring poifon into the wound, which the doctrine of natural necessity gives to the divine attributes. Your flave runs a sportive race, falls, diflocates both his arms, and by that accident lofes his power or liberty to ferve you: In fuch circumstances you may indeed find fault with him, for bringing this misfortune upon himfelf; but you fhow a great degree of folly and injuffice, if you blame him for not digging with his arms out of joint : And when you refuse him a furgeon, and infift upon his thrashing, if he will not doubly feel the weight of your vindictive hand, you betray an uncommon want of good nature. But, in how much more unfavourable a light would your conduct appear, if his misfortune had been entailed upon him by one of his anceftors, who loft a race near fix thousand years ago; and if you had given him a bond stamp'd with your own blood, to assure him that your ways are equal, that you are not an auftere man, that your mercy is over all your houshold, and that punishing is your Arange work?

God is not fuch a master as the Calvinian doctripes of grace make him. For Christ's fake he is always well pleased with the right use we make of our present degree of liberty, be that degree ever so litele. For unconverted finners themselves have some liberty. Fast tied and bound as they are with the chain of their fins, like chained dogs, they may move a little. If they have a mind, they may, to a certain degree, come out of the sataa's kennel. When they A a

are pinch'd with hunger or trouble, like the prodigal fon, they may go a little way towards the bread and the cordial that came down from heaven; and when their chains gall their minds, they may give the Father of mercies to understand, that they want "the pitifulnefs of his great mercy to loofe them." Happy the fouls, who thus meet God with their little degree of power! Thrice happy they, who go to him fo far as their chain allows, and then groan with David : My belly cleaveth to the dust.-Bring my foul out of prison, that I may praise thy name! When this is the case, the captive exile hasteneth that he may be loosed : They that are thus faithful over a few things, will foon be fet over many things; they will foon experience an enlargement, and fay with the Pfalmist : Thou haft My liberty is increased. enlarged my steps under me. I will run the way of thy commandments.

The defenders of necessary are chiefly led into their error by confidering the impersection of our liberty, and the narrow limits of our powers: but they reason inconclusively who fay, "Our liberty is impersect; therefore we have none. Without Christ we can do nothing; therefore we have absolutely no power to do any thing." As fome observations upon this part of my subject, may reconcile the judicious and candid on both fides of the question; I venture upon making the following remarks.

All power, and therefore all liberty, has its bounds. The KING of England can make war or peace when he pleafes, and with whom he pleafes; and yet he cannot lay the most triffing tax without his parliament.—The power of SATAN is circumfcribed by God's power.—God's own power is circumfcribed by his other perfections: he cannot fin, becaufe he is holy; he cannot caufe two and two to make fix, becaufe he is true; nor can he create and annihilate a thing in the fame inftant, becaufe he is wife.—Our LORD's power is circumfcribed alfo. Jefus faid unto them, Verily, verily, I fay unto you, The Son can do nothing of himfelf, but what he feeth the Father do.

44

If a degree of CONFINEMENT is confistent with the LIBERTY of omnipotence itself, how much more can a degree of RESTRAINT be confistent with our natural, civil, moral, and spiritual LIBERTY? Take an infance of it : (1) With regard to NATURAL liberty. Altho' you cannot fly, you may walk-but not upon the fea as Peter did :- nor thirty miles at once as fome people do:-not one mile when you are quite fpent : -nor five yards when you have a broken leg. -(2) With respect to civil liberty. You are a free-born Englithman : neverthelefs you are not free from taxes : and probably you have not the freedom of two cities in all the kingdom. On the other hand, St. Paul is Nero's prifoner bound with a chain, and yet he fwims to shore, he gathers flicks, makes a fire, and preaches two years in his own hired house, no body forbidding him.-(3) With respect to MORAL liberty. When Nabal is in company with his fellow-fots, has good wine before him, and is already heated by drinking, he cannot refrain himfelf, he must get drunk : but might he not have done violence to his inclination before his blood was inflamed? Confcious of his weaknefs, might he not at least have avoided the dangerous company he is in, and the fight of the fparkling liquor, in which all his good refolutions are drown'd ?

Take one inftance more of the imperfect liberty I plead for. Is not what I have faid of civil, applicable to devotional liberty? You have not the power. to love God with all your heart; but may you not fear him a little? You cannot wrap yourfelf for one hour in the fublime contemplation of his glory ; but may you not meditate for two minutes on death and judgment? St. Paul's burning zeal is far above your sphere; but is not the timorous inquisitiveness of Nicodemus within your reach? You cannot attain the elevations of him who has ten talents of piety; but might you not fo use your one talent of confideration, as to gain two-four-eight-and fo on, till the unfearchable riches of Chrift are all yours? And, if Aaz · • · · ·

I may allude to the emblematic pictures of the four evangelists, may you not ruminate upon earth with the ex of St, Luke, till you can look up to heaven with St. Matthew's human face, fight against fin with the courage of St. Mark's lion, and foar up towards the fun of righteoufnefs with the ftrong wings of St. John's eagle? Did not our Lord expect as much from the pharifees, when he faid to them ? Te hypocrites, how is it that you do not difcern this [accepted] time? Yea, and why even OF YOURSELVES judge ye not what is RIGHT? Alas! how frequently do we complain of the want of power, when we have ten times more than we make use of ? How many flothfully bury their talent, and peevifuly charge God with giving them And how common is it to hear people, who none? are fincerely invited to the gospel feaft, fay, "I CAN-NOT come," who might roundly fay, if they had Thomas's honefty, "I wILL NOT believe?" The former of these pleas is indeed more decent than the latter : but is it not fhamefully evalue? And does it not amount to the following excufe: " I CANNOT come without taking up my crofs; and as I will NOT do it, my coming is morally impossible ?- a lame excufe this, which will pull down aggravated vengeance upon those, who, by making it, trifle with truth, with their own fouls, and with God himfelf.

From the whole I conclude, that our liberty, or free-agency confitts in a LIMITED ABILITY to use our bodily and fpiritual powers right or wrong at our opticn; and that to deny mankind fuch an ability is as abfurd as to fay, that a man cannot work, or beg, or fleal, as he pleases;—bend the knee to God, or to Ashtaroth;—go to the house of prayer, or to the playhouse;—turn a careless or an attentive ear to a divine message;—refuse or give credit to an awful report; flight or confider a matter of fact;—and act in a reafonable or unreasonable manner, at his option.

Is not this doctrine agreeable to the dictates of confcience, as well as to plain scripture? And when we maintain, that, as often as our free-will inclines

10

1.1

to vital godlinefs fince the fall, it is touched, tho' not necessarily impell'd by free-grace :- When we affert in the words of our Xth article, that " we have no " power to do GOOD works acceptable to God, WITH-" OUT the grace of God, by Chrift, PREVENTING" [BOT FORCING] " us that we may have a GOOD will;" do we not fufficiently fecure the honour of free-grace? Say we not as much as David does in this paffage, Thy people [obedient believers] shall, or will be willing [to execute thy judgments upon * thine enemies] in the day of thy power-i. e. in the day of thy powerful wrath? Or as we have it in the common prayers, In the day of thy power shall the p.ople offer freewill [not bound-will] offerings ?-Do we not grant all that St. Paul affirms, when he fays to the Philipplans, Work out your own falvation with fear, &c. for it is God, that worketh in you both to WILL and to DO ? 1. e. God at his own good pleafure gives you a gracious talent of will and power : Bury it not : Ufe it with fear : Lay it out with trembling; left God take it from you, and give you up to a reprobate mind?-And is it not evident, that these two passages, on which the rigid bound-willers chiefly reft their miftake, are perfectly agreeable to the doctrine of the moderate free-willers, which runs thro' all the fcriptures, as the preceding pages demonstrate ?

Rational and scriptural as the doctrine of *liberty* is, Prefident Edwards will root it up: and to fucceed in his attempt, he fetches ingenious arguments from heaven and hell.

Superos, Acherenta movendo,—He musters up all the fubtieties of logick and metaphysick, with all the refinements of Calvinism, to defend his favourite doc-A a 3 trine

• That this is the true meaning of Pf. ex. iii. is evident from the context. Read the whole Pfalm; compare it with Pf. exlix, 6. —Mal. iv. 1, 2, 3. and Rev. xix, 19, and you will fee, that the day of God's FOWER, or the day of God's ARMY, is the day of his wrath against his enemies :—a day this, which is expressly mentioned two verses after, and described in the rest of the pfalm. trine of necessary. To the best of my remembrance, a considerable part of his book may be summed up in the following paragraph, which contains the most ingenious objection of the Calvinist.

The Arminians fay, that if we act meeffarily we are neither punishable nor rewardable; becaufe we are neither worthy of blame, nor of praise. But the DEVIL, who is punished, and who therefore is blame. worthy, is NECESSARILY WICKED; he has no liberty to be good. And Gop, who deferves ten thousand times more praifes than we can give, is NECESSARILY GOOD; he has no liberty to be wicked. Hence it appears, that the reprobates may be NECESSARILY wicked like the devil, and yet may be justly punishable like him; and that, the elect may be NE-CESSARILY good like God and his angels, and yet, that they may be in their degree PRAISE-WORTHY like God, and REWARDABLE like his angels. Therefore, the doctrine of the Calvinifts is rational, as only supposing what is undeniable, namely, that NECESSARY fins may justly be punished in the reprobates; and that NECESSARY obedience may WISELY be rewarded in the elect. And, on the other hand, the doctrine of the Arminians, who make for much ado about reason and piety, is both absurd and impious :- abjurd, as it supposes, that the DEVIL is not worthy of blame, because he fins NECESSA-RILY; and impious, as it infinuates that GOD does not deserve praise, because his goodness is NECESSARY.

This argument is plaufible, and an anfwer to it fhall conclude this differtation. (1) God is enthroned in goodnels far above the region of evil; neither can be be tempted of evil; the excellence, unchangeablenels, and felf-fufficiency of his nature being every way infinite. He does not then exercife his liberty, in chufing moral good or evil; but (1) In choofing the various manners of enjoying himfelf according to all the combinations, that may refult from his unity in trinity, and from his trinity in unity:-(2) In regulating the infinite variety of his external productions;-(3) In appointin Sect. 20.

ing the boundless diverfity of rewards and punith-" ments, with which he crowns the obedience or difobedience of his rational creatures :- (4) In finding out different methods of overruling the free-agency of men and angels; and of fuspending the laws, by which he governs the material world :- And (5) in ftamping different classes of beings, with different fignatures of his eternal power and godhead; and in indulging with multifarious difcoveries of himfelf, the innumerable inhabitants of the worlds which he has created, or may yet condefcend to create.

On the other hand, the devil is funk far below the region of virtue and blifs; neither can he be tempted of GOOD, on account of his confummate wickedness, and fixed aversion to all holines. His liberty of choice is not then exercised about moral good and evil; but about various ways of doing mifchief, procuring himfelf fome cafe, and trying to avoid the natural evils, which he feels or fears.

This is not the cafe of man, who inhabits, if I may use the expression, a middle region between heaven and hell :- a region, where light and darknefs, virtue and vice, good and evil, bleffing and curfing, are yet before him, and where he is in a flate of probation, that he may be rewarded with heaven, on punished with hell, according to his good or bad works. It is then as abfurd in Prefident Edwards to confound our liberty with that of God, and of the devil; as it would be in a geographer, to confound the equinoxial line with the two poles.

A comparison may illustrate this conclusion. As the mechanical liberty of a pair of just scales confists in a power gradually to afcend as high, or to defcend as low; as the play of the beam permits: So the moral liberty of rationals in a state of probation, confists in a gracious power gradually to afcend in goodness quite to their zenith in heaven, and in a natural power to defcend in wickedness quite to their nadir in hell : to immenfely great is the play of the moral fcales! God's

God's will, by the perfection of his nature, being immoveably fixt in the height of all goodnefs, cannot floop to an inferior good, much lefs to evil: and the devil, being funk in the depth of all wickednefs, and daily confirming himfelf in his iniquity, can no more rife in purfuit of goodnefs. Thus the prefence of all wickednefs keeps the fcale of the prince of darknefs fixedly funk to the nethermost hell; while the abfence of all unrighteoufnefs keeps the fcale of the Father of lights, fixedly raifed to the highest pitch of heavenly excellence. God is then quite above, and fatan quite below a flate of probation. The one is good, and the other evil, in the highest degree of moral neceffity. Not fo man, who hovers yet between the world of light and the world of darknefs—man,

who has life and death, falvation and damnation placed within his reach, and who is called to firetch forth his hand to that which he will have, that the reward of his hands may be given him.

Nor does it follow from this doctrine, that God's goodnefs is not praife-worthy, and that Satan's wickednefs is not worthy of blame; for, altho' God is fixedly good, and Satan fixedly wicked, yet the goodnefs of God, and the wickednefs of the devil, are ttill of a moral nature; and therefore commendable and difcommendable. I mean (1) That God's goodnefs confifts in the perfect rectitude of his eternal will, and not in a want of power to do an act of injuffice; and (2) That the devils wickednefs confifts in the complete perverjenejs of his obflinate will, and not in a complete want of power to do what is right. Examples will explain this.

A rock cannot do an act of justice or an act of injustice, because reason and free-agency do not belong to a stone: therefore, the praise of justice, or the difpraise of injustice can never be wisely bestowed upon a rock. If a rock falls upon the man who is going to murder you, and crusses him to death, you cannot feriously return it thanks, because it fell without any good intention towards you; nor could it possibly help

help falling just then. Not fo the rock of ages, the parent of rationals and free-agents: He does juffice with the highest certainty, and yet with the highest liberty; I fay with the highest liberty, because, if he would, he COULD, with the greatest eafe, do what to me appears inconfitent with the feriperal description of his attributes? Could he not, for example, to please Zelotes, make "efficacious decrees" of abfolute reprobation, that he might fecure the fin and damnation of his unborn creatures ? Could he not proteft again. and again, that he willeth not primarily the death of finners, but rather that they would turn and live; when nevertheles, he has primarily, yea absolutely appointed that most of them shall never turn and live?-Could he not openly command ALL men EVERY WHERE to REPENT upon pain of eternal death; and yet keep most men every where from repenting, by giving them up to a reprobate mind from their mother's womb, as he is supposed to have done by the myriads of " poor creatures" for whom, if we believe the advocates of Calvinific grace, Chrift never procured one fingle grain of penitential grace? - Could he not invite all the ends of the earth to look unto him, and be laved, and call himfelf the Saviour of the world, and the Saviour of all men, tho' especially of them that believe fof all men, by initial fatvation; and of them that believe and obey, by eternal falvation] when yet. he determined from all eternity, that there thail be neither faviour nor initial falvation, but only a damner and finished damnation, for the majority of mankind? Could he not have caufed his only begotten Son to affume an human form, and to weep, yea bleed over oblinate finners; proteiting, that he came to farve the world, and to gather them as a hen gathers her brood under her wings; when yet from all eternity he had absolutely ordained * their wickedness and damnation.

[•] When Calvin speaks of the absolute destruction of for many nations, which [" una cum liberis corum infantibus"] regetter with their

damnation, to illustrate his glory : In a word, could he not prevaricate from morning till night, like the God extolled by Zelotes; -a God this, who is reprefented as fending his miniflers to preach the gofpel [i. e. to offer "finished and eternal falvation"] 10 every creature, when his unconditional, efficacious decree of reprobation, and the partiality of Christ's atonement, leave to multiplied millions no other prospect, but that of finished and eternal damnation? -Could not God, I fay, do all this, if he would? Do not even fome good men indirectly reprefent him as having acted, and continuing to act in that manner? Now if he does it not, when he has full power to do it; if he is determined not to fully his veracity by fuch shuffling, his goodness by such barbarity, his justice by fuch unrighteoufnefs; or to use Abraham's bold expression, if the Judge of all the earth does right, when, if he would, he could do wrong, to fet off his " fovereignty" before a Calvinistic world; is not his goodness praife-worthy? Is it not of the moral kind?

The fame might be faid of the devil's wickednefs. Tho' he is confirmed in it, is it not ftill of a moral nature? Is there any other refiraint laid upon his repenting,

their little children are involved WITBOWT REMEDY in eternal dearb by the fall, he fays that "God foreknevo their end before be made man :" And he accounts for this foreknovoledge thus 1 "He foreknevo it, be-"caufe be bad ordained it by his decree :"—a decree this, which three lines above he calls "borribly avoful :" "Et ideo proficivit, quia decreto fuo fic ordinarat."—"Decretum quidem borribile, fateor." And in the next chapter he obferves, that, "For as much as "the reprobates do not obey the word of God, we may well charge their difobedience upon the WICKEDNESS of their bearts; provided we add at the fame time, that they were dewoted to THIS WICKED-"NESS; becaufe, by the juft and unfearchable judgment of God, they "were raifed up to illustrate bis glory by their DAMNATION."— Modo fimul adjiciatur, ideo in hanc pravitatem addictos, quia "jufto, et inferutabili Dei judicio fufcitati funt, ad gloriam ejus "fua damnatione illustrandam." This Calvinifm. unmasked may be feen in Calvin's Infitutions, Third Book, Chap. 23, Sect. 7. and Chap. 24. Sect. 14.

penting, but that which he first lays himself? Could he not confess his rebellion, and suspend some acts of it, if he would? Could he not of two fins, which he has an opportunity to commit, chufe the leaft, if he were fo minded? But, granting that he has loft all moral free-agency, granting that he fins necessarily, or that he could do nothing better if he would, I aik: who brought this absolute necessity of finning upon him? Was it another devil who rebell'd 5000 years before him? You fay, No: HE brought it upon HIM-SELF by his wilful, PERSONAL, unneceffary fin: and I reply, Then he is blame-worthy for wilfully, perfonally, and unneceffarily bringing that horrible misfortune upon himfelf: and therefore, his cafe has nothing to do with the cafe of the children of men, who have the depravity of another entailed upon them, without any personal choice of their own. Thus, if I mistake not, the doctrine of *liberty*, like the bespattered fwan of the fable, by diving a moment in the lympid ftreams of truth, emerges fairer, and appears purer, for the afperfions caft upon it by rigid boundwillers and fatalist, headed by Mr. Edwards and Mr. Voltaire.

SECTION XXI.

The fourth objection of ZELOTES to a reconciliation with HONESTUS. In anfwer to it the Reconciler proves by a variety of quotations from the writings of the Fathers, and of fome EMINENT DIVINES, and by the TENTH ARTICLE of our church, that the doctrines of FREE GRACE and FREE-WILL, as they are laid down in the SCRIPTURE-SCALES, are the very doctrines of the-PRIMITIVE CHURCH, and of the CHURCH OF ENGLAND. These doctrines widely differ from the tenets of the Pelazians and ancient Semi-pelagians.

O BJECTION IV. "You have done your "best to vindicate the doctrine of moderate "free" free-willers, and to point out a middle way between "the fentiments of Honeftus and mine, or to fpeak your own language, between rigid free-willers and rigid bound willers: but you have not yet gained your end. For if you have Pelagius and Mr. Wefley on your fide, the primitive church and the church of England are for us: nor are we afraid to err in fo good company."

ANSWER. I have already observed, that, like true protestants, we rest our cause upon right reason and plain scripture : and that both are for us, the preceding fections, I hope, abundantly prove. Neverthelefs, to flow you, that the two gospel-axioms can be defended upon any ground, I shall, first, call in the greek and latin Fathers, that you may hear from their own mouth, how greatly they diffent from you. Secondly: To corroborate their teftimony, I shall show that ST. AUGUSTIN himself, and judicious Calvinifis, in their bright moments, have granted all that we contend for concerning free-will, and the conditionality of ETERNAL falvation .- And thirdly, I thall confirm the fentiment of the Fathers by our articles of religion, one of which particularly guards the doctrine of Free-will evangelically connected with, and fubordinated to Free-grace.

* * *

I. I grant, that, when St. Augustin was heated by his controversy with Pelagius, he leaned too much towards the doctrine of *Fate*; meaning by it the overruling, efficacious will and power of the Deity, whereby he *fometimes* rashly hinted that all things happen: [See the note page 259] But in his best moments he happily diffented from himself, and agreed with the other Fathers. Take fome proofs of their aversion to fatalism and bound-will, and of their attachment to our supposed "herefy." (1) JUSTIN MARTYR, who flouriss in the fecond century, fays: "Si fato fieret ut effet aut improbus aut bonus, nee " alii quidem probi effent, nec alii mali:" Apol. 2. "That

Sect. 21.

(285)

That is: If it happened by FATE [OF NECESSITY] that men are either good or wicked; the good were not good, nor should the wicked be wicked.

(2) TERTULLIAN, his co-temporary, is of the fame fentiment : "Cœterum nec boni nec mali mer-" ces jure penfaretur ei, qui aut bonus aut malus " necessitate fuit inventus, non voluntate." Tert. lib. 2. contra Marc .- No reward can be justly bestowed, no punishment justly inflicted upon him, who is good or bad by NECESSITY, and not by his own CHOICE.-In the fifth chapter of the fame book he afferts, that God has granted man liberty of choice, "ut sur " DOMINUS constanter occurreret, et bono ponte " fervando, et malo sponte vitando; quoniam et ali-" às positum hominem sub judicio Dei, opportebat " justum illud efficere de arbitrii fui MERITIS :"-That he might constantly BE MASTER of his own conduct by VOLUNTARILY doing good, and by VOLUN-TARILY avoiaing evil: because, man being appointed for God's JUDGMENT. it was necessary to the justice of God's fentence, that man should be judged according to meritis the deferts of his free-will.

(3) IRENÆUS Bishop of Lyons, who flourished alfo in the 2d century, bears thus his testimony against bound-will. " Homo vero racionabilis, et fecundum " hoc fimilis Deo, LIBER ARBITRIO factus, et su Æ " POTESTATIS, IPSE SIBI CAUSA EST ut aliquando " quidem frumentum, aliquando autem palea hat; " quapropter et juste condemnabitur." Lib. 1v. adv. Hæret. cap. 9 .- That is: Man, a reasonable being, and in that respect like God; is made FREE IN HIS WILL; and being endued with POWER TO CONDUCT HIMSELF, he is a * CAUSE of his becoming (ometimes wheat, and Jonetimes chaff; therefore will he be jUSTLY condemned .---Again, " Dedit ergo Deus bonum, &c. et qui ope-" rantur quidem illud, gloriam et honorem percipiвь " ent,

[•] According to the doctrine maintained in these pages, God is the FIRST cause of our conversion, or of our "becoming wheat." But man is the FIRST cause of his own perversion, or of his "becoming ebaff."

" ent, quoniam operati funt bonum, cum poffent non " operari illud. Hi autem qui illud non operantur, " judicium Dei nostri recipient, quoniam non sunt " operati bonum cum posent operari illud."-God gives goodness, and they who do good shall obtain honour and glory, because they have done good WHEN THEY COULD forbear doing it. And they who do it not, shall receive the just judgment of our God, because they have not done good WHEN THEY COULD do it .- Once more: " Non tantum in operibus, sed etiam in fide, LIBE-" RUM, et SUAE POTESTATIS ARBITRIUM fervavit " homini Deus." Ibid. Lib. 4. cap. 62 - God has left man's will FREE, and at HISOWN DISPOSAL, not only with regard to works, but also with regard to faith.-Nor did Ireneus fay here more than St Augustin does in this well-known fentence, " Poffe credere est om-" nium, credere vero fidelium:" To have a power to believe is the prerogative of all men, but actually to believe is the prerogative of the faithful.

(4) ORIGEN nobly contends for liberty : he grants rather too much than too little of it : He continualy recommends, Rahny Tpoaspiow, a good choice, which he frequently calls The potter TE autegroup, " the inclination of the powerful principle whereby we are masters of our own conduct." He observes that we are not at liberty to see, but [To upival-To ypnoadal The forne, The sudonnois; " to judge-to ule our power of choice, and our approbation." And in the folution of fome fcriptures, which feem to contradict one another, HE REFUTES the fentiment of those who reject the doctrine of our co-operating with divine grace, and who think, sx nuerepor epy or erral To xar' dostnr Gier, alla Tavia Selar yapır-That it is NOT OUR OWN WORK to lead a virtuous life, but that it is ENTIRELY the work of divine grace.

(5) St. CYPRIAN and LACTANTIUS speak the fame language, as the learned reader may see by turning to the fiventh book of Vossius's history of Pelagianism. No did St. BASIL dissent from them, if we may ju ge of his sentiments by the following passing, which is extracted from his 37th Homily, where where he proves that God is not the author of evil. "What is forced is not pleafing to God, but what is done from a truly wirtuous motive: and wirtue comes from the WILL, not from NECESSITY." [Hence it appears, that in this Father's account, necessity is a kind of compulsion contrary to the freedom of the will.] For (adds he) the will depends on what is WITHIN VS, and within us is FREE-will."

GREGORIUS NYSSENUS is of one mind with his brother St. Basil. For speaking of saith he fays, that it is placed " within the reach of our FREE ELEC. " TION"—And again, "We say of faith what the Gess-" prl contains, namely that He, who is begotten by spiri-" twal regeneration, knows of whom he is begotten, and " what kind of a living creature he becomes." For " spiritual regeneration is the only kind of generation, " which puts it in OUR POWER to become what we " CHUSE TO BE. Greg. Catech. Difc. Chap. 36, " and Chap. 6."

(7) St. CHRYSOSTOM is To noted an advocate for free will, that Calvin complains first of him. Part of Calvin's complaint runs thus: Habet Chryfoftomus alicubi, &c. Inft. lib. 2. Cap. 2. Sec. 4 .- That is, " St. Chryfoftom fays fomewhere, For as much as " God has put good and evil in our own power, [elec-" tionis liberum donavit arbitrium] he has given us " A FREE POWER TO CHUSE [the one or the other;] " and, as he does not retain us AGAINST OUR WILL, " fo he embraces us WHEN WE ARE WILLING." Again, " Often a wicked man, IF HE WILL, is changed into " a good man, and a good man, thro' floth, falls away I and becomes wicked; because God has endued us with FREE-AGENCY: nor does he make us do things NECES-Bb 2 SARILY

1 I have advanced feveral arguments to prove that Judas was fincere, when Chrift chofe him to the apostleship: I beg leave to confirm them by the judgment of two of the Fathers. St. Chrysoftom in his 52d Discourse fays, O Loude Brainess unes Towrow NV, Acc. That is, "Judas was AT FIRST a child of the kingdom, and "beard SARILY, but he places proper remedies before us, and fuffers all to be done ACCORDING TO THE WILL of the patient, &c. From these words of St. Chrysoftom, Calvin draws this conclusion: "Porro Græci præ "aliis, atque inter eos fingulariter Chrysoftomus, "in extollenda humanæ voluntatis facultate modum "excessiont."—That is, The greek Fathers above others, and among them especially Chrysoftom, kave exceeded the bounds in extolling the power of the human will.—Hence it appears, that, Calvin himself being judge, the Fathers, but more particularly the greek Fathers, and among them St. Chrysoftom, frongly opposed bound-will, and necession.

(8) St. AMBROSE, a latin Father, was alfo a firenuous defender of the fecond gospel-axiom, which ftands or falls with the doctrine of FREE-WILL. Take two proofs of it. "Ideo omnibus opera fanitatis "detulit, ut quicunque periret mortis suz causas "sibi adscribat; qui curari NOLUIT cum remedium "haberet quo Posset evadere." Amb. Lib. 2. de Cain et Abel. cap. 13.—That is: God affords to all the means of recovery, that whoever perishes may impute his own defruction to HIMSELF: for as much as he would not be cured when HE HAD A REMEDY whereby he MIGHT HAVE ESCAPED.—Again, commenting upon these words of Christ, It is not mine to give, &C. he fays: "Non est meum qui justitiam servo, non " gratiam.

" beard it faid to bim with the disciples, You shall fit upon twelve "thrones: but AT LAST be became a child of bell." And St. Ambrose, upon Rom. ix. 13, has these remarkable words: "Non "est personarum acceptio in presscientia Dei, &c." That is, "There is no respect of persons in Gad's foreknowledge: For prescience is that whereby be knows affuredly how the will of every man will be, in which he will continue, and by which he shall be damn'd or crowned, &c. They who, as God knows, will persover in goodness, are frequently had before: and they who, as he knows, also will be found evil at last, are fometimes good before, &c. For both Saul and "Judas were once good."-Hence it is, that he says, in another place, "Sometimes they are at first good, who afterwards become and continue evil; and in this respect they are faid to be written in the book of life, and blotted out of it."

. gratiam. Denique ad Patrem referens addidit, Quibus far stum eft, ut oftendat Patrem quoque " non petitionibus deferre folere, fed MERITIS; quit " Deus perfonarum accoptor non eft. Unde et apol-" tolus ait. Quos præscivit prædestinavit. Non enim " ante prædettinavit quam præscivit, quorum præ-" mia predestinavit." Amb. De fide. Cap. 4 .-That is, " It is not mine" [to give the next feat to my perfon] " in point of JUSTICE, for I do not Speak in " point of FAVOUR : and referring the matter to his " Father, he adds, TO THEM FOR WHOM IT IS PRE-" PARED, to from that the Father alfo" [in point of reward] " is not wont to yield to prayer, but [MERITIS] " to worthinefs ; because God" [when he acts as judge and rewarder] " is no respecter of persons. Hence it is " that the apofle fays,' THOSE WHOM GOD FOREKNEW " HE PREDESTINATED. For he did not predestinate " to reward them, before he forekneau them" [as perfons fit to be rewarded.] From this excellent quotation it appears, that St. Ambrofe maintained the two gofpel-axioms, or the doctrines of Grace and Justice-of favour and worthiness, on which hang the ELECTION OF DISTINGUISHING GRACE, and the ELECTION OF REMUNERATIVE JUSTICE, which the Calvinifts perpetually confound, and which I have explained Section xii.

(9) St. JEROM, warm as he was against Pelagius, is evidently of the fame mind with the other Fathers, where he fays: " Liberi arbitrii nos condidit Deus; " nec ad virtutes nec ad vitia necessitate trahimur: " Alioquin ubi necessitas est, nec damnatio nec corona " eft."-That is, God has endued us with FREE WILL. We are not NECESSARIEY drawn either to wirtue or to vice. For where NECESSITY rules, there is no room left either for damnation, or for the crown. - Again, in his 3d book against the Pelagians he fays; " Etiam his -" qui mali futuri funt, dari potestatem conversionis " et penitentiæ"-That is, Even to those who shall be wicked, God GIVES POWER to repent and turn to him.-Again, upon Isaiah i, "Liberum fervat arbitrium, " ut Bb3

Sect. 21.

" ut in utramque partem, non ex præjudicio Dei, " fed ex MERITIS fingulorum, vel pæna vel præmi-" um fit." Our will is kept FREE TO TURN EITHER WAY, that God may dispense his rewards and punishments, not according to HIS OWN PREJUDICE, but according to the merits [that is, according to the works] of every one.—Once more, he fays to Ctessiphon, " Frustra " blasphemas, et ignorantium auribus ingeris, nos " liberum arbitrium condemnare. Damnetur ille qui " damnat."—That is, You speak evil of us without ground; you tell the ignorant that we condemn FREEwillt: But let the man who condemns it be condemned.

When I read these explicit testimonies of St. Terom in favour of free-will, I no more wonder that Calvin should find fault with him, as well as with St. Chryfoftom. Take Calvin's own words [Inft. Lib. 2. Cap, 2. fec. 4.] " Ait Hieronimus [Dial. 3. contra Pelag. &c.] Noffrum [eff] offerre quod poffumus: illius [Dei] implere quod non poffumus."- Jerom (ays [in his third dialogue against pelagianism] It is our part to offer what we can. It is Ged's part to fill up what we cannot.-You fee clearly by these quotations adds Calvin] that they [thefe Fathers, upon the Calvinian plan, attributed to man too much power to be wirtuous." Such a conclusion naturally becomes Calwin, But what I cannot help wondering at, is that Zelozes should indifferently call all the advocates for free-will, Pelagians, when St. Jerom, who next to St. Augustin distinguished himself by his opposition to Pelagianism, is so strenuous a defender of the doctrine of free-will, in the books which he wrote against Pelagius.

(10) ÉPIPHANIUS confirms this doctrine where he fays, "Sane quidem justius a stellis, quæ necessita-"tem pariunt, pænæ repetantur, quam ab eo qui "quod agit necessitate adactus aggreditur." Epiph. advers. Hær, l. 1.—It would be more just to punish the stars, which make a wicked action NECESSARY; than to punish the man, who does that wicked action BY NE-CESSITY.—He expresses himself still more strongly in in the fame book : Speaking of the pharifees, who were rigid predefinarians, he fays: "Eft illud vero "extremæ cujufdam imperitiæ, ne dicam amentiæ, "com refurrectionem mortuorum effe fateare, ac "juftiffimum cujufque facti judicium conftitutum, "juftiffimum cujufque facti judicium conftitutum, "fatum nihilominus effe ullum afferere. Qui enim "duo ifta convenire poffunt, JUDICIUM atque FA-"tum."—That is, It is extreme ignorance, not to fay madnefs, to allow the refurrection of the dead, and a day of most righteous JUDGMENT for every action; and at the fame time to affert that there is a DESTINY: For how can thefe two agree together, a JUDGMENT and a DESTINY [Or NECESSITY?]

(11) St. BERNARD grants rather more liberty than I contend for, where he fays, "Sola voluntas, "quoniam pro ingenita libertate aut diffentire fibi, aut præter fe in aliquo confentire nullâ vi, nullâ cogitur neceflitate, non immeritò justum vel injuttum, beatitudine seu miserià dignam ac capacem creaturam constituit, prout scilicet justitiæ injustitiæ ve consenserit." Bern. De Grat. et lib. arb. -That is, The will alone can make a man DESERV-EDLY just or unjust, and can DESERVEDLY render him stit for bliss or misery, as it consents either to righteousses or to iniquity: for as much as the WILL, according to it's INNATE LIBERTY, cannot be forced to will or nill any thing against it's own distates.

(12) CYRILLUS ALEXANDRINUS upon John, Book vi. chap. 21, vindicating God's goodnefs against the horrid hints of those who make him the author of fin, as all rigid predestinarians do, fays with great truth: "The visible fun rises above our horizon, "that it may communicate the gift of it's brightness to ALL, and make it's light shine upon ALL; but if any one shuts his eyes, or WILLINGLY turns himself from the fun, REFUSING the benefit of it's light, he wants it's illumination, and remains in darkness; not thro' the fault of the fun, but the THRO' HIS OWN FAULT. Thus the true fun, who came to enlighten those that fit in darkness, "visited

" vifited the earth, that [in different manners and " degrees] he might impart 10 ALL the gift of know. " ledge and grace, and illuminate the inward eyes , of ALL &c. But many REJECT THE GIFT of this " heavenly light FREELY GIVEN TO THEM, and " have closed the eyes of their minds, left fo excel-" lent an irradiation of the eternal light, thould thine "unto them. It is not then thro' the defect of the " true fun, but only thro' THEIR OWN iniquity" [i. e. thro' their own berver le free-w ll.]-And Book i. Chap. 11, the fame Father speaking on the fame fubject fays, " Let not the world accuse the word of " God. and his eternal light ; but it's own weaknes; " for the fun enlightens, but man REJECTS THE " GRACE THAT IS GIVEN him, blunts the edge of "the understanding granted him, &c. and, as a " prodigal, turns his fight to the creatures, neglect-" ing to go forward, and thro' lazinefs and negli-

" gence [not thro' necessary and predestimation] buries " the illumination, and despises this grace."

(13) CLEMENS ALEXANDRINUS is exactly of the fame fentiment: for, calling divine word what St. Cyrill calls divine light, he fays; "The divine word "has cried; calling ALL, knowing well those that "will NOT obey; and yet, because it is IN OUR "POWER EITHER TO OBEY, OR NOT TO OBEY, that "none may plead ignorance, it has made a righteous "call, and requireth but that which is according to "the ABILITY and STRENGTH OF EVERY ONE." Clem. Alex. Strom. Book ii.

(14) THE FATHER who wrote the book De vocatione gentium, fays, "Sicut qui crediderunt juvantur "ut in fide maneant; ita qui nondum crediderunt, juvantur ut credant: Et quemadmodum illi in "SUA POTESTATE habent, ut exeant; ita et ifti in "SUA POTESTATE habent, ut exeant; ita et ifti in "SUA habent POTESTATE ut veniant."—That is: As they that have believed, are HELPED to abide in the faith; fo they that have not yet believed are HELPED to believe: and as the former have it IN THEIR POWER to go out, so the latter have it IN THEIR POWER to come in.

(15) ARNOBIUS produces this objection of an heathen, "If the Saviour of mankind is come, as you fay, why does he not fave all?" and he answers it thus: "Patet omnibus fons vitæ, &c.—That is, The foun-"tain of life is open to all, nor is any one deprived of "the right of drinking. But if thy pride be fo great, "that thou refufeft the offered gift and benefit, &c. "why doeft thou blame him (Chrift) who invites thee, "[cujus folæ funt hæ partes, ut sub TUI JURIS "ARBITRIO fructum fuæ benignitatis exponat?" "Arn. Contra gentes. Lib. 2.] "whofe full part it is to fubmit the fruit of his bounty to a CHOIC2 THAT "DEPENDS UPON THYSELF."

(16) PROSPER, although he was St. Augustin's disciple, does juffice to the truth which I maintain. For, speaking of some that fall away from holines to uncleannefs, he fays, "Non ex co neceffitatem " pereundi habuerunt quia prædestinati non sunt; " sed ideo proædestinatio non funt, quia tales futuri " ex voluntaria præ varicatione præsciti funt." Prosp. ad. obj. iii. Gall .- That is, They did not lie under a necessity of perishing, because they were not elected" [to a crown of life :] " but they were not elected" [to that seward] " becaufe they were foreknown to be fuch as " they are by THEIR VOLUNTARY iniquity."-The fame Father allows that it is abfurd to believe a day of judgment, and to deny free-will .- " Judicium " futurum (fays he) omnino non effet fi homines Der voluntate p ccarent." Prosp. ad. obj. 10. Vinc. That is, " By no means would there be a day of JUDG-MENT, if men finned by the WILL [or decree] OF Gop."- The reason is plain; If we finned thro' any neceffity laid on us by the will of God, or by predeftinating fate, we might fay like the heathen poet, " Fati ifta culpa eft : nemo fit fato nocens." It is the fault of FATE: NECESSITY excuses any one.

(17) FULGENTIUS, altho' he was alfo St. Auguftin's disciple, cuts the doctrine of bound-will by the root, root, where he fays: "Nec justinia justa dicetur, "fi puniendum reum non invenisse, sed fecisse dica-"tur. Major vero injustina, si lapso Deus retribuat pœnam, quem startem dicitur prædesinasse ad ruinam." Fulg. 1. 1. ad Mon. cap. 22.—That is, JUSTICE could not be said to be jUST, if it did not find, but made a man an offender. And the injustice would be still greater, if God, after having PREDES-TINATED a man to ruin when he stood, institled punishment upon him after his fall.

(18.) If any of the Fathers is a rigid boundwiller, it is heated AUGUSTIN : neverthelefs, in his cool moments, he grants as much free-will as I contend for. Hear him. "Nos quidem fub fato fella-" rum nullius hominis genefim ponimus, ut LIBE-" RUM ARBITRIUM VOLUNTATIS, QUO bene vel " male vivitur, PROPTER JUSTUM DEI JUDICIUM " AB OMNI NECESSITATIS VINCULO vindicemus." Aug. 1. 2. contr. Fauft. c. 5 .- That is, " We place no man's nativity under the fatal power of the flars, that we may offert the LIBERTY OF THE WILL, whereby our actions are rendered either moral or immoral, and keep it FREE FROM EVERY BOND OF NECESSITY, ON AC-COUNT OF THE RIGHTEOUS JUDGMENT of God."-Again: " Nemo habet in potestate quid veniat in " mentem ; fed confentire vel diffentire PROPRIÆ " VOLUNTATIS eft." Aug. De litera et spiritu. Cap. 34. That is, " No body ean help what comes into his mind; but to CONSENT to, or DISSENT from involuntary suggestions, is the prorogative of our over will?" . -- Once more: " Initium falutis noitræ a " Deo miferante habemus; ut acquiescamus falutiferæ

Dr. Tucker judicioully unfolds St. Augustine's thought, where the fays, 'There is a fense, in which it may be allowed on the Semi-'pelagian' [Semi-augustinian] 'or arminian plan, that grace is irresisti ble: But it is a fense that can do no manner of fervice to the cause
 of Calvinism. Grace, for instance, especially prevenient, or
 preventing grace, may be considered as a precious gift, or universal
 endow ment,

feræ infpirationi, NOSTRÆ EST POTESTATIS." De dogmatibus ecclefiafticis. Cap. 21—That is, The leginning of our falvation flows from the merciful God; but it is in our power to confent to his faving in/piration.— And what he means by having a thing in our power, he explains in these words, "Hoc quisque in suppower, he explains in these words, "Hoc quisque in suppower, testate habere dicitur, quod si vult facit, si non "vult non facit." Aug. De Spir. et lit. c. 31.— That is, Every one has that IN HIS OWN POWER, which he does IF HE WILL, and which he can forbear doing, if he wILL NOT do it.

Agreeable to this is that rational observation, which, I thick, is St. Augustin's alfo. "Si non eft "liberum arbitrium, non est quod salvetur. Si non "est gratia non est unde falvetur'—If there is no FREE-WILL, there is nothing to be faved: If there is no FREE GRACE, there is nothing whereby we may be faved. A golden saving this, which is as weighty as my motto, "If you take aw y FREE-GRACE, how "does God save the world? And if you take away "FREE-WILL, how does he JUDGE the world?

So great is the force of truth, that the fame prejudiced Father [commenting upon this text, Every man that hath this hope in him PURIFIETH HIMSELF, I John iii, 3.] does not foruple to fay: "Behold after "what manner he has NOT taken away free-will, "that the apoftle fhould fay, keepeth HIMSELF pure. Who keepeth us pure except God? But Gol keepeth thee not fo against thy will. Therefore in as much as thou joinsst thy will to God, thou keepest thyfelf pure. Thou keepest toyielf pure, not of thyfelf.

<sup>endowment, like the common gifts of health, ftrength, &c. In
which cafe the recipient muft neceffarily receive them; for he bas
not a power to refule. But after he has received them, be may
cheefe whether he will apply them to any good and falutary purpofes, or not: And on this freedom of choice refts the proper diffinction
between good and evil, virtue and vice, moral.ty and immorality.
Grace therefore muft be received; but after it is received, it may
be abufed: The talent may be hid in a napkin, and the fpirit
may be quenched, or have a defpite done to it.</sup>

" thyfelf, but by him, who comes to dwell in thee. " Yet because in this thou dost something of thine own " will, therefore is fomething also attributed to thee. " Yet fo it is afcribed to thee, that ftill thou mayft fay " with the Pfalmift, Lord, be thou my HELPER. If thou " fayit, Be thou my HELPER thou dost fomething; for " if thou dolt nothing, how does he HELP ?" Happy would it have been for the church, if St. Augustin had always done justice in this manner to the fecond, as well as to the first gospel-axiom! He would not have paved the way for Free-wrath, and antinomian Free-grace. Nor could Mr. Wefley do more juftice to both gospel-axioms than Augustin does in the following words. " Non illi debent fibi tribuere, " qui venerunt, quia vocati venerunt : nec illi qui " noluerunt venire, debuerunt alteri tribuere, fed " tantum fibi; quia ut venirent vocati, in libera erat " voluntate. Aug. lib, 83. Quœftionum. Quœft. 68 -They that came (to Chrift) ought not to impute it to themfelves, becaufe, they came being called : And they that would not come ought not to impute it to another, but only to them selves, because, when they were callea it was in the power of their FREE-WILL io come. - " Deus non " deferit nift defertus:" God forfakes no man, unlefs he be first forsaken. Here is a right dividing of the word of truth ! a giving God the glory of our falvation, without charging him with our deftruction!

Nay, St. JEROM and St. AUGUSTIN, notwithftanding their warmth against Pelagius, have not only at times, strongly maintained our remunerative election; but, by not immediately fecuring the election of distinguishing grace, they have really granted him far more than I, in conscience, can do. Take the following instances of it.

St. JEROM UPON Gal. 1. fays, "Ex Dei præscientia "evenit, ut quem scit justum suturem, prius diligat "quàm oriatur ex utero."—It is owing to God's prescience that he loves those whom he foresces will become just, before they come out of their mother's womb.—Again upon Mal. 1. he says "Dilectio et odium Dei vel "ex

« ex præscientia nascitur futurorum, vel ex operi-" bus."-God's love and hatred Springs from his foreknowledge of future events, or from our works. Nay, in bis very difpute with the Pelagians, Book iii, he declares, that God " eligit quem bonum cernit," chooses him whom he sees good. Which is entirely agreeable to this unguarded affertion of St. Augustin: " Nemo eligitur nifi jam distans ab illo qui rejicitur. " Unde quod dictum eft, quia elegit nos Deus ante " mundi constitutionem, non video quomodo fit dictum, " nisi de præscientia fidei et operum pietatis." Aug. Quceft. 2. ad Simplicianum .- That is, No body is chosen but as he already differs from him that is rejected. Nor do I fee how it can be faid, That God has chosen us before the beginning of the world, unless this be faid, with respect to God's foreknowledge of our faith and works of piety.

I call these affertions of St. Jerom and St. Augustin unguarded, because they so maintain the election of remunerative justice as to leave no room for the election of distinguishing grace, which I have maintained in my exposition of Rom. ix, and Eph, i .- An election this, which the Pelagians overlook, and which St. Paul fecures when he fays, that God chofe Jacob to the priviledges of the covenant of peculiarity, before he had done any good, that the purpose of God according to the election of fuperior grace might flan ! NOT OF WORKS, but of the superior kindness of him that calleth :- An important election this, inconfistently given up by St. Augustin, when speaking of Jacob he says in the above-quoted treatife, " Non electus eft ut fieret bonus, fed bonus factus eligi potuit!"-He was not chofen that he might become good, but being made good he could be chofen.

1 shall close these quotations from the Fathers, with one more from St. Ireneus, who was Polycarp's disciple, and flourished immediately after the apostolic age. •• Quonism omnes ejuidem funt naturæ, et potentes •• retinere et operari bonum, et potentes rursum •• amittere id, et non facere; juste apud homines C c •• fensatos. " fenfatos, quanto magis apud deum, alii quidem " laudantur, et dignum percipiunt testimonium elec-" tionis bonæ, et perseverantiæ; alii vero accusantur, " et dignum percipiunt damnum. ed quod justum et " bonum reprobaverunt." Iren. adv. Hær. Lib. iv. Cap. 74.—That is, For as much as all men are of the same nature, HAVING POWER to hold and to do that which is good, and HAVING POWER to hold and to do that which is good, and HAVING POWER again to lose it, and not to do what is right; before men of sense, and how much more before God! some are justix praised, and receive a worthy testimony, for making a GOOD CHOICE and persevering therein: while others are justly accused, and receive a condign punishment, because they REFUSED what is just and right.

If 1 am not mistaken, the preceding quotations prove: (1) That the Fathers in general pleaded for as much free-will as we contend for: -- (2) That the two champions of the doctrines of grace, Prosper and Fulgentius, and their predestinarian leader St. Augustin, when they confidered ["justum Dei judicium"] the righteous judgment of God, have [at times at least] maintained the doctrine of liberty as strongly as the rest of the Fathers: And (3) That St. Augustin himself was so carried away once by the force of the arguments and scriptures, which support the remunerative election of impartial jUSTICE, as rashly to give up the gratuitous election of distinguishing GRACE.

Should any of the above-mentioned Fathers have contradicted himfelf [as St. Augustin has done for one] I hope I shall not be charged with "gross misrepresentations" for quoting them when they speak as the oracles of God. If at any time they deviate from that blessed rule, let them defend their deviations if they can; or let Zelotes and Honessus [who follow them when they go out of the way] do it for them. I repeat it, like a true protestant I rest the cause upon right reason and plain scripture; and if I produce the fentiments of the Fathers, it is merely to undeceive Zelotes, who thinks that all moderate freefree willers are Pelagian hereticks, and that the Fathers were as rigid bound-willers as himfelf.

* * * *

II. Proceed we to confirm the preceding quotations by the testimony of fome modern divines.

(I) CALVIN fays :- " Quafi adhuc integer ftaret ho-" mo, semperapud Latinos LIBERI ARBITRII nomen " extitit. Græcos vero non puduit multo arrogantius " oforpare vocabulum : Siquidem aure Eouriov, dixe-" runt, acfi potestas suiipfius penes hominem fuisset." Inft. Lib. z. Cap. z. Sec. 4 .- " The Latin Fathers have " always retained the word 'FREE-WILL, as if man " flood yet upright. As for the Greek Fathers, they " have not been ashamed to make use of a much " arrogant expression; calling man autigouorov" [FREE AGENT, OF SELF-MANAGER :] " just as if man " had a power to govern himfelf." This conceffion of Calvin decides the quefiion. I need only observe that Calvin wrongs the Fathers when he infinuates, that they afcribed liberty to man, " as if man flood yet upright." No: They attributed to man a natural hiberty to EVIL, and a gracious, blood-bought liberty to GOOD: Thus, like our reformers, they maintained man's free-agency without derogating from God's grace. (2) BISHOP ANDREWS, a moderate Calvinift, tays: "I dare not condemn the Fathers, who almost all " affert, that we are elected and predeftinated ac-" cording to faith foreseen: That the necessity of " damnation is hypothetical, not abfolute, &c. " That God is ready and at hand to beftow and com-" municate his grace, &c. It is the fault of men " themselves, that what is offered is not actually " conferred : For grace is not wanting to us, but we " are wanting to that." And this he confirms by this paffage from St. Augustin. " All men may turn " themselves from the love of wifible and temporal things " to keep Goa's commands, IF THEY WILL; because " that light (Chrift) is the light of all mankind."

(3) The

(3) The doctrine of free-will flands or falls with the conditionality of the covenant of grace. Hence it is, that all rigid bound-willers abhor the word condition: nevertheless Mr. ROBERT, a judicious Calvinift, fees the tide of the contrary doctrine fo flrong, that he fays in his Mystery of the Bible, " Sound " writers, godly and learned, ancient and modern, " foreign and domeftic, do unanimoufly fubfcribe to " the conditionality of the covenant of grace, in the " fenfe before flated :"-a fenfe this, which Bishop Davenant clearly expresses in these words : " Peter, " notwithstanding his predestination, might have " been damn'd, if he had voluntary continued in " his impenitency; and Judas, notwithstanding his " reprobation might have been faved if he had not " voluntarly continued in his impenitency." Animadverfions, page 241.

(4) Dr. TUCKER observes, that altho' Vossi and Norris [who have each written an history of pelagianism] differ in some points; yet they " agree that St. " Augustin's [calvinian] positions were allowed by " his warmest defenders at that very time, to be litthe better than novelties, if compared with the " writings of the most antient Fathers, especially " of the greek church." Let. to Dr. Kippis. p. 79.

(5) EPISCOPIUS in his answer to Capellus, Part. 1, fays, "Augustin, Prosper, and all the other divines "of that age [quinct priorum amnium feculorum patres] "and the fathers of all the preceding ages, have not represented the grace of regeneration so special, as to take away FREE-WILL. On the contrary, they unanimously agree, that the FULL effect of regenerating grace depends in some degree on man's free-will; in so much that, this grace being imfree-will; in so much that, this grace being imfollow. I say the conjent or disfent, less fome peofield. I say the conjent or disfent, less fome peopeofield think, that I understand by free-will nothing but a certain willingnes."—The same learned author says in his Answer to Camero, Chap. vie vi. "What is plainer than that the ancient divines, "for three hundred years after Chrift, those at least who flourished before St. Augustin, maintained the liberty of our will, or an indifference to two contrary things, free from all internal or external necessive, &c. Almost all the reformed divines confess it, when they are pressed by the authority of the Fathers. Thus Melanchton, on Rom. ix, fays, Scriptores veteres omnes, pratter Augustinum, fonunt aliquam causam electionis in nobis effe." (That is) All the ancient Authors, except St. Augustin, allow that the cause of our election [to an eternal life of glory] is in some degree in ourfelves.

(6) Vossius, a divine perfectly acquainted with all the ancient christian writers, fays in the fixth book of his Pelagian history. " The Greek Fathers AL-" wAYS, and ALL the Latin Fathers, who lived be-" fore Augustin, are wont to fay, that those men are " predefinated to life" [eternal in plory] " whom God " forefaw would live pioufly and well; or, as fome " others fpeak, whom God forefaw would believe and " perfevere, &c. Which they fo interpret, that pre-" defination UNTO GLORY is made according to " God's foreknowledge of FAITH and PERSEVE-" RANCE. But they did not mean the foreknowledge " of fuch things, which a man was to do by the " power of nature, BUT BY THE STRENGTH OF " PREVENIENT AND SUBSEQUENT GRACE. There-" fore this confent of antiquity is of no fervice to " the Pelagians, or Semi-pelagians, who both hold, " that a reason of predefination in all it's effects, " may be affigned from fome thing in us. Whereas " the " orthodox Fathers acknowledge, that the " FIRST GRACE" [1. C. INITIAL SALVATION] "is not " conferred C c 3

[•] I defire the reader to take notice, that this doctrine of the abfolute freedom of prevenient grace, or initial falvation, is all along maintained in my first scale; and that if Vossius's account of the Semi-pelagians is exact, Zelotes cannot justly charge us with femipelagianism; and we have as much right to be call'd orthodox, as the Fathers themselves.

" conferred of merit [or works] but freely. So that they thought no reason FROM ANY THING IN US, could be given of predefination TO PREVENIENT GRACE."

(7) Dr. DAVENANT, Bishop of Salisbury, and one of the english divines, who were sent to the Synod of Dort, fin his ANIMADVERSIONS upon a treatife intitled "God's love to mankind," Cambridge edition, 1641. page 48] fets his feal to the preceding quotations in thefe words : " THE FATHERS, when they " confider that the wills of men non-elected do com-" mit all their evil acts FREELY, ufually fay, that " THEY HAD A POWER TO HAVE DONE THE CON-" TRARY :" And he himfelf espouses their fentiment: For fpeaking of Cain's murder, Abfalom's inceft, and Judas's treason, he fays, page 253, " All these finful actions, and the like are commit-" ted by reprobates, out of their OWN FREE ELEC-" TION, HAVING a POWER whereby they MIGHT " have abstained from committing them."-Again, page 198 he fays, " They [God's decrees] leave the " WILLS OF MEN to as much LIBERTY, as the di-" vine prescience + does. And this is the GENERAL " OPINION of divines, tho' they differ about the " manner of according man's liberty with God's " predestination."-Once more, page 326, &c. " The decree of preterition neither taketh away any " POWER OF DOING WELL, wherewith perfons non-" elected are endued, &c. Neither is it a decree " binding God's hands from giving them suffici-" ENT GRACE to do many good acts, which they " WILFULLY refuse to do, &c. The non-elect HAVE " A POWER, OF poffibility, TO BELIEVE OR REPENT at the preaching of the gofpel; WHICH POWER " MIGHT BE REDUCED INTO ACT, IF the voluntary " forwardnefs and refittivenefs of their own hearts " were

⁺ This would be true if it were fooken of the predefination which I contend for : but it is a great miftake, when it is faid of the docrine of efficacious, aboflute predefination maintained by Zelotes.

" were not the only hindering cause." Page 72, the learned Bithop grants again all that we contend for, in these words: "In bad and wicked actions of the " reprobate, their FREEDOM OF WILL is not wain; " because thereby their consciences are convicted of " their guiltiness and mildeserts, and Gop's justice " IS CLEARED IN THEIR DAMNATION. Neither is " there any indeclinable or infuperable neceffity do-" mineering over FREE-VILL, MORE THAN IN THE " OPINION OF THE REMONSTRANTS." Once more, p. 177. " Predestination [/ays he] did not compel or " neceffitate Judas to betray and fell his mafter, &c. " The like may be faid of all other finners, who " commit fuch fins upon deliberation, and fo pro-" ceed to election ;" [i. e. to chufe evil ;] " having in " themselves a natural power of understanding, " whereby they were able otherwife to have delibe-" rated, and thereupon or HERWISE to have chofen. " And we fee by experience, that traytors and adul-" terers fully bent to commit fuch wicked acts, can, " and often times do refrain putting them in prac-" tice upon better deliberation. This is a demon-" ftration, that they can chufe the doing or the for-" bearing to do fuch wicked acts."

From these quotations it appears, that when judicious and candid Calvinists have to do with judicious and learned Remonstrants, they are obliged to turn moderate free-willers, or to fly in the face of the facred writers, the Fathers, and the best divines of their own persualion.

III. Zelotes endeavours to hide his error under the wings of the church of England, as well as behind the authority of the Fathers, but with as little fuccefs. I defign to fhow his miftake in this respect, in an Effay on the 17th Article: In the mean time I shall observe, that a few years before Archbishop Cranmer drew up our articles of religion, he helped the other reformers to compose a book called The necessary doctrine

trine of a christian man, and added to it a fection upon free-will, in which free-will is defined "A power of " the will joined with reason, whereby a reasonable " creature, without confiraint, in things of reafon, " difcerneth and willeth good and evil; but CHU-SETH GOOD BY THE ASSISTANCE OF GOD'S GRACE. and EVIL OF ITSELF."-" Wherefore," adds Cran-" mer, " men be to be warned, that they do not im-" pute to God their vice or their damnation, but to " themfelves, which by FREE-WILL have abused the " grace and benefits of God .- All men be alfo to be " monifhed, and chiefly preachers, that in this high " matter, they, looking on both fides" [i. e. regard-"ing both gofpel-axiom] " fo attemper and moderate " themfelves, that neither they fo preach the grace of " God" [with Zelotes] " that they take away thereby " free-will; nor, on the other fide, fo extoll freewill [with Honeftus] " that injury be done to the grace of " God."

I grant that in the book, from which this quotation † is taken, there are fome errors, which *Cranmer* afterwards renounced, as he had done *abfolute* predefination

+ Burnet's Hift. of the Refor. 2 Ed. Part. 1. p. 291, and a pamphlet intitled A differtation on the 17th Article, &c. fornifh me with these important quotations the last feems greatly to embarrais Mr. Hill. He attempts to let it afide by urging : (1) That in The necessary erudition of a christian man, " the doctrines of the mais, transubstantiation, &c. are particularly taught as necessary to falvation."-(2) That " Bonner and Gardiner, as well as Cranmer, gave their imprimatur to it :" And (3) That "even in this book the " doctrine of predefination is not denied, but the thing itfelf clearly ad-" mitted; only it is laid down in such a manner as not to, &c. super-" fide the necessity of personal bolines." To this I answer (1) That Cranmer expreisly recanted the errors which Mr. Hill mentions, but inftead of recanting the doctrines of free-grace and free-will, he proceeded upon that very plan in drawing up our articles, and liturgy, as I fhall prove just now .- (2) That Bonner and Gardiner gave their imprimatur to this quotation, no more proves that it contains falfe doctrine, than their fubscribing to the 39 Articles fome years after thows, that our articles are heretical.-(3) We thank Mr. Hill for informing the public that the Book called THE ERUDITION OF

Sect. 21.

defination before. But, that he never varied from the doctrine of free-will laid down in the above-mentioned passage, is evident from the tenour of our articles of religion, which he penn'd, and which contain exactly the doct rine of the above-quoted lines.

Hear him, and the church of England, publicly maintaining free-grace and free-will. In the tentharticle, OF FREE-WILL, they affert, that " We have " no power to do good works pleafant and acceptable to " God, WITHOUT THE GRACE OF GOD by Chrift " PREVENTING [i, c. first visiting] us, that we " may have a GOOD will, and WORKING WITH US " when we have that GOOD will." Hence it evidently follows that WE HAVE A POWER TO DO GOOD, &c. WITH the grace of God by Chrift PREVENTING [i, c. first visiting us that we may have a good will. Let the article be thrown into the scales, and the judicious reader will eafily fee that it directly or indirectly guards the very doctrine which the Fathers maintained, and which we defend, No. 1, against Honeftus, and No. 2, against Zelotes.

1. " The condition of " man after the fall of " CANNOT turn " prepare himself by 65 HIS

2. The condition of man after the fall of Adam " Adam is fuch, that he [and the promise made to and [him] is fuch, that he CAN turn and prepare himfelf

A CHRISTIAN MAN clearly admits the doctrine of predefination, only in fuch a manner as not to superfede the necessity of bolines. This is just the manner in which we admit it after Cranmer in our 17th Article. And we argue thus : If the doctrine of free-grace and free-will admirably-well balanced by Cranmer in The Erudition of a cbriftian man, is a falle doctrine, becaufe that book contains fome papiftical errors : does it not follow, that the doctrine of a predefination confiftent with perfonal holinefs is a falle doctrine, fince [Mr. Hill himfelf being judge] fuch a doctrine is clearly admitted in that very book ?-It Mr. Hill gives himfelf time to weigh this fort answer to his pamphlet intitled "Cranmer windicated from the charge of [what he is pleased to call] Pelagianifm, by the author of Goliath flain; I make no doubt but he will fee, that Goliath, [if that word means our doctrine] far from being flain, is not fo much as wounded.

" HIS OWN NATURAL " STRENGTH, &c. 'to " faith and calling upon " God."

" have no power to do

" good works, &c, WITH-

" God by Chrift prevent-

" ing us [i. e. wifting

" us firft] that we may

" have a good will, and

" working wITH" [not

" WITHOUT]"Us, when

Wherefore we

grace

of

1: "

" our the

to faith and calling upon God, altho' NOT BY HIS OWN NATURAL STRENGTH.

2. Wherefore we have a power to do good works, &c. THRO' the grace of God by Chrift preventing us [i. e. wisting us first] that we may have a good will, and working wITH [not WITHOUT] US, when we have that good will.

"we have that good will." | Who does not fee, that there is not the leaft difagreement between thefe balanced propositions? And that, when Zelotes produces the Xth article of the church \ddagger of England to prove us hereticks, he acts as unreasonable a part as if the produced John xv. 5, to show that St. Paul was not orthodox when he wrote = Phil. iv. 12.

I. WITHOUT ME	2. I (Paul) can do all
thing. John xv. 5.	things THRO' CHRIST. ' Phil. iv. 13. This

† The Rev. Mr. Toplady makes much ado in his Hiftorical proof of the Calvinifm of our church, about fome diffenters, whom he calls free-willers, and represents as the first separatifis from the church of England. But they were rigid Pelagian-free-uvillers, and not moderate, bible-free-willers fuch as Cranmer was, and all unprejudiced church-men are. This is evident from the account which Mr. Toplady himfelf gives us of their tenets, page 54. Some of which are . as follows, " That children were not born in original fin :- That luft after evil was not fin, if the all were not committed," &c. Honeflus does not run into such an extreme : much less we, who stand with Cranmer on the line of moderation, at an equal diftance from Calvinian, rigid bound-willers, and from Pelagian, rigid free-willers. I hope this hint is fufficient to fhow, that, tho' the fimple may be frighted by the words free-willers and feparatifts, no judicions churchof-England-man will think, that he feparates from our church, when he flands to the harmonizing doctrine of free-grace and free-will, which is maintained in our Xth article, and in these pages,

Seet. 21.

This fuppofed " herefy" runs thro 'our common prayer-book. Take one or two inftances of it. In her catechifm fhe teaches every child whom fhe nurfes, to thank God for talling him to this state of falvation-i. e. to a state of initial falvation according to the christian covenant. She informs him that his duty is to love God with all his heart, and his neighbour as him/elf, &c. and then the adds: My good child, know this, that thou art not able to do thele things OF THY SELF, nor to walk in the commandments of God, WITHOUT HIS SPECIAL GRACE, which thou muft learn at all times to call for by diligent prayer, &c. Now every child, whole mind is not yet tainted with Calvinifm, understands the language of our holy mother according to the doctrine of the fcales, thus.

1. OF MYSELF I am NOT able to love God with all my heart, &c.

I. I am not able to walk in the commandments of God WITHOUT HIS SPECIAL GRACE.

1. I am in a state of INI-TIAL GRACE, and I heartily thank our heavenly Father, that he has called me to this state of falvation. 2. By God's fpecial grace I AM ABLE to love him with all my heart, &c. 2. I am able to walk in the commandments of God WITH HIS SPECIAL GRACE, "and, by God's GRACE, fo I WILL."

2. To have God's SPE-CIAL GRACE I must learn at all times to call for it by diligent prayer; according to the help afforded me in my flate of initial falvation.

This doctrine of free-grace and free-will runs alfo thro' the collects of our church. Read one of thofe which Zelotes admires most. Grant to us, Lord, we befrech thee, the fpirit [i. e. the special grace] to think and do always such things as be rightful; that we, who CANNOT DO any thing that is good WITHOUT THEE, may BY THEE BE ENABLED to live according to thy will, thro' Jefus Christ our Lord. 9th Sund. ast. Trinity. Divide the doctrine of this collect according ing to the two gospel-axioms, and you will have the following balanced propositions.

I. We cannot do any thing that is good WITH-OUT THEE, OF THY SPI-RIT.

2. BY THEE OF thy SPIRIT WE Can think and do always fuch things as be rightful.

1. We cannot but by thee live according to thy will, &c. 2. By thee we can live according to thy will, &cc.

To bring more proofs that this is the doctrine of the church of England, would be to offer an infult to the attention of her children. Nor can her fentiments on *free-will* be more clearly expressed than they are in these words of the martyr'd prelate who drew up her articles: "It pleaseth the high wisdom of God, that " man prevented [i. e. *first visited*] by his grace, " which being offered man may, IF HE WILL refuse " or receive, be also a worker BY HIS FREE CON-" SENT and obedience to the same, &c. and by " God's grace and help shall walk in such works as " be requisite to his" [continued * and final] " justi-" fication." Necess.

However, left Zelotes should object to my quoting the Necessary Dostrine of a christian man, 1 substitute for the preceding quotation one, to which he has indirectly subscribed in subscribing to the 35th article of our church. "CAST WE off all malice, and ALL "EVIL WILL; for this Spirit will never enter into "an EVIL-WILLING foul" [to bring there his SPECIAL GRACE.] "LET US cass away all the whole lump of "in that standeth about us, for he will never dwell "in a body that is subdued to fin, &c. IF WE DO "OUR ENDEAVOUR, we shall not need to fear. WE "SHALL BE ABLE to overcome all our enemies, &c. "ONLY

[•] I add the words continued and final, to guard the unconditional freenels of initial juftification and falvation; because this juftification is previous to all works on our part, and because all good works are but the voluntary [Zelotes would fay, the necessary] fruits of the free gift, which is come upon all men to justification. Rom. v. 18.

" ONLY LET US APPLY OURSELVES to accept THE " GRACE THAT IS OFFERED US. Of almighty God " WE HAVE COMFORT BY HIS GOODNESS : Of our Sa-" viour Chrift's mediation we MAY BE SURE : And " this Holy Spirit WILL SUGGEST unto us that which " fhall be wholefome, and comfort us in all things." Homily for Rog. week, Part iii.-How ftrongly are the doctrines of free-grace and free-will guarded in thefe lines! And who does not fee, that our Articles, Liturgy, and Homilies, agree to maintain the gospeimarriage of free-grace and free-will, as well as Mr. Wefley, Mr. Sellon, and myfelf?

The preceding quotations and remarks will, I hope, convince the impartial reader, that f fome few unguarded expressions being excepted] Zelotes might as well fkreen his doctrines of narrow grace, bound-will, and free-wrath, behind the Scripture fcales; as defend them by the authority of the primitive church, and the church of England.

IV. Should Zelotes think to answer the contents of this fection by faying that my doctrine is " rank Pelagiani/m :" I reply : (1) That Veffus, who w ote the hiltory of Pelagianifm, entirely clears our doctrine of the charge of both Pelagianism and Semi-pelagiani/m, as appears by the paffage which I have quoted from him, page 302 : and in this caufe, the name of Voffius 18 Legion.

(2) PROSPER in his Letter to St. Augustin, gives us this account of the principles of the Pelagians. " Prior est hominis obedientia quam Dei gratia .--" Initium falutis ex co est qui ialvatur, non ex co . " qui falvar." -- " Man's obedience is before hand with God's grace. - The beginning of Jalvation is from him that is faurd, and not from him that faves." These two propositions are grossly pharifaic, and detestable: 'I hey fet aside the first gospel-axiom ; and far from recommending them, I every where oppose to them the weights of my first scale. It would not then b: Dd

mere

more ridiculous to charge me with Crifpianity, than it is, to accufe me of Pelagianism.

(3) Bithop Davenant in his Animadverfiens, page 14 and 15, calls Fauftus Rhegienfis one of the ancient Semi-pelagians, and lays down his doctrine in the five following anti calviniftic propositions, in which reigns a confusion equal to that of Calvinism. 1. " Salus " hominis non in prædestinatione factoris, sed in " operatione famulantis collocata eft." " Man's falvation is not placed in the ELECTION of the Creator, but in the actions of the worker."-This is abfolutely falfe with respect to the election of diffinguishing grace. What had the Ephefians wrought to deferve to be elected and called to thare the bleffings of the gospel of Christ, which St. Paul calls fo great falvation ? Who can make appear, that they merited fo great a favour better than the Hottentois ?- 2 " Non est specialis circa credentes Dei munificentia." " God shows no special grace and favour to believers."-This is absolutely false also, with respect to all jewish and christian believers, to whom he gives that grace, and those talents, which he does not befrow upon the heathens who fear God and work righteousness .- 3. " Prædeftinatio ad juftitiam pertinet." " Election belongs to juffice." This also is absolutely falle, if it is underflood of the election of distinguishing grace, whereby a man receives one, two, or five talents to trade with, before he has done any thing. And it is partly falle, if it is underflood of our election to receive rewards of grace and glory: For that election belongs to rich mercy, as well as to distributive justice; it being God's mercy in Christ, which engaged him to promife penitent, obedient believers rewards of grace and glory. -4. " Nifi præscientia exploraverit, prædeltinatio " nihil decernit." " Predestination appoints nothing, unless prescience has seen a cause for the appointment."-This is falle also, if this cause is supposed to be always in us. What forefeen excellence made God predefinate the posterity of Jacob to the old covenant of peculiarity, rather than the offspring of Ejan? And

Seet: 214

And what reason can Honeftus affigh, for his being called to read the bible in a church, and not the Alcoran in a mofque ?- " Juftitia periclitabitur fifine merito indignus eligitur." "Juftice will be in danger, if an undeferving perfon is chosen without any worthinefs." This is true with regard to the remunerative election of obedient believers to crowns of glory in . the church triumphant. Therefore, when Chrift fpeaks of that election, he fays, They shall walk with me in white, FOR THEY ARE WORTHY : But it is abfolutely false, with respect to the election of diffinguifhing grace, whereby the English and Scotch arechosen to the bleffings of christianity, rather than the. Turks and Cannibals. I may therefore conclude, that, according to the accounts, which Voffius, Profper, and Bishop Davenant give us of Pelagianifm and. ancient Semi pelagianism, our doctrine is just as far from those erroneous systems, as it is from Fatali/m. and Calvinim.

SECTION XXII.

The fifth objection of ZELOTES against a reconciliation with HONESTUS. In answer to it, the Reconciler shows, that the earliest Fathers held the doctrine of the scripture-scales, and that the Rev. Mr. TOP-LADY'S HISTORIC PROOF of their Calvinism is quite ANTI-HISTORICAL.

T HE preceding fection feems to embarraís Zelotes almost as much as my *lecond* fcale; but foon recovering his usual positiveness, he endeavours to fet all the preceding quotations aside by the following objection.

OEJECTION V. "I make no great account of the Fathers, except thole who may be called aposolicy as having lived in, or immediately after, the apostolic age. Therefore, if BARNABAS, who was St. Paul's fellow-apostle;—if CLEMENT, who was bishop of the uncorrupted church at Rome;— D d 2. "CLEMENT

Sect. 22

" CLEMENT, whom the spolle mentions not only " as his fellow-labourer, but also as one, whofe name " was written in the book of life, Phil. iv. 3 .- If " POLYCARP and IGSATIUS, who were both difciples-" of the apostle St. John, who filled the episcopal " fees at Smyrna and Antioch, and, who nobly laid " down their lives for Christ, the one in the flames, " and the other in the jaws of hungry lions :- If " these early fathers, I fay, these undaunted mar-" tyrs are for us, as well as St. Augustin ; we may, " without endangering the truth, allow you, that " the generality of the other fathers countenanced " too much the doctrine of your fcales. And that " THESE Fathers were for us, is abundantly demon-" ftrated in the Rev. Mr. Toplady's Hiftoric Proof" " of Calvinifm,"

ANSWER. It is true that, when Mr. Toplady promiles us "The judgment of the earlieft fathers" concerning calvinism, he fays [Hift. proof, p. 121.] " I must repeat my question, which feems to have " given Mr. Sellon and his fraternity fo much dif-" quiet : Where was NOT the doctrine of PREDES-" TINATION before Pelagius ?" But nothing can be more frivolous than this queltion : fince I, myfelf, who oppose Calvinian predestination as much as Mr. Toplady does the fecond feripture-scale, would put the question to a Pelagian, i. e. to a rigid free-willer. To do the fubject justice, and not to mislead his unwary readers into unfcriptural tenets by the lure of afcripture-word, Mr. Toplady should have faid, " Where was NOF, before Pelagius, the CALVINIAN-" doctrine of the absolute predefination of some men to " unavoidable, eternal life, and of all the rest of man-" kind to unavoidable, eternal death, WITHOUT ANY " RESPECT to their VOLUNTARY faith and works?" For neither Mr. Sellon, nor any of " his fraternity," ever denied the predestination which St. Paul mentions. Nay, we ftrongly contend for it : See Section XIV-All we infift upon is, that the predefination, election, and reprobation taught by St. Paul, by the earlieft Fathers, and by us, are as different from the predeflination, &c. taught Sect. 22.

taught by Calvin, Zanchy, and Mr. Toplady, as the Scripture-Jcales are different from the Hifteric Proof. See our Genuine Creed. Art. vii.

We grant also that the ingenious Vicar of Broad Hembury has filled a fection with proofs, that the esrty Fathers were found Calvicilts: But, what weight have these proofs? Are they not founded (1) Upon the words OUR, WE, US and ELECT, which he fondly supposes to mean us, who are CALVINITICALLY ELECTED, in opposition to our neighbours, who, from all eternity were unconditionally and ABSO-EUTELY reprobated from eternal life?-(2) Upon fome phrases, where those Fathers mention the particular, applicatory redemption or the particular election and calling of those, to whom the Gospel of Christ is rreached; a redemption of believers, an election and a calling shele, for which I, myfelf, who am no Calvinift, have frongly contended in my answer to Mr. Hill's Creed for the Arminians ?= (3) Upon fome fentences, which being tern from the context feem to fpeak in the Calvinian Arain. ++ (4) Upon the harmlefs words wILL-PURPOSE-FEQUISITE-DECREE, &c. which are fondly supposed to demonstrate the truth of calvinian necessity, and calvinian decrees .---(5) Upon the words Brethren, - the church of Saintsthe new people-my people, which, (fuch is the force of prejudice!) Mr. Toplady imagines must mean his calvinifically-elected brethren, &c. juit as if people could not be brethren, form a christian church, be God's peculiar, new, christian people, in opposition to his old people the jews, or to those who in every nation fear God and work righteoufaels, or even in opposition to unconverted people; without the chimerical election, which drags after it the necessary damnation of all the world befides!

The truth is, that the Fathers mentioned in Zeloter's objection, followed the very fame plan of doctrine which is laid down in these pages, altho' they did not always balance the two gospel axioms with the forupulous caution and nicety, which the vain jangling of captious, contentious and overdoing divines obli-

ges

ges me to use. Mr. Toplady himself will hardly deny, that the early Fathers held the doctrine of our first scale. And, that they held the doctrine of the second, I prove by the following * extracts from their excellent epistles.

BARNABAS fays in his Catholic Epifle, 'Let us give heed unto the last days, for all the time of OUR LIFE AND FAITH SHALL PROFIT US NOTHING, IF " WE DO NOT endure unjust things, and future temp-· tations .- Let us, being spiritual, be made a PER-* FECT temple to God, AS MUCH AS IN US LIES. · Let us meditate upon the FEAR of God, and endeavour to KEEP his commandments, THAT WE * MAY REJOICE in his judgments: The Lord accepting NO MAN'S PERSON JUDGETH the world: Every " man shall receive according to HIS DEEDS. If he · be good, HIS GOODNESS GOES BEFORE HIM: If " wicked, the ways of his wickedness follow after s him. TAKE HEED LEST, at any time, BEING " CALLED, and at eafe, we do not FALL ASLEEP in our fins, and the wicked one getting power over us, " &c. EXCLUDE US FROM THE KINGDOM of the " Lord. Understand a little more; having feen the great figns and wonders among the people of THE " JEWS, and that THE LORD DOES SO LEAVE THEM; "therefore let us TAKE HEED, left haply WE BE " FOUND, as it is written, Many CALLED, FEW CHO-" SEN. That man shall jUSTLY PERISH, who HATH " KNOWLEDGE of the way OF TRUTH, and yet WILL " NOT refrain himfelf from the dark way.' Page 6, 7, 8.

I grant to Mr. Toplady that Barnabas fays, page 28, 'Thou shalt not command thy maid or man-fer-'vant WITH BITTERNESS, especially those who hope 'in

[•] Not having the original, I extract what follows of St. Clements from Mr. Welley's Christian Library, Vol. 1. The quotations from the Epistles of Barnabas, Polycarp, and Ignatius, are taken from the translation of The. Elborowe, Vicar of Chifwick. It is to be met with in his book, called A profpect of primitive christianity as it was left by Christ and his apostles; Printed in the Savoy, 1668.

Seft. 22.

' in him, LEST THOU BE FOUND DESTITUTE OF THE FEAR OF GOD, who is over both : For he came " not to call men' ito the bleffings of chriftianity] 'by their perfons,' [that is, according to the context, he came not to call MASTERS only but those whom his fpirit prepared :' [whether they be ferwants or mafters: For God called to christian liberty the devont foldiers and fervants who waited on Cornelius, as well as Cornelius himfelf; giving them equally the spirit of adoption, because they were equally prepared for it by the (pirit of conviction and bondage, which they had not received in vain.]-From the laft words of this quotation Mr. Toplady fondly infers the Calvinism of Barnabas; whereas from the words, which I have produced in capitals, it is evilent, that this apostle was as far from calvinism as St. James himfelt: For they flow that Barnabas thought, a believer could BE FOUND DESTITUTE OF THE FEAR or gon, i. e. could fo fall away into a gracelefs flate, as to make shipwreck even of THE FEAR OF GOD, only by COMMANDING A SERVANT WITH BITTERNESS.

This historic proof of Barnabas's calvinism is fo much the more furprizing, as he fays a few lines below, 'Meditate to SAVE A SOUL by the word. And * thou falt LABOUR FOR THE REDEMPTION OF THY sins .- Give to every one that afketh of thee; but KNOW WITHALL who is the GOOD RECOMPENSER OF ' THE REWARD, &c. it is therefore an excellent · thing for him who learns the righteous commands " of the Lord, &c. to WALK IN THEM: for he who " DOES THEM, fhall BE GLORIFIED is the kingdom · of God: but he who CHUSETH the other things, SHALL PERISH with his works. Therefore there is · a reforrection and a RETRIBUTION. - The Lord is " at hand, and his REWARD. I intreat you again and again, that ye be good LAWGIVERS TO YOUR-" SELVES, and that ye remain FAITHFUL COUNSEL-· LORS TO YOURSELVES, -Be ye taught of God, · feeking out what the Lord REQUIRETH FROM YOU, AND "AND DO, THAT YE MAY BE SAVED IN THE DAY " OF JUDGMENT." I fee no calvinifm in all this; but only the doctrine of the *fecond* for inpure-fcale, which all calvinifts would abhor, as they do Mr. Wefley's Minutes, if confidency belonged to their fystem.

Nor was St. CLEMENT more averie to that fcale than Barnabas : For, altho', in the excellent Epifile. which he w:ote to reconvert the wrangling Corinthians, he maintains the proteitant doctrine of faith, as clearly as our church does in her eleventh article ; yet, he as ftrongly inculcates the doctrine of works, as the does in the twelfth. Nay, he to clotely con nects faish and it's works, that what St. Paul calls faith, he does not scruple to call obedience. " By "OBEDIENCE [Jay: he] he [Abraham] went out of his "own land." .- And again : " By faith and HOSPITALI-TY was Rahab faved ." -- Hence it is, that he guards the doctrine of obedient free-will, as through as that of prevenient free grace. " Let us remember [Jays he] " the words of our Lord, Forgive, and ye shall be " forgiven .- Let them [children] learn, how great " power HUMILITY HAS WITH GOD; how much " holy LOVE AVAILS with him: how the FEAR of " him is good and great, and SAVETH ALL THOSE " who, with a pure mind, turn to him in holinefs .--" Let us AGONIZE TO BE FOUND IN THE NUMBER " of them that wait for him [God] THAT WE MAY " PARTAKE thereof : [i. e. of the things which are "prepared for them that wait for him.]"

His description of *lowe* is so highly anti-calvinistic, that it amounts even to christian perfection. "Br LOVE were all the elect of God MADE PERFECT:— No words can declare it's PERFECTION—All the generations, from Adam to this day, are passed away; but those, who wERE MADE PERFECT IN LOVE, are in the region of the just, and shall appear in glory.—LOVE † COVERETH A MULTITUDE " OF

⁺ By comparing these two sentences, it is evident, St. Clement believed and taught, that our charity not only causes us to cover the fine

" OF SINS — Happy then are we, beloved, if we "FULFIL THE COMMANDMENTS of God in the unity " of LOVE, that fo, THRO' † LOVE, OUR SINS MAY " BE FORGIVEN US. — Following the commandments " of God, THEY'SIN NOT."

So far was he from Calvinian narrownels and reprobation, that, when he exhorts the Corinthians to repentance, he does it in thele words. "Let us fix "our eyes on the blood of Chrift, and fee how pre-"cious it is before God, which, being fhed for OUR "SALVATION, BROUGHT THE GRACE OF REPEN-"TANCE TO ALL THE WORLD. Let us look dili-"gently to ALL AGES, and learn, that our Lord has "ALWAYS GIVEN PLACE FOR REPENTANCE TO ALL "WHO DESIRED to turn to him. Noah preached "RE-

fins of others ; but, in a SECONDARY fense, CAUSES also God's covering of our own fins; the FIRST CAUSE of pardon being always his free-grace in Jelus Chrift. Mr. Baxter exactly expresses St. Clement's fentiment in his comment upon these words of St. Peter, Above all things have fervent charity among yourfelves; for charity shall cover the multitude of fint. " It is but partiality [fays be] " and jealoufy of the caufe of juffification against the papifts, which " makes fome excellent expositors DISTORT this text, so AS TO " EXCLUDE from it's fenfe God's COVERING OF OUR SINS; " because they confider not aright-(1) That pardon as continued, " and as renewed, has more for the condition of it required in us, . than the first pardon and begun justification has. The first act of " found faith ferveth for the beginning, but the continuance of it" [of found faitb] ' with it's necessary fruits,' [love, &c.] ' is neces-fary to the continuance of pardon.-(2) That the faith, which ' is required to juffification and pardon, is giving up ourfelves to 'God the Father, Son, and Holy Ghoft in the baptismal covenant: " That is, our christianity, which is not put in opposition to that " leve, or repentance, which is fill implied as part of the fame cove-" nant-confent, or as its necessary fruit; but to the works of the law " of Mofes, or of works, or to any works, that are fet in competition with Chrift and free-grace. It prejudice hindered not men ; " the reading of the angel's words to Cornelius, and of Chrift's" [forgive and ye fall be forgiven,] 'and the parable of the par-doned debtor, caft into prifon for not pardoning his fellow-fervant, " with Jam. ii, and Mat. xvv, would end all this controverfy,"-O Clement ! O Baxter ! what have ye faid ? Are ye not as Heterodox, as the Author of the Minutes and their vindicator ?

"REPENTANCE, and they who harkened to him, "were SAVED. Jonah denounced defination upon "the Ninevites; yet they, REPENTING OF THEIR "SIN'S, APPEASED GOD BY THEIR PRAYERS, and RECEIVED SALVATION, ALTHO' THEY WERE "STRANGERS TO THE COVENANT OF GOD.-"Wherefore let us, &c. turn ourfelves to his mercy."

In all this I fee no more Calvinifm, than I do in Mr. Wefley's Minutes. However Mr. Toplady's Hiftoric Proof is gone forth: and it is now demonfirated, that St. CLEMENT was an orthodox, and a found Calvinift; while the author of the Minutes is a heretic, and almost every thing that is bad! O folifidianifm ! is thy influence over those who drink of thy enchaoting cop fo great, that they can prove, believe, and make people believe almost any thing ?

By the fame frivolous arguments Mr. Toplady attempts to evince the Calvinism of Polycarp, whose epifile, in fome places, is rather too much anti-calvinifical. Reader judge for thy felf, and fay which of Calvin's peculiarities breathe thro' the following paffages of his epifile to the Philippians, page 2. "Who [Chriff] fhall come to judge the quick and " the dead, and whose BLOOD God will firstly " REQUIRE AT THE HANDS of those, who DO NOT "BELIEVE ON HIM. But he, who raifed him from " the dead, will raife us up also, IF WE DO HIS " WILL, and WALK IN HIS COMMANDMENTS, &c. " remembring what the Lord faid, teaching in this " wife, Judge not THAT YE BE NOT JUDGED: " Forgive, and IT SHALL BE FORGIVEN yeu: Be " merciful, THAT YE MAY OBTAIN MERCY: In " what measure ye mete, IT SHALL BE MEASURED " TO YOU again, &c. These things, Brethren, I " write unto you CONCERNING RIGHTEOUSNESS."

Polycarp, far from recommending the Calvinian imputation of Chrift's righteousness, openly fides with those who are reproached as Perfectionists in our days: For in the next page he fays: "If any man "is possessed of these [faith followed by hope, and led "on " ON by /200 HE HATH FULFILLED THE COMMAND " OF RIGHTEOUSNESS. He who is POSSESSED OF " LOVE IS FREE FROM ALL SIN .- Let us arm our-" felves with the armor of RIGHTEOUSNESS, and " teach ourfelves in the first place to WALK IN THE " COMMANDMENTS of the Lord :- from whom [/ays he in the next page " IF WE PLEASE HIM IN THIS " WORLD, we shall receive a" [or the] " future RE-" WARD: for he has engaged for us, to raile us from " the dead ; And IF WE HAVE OUR CONVERSATION " WORTHY OF HIM, we shall also reign with him, " as we believe."-Nor is he ashamed to urge the practice of good works from a motive, which Zelotes would call downright popery. For after obferving, that " Paul, and the reft of the apostles, have not " ron in vain, but in faith and righteoulnels; and " having obtained the place DUE unto them, are " now with the Lord, &c." he adds, " When ye can " do good, do not defer it, for ALMS DELIVERETH " FROM DEATH."-If Mr. Welley faid this, he would be an herefiarch: Polycarp fays it; but no matter: Polycarp is a famous martyr; and therefore he must be a found Calvinift.

And fo must lowATIUS, who, from the fame motive is prefied into the fervice of the caluinian doctrines of grace. To show that Mr. Toplady is mistaken, when he afferts that Ignatius was calvineftically-orthodox, [need only prove that Ignatius enforced the SECOND gospel-axiom, as well as the FIRST. And that he did fo, is evident from the following quotations. He writes to the Smyrneans. "Let all things abound " among you IN GRACE, for YE ARE WORTHY. Ye " have every way refreshed me, and Jefus Chrift will " refreih you. Ye have loved me, &c. God will " requite you; and IF YE PATIENTLY ENDURE all " things for his fake, YE SHALL ENJOY HIM .- Be-" ing PERFECT yourfelves, mind the things which " are perfect. For IF YE HAVE BUT A W LL TO DO " GOOD, God is ready to Assist you."-H. writes to Polycarp. " The more the labour is, the more " the

" the gain .- It is NECESSARY FOR US PATIENTLY to " endire all things for God, THAT HE MAY PATI-" ENTLY BEAR WITH US .- Minifters of God, do " things pleafing to him, &c. whole foldiers ye are, " from whom YE EXPECT YOUR SALARY. Let none " among you be found a deferter of his colours. Let " your baptifm arm you: Let faith be your helmet, " love your spear, patience your whole armour, and " YOUR WORKS YOUR gage [your depentum] THAT " YE MAY RECEIVE A REWARD WORTHY OF YOU. " - When ye shall have dispatched this busines, " THE WORK SHALL BE ASCRIBED TO GOD, and TO " you"-| according to the doctrine of free-grace and free-will. --- And, at the end of his letter, he exhorts the prefbyters, and Polycarp, to write edifying letters to the neighbouring churches, " that " ye may all be GLORIFIED BY an eternal WORK, AS " THOU ART WORTHY."

To the Ephefians, whom he calls "ch. & BY REAL SUFFERINCS," as well as "thro' the will of God," he writes: "Keeping the melody of God, which is unity, ye fhall with one voice glorify the Father by Jefus Chift, THAT HE MAY ALSO HEAR YOU, and acknowledge you, BY WHAT YOU DO, to be the members of his Son. So that it is profitable for you to continue in immaculate unity, THAT YE MAY ALWAYS BE PARTAKERS CF GOD.—KEEP YOURSELVES in all purity and temperance, both in flefh and fpirit thro' Jefus Chrift."

To the Mognef.ans he fays: "All WORKS have "fome END: Two [ends] are propounded, DEATH and LIFE; and every man shall go to HIS PROPER place" [thro' bis works of faith or unbelief.]

To the Trallians indeed he writes: "FLY there-"fore evil plants [atheifls and infidils] which bring "forth deadly fruit, which IF A MAN TASTES OF, HE DIES PRESENTLY. For the/e are not the plantation of the Father; if they were, they would appear branches of the crojs, and their just would be INCORRUPTIBLE?" [OF 12ther, NOT ROITEN, NOT SUND.]

sound.] Mr. Toplady depends much on the latter part of this quotation: But all we fee in it, is, that Ignatius believed, none are actually plants of righteoufnefs, but they who actually APPEAR fuch, by actually bearing good fruit, which he calls apgapros, in opposition to rotten fruit : for if the word oferpe, means to spoil, to corrupt, to rot, apgaptos means as well not rotten, as incorruptible : and that it means fo here, is evident, from the motive urged by Ignatius in the context, to make the Trallian believers fly from these evil plants-these atheistical apostates: " If a man," that is, if any one of you, believers [for unbelievers, being dead already, have no fpiritual life to lofe] " If a man TASTES their deadly fruit, HE DIES PRESENTLY ;" fo far is he from being fure to recover, and fing louder in heaven if he apostatizes, and FEASTS for months upon their deadly fruit! This important claufe renders the quotation altogether AN-TI-calvinifical, especially if we compare it to a fimilar caution which this very Father gives to the Ephefians, " Let no one among you be found an herb of the " devil : Keep yourfelves in all furity, &c." That is, Let none of you apoftatize by taffing the deadly fruit of thefe evil plants, which have apoftatized. Both quotations evidently allude to thefe words of Jeremiah, Chap. ii. 21. I had planted thee a noble vine, wholly of right feed : How then ART THOU TURNED into the DEGENERATE PLANT of a frange wine ! Both are throagly anti-calvinitical: and yet the former is pr duced by Mr. Toplady as a proof of Calvinifm ! Need I fay any more to make Zelotes himfelf cry out? Logica Genewenfis!

From the whole I hope, that unprejudiced readers will fubscribe to the following remarks.—(1) Barnabas, Clement, Polycarp, and Ignatius undoubtedly held the first gospel-axiom, or the godly, scriptural doctrine of free-grace: So far we agree with Mr. Toplady. But to prove them Fathers after his own heart, this gentleman should have proved, that at least by necessary confequence they rejected the second gospel-

axiom,

axiom, which neceffarily includes our doctrines of moderate free-will, of the works of penitential faith, and of the reward of eternal falvation annexed to the unnecessitated, voluntary obedience of faith .- (2) If Mr. Toplady difmembered the Equal Check, and broke the Scripture-Scales; taking what I advance against the proper merit of works, and in defence of freegrace; producing my arguments for the covenants of peculiarity, and for the election of distinguishing grace; and carefully concealing all that I have written in favour of affifted free will, and evangelical morality : -If Mr. Toplady, I fay, followed this method, in those two pieces only he would find a great many more proofs of Calvinifm, i. e. of mangled, immoral, antinomian christianity, than he has found in all the writings of the earlieft Fathers, to whom he fo confidently appeals.-(3) We must then still go down fo low as the fourth or fifth century, before we can find Calvin the first, I mean HEATED St. Augustin. And how inconfistent a Calvinist cool St. Augustin was, has already been proved. I therefore, flatter myfelf, that Mr. Toplady's ANTI-historic proof of the Calviniim of the primitive church, will no longer keep Zelotes from a fcriptural reconciliation with Honeftus, But I fee, that the time is not yet come; for he turns over two octavo volumes, and prepares another weighty objection, which the reader will find in the following lection.

SECTION XXIL

ZELOTES'S fixth objection to a reconciliation with Ho-NESTUS. The reconciler anfwers it by flowing :-(1) That the evangelical marriage of Free-grace and Free-will, reflects no diffeonour upon Ged's Sovereignty:-(2) That Mr. Toplady's grand argument against that marriage, is inconclusive :-(3) That Mr. Whitefield's "inextricable dilemma," in favour of Calvinian election and reprobation, is a mere sophism :- — And (4) That Zelotes's jumble of FREE-WRATH, and UNEVANGELICAL FREE-GRACE, pours REAL contempt upon All the divine perfections; Sovereign-TY itfelf not excepted.

O BJECTION V. 'If you are not a Pela-'gian, are you not a fecret atheift? Do you not indirectly un-god Jehovah? You want me to meet Honeftus half way: but if I meet him where you are, fhall not I meet him on the brink of an horrible precipice? Are you not an oppofer of God's Sovereignty, which fhines as glorioufly among his other perfections, as the moon does among the ftars? Is not a God without Sovereignty as contemptible as a king without a kingdom? And can you reconcile your arrogant doctrine of Free will, with the fupreme, abfolute, irrefiftible power, by which God works all things after the counfel of H13 own will? Hear the Calvin of the day—the champion of the doctrines of grace:

" For this (atheism) also arminianism has paved " the way, by defpoiling the divine Being among " other attributes, of his unlimited fupremacy, of " his infinite knowledge, of his infallible wildom, " of his invincible power, of his abfolute indepen-" dency, of his eternal immutability. Not to ob-" ferve, that the exempting of fome things and events " from the providence of God, by reterring them " to free-will, &c. is another of those black lanes, " which lead, in a direct line, from Arminianism to " Atheifm. Neither is it at all furprizing, that any, " who reprefent men as Gods (by fuppoing man to " posses the divine attribute of independent felf-" determination) should, when their hand is in it, " represent God himself with the impersections of a " man, by putting limitations to his fovereignty, by " fuppoling his knowledge to be fhackled with cir-" cumfcription, and darkened with uncertainty; by " connecting their ideas of his wildom and power " with the poffibility of disconcertment and disap-Ee 2 " pointment,

Sect. 23,

" pointment, embarasiment and defeat; by transfer-" ring his independency to themfelves, in order to " fupport their favourite doctrine which affirms, that " the divine will and conduct are dependent on the " will and conduct of men ; by blotting out his im-" mutability, that they may clear the way for con-" ditionat, variable, vanquishable, and amissible " grace; and by narrowing his providence, to keep " the idol of Free will upon its legs, and to fave hu-" man reafon from the humiliation of acknowledging. " her inability to account for many of the divine dif-" pofals, &c. Who fees not the atheiftical tendency. " of all this? Let Arminianism try to exculpate her-* felf from the heavy, but unexaggerated indictment : " which if the cannot effect, 'twill be doing her no. " injuffice to term her Atheism in masquerade." The Rev. Mr. Toplady's HISTORIC PROOF. page 728, &c.

Answer. If this terrible objection had the leaft degree of folidity, I would inftantly burn the checks and the fcripture-fcales; for I truft, that the glory of God is ten thousand times dearer to me than the fuccefs of my little publications. But I cannot take bare affertions, ground efs infinuations, and bombaffic charges for folid proofs. In a mock-fea-fight, cannons may dreadfully roar; but no mafts are flot away, no fhip is fent to the bottom. And that, in this polemical broad-fide, the weight of the ball (if there is any) does not answer to the noise of the explosion, will appear, I hope, by the following answers.

I. (1) This objection is entirely levelled at the fecond foripture-fcale, which is made of fo great a variety of plain foriptures, that, to attempt to fet it afide as leading to athei/m, is to endeavour fetting afide one half of the doctrinal part of the bible as being atheiffical: And if fo confiderable a part of the bible is atheiffical, the whole is undoubtedly a forgery. Thus Zelotes, rather than not to cut down what he is pleafed to call arminiani/m, fells one half of the trees, that grow in the fruitful garden of revealed vealed truth, under pretence that they are productive of *atheifm*; and, by that means, he gives infidels a fair opportunity of cutting down all the reft.

(2) Zelotes is greatly miftaken if he thinks, that the free-agency we plead for, abfolutely croffes the defigns of him, who works all after the counfel of his own will : For, if part of THIS COUNSEL is, that man fhall be a FREE agent, that life and death, heaven and hell, fhall be let before him; and that he shall eternally have either the one or the other, according to HIS OWN choice :- if this is the cafe, I fay, God's wildom cannot be disappointed, nor his sovereign power baffled, be man's choice whatever it will: Becaufe God defigned to manifest his sovereign wis-DOM and POWER in the wonderful creation, wife government, and righteous judging of free-agents; and not in overpowering their will, or in deftroying their free-agency; much lefs in fubverting his awful tribunal, and in obscuring all his perfections to place one of them [Sovereignty] in a more glaring light.

(3) I grant, that the doctrine of *free-will*, evangelically affitted by Free grace, [not calvinifically overpowered by forcible grace or wrath;]—I grant, I fay, that this doctrine can never be reconciled with the doctrine of an *un/criptural*, *tyrannical* Sovereignty, which Zelotes rafhly attributes to God, under pretence of doing him honour: But, that it is perfectly confiftent with the awful, and yet amiable views, which the fcriptures give us of God's real Sovereignty, is, I hope, abundantly proved in the preceding pages. To the arguments which they contain, I add the following illuftration.

If a king, wifely to try, and juffly to reward, the honefty of his fubjects, made a flatute, to enfure particular rewards to thief-catchers, and particular punifhments to thieves; would it be any disparagement to his wifdom, power, supremacy, and sovereignty, if he did not neceffitate, or absolutely oblige, fome of his fubjects to rob, and others to catch them in the E e 3 robbery; robbery; left he fhould not order the former for infallible execution, and appoint to the latter a gravitous reward? Would not our gracious Sovereign be injured by the bare fuppofition, that he is capable of difplaying his *fupreme* authority by fuch a pitiful method? And fhall we fuppofe, that the King of kings —the Judge of all the earth, maintains his righteous Sovereignty by a fimilar conduct?

(4) We perpetually affert, that God is the only first cause of all good; both natural and moral; and thus we ascribe to him a Sovereignty worthy of the Parent of good. If we do not aireally with the MANI-CHEES, or indireally with the CALVINISTS, represent God as the FIRST CAUSE of EVIL, it is merely because we dare not attribute to him a diabolical Supremacy. And we fear, that Zelotes will have no more thanks, for giving God the glory of predefinating the reprobates necessarily to fin on, and be damn'd; than I should have, were I to give our Lord the stameful glory of feducing Eve in the stape of a lying ferpent, left he should not have the glory of being, and doing ALL IN ALL.

(5) We apprehend, that the doctrine of the Scales [i. e. the doctrine of free-will, evangelically fubordinate to free grace or to just-wrath] perfectly fecures the honour of God's greatness, supremacy, and power; without dishonouring his goodness, justice, and veracity. It feems to us unferiptural and unreasonable to fuppofe, that God fhould eclipfe thefe, his MORAL perfections [by which he chiefly proposes himself to us for our imitation] in order to fet off thofe, his NATU-RAL perfections. A grim tyrant, a Nebuchadnezzar is praifed for his greatnels, fovereignty and power : But a Titus, a prince who deferves to be called the darling of mankind, is extoll'd for his goodness, justice, and veracity. And who but Satan, or his fubjects, would fo over-value the praise given to a Nebuchadnezzar, as to flight the praise bestowed upon a Titus? Was not Titus as great a Potentate as Nebuchadnezzar and Darius, tho' he did not like them make tyrannical

Sect. 27.

cal decrees to affert his power, and then execute them with wantoa cruelty, or with abfurd mourning; left he should lose the praise of his Sovereignty and immutability, before a multitude of mistaken decretifts?

II. Having, I hope, broken the heart of Zelotes's objection by the preceding arguments; it will not be difficult to take in pieces his boatted quotation from Mr. Toplady's Historic Proof; and to point out the flaw of every part.

(1) " Arminianiim pawes the way for atheilm by defpoiling the divine Being of his unlimited SUPREMACY." No: it only teaches us, that it is abfuri to make God's jupremacy bear an undue proportion to his other perfections. Do we despoil the king of his manly shape, because we deny his having the head of a giant, and the body of a dwarf? (2) " Of his infallible WISDOM." No; God wi/ely made free-agents, that he might wifely judge them according to their works : and it is one of our ob ections to the modern doctrines of grace, that they "defpoil God of his WISDOM" in both these respects. --- (3) " Of his invincible power." No: God does whatever pleafes him, in heaven, earth, and hell. But reason and fcripture tellify, that he does not chuse to fet his invincible power against his unerring wildom, by overpowering with faving grace, or damning wrath, the men whom he is going judicially to reward or punish. -(4) " Of his abjolute independency." Abfurd! when we fay that the promifed reward, which a general bestows upon a foldier for his gallant behaviour in the field, depends in some measure upon the foldier's gallant behaviour; do we defpoil the general of his independency with respect the foldier? Must the general to show himto felf independent, NECESSITATE fome of his foldiers to fight, that he may foolifhly promote them; and others to defert, that he may blow their brains out with Calvinian independence ?---(5) " Of his eternal immutability :" No: when we affert, that God juftifies men according to their faith, and rewards them according to their good works; or when we fay, that he

he condemns them according to their unbelief, and punifhes them according to their bad works; do we intimate that he betrays the leaft degree of mutability? On the contrary, do we not hereby represent him as faithfully executing his eternal, immutable decree of judging and treating men according to their works of faith, or of unbelief?—See the Genuine Creed. Art. viii.

Mr. Toplady goes on : (6) " The exempting of some " things and events from the providence of God, by re-" ferring them to free will, &c. is another of those " black lanes, which lead in a direct line from arminia-" nifm to athei/m."-This is a miftake all over. By the doctrine of moderate free-will we exempt NO event, or thing, from the providence of God: For we maintain, that, as God's power made free-will, fo his providence rules, or over-rules it in ALL things. Only we do not believe, that ruling, or over-ruling, implies necessitating, overpowering, or tricking, when judgment, punishments, and rewards are to follow. Our doctrine therefore, is a lightfome walk, which leads to the right knowledge of God, and not one of those black lanes which lead in a direct line from Calvinian election, to "Mr. Fuljome's" prefumption; and from Calvinian reprobation, to Francis Spira's despair.

(7) Arminianism "represents men as Gods, by supposing man to posses the DIVINE attribute of INDEPEN-DENT self-determination."—OUR doctrines of grace suppose no such thing: On the contrary; we affert that obedient free-will is ALWAYS DEPENDENT upon God's Free-grace; and disobedient Free-will, upon God's Just wrath:—This charge of Mr. Toplady is therefore absolutely groundless.—(8) Arminianism "repre-"sents God himself with the impersections of a man, by "pusting LIMITATIONS to his SOVEREIGNTY."—This is only a repetition of what is absurdly faid No. 1, about God's "unlimited supremacy."—(9) It "sup-"poses his knowledge to be shackled with circumscription, "and darkened with uncertainty." It supposes no such thing:

٠

thing : See page 257. On the contrary : One of our great objections to Calvinism is, that it to shackles God's infinite KNOWLEDGE, as to defpeil him of the knowledge of future contingencies, or of those events which depend upon man's unneceffitated choice; abfordly fuppoing that God knows what he abfolutely decrees and no more. If events were UNDE-CREED, fays Mr. Toplady in his Hift. Proof, p. 192, they would be UNFOREKNOWN: if unforeknown, they COULD NOT be infallibly predicted .- How came Goa to foreknow man's fall, lays Calvin [nift quia fic ordinarat] but because he had appointed it ? Thus Calvin and Mr. Toplady, in one fense, allow less foreknowledge to God, than to a stable-boy : For, without decreeing any thing about the matter, a postilion knows that, if the horfe he curries, gets into his mafter's garden, fome of the beds will be trampled; and that, if a thief has an opportunity of taking a guinea without being feen, he will take it. See pages 253, 257.

(10) The Arminians " connect their ideas of God's " wildom and power, with the poffibility of discon-" concertment and disappointment, embarassment and de-" feat." No fuch thing : See page 256. We maintain that God, in his infinite wildom and power, has made free-agents, in order to display his goodness by rewarding them, if they believe and obey; or his infice by punishing them, if they prove faith lefs and disobedient. Which of the two therefore comes to pais, God is no more disconcerted, disappointed, embaraffed, &c. than a lawgiver and judge, who acquits or condemns criminals according to his own law, and to their own works.-(11) What Mr. Toplady fays in the next lines, about the Arminians " transferring indepen-" dency to them/elves, in order to support their favourits " doctrine, which affirms, that the divine will and con-" duct are DEPENDENT on the will and conduct of men :" -and what he adds about their blotting out God's immutability, and narrowing his providence, to keep the idol of free-will upon its legs, is a mere repetition of what

Sect. 23.

what is answered in No. 4, 5, 6, 7. This elegant tautology of Mr. Toplady may make some of his admirers wonder at the *surprizing wariety* of his arguments; but attentive readers can see thro' the rhetorical vail.

What that gentleman fays of " conditional, variable, vanquishable, and amissible grace," is verbal duft, raifed to obfcure the glory of the fecond gospel-axiom, to hide one of the fcripture-fcales, and to fubflitute over-bearing, necessitating grace, and free, unprovoked wrath, for the genuine grace and just wrath mentioned in the gospel. Let us however dwell a moment upon each of these epithets. (1) "CONDITIONAL grace :" We affert [according to the first axiom] that the grace of initial falvation is UNCONDITIONAL: And [according to the fecond axiom] we maintain that the grace of eternal falvation is CONDITIONAL; excepting the cafe of complete idiots, and of all who die in their infancy. If Mr. Toplady can disprove either part of this doctrine; or, which is all one, if he can overthrow the *lecond* gospel-axiom, and break our left scale, let him do it .- (2) " VARIABLE grace :" We affert that grace, as it is inherent in God, is INVARIABLE. But we maintain, that the di/plays of it towards mankind are various; afferting that thefe displays of it which God grants in a way of REWARD, to them that faithfully use what they have, and properly afk for more, may and do VARY, according to the variations of faithful or unfaithful Free-will; our Lord himfelf having declared, that to him that hath to purpose, more shall be given; and that from him that hath not to purpose, even what he hath shall be taken away. - (3) " VANQUISHABLE grace:" To call God's grace vanquishable is absurd; because Chrift does not fight men with grace, any more than a phyfician fights the fick with remedies. If a patient will not take his medicines, or will not take them properly, or will take poifon alfo, the medicines are not wanguished, but despised, or improperly taken. This does not flow the weakness of the medicines, but the Sect. 23.

the perversenels of the patient. Nor does it prove, that the dying man is ftronger than his healthy phyfician; but only, that the phyfician will not drench him, as a farrier does a brute. If Mr. Toplady afferts the contrary, 1 refer him to page 76, &c. And, pointing at Chrift's tribunal, I afk : Could the judge of all the earth wifely and equitably fentence men to eternal life, or to eternal death, if he first drenched them with the cup of finished falvation, or finished damnation? --- (4) " AMISSIBLE grace :" Why cannot evangelical grace be lost, as well as the celestial and paradijaical grace which was bestowed upon angels and man before the fall? Is a diamond lefs precious for being amiffible ? Is it any difgrace to the fun, that thousands of his beams are lost upon the drones who fleep away his morning light? Or that they are abused by all the wicked, who dare to fin in open day? If divine grace is both forcible and inamifible, what fignify the apostolic cautions of not receiving it in vain, and of not doing despite to the spirit of grace?-In a word, what fignifies our fecond gospel-scale, with all the scriptures that fill it up?

To conclude: If those scriptures clearly demonstrate the doctrine of a free-will, always subordinate either to free-grace, or to just wrath; when Mr. Toplady calls that Free-will an "idol," does he not inadvertently charge God with being an idol maker, and reprefent the facred writers, as fupporters of the idol which God has made? And when that gentleman fays, that we "keep the idol of free will upon its " legs, to fave human reason from the humiliation of ac-" knowledging her inability to account for many of the di-" vine disposals ;" does he not impose bound will and Calvinian reprobation upon us, just as the Bithop of Rome imposes transubstantiation upon his tame underlings; that is, under pretence that we must humbly fubmit our reason to the divine declarations, decrees. or disposals? Just as if there were no difference between popish declarations, or Calvinian decrees, and " DIVINE disposals !"- Just as if the bare fear of regarding

garding reason, were fufficient to drive us from all the rational foriptures, which fill our fecond scale into all the absurdities, and horrors of Free-wrath, and finished damnation !

And now fay, candid reader, if I may not juffly apply to the calvinian doctrines of grace, a part of what Mr. Toplady rafhly fays of "Arminianifm?" "Let" Calvinifm "exculpate her elf from the heavy, "but unexaggerated indictment: which, if fhe eannet" "effect, 'twill be doing her no injustice to term her" [I fhall not fay "Athei/m in masquerade," but] an irrational, and unscriptural fystem of doctrine.

III. 'Not fo:' [replies Zelotes] 'If you have " answered Mr. Toplady's argument, You cannot fet · afide Mr. Whitefield's dilemma in his letter to Mr. . Welley. To me at leaft, that cilemma appears · abfolutely unanfwerable. It runs thus :'-" Surely " Mr. Wefley will own God's juffice in imputing " Adam's fin to his potterity; and alfo, that after " Adam fell, and his pofferity in him, God might " JUSTLY have PASSED THEM ALL BY, without " fending his own Son to be a Saviour for ANY ONE. " Unlefs you do heartily agree in both thefe points, " you do not believe original fin aright. If you " do own them, you must acknowledge the doctrine " of ELECTION and REPROBATION to be HIGHLY " JUST and REASONABLE. For if God might juffly " impute Adam's fin to all, and afterwards have " paffed by all, then he might juftly pais by some. " Turn to the right hand, or to the left, you are " reduced to an INEXTRICABLE DILEMMA."- See Mr. Whitefield's sworks: VOL. iv. p. 67.'

ANSWER. We own God's juffice in imputing Adam's fin seminally to his pofferity, because his posterity finned seminally in him, and was in him seminally corrupted. And we grant, that, in the loins of Adam, we SEMINALLY deferved all that Adam himfelf PERSONALLY deferved. So far we agree with Mr. Whitefield; maintaining, as he does, that, by our fallen nature in Adam, we are all children of awrath; and and that, as foon as our first parents had finned, God might justly have fent them, and US IN THEIR LOINS, into the pit of destruction: much more "might he justly have passed us ALL by, without fending his own Son to be a Saviour for ANY ONE." Therefore Mr. Whitefield has no reason to suspect, that we deny the scripture-doctrine of original fin.

This being premised, we may eafily see, that the great flaw of the "inextricable dilemma" confists, in confounding our SEMINAL state with our PERSO-NAL state; and in concluding, that what would have been just, when we were in our SEMINAL state in the loins of Adam, must also be just in our PERSONAL state, now we are out of his loins. As this is the main spring of Mr. Whitesfield's mistake, it is proper to point it out a little more clearly. Let the following propositions form the pointer.

1. The wages of fin is death, yea, eternal death, or damnation .- 2. The wages of fin perfonally, and consciously COMMITTED, is damnation personally and confcioufly suffered .-- 3. The wages of fin feminally and unknowingly committed is damnation, feminally and unknowingly fuffered .- 4. When Adam had perfonally and confcioufly finned; God would have been just, if he had inflicted upon him the perfonal and confcious punishment, which we call damnation,-(5) When we had feminally and unknowingly finned in Adam, God would have been just if he had inflicted a seminal and unfelt damnation upon us for it : for then our punishment would have borde a just PRO-PORTION to ouroffence. We should have been punished as we had finned, that is, feminally, and without the least confciousness of pain or of los.

But, is it not contrary to all equity, to punish a fin *feminally* and unknowingly committed, with an eternal punishment, perfonally and knowingly endured ? For what is Calvinian REPROBATION, but a dreadful decree, that a majority of the children of men shall be PERSONALLY bound over to confcious, necesfary, and eternal SIN'; which fin shall draw after it confcious, necessary, and eternal DAMNATION? Ff Hence it appears that Calvinian predefination to death. is horrible in its end, which is PERSONAL, NECES-SARY, and ETERNAL torments confcioufly endured : but much more horrible in the means which it appearts to fecure that end, namely FERSONAL, REMEDILESS SIN;-fin NECESSARILY, UNAVOIDABLY, and RTEE-NALLY committed: And all this, merely for a fin SEMINALLY, UNKNOWINGLY, and UNCONSCIOUSLY committed; and [what is ftill more horr.ble] for a fin, which God himfelf had abjolutely tredestinated, if the doctrine of Calvinian predefination, or of the ABSOLUTE * NECESSITY of events is scriptural.- It is true, Zelotes fays, that altho' reprobates are abfolutely reprobated merely for the fin of Adam, yet they are damned merely for their own. But this evafion only makes a bad matter worfe; for it intimates that Freewrath fo flamed against their unformed perfons, as to determine that they should absolutely be formed, not only to be NECESSARILY and ETERNALLY MISERABLE. but alfo to be NECESSARILY and ETERNALLY GUILry: which is pouring as much contempt upon divine goodnefs, as I fhould pour upon Phineas's character, if I afferted, that he contrived, and abfolutely fecured the filthy crime of Zimri and Cofby, that, by this means, he might have a fair opportunity of infallibly running them both thro' the body.

An illustration may help the reader to understand how hard the ground of Mr. Whitefield's dilemma bears upon God's equity. I have committed an horrid murder: I am condemned to be burned alive for it: My fentence is just: Having perforally and conficiently finned without necessity, I deferve to be perfonally and conficiently tormented. The judge may then, without cruelty, condemn every part of me to the

• Wickliff used to fay, "ALL things that happen, do come AB-SOLUTELY of NECESSITY." Hift. Proof p. 191. And Mr. Toplady, after taking care to diftinguish, and set off the words all, al folutely, and necessity, fays in the next page, "I agree with him at to the NECESSITY OF EVENTS,"

the flames; and the unbegotten posterity in my loins may juftly burn with me, and in me; for with me and in me it has finned as a part of myself. Nor 15 it a great misfortune for my potterity to be thus punifhed; becaufe it has as little knowledge and feeling of my punishment, as of my crime .- But fuppole the judge, after reprieving me, divided and multiplied me into ten thousand parts; Suppose again, that each of these parts necessarily grew up into a man or a woman; would it be reasonable in him to fay to feven or eight hundred of these men and women, ' You were all SEMINALLY guilty of the murder · committed by the man whom I reprieved, and from " whole loins I have extracted you: And therefore " my mercy paffes you by, and my justice abfolutely " reprobates your PERSONS. I force you into REME-DILESS circumstances, in which you will all NECES-' SARILY commit murder; and then I shall have as · fair an opportunity of UNAVOIDABLY burning you " for your own UNAVOIDABLE murders, as I have had " of abfolutely reprobating you for the murder com-" mitted by the man, from whom your wretched ex-' iftence is derived.'-Who does not fee the injustice and cruelty of fuch a speech?-Who, but Zelotes, would not blush to call it a gracious speech, or a " dostrine of grace?"-But if the perfons, whom I suppose extracted from me, are reprieved as wellas myself: if we are put all together in remediable circumstances, where fin indeed abounds, but where grace abounds much more, supposing we are not unneceffarily, voluntarily, and obitinately wanting to ourselves; who does not see, that, upon the PERSO-NAL commission of avoidable, voluntary murder [and much more upon the perfonal refufal of a pardon fincerely offered upon reasonable conditions] my posterity may be condemned to the flames as juilly as myfelf?

If this illustration exactly represents the deplorable cafe of Calvinian reprobates, who, barely for a fin which they feminally committed, are supposed to be per-

Ef 2.

perfonally bound over first to unavoidable perfeverance in fin, and next to unavoidable and eternal damnation; will not all my unprejudiced readers wonder to hear Mr. Whitefield affert, that the calvinian doctrine of REPROBATION is "highly just and reasonable?"

What! replies that good, mistaken man, will not "Mr. Wesley own, that God might justly have passed all Adam's posterity by, without fending his own Son to be a Saviour for any one?" ANSWER: God forbid we should ever imagine, that God was bound to fend his Son to die for any man! No: God was no more bound to redeem any man, than he was bound to create the first man; redemption as well as creation entirely flowing from rich, and every way undeferved grace.

"Then you give up the point, fays Zelotes; for "THERE IS NO MEDIUM between God's refusing to fend his Son to redeem a part of Adam's posterity, and his passing a fentence of Calvinian reprobation upon them.—Now, if he could justly refuse to fend his Son to fave ANY, he could justly refuse to fend him to fave SOME, and therefore he could justly reprobate fome, i. e. predestinate them to a remediles state of fin, and of consequence to unavoidable damnation."

This fophiftical argument probably mifled Mr. Whitefield. But the " MEDIUM" which he could not fee, the MEDIUM which spoils his " inextricable dilemma," the door at which we readily go out of the prison, where Logica Genevenfis fancies the has confined us, may eafily be pointed out, thus: If God had not entertained gracious thoughts of peace, mercy, and redemption towards all mankind; if he had defigned abfolutely and unconditionally to glorify nothing but his vindictive justice upon a number of them, for having SEMINALLY SINNED in Adam, he might undoubtedly have passed them by; yea, he might have feverely punished them. But, as I have observed, in this cafe he would have punished them equitably, that is, sE-MINALLY. He would have crushed guilty Adam, and with Sect. 23.

his OMNISCIENCE, if he cannot foreknow future contingencies? If to foretel without a miftake, that fuch a thing will happen, he muft neceffitate it, or do it himfelf? Was not Nero as wife in this refpect? Could not he foretel that Phebe fhould not continue a virgin, when he was bent upon ravifhing her? That Seneca fhould not die a natural death, when he had determined to have him murdered? And that Cri/pus fhould fall into a pit, if he obliged him to run a race at midnight in a place full of pits? And what old woman in the kingdom could not precifely foretel that a filly tale fhould be told at fuch an hour, if fhe were refolved to tell it herfelf, or, at any rate, make a child do it for her?

" Again, What becomes of God's LOVING-KIND-NESSES, which have been ever of old towards the children of men? And what of his IMPARTIALITY, if most men, absolutely reprobated for the fin of Adam, are never placed in a flate of perfonal trial and probation? Does not God use them far lefs kindly than he does devils, who were tried every one for himself, and remain in their diabolical state, because they brought it upon themfelves by a perfonal choice? Aftonifing! That the Son of God fhould have been flesh of the flesh, and bone of the bone of millions of men, whom, upon the Calvinian fcheme, he never indulged fo far as he did devils! What an hardhearted relation to myriads of his fellow-men, does Calvin represent our Lord? Suppose Satan had become our kin/man by incarnation, and had by that means got the right of redemption : would he not have acted like himfelf, if he had not only left the majority of them in the depth of the fall, but enhanced their mifery by the fight of his partiality to the elect?"

"Once more, What becomes of FAIR DEALING, if God every where represents fin as the dreadful evil which causes damnation, and yet the most horrid fins work for good to some, and as P. O. intimates "accomplish their falvation thro' Christ?"—And what of HONESTY, if the God of truth himself promises, that all all the families of the earth shall be bleffed in Christ, when he has curfed a valt majority of them, with a decree of absolute reprobation, which excludes them from obtaining an interest in him, even from the foundation of the world?"

"Nay what becomes of his SOVEREIGNTY itfelf, if it is torn from the mild and gracious attributes by which it is tempered? If it is held forth in fuch a light, as renders it more terrible to millions, than the fovereignty of Nebuchadnezzar in the plain of Dura appeared to Daniel's companions, when the form of his wi/age was changed against them, and he decreed that they should be cast into the burning. fiery furnace? for, they might have faved their bodily. life by bowing to the golden image, which was a thing in their power; but poor Calvinian reprobates can escape at no rate: the horrible decree is gone forth; they must, in spite of their best endeavours, dwell body and foul with everlasting burnings."

To these queries taken from the Third Check, I now add those which follow. What becomes of God's infinite Power, if he cannot make Free agents, or creatures endued with Free-will? And what of his boundlefs WISDOM, if, when he has made fuch creatures, he knows not how to rule, overrule, reward, and punifh them, without necessitating them, that is, without undoing his own work-without deftroying their Freeagency, which is his master-piece in the universe? --- Nay, what would become of the divine IMMUTA-BILITY, about which Zelotes makes fo much ado, if God, after having fuspended in all + the Scriptures the reward of eternal life, and the punishment of eternal death, upon our unnecessitated works of faith and unbelief; he fo altered his. mind in the day of judgment, as to fulpend heavenly thrones, and infernal racks, only upon the good works of Chrift, and the bad works of Adam; thro' the necessary medium of faith and holineis, absolutely forced.

+ See the Scriptural Effay. Equal Check, page 96, &c.

Sect. 23.

forced upon fome men to the end; and thro' the meceffary means of unbelief and fin, abfolutely bound upon all the reft of mankind?—And, to conclude, how fhall we be able to praise God for his INVARIA-BLE FAITHFULNESS, if his fecret will and public declarations are at almost-perpetual variance; and if Zelotes's doctrines of grace tempt us to complain with the poet,

[‡] Nelcio quo teneam mutantem Protea nodo; instead of encouraging us to fay with David, For ever O Lord, thy word is SETILED in heaven. Thy FAITH-FULNESS is unto all generations?

If Zelotes cannot answer these queries in as rational, and scriptural a manner, as his objections have, I trust, been answered; will not the Calvinian doctrines of UNSCRIPTURAL free-grace and EVERLASTING FREE-WRATH appear to unprejudiced persons, as great enemies to the divine perfections, and to the fincere milk of God's word; as Virgil's Harpies were to the Trojan Hero, and to his richly-spread tables? And is there not some resemblance between the Diana and Hecate whom I unmask, and the petty goddesses whom the poet describes thus?

Peftis

1 " He is like Proteus : I know not bow to bold Lim :"-whether by his SECRET WILL, which has abfolutely predefinated millions of men to neceffary fin and eternal damnation; or by his REVEAL-ED WILL, which declares, that he willet not primarily that any man fould perifs, but that all flould be eternally faved, by working out their faboration, according to the talent of will and power, which he gives to every man to profit withal.

§ 'Tis hard to fay whether they are goddeffes or fowls obfcene. However they are as ugly and dangerous Appearances, as ever afcended from the Stygian lake. They have faces like virgins, hands like birds claws, and an intolerably-filthy locsenefs! As for their body, it is invulnerable; at leaft you cannot wound it; they fo nimbly fly away into the clouds; leaving the food which they greeday tore, polluted by their defining touch. Peftis et ira deûm Stygiis fele extulit undis. Virginei volucrum vultus, fædiffima ventris Proluvies, uncæ que manus :—nec vulnera tergo Accipiunt : celeri que fugâ fub fidera lapíæ, Semefam prædam, et vestigia fæda relinqunt.

SECTION XXIV.

Zelotes's last objection against a reconciliation with Honeftus. In answer to it, the Reconciler shows, by warious illustrations, that the scriptures do not contradict themselves in holding forth first and second causes—primary and subordinate motives; and that the connexion of Free-grace with Free-will is properly illustrated by the scriptural emblem of a marriage; this relation exactly representing the conjunction and opposition of the two gospel axioms, together with the pre-eminence of Free-grace, and the subordination of Free-will.

I F you compare the prejudice of Zelotes against Honeftus to a strong cattle, the objections which fortify that castle, may be compared to the rivers which were supposed to surround Pluto's palace. Six of them we have already crossed; one more obstructs our way to a reconciliation, and, like Phlegeton, it warmly runs in the following lines:

OBJECTION VII. "When king Joram faid to Jehu, Is it prace? Jehu anfwered, What peace, jo long as the whoredoms of thy mother Jezebel are jo many? And what peace can I make with Honeftus and you, fo long as ye adulterate the gofpel, by what you call the evangelical marriage, and what I call the monftrous mixture of FREE-GRACE and FREE-WILL? I cannot, in confcience, take one flep towards a reconciliation, unlefs you can make appear, that, upon your conciliating plan, the dignity of Freegrace is properly fecured. But, as this is impoffible, I can only look upon your Scripture-Jeales, againft against the other, and to give infidels more room to fay, that the bible is full of contradictions."

ANSWER. Exceedingly forry fhould I be, if the Scripture-feales had this unhappy tendency. To remove your groundless fears in this respect, and to prevent the hafty triumph of infidels, permit me (1) to flow, that what, at first fight, feems a contradiction in the scriptures which compose my scales, appears, upon due confideration, to be only the just fubordination of fecond CAUSES to the first, or the proper union of inferior MOTIVES with leading ones : and (2) to prove, that what Zelotes calls " a monstrous mixture of Free-grace and Free will," is their important concurrence, which the fcriptures frequently reprefent to us under the fignificant emblem of a marriage. Plain illustrations will throw more light upon the fubject than deep arguments; I shall therefore use the former, because they are within the reach of every body, and because Zelotes cannot set them aside under pretence that they are " metaphyfical."

I. May we not, on different occasions, use with propriety words, which feem contradictory, and which neverthelels agree perfectly together. For inflance : With respect to the doctrine of first and fecond CAUSES, and of primary and fecondary MEANS, may I not fay, " I plowed my field this year," because I ordered it to be plowed ?- May I not fay on another occasion, " Such a farmer plowed it alone," because no other farmer thared in his toil?-May I not, the next moment, point at his team, and fay, " Thefe horfes plowed all my field alone," if I want to intimate, that no other horfes were employed in that bufinefs ?-And yet, may I not by and by thow Zelotes a newconftructed plow, and fay: " That light plow plowed all my field ?"-Would it be right in Zelnes, or Larenzo, to charge me with shuffling, or with felf-contradiation, for these different affertions?

If this illustration does not fufficiently strike the reader, I ask: May not a clergyman, with: ut shadow of prevarication, say on different occasions, I hold

my

my living thro' divine permission,—thro' the Lord Chancellor's prefentation,—thro' a liberal education, —thro' my fubscriptions,—thro' the Bithop's institution, &c? May not all these expressions be true, and proper on different occasions? And may not these causes, means, and qualifications, concur together, and be all essential in their places?

Once more: Speaking of a barge, that fails up the river, may I not, without contradicting myfelf, fay one moment, The wind ALONE [in opposition to the tide | brings her up? And if the next moment I add, Her fails ALONE in opposition to ears or haling lines] bring her up against the fiream, would it be right to infer that I exclude the tackling of the veffel, the rudder, and the ficerfman from being all neceffary in their places? Such however is the inference of Zelotes. For while Honeftus thinks him an enthufiall, for supposing that absolutely nothing but wind and fail [grace and faith] is requifite to fpiritual navigation ; Zelotes thinks that Honeflus is hardly fit to be a cabin boy in the fhip of the church, becaufe he lays a particular firefs on the right management of the tackling and rudder; and both will perhaps look upon me as a trimmer, because, in order to reconcile them, I affert, that the wind and fails, the mafts and yards, the rigging and the rudder, the compass and pilot have each their proper use and office.

May not a variety of motives fweetly concur to the fame end? May you not, for example, relieve your indigent neighbour, out of fear to meet the inexorable rich man in hell ?-out of pity for a fellow-creature in diffress ?-out of regard for him, as a fellowchriftian ?-out of a defire to maintain a good confcience, and to keep the commandments ?-out of gratitude, love, and obedience to Chrift?-that the worthy name, by which we are called chriftians, may not be blasphemed ?- that your neighbour may be edified ?-that you may flow your love to God ?-that you may declare your faith in Chrift ?- that you may lay up treasure in heaven ?-that, like a faithful Reward, you may deliver up your accounts with joy ? -that you may receive the REWARD of the inheritance?-that you may be justified by your works as A BELIEVER in the great day, &c?-May not all these motives, like the various steps of Jacob's mysterious ladder, perfectly agree together ? And if a good work comes up for a memorial before God, winged with all these scriptural morives; is it not likely to be more acceptable, than one which afcends fupparted only by one or two fuch motives ?

Zelotes frequently admits but of two caufes of our falvation, and recommends but one motive of good works. The two caules of eternal falvation, which he generally confines himfelf to, are Chrift and Faith : and, what is most astonithing, folifidian as he is, he fometimes gives up even faith itfelf : For if he reads that FAITH was imputed to Abraham for rightcoulnefs, he tells you that faith is to be taken objectively for Chrift and his good works, which is just as reafonable as if I faid, that when Sir Ifaac Newton speaks of the eye and of a telescope, he intends that these words should be taken objectively, and should mean the fun and the moon .- Again : As Zelotes frequently admits but one caufe of falvation, that is, Chrift's righteoufnefs : fo he often admits but one mos tive of fincere obedience, and that is, the love of Christ known by name. Hence he gives you to underftand Gg 2

Sect. 24.

fland, that all the good works of those, who never heard of Chrift, are nothing but plendid fins. To avoid his miftake we need only admit a variety of caufes and motives: And to fleer clear of the error of Honeflus, we need only pay to the Redeemer the fo jufly deferved honour of being, in conjunction with his Father and Spirit, the grand, ORIGINAL CAUSE, and as he is the Lamb flain, the one PROPERLY ME-RITORIOUS CAUSE of our falvation ; reprefenting a grateful love to him as the noblest, and most powerful motive to obedience, where the christian gospel is preached. In following this reafonable and catholic method, we discover the harmony of the scriptures : we reconcile the opposite texts which fill the fcriptureicales; and, far from giving room to infidels to fay, that the bible is full of contradictions, we flow the wonderful agreement of a variety of passages, which, upon the narrow plans of Zelotes and Honeflus, are really inconfiftent, if not altogether contradictory.

III. With respect to the two GOSPEL-AXIOMS and their bafis, FREE-GRACE and FREE-WILL, contrary as they feem to each other, they agree as well as a thousand harmonious contrasts around us. If Zelotes confiders the natural world in a favourable light, he will fee nothing but OPPOSITION in HARMONY. Midnight darknefs, when it is reconciled with the blaze of noon, crowns our hills with the mild, delightful light cf the rifing or fetting fun .- When fultry fummers and frozen winters meet half way, they yield the flowers of the pring and the fruits of autumn .- If the warming beams of the fun act in conjunction with cooling showers, the earth opens her fruitful bosom, and crowns our fields with a plenteous harvest .- Reflect upon your animal frame : How does it fubfift? Is it net by a proper union of opposite things, fluids and Iclide ?-and by a just temperature of contrary things, cold and heat? Confider your whole felf : Are you not made of a thinking foul, and of an organized body ?- of spirit and matter? Thus, two things, which are exactly the reverfe of each other, by harmonizing

nizing together, form man, who is the wonder of the natural world : just as the Son of God, united to the fon of Mary, forms Chrift, who is the wonder of the fpiritual world.

I readily confess, that the connexion of the two gospel-axioms, like that of matter and spirit, is a deep mystery. But as it would be absurd to infer, that man is an imaginary being, because we cannot explain how thought and reason can be connected with flefh and blood: So would it be unreasonable to suppose, that the coalition of Free-grace with Freewill is a chimera in divinity, because we cannot exactly defcribe how they are coupled. We are however in debted to St. Paul for a most striking emblem of the effential oppoficion and wonderful union that fubfift between the two axioms, or [which comes to the fame] between the Redeemer and the redeemed-between Free-grace and Free-will.

If the true church is a myflical body composed of all the fouls, whole fubmillive Free-will yields to Freegrace, and exerts itself in due fubordination to our loving Redeemer; does it not follow, that Free-grace exactly answers to Chrift, and holy Free-will to God's holy Church? Now, fays the apostle, the husband is the head of the wife, even as Chrift is the head of the church :- Husbands love your wives as Christ loved the church : - A man shall be joined unto his wife, and they two shall be one flesh. This is a great mystery, but I speak concerning CHRIST and the CHURCH; and upon the preceding observation, I take the liberty to add: This is a great mystery, but I (peak concerning FREE-GRACE and FREE-WILL. If marriage is a divine institution, honourable among all men, and typical of spiritual mysteries :- if Ijaiah says, Thy Maker is thy Husband :- if Holea writes, In that day, Juys Jehovah, thou shalt call me ISHI; that is, MY HUSBAND :- if St. Paul fays to the Corinthians, I have espoused you as a chafte virgin to one HUSBAND, even Chr: A :- and if he tells the Romans, that they are become dead to the law, that they should be MARRIED to another, even Gg3 to

to HIM who is raifed from the dead, that they should BRING FORTH FRUIT UNTO GOD:—if the facred writers, I fay, frequently use that emblematic way of speech, may I not reverently tread in their steps, and, in the sear of God, warily run the parallel, between the conjugal tie and the myttical union of Free-grace and Free-will? And,

(1) If the hufband is the HEAD of the wife, as fays St. Paul; or her LORD, as St. Peter intimates; is not Free grace the HEAD and LORD of Free-will? Has it not the preeminence in all things?----(2) If the bridegroom makes his address to the bride first, without forcing or binding her with cords of necessity; does not Free-grace alfo feek Free-will firft, without forcing it, and chaining it down with neceffitating, Turkish decrees?-(3) If the mutual, unnccessitated, voluntary confent of the bridegroum and of the bride, is the very effence of marriage; may I not fay, that the mutual, unneceffitated, voluntary confent of Freegrace and Free will, makes the marriage between Chrift and the willing fouls, whom St: John calls. The bride, and The Lamb's wife ?---- (4) The hufband owes no obedience to his wife, but the wife owes all reasonable obedience to her husband. And does not the parallel hold here alfo? Must not Free-will humbly and obediently fubmit to Free.grace, as Sarah did to Abraham, calling him LORD ?- (5) The man is to. give honour to his wife as to the weaker weffel; And does not Free-grace do lo to Free-will, it's inferior ? Is not it's condescending language, Behold I fland at. the door and knock :- Open to me, my fifter, my love, &c. Yea, does not FREE-GRACE, like St. Paul, become alt things [but fin and wantonnels] to all men, that by any means it may gain the FREE-WILL of Some ?- (6) If the unbelieving wife departs, let her depart, fays St. Paul. And if unbelieving Free-will is bent upon eloping from Free-grace, may it not do it? Is it locked up as the Sultanas are in Turky? Altho' incarnate Free-grace compaffionately mourned over the obilinate

Sect. 24.

obftinate Free will of the fews, did it dragoon them into compliance? Was not it's language, I would; and ye would not ? + - Thou has been weary of me, O Israel. - My people would none of me : So I gave them up to their own hearts luft, and they walked in their own counfel; duing, as a nation, what Judas was judicially permitted to do as an individual ?- (7) In caje of adultery, is it not lawful for the hufband to put away his wife? And may not Free-grace repudiate Free-will for the fame reason? When the Free will of Judas had long carried on an adulterous commerce with Mammon; and when he refused to return; did not our Lord put him away ; giving him a bill of divorce, together with the fatal fop ? And far from detaining him by fulfome, ealvinian careffes, did he not publickly fay, Wo to that man !- What thou doeft do quickly .- Remember Lot's wife? (8) Can the hufband, or the wife, have children alone? Can FREE-GRACE do human good works without human FREEwILL ? Did not our Lord speak a felf-evident truth, when he declared, Without ME, YE can do nothing ? And did not St. Paul fet his feal to it, when he faid : We are not sufficient, OF OURSELVES, to think any thing [morally good] as of ourfelves; but our [ufficiency is OF GOD :- Not I [alone, or principally] but THE GRACE OF GOD, which was WITH ME? And, morally

⁺Some Calvinifts have done this great truth juftice, and among them the judicious Mr. Riland of Northampton, A. M. who hath publifhed an extract from Dr. Leng, Bifhop of Norwich, defcriptive of the refemblance that man bears to God. The first article of his extract runs thus. "The foul is an image of the almighty power of God. God thas a power of beginning motion: So has the foul.—God's will acts with aftonifhing fovereignty, and abfolute dominion and pleafure, where, and when, and how he will.—The foul chufes or refuses, accepts or rejects an object, with an amazing refemblance to a God. Even devils and the wicked refuse God with fovereign will and a most free contempt."—Hence it appears, that to rob man of free-ogency under pretence of making free-grace all in all, is to deftroy the first feature of God's image in his living picture, man.

sally speaking, what can Chrift do as the husband of the church, without her concurrence? What, befides atoning, inviting, pre-engaging and drawing? Do we not read, that he COULD NOT do many works among the people of Nazareth, because of THEIR unbelief? And, for want of co-operation or concurrence in finners, does he not complain, I have laboured in vain :----I have front my Brength for nought : --- All the day long I stretched forth my hands, and no man regarded? Lafily : May I not observe, that, as the procreation of children is the most important confequence of marriage; fo the production of the fruits of righteoujnes, which are by fefus Chrift, is the most important confequence of the harmonious opposition of Free-grace and Free-will; when they are joined together in that evangelical marriage, which the fcripture calls Faith working by love ?

Should Zelotes object here, that, " Some good peo-" ple produce all the fruits of righteoufnels, and do " all the good works, which St. Paul expects from be-" lievers, tho' they fay all manner of evil against Free-" will, will hear of nothing but Free-grace, and " perpetually decry their own good works :" I reply; That there are fuch perfons, is granted : Nor are they less conspicuous for their unreasonableness, than for their piety. They may rank for confidency with a woman, who is exceflively fond of her hufband, and peevifh with every body elfe, especially with her own children .- Her conftant language is, " My hufband " is all in all in the house : he does every thing : I " am absolutely no body, I am worse than any " body, I am a monfter, I bring forth nothing " but monfters: my best productions are dung, " drofs, and filthy rags, &c. &c."-A friend of her hufband tired to hear fuch speeches day by day, ventures to fet her right by the following queftions; " Pray, Madam, it your hufband is all " in the house, is he his own wife? If he does a.l. " that is done under your roof, did he get drunk the " other day when your footman did fo? Does he " bear his own children, and give them fuck ? If " you

"you are abfolutely no body, who is the mother of "the fine boy that hangs at your breaft? And if he "is a mere \dagger monfter, why do you diffionour your "hufband by fathering a monfter upon him?"—While fhe blufhes, and fays, "I hate controverfy, I can-"not bear carnal reafonings, &c." I clofe this parallel between marriage, and the evangelical union of free grace and free-will, by fome remarks, which, I hope, will reconcile Zelotes and Honeftus to the harmonious oppofition of the feemingly contrary doctrines of grace and juffice, of faith and works, of free-grace and free-will, which answer to the two go/pel-axioms, and are balanced in the two feripture fcales.

Union without opposition is dull and infipid. You are acquainted with the pleafures of friendship: You would gladly go miles, to shake hands with an intimate friend; but why did you never feel any pleafure in shaking your left hand with your right, and in returning the friendly civility? Is it not becaufe the joining of your own hands would be expressive of an union without proper opposition; —of an union without fufficient room to display the mutual endearments of one free-will in harmony with another ? For what I have all along called Free-grace, is nothing but God's gracious FREE-WILL, to which the obedient FREE-WILL of believers humbly submits itself. Why

⁺ Walking about my parifh fome years ago, I heard a collier's wife venting her bad humour upon fome body, whom the called Son of b-cb. I went into the house to make peace; and, finding that it was her own Son, whom the thus abufed, I expostulated with her about the absurdity of her language, so far as it offended God, and reflected upon berfelf. I might have added, that, if her child was the Son of a b-cb, he must also be the Son of a d-g; a circumstance this, not less diffuonourable to her bufband, than to berfelf: but I really forgot this argument [ad mulierem] at that time. However I mention it here, in hope that Zelotes, who, thro' voluntary humilitys calls his good works as many bad names as the woman did her son, will take the hint, and will no more reflect upon Chrift by injudicioully loading the productions of his free-grace with antinomiant abufe.

Sect. 24.

can you have no fatisfaction in going to the fire, when a fever enflames your blood; or in drinking a cooling draught, when you are benumbed with cold? Is it not because in either case the pleasure ceases; or rather becomes pain, for want of proper opposition?

Is not opposition wITHOUT UNION the very ground of infernal woe? When opposition amounts to downright contrariety, does it not end in herce destructive difcord? And does not this difcord produce the horrid concert which our Lord describes by weeping, wailing, and grashing of teeth, the genuine expressions of forrow, anguish and despair? On the other hand, is not opposition in union the very foul of celefial joys? And should I take too much liberty with the deep things of God, if I ventured upon the following query i Is it not from the eternal, mysterious, ineffable opposition of Futher and Son, in eternal, myflerious, aucffable union with each other, that the eternal love and joy of the Spirit proceeds to accomplish the myssery of the divine unity, and form the very heaven of heaven?

But if that quefiion appears too bold, or too deep, I drop it, and, keeping within earthly bounds, I afk, Does not experience convince us, that the most perfest concerts are those, in which a number of instruments, feft as the flute, and frong as the baffoon, highfounding as the clarion, and deep toned as the kettledrum, properly agree with tenor, counter-tenor, bas, and treble-voices ? Is it not then, that the combined effects of flow and guick vibrations, high and low notes, fharp and flat tones, folemn and chearful accents; grave and thrill, melting and routing, gentle and terrible founds, by their harmonizing oppositions, alternately brace and dilate our auditory nerves; or delightfully footh and alarm, lull and ravith, our mufical powers ?- Such, and far more glorious, is the COSPEL CONCERT of free-grace and free-quill :- A fweetly-awful concert this, in which prohibitions and commands, cautions and exhortations, alluring promiles and fearful threatenings, gentle offers of merc y

mercy and terrible denunciations of vengeance, have all their proper places.

Now man is brought down to the gates of hell, as a rebellious worm; and now [by a proper transition] he is exalted to the heaven of heavens, as the friend of God -Now Chrift hangs on an ignominious crofs; and now he fills the everlafting throne :- One day, as a SAVIOUR and a PROPHET, he gives grace, he offers glory ; he calls, he entreats, he weeps, he bleeds, he dies; another day, as a REWARDER and a KING, he revives and triumphs; he abfolves or condemns; he opens and fhuts both hell and heaven. The treble in this doctrinal concert, appears ENTHUSIASTIC jar to prejudiced Honeflus; and the bafs paffes for HERE-TICAL difcord with heated Zelotes : but an unbiaffed protestant knows the joyful found of Free-grace-the folemn found of Free-will-and the alarming found of Juft-wrath; and admitting each in his concert, he makes foriptural melody to his Prieft and Law-giver -to his Redeemer and his Judge. As for the merry tune of antinomian Free-grace, mixed with the reprobating roar of Calvinian Free-wrath, it grates upon him, it grieves his foul, it diffuses chilliness through his veins, it carries horror to his very heart.

Whilft a divine combines evangetically, and uses properly the two gospel-axioms, you may compare him to a mufician, who skilfully tunes, and wifely ufes all the ftrings of his inftrument. But when Zelotes, and Honeftus difcard one of the evangelical axioms, they refemble an Harper who peevifily cuts half the firings of his harp, and ridiculoufly confines himfelf to using only the other half. Or, to return to the feriptural fimile of a marriage : When an unprejudiced evangelist folemnizes the doctrinal marriage which I contend for, he pays a proper regard to the Bridegroom and to the Bride: He confiders both Free-grace and Free-will. Therefore, when he fees Hinefus perform all the ceremony with Free-will only, he is as much furprized, as if he faw a clergyman take a gold ring from the right hand of a woman, put

put it on the fourth finger of her left hand, and gravely try to marry her to herfelf. And when he fees Zelotes transfact all the business with Free-grace alone, he is not less aftonished than if he saw a minister take a single man's right hand, put it into his left hand, and render himself ridiculous by pronouncing over him a solemn. nuptial bleffing.

If Zelotes is still afraid, that upon the plan of an evangelical marriage between Free-grace and Free-will, the transfeendent dignity of God's Grace is not properly fecured; and that human agency will absolutely claim the incommunicable honours due to divine Favour; I shall guard the preceding pages by some remarks, which will, I hope, remove Zelotes's groundless fears, and give Honeflus a seasonable caution.

God's gracious dispensations towards man, or which comes to the fame | the dealings of Free-grace with Free-will, are frequently represented in scripture under the emblem of gracious covenants. Now covenants, which are made between the Creator and his Creatures; between the Supreme Being, who is abfolutely independent, because he wants nothing ; and inferior Beings, who are entirely dependent upon him, because they want all things; - fuch gracious covenants, I fay, always imply a matchlefs condefcention on the part of the Creator, and an inconceivable obligation on the Therefore, according to the part of his Creatures. doctrine inforced in these sheets, Free-grace, which fines by its own eternal luftre, without receiving any thing from Free-will, can never, in point of dignity, be confounded with Free-will; because Free-will borrows all it's power and excellence from Fiee-grace; just as the moon borrows all her light and glory from the Sun.

We infer therefore, that, as the moon acts in conjunction with, and due fubordination to the Sun in the natural world, without fupplanting or rivalling the fun: So Free will may act in conjunction with, and due fubordination to Free-grace in the /piritual world, without rivalling, much more without fupplanting Free grac.

Free-grace. And hence it appears, that Zelotes's fears. left our doftrine should pour contempt on the glory of Free-grace, are as groundlefs, as the panick of the ancient Perfians, who, when they faw the moon paffing between the earth and the fun, imagined that the great luminaries which rule the day and the night, were actually fighting for the maftery; and abfurdly dreaded, that the firife would end in the total extinction of the folar light.

Ezekiel [Chap. XVI] gives us an account of the glory, to which God advanced the jewish church. From a flate of be greateft meannels and pollution, he raifed her to the dignity and fplendor defcribed in these words: I washed away thy blood from thee. - I covered thy nakednefs.-Yea, I favare unto thee, and entered into a [mar. riage-] covenant with thee, faith the Lord God; and thou becamest mine. ___ I clothed thee also with embroidered work; I decked thee with ornaments :--- Thou wast exceeding beautiful: Thou dids prosper into a kingdom, and thy renown went forth among the heathen for thy beauty : For it was perfect thro' the comeline/s, which I had put upon thee, faith the Lord. However, the jewish church [fuch is the power of Free will!] abufed thefe glorious favours, as appears from the next words: Thou didft truft in thine own beauty, and playedft the hartot, faith the Lord God. But, does this adulterous ingratitude of the lews disprove the truth of Ezekiel's doctrine, any more than the adultery of Bath/heba difproved her being once Uriah's lawful wife? And can any confequence be charged upon the doctrine of the evangelical marriage maintained in these sheets, which is not equally chargeable upon the above-mentioned doctrine of the prophet?

We grant that Free-will too frequently forgets it's place, as too many perfons of the inferior and weaker fex forget theirs, notwithstanding their folemn promife of dutiful obedience till death : But does this flow, either that the union of indulgent Free-grace and dutiful Free-will is an heretical fancy; or that Free-will is really equal to Free-grace? If imperious Free-will rifes against Free-grace, and acts the part of a Jezebel, 15 is not Free-grace firong enough to reduce it by proper methods, or wife enough to give it a bill of divorcement, if *fuch* methods prove ineffectual? Does Zelotes act a becoming part when he fo interferes between Freegrace and Free-will, as to turn the latter out of the Church, under pretence of fiding with the former? Has he any more right to do it, than I have to turn Queen Charlotte out of England, under pretence that bloody Mary abufed her royal authority?

Why does Zelotes flumble at the doctrine of the evangelical marriage which I prove? And why is Lorenzo offended at the mystery of Christ's incarnation? Is it not because they overlook the noble original of Freewill? If you trace the free-willing foul back to it's eternal fource, you will find that it proceeds from Him, who breathed into the nostrils of Adam the breath of life, that man might become a living joul. And where is the abfurdity of afferting, that God does reforb [if I may use the expression] his own living, eternal breath? And that, by means of the mysteries, which we call redemption and fanctification, he reunites himfelf to that very fpirit, which came from him ; to that very foul, which he breathed into the earthly Adam? If man's dignity before the fall was fuch, that, when St. Luke declares our Lord's human generation, and comes to the highest round of the genealogical ladder, he is not afraid to fay, that Chrift was The Son of Adam, &c. who was the Son of God, Luke, 1, 38, where is the abfurdity of fuppoling, that God in Chrift kindly receives his Son again, when that fon returns to him like the free-willing penitent prodigal?

Nor need Free-will be proud of this unspeakable honour: For, not to mention it's creation, for which it is entirely indebted to Free-grace, does it not owe to divine favour, all the bleffings of REDEMPTION? If Free grace fhould fay to Free-will, when I paffed by thee, and faw thee pallated in thy own blood, I faid unto thee, Live; would not believing Free-will instantly bow to the dust, and thankfully acknowledge the undeferved mercy? Why then should Zelotes think, that Freewill will infallibly forget it's place, if it is raifed to the honour of an evangelical, conjugal union with Freegrace?

grace?-If a prince raifed a filthy, condemned, dead shepherdess from the dunghill, the dungeon, and the grave; gracioully advancing her to princely honours, and a feat at his feet, or by his fide; does it follow, that the would necessarily forget her former basenes? Or that his condescension would unavoidably rob him of his native superiority ? For my part, when I hear St. John fay, Behold what manner of love the Father hath bestorved upon us, that we, who fubmit our Free-will to Free-grace, should be called the fons of God - the wife of the lamb, &c. far from being tempted to forget my wretchednefs, I am excited to fear the Lord and his goodnefs, and encouraged to perfect holiness in that fear : For every man who hath this faith, and hope, purifieth himself even as God is pure: So far is he from necessarily walking in pride, as a vain-glorious pharifee; or from exalting himfelf, as a felf-deified antichrift ! Befides, to all eternity the glaring truth maintained by the apoftle, will abafe Freewill, and fecure the transcendent dignity of Free-grace: What hast thou, which thou hast not, more or lefs directly, received of FREE, creating, preferving, redeeming, fanctifying, or rewarding GRACE? Who hath FIRST given to it, and it shall be recompensed to him again? For of him, i. e. of God, the bottomlefs and shoreless ocean of Free-grace, and thro' him, and to him, are all [good] things ; to whom be glory for ever. Amen!

SECTION. XXV.

The Author fums up the opposite errors of Zelotes and Honeftus, whem he invites to a freedy reconciliation: To bring them to it, he urges strong and fost motives; and, after giving them fome directions, and encouragements, he concludes by apologizing for his plainness of speech, by acknowledging his great inferiority to the two reconciled rivals, and by expressing a fincere respect for their person, and an humble wish for his own.

I F Honeflus is not averfe to the rational and fcriptural terms of peace proposed in the preceding pages, and if I have removed the objections which Zelotes makes against these terms, what remains for H h 2 me

Sect. 25.

(370)

me to do but to prefs them both to be inflantly reconciled. To this end I shall once more orge upon them two powerful motives, the one taken from the unspeakable mischief done by their unreasonable divifion, and the other from the advantage and comfort which their scriptural agreement will produce.

Permit me, Zelotes, to begin by the mifchief which yeu do, through your opposition to the moral truths maintained by Honeftus. If reason and scripture breathe through the preceding pages, is it not evident, that, under pretence of exalting Free-grace, which is the first weight of the fanctuary, you throw away the second weight, which is the free-will-offering of fincere obedience; constantly refusing it the place of a weight before God, when the children of men are weighed for eternal life or eternal death, in the awful, decifive balance of election and reprobation ? Does it not neceffarily follow from thence, that the perfonal election of fome men to eternal falvation, is merely of unfcriptural Free-grace; while the perfonal reprobation of others from grace and glory, is entirely of tyrannical Freewrath? Is not this the language of your doctrine? . There is, for the elect, but one weight, bearing the " flamp of heaven and everlafting love ; namely, The " finished work of Christ, which is ab olutely and ir-" refilibly thrown into the fcale of all who are predef-' tinated to eternal life ; And this golden weight is fo " heavy, that, without any of their good works it will " unavoidably turn the fcale for their eternal falvation. · And, on the other hand, there is, for the reprobates, . but one weight, bearing the ftamp of hell and ever-' lafting wrath, namely the finished work of Adam, · which is abfolutely and irrefiftibly thrown into the fcale of all that are predefinated to eternal death : " And this leaden weight is fo heavy, that let them · endeavour ever fo much to rife to heavenly joys, it " will neceffarily fink them to eternal woe.' Thus you turn the gospel into a Calvinian farrago ; whereas, if you divided the truth aright, you would do both gospel-axioms juffice ; afferting, that, although the initial

initial falvation of finners is of free-grace alone; yet the eternal falvation of adult believers, which is judicially, as well as gracioufly, beftowed upon them by way of reward, is both of Free-grace and of rectified Freewill;—both of faith, and of it's voluntary works;—both of Chrift living, dying, and rifing again for us; and of believers gracioufly affifted [not defpotically neceffitated] to perfevere in the obedience of faith.

The mischief does not flop here : To make way for your error, you frequently represent the second scripture-scale, with the passages which it contains, as pharifaical or Mofaical legality; distressing the minds of the simple by your unscriptural refinements, and hardening the Nicolaitans-the practical antinomians, in their contempt of morality and fincere obedience. I do you justice, Zelotes : I confess, that, like Christ, you hate their deeds; but alas! like antichrift, you love, you dearly love their spurious doctrines of grace; and this inconfistency involves you in perpetual difficulties, and glaring contradictions. One moment your folifidianifm makes you extol their immoral principles: the next moment your exemplary piety makes you exclaim against their confistent-immoral practices. One hour you affure them, that our eternal justification entirely depends upon God's abfolute predefination, and upon the falvation completely finished by Chrift for us: You openly declare, that, from first to last, our works have absolutely no hand in the bufinels of falvation; and you flyly infinuate, that a fallen believer is as much a child of God, when he puts his bottle to his neighbour to make him drunk, or when he commits adultery and premeditates murder; as when he deeply repents and bears fruit meet for repentance. The next hour, indeed, you are ashamed of such barefaced antinomianism. To mend the matter, you contradict yourfelf, you play the Arminian, and affert, that all drunkards, adulterers, and murderers are unbelievers, and that all fuch finners are in the high road to hell. Thus you alternately encourage and chide, flatter and correct Hh 3 your

Sect. 29:

your Nicolaitan-converts ; But one carefs does them more harm, than twenty flipes do them good. Nor need they fear either flripes or wounds ; for inflead of the precious balm of Gilead, you have fubflituted the cheap balm of Geneva :—a dangerous falve this, which flightly heals, and too often imperceptibly poifons a wounded conficience. With this application they foon cure themfelves : One fingle dofe of unconditional election to eternal life, of inamiffible, complete juffification merely by the good works of another, or of "falvation finifhed in the full extent of the word" without any of our own performances, makes them as hearty and chearful as any Laodiceans ever were.

When they hear your Arminian pleas for undefiled religion, they wonder at your legality. If you will be inconfistent, THEY will not : They are determined to be all of a piece. You have inspired them with fovereign contempt for the preceptive, remunerative, and windiflive part of the gospel: Nay, you have taught them to abhor it, as the dreadful herefy of the Arminians, Pelagians, Pharifees, and Free-willers. And thus you have inadvertently paved, and pointed out the way to the antinomian city of refuge. Thither they have fled, by your direction, and having laid hold on the false hope which you have set before them, they now fland completely deceived in [elf-imputed, and non-imparted righteoufnels. It is true that you attack them there, from time to time : ashamed of the genuine confequences of your partial gospel, you call St. James to your affiftance, and erect a Wefleian battery to demolish their folifidian ramparts: but alas! you have long fince taught them to nail up all the pieces of evangelical ordnance: and when you point them against their towers, they do but smile at your inconfiftency. Looking upon you as one who is not lefs intangled in the law, than rifen Lazarus was in his grave-clothes, they heartily pray, that you may be delivered from the remains of Mojes's vail, and fee into the priviledges of believers as clearly as they do: And when they have brifkly fired back your own thots,

1.41

fhots, Legality ! Legality ! they fit down behind the walls which you take fo much pains to repair, I mean the walls of mystical Geneva; finging there a folifidian Requiem to themselves, and sometimes a triumphal Te Deum to one another.

Happy would it be for you, Zelotes, and for the church of God, if the mischief done by your modern gospel were confined to the immoral fraternity of the Nicolaitans. But alas! it produces the worft effect upon the Moralifts alfo. Honeftus and his admirers fee you extol Free-grace in fo unguarded a manner, as to demolish Free-will, and unfurl the banner of Free-They hear you talk in fuch a firain, of a wrath. day of God's power, in which the elect are irrefilibly converted, as to make finners forget, that now is the day of falvation, and the time to use one or two talents, till the Lord comes with more. Perhaps alfo Honeflus meets with a foul frightened almost to distraction, by the doctrine of absolute reprobation, which always dogs your favourite doctrine of Calvinian election .--To complete the mischief, you drop fome deadly hints about the harmle/sne/s of fin; or, what is ftill worfe. about it's profitableness and fanctifying influence with respect to believers. Neither height nor depth of iniquity shall separate them from the love of God. Nay, the molt grievous falls, - falls into adultery and murder. shall be fo over ruled, as infallibly to drive them nearer to Chilft, and, of confequence, to make them rife higher, and fing louder in heaven. This folifidian gospel shocks Honestus. His moral breatt swells against it with just indignation; and supposing that the doctrine of Free-grace of which you call yourfelf the defender] is necessarily connected with fuch locie principles, he is tempted to give it up, and begins perhaps to fulpect that religious experiences are only the workings of a melancholy blood, or the conceits of enthusiastic brains. This, Zelotes, and more, is the mischief you inadvertently do by your warm opposition to the doctrines of JUSTICE, which support the

the fecond gospel-axiom, and are inseparable from the foripture-dostrines of GRACE.

And you, Honeflus, if you lay afide the first weight of the fanctuary, are you lefs guilty than Zelotes? When you fay little or nothing of our fall in Adam, of our recovery by Chrift, and of our need of a living, victorious faith ; and when, under the plaufible pretence of afferting our moral agency, and pleading for fincere obedience, you keep out of fight the unfearchable riches of Christ, the wonderful efficacy of his atoning blood, and the encouraging doctrine of Freegrace; do you not inadvertently confirm deiftical moralifts in their destructive notions, that fcraps of moral honefty will answer the end of exalted piety, and of renovating faith? And do you not encrease the prejudices of Zelotes; making him believe by your fparing use of the first gospel-axiom, that all who represent morality and good works as an indifpensable part of Christ's gospel, are fecret enemies to Freegrace, and fliff maintainers of pharifaic errors ?

O Zelotes, O Honeflus, what have ye done? What are ye still doing ? Alas! ye drive one another farther and farther from the complete truth, as it is in Jefus. In your unreasonable contention, ye break the harmony of the gospel;—ye destroy the Scripturefcales;—ye tear in two the book of life, and run away with a mangled part, which ye fondly take for the whole. Ye crucify Christ DOCTRINAL: Honeflus pierces his right hand, while Zelotes transfixes the left; both pleading, as the scribes and pharifees did, that ye only crucify a deceiver of the people.

A skilful physician by prudently mixing two contrary drugs, may so temper their effect, as to compound an excellent medicine. Thus those ingredients, which, if they were given alone, would perhaps kill his patients, by being administered together, operate in corrective, qualifying conjunction, and prove highly conducive to health. Happy would it be for your spiritual patients, if ye imitated his skill, by evangelically combining the gracious promises, and and the holy precepts, which support the two gospelaxioms. But alas! ye do just the reverse, when ye indiscriminately administer only the truths of the first, or of the second axiom. Thus, instead of curing your patients, ye four their minds; Honestus, with the poifonous leaven of the pharister; and Zelotes, with the killing leaven of the antinomians.

The practice of thousands shows what dangerous touches ye have, by these means, given to their principles : For, your admirers, O Zelotes, are encouraged to to depend upon Free-grace, as not vigoroufly to exert the powers of Free will. And it is well if fome of them do not lie down in flupid dejection, idly waiting for an over-bearing impetus of divine grace, which, you infinuate, is to do all for us without us; while others chearfully rife up to play, in confequence of the Laodicean eafe, which naturally flows from the doctrine of falvation calvinifically-finished. ---- On the other hand, your heaters, O Honeflus, are fo taught to depend upon their best endeavours, and the faithful exertion of their free-will, that many of them fee no occasion ardently to implore the help of Freegrace, as fickle, impotent, blind, guilty, hell-deferving finners ought to do. Truffing to what THEY WILL. DO to-morrow, they neglect and grieve the fpirit, which is ready to help their infirmities to-day. And it is to be feared, that many of them play the dangerous game of procrastination, till the fun of righteoufnefs fets with respect to them-till all their oil is burned, and their lamps going out with a bad imell, leave them in the dreadful night when no man can work.

Who can tell the mischief, which ye have already done by your mangled gospels? It will be known in the great day. But suppose ye had only caused the miscarriage of one foul; would not this be matter of unspeakable grief? If ye would esteem it a missortune, to have occasioned the loss of your neighbour's horse; think, O think how sad a thing it must be, to have caused, the undefignedly, the destruction of his foul. foul. The lofs of the cattle upon a thousand hills can be repaired; but if a man should gain the whole world, and thro' your wrong directions lose his own foul; what will he, what will you give in exchange for his foul?

In the multitude of those, whose falvation is thus endangered, I fee Lorenzo-fenfible, thoughtful, learned Lorenzo: His cafe is truly deplorable, and a particular attention to it may convince you of the fatal tendency of a gofpel which wants almost one half of it's proper weight. Altho' the dogmatical affertions of a preacher, if they are supported by the charms of a mellifluous eloquence, or the violence of a boifterous oratory, prevail with many; yet not with all. For while fome, greedily drink in the very dregs of error, thro' the weaknefs of their mind, the moveablenefs of their paffions, and the credulity which accompanies superititious ignorance: others are tempted to doubt of the plainest truths, thro' the nicety of a keen wit, the refinements of a polite education, and the fcrupuloufnels of a fceptical understanding. Lorenzo is one of this number. He is determined not to pin his faith upon any man's fleeve. And he fets out in fearch of religious truth, with this just principle, that religion may improve, but can never oppole good fense and good morals. In this.difposition Lorenzo hears Zelotes; and when Zelotes begins to play upon his numerous audience with his rhetorical artillery, Lorenzo examines if the cannon of his eloquence is loaded with a proper ball;-if the folidity of his arguments answers to the politivenefs, loudnefs, or pathos of his delivery. Zelotes, not fatisfied to preach only the doctrine contained in the first Scripture-scale, takes upon himself warmly to decry the doctrine contained in the fecond; and at times he even explodes morality; unguardedly reprefenting it as the cleaner way to hell. If this is the gospel, says Lorenzo, I must for ever remain an unbeliever; for I cannot swallow down a clufter of inconfiftencies,

- 6

confistencies, whence the poilon of immorality visibly distils.

He hears you next, Honeftus; and he admires the rational manner in which you prove man's Freeagency, and point out the delightful paths of virtue; but alas! you mention neither our natural impotence. nor the help which free, redeeming grace has laid on Chrift for helples finners. As this doctrine is not repugnant to the light of reason, Lorenzo prefers it to the folifidian scheme of Zelotes. Thus reason stands him inftead of Chrift, Free-will inftead of Free-grace. and fome external acts of benevolence, inftead of the faith which renews the heart. And upon the lame leg of this outward morality he hops along in the ways of virtue, till a violent temptation pulles him. into fome grofs immorality. His wounded confeience begins then to want eafe and a cure; but he knows not where to feek it. HoneAus feldom points him clearly to the Saviour's blood; and when Zelotes does it, he too often defiles the facred fountain with unfcriptural refinements, and immoral abfurdities artfully wrapped up in fcripture-phrases. Hence it is. that Lorenzo does not fee the remedy, or that he turns from it with contempt. Nor would I wonder if [while each of you thus keeps from him one of the keys of chriftian knowledge] he remained a ftranger to the gospel, and began to suspect, that the bible is a mere jumble of legends and inconfistencies-an apple of difcord thrown among men by crafty prieits, and artful politicians, to awe the vulgar, and divert the thoughts of the inquilitive. In these critical circumftances he meets with Hume and Voltaire, whom he prefers to you both ; and, renouncing equally Freegrace and Free-will, he flies for thelter to open infidelity, and avowed fatalifm. There numbers follow him daily : and there your refinements, O Zelotes, and your errors, O Honeflus, will probably drive the next generation, if ye continue to fap the foundation of the gospel-axioms. For the gospel can no more stand long upon one of it's pillars, than ye can ftand long

Sect. 25.

long upon one of your legs. Christianity without faith, or without works, is like a fun without light, or without heat. Such christianity is as different from primitive christianity, as fuch a fun is different from the bright luminary, at whose approach darkness flies, and writers retire.

Nor are Lorenzo, and his deiftical friends hurt alone by your doctrinal miftakes. Ye, yourfelves, probably feel the bad effects of your parting the golpel-axioms. It is hardly possible, that ye should take off the forewheels, or the hind wheels of the gofpel-chariot, without retarding your own progrefs towards the new Jerufalem. To fay nothing of your fpiritual experiences, may I not inquire, if Honeflus, after all his discourses on morality and charity, might not, in some inflances, be a' little more moral, or more extensively charitable, if not to the bodies, at least to the fouls of his neighbours? And may I not alk Zelotes, if after all his encomiums upon Free-grace, he might not be a little more averie to narrowness of spirit, unscriptural positiveness, and self-electing partiality ;--- a little lefs inclined to rafh judging, contempt of his opponents, and free-wrath?

Should ye find, after close examination, that these are the mifchievous confequences of your variance; and fhould ye defire to prevent them, ye need only go half way to meet and embrace each other You, Zelotes, receive the important truth which Honeftus defends, and in fubordination to Chrift and Free-grace, preach Free-will, without which there can be no acceptable obedience. And you, Honeflus, espouse the delightful truth recommended by Zelotes. Preach Free-grace, without which Free-will can never be p:oductive of fincere morality. So fhall you vindicate morality and free-will with lefs offence to Zelotes, and with more fuccels among your own admirers. In a word, initead of parting the two gofpel-axioms, and filling the church with gnoftics or formalifts,-with antinomian believers, or faithlefs workers ;-inflead of tearing our Prieft alunder from our King, and making christianity a laughing flock for infidels by your your perpetual divisions, admit the use of the fcrip. fure-scales ;- contend for the faith once delivered to the faints; and, dropping your unreasonable, unscriptural objections against each other, seek hand in hand " Fulfome" the grofs antinomian, and Lorenzo the immoral moralist; earnestly feek these lost sheep, which ye have inadvertently driven from the good Shepherd, and which now wander upon the dark They may mountains of immorality and scepticism. be brought back : They are not yet devoured by the roaring lion. If you will reclaim them; You, Honeffus, calm the agitated breaft of Lorenzo, and ftrengthen his feeble knees, by all the reviving, exhilarating truths of the first gospel-axiom. And you, Zelotes, inflead of frightening him from these truths, by adulterating the genuine doctrine of Free-grace with toofe, folifidian tenets; or by flyly dropping into the cup of falvation which you offer him, poifonous drops of Free-wrath, Calvinian reprobation, and necessary damnation; recommend yourfelf to his reason and confcience by all the moral truths, which fpring from the fitness of things, and the second gospel-axiom. -With regard to Fullome, remember, O Zelotes, that you are commanded to feed the fat with judgment, and that Chrift himself fed the antient Laodiceans with that convenient food. Give therefore to this modern Laodicean CHIEFLY the gospel-truths which fill the fecond gospel-scale. But give them him in full weight. Let him have a good measure, pressed down, and running over into his antinomian bofom, till he hold the truth in unrighteou [nefs no more. And that he may receive the WHOLE truth as it is in Jesus, be you perfuaded, Honeftus, to fecond Zeloter. Inforce your moral persuafions upon Fulfome, by all the weighty, evangelical arguments, which the first axiom fuggefts. So shall you break the force of his prejudicies. He will fee that fincere obedience is infeparable from true faith; and being taught by happy experience, he will foon acknowledge, that the doctrine of Free will is as confiltent with the doctrine of Free-grace, as the free-returning

turning of our breath is confident with the free-drawing of it. Thus ye will both happily concur in converting those whom ye have inadvertently perverted.

While, like faithful difpet fers of gospel-truths, ye weigh in this manner to every one his portion of phyfick or food in due feason, and in proper scales; our Lord, by lifting upon you the light of his pleafed countenance, will make you fenfible, that, in fpirituals, as well as in temporals, A falje balance is an abomination to him; but a just aveight is his delight. Your honefty may indeed offend many of your admirers, and make you lofe your popularity : But prefer the teflimony of a good confcience, to popular applause; and the witness of God's spirit, to the praise of party-men. Nor beafraid to fhare the fate of our great Prophet, and of his blunt forerunner, who, by firmly standing to the gospel-axioms, lost their immense congregations and their life. Chrift fell a facrifice, not on'y to divine juffice, but also to Caiaphas's pharifaic rage against the truths contained in the first scale : And John the Baptist had the honour of being beheaded, for bearing his bold testimony against the antinomianism of a professing prince, who observed him, heard him gladly, and did many things. O Honeftus, O Zelotes, think it an honour to tread in the steps of these two martyred champions of Truth. Let them revive, and preach again, in you. Shrink not at the thought of the pharifaic contempt, and of the antinomian abuse, which await you, if you are determined to preach both the anti-pharifaic and the anti-folifidian part of the gospel. On the contrary : be ambitious to suffer fomething for him, who calls himfelf the Truthfor him, who fuffered fo much for you, and who for the joy of your falvation which was fet before him, despised the shame, endured the Cross, and now fits at God's right hand, ready to reward your faithfulnefs with a crown of righteousnefs, life, and glory.

Ye fhould wade to that triple crown, through floods of perfecution, and rivers of blood, if it were neceffary. But God may net call you to fuffer for your faithfulnefs. Sect. 25.

faithfulnefs. And if he does, he will reward you, even in this life, with a double portion of peace and While the demon of difcord fows the tares of love. division, and blows up the coals which bigotry has kindled, ye shall inherit the beatitude of prace-ma-. The peace of God, which paffes all underkers. flanding, shall rest upon you, as it does upon all the fons of peace. And the delightful tranquillity reflored to the church, fhall flow back into your own fouls, and be extended as a river to your families, and neighbourhood, which your opposite extremes have perhaps diffracted.

What a glorious prospect rifes before my exulting imagination! An holy, catholic church! A church, where the communion of faints, the forgivenels of fins, and the foretaites of eternal life, are constantly enjoyed : where fwords are beat into reaping hooks ; and where fhouts for controversial engagements, are turned into fongs of brotherly love!-To whom, next to God, are we obliged for this wonderful change? It is to you, Zelotes, whole intemperate zeal is now rectified by the judicious folidity of Honeftus; and to you, Honeftus, whole phlegmatic religion is now corrected by the fervour of Zelutes. Henceforth inftead of contending with each other, ye amicably bear together the ark of the Lord. While ye triumphant. ly fuftain the facred load, and while chriftian pfalmifts joyfully fing, " Behold how good and pleafant a. · thing it is for brethren to dwell together in unity : Union -· is the refreshing dew which falls upon the hill of Sion, · where the Lord promifed his bleffing, and life for ever-" more.'-While they fing this, I fay, the thousands of Ifrael pais the waters of firife, and take possession of the land of Canaan-the ipiritual kingdom of God. Their happines is almost paradifaical: The multitude of them that believe are of one heart and of one Soul :---They continue fielfafly in the apofiles doctrine and fellowship-in breaking of bread and in prayers. They

neither fays any of them, that ought of the things which 112

eat their meat with gladness and fingleness of heart :

he

he possesses are his own: for they have all things common: They are perfected in one. Truth has cast them into the mould of love. Their hearts and their language are no more divided. They think and speak the same. In a word, Babel is no more, and the new Jerusalem comes down from heaven.

O Zeletes, O Honestus, shall this pleasing prospect. vanish away as the colours of the rain-bow? Will ye Rill make Lorenzo think, that the Acts of the Apofles are a religious novel ? and the chriftian harmony there. described, a delusive dream? O God of peace, truth, and love, fuffer it not. Blefs the fcriptures, blefs the arguments, which fill these pages. Give, O give me favour in the fight of the two antagonifis, whom I address, Make me, unworthy as I am, the means of their lafting reconciliation. Remove their. prejudices: Soften their hearts: Humble their minds: and endue me with the firength of a spiritual Samp-Jon, that, taking these two pillars of our divisions in the arms of praying love, I may bend them towards. each other, and prefs them, breatt to breaft, upon the line of moderation, till they become one with the truth, and one with each other .- When thou hadft prospered the endeavours of Abraham's fervant, to the bringing about the marriage of I faac and Rebecca, thou wroughtest new miracles. Thou didst melt angry Efau in the arms of trembling Jacob, and injured Joseph over the neck of his releating brethren. Repeat, Good Lord, these ancient wonders: Show thyfelf fill the God of all confolation. Let me not. only fucceed in afferting the evangelical marriage of. condefcending Free grace and humble Free-will; but, alfo in reconciling the contentious divines, who rafhly put alunder what thou haft to itrongly joined together.

O Zelotes! O Honeflus! my heart is enlarged towards you. It ardently defires the peace of Jerufalem and your own. If to day ye do not defpife the confiftent testimonies of the Fathers, and of our Reformers;—if to-day ye regard the whispers of reason. and

and the calls of confcience ;- if to-day ye reverence the faffrages of the prophets, the affertions of the apofiles, and the declarations of Jefus Chrift :--- if 10day ve hear the voice of God speaking to you by the Spirit of Truth, and by the Prince of Peace ; harden not your hearts .---- You, Zelotes, harden it not against Free-will, fincere obedience, and your brother Honeflus. And you, Honeflus, humbly bow to Free-grace, and kindly embrace your brother Zelotes. All things are now ready. Come together to the marriage of Free-grace and Free-will. Come to the feaft of reconciliation. Jefus himfelf will be there to turn your bitter waters of jealoufy into the generous wine of brotherly kindnefs. Too long have you begged to be excused ; faying, " I have married a wife-1 have espouled a party, and therefore I cannot come." Party-spirit has feduced you : Pat away that frompet. Esponse Truth; embrace love; and you will form give each other the right hand of fellowfhip.

I have gently drawn you both with the bands of a man-with rational arguments. I have morally compelled you with the Spirit's fword-the word of God. By the numerous and heavy weights, which fill these feripture-leales, I have endeavoured to turn the feale of the prejudices, which each of you has entertained against one of the gospel-axioms. Bat alas! my labour will be loft, if you are determined fill to rife against that part of the truth, which each of you has hitherto defended. Come then, when reason invites, when revelation bids, when conficence urges, yield to my plea :- Nay, yield to the folicitations of thougands: For altho' I feem to mediate alone between you both, thousands of well-withers to Sion's peace, thousands of moderate men, who mourn for the defolations of lerufalem, with fuccels to my mediation. Their good withes fupport my pen: Their ardent prayers warm my foul: My love for peace grows importunate, and constrains me to redouble my intreasies. O Zelotes, O Honeflus, by the names of christians, and protestants, which ye bear: - by your regard for the honour and peace 113

Secti 25.

peace of Sion; - by the bleffings promifed to them that love her prosperity; - by the curfes denounced against those who widen the breaches of her walls ;--by the scandalous joy, which your injudicious contentions give to all the classes of infidels;-by the tears of undiffembled forrow, which God's dearest children shed in fecret over the disputes which your mikaken zeal has raifed, and which your obstinate opposition to a part of the truth continues to foment ; -by your professed regard for the facred book, which your divisions lacerate, and render contemptible;by the worth of the fouls, which you fill with prejudices against christianity; - by the danger of those, whom you have already driven into the deftructive errors of the antinomians and of the pharifees; - by the Redeemer's feamlefs garment, which you rend from top to bottom ; - by the infults, the blows, the wounds which Chrift PERSONAL received in the house of his jewish friends; and by those which Christ DOCTRINAL being found proud despifers of one half of God's revealed decrees, and rebellious oppofers of fome of the Redeemer's most folemn proclamations; ---- by all the woes pronounced against the enemies of his royal crown, or of his bloody crofs; -by the dreadful destruction which awaits Antichrift; whether he tranfforms himfelf into an angel of light, artfully to fet afide Chrift's righteous law; or whether he appears as a man of God, flyly to superfede Christ's gracious promiles; -- by the horrible curfe which shall light on them, who, when they are properly informed, and lovingly warned, will neverthelefs obflinately continue to weigh out in falje balances the food of the poor, to whom the golpel is preached ;-and, above all, by the matchlefs love of him who was in Chrift reconciling the world unto himfelf, I intreat you, fuffer the word of reconciliation : Be ye reconciled to reason and confcience-to each other and to me-to all the bible and to primitive christianity-to Christ our KING and to Chrift our PRIEST, So fhall all unprejudiced chriftians

Sect. 25-

(385.)

ans meet and embrace you both; upon the meridian of moderation and protestantism, which stands at an equal distance from antinomian dreams, and phasifaic delusions.

O Zelotes-O Honeflus-miltaken fervants of God; if there is any confolation in Chrift; if any delight in truth; if any comfort of love; if any fellow thip of the fpirit; if any bowels of mercies, fulfil ye my joy, and the joy of all moderate men in the church militant; nay, fulfil ye the joy of faints and angels in the church triumphant; Be ye like minded; having the fame love; being of one accord, of one mind. Let nothing be done through strife, or vain glory; but, in lowliness of mind, let each efteem the other better than himfelf. Look not each on his own things [on the scriptures of his favourite scale : but look also on the things of the other, on the paffages which fill the fcale defended by your brother. Remember that if we have all faith, and all external works, without charity we are nothing. Charity fuffereth long, and is kind; charity envieth not; charity feeketh not her own; charity rejoiceth not in iniquity and discord, but rejoiceth in the truth, even when truth bruises the head of our favorite ferpent-our darling prejudice. Let then charity, never-failing charity perfect you both in one. Hang on this golden beam, and it will make you a couple. of impartial, complete divines, holding together as clofely, and balancing one another as evenly, as the concordant passages which form my scripture-scales.

My meffage respecting the equipoise of the gospelaxioms, I have endeavoured to deliver with the plainness, and earnestness, which the importance of the fubject calls for : If, in doing it, my aversion to unforiptural extremes, and my love for peaceful moderation, have betrayed me into any unbecoming feverity of thought, or asperity of expression, forgive me this wrong, which I never designed, and for which I would make you all possible satisfaction, if I were confcious of guilt in this respect. Ye are sensible, that I could not act as a reconciler, without doing fast the

Sect. 25.

the office of an empaflulator, and reprover :- An office this which is fo much the more thanklefs, as our very friends are fometimes prone to fufpect that we enter upon it, not fo much to do them good, as to carry the mace of fuperiority, and indulge a reftlefs, meddling, cenforious, lordly disposition. If unfavorable appearances have reprefented me to you in these odious colours, give me leave to wipe them off, by cordial affurances of my efteem and respect for you. Yes, my dear, tho' millaken brothers, I fincerely honour you both for the good which is in you; being perfuaded that your mistakes fpring from your religious prejudices, and not from a con/cious enmity against any part of the truth. When I have been obliged to expose your partiality, I have comforted myfelf with the pleafing thought, that it is a partiahity to an important part of the gofpel. The meek and lowly Saviour, in whofe fteps I defire to tread, teaches me to honour you for the part of the truth which you embrace, and forbids me to defpife you, for that which you cannot yet fee it your duty to elpoufe. Nay, to far as ye have defended Fres-grace without annihilating Free-will, or contended for Free-will without undervaluing Free-grace, ye have done the duty of evangelists in the midst of this pharifaic and antinomian generation. For this ye both deferve the thanks of every bible-chriftian, and I publicly return you mine. Yes, fo far as Zelotes has built the right wing of Christ's palace, without palling down the left ; and fo far as Honeftus has raifed the left wing, without demolishing the right; I acknowledge that ye are both ingenious and laborious architects, and I shall think myfelf highly honoured, if. like an under-labourer, I am permitted to wait upon you, and to bring you fome rational and fcriptural materials, that you may build the temple of gofpeltruth with more folidity, more evangelical fymmetry. and more brotherly love, than you have yet done.

God only knows what contemptible thoughts I have of myfelf. It is better to fpread them before him, than to

to do it before you. This only I will venture to fay: In a thousand respects I see myself wastly inferior to either of you. If I have prefumed to uncover your theological fores, and to pour into them fome tincture of myrrh and aloes, it is no proof that I prefer myfelf to you. A furgeon may open an impostume in a royal breaft, and believe that he understands the use of his fciffors and probe better than the king, without entertaining the leaft idea of his being the king's fuperior. If I have made A PAIR of fcripture-fcales, which weigh gospel-gold better than your SINGLE-SCALES; it no more follows, that I efteem myfelf. your superior, than it follows that an artist who makes fcales to weigh common gold, efteems himfelf fuperior to the ministers of state, because he understands fcale-making better than they.

Horace will help me to illustrate the confistency of my reproofs to you, with my professions of respect for you. I confider you, Zelotes, as an one-edged fword, which cuts down the pharifaic error ; and you, Honeftus, as an one-edged fcymetar, which hews the antinomian miltakes in pieces: but I want to fee you both as the Lord's two-edged found; and I have indulged my Alpine roughness, in hopes, that, [thro the concurrence of your candour with the divine bleffing, which I implore on these pages] you will be ground to the other edge you want. This, ye know, cannot be done without fome clofe rubbing : and therefore, while ye glitter in the field of action, let not your displeasure arise against a grinding stone cut from the neighbourhood of the Alps, and providentially brought into a corner of your church, where it wears itfelf away in the thankless office of grinding. you both, that each of you may be as dreadful to antinomianism and to pharisaism, as the cherub's flaming fword, which turned, and cut every way, was terrible to the two first offenders. So shall ye keep. the way to the tree of life in an evangelical manner; and inflead of triumphing over you, as I go the dull round

round of my controversial labour, I shall adopt the poet's humble faying :

Fungor vice cotis, acutum Reddere quæ ferrum valet, exfors ipfa fecandi.

Not that I dare to flaming zeal pretend, But only boast to be the gospel's friend; To whet you both to act, and, like the hone, Give others edge, the I myself have none.

Or rather, confidering what the prophet fays of the impartial hand which weighed feating Belfhazzar, and wrote his awful doom upon the wall that faced him, I will pray; 'O God be merciful to me, a ' finner; and when I turn my face to the wall on my dying bed, let not my knees fmite one against the · other at the fight of the killing word, TEKEL :. " Thou art weighed in the balances, and art found " wanting. Let me not be found wanting either the ' testimony of thy Free-grace thro' faith, or the · teltimony of a good conficience thro' the works of faith. So shall the Spirit of thy Free-grace bear " witness with my free-willing spirit, that I am a child of thine, that I have kept the faith, and that in the ' great day, when I shall be weighed in the balances of the fanctuary, I shall be found a justifien SINNER, according to the ANTI-PHARISAIC weights, " which fill the first fcripture-fcale; and a justi-" FIED BELIEVER, according to the ANTI-SOLIFI-DIAN weights, which fill the fecend.'

THE END.

A SUPPLEMENT To SECTION VIII.

(ENDING AT PAGE 67.)

ZELOTES founds one of his miffakes chiefly upon three texts, which it may be proper more fully to balance here, on account of the undue stress which he lays upon them.

1. I have fuffered the lois of all things for Chrift, and do count them but dung, that I may win Chrift, and be found in HAVING ON him. NOT MINE OWN phanijaic.external RIGHTEOUSNESS, which is of the letter of the Mofaic law. - [that antichnilian righteoutoels which I was touching when I BLAMELESS. breathed out threatenings and flaughter against the difciples of the Lord.] Compare Phil. m. 9, with Phil. iii. 6, and Acts ix. 1.

2. Thou meeteft him that rejoiceth, and work-ETH RIGHTHOUSNESS. If, law, 5 .- Bleffed are they who are perfecuted FOR RIGHTEOUSNESS SAKE: that is, for the good THEY DO; it being absurd to suppose, that the wicked will perfecute the righteous for the good which Chrift did 1750 years ago. Mat. v. 10 .- Solomon faid, Thou hait shewed to David my father great mercy, ACCORDING AS he walked before thee IN TRUTH ANDRIGHTEOUS-NESS, and in uprightness of heart with thee. I

Kings iii. 6.—He shall pray unto God, and he will be favourable unto him :—for he will render unto man HIS RIGHTEOUSNESS. Job xxxiii. 26.—O man of God, flee these things [hurtful lufts] and follow after RIGHTEOUSNESS, godliness, &c.—lay hold on eternal life. 1 Tim. vi. 11, 12.—Who, thro' faith WROUGHT RIGHTEOUSNESS, Heb. xi. 33.—I have fought

fought the good fight, I have kept the faith [that worketh by righteous love, &c. HENCEFORTH there is laid up for ME a crown of RIGHTEOUSNESS, 2 Tim. IV. 7, 8.-Sow TO YOURSELVES IN RICHTEOUS-NESS, reap in mercy. Hof. x. 12,-If the man be poor, thou shalt-deliver him his pledge again, that he may fleep in his own raiment and blefs thee; and IT shall be RIGHTEOUSNESS UNTO THEE BEFORE THE LORD THY GOD. DEUT. XXIV. 12, 13 .- MY RIGHTEOUSNESS I hold faft, and will not let it go. Job xxvii. 6 .- Bleffed is he-that DOES RIGHTEOUS-NESS at all times. Pf. cvi. 3 .- Who shall dwell in thy holy hill? He that walketh uprightly, and work-ETH RIGHTEOUSNESS. PL. XV. 1, 2.-RIGHTEOUS-NESS delivereth from death.-The wicked shall fall by his own wickedness. THE RICHTEOUSNESS OF THE UPRIGHT thall deliver them. Prov. xi. 4, 5, 6.-Ye are his fervants whom YE obey, whether of fin unto death, or of OBEDIENCE UNTO RIGHTE-OUSNESS. Rom. vi. 16 .- He that ministereth feed to the fower, &c. increase the fruit of YOUR RIGHTE-OUSNESS, 2 Cor. ix. 10. He hath given to the poor, BIS RIGHTEOUSNESS remaineth for ever, Ibid. verfe 9 .- If the wicked will turn from all his fins, &c. and krep all my flatutes, &c. all his tranfgreffions shall not be mentioned unto him : in HIS RIGHTE-OUSNESS THAT HE HATH DONE, he fhall LIVE. Ez. xviii. 21, 22.-That ye may be fincere, and without offence, being filled with THE FRUITS OF RIGH-TEOUSNESS, which are by Jefus Chrift to the glory of God, Phil. i. 10. 11 - Except YOUR RIGHTEOUS-NESS thall exceed the righteoufness of the pharifees, ye shall in no cafe enter into the kingdom of heaven, Mat, v. 20 .- Little children, let no man deceive you, he that BOES RIGHTEOUSNESS is righteous, even AS HE [Chrift] IS RIGHTEOUS. I John iii. 7. Now Chrift is righteous in reality, and not by antinomian imputation.

They who suppose therefore, that St. Paul prays, he might not be found before God in HIS OWN evangelical gelical righteousness, or in HIS OWN personal obedience of faith, make him deceive his own foul, and contradict not only the prophets, but himself, St. John, and Jefus Chrift,

1. Them that have obtained like precious faith RIGHTEOUSNESS, I dewith us, thro' the righteousnels [i. e. thro' the RIGHT Isa. XLV. 19 -In righteous mercy and truth | thy FAITHFULNESS, anof God and our Saviour fiver me, AND IN THY Jefus Chrift. 2 Pet. i. I. | RIGHTEOUSNESS.Pf. cxliii.

2. I the Lord speak clare things that are The wrath of man worketh

not THE RIGHTEOUSNESS OF GOD. Jam. i. 20. -Seek ye first the kingdom of God and HIS [God's] RIGHTEOUSNESS [that is, according to the context, Seek ye poverty of fpirit, and the holinefs defcribed in the fermon on the mount. Mat. vi. 33.-It had been better for them not to have known THE WAY OF RIGHTEOUSNESS, than after they have known it to turn from the HOLY COMMANDMENT delivered unto them. 2 Pet. ii. 21. - By faith Noah moved with fear PREPARED AN ARK &c, [i. e. obeyed] by the which he, &c. became heir of THE RIGHTEOUSNESS WHICH IS BY FAITH. Heb., xi. 7. Thus fays the Lord, thy Redeemer; - O that thou hadit HEARK-ENED TO MY COMMANDMENTS! then had thy peace been as a river, and THY RIGHTEOUSNESS as the waves of the fea. Ifa. xlviii. 17, 18 .- My RIGHTI-OUSNESS shall answer for me [Jacob] in time to come. Gen. xxx. 33.-Noah was a just [righteous] man and perfect in his generations, and Noah WALKED with God.-And the Lord faid to Noah, Come thou &c. into the ark, FOR THEE HAVE I SEEN RIGHTEOUS BEFORE ME in this generation. Gen. vi. 9 .- vii, 1.

We pray you, in 1 2. His own felf BARE 1. Chrift's stead, be ye recon- OUR SINS in his own body ciled to God: for he hath on the tree, THAT we te. made him to be SIN [that is, ing dead to fin, fhould a fin-offering] for us, who | LIVE TO RIGHTE USNESS, knew nofin; that we might | I Pet. ii. 24. - I will bel K'k make

be made THE RIGHTEOUS-NESS OF God in him, 2Cor. and thy exacters RIGH-V. 20, 21. TEOUSNESS. If. lx. 17.-

All thy commandments Pf. cxix. 172. - Him that are RIGHTEOUSNESS. faith unto the wicked, thou art RIGHTEOUS, him shall the people curfe, nations shall abhor him. Prov. xxiv. 24.—Put on the new man, which after God is created in RIGHTEOUSNESS and true holinefs. Eph. iv. 24,-Chrift gave himfelf for us that he might re. deem us from all iniquity, and [make us the righteousness of God in him/elf, or to speak without a figure] purify unto himfelf a peculiar people, zealous of good works. Tit. ii. 14 .- He hath raifed up an horn of falvation for us-to perform the mercy promised, that we, &c. [might be made the righteoujness of God, or, as Zacharias expresses it] that we might ferve him without fear; in holinefs and RIGHTEOUS-NESS before him all the days of our life. Luke i. 69, 72, 74, 75.

I hope, the balance of the preceding fcriptures abundantly flows, that Zelotes miftakes the genuine obvious meaning of Phil. iii. 9, 2 Pet. i. 1. and 2 Cor. v. 21, when he fuppofes that these passages evince the truth of the antinomian imputation of righteousness, which he fu firenuously contends for. Should there be any other passage of this nature, which has escaped my notice; 1 beg that Zelotes's admirers will not impute the omission to difingenuity; my fincere defire being to do justice to every portion of the fcripture, and not artfully to conceal any part of the anti-pharifaic and anti-folifidian truth.

End of the Supplement.

APPEN-

(393)

APPENDIX to Page 300.

Containing Dr. Whitby's testimony concerning the antiquity of the dostrine of FREE-WILL, evangelically connected with the doctrines of Free-grace and Justwrath; with some remarkable quotations from the Fathers.

CINCE the preceding pages have been printed, J providence has thrown in my way Dr. Whitby's Discourse on the points of doctrine which are balanced in the Scripture-scales. He highly deferves a place among the modern divines who confirm the contents of Sect. xxi, concerning the antiquity of the doctrine of Free-will, evangelically-connected with the doctrines of Free-grace and Juft-wrath. I therefore produce here the following extract from his uleful book: Second Edition, printed in London, 1735.

In the preface, page 3, he fays, with respect to the leading doctrines of election and reprobation, in which he entirely diffents from Calvin, 'I found I fill failed with the fiream of antiquity, feeing only one, St. Augustin, with his two boatswains, Profper and Fulgentius, tugging hard against it, and often driven back into it by the ftrong current of fcrip. " ture, reason, and common sense.' As a proof of this, the Doctor produces, among many more, the following quotations from the Fathers, which I tranfcribe only in English; referring those who will fee the greek or latin, to the Doctor's discourses, where the books, the pages, and the very words of the Fathers, are quoted.

Page 95, &c. Dr. Whitby fays, "They [the Fathers] " unanimoufly declare, that God hath left in the · power of man, To turn to vice or wirtue, fays |USTIN · MARTYR :- To chuse or to refuse faith and obedience, to believe or not, fay IRENEUS, CLEMENS Alexan-Kk 2

· drinus

(394)

drinus, TERTULLIAN, and St. CYPRIAN : - That every one &c. rinders himfelf either righteous or dif-· obedient, fays CLEMENS of Alexandria. - That God " hath left it in our own power to turn to, or from s good - to be good or bad, to do what is righteous or " unrighteous. So ATHANASIUS, EPIPHANIUS, MA-" CARIUS, St. CHRYSOSTOM, THEODORET, and CY-" RIL of Alexandria. - That our happiness or punish-" ment depends on our own choice; That it is our own " choice to be an holy feed. or the contrary; to fall · wto hell, or enjoy the kingdom, to be children of the " night or of the day; - By wirtue to be God's, or by wickedness to be the devil's children; fo CYRIL of Jerufa-· lem, BASIL, CHRYSOSTOM, and GREGORY Nyffen. . That we are veffels of wrath or of mercy from our " own choice, every one preparing himfelf to be a weffel " of wrath from his own wicked inclination; or to be · a weffel of divine love by faith, because they have " nendered themsfelves fit for' [rewarding] 'mercy. So · ORIGEN, MACARIUS, CHRYSOSTOM, CCUMENI-" us, and THEOPHILACT.'

Page 336, &c. The Doctor has the following words, and firking quotations. — 'All these argu-'ments' [for the freedom of the will of man] 'are frongly confirmed by the concurrent fuffrage, and the express and frequent declarations of the Fathers. — Thus JUSTIN MARTYR having told us, that man would not be worthy of praise or recompence, did he not chuse good of himself, nor worthy of punishment for doing evil, if he did not this + of himself, fays, This the Holy Spirit hath taught us by 'Moses

[†] This good Father, to guard the doftrine of grate as well as that of juffice, fhould have observed, that Free-grate is the first cause, and Free-will the fecond, in our choice of moral good; but that Freewill is the first cause in our choice of moral evil. Forgetting to make these little diffications, he has given the Calvinists just room to complain, and has afforded the Pelagians a precedent to bear hard upon the doftrine of grace. Should fome prejudiced reader think, that this doftrine afcribes too much to man, because it makes Free-will a first

(395)

Moses in these words; See, I have set before thee good and evil; chuse the good. - CLEMENS Alexandri-" nus fays, The prophecy of Isaiah Saith, IF YOU BE " WILLING, &C. demonstrating that both the choice and the refusal, (viz. of faith and obedience, of which " he there speaketh) are in our own power. - TER-"TULLIAN propounces them unfound in the faith, corrupters of the christian discipline, and excusers of · all fin, who fo refer all things to the will of God, · by faying nothing is done without his appointment, as that we cannot understand that any thing is left to our-· felves to do. - St. CYPRIAN proves [Credendi vek onon credendi libertatem in arbitrio positam] that to believe or not, was left to our oven free choice, from · Deut. xxx. 19, and Ifa. i. 19. - THEODORET hav-" ing cited these words of Christ, If any man thirst, · let him come to me and drink, adds, Ten thousand · things of this nature may be found both in the gospels, and other writings of the apostles, clearly manifesting • the liberty and felf-election of the nature of man. -St. CHRYSOSTOM Speaks thus, God faith, IF YOU " WILL, and IF YOU WILL NOT, giving us power, " and putting it in our own option to be virtuous or " vicious. The Devil faith, Thou canft not avoid thy " fate : God faith, I have put before thee fire and K. k. 3 " water ..

first caufe in the choice of moral evil: I answer two things: (1) To make God the first caufe of moral evil is to turn Manichee, and affert, that there is an evil, as well as a good principle in the Godhead. (2) When we fay, that Free-will chufes moral evil of itfelf, without neceffity, and is, of confequence, the first caufe of its own evil choice; we do not mean that Free-will is its own first caufe. No: God made the free-willing foul, and freely endaced man with the power of chusing without necessity. Thus God's supremacy is fully fecured : If therefore, in the day of probation, we have the eaft, when good and evil are fet before us; our Free-will is not placed on a level with God by this tremendous power; but we place ourfelves voluntarily UNDER the rewarding fceptre of Freegrace, or the iron-rod of Just-wrath. By this means, God maintains both his fovereignty as a king, and his juffice as a judge : while man is ftill a fubject fit to be graciously rewarded or juftly punished, acoording to the dostrines of Free-grace and Juft-wrath ..

(396)

• water, life and death, firetch forth thy hand to when • ther of them thou wilt. The Devil fays, It is not inthee to firetch forth thy hand to them. - St. AUSTIN. ' proves from those words of Christ, Make the tree " good, &c. or make the tree evil [in nostra potestate fitum effe mutare voluntatem] that it is put in our " orun power to change the will. It would be endlefs. to transcribe all that the Fathers fay upon this head. — ORIGEN is alfo copious in this affertion; for, having cited those words, And now, Ifrael, " what does the Lord thy God require of thee? he " adds, Let them blush at these words, who deny that " man has free-will. How could God require that of " man, which he had not in his power to offer him? And again : The foul, faith he, does not incline to either " part out of necessity, for then neither wice nor wirtue · could be afcribed to it; nor would its choice of virtue · deferve reward; nor its declination to vice, punishment. * But the liberty of the will is preferved in all things, * that it may incline to what it will; as it is written, · Behold, I have fet before thee life and death. St. · AUGUSTIN alfo, from many passages in which the fcripture faith, Do not fo or fo; or do this or " that, lays down this general rule, That all fuch · places sufficiently demonstrate the liberty of the will; * and this he faith against them [qui sic gratiam dei defendunt, ut negent liberum arbitrium] who fo-· afferted the grace of God, as to deny the liberty of the · will. Page 340. 'They' [the Fathers] 'add, that all

Page 340. 'They' [the Fathers] 'add, that all God's commands and prohibitions, &c. would be vain and unreafonable, and all his punifiments unjuft and his rewards groundlefs, if man, after the fall, had not ftill the liberty to do what is commanded, and forbear what is forbidden. For, faith St. AUSTIN, The divine precepts would profit none, if they had not Free-will, by which they doing them, might obtain the promifed rewards, &c. These precepts cut off men's excu/e from ignorance, &c. but then, Becaufe others, faith he, accuse Gad of being wanting in (397)

. in giving them power to do good, or inducing them to fin; against these men he cites that known passage · of the Son of Sirach, God left man in the hands of his. counfel, if he would to keep the commandments, &c. " And then cries out, Behold, here, a very plain proof " of the liberty of the human will ! &c. for, how does " he command, if man hath not Free will or power to · obey? - What do all God's commands shew, but the · Free will of man? For they would not be commanded. " if man had not that freedom of will by which he could - obey them. And therefore in his book De fide, against the Manichees, who denied that man had Free-will, " and that it was in his power to do well or ill, he " makes this an indication of their blindnefs: Who. faith he, will not cry out, that it is folly to command · him who has not liberty to do what is commanded; and that it is unjust to condemn him, who has it not in his • power to do what is required? And yet these miserable. " men' [the Manichees] " understand not that they af-· cribe this wickedness and injustice to God. - CLEMENS. of Alexandrina declares, that neither praises nor e reprehensions, rewards or punishments are just, if the " joul has not the power of chusing or alflaining, but evil is involuntary. Yea, he makes this the very " foundation of falvation, without which there could be · neither any reasonable baptism, nor divine ordering of our natures, because faith would not be in our own · power. - The foul, fays ORIGEN, alls by her own choice, and it is free for her to incline to whatever part she will; and therefore God's judgment of her is just, because of her own accord she complies with good or bad monitors. - One of these two things is neceffury, faith EPIPHANIUS, either that, a neceffity erijing from our being born, there should be no judge " ment, because men all not freely; and if laws be jusily · made by God, and punishments threatened to, and inflict. ed on the wicked, and God's judgments be according to " truth, there is no fute, for therefore is one punished for his fins, and another praifed for his good works, becauje

(398 1

caufe he has it in his power to fin or not. — For how,
fays THEODORET, can he juftly punish a nature? [with endlefs torments] 'which had no power to do good, but
was bound in the bonds of wickedness. And again,
God having made the rational nature with power over
its own astions, averts men from evil things, and prowokes them to do what is good by laws and exhortations, but he does not necessitate the unwilling to embrace what is better, that he may not overturn the
bounds of nature. Innumerable are the passages
of this nature, which might be cited from the

Page 36r, &c. The Doctor produces again many quotations from the Fathers, in defence of liberty. Take fome of them. ' JUSTIN MARTYR argues, -If " man has not power by his free choice to avoid evil, " and to chule the good, he is unblameable what forver he · does. - ORIGEN, in his differtation against Fate, declares that, the affertors of it do free men from all fault, and caft the blame of all the evil that is done upon God. - EUSEBIUS declares, that This opinion · absolves finners, as doing nothing on their own accords • which was evil; and would caft all the blame of all: · the wickedness committed in the world upon God and · upon his providence. - That men hie under no necessity from God's foreknowledge [which was of old the chief argument of the fatalist, espouled of late by • Mr. Hobbs, and is ftill made the refuge of the predestinarians] may be thus proved, faith ORIGEN, · because the prophets are exhorted in the scripture to call " men to repentance, and to do this in such words, as if " it were unknown whether they would turn to God, or " would continue in their fins; as in those words of · Jeremiah, Perhaps they will hear, and turn every. " man from his evil way: and this is faid, not that · God understood not whether they would do this or not, • but to demonstrate the almost iqual balance of their · power jo to do, and that they might not despond, or re-• mit of their endeavours by an imagination that God's. · foreknowledge laid a necessity upon them, as not leaving · 11: it in their power to turn, and so was the cause of their fin. — If men, fays CHRYSOSTOM, do pardon their fellow men, when they are necessitated to do a thing, much more should this be done to men compelled by sate? [or by decrees] 'to do what they do; for if it be abjurd to punish them, who by the force of barbarians are compelled to any action, it must be more so to punish him who is compelled by a stronger power. — If sate be established, fays EUSEBIUS, philosophy and piety are overthrown.

Page 364, the Doctor adds : " Tho' there is in the " rational foul a power to do evil, it is not evil on that account, faith DIDYMUS Alexandrinus, but because she will freely use that power : and this is not only ours, but the opinion of ALL who speak orthodoxly of " rational beings. - St. AUGUSTIN lays down this, as the true definition of fin : Sin is the will to obtain or " retain, that which justice forbids, and from which IT " IS FREE for us to abitain. Whence he concludes, that No man is worthy of dispraise or punishment, for not doing that, which he HAS NOT POWER to do : and " that if fin be worthy of dispraise and punishment, it is not to be doubted, tunc effe peccatum cum et liberum ' est nolle,' [that our choice is fin. when we are free " not to make that choice.] " Thefe things, faith he, the . Shepherds fing upon the mountains, and the poets in the • theatres, and the unlearned in their affemblies, and the · learned in the librarics, and the dectors in the Schools, and the bishops in the churches, and mankind through-" out the whole earth."

I conclude this extract by accounting for St. Auguftin's inconfiftency. He was a warm man. And fuch men, when they write much, and do not yet firmly fland upon the line of moderation, are apt to contradict themfelves, as often as they use the armour of righteousness, as often as they use the armour of righteousness on the right hand and on the less, to oppose contrary errors. Hence it is, that when St. Auguitin opposes the Manichees, who were rigid boundwillers, he flrongly maintained Free-will with Pelagius; and when he opposed the Pelagians, who were rigid freefree-willers, he ftrongly maintained bound-will and neceffity with Manes. The fcripture-doctrine of Freewill lies between the error of Pelagius and that of Manes. The middle way between these extremes is, I hope, clearly pointed out in Section xx. — Upon the whole, he must be perverse, who can cast his eyes upon the numerous quotations which Dr. Whitby has produced, and deny that the Fathers held the doctrine of the Scripture-scales with respect to Free-will; and that, if they leaned to one extreme, it was rather to that of the Pelagians, than to that of the rigid bound-willers, who clothe their favourite doctrine of necessity with the specious names of invincible Fate, irrevocable Decrees, or absolute Predestination.

End of the Appendix.

ADVERTISEMENT.

THE KEY to the controversy, which is designed to be ended by the Scripture-Scales, proving too long for this place, the publication of it is postponed. It may one day open the way for An Essay on the XVIIth Article, under the following title:

The Doctrines of Grace reconciled to the Doctrines of Justice.

BEING

An Effay on Election and Reprobation,

In which the defects of *Pelagianifm*, *Calvinifm*, and *Arminiani/m*, are impartially pointed out, and primitive, foriptural harmony is more fully reftored to the gofpel of the day.

In the mean time, to fupply the want of the Key, the reader is prefented with the following Copious Index.

A CO-

ACOPIOUS

I N D E X

TO THE

SCRIPTURE-SCALES.

I N the ADVERTISEMENT prefixed to the first part, and in the EXPLANATION prefixed to the fecond part, the names Zelotes, Honestus, &c. and the words Pharifaism, Antinomianism, &c. are explained.

FIRST PART.

PREFACE.

	F	age
Description of a true protestant -		ii
Some Account of the Scripture-scales -		iv
The Author's three protefts		xi
	xiii,	xix
Fo 16 17 1 13	214,	
Strictures upon the Three Letters of Rich. Hill SECTION I.	, Èſq	; x v
The cause of the misunderstandings of	pious	r
protestants — — —		I
A view of the GOSPEL AXIOMS, or Weight the Sanctuary, which the Reconciler use weigh the Doctrines of GRACE and the	s to	
trines of JUSTICE	2,	, 11
The contrary millakes of Zelotes and Honest They are invited to weigh their Doctrine in		3
Scripture scales — —		5
Directions to use them properly — SEC TION II.		7
General Remarks on Free-state and Free-state SA	LVAT	9 10N

.

SALVATION is originally of Free grace, DAMNA- TION of Free-will		Page
TION of Free-will12S E C T I O N III.Scripture-principles forming the beam of the foripture tooles14The three chains by which they hang16A rational account of the origin of evil17S E C T I O N IV.An account of the covenant of works, and that of grace19Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes20The glory of Chrif, of firft Caufes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of 	SALVATION is originally of Free croce. DAMMA	1 450
S E C T I O N 111. Scripture-principles forming the beam of the foripture icales		
Scripture-principles forming the beam of the foripture foales [4] The three chains by which they hang [6] A rational account of the origin of evil [7] S E C T I O N IV. An account of the covenant of works, and that of grace [9] Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrijl, of firft Caujes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, fecond Caules, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works [7] S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers [7] It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation [7] The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewifh believers [7] The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewifh edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments [35] This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles [41 The difference between the old [jewifh] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant [43]		12
for pture icales — 14 The three chains by which they hang — 16 A rational account of the origin of evil — 17 S E C T I O N IV. An account of the covenant of works, and that of grace 19 Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrijl, of first Caujes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, jecond Caujes, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 10 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 35 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the oid [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sina 40	그렇게 잘 잘 들었다. 그는 것 같은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같은 것 같	
The three chains by which they hang — 16 A rational account of the origin of evil — 17 S E C T I O N IV. An account of the covenant of works, and that of grace — 19 Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrifl, of firfl Caujes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, jecond Caujes, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers — 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation — 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewifh believers. How far it binds chriftian be- lievers — 35—43 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewifh edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments — 35—43 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles — 41 The difference between the old [jewifh] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant — 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sina 40		1.1
A rational account of the origin of evil — 17 S E C T I O N IV. An account of the covenant of works, and that of grace [19] Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrift, of first Caujes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, fecond Caujes, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works [27] S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers [22] It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation [33] The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds chriftian be- lievers [34] The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments [35] This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits [40] Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles [41] The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant [43] A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sina [46]		
S E C T I O N IV. An account of the covenant of works, and that of grace 19 Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrijl, of first Caujes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, jecond Caujes, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works 27 S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinists 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epitles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		
of grace 19 Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrifl, of firft Caufes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, fecond Caufes, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works 27 S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewifh believers How far it binds chriftian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewifh edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epitles 41 The difference between the old [jewifh] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46	SECTION IV.	17
Eternal falvation and damnation have two caufes 20 The glory of Chrift, of first Caufes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, fecond Caufes, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works 5 E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewisth believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewisth edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewisth] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 40	이 가지 않는 것 같은 것 같	
The glory of Chrifl, of first Causes, and of origi- nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, second Causes, and derived worthines 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works 5 E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old (jewish) cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 40		
nal merit, is balanced with the importance of Obedience, fecond Caules, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works <u>S E C T I O N VI.</u> The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers <u>22</u> It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation <u>33</u> The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers <u>34</u> The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments <u>35</u> This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles <u>41</u> The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant <u>43</u> A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion <u>40</u>	에 바람이 가지 않는 것이 아파지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것에서 아파지에 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있다. 나는 것이 가지 않는 것	
Obedience, fecond Caules, and derived worthinefs 21 S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that 27 S E C T I O N VI. 27 The law is made for believers as well as for 27 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying 23 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewifh 33 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- 35-43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the difficulties, which arite from the vague meaning of 41 The difference between the old [jewifh] covenant, and the new [chriftian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 40		
S E C T I O N V. The importance of faith is balanced with that of works 27 S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewi/h believers. How far it binds chriftian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewi/h edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the oid [jewi/h] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 40		
The importance of faith is balanced with that of works SECTIONVI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewifh believers. How far it binds chriftian be- lievers The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewifh edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epintles The difference between the oid [jewifh] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion the Context of the set of the context of the set of the context of the set of the context of the set of the the context of the new [chriftian] covenant of the the context of the set of the context of the set of the the context of the new [chriftian] covenant of the set of the set of the context of the	SECTION V.	
of works SECTION VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles The difference between the old (jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 40		
S E C T I O N VI. The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewith believers. How far it binds chriftian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewith edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewith] cove- nant, and the new [chriftian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		4.10
The law is made for believers as well as for unbelievers 22 It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewith believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewith edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewith] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		-1
It is abfurd to make believers afraid of obeying the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35–43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinists 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46	The law is made for believers as well as for	
the ten commandments in order to eternal falvation 33 The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35-43 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 Ine difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		
The Decalogue was a rule of judgment for jewish believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the jewish edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35-43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arife from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 Ine difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46	the ten commandments in order to eternal	
believers. How far it binds christian be- lievers 34 The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the <i>jewish</i> edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35-43 This Dottrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [<i>jewish</i>] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		33
The Decalogue is not the Adamic law of inno- cence, but the <i>jewifh</i> edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments	believers. How far it binds christian be-	
cence, but the <i>jewifh</i> edition of the Medi- ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments	lievers — —	34
ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten arguments 35-43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinitts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arite from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		
arguments 35-43 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinits 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arife from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant - 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		
 This Doctrine is held by unprejudiced Calvinifts 40 Flavel's important diffinction to folve the difficulties, which arife from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] covenant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46 	ator's practicable law: This is proved by ten	
Flavel's important diffinction to folve the diffi- culties, which arife from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		-43
culties, which arife from the vague meaning of the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant - 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		40
the word law in St. Paul's Epittles 41 The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant - 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46 The Blance Motor and the Covenant - 43		
The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant - 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		
The difference between the old [jewish] cove- nant, and the new [christian] covenant - 43 A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		41
A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		
A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion 46		43
The DIA of Make and the Contract Oh in	A parallel between mount Sinai and mount Sion	
	The Bleffings of Mofes, and the Curjes of Christ	
		~

SEC-

.

-

SECTION VII. Obedience to the law of Chrift is [under Chrift]	age
the way to eternal falvation	52
free-will	53
The fum of the fcriptures concerning the moral law SECTION VIII.	.58
Chrift's work is balanced with our own Chrift's	
Free-grace faves us. Our Free-will <i>fubordi-</i> nately works out our own falvation	59
Christ's original righteoufness is balanced with our own derived righteoufness	389
S E C T I O N IX. General redemption, which is the most wonder- ful work of <i>Free-grace</i> , is balanced with the obstinate neglect of that redemption, which neglect is the most attonishing work of <i>Free-</i>	
Will How all men are temporally redeemed by Christ's blood; and why some men are not eternally re-	69
deemed by his fpirit	69
and finally given up to a reprobate mind. — From general Redemption flow general, fincere, and rational gospel-calls, commands, entrea- ties, &c. The power of these calls of free-grace,	
is balanced with the power of free-will — There is not one text in all the bible against gene-	70
ral redemption by price Augustin and Calvin were at times carried away by the scriptures which affert this general redemp-	8
tion	8
In what fense Christ did not pray for the world . In what fense fome people are particularly re-	84
deemed OUT OF every nation How Chrift could shed his blood for Judas who was in hell; and for David, who was in hea-	8;
ven	89
Ll	The

The company industria of all mon is no mo	Page
The temporal redemption of all men is no mo	
inconfistent with divine wildom, than the	
creation	89
SECTION X.	1
Some paffages, which are generally preffed in	to
the fervice of bound will, free wrath, and m	
cellitating grace, are balanced with other fcri	D-
cessitating grace, are balanced with other fcri tures, which explain them, and guard the do	c-
tripe of free-will	1 C C C C
The Calvinian ordaining of fome fouls to etern	al 91
life is an unforintural tenet, with a set	a1
life, is an unscriptural tenet; with a note.	14
which the frivolousness of the Rev. Mr. M	
dan's plea for that dangerous doctrine is show	'n
by ten arguments 9	4, 139
The Father peculiarly gives to the Son the for	ls
who fubmit to his paternal drawings, and a	re
faithful to their dawning light - 99, 10	6, 108
How of those whom the Father gave to Chri	
Judas was loft, that the scripture might	be
fulfilled — — —	IOI
Why the obftinate Jews could not believe, an	
why they were none of Chrift's fheep -	104
Inattention to the doctrine of the various difpe	
fations of God's grace, milleads the defende	re
fations of God's grace, milleads the defende	
of the adulterated doctrines of grace	100
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpensations is scriptural	100
of the adulterated doctrines of grace — The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural SECTION XI.	- 110
of the adulterated doctrines of grace — The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to thro	- 110
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the proposition of the second sec	109 - 110 W J12
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second s	109 - 110 W J12
of the adulterated doctrines of grace — The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second propos	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposition of the second s	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113 in 114
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113 in 114
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113 in 114
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113 in 114 of
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 w 112 nd 113 in 114 of m.
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 W 112 nd 113 in 114 ife of m. 20, 121
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second propositions of the second proposition proposition of the second proposition propositi	109 - 110 W 112 nd 113 in 114 ife of m. 20, 121
of the adulterated doctrines of grace The doctrine of the difpenfations is fcriptural S E C T I O N XI. Five couple of balanced propositions to through the second proposition of the second proposit	109 - 110 W 112 nd 113 in 114 ife of m. 20, 121

+

4

Why St. Paul mentioned the cafes of Ishmael		
and Efau	118	
The reprobation of justice, which is caused by obstinate unbelief and disobedience, is most		
judiciously instanced in Pharaoh	119	
In what fense God raifed up Pharaoh to fhow forth his power in him	120	
The election of grace is balanced with the elec-		
tion of justice	124	
A specimen of Mr. Henry's inconsistent exposi-		A
tion of Rom. ix.	125	
How the purpose of God, according to the elec- tion of grace, does not stand of works but of	e	
diftinguishing grace [Note]	1:27	
In what sense Esau was hated	128	
A view of God's judicial merdening, which flows		
from the reprobation of justice	129	
God has a right to keep fome men absolutely out		
of the covenant of peculiarity, according to		
the election and reprobation of diffinguishing		
favour; in which case, he acts with fovereign- grace, as a supreme and wise Benefactor, who		
could not possibly bestow his peculiar benefits		
opon all. In this fense he makes a christian		
comparatively a weffel to honour; ordering that		
a jew, who was before the honourable veffel,		
shall be a vessel of comparative dishonour 128-	131	
God has a right, as fupreme lawgiver, notonly ju-		
dicially to give up obstinate unbelievers to their voluntary hardness, according to the election		
and reprobation of fovereign justice; but alfo	÷.	
to appoint that fuch obstinate unbelievers, fuch		
imitators of Pharaoh, thall be veffels of wrath		
felf-fitted for destruction - 131, 132, 135,	136	
God is the righteous author of the natural evil of		
punishment, when man's self-perverted free-will has been the author of the moral evil of disobe-	×	
dience	37	
The translators of our bible improperly infinuate, that the wicked are appointed to be disobedient 138,		
	low.	

Page

How the Lord faid to Shimei, Curle David	Pag
How God punished David by no longer restrain- ing Absalom	
How God caufed the Egyptians to hate his peo-	14
ple	il
Whether the Lord deceived Jeremiah Calvinian election is as fubverfive of the ferip-	.14
ture, as Calvinian reprobation — — SECTION XII.	14
There is an unconditional election of fovereign grace, and a conditional election of impartial	
juffice	14
Ten directions to understand the dostrine of elec-	
tion	14
The doctrine of the unconditional election and reprobation of grace, is illustrated by St. Paul's account of the various classes of vessels in God's house, which vessels are comparatively honoura-	
ble or difhonourable The fame doctrine is farther illustrated by God's absolute election of fome of his fervants, to re- ceive a greater number of talents; and by his absolute reproduction of others of his fervants from that greater number of talents, agreea-	15
bly to the parable of the talents	15
The remunerative election, and the retributive reprobation of justice, are both illustrated by	
the latter part of the parable of the talents — That parable is confidered as it is connected with the parable of the virgins, and the account of	150
A balanced view of the fcriptures, which affert our election of GRACE, and our election of	159
JUSTICE in Chrift SECTION XIII.	160
Our election and calling in Chrift, which is main-	
tained in Eph. i, is not a being calvinifically	
ordained and called to eternal life, from among myniads of men unconditionally reprobated	
from eternal life, and abfolutely ordained to	
	rn

eternal death : but a being chofen and called from the darkness of gentilism, and from the ob/curity of Judaism, to the comparatively-marwellous light of the christian dispensation. The proofs of this affertion are taken from St. Paul's own words to the Ephefians 16% 166 The genuine fenie of Eph. i. This fenfe is confirmed by the conceffions of 168 fome Calvinift-commentators How much Rom. ix, and Eph. i, are wrefted from their apollolic meaning 170, 171 The accounts of the book of life are caft into the fcales, and the balance proves the fcriptural elections of free-grace and impartial juffice, and disproves the Calvinian election of lawles grace, and the reprobation of free-wrath - 172:

SECOND PART.

PREFACE.

The Reconciler's Petition to his opponents - iii. What are the capital questions which he has debated with them V. VI. He intreats them to end the controverfy by fairly breaking one of his Scripture-Scales, or by candidly receiving them both VII. SECTION XIV. The final perfeverance of the faints has two - caufes: The firf is the final exertion of God's free-grace : and the fecond is the final concurrence of the believer's obedient free-will. This reconciling doctrine of perfeverance is laid down in eight balanced propofitions. 177" These propositions are summed up, 1800 The fcripture-weights of Free-grace are balanced with those of Free-will, respecting the doctrine of perseverance 1811 LIJ S-E-C-

Page

(498)

SECTION XV. The doctrine of perfeverance is farther weighed	
in the Scripture-Scales, with the weights of	
f	2
SECTION XVI.	3
Christ's thoughts concerning fallen believers and	1
apoflates 20	5
The thoughts of St. John on the same subject 20	
Those of St. Paul and St. James - 20	8
St. Peter's description of antinomian apostates,	
is balanced with St. Jude's description of law-	
less backsliders 20	9
No hint is given about the certain, infallible	-
return of one of the multitude of these back-	
fliders 21	
SECTION XVII.	•
The plan of reconciliation between Zelotes and	
T.T. 0	_
The anti-pharifaic Briftol-DECLARATION is	2
adouted awarded and Granathaned to defaul	
adopted, guarded, and ftrengthened to defend	-
the doctrines of grace and faith 210	2
An anti-folifidian, scriptural DECLARATION is	
added to it, and balanced with it, in order to	
guard the doctrines of justice and obedience - ib	
The scripture-ground of these two Declarations. 218	
Bishop Beveridge faw the beauty of fuch a plan 220	2
It is in vain to hope for a lafting reconciliation	
upon any other 221	(
It is unreasonable not to repose an inferior trust	
in the fecondary means and caufes of our eter-	
nal falvation 222	
To repose our inferior trust in Christ is to infult	
	5
How the crowns of the faithful agree with the	
Redeemer's crown - 225	
What kind of trust in man and things brings us	
under the curfe 227	
It is a very wicked thing to trust the Lord exclu-	
fively of every body elfe - 228	
The explicit knowledge of the doctrines of grace	
and faith, is balanced with the explicit know-	
ledge of the doctrines of justice and works 229	
Which	-

Page

(409)	P
Which of the two extremes appeared most danger- ous to Mr. Baxter,-that of Zelotes-or that	
of Honeftus The Author's thoughts on that delicate fubject, and why he dares not give the preference to	230
Zelotes How an unprejudiced gospel-minister steers his course between the errors of Zelotes and Ho-	231
SECTION XVIII.	233
The doctrine of Free-grace is farther maintained against Honeflus by fix arguments — — The doctrine of Free-will is farther maintained	234
against Zelotes by twelve arguments — SECTION XIX.	236
Zelotes produces his first objection to a reconcili- ation. He cannot reconcile man's free-will	
with God's foreknowledge and decrees — The flaw of his argument Our Lord is introduced as answering for himfelf,	244 ib.
and fhowing, by 13 remarks, how his prefcience is confiftent with our liberty	246
Why God gives one or more talents of faving grace, even to those who bury them	253
A fine observation of Archbishop King upon the confistency of God's foreknowledge with man's	
free-will The abfurdity of fuppofing, that God cannot certainly know all the future events which de-	255
pend upon the will of Free-agents, because we cannot thus foreknow these events — SECTION XX.	257
Zelotes's fecond objection to a reconciliation. It is taken from the plaufible doctrine of bound-will	۰.
and neceffity	258
A general answer to the objection	260
What difference * there is between being wil- ling and being free-willing	261 Vhat

• When I wrote the first Checks I had not fufficiently attended to

1

-

V 445 J	
	Page
What our liberty does confift in	2631
Some diffinctions needful to understand the doc-	
trines of necessity and liberty	268
All bealls have naturally a degree of brutal lie	
berty	269
Qur liberty increases with our powers	270
All men have some liberty in spiritual, as well as	
in natural things. There is absolutely no na-	
tural man [in the Calvinian fense of the word]	
The fcriptures never mention fuch a being	
	275-
To suppose that any man is the natural man of	
the Galvinifts, is to exculpate him and to charge	
God foolifhly	273:
Unconverted finners have fome liberty	ib.
What milleads the oppofers of liberty	274:
Our various degrees of liberty are inftanced in	
natural. civil, moral, and devotional liberty -	- 275:
The absurdity of pleading, that we have abfo-	
lutely no power	276.
The THIRD + OBJECTION of Zelotes to a re-	
conciliation, is Prefident Edwards's grand ar-	
gument for bound will; and his capital objec-	
tion against what he is pleased to call Armini-	
anifm.	
An answer to it	27 8. ib.
SECTION XXI.	
The fourth Objection of Zelotes against a recon-	6.0×1
ciliation	283
The Fathers held the doctrine of the Scales with	
respect to free will as well as free-grace -	284
This is proved by quotations from seventeen of	
them	285
Augustin himfelf was at times for free-will -	295
See alfo the Appendix on this head	111.
	Nay.
to this difference. Whatever clashes there with it, I no	
nonnea as a remain of Calvinian confusion	

nounce as a remain of Calvinian confusion.

÷

+ In the body of the work, thro' miftake, the author did not properly diffinguish this objection as the THIRD OBJECTION of Zelotes.

.

In what fense God's grace may be faid to be	Pag-
resistible [Note]	39
Nay, he more than once runs into the extr of rigid free-willers	298
The preceding affertion concerning the Fat is supported by quotations from eminent	
dern divines	300
Bishop Davenant himself, the champion of Calvinist, is forced to grant as much H	
will as the Reconciler contends for -	- 30
So did Cranmer, who prudently flood up Free-grace and Free-will, and found the	
lance of the two gospel-axioms	. 30
So does the church of England in her Artic	les,
and liturgy	300
Pelagian, rigid free-willers depart from the o trine of the church of England; not mo	
rate bible-free willers [Note]	30
Moderate free-willers are neither Pelagians, fuch as were formerly called Semi-Pel	
ars 3	02, 319
SECTION XXII.	
Zelotes's fifth Objection to a reconciliation	32.
The early Fathers held the reconciler's doctrin A Specimen of Mr. Toplady's Hiftoric proo	
their Calvinism	32
Barnabas was no Calvinist	- 32.
St. Clement was a strong perfectionist	- 320
His doctrine concerning charity covering	our
fins	32
Polycarp was no Calvinist, but a perfectionist Ignatius far from being a Calvinist, maintai the doctrine of rewards, evangelical wor	ned
nefs, and chriftian perfection	
SECTION XXIII.	329
Zelotes's firsh Objection to a reconciliation	333
Moderate Free-will perfectly agrees with Ge	od's
fovereignty	334
An answer to Mr. Toplady's grand argum against free-will, and the pure gospel, whi	ich,
	under

4

(4:2)	Fage
under the name of Arminianism, he represents	
as atheiftical	1 1 Lat.
Various answers to what Mr. Whitefield calls the	337
"inextricable dilemma" in favour of bound-	
will, and Calvinian reprobation	242
All the divine perfections [Sovereignty not ex-	342
cepted] are eclipfed, or obscured by the Cal-	
winian ductrines of grace	349
SECTION XXIV.	515
Zelotes's feventh objection to a reconciliation	354
The agreement of first and second causes, mo-	
tives, and means, is proved by a variety of	
illustrations	355
The union of Free-grace and Free-will, together	
with the SUPERIORITY of Free-grace, is il-	
lustrated by the scriptural emblem of a mar-	434
riage	359
The unreasonableness of those who fincerely obey,	
and yet decry fincere obedience	362
Remarks upon opposition in harmony, to illustrate	
the harmonious opposition of the two gospel-	
The absurdity of folemnizing the gospel-marri-	363
age with Free-grace or with Free-will alone	365
The Superiority of Free-grace is farther ettab-	
lifhed — — —	366
SECTION XXV.	
The errors of Zelotes are fummed up	370
The mischief of these errors	372
The errors of Honeflus and their mischief -	374
How Lorenzo is hurt by both	376
Directions to Zelotes and Honeftus	378
Encouragements	380
'I hey are pressed to a speedy reconciliation	38z
The Reconciler expresses his respect for the recon-	-
ciled rivals, and accounts for the plainnefs of	
his reproofs.	386
	E R-

-

ERRATA.

The four first of which particularly obscure the sense.

 180. 7, 8. from the bottom (fecond column) prefied, &cc. upon read decried, &cc. before. 188. 9. when read then 259. 3. [Note] execute read excufe. 346. 21. ANY read ALL. 178. 9. fo free-will read of free-will. 184. 25. fath read faith. 198. laft. IT read IF. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 	Page. Line. 1	add the following line :
 upon read decried, &c. before. 188. 9. woben read then 259. 3. [Note] execute read excufe. 346. 21. ANY read ALL. 178. 9. fo free-will read of 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. 1T read 1F. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. copable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them at. 236. 15. the mas read them at. 236. 15. the mas read them at. 236. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 282. 32. \$\$py o read \$\$py of read \$\$py of the staten read \$\$press. 289. 1, 2, 3. enclofe the quotation in commas down to Frem. 280. 1aft ine but five knows, alfo read knows alfo, 293. 307. In thefe, and fome other pages, exclude the addition in brackets from the quotations. 294. 21. proædefinatio read prædition. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read presogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant and of men. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The 350. 31. if God, after read if [after, 290. 5. prædefinavit read prædefinavit read prædefinavit, &cc. 	180. 7, 8. from the bottom	
 188. 9. when read then 259. 3. [Note] execute read excufe. 346. 21. ANY read ALL. 178. 9. fo free-will read of free-will. 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. 1T read 1F. 212. laft. ordially read cor- dially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 210. 1. capable o read capa- ble of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 221. laft. awning read winning. 231. 12. eleff read rewardable eleff. 231. 34. dele the commas be- fore deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 235. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 273. 18. at, read of. 289. 1, 2, 3. enclose the quo- tation in commas down to Frem. 289. 1, 2, 3. enclose the quo- tation in commas down to Frem. 289. 1, 2, 3. enclose the quo- tation in commas down to Frem. 29, 307. In these, and fome other pages, exclude the addi- tions in brackets from the quotations. 294. 21. procedifinatio read præ- destinatio. 200. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant 300. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant 300. 7. After the first myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, 200. 34. unbelief; be read un- belief] God. 300. 5. prædefinativit read præ- deftinativ, &c. 	(fecond column) preffed, &cc.	이 이 것이 같아요. 이 집에 있는 것이 같아요. 이 집에서 있는 것 같아요. 이 집에 있는 것이 없다.
 259. 3. [Note] execute read excufe. 346. 21. ANY read ALL. 178. 9. fo free-will read of free-will. 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. 1T read 1F. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 221. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleff read rewardable eleft. 231. 13. eleff read rewardable eleft. 233. 15. the mas read them at. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. wolition. read wolition, eff. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. laft plain read of. 264. 21. proceed finatio read pre-ogative read prerogative. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prevoricatione. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prevogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read une forme dations. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The fame erratum occurs in the next line. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The fame erratum occurs in the next line. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read fig. 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after. 267. laft bla Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	upon read decried, &c. before.	282. 32. Epyo read Epyov
 259. 3. [Note] execute read excufe. 346. 21. ANY read ALL. 178. 9. fo free-will read of free-will. 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. 1T read 1F. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 221. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleff read rewardable eleft. 231. 13. eleff read rewardable eleft. 233. 15. the mas read them at. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. wolition. read wolition, eff. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. laft plain read of. 264. 21. proceed finatio read pre-ogative read prerogative. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prevoricatione. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prevogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read une forme dations. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The fame erratum occurs in the next line. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The fame erratum occurs in the next line. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read fig. 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after. 267. laft bla Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	188. 9. ruben read then	289. 1, 2, 3. enclose the quo-
 346. 21. ANY read ALL. 178. 9. fo free-will read of free-will. 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. IT read IF. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable of ead capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. fift. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 277. 18. at, read of. 	259. 3. [Note] execute read	tation in commas down to
 178. 9. fo free-will read of free-will. 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. IT read IF. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. copable o read capable of. 210. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. elect read rewardable elect. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. fift. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good ad moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 277. 18. at, read of. read knows alfo, 293, 307. In thefe, and fome other pages, exclude the additions in brackets from the quotations. 294. 21. procedefinatio read prævaricatione read prævaricatione. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prerog ative. 300. 14. inac, sou ND read UN-sou ND. The fame erratum occurs in the next line. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read guotations. The 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; be read unbelief] God. Sometimes æ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædefinavit read præ-definavit seter præ-definavit read præ-definavit read præ-defi		Do. last line but five knows, allo
 free-will. 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. IT read IF. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. I. capable o read capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. zunning read zwinning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 236. laft aevou read devour. 236. laft aevou read devour. 236. laft aevou read devour. 236. laft not add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. laft. plain read intelligible. 267. 18ft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	178. 9. fo free-will read of	read knows alfo,
 184. 25. fatb read faitb. 198. laft. IT read IF. 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. zonning read zwinning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them at. 236. laft aevou read devour. 236. laft not add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. laft plain read intelligible. 267. 18. at, read of. 	free-will.	293, 307. In thefe, and fome
 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. copable o read capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 221. laft. tonning read twinning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 263. 15. the mas read them as. 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intellizible. 267. 18. at, read of. 219. 18. at, read of. 210. 22. præ varicatione read prævaricatione. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prerog ative. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prerog ative. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prerog ative. 296. 14. much arrogant read uncharter at a volution. 296. 14. much arrogant read uncharter at the first myriads add of men. 296. 3. prædefinavit read præ-definavit, &cc. 		other pages, exclude the addi-
 212. laft. ordially read cordially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 221. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intellizible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 210. after other other	198. laft. IT read IF.	tions in brackets from the
 dially. 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 221. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 232. 15. the mas read them at. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 294. 21. proædeffinatio read præ-definatio. 20. 22. præ varicatione read prævaricatione. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prerogant read much arrogant read much more arrogant 300. 14. much arrogant read un-sou no occurs in the next line. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read guotations. The 352. 31. if God, after read if [after. 300. 34. unbelief ; be read unbelief] God. 30. 5. prædefinatio read præ-definatio. 	212. laft. ordially read cor-	quotations.
 213. 9, after other put a comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. copable o read capable of. 219. 1. copable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. vonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them at. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft e plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 219. 210. 22. præ varicatione read prævaricatione. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read prerogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant 330. laft line, sound evons the read unch fign men. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The 350. 7. After the firft myriads ad of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, 364. sometimes æ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædefinavit read præ-deftinavit, &c. 		294. 21. procedestinatio read pra-
 comma. 215. 20. 2d. column fign read fign. 219. 1. capable o read capa- ble of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. vonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas be- fore deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them at. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	213. 9, after other put a	destinatio.
 fign. 219. I. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. zwnning read zwinning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. After not add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read preogative. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read preogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant 300. laft line, sound read unstable. 30. laft line, sound read unstable. 30. laft line, sound read avointing. 30. laft line, sound read unstable. 30. laft line, sound read satan. 30. laft line, sound read of. 	comma.	Do. 22. præ varicatione read
 fign. 219. I. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. zwnning read zwinning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. After not add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read preogative. 295. laft but 3. prorogative read preogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant 300. laft line, sound read unstable. 30. laft line, sound read unstable. 30. laft line, sound read avointing. 30. laft line, sound read unstable. 30. laft line, sound read satan. 30. laft line, sound read of. 	215. 20. 2d. column fign read	prævaricatione.
 219. 1. capable o read capable of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. wnning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. read prerogative. 300. 14. much arrogant read much more arrogant 300. laft line, sound read un-sound the next line. 300. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; he read unbelief] God. Sometimes æ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædeflinavit read prædeflinavit, &c. 	fign.	295. last but 3. prorogative
 ble of. 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 18. at, read of. 300. 14. much arrogant read more arrogant 30. laft line, sound under arrogant 300. Note, 4. quotations the read guotations. The 300. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; be read under arrogant 300. 31. unbelief; be read under arrogant 300. 5. pradefinavit read predet	219. I. capable o read capa-	read prerogative.
 220. 9. Heroa read Herod. 222. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. plain read of. 	ble of.	300. 14. much arrogant read
 222. laft. wonning read winning. 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 330. laft 'Ine, sound read un-sound of the sound of	220. 9. Heroa read Herod.	
 231. 12. eleft read rewardable eleft. 231. 34. dele the commas before deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	222. laft. wnning read winning.	330. laft line, SOUND read UN-
 231. 34. dele the commas be- fore deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft. the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	231. 12. elect read rewardable	SOUND.
 fore deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; he read unbelief] God. Sometimes æ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædeftinavit read prædeftinavit, &c. 		The fame erratum occurs in the
 fore deadly. 233. 15. the mas read them as. 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 267. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 350. Note, 4. quotations the read quotations. The 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; he read unbelief] God. Sometimes æ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædeftinavit read prædeftinavit, &c. 	231. 34. dele the commas be-	next line.
 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; be read unbelief] God. Sometimes œ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædefinavit read prædefinavit, &c. 	fore deadly.	350. Note, 4. quotations the read
 238. laft aevou read devour. 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 350. 7. After the firft myriads add of men. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after, Do. 34. unbelief; be read unbelief] God. Sometimes œ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædefinavit read prædefinavit, &c. 	233. 15. the mas read them as.	quotations. The
 239. 3. The e read There. 262. firft. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intellizible. 273. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 	238. last aevou read devour.	350. 7. After the first myriads
 262. first. volition. read volition, 263. 2. Before good add moral. 263. 2. Before good add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. 352. 31. if God, after read if [after,] 352. 31. if God, after read unbelogitation [after,] 352. 31. if God, [after,] 352. 31.	239. 3. The e read There.	
263. 2. Before good add moral.[after,After not add moral.Do. 34. unbelief; be read un-267. 2. better read more fuitable.Do. 34. unbelief; be read un-267. 1aft. plain read intellizible.Sometimes æ is put for æ, as in273. 1aft the Satan read Satan.290. 5. prædefinavit read præ-277. 18. at, read of.deftinavit, &c,	262. firft. volition. read volition,	352. 31. if God, after read if
After not add moral. 267. 2. better read more fuitable. 267. 1aft. plain read intelligible. 273. 1aft the Satan read Satan. 277. 18. at, read of. Do. 34. unbelief; be read un- belief] God. Sometimes œ is put for æ, as in 290. 5. prædefinavit read præ- deftinavit, &c.	263. 2. Before good add moral.	[after,
267. laft. plain read intellizible. Sometimes æ is put for æ, as in 273. laft the Satan read Satan. 273. 18. at, read of. 290. 5. prædefinavit read præ- deftinavit, &c.	After not add moral.	Do. 34. unbelief; be read un-
273. last the Satan read Satan. 290. 5. prædefinavit read præ- 277. 18. at, read of. destinavit, &c.	267.2. better read more fuitable.	
277. 18. at, read of. destinavit, &c.	267. laft. plain read intelligible.	
277. 18. at, read of. 277. Between line 25 and 26. 402. 20. 22 read 32.	273. laft the Satan read Satan.	290. 5. prædeftinavit read præ-
277, Between line 25 and 26. 402. 20. 22 read 32.	277. 18. at, read of.	
	277, Between line 25 and 26.	402. 20. 22 read 32.

2