



Bodleian Libraries

UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD


This book is part of the collection held by the Bodleian Libraries and scanned by Google, Inc. for the Google Books Library Project.

For more information see:

<http://www.bodleian.ox.ac.uk/dbooks>



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 2.0 UK: England & Wales (CC BY-NC-SA 2.0) licence.


The image shows the front cover of a book. The cover is a dark, textured green color. In the center, the title is printed in gold-tooled, all-caps serif font. The title is arranged in three lines: "THE" on the first line, "SOCIAL & POLITICAL" on the second line, and "DEPENDENCE OF WOMEN." on the third line. The text is surrounded by a decorative border consisting of multiple concentric lines. The innermost border is a simple double-line rectangle. The next border out is a more complex, repeating geometric pattern. The outermost border is a wide, dark band with a subtle, repeating decorative motif. The overall appearance is that of a classic, possibly leather-bound or high-quality cloth-bound book.


THE
SOCIAL & POLITICAL
DEPENDENCE OF WOMEN.





600039210L







THE
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL DEPENDENCE
OF
WOMEN.

LONDON
PRINTED BY SPOTTISWOODE AND CO.
NEW-STREET SQUARE



THE
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL DEPENDENCE
OF
WOMEN.

Ἴσως δὴ, εἶπον, παρὰ τὸ ἔθος γελοῖα ἂν φαίνοιτο πολλὰ περὶ τὰ νῦν λεγόμενα, εἰ πράξεται ἢ λέγεται.

PLATO.

'The intellectual and moral differences between the sexes, seem to me to be entirely the result of *education*; using that word in its most extensive sense, to comprehend not merely the instruction received from teachers, but the habits of mind imposed by situation, or by the physical organisation of the animal frame.'

DUGALD STEWART.

'Asserting the rights which women in common with men ought to contend for, we have not attempted to extenuate their faults; but to prove them to be the natural consequence of their education and station in society.'

MARY WOLLSTONECRAFT.

'Parmi les progrès de l'esprit humain les plus importans pour le bonheur général, nous devons compter l'entière destruction des préjugés, qui ont établi entre les deux sexes une inégalité de droits funeste à celui même qu'elle favorise. On chercheroit en vain des motifs de la justifier, par les différences de leur organisation physique, par celle qu'on voudroit trouver dans la force de leur intelligence, dans leur sensibilité morale. Cette inégalité n'a eu d'autre origine que l'abus de la force, et c'est vainement qu'on a essayé depuis, de l'excuser par des sophismes.'

CONDORCET.



LONDON:
LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO.
1867.

The right of translation is reserved.

232. e. 43.



PREFACE.

IN WRITING on a subject which the late Mrs. J. S. Mill has treated with a breadth of view, felicity of illustration, and closeness of reasoning, worthy of her great reputation, we have been obliged to touch much of the ground cultivated by her genius. Whenever we have done so, we have ventured to adopt her language; and though we are happy to feel that her influence has had much to do in strengthening our convictions, we are not aware that we have made any direct use of her labour without acknowledgment. As, however, we quote from Mrs. Mill at some length on two or three occasions; as these quotations do not give any adequate idea of the essay from which they are taken; and as every one, in common with Mr. Mill, must feel a pride in the reputation of this most distinguished woman, we take the liberty to subjoin, with some omissions, the paragraph with which Mr.

Mill prefaces the essay on the 'Enfranchisement of Women,' in the second volume of his 'Dissertations, &c.' Any one who has not mastered and made that essay his own, is without the advantage of some of the best materials out of which to form an opinion:—

' It is proper to state that she (Mrs. Mill) never regarded it (the essay) as a complete discussion of the subject which it treats of; and, highly as I estimate it, I would rather it remained unacknowledged, than that it should be read with the idea that even the faintest image can be found in it of a mind and heart which in their union of the rarest, and what are deemed the most conflicting excellences, were unparalleled in any human being that I have known or read of. . . . So elevated was the general level of her faculties, that the highest poetry, philosophy, oratory, or art, seemed trivial by the side of her, and equal only to expressing some part of her mind. And there is no one of those modes of manifestation in which she could not easily have taken the highest rank, had not her inclinations led her for the most part to content herself with being the inspirer, prompter, and unavowed coadjutor of others. . . . Had she

lived to write out all her thoughts on this great question, she would have produced something as far transcending in profundity the present essay, as, had she not placed a rigid restraint on her feelings, she would have excelled it in fervid eloquence. Yet nothing which even she could have written on any subject, would have given an adequate idea of the depth and compass of her mind. As during life she continually detected, before any one else had seemed to perceive them, those changes of times and circumstances which ten or twelve years later became subjects of general remark, so I venture to prophesy that if mankind continue to improve, their spiritual history for ages to come will be the progressive working out of her thoughts, and realisation of her conceptions.'



THE
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL DEPENDENCE
OF
W O M E N.

brings inflation, until at last these scribblers regard themselves as compounds of wit and wisdom, and

* 'It is a terrible fancy—that of papa arguing his daughters out of the Yellow ranks into the Blue, or giving his wife a choice between independence and pin-money. As we have said, it is nearly impossible to treat this subject with much gravity.'—*Standard*.

'Families and household matters would be neglected during election time, and the husband and brother would be fortunate if they could obtain a hot dinner on those exciting occasions.'—*Observer*.

The subject of this inquiry is the *Political Dependence of Women* ; but as some social considerations arise in the course of the argument, and in order to avoid the division of so short a treatise into chapters, we have adopted the larger title, the ' Social and Political Dependence of Women.'

THE
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL DEPENDENCE
OF
W O M E N.

[The foot-notes, except those indicated by a figure, only give the authorities for the objections noticed in the following pages. The reader who relies on the accuracy of our statements, may altogether omit any reference to the unnumbered notes.]

THE SUBJECT of the Enfranchisement of Women usually excites laughter and ridicule. That women should have votes is so novel a proposal, and therefore, to untrained minds, so absurd, that few can refrain from indulging in what are meant to be jokes and witticisms.* Writers who have hitherto laboured in vain to produce the faintest smile upon the faces of their readers, now find no difficulty in producing a broad grin. Success brings inflation, until at last these scribblers regard themselves as compounds of wit and wisdom, and

* 'It is a terrible fancy—that of papa arguing his daughters out of the Yellow ranks into the Blue, or giving his wife a choice between independence and pin-money. As we have said, it is nearly impossible to treat this subject with much gravity.'—*Standard*.

'Families and household matters would be neglected during election time, and the husband and brother would be fortunate if they could obtain a hot dinner on those exciting occasions.'—*Observer*.

ascribe to their own cleverness what is in fact due to the prejudice and ignorance which they address. Others say, that though ridicule is a most effective weapon they generously lay it aside, partly in deference to the great men who have made the ridiculous tenet an article of their creed, and partly on account of the inherent strength of their objections and arguments.* They seem to be utterly unaware that ridicule never was and never will be demonstration. Ridicule is sometimes useful, but it is only in bringing to bear on those whom nobler feelings do not influence, the force which other people's opinions usually exercise. But, unfortunately, in this, its only use, it is as easily and as often wielded in a bad as in a good cause. Observe, then, either the ignorance or

* 'Though ridicule is not only a most effective but a perfectly fair weapon to employ when serious argument would be wholly thrown away, there are occasions on which its use is to be strongly deprecated. We take leave to say that the discussion as to the propriety of extending the electoral franchise to women is one of them. All the more because the laugh can be easily raised, should we guard ourselves against inopportune indulgence in extravagant humour.'—*Daily Telegraph*.

'There is yet another motive for treating the question with sobriety and candour rather than with invidious levity. The most conspicuous advocate of a female franchise in this country is one of its most illustrious and independent thinkers.'—*Daily Telegraph*.

'It is not very easy to speak with perfect gravity of a scheme which, perpetuating the disfranchisement of married ladies who are not separated from their husbands, and of young ladies who are not orphans, admits to the polling-booth a mixed multitude of widows and those whom, for want of a more respectful term, we must needs call old maids.'—*Times*.

disingenuousness of these writers. In the one case, what are we to think of those who have never discovered that in the various processes of induction and deduction, ridicule is entirely and absolutely useless; or in the other case, of those who pretend that in abandoning a worthless weapon which they know, like the ill-directed boomerang of the Indian, will recoil on themselves, they are influenced by the most high-minded motives? Others again, whose mathematical knowledge cannot embrace the sixth proposition of Euclid's first book, seem to think that ridicule and the principle *reductio ad absurdum* are synonymous.* If this were so, the Elements would indeed be one of the most satirical works extant; a proposition which even a writer who owes Mr. Mill a small, much less 'a deep debt of gratitude,' † would scarcely attempt to support.

But it is argued that the tendency to produce laughter is in itself a proof of fallacy.‡ In truth, however, the tendency is only a proof of novelty. Locke says that the sense of humour lies

* 'We have abstained from anything like banter or any available *reductio ad absurdum*.'—*Daily Telegraph*.

† *Daily Telegraph*.

‡ 'A novelty which is absolutely certain to be rejected is in itself little adapted to command popular respect; nor can grave politicians be expected to devote more than cursory attention to a scheme which, at least in the present generation, will raise no practical issue.'—*Saturday Review*.

wherever resemblance and incongruity produce an agreeable fancy. Addison says that wit must add surprise to delight, and that novelty is an essential part of it. Nothing is ludicrous in the idea of the enfranchisement of women—in their political equality—except its novelty; and if it provokes laughter and ridicule, it only incurs the same penalty as every new idea opposed to preconceived notions. New ideas radically different from existing opinions are generally treated as absurd, and often for no other reason than their newness.

A large number of the errors, and want of success, in physical inquiries among the Greeks, may be traced to this mental infirmity. Aristotle assumed that motion in a circle was the perfect kind of motion, and thence inferred that such was the motion of the heavenly bodies, since it would be absurd to suppose that they could have any other than the perfect motion. The doctrine of the four elements was proved in a somewhat similar way. The belief in antipodes was long rejected, because it assumed the absurdity that men could exist with their heads continually downwards. Copernicus, though supported by a bishop, a cardinal, and two of the most learned astronomers of the age, dared not give his discoveries to the world till eleven years after they were made. His opinions

meanwhile getting noised abroad, he was satirised on the stage at Elburg. The persecution which Galileo underwent in his old age, is one of the most melancholy events in the progress of learning. His doctrines were branded as heretical and absurd; and who so branded them? The inquisitors and others whose ignorance astonished him. Harvey's and Jenner's discoveries were met with ridicule and contempt. And, more recently, a writer in the 'Edinburgh Review' earned an unenviable notoriety, by ridiculing the notion that men would ever travel at the rate of forty or fifty miles an hour. In social matters, the fashion of waltzing was hailed as indecent and ridiculous; smoking as most absurd; and the fashions in dress and manners of preceding generations often appear ludicrous to the next, though custom may alone save their own fashions from appearing even more ridiculous. A century ago, opinions which are now considered axiomatic would have brought their disciples to a lunatic asylum. In fact, in religion, in philosophy, in everything which has engaged the attention of man, from the discovery of worlds to the invention of a new mode, the difficulty is not to find anything which has been received with ridicule and contempt, but to discover anything which has not.

We regret we cannot yet dismiss those much relied on weapons in the quiver of our opponents, ridicule and novelty. It is true that they have no real force, but they are so often used by those who pretend to, and who to some extent do, supply the public with opinions, that they must be met at some sacrifice to ourselves, and at the risk of boring the reader. The sole meaning, if it has any meaning, of such a sentence as the one which follows, is that the enfranchisement of women is undesirable because it is new, because it interferes with things as they are now arranged :—‘ Wherever Christianity has penetrated, there exists, as it were, a tacit concordat guaranteeing to the weaker sex the protection and deference of the stronger, upon one condition only; that condition is the political dependence of women, and it is a condition the breach of which might involve consequences far beyond our powers of prediction.’* Now such an argument which assumes the point at issue, and which threatens some awful or ridiculous consequences if the old system is abandoned and any innovation permitted, has been used in support of every injustice or absurdity which time, aided by selfishness, ignorance, or superstition, has fostered in the habits or political systems of past ages.

* ‘Times.’

It was a superstitious, bigoted, persecuting belief in the infallible wisdom of current opinion, founded, so to say, more on established custom than on rational conviction, which led to the death of Socrates, because he introduced new deities, and corrupted the youth; which led to the persecution of the Christians by Herod, by Nero, by Adrian, by Marcus Aurelius, and by many others, periodically, in different parts of the world, up to the time of Constantine the Great; which led to the bloody wars of the Crusades; to the massacres of Stockholm, St. Bartholomew, and of the Protestants during the Irish Rebellion in 1641; to the banishment of Cornelius Agrippa; to the burning of Huss and Jerome of Prague for heresy; to the burning of Savonarola for preaching against the crimes of the clergy; to the burning of Michael Servetus at Geneva; the persecution of Kepler, of Raleigh, of Selden, of Cranmer, and of Ridley. It was this same obedience to the influence of custom, to the authority of 'law and usage,' to which Mrs. Mill refers in these words:—'In the ancient world the same fact was alleged, with equal truth, in behalf of slavery. It might have been alleged in favour of the mitigated form of slavery, serfdom, all through the Middle Ages. It was urged against freedom of industry, freedom of

conscience, freedom of the press; none of these liberties were thought compatible with a well-ordered state, until they had proved their possibility by actually existing as facts.'

The 'novelty'¹ objection, then, is of no force; for it only repeats the old assertion, that whatever always has been, always must be.² It might, indeed, be urged that, unless our boasted civilisation and progress are but a vain conceit, the fact of a doctrine being approved by one age and aban-

¹ Plato said that there is no natural difference between the sexes, except in strength; and that they should both equally participate in the government of the state. The idea, then, can scarcely be called new, notwithstanding the constant protestations of certain writers:—

Κινδυνεύομεν γοῦν ἄκοντες ἀντιλογίας ἕπτεσθαι. Πῶς; Τὸ τὴν ἄλλην φύσιν ὅτι οὐ τῶν αὐτῶν δεῖ ἐπιτηδευμάτων τυγχάνειν πάνυ ἀνδρείως τε καὶ ἐριστικῶς κατὰ τὸ ὄνομα διώκομεν. . . .

Ἄλλ' ἔτι οἰησόμεθα δεῖν τὰ αὐτὰ ἐπιτηδεύειν τοὺς τε φύλακας ἡμῖν καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας αὐτῶν. . . .

Οὐδὲν ἄρα ἐστίν, ὦ φίλε, ἐπιτήδευμα τῶν πόλιν διοικούντων γυναικὸς διότι γυνή, οὐδ' ἀνδρὸς διότι ἀνὴρ, ἀλλ' ὁμοίως διεσπαρμέναι αἱ φύσεις ἐν ἀμφοῖν τοῖν ζώοις, καὶ πάντων μὲν μετέχει γυνή ἐπιτηδευμάτων κατὰ φύσιν, πάντων δὲ ἀνὴρ, ἐπὶ πᾶσι δὲ ἀσθενέστερον γυνὴ ἀνδρός. . . .

Καὶ γυναικὸς ἄρα καὶ ἀνδρὸς ἡ αὐτὴ φύσις εἰς φυλακὴν πόλεως. . . .

Ἔστι δὲ τι πόλει ἄμεινον ἢ γυναικᾶς τε καὶ ἀνδρας ὡς ἀρίστους ἐγγίγνεσθαι; Οὐκ ἔστιν.—*Plato*.

² 'There is not one single source of human happiness against which there have not been uttered the most lugubrious predictions,—turnpike roads, navigable canals, inoculation, hops, tobacco, the reformation, the revolution. There are always a set of worthy and moderately gifted men, who bawl out death and ruin upon every valuable change which the varying aspect of human affairs absolutely and imperiously requires. It would be extremely useful to make a collection of the hatred and abuse that all those changes have experienced, which are now admitted to be marked improvements in our condition. Such a history might make folly a little more modest and suspicious of its own decisions.'—*Sydney Smith*.

done by another, affords a presumption of its fallacy. True, but its novelty merely makes investigation necessary. The objection, however, brings into view the reason why the custom is so firmly rooted. We think that Mrs. Mill's explanation³ is conclusive:—‘There is no difficulty in understanding why the subjection of women has been a custom. No other explanation is needed than physical force. That those who were physically weaker should have been made legally inferior, is quite conformable to the mode in which the world has been governed. Until very lately the rule of physical strength was the general law of human affairs. Throughout history, the nations, races, classes, which found themselves the strongest either in muscles, in riches, or in military discipline, have conquered and held in subjection the rest. If, even in the most improved nations, the law of the sword is at last discountenanced as unworthy, it is only since the calumniated eighteenth century. Wars of conquest have only ceased since democratic revolutions began. The world is very young, and has but just begun to cast off injustice. It is only now getting rid of negro slavery. It is only now getting rid of monar-

³ ‘Il n'est pas étonnant qu'en tout pays l'homme se soit rendu maître de la femme, tout étant fondé sur la force.’—*Voltaire*.

chical despotism. It is only now getting rid of hereditary feudal nobility. It is only now getting rid of disabilities on the ground of religion. It is only beginning to treat any *men* as citizens, except the rich and a favoured portion of the middle class. Can we wonder that it has not yet done as much for women? As society was constituted until the last few generations, inequality was its very basis; association grounded on equal rights scarcely existed; to be equals was to be enemies; two persons could hardly co-operate in anything, or meet in any amicable relation, without the law's appointing that one of them should be the superior of the other. Mankind have outgrown this state, and all things now tend to substitute, as the general principle of human relations, a just equality, instead of the dominion of the strongest. But of all relations, that between men and women being the nearest and most intimate, and connected with the greatest number of strong emotions, was sure to be the last to throw off the old rule and receive the new; for in proportion to the strength of a feeling is the tenacity with which it clings to the forms and circumstances with which it has even accidentally become associated.'

So much, then, for the two objections which are on the threshold of the subject, or rather which

are always put there, in the teeth of experience and history, to bar any advance into the heart of the subject.* The real issues appear to be these: on the one hand it is asserted that political power is as much the inalienable right of woman as of man; that the enormous sexual inequalities, legal and social, under which she labours are entirely due to her exclusion; and that her enfranchisement, both as an act of justice and expediency, is imperatively necessary. On the other it is affirmed that the dependence of woman is founded in social laws which lie far deeper than any political laws; that this enfranchisement would be pernicious in the highest degree; that it would involve consequences far beyond the powers of prediction; that woman's instinct is against it; that man's use of a monopoly of political power has not pressed heavily or unjustly on the other sex; and that no feminine interests would be materially advanced by female enfranchisement.†

* 'The idea is barred by immemorial precedent and universal practice.'—*Standard*.

† 'There are social laws that lie far deeper than any political laws, and the first of these is the law which determines the relation of the sexes.'—*Times*.

'It is a condition (the political dependence of women) the breach of which might involve consequences far beyond our powers of prediction.'—*Times*.

'At the same time, they are not liberated slaves in need of protection from tyranny; nor, if they were, could they protect themselves by their vote.'—*Saturday Review*.

We beg to preface our examination of the several issues just stated, with a protest. Bentham says, 'If a man who calls for the right of suffrage to be given to any one human being, calls for its being refused to any other human being, it lies upon him to give a particular reason for such refusal.' Of course, if this proposition is sound, we need, in order to completely establish the claims of woman to the franchise, only refute the various objections which are urged against her admission. There is no need to show why she should have a vote; it is sufficient to answer objections. The truth, too, of Bentham's aphorism will probably be admitted. That it is so practically, recent reform debates

'Our objections are—firstly, that the vote is not necessary to her, and, secondly, that she does not want it.'—*Standard*.

'The hardship, if any exists, is confined to women under very special, and we trust temporary, circumstances.'—*Observer*.

'Allowing that men exercise the power, have they in general made an unmanly and selfish use of it? Here, again, women, if consulted, would answer, No.'—*Standard*.

'We are not aware, moreover, that any considerable number of women desire to possess the right which Mr. Mill is so anxious that they should have.'—*Observer*.

'Of course, if feminine interests are neglected by male legislators, or if the work of government would be materially advanced by the personal aid of ratepaying widows and spinsters, the fact of their inability to discharge other duties of male citizens would not be conclusive against their enfranchisement. The former proposition, however, needs much stronger evidence for its support than has yet been produced, while the latter is supported by no evidence whatever.'—*Times*.

'It is the glory of Christianity, and the crowning triumph of Christian civilisation, to have created and consecrated moral safeguards against the abuse of this superiority.'—*Times*.

prove. Every speaker (Mr. Lowe perhaps excepted), when arguing for the entire or partial exclusion of any class, feels bound to give reasons. Some talk of ignorance and drunkenness; others express confidence in the process of 'natural selection;' others grow eloquent over the magical virtue of 'a balance of classes;' but all concur in giving reasons, good, bad, or indifferent, to justify the exclusion. No one says, 'the fact that A is a human being gives him no claim to political representation, even though no reason can be shown for his exclusion;' yet on this ground alone can Bentham's dictum be disputed. Whether the franchise be considered 'a right' or 'a privilege,' is immaterial; such discussions usually degenerate into mere verbal disputes. Cause must be shown why a privilege is bestowed on one human being and withheld from another, just as reasons must be brought to prove why a right should be denied to one human being and granted to another. The advocates, therefore, of female enfranchisement have no edifice of their own to erect; they have only to demolish the building of their opponents.

Waiving, however, the advantage which Bentham's dictum would seem to give, we shall consider the question independently. In the course of the inquiry, objections will necessarily arise and be considered; but we shall postpone until

the end a brief examination of what we consider the chief objections.

Men and women are equally concerned in the good government of the country. In all legislation which relates to the repression of physical violence, women are even the more deeply concerned, because of their inferior bodily strength; ⁴ in all other legis-

⁴ Every one admits the physical inferiority of the female sex, notwithstanding that in Arabia, where most of the outdoor work is performed by women, they are stouter and larger limbed than the men. But physical is no proof of intellectual inferiority. Analogy points the other way. The extinct races of gigantic animals were of a lower organisation than any races now existing. Of existing races, the strongest have not usually the most intelligence, and the females, though generally smaller than the males, are not less intelligent. Man, indeed, for his weight is physically one of the weakest animals; and we believe that the majority of great men, poets, statesmen, philosophers, and even warriors, have been physically weak men. The period, too, at which athletic exercises are most pursued and enjoyed, is not when the intellectual powers are at their zenith. The intellect continues to increase after the physical system has begun to decay. Since, also, exercise gives strength, and the full exercise of mind and body can scarcely be carried on simultaneously, the cultivation of the former may be insured at the expense, if not necessarily of health, certainly of full bodily vigour. Again, it is sometimes argued that *ceteris paribus*, mental power varies with the size of the brain, and that since the female cranium is smaller than the male's, woman must have less capacity than man. But this doctrine, in the only sense in which it can obtain much acceptance, tells in woman's favour. The size of the brain in proportion to the body, not the absolute size of the brain, is alone any evidence of capacity. The elephant's brain is larger than man's, but it is smaller in proportion to size and weight of body; and, in comparison to woman's, it is yet smaller. Such considerations, however, whichever way they point, possess very little value. But we notice them because the mind is apt to be led by its desire for uniformity; and because we have met with many passages in popular books about and for women, such as the following, which we extract from the 'Daughters of England,' by Mrs. Ellis:—
'As women, then, the first thing of importance is to be content to

lation equally concerned. Since, then, women have at least the same right to happiness, so far as just and impartial laws can secure it, as men, and since their number not only equals but is supposed to be even greater than that of men, the sole ground on which the one sex, with any plea of justification, could assume the exclusive government of the state, would be that of advantage to the other. But this plea is only that universal apology for the assumption of authority—the benefit of those who are to be enslaved—which has so deeply stained the pages of history. Combined with avarice, it is the foundation of the most debasing and brutal of all institutions, slavery. *À priori*, then, it should be regarded with extreme suspicion.

be inferior to men—inferior in mental power, in the same proportion that you are inferior in bodily strength.’ There is yet another popular method of proving woman’s intellectual inferiority—comparing the long list of great women with the even longer list of great men. But, notwithstanding women have accomplished so much in the teeth of incessant persecution, and notwithstanding thousands of almost equally great names could be adduced from both sexes, any argument drawn from the comparison would be utterly worthless, because women, always the victims of physical force, have been persistently shut out from men’s opportunities. A fine, so to say, has been levied on them. They have had to pay the heaviest social penalties, and not only the ordinary difficulties, but special difficulties created by strong men, and which meet only women, they have had to surmount. Depend on it, centuries hence, our oppressive treatment of women will not more amaze posterity than we are amazed at the custom of the Babylonians to make every native woman sit in the temple of Venus, and have intercourse with a stranger; or at the practice of Lycurgus, Socrates, Cato, and other great Greeks and Romans, to lend their wives to their friends.

The only grounds which could be urged to justify it are:—

1. That past experience and the present condition of women show such an assumption of power to be beneficial to them.

2. That the superiority in intellect gives men the right of arbitrary sway.

3. That superiority in morality gives men the right of arbitrary sway.

If the first proposition be false, mere superiority in intellect or in morality constitutes no sound claim to exclusive power. But even the demonstration of all the three propositions to the complete satisfaction of every man, is no sufficient warranty so long as it is not granted by woman herself. As to the unanimity of women, though perhaps the majority of the sex are indifferent, and a considerable number hostile, yet some of the most distinguished women, supported by thousands of their less eminent sisters, demand enfranchisement; while many distinguished men, among whom is the most profound political and philosophical thinker living, support the claim with steadfast earnestness. Though, therefore, a proof of all three propositions, however satisfactory to men's minds, would not destroy the justice of woman's claim, her claim is, in fact, still

stronger; for each proposition is utterly untenable.

Let us examine them *seriatim*.

1. The entire history of woman is one of slavery and oppression. Among the Hebrews, Assyrians, Babylonians, Persians, &c., she was treated little better than we treat our horses and dogs. She was confined and secluded, and polygamy reigned supreme. Monarchs vied in the magnificence of their seraglios, and ancient history is full of heroes and of kings whose future has been marred and wisdom corrupted by indulgence in the grossest sensuality. The Egyptians were the most just in their conduct towards woman. They neither degraded her by polygamy, nor kept her in confinement. She might succeed to property, or even to the throne, in default of male issue. Among the early Greeks, who received a great deal of their civilisation from the East, particularly from the Egyptians, her condition was in some respects improved. Nevertheless, she was not admitted into general society, nor allowed to appear much in public, and she lived entirely secluded in apartments at the back or upper part of the house.

The truth is that both the Greeks and Romans treated woman as a creature with very little more

intelligence than a dog. They made her perform all the domestic offices; even so far as conducting the men to bed, undressing them, and drying and perfuming them after the bath. The law, too, treated her practically as a slave; for without the consent of her perpetual guardian, she could neither make a will, discharge any public function, nor manage her own property. The Romans, however, gradually gave her greater freedom, and at length a share in religious solemnities. But throughout all the various phases of rigorous austerity and unbounded licentiousness which mark Roman history, she was constantly treated as an inferior being, designed only to minister to man's pleasure.

The manners and customs of the East have remained stationary for many centuries. In Asia and in Turkey the horrors of polygamy still continue; but in all Europe, except Mahometan Turkey, Christianity has been instrumental in effecting a gradual change, which though differing in many particulars, has been of a similar character all over the continent. Sufficient however for our present purpose, which is to show how man, especially in this country, has wielded his power over woman, will be a few facts concerning her status in Britain from the earliest times.

Very little is known of her condition among the earliest inhabitants.⁵ She was probably a slave, the usual fate of the weak among savage tribes. There is, however, evidence for believing that she was not always treated without some consideration, and the names of two queens, Cartisamunda and Boadicea, have come down to us. During the administration and after the departure of the Romans, her condition was wretched. But among the Anglo-Saxons her position was considerably raised, though she seems to have had less independence among the chiefs and landowners than among the agricultural class. For while, in case of separation, the wives of the last divided equally with the husband the goods and chattels which they had jointly possessed, the wives of the first, received only the smaller share. Both married and single women had the power to possess, to inherit, and to will away money or lands. They could bring actions, and their persons and property were protected by special enactments. Still the fragmentary records of those times prove that woman was often the victim of oppression and outrage. The introduction of Christianity, about

⁵ Their marriage customs seem to have been peculiar:—‘*Uxores habent deni duodenique inter se communes, et maxime fratres cum fratribus, parentesque cum liberis; sed qui sunt ex his nati, eorum habentur liberi, quo primum virgo quæque deducta est.*’—*Cæsar*.

the year 600, was very powerful in ameliorating her condition. Concubinage, hitherto permitted, was forbidden, and a religion which taught equality for man and woman in the next world would obviously foster equality in this. Convents and monasteries quickly sprung up, and kept alight some glimmering of knowledge. King Alfred, who, according to tradition, imbibed his love of learning and of literature from Queen Judith, dispelled the almost universal darkness. Under his wise and benignant sway civilisation moved onward. The Saxon ladies seem to have been both eager in studious pursuits and industrious in manual employments. Of woman's position among the lower orders, scarcely anything is known. But the Norman conquest was, on the whole, favourable to her, although the law made the husband, to the fullest extent, the master, even to the extent of allowing him to inflict bodily chastisement.

The Crusades had considerable influence on the condition of woman, but it was an influence which, while it affected both sexes, did not disturb the relation between them. Chivalry, too, had a deep and beneficial influence. The benefit was not so much in enlarging her privileges as in making men view her in a new light. From the

days of the lust-eaten and splenetic Solomon to the dawn of chivalry, writers had poured forth their abuse and contempt on her. Nothing was too bad. Without civil rights, with few occupations, and despised and ill-treated by men, her condition was truly miserable. But with chivalry arose new ideas concerning woman. Those who before had sung of heroes and of kings, and had mentioned woman only to traduce her, now sung of beauty and of love. Hyperbolic and extravagant praise took the place of jeering and contempt. She, who had before been looked upon as a mere instrument to man's pleasures, was now set above mortality. The same spirit which induced Petrarch to compare his mistress to Jesus Christ was abroad. The ambition of the knight was to die in her defence, and the ambition of the poet to immortalise her in his verse. Of course practice did not accord with such preposterous precept, but assuredly chivalry had great influence in raising the status of woman, notwithstanding it was the theory of her imbecility applied to the fullest extent. Then, as now, she was considered utterly helpless in all affairs of the state, and even to take care of her own interests; then, as now, her occupations were those which men disdain; and then, as now, she was com-

pensated for her helplessness by adulation, and a sort of sentimental idolism.

The next event which had any considerable influence on woman's position was the Reformation. The energies of the nation, which had to some extent lain dormant, were aroused, and the blow which was struck at authority made easier any departure from custom or manners. The gradual rise of the middle class, the progress of arts and sciences which gradually superseded household manufactures, the Wars of the Roses, the Revolution, and other events which stand in history, also necessarily influenced her condition; and while her character, employment, and condition have varied with the changing times; while she was ignorant with the Stuarts, learned in the Elizabethan age; at one period licentious, at another puritanical; she has been continually taking steps towards lessening the gross injustice under which she labours, though many of her present wrongs appear to be of modern growth, and though she has always been the victim of physical force. In short, in the words of Lord Macaulay, 'If there be a word of truth in history, women have always been and still are, over the greater part of the globe, humble companions, playthings, captives, menials, beasts of burden. Except in a few happy

and highly civilised communities, they are strictly in a state of personal slavery. Even in those countries where they are best treated, the laws are generally unfavourable to them, with respect to almost all the points in which they are the most deeply interested.'

Dismissing her past history, which is useful in showing that man has at all events been consistent in his oppression, we come to consider what are her present grievances, legal and social. We speak of *social* as well as legal inequalities, because it is clear that those who possess absolute power in making the laws, who have arrogated to themselves all government, all religious offices, all professions, and all wealth, will obtain a paramount influence in shaping the social institutions of the country.

The law does not recognise rape by a husband upon his wife; that is to say, he may always constrain her to his desire by physical force.

All the personal property which belongs to a woman before marriage, or which accrues after marriage, becomes vested in the husband. Of her real property, though the husband cannot dispose of it or will it away, he receives the profits during her life, irrespective of her wishes.

In business a married woman has no authority.

She can neither buy nor sell; for she has neither goods nor money; nor can she make a contract without her husband's consent, except for necessities. A promissory note, or bill of exchange, drawn, or endorsed, or accepted by her, is void. True, that these deprivations are sometimes said to be made in order to relieve her of care and responsibility. But surely they who advance this plea forget that the chief use or object of laws, is to prevent the strong and tyrannical from domineering over the weak. If man were so perfect that nothing could induce him to commit robbery, whether theft were punished by a day's imprisonment, or death, or a seat in the House of Lords, would be immaterial. So if all men and all women were kind and just, if all husbands and wives loved one another, and each cared for the other's happiness as much as his own, or more, all power might be safely invested in either the one or the other: though even in the rare case where this identity of interest and affection were complete the present law is bad; for, in the first place, the power of the one and the subservience of the other are calculated to destroy the good qualities on which the identity is founded; and, in the second, the state of the law will surely foster the opinion that business is the duty of the man alone, and is unbecoming in the woman. Hence

it happens that when the talents of the woman (no uncommon circumstance) are superior to the man's, they remain fallow, and the incapacity of the man brings ruin alike to husband, wife, and children.

The picture of Utopia, however, in which the woman's deprivations are represented as tender mercies, is utterly unlike the terrible reality. Our method of protecting 'defenceless woman,' of guarding the being whom we say is weak in body and in mind, is to place her almost as completely at man's mercy as the slave at his master's. If a father dies intestate, the eldest son inherits the real estate to the exclusion of the daughters. Opinion follows the law—the opinion of man—and fathers rarely divide their property equally among sons and daughters.

But shameful as are these legal injustices, custom is quite as unjust.

The universities and professions are irrevocably closed to woman; and the single aim of her education appears to be to make her pleasing and agreeable to man. From numerous trades and employments she is virtually shut out, sometimes through the prejudice of employers, and sometimes through the combinations of trades' unions.⁶ Hence pecu-

⁶ We do not mean any attack on trades' unions. They are composed of men who, if not so completely as women, have still been

niary distress is more common to women, in every rank, than to men.

Our notions of chastity could not possibly be more disgraceful. In this civilised and Christian land, the vice which is visited with the direst punishment in the one sex, is practically treated as venial in the other. The crime, however, of illicit intercourse is precisely equal in the man and in the woman. It is a sin equally shared, whether considered as an offence against morality or the interests of society. Volumes have been written on the evils of prostitution, but it appears to be generally forgotten that if one sex is more chaste than the other, prostitution is a necessity, and its extent will vary as the amount of that excess. In a country where want is unknown, prostitution, in the sense of letting out the body for hire, should be unknown, or at least almost confined to the rich man's mistress. But in the sense of a woman having intercourse with several men, the result seems, at all events in the present state of society, inevitable. What, then, do we do in Eng-

excluded from any power in making the laws, and they are necessary in self-defence. No doubt they have no special dispensation, enabling them to avoid mistakes; but, on the whole, their effect has been most beneficial. Already they have raised the rate of wages and shortened the hours of labour, and we wish them all success in a further reform in this direction.

action for breach of promise, and her father or nearest relative may obtain damages for the loss of her services. And this is all. Yet nothing is more certain than that this is a case of false pretences. If a man, hungry and starving, obtains half a crown under false pretences, he is liable to five years' penal servitude; but for the dastardly act of robbing a girl under false pretences of what society tells her she ought to value more than life, no punishment is awarded.

So far, then, as a just use of arbitrary power gives a claim to its possession man's pretensions are flimsy indeed.

2. And what is the argument of superior intellect worth? Granting for a moment that woman is inferior; what then? One of the fundamental maxims of representative government is that a person may be perfectly competent to *choose* a representative, though utterly unfitted to *be* a representative. The mental difference between him who is competent to legislate and him who is fit to choose a representative may be enormous; but surely the most arrogant assumption would not assert that this gigantic intellectual disparity exists between the sexes. But unless it does exist, the superiority argument—which superiority we totally deny⁸—

⁸ 'An excellent passage,' (we quote Mrs. Mill) 'on this part of the

is absolutely and literally worthless. Half the oppression under which nations have groaned has been sought to be justified by the plea of superior intellect. That plea is the foundation of despotism, of slavery, and of all tyranny, by a strong over a weak race. Moreover, are its advocates willing to push the doctrine to its legitimate conclusion, the perpetual sway of intellect? Are they ready and willing to submit to the yoke of their intellectual superiors? If they are not, there will be no difference of opinion as to either their consistency, intelligence, or honesty.

But what proof have we of woman's inferiority? The *ipsi diximus* of men. They say that she is inferior. They take all power into their own hands;

subject, from one of Sydney Smith's contributions to the Edinburgh Review, we will not refrain from quoting:—"A great deal has been said of the original difference of capacity between men and women, as if women were more quick and men more judicious—as if women were more remarkable for delicacy of association, and men for stronger powers of attention. All this, we confess, appears to us very fanciful. That there is a difference in the understandings of the men and the women we every day meet with, everybody, we suppose, must perceive; but there is none surely which may not be accounted for by the difference of circumstances in which they have been placed, without reference to any conjectural difference of original conformation of mind. As long as boys and girls run about in the dirt, and trundle hoops together, they are both precisely alike. If you catch up one half of these creatures, and train them to a particular set of actions and opinions, and the other half to a perfectly opposite set, of course their understandings will differ, as one or the other sort of occupation has called this or that talent into action. There is surely no occasion to go into any deeper or more abstruse reasoning, in order to explain so very simple a phenomenon."

they train her from infancy to believe in her inferiority; they narrow the range of her studies; and then, forsooth, when they have done everything to produce that inferiority which they start by assuming, they coolly and arrogantly assert that all is done for her own good. Having made her entirely dependent for her daily bread; having taught her to think it her highest aim to be united to one of the 'lords of the creation;' having educated her to minister to their wants, to gratify their passions, to add to their comforts; in short, to live to pander to their selfishness, they crown all by telling their deluded victim that she has a weak mind, and that her 'sphere'⁹ is submission to and attendance on man. It is time to throw aside these flimsy sophisms. If ever a bad passion under the profession of virtue and mercy sought to gratify itself, it is this lust for power. In the long list of human vices and crimes there is none which has

⁹ 'When a prejudice, which has any hold on the feelings, finds itself reduced to the unpleasant necessity of assigning reasons, it thinks it has done enough when it has re-asserted the very point in dispute, in phrases which appeal to the pre-existing feeling. Thus, many persons think they have justified the restrictions on women's field of action, when they have said that the pursuits from which women are excluded are *unfeminine* and that the *proper sphere* of women is not politics or publicity, but private and domestic life. We deny the right of any portion of the species to decide for another portion, or any individual for another individual, what is and what is not their "proper sphere." The proper sphere for all human beings is the largest and highest which they are able to attain to. What this is cannot be ascertained without complete liberty of choice.'—*Mrs. Mill*.

caused so much misery. Every age has its faults; and deeds which the best and wisest have done in past ages would be shunned now by the bad. But there are few things more odious, more calculated to promote the worst vices of man and woman, more sure to breed selfishness in the one and subserviency in the other, than the political dependence of woman. Let her reflect what this dependence means. It means that her position, as a sex, is at the absolute will and disposal of another. Everything concerning her education, her subsistence, her employment, her religion, all are his. Body and soul, she is at his mercy. He may lend her to his friends as they did in Sparta. He may degrade her to the harem as they do in Turkey. In a word, there is nothing between her and slavery but man's will. True, he finds, as in the treatment of the 'other inferior animals,' that kindness brings more comfort and satisfaction to himself than harshness. But this fact, which does not lessen her defencelessness, is of very slight and partial influence. No one can look round the world and see vice rampant on every side, happy marriages the exception, the brutal treatment of woman divulged in our police and divorce courts, social and legal inequalities pressing her on every side, artificial difficulties thrown up between her and

her bread, prostitution stalking abroad without shame—no one can see these things without believing that men have tyrannically used the power which they have tyrannically seized. Talk of Utopian philosophy! Why, the idea that men now are, and always have been, so good, so just, nay, so perfect that the destinies of one half of the human race may be entrusted to their charge, flies more in the face of experience, and relies more on the virtue of erring humanity, than the most sanguine scheme the most sanguine philosopher has ever propounded.¹⁰

Where wealth abounds, the present system produces narrow and little-minded women, who, satiated with every bodily luxury, intolerant to their sex, and sycophants to their husbands, go through life in self-satisfied godliness and content. Where there is poverty we have the governess seeking a wretched livelihood amid contumely and contempt; the seamstress working at her coffin and her grave; the betrayed girl abandoned both by her seducer and humanity; and the prostitute seeing man in all his glory.

¹⁰ The frequent assertion that sexual influence is sufficient to secure the just treatment of women, we have shown to be contrary to all experience. Indeed, in treating women as a class, this influence has been very slight—almost nothing. And if history proves it to be totally unable to cope with self-interest and love of power, the enfranchisement of women seems to follow.

But assume that men are the noble, disinterested, magnanimous beings they are represented; suppose that the world is a poetical creation, like the Swerga or the Domdaniel cavern, and that wars have died out, armies are disbanded, and righteousness and peace have kissed each other; what then? Still the political dependence of women would be neither just nor expedient. In the words of Mrs. Mill, 'the miserable expediences which are advanced as excuses for so grossly partial a dispensation would not be sufficient, even if they were real, to render it other than a flagrant injustice. While, far from being expedient, we are firmly convinced that the division of mankind into two castes, one born to rule over the other, is in this case, as in all cases, an unqualified mischief; a source of perversion and demoralisation, both to the favoured class and to those at whose expense they are favoured; producing none of the good which it is the custom to ascribe to it, and forming a bar, almost insuperable while it lasts, to any really vital improvement, either in the character or in the social condition of the human race.' And we may add, that man's treatment of woman, individually, might be everything to be desired, and yet be utterly unjust in legislation; and such must also be the admission of those who believe in her happy social condition, but

who see, they confess, so much to regret in her legal inequalities.¹¹ The truth is, that if she is capable of managing her own affairs; in other words, is fit to assume the duties and offices of a responsible being; inferiority of intellect would be an additional reason for giving her representation; for the more inferior she is, the more she requires just laws to protect her.

3. Does superior morality give man a right to supreme power? Even if the superiority were a fact instead of an assumption, which perhaps the woman has the greater claim to, it would be no justification whatever. It would only be some guarantee that the power would not be used without any conscience. Hitherto we have had neither the guarantee nor the conscience, and we believe that an infusion of a higher morality into the legislature, far more than a higher intellect, is that which is wanting. To trace every injustice or imperfection in the laws to selfishness would be to ignore alike history and human nature. But nothing is more true than that past legislation has been, and the present legislation is, more impeded by selfishness than by any other

¹¹ 'Trop de puissance déprave la bonté, altère toutes les jouissances de la délicatesse; les vertus et les sentimens ne peuvent résister d'une part à l'exercice du pouvoir, de l'autre à l'habitude de la crainte.'—*Madame de Staël*.

one thing. We mean by selfishness the different modifications of self-interest, and the passions commonly classed as selfish. When we mark the marvellous success which has attended man's speculations on the material universe, how beautifully he has used the knowledge he has gradually built up in satisfying his wants, and how intricate and refined are the processes by which he has achieved so much, we are filled with wonder and admiration. But if we turn our gaze into the field of social phenomena, how different is the view! There we see opinion conflicting opinion, the strong tyrannising over the weak, vice rampant and shameless, toil and ignorance and privation the lot of the vast majority of the human race, and more than one half of humanity in forced subordination to the other half; yet the system under which these things exist is lauded as the noblest development of which mankind is capable. Broadly and advisedly we say, intending to enter into particulars directly, that the miserable failure is less owing to inherent difficulties than to intense selfishness. It is the selfishness and immorality of the ruling classes which create half the problems it is the business of the legislature to solve, or rather to apply the solution.

Take the English House of Commons. Though



that house is not the place for philosophical discoveries, it always contains many men of the highest administrative and practical ability. But social philosophy is immeasurably in advance of its practical application; and the reason is the low moral tone of the great mass of members of parliament.¹² A man who, blinded by his interests or his passions, does what is wrong, believing it to be right, com-

¹² Mr. Bright, in his last speech at Birmingham, expresses an opinion which is common to every one who knows anything of the House of Commons. The only fault to be found with it, is that it does not do full justice to the selfishness, sordidness, and corruption which taint an assembly containing so many noble-hearted and large-minded men. Mr. Bright says: 'This House of Commons, I will undertake to say, is by far the most corrupt that has been elected and assembled since the Reform Bill. I am not able to say what it has cost to seat these 650 members in that house, but if I said it had cost them and their friends a million of money I should be a long way under the mark. I believe it has cost more to seat those 650 men there than it has cost to seat all the members of all the other representative and legislative assemblies in the world that are now in existence in the different countries of the globe. And, without a man's intending to be corrupt, this state of things makes him inevitably corrupt.' And again: 'There are many members who pay always from 1,000*l.* to 15,000*l.* for their election; and although there are men in the House of Commons who are too honest, I believe, to be swayed by that consideration, still there are great numbers, I am satisfied, who are willing to take almost any kind of measure on any subject from any government, rather than go back to their constituents with the chance, first of all, of not coming back to the house at all, and with the certainty that if they stand a contest they must lessen the balance at their bankers by several thousand pounds; and so they were willing to tolerate a Tory government and Tory Reform Bill, to break up the Liberal party, to do anything whatever, as they say, to settle the question in the House of Commons' style and fashion in this parliament and during the present session, and not as the great body of the people wish it to be settled; and they are ready to bear all this rather than have a dissolution of parliament.'

mits a moral, not an intellectual, error. This self-blinding runs through all the relations of life, and the legislator does not escape its influence. Strong and weak minds are alike affected by it; the first blind themselves with clever, the last with shallow, sophisms. He, then, who knowingly sacrifices the interests of the community to what he believes to be his own interests, and who, through laziness, or passion, or want of study, holds wrong convictions, equally commits a moral error. And all these errors may be justly urged against the House of Commons.

We speak of the rank and file, without whose consent the few great and illustrious men are powerless. Without learning,¹³ without special training, without deep convictions, without any superior ability for politics or anything else, without, in fact, any recommendation, but an ancient name, or a large *Nisi Prius* practice, or a large business inherited from a shrewd father, they enter parliament, double their subscriptions to local charities, send presents of game to their chief supporters, and

¹³ There are 292 university men in the House of Commons, and of these only forty-five are honour men. To say that those who have taken honours are necessarily better educated than those who have not been to a university, would be preposterous; but, as a general rule, if a man takes his ordinary degree, but does not pass in honours, it is a sign of intellectual laziness or intellectual incapacity. We take the figures from the recent 'Essays on Reform.'

settle down into very contented, very respectable, very ordinary members of parliament, generally voting with their party, never absent from an important division, except when a dissolution threatens, and ready to make any sacrifice—even to risking a revolution—in order to be off to their shooting in September. When will the public come to see, that to assume the functions of a legislator from personal motives, or without special qualifications, is to commit an abominable crime?

These views concerning the real impediments to good government are borne out by the actual legislation of the last fifty years.

The opposition to the Catholic Reform Bill cannot have arisen from pure intellectual blindness. The denial of the rights of private judgment in matters of religion, is only one of the many forms in which bigoted intolerance has gratified itself, and the passing of the Relief Bill was due more to the higher moral standard which the nation, or at least a portion of it, had reached than to the discovery of powerful arguments of which preceding generations had not the benefit.

The absurdities and anomalies of the representative system had been recognised long before the Reform Bill of 1832. A petition was presented in 1793 by a society associated for the purpose of

obtaining parliamentary reform, in which the inequalities that then existed, and continued to exist until 1832, were most ably pointed out.¹⁴ But the House of Commons neither opposed it at the beginning of the agitation through any intellectual blindness, nor in the end yielded it through any superior enlightenment. Selfishness made them oppose it; fear forced them to pass it.

The abolition of slavery throughout the British dominions cannot be too highly praised. But will any one assert that the same kind of influence produced it and the belief in the Principia?

To discover that the bulk of the women employed in factories were in a dreadful state of vice and degradation, and that to take a child of six years and compel it to work for fourteen or fifteen hours a day among depraved men and women, would lead to its moral and physical ruin, was scarcely an intellectual feat. The facts were notorious; and to trace their consequences would surely not require any very abstruse process of reasoning. Yet the wonderful discovery was not made until 1833, when the labour of children was limited to nine hours a day. In 1844, fresh inquiries were instituted, which led to an Act

¹⁴ A copy of the petition is given in the appendix to 'Molesworth's History of the Reform Bill.'

limiting the employment of women (applicable only to weaving manufactories and one or two others) to twelve hours a day. Seven years more were required to prove that the act might be judiciously extended. In 1851 the hours were reduced to ten, and the application of the Act still further widened. Yet another nine years, and by the Acts of 1860 and 1861 the measure was again made more extensive. How long it will take to discover that the measure admits of still wider application, is a problem which we should despair of seeing solved if it still depended upon the action of parliament, and not upon the gentle pressure of the people. But it is even more difficult to tell how much longer our boasting and boasted Christian statesmen and legislators—‘the saviours of the nation not yet saved!’—who, feeding on the fat of the land, yet fighting for its loaves and fishes—growing eloquent in the praise of the working man, yet refusing all trust in him—drinking, gambling, travelling, enjoying *ad nauseam*—yet blind to the wretchedness around them, will declare and insist that our institutions are perfect, our greatness pre-eminent, and our parliament a faithful reflex of the feelings, opinions, and wants of a prosperous and happy people.

The repeal of the Corn Laws in 1846 was only

embodying in practical legislation what Adam Smith clearly demonstrated in 1776. It may be said that the overthrow of protection was a triumph of intellect. No doubt. But the discovery of the true theory was not made in the House of Commons. All that the House did was gradually and unwillingly to adopt it in the course of about ninety years. This delay is the more inexcusable, the more degrading, the more selfish, because the difficulty, after discoveries are made, is not to follow the reasoning by which they are demonstrated, but to fail to follow it.

If legislators had taken the same pains to master the principles of legislation as lawyers do those of law, and physicians those of medicine, it is impossible to conceive how much more rapid the progress of the country, material and intellectual, would have been. But it is certain that if the House of Commons did not comprehend the truth of Adam Smith's doctrine for ninety years, it was solely through a moral blindness.

The disclosures of which Mr. Hardy's Act is the result, we owe to the press. That the Act does not go farther, we owe to the prejudice—we should say, to the immorality of parliament. The system of gang labour in the eastern counties has been going on for about fifty years, though now it may be said

to be doomed. The press and the people, not the House of Commons, have said it. Again, to solve the problems with which we now have to deal—as, for instance, reform, education, land tenure in Ireland, the Irish Church—are we waiting for a Bacon or a Bentham to enlighten us? Rather, have we not plenty of brains both inside and outside the legislature? Is it not the fact, that what we lack is a higher moral tone in our representatives?

It is sometimes argued that progress to be sure must be slow. But slowness cannot, *per se*, be good. Slowness which arises from the careful examination of both sides of a question, and which is patient in the collection of data, is not only commendable, but essential. But the slowness which proceeds from ignorance, or selfishness, or mental inactivity—and this is the only slowness which requires ninety years to apply an obvious truth—is pernicious and immoral.

The political dependence of woman, then, cannot be justified on any one of the three only plausible grounds—a superior morality, a superior intelligence, or a proper use of exclusive power in the past, in its influences on both men and women.

But if we have proved so much, we have proved much more. If we have shown a lax morality to

pervade the House of Commons, we have furnished an irrefutable reason for the enfranchisement of woman.

In the first place, she has no security whatever that her interests will not be ignored—in fact, they are ignored. That she is sufficiently represented or protected by her husband, her father, or her brothers, all history refutes; and Lord Brougham did not exaggerate when he said that ‘there must be a total reconstruction of the law before women can have justice.’ In the second place, if a low morality is the great bane of our legislature, then infusion of new blood, so to say, might be beneficial. The last consideration, though comparatively of little weight, should be conclusive with those who insist that the mission of woman is to raise, to elevate, to exalt man, to breathe into him a purer morality, and to counteract or destroy evil influences.

The demand that woman should be directly represented, that she should be permitted to look after her own interests, does not necessarily imply that no dependence is to be placed in the honesty of man. It merely means that every one has special interests of his own to look after; interests which no one understands so well as himself, and which sometimes are so various and important

that he has literally no time to examine, much less to advocate or agitate, even if he has the inclination, the interests of other people. This multitude of interests is especially observable in the House of Commons. There we have complaints, both loud and deep, of the pressure of business and the neglect of various interests. This point, indeed, is so important that we will state it in another form.

We believe, and we will give an instance directly, that many measures which would scarcely affect the bulk of the community, but which would very largely benefit some class or classes, are not brought forward and passed, solely because no one will be at the trouble to get up the subjects with which they deal. That great lever, self-interest, is absent. The arguments which establish results can be easily and expeditiously mastered in comparison with the time and labour necessary to discover them. Thus, an ordinary mind may master in a few hours the proof of a problem which has puzzled the world for centuries, and which has at last taken a genius a life to demonstrate. It is the same in politics. The Factory Act of 1844 is an illustration. Though the evil was serious and palpable, it had attracted little attention, and this

obviously through want of adequate representation of the sufferers; for when, through the exertions of a few philanthropic men, among whom the name of Shaftesbury deserves especial honour, inquiries were set on foot and the evil in all its magnitude and ramifications proved, the bill was passed in spite of the strenuous opposition of the manufacturers whose interests seemed to be invaded. And herein is a conclusive answer to one of the weakest of the many weak objections brought against the enfranchisement of women, viz. that 'unless any class is coherent enough to give a virtually collective vote, and to make its collective influence felt by its representatives, it cannot produce any collective effect; its atoms are scattered, and their force is lost.' *

But if these words are meant to be taken as they are printed, without qualification—that is to say, if it is an argument applying to a female suffrage based on the same conditions as man's, it is not only weak but utterly preposterous; for it assumes that a very large addition of voters, all of one class, to the present electoral roll, would have no weight in returning half a dozen out of 658 members of parliament; an assumption in direct contradiction to all experience of the

* Letter of S. D. C. in 'Spectator.'

action of people bound together by a common tie of interest.¹⁵

But it is denied that 'a sex constitutes a "class" or "an order" in the only sense which is material for the purpose of the argument.'* Now, the only essential condition in order that a set of things may constitute a 'class' or an 'order,' is that they should all possess in common some mark or marks which are not possessed by things in general. The mark which decides whether a set of individuals should be considered as a class, with reference to the possession of the franchise, seems to be an identity of interests, not necessarily opposed to the rest of the community, but which affects their own welfare in a much greater degree. When we want to establish a further resemblance, we add some qualifying epithet, such as in the old phrases, the 'working classes,' the 'monied classes,' &c. If this be a true definition of the political use of the word class, it follows that women do form a class, and that, therefore, whatever can be universally predicated of classes may be predicated of woman. But the great bulk of our opponents are constantly reiterating that all classes of the

¹⁵ The answer would, if possible, be even more conclusive, if Mr. Mill had induced the House of Commons and the country to accept Mr. Hare's system of personal representation.

* 'Times.

community should be represented: the inevitable conclusion is that women should be represented.

It will be observed that we have not yet demanded the enfranchisement of women on the principles of the constitution. But we do so now. Her abstract right arising from those principles is so clear that we have assumed it. The *onus probandi* lies with those who would withhold that right. We simply state, as a fact, that women who hold property are entitled to exercise the rights which the law vests in property, and that to withhold them is a flagrant usurpation.

To recapitulate. We have tried to establish—

First, that the only ground which could plausibly be urged for an assumption of exclusive power by man would be the benefit of woman herself.

Secondly, that this proposition, if established, is not sufficient justification without her free and full consent.

Thirdly, that the three grounds on which her political dependence could alone be defended—her past and present condition, her inferiority of intellect in a degree greater than that between the worst and the best of the present electors, and her similar inferiority in morality—are completely opposed to facts.

Fourthly, that the universal law of self-interest,

which has had such an extraordinary illustration in the history of English legislation, renders her enfranchisement an absolute necessity whenever justice and expediency have their legitimate influence.

And, fifthly, that the equality of woman is required by the principles of the constitution.

And now, having gone to what we consider the root of the subject, which is whether one half of the human race has a particle of right to hold the other half in a state of forced subjection, and having answered many incidental objections, we come to consider those which remain, or rather the chief of those which have come under our notice.

The three objections which Mrs. Mill truly says are generally urged with the greatest confidence, and which we will not curtail, either in Mrs. Mill's statement or in her replies, are these:—

‘First, the incompatibility of active life with maternity and with the cares of a household; secondly, its alleged hardening effect on the character; and, thirdly, the inexpediency of making an addition to the already excessive pressure of competition in every kind of professional or lucrative employment.

‘The first, the maternity argument, is usually laid most stress upon; although, it needs hardly be said, this reason, if it be one, can apply only to

mothers. It is neither necessary nor just to make imperative on women that they shall be either mothers or nothing; or that if they have been mothers once, they shall be nothing else during the whole remainder of their lives. Neither women nor men need any law to exclude them from an occupation, if they have undertaken another which is incompatible with it. No one proposes to exclude the male sex from parliament because a man may be a soldier or sailor in active service, or a merchant whose business requires all his time and energies. Nine-tenths of the occupations of men exclude them *de facto* from public life as effectually as if they were excluded by law; but that is no reason for making laws to exclude even the nine-tenths, much less the remaining tenth. The reason of the case is the same for woman as for man. There is no occasion to make provision by law that a woman shall not carry on the active details of a household, or of the education of children, and at the same time practise a profession, or be elected to parliament. Where incompatibility is real, it will take care of itself; but there is gross injustice in making the incompatibility a pretence for the exclusion of those in whose case it does not exist. And these, if they were free to choose, would be a very large

proportion. The maternity argument deserts its supporters in the case of single women, a large and increasing class of the population; a fact which, it is not irrelevant to remark, by tending to diminish the excessive competition of numbers, is calculated to assist greatly the prosperity of all. There is no inherent reason or necessity that all women should voluntarily choose to devote their lives to one animal function and its consequences. Numbers of women are wives and mothers only because there is no other career open to them, no other occupation for their feelings or their activities. Every improvement in their education, and enlargement of their faculties, everything which renders them more qualified for any other mode of life, increases the number of those to whom it is an injury and an oppression to be denied the choice. To say that women must be excluded from active life because maternity disqualifies them for it, is in fact to say that every other career should be forbidden them in order that maternity may be their only resource.

‘ But, secondly, it is urged that to give the same freedom of occupation to women as to men would be an injurious addition to the crowd of competitors by whom the avenues to almost all kinds of employment are choked up, and its remuneration depressed. This argument, it is to be observed, does not reach

the political question. It gives no excuse for withholding from women the rights of citizenship. The suffrage, the jury-box, admission to the legislature and to office, it does not touch. It bears only on the industrial branch of the subject. Allowing it, then, in an economical point of view, its full force ; assuming that to lay open to women the employments now monopolised by men would tend, like the breaking down of other monopolies, to lower the rate of remuneration in those employments ; let us consider what is the amount of this evil consequence, and what the compensation for it. The worst ever asserted, much worse than is at all likely to be realised, is that, if women competed with men, a man and a woman could not together earn more than is now earned by the man alone. Let us make this supposition, the most unfavourable supposition possible ; the joint income of the two would be the same as before, while the woman would be raised from the position of a servant to that of a partner. Even if every woman, as matters now stand, had a claim on some man for support, how infinitely preferable is it that part of the income should be of the woman's earning, even if the aggregate sum were but little increased by it, rather than that she should be compelled to stand aside in order that men may be the sole earners,

and the sole dispensers of what is earned. Even under the present laws respecting the property of women, a woman who contributes materially to the support of the family cannot be treated in the same contemptuously tyrannical manner as one who, however she may toil as a domestic drudge, is a dependant on the man for subsistence.* As for the depression of wages by increase of competition, remedies will be found for it in time. Palliatives might be applied immediately; for instance, a more rigid exclusion of children from industrial employment, during the years in which they ought to be working only to strengthen their bodies and minds for after life. Children are necessarily dependent, and under the power of others; and their labour, being not for themselves, but for the gain of their parents, is a proper subject for legislative regulation. With respect to the future, we neither believe that improvident multiplication, and the consequent excessive difficulty of gaining a subsistence, will always continue, nor that the division of mankind

* 'The truly horrible effect of the present state of the law among the lowest of the working population, is exhibited in those cases of hideous maltreatment of their wives by working men, with which every newspaper, every police report teems. Wretches unfit to have the smallest authority over any living being, have a helpless woman for their household slave. These excesses could not exist if women both earned and had the right to possess a part of the income of the family.'

into capitalists and hired labourers, and the regulation of the reward of labourers mainly by demand and supply, will be for ever, or even much longer, the rule of the world. But so long as competition is the general law of human life, it is tyranny to shut out one half of the competitors. All who have attained the age of self-government have an equal claim to be permitted to sell whatever kind of useful labour they are capable of, for the price it will bring.

‘The third objection to the admission of women to political or professional life, its alleged hardening tendency, belongs to an age now past, and is scarcely to be comprehended by people of the present time. There are still, however, persons who say that the world and its avocations render men selfish and unfeeling; that the struggles, rivalries, and collisions of business and of politics make them harsh and unamiable; that if half the species must unavoidably be given up to these things, it is the more necessary that the other half should be kept free from them; that to preserve women from the bad influences of the world is the only chance of preventing men from being wholly given up to them.

‘There would have been plausibility in this argument when the world was still in the age of

violence, when life was full of physical conflict, and every man had to redress his injuries or those of others by the sword or by the strength of his arm. Women, like priests, by being exempted from such responsibilities, and from some part of the accompanying dangers, may have been enabled to exercise a beneficial influence. But in the present condition of human life we do not know where those hardening influences are to be found, to which men are subject and from which women are at present exempt. Individuals nowadays are seldom called upon to fight hand to hand, even with peaceful weapons; personal enmities and rivalries count for little in worldly transactions; the general pressure of circumstances, not the adverse will of individuals, is the obstacle men now have to make head against. That pressure, when excessive, breaks the spirits, cramps and sours the feelings, not less of women than of men, since they suffer certainly not less from its evils. There are still quarrels and dislikes, but the sources of them are changed. The feudal chief once found his bitterest enemy in his powerful neighbour, the minister or courtier in his rival for place; but opposition of interest in active life, as a cause of personal animosity, is out of date; the enmities of the present day arise not from

great things but small, from what people say of one another, more than from what they do; and if there are hatred, malice, and all uncharitableness, they are to be found among women fully as much as among men. In the present state of civilisation, the notion of guarding women from the hardening influences of the world, could only be realised by secluding them from society altogether. The common duties of common life, as at present constituted, are incompatible with any other softness in women than weakness. Surely weak minds in weak bodies must ere long cease to be even supposed to be either attractive or amiable.'

It is difficult to add to these observations. Such statements as that the possession of the franchise would tend to harden the character and demoralise the mind might of course be multiplied *ad infinitum*. People might insist that the act of voting would shorten their lives, or give their noses a deep blue tinge, or produce some other horrible effect. But it will require something more than dogmatic assertion or mere empty declamation to prove that what is generally admitted to have a humanising effect on man, to render him less wrapt up in his own affairs, and to enlarge the circle of his sympathies, will have a precisely opposite effect on woman. Besides, recent election

disclosures show that woman at present feels the demoralising part of local and party conflicts, while the higher and more ennobling influences are denied her.

The economic part of Mrs. Mill's argument, touching the alleged injurious effect of adding to the pressure of competition, seems to be capable of still stronger statement ; for the opening of all employments to women would tend to restrain population. There would be fewer mouths to feed, and consequently a considerable economic gain to the nation. But surely it will never again be said, that by admitting woman's labour man's would produce less, or that woman's, more startling assertion still, would be entirely without return.

The maternity argument touches the question, what is the proper sphere of woman? which is not the inquiry before us. We have only to consider whether man has a right to assume, directly by seizing all political power, and indirectly by getting under his control all the social laws, the function of determining that sphere. The maternity argument, as applied to the possession of the franchise, means that the duties of a mother preclude her from forming an intelligent opinion and giving an intelligent vote. But, obviously, this argument applies, if it applies at all, no less to the

lawyer, the merchant, the clergyman, the mechanic. Besides, no such questions are asked in admitting any other class to the franchise, and it is most unfair to subject woman's claims to these extra gratuitous tests.

But can it mean that the periods of confinement would sometimes interfere with the exercise of her vote? This consideration, indeed, may be a reason for giving her *two* votes, but surely a something which tends to diminish a power which should be possessed in its entirety, is no reason for withholding it altogether. The objection, too, is completely met by introducing voting papers. And it is instructive to notice that the exception taken to voting papers is founded on the fear of fraud and corruption; that is to say, fear of the very low moral condition of those who exercise arbitrary power over more than a half of the species.

Another objection, a very constant objection, to the enfranchisement of woman is that she does not and cannot understand politics. This opens a large question. But here, too, the first and obvious remark is that it is monstrous to require of woman what we do not require of other classes before enfranchising them. The only reply we have seen worthy of notice is that the same test

could not be applied to woman as to man, because in the case of men the present test, though not a direct political test, affords an assumption verified by fact, that about 70 per cent. of the enfranchised possess political knowledge; while in the case of women it would not insure more than about 10 per cent.* All this is equivalent to saying that political knowledge must be a *sine quâ non* of enfranchisement. But the political knowledge of the great bulk of the people of this country is the result, not the cause, of enfranchisement. If, then, women know nothing about a subject which they have both the capacity and industry to understand, but concerning which they have not the power practically to apply those qualities; while others who have no advantage over them, except in having that power, are acquainted with it; and if this knowledge is found to increase when that power is bestowed, the conclusion is, that so long as women have no vote, so long will women have no political knowledge, but that, give them votes, and they will

* The following is the original statement, which appeared in an able article in the *Spectator*:—‘The possession of a house of a given rental is not like a political examination,—it is not a *proof* of political capacity, but only, in the case of perhaps 60 or 70 per cent. of ordinary householders, a *presumption* verified by fact. But if applied to women it would probably not be a presumption at all, for the cases in which it would succeed would probably be 9 or 10 per cent., instead of a respectable majority of the whole number.’

acquire knowledge. The phrase, too, has a very convenient generality. When applied to 69 out of the 70 per cent., it merely means that they sometimes read the daily newspapers, take a hasty glance at the articles and the parliamentary debates, and that their opinions have no deeper foundation than a popular or an unpopular newspaper. This kind of knowledge demands neither ability nor industry, and the truth is, that to be able to look after the interests of your own class—a subject on which few people are ignorant—or to choose fit representatives, does not require more than a very limited capacity or limited political knowledge.¹⁶ The motive, however, for acquiring knowledge, political status, man has, but woman has not.

Moreover, our opponents' argument would introduce a totally new doctrine into our electoral system. This would be no argument with us, but it may be with those who oppose female suffrage because it would effect a social revolution. The only personal tests which any statesman advocates are honesty and general intelligence. If these are present, he assumes that the rest will come in due season. Even the Educational Franchise does

¹⁶ 'Le peuple est admirable pour choisir ceux à qui il doit confier quelque partie de son autorité. Il n'a à se déterminer que par des choses qu'il ne peut ignorer, et des faits qui tombent sous les sens. Il

not demand political knowledge as a test of fitness, though, of course, the argument in that case rests on totally different grounds.

But it is not surprising that writers, who, without a single reason, begin by assuming one of the points in dispute, though not the point on which we are now at issue, and assert that politics are not woman's 'sphere,' very frequently add that woman does not and cannot understand politics.¹⁷ This objection we

sait très bien qu'un homme a été souvent à la guerre, qu'il y a eu tel ou tel succès : il est donc très capable d'élire un général. Il sait qu'un juge est assidu, que beaucoup de gens se retirent de son tribunal contents de lui, qu'on ne l'a pas convaincu de corruption : en voilà assez pour qu'il élise un préteur. Il a été frappé de la magnificence ou des richesses d'un citoyen : cela suffit pour qu'il puisse choisir un édile. Toutes ces choses sont des faits dont il s'instruit mieux dans la place publique qu'un monarque dans son palais. Mais saura-t-il conduire une affaire, connoître les lieux, les occasions, les moments, en profiter ? Non, il ne le saura pas.'—*Montesquieu*.

¹⁷ We could easily prove the very extraordinary capacity of women for politics. We should be willing to stake the whole controversy on that single issue. Without going as far back as Zenobia, we might adduce a long list of women, who, in every age and country, have had a large, often a pre-eminent, influence on national progress; and an influence not arising out of intrigue, but entirely from political capacity. But our present object is to prove the capacity of women for exercising the suffrage. We are content, therefore, to point out the anomaly of her dependent position in this country, where a woman has parochial votes, where a woman is eligible to be Grand Constable, Grand Chamberlain, Champion of England, &c.; where a woman is the reigning Sovereign; and where a woman was the greatest Sovereign who ever sat on the English throne. A great living statesman says, 'Queen Elizabeth is the greatest of English, perhaps of all modern, Sovereigns. In a period remarkable for long and sanguinary wars, she made her name respected abroad, without a waste of blood or treasure; and, in a time of great political ferment, she maintained the most absolute authority at home, without any loss of the affections of her people. She

should leave to fall of itself, if it did not suggest other issues. One is that the study of politics has nothing in common with any other study. This is tacitly assumed when it is said that woman's 'instinct' is against the possession and exercise of the franchise.* Within certain limits, it is true that special faculties, or rather a special development of certain faculties, are necessary to extraordinary success in some one subject; but to get a moderate knowledge of any subject does not require a peculiar turn of mind. Identical mental processes may be, nay, to a certain extent, must be, employed on all subjects, politics or cookery; and it is a glaring *petitio principii* to say that women would have the slightest difficulty in acquiring sufficient political knowledge to exercise an intelligent vote. Besides, the objection all along assumes that political knowledge is very generally distributed among men, than which nothing can be more untrue. The theorems which are the very alphabet of every one who has anything like a sound knowledge of the science of politics—even such a knowledge as a good lawyer or a good doctor has

obtained glory without conquest, and unlimited power without odium.' *The English Government and Constitution:* Earl Russell.

* 'It is a just instinct which has prevented the vast majority of educated ladies from claiming, immediately or prospectively, the fatal gift of electoral power; and it will be an evil day for English homes when Parliament shall be induced to confer it upon them.'—*Times*.

of his profession—are daily outraged by the paid writers who profess to instruct the present voters. The large majority of the articles in the daily and weekly newspapers, clearly prove one thing, that they are written by men whose opinions on the philosophy of politics, or any abstruse problem in the whole range of political economy—trades' unions, strikes, population, pauperism, &c.—are utterly worthless. But the bulk of the people—the men to whom, too often, the newspaper is the only teacher—know even less than the majority of public writers, though their knowledge may not really be less reliable.¹⁸

Be this as it may, however, we repeat that it is most unjust to say that woman must understand politics before getting the franchise, because this test is not applied to man. As a man's faculties and time are limited, he devotes his attention to the

¹⁸ The progress of political knowledge is likely to continue slow when leading articles are so often mere unhealthy *réchauffés* of the facts and reasonings of others. The facts are mis-stated, and the reasonings perverted. Nor is it conducive to political progress that while the speeches in Parliament of Mr. This and my Lord That are printed *verbatim*, those of Mr. Mill are cut down and printed in the third person. Yet this is what the *Times* has done almost invariably with Mr. Mill's speeches, notably with his splendid speech on Reform last session! Those who read the *Times* solely for its reports—and these are probably nearly all its educated readers, except such as regard it as a pretty reliable, though ever changing barometer of the political and immoral atmosphere—were not more disappointed than angered at so extraordinary a suppression.

things which concern him. The men best informed in politics are those engaged in political life ; the next, the middle classes who hold the chief power ; the next, the enfranchised lower classes ; and the last the unenfranchised classes. Political knowledge seems to vary with political power, and assuming that the unenfranchised—those whom we now contemplate enfranchising—are, as a class, superior in political knowledge to women, it is because, first every man is able to get a vote by slightly improving his position, and, secondly, because he is not surrounded by persons who constantly tell him that politics are above his comprehension. It is this constant reiteration of woman's unfitness for politics which is the most effective of the many specious devices by which man persuades woman of her inferiority.

The training begins early. Boys learn, or rather they are supposed to learn, Greek, Latin, and Mathematics ; but girls are told that these subjects are of immense difficulty and priceless value, though utterly beyond their capacity. The girls naturally believe those whom they have been taught to respect, and, having no means of testing man's pretensions, fall into the further error of believing that men generally have a profound knowledge of them. The tasks, they are informed,

which should occupy girls, should be neither so hard nor so important. Years roll on. The boys, grown into young men, 'talk politics' furiously, much to the astonishment and admiration of their sisters. Whence comes all this knowledge, so ready on the tongue? Whence but out of those huge volumes with the funnily shaped letters? The young men talk on glibly enough, but of politics they usually know about as much as they do of Chinese, or if they are a little above the average, as they do of the Latin and the Greek which, through no fault of theirs but through another little 'custom' of man, they have only touched with the tips of their finger. The girls, however, who have been carefully preserved from learning even the meaning of the word politics, believe that the one brother's adoration of the Constitution and Mr. Disraeli, between whom he seems to see some startling connection; and the other's vehement rants about Brutus, and Timoleon, and the rights of man, are each perfect in its kind, the one the very essence of profound reasoning, the other of noble eloquence.

Meanwhile the girls are brought up with the one idea, 'marriage,' continually dangled before their minds. Their whole training is directed to fit them to become the wife of one of the 'nobler sex.'

‘To endeavour’—we quote Mrs. Ellis, a popular and most amiable writer of the school of subserviency—‘to endeavour before every other earthly thing, and next to the salvation of her soul, to obtain and keep her husband’s confidence,’ (no mention being made of the corresponding duty of the husband,) is to be the end-all and the be-all of a wife’s life.¹⁹

¹⁹ These are a few of the doctrines of a school whose disciples are numbered by hundreds of thousands. We still quote Mrs. Ellis:—‘It is unquestionably the inalienable right of all men, whether ill or well, rich or poor, wise or foolish, to be treated with deference, and made much of in their own houses.’ ‘The love of woman appears to have been created solely to minister; that of man to be ministered unto.’ ‘If his taste is for neatness and order, for the absence of servants, and for perfect quiet, it would be absolute cruelty to such a man to find his house in confusion, and to have to call in servants to clear this thing and the other away after his return.’ ‘In the case of a highly gifted woman, even where there is an equal or superior degree of talent possessed by her husband, nothing can be more injudicious, or more fatal to her happiness, than an exhibition even of the least disposition to presume upon such gifts. Let her husband be once subjected to a feeling of jealousy of her importance, which, without the strictest watchfulness, will be liable to arise, and her force of mind and her free agency are alike destroyed for the remainder of her life.’

Good God! what a picture of man’s intense selfishness and egotism, drawn by one of his most enthusiastic devotees! Mrs. Ellis, however, when she subdues feeling, and looks at the facts before her, seems to be almost of our opinion:—

‘Men who have been thus educated by foolish and indulgent mothers; who have been placed at public schools, where the influence, the character, and the very name of woman was a by-word for contempt; who have been afterwards associated with sisters who were capricious, ignorant, and vain—such men are very unjustly blamed for being selfish, domineering, and tyrannical to the other sex. In fact *how should they be otherwise?* It is a common thing to complain of the selfishness of men, but I have often thought, on looking candidly at

Of course to men no such ideas are addressed. They however, like women, have their 'characteristics;' and riotousness and libertinism, provided always they are sown with the usual crop of 'wild oats,' are practically held very venial transgressions. If the prodigal at last condescends, meanwhile assisting to keep up prostitution, vitiating his offspring, and inflicting other injuries on society, to choose one of the inferior sex for his wife, and, in return for her entire subservience to him, and for her valuing his life 'next to the salvation of her soul,' treats her kindly and keeps the marriage vow, he goes down to the grave with the reputation of being a model husband, and an excellent man!

The assertion, that the equality of woman is one man's crotchet, Mr. Mill's, is common enough, though there is no argument in it, unless it be implied in the word crotchet.* But an opinion

their early lives, and reflecting how little cultivation of the heart is blended with what is popularly called the best education, the wonder should be that men are not more selfish still.'

So according to the testimony of a hostile witness, the present system which the 'Times' believes it would be fatal to upset, necessarily produces women who are weak and subservient, and men who are selfish and tyrannical.

* 'Perhaps a paradox is not absolutely condemned by the circumstance that only a single member can be found to propose or to support it. Mr. Mill has, in exotic phrase, "the courage of his opinions," including several opinions besides the dogma of indiscriminate suffrage.' —*Saturday Review*.

which was held by Plato, by Voltaire, by Burke, Condorcet, Sydney Smith, Dugald Stewart, and Bentham, and which is maintained by a host of distinguished contemporary men and women, cannot be called one man's crotchet or one man's idea.²⁰

It has been said that representation without taxation is unreasonable; that since married women are not taxed, they are not entitled to representation; and that if it be replied that they are taxed through their husbands, the answer is that they are also represented through their husbands.

The assertion that married women are not taxed, can only mean that they do not possess property. Then the argument amounts to this:—

²⁰ Pitt, Coleridge, and some European statesmen and thinkers of the last century, opposed universal suffrage on the ground that it necessarily led to the enfranchisement of women. Talleyrand could not reconcile the exclusion of women on any abstract principles; and M. de Tocqueville expresses himself to the same effect. The petitions which have been presented to parliament for the enfranchisement of women, though containing many of the most eminent names in science, literature, and art, are by no means adequate evidence either of the extent or character of the opinion throughout the country.

Nor have electoral rights always and everywhere been denied to women. In the modern state of Victoria they were granted by an oversight, and afterwards withdrawn; but they have been partially, and, we hope, permanently, ceded in Austria and Sweden.

The 'Daily News,' an able advocate of the enfranchisement of women, well says:—'The Legislature is about to create wholly new franchises. Mr. Mill does not introduce the element of sex into the question; all he does is to ask that no one else shall do so. He says to Mr. Disraeli—If you are going to attach the suffrage to occupation, or ratepaying, or any other condition, do not make exceptions by using the term "man" in your Bill, but speak of "persons."'

the law made by man arbitrarily withholds from woman the power of possessing property: those should not be represented who do not possess property: therefore, married women should not be represented. Thus, one act of spoliation is urged as a justification for another. Representation without taxation may or may not be unreasonable when all human beings are allowed to hold property; but to exclude married women from holding property (all share in making the law being denied her) and then, on the ground of that exclusion, to refuse her political power, is, practically, to say that two wrongs make a right. But supposing it be just to prevent married women from holding property, the premise that representation without taxation is unreasonable, must be rigorously demonstrated, not coolly assumed. Besides, if the law had been made to exclude men, the same argument, *mutatis mutandis*, might be applied. This habit, among certain writers, of taking common phrases and using them in cases which their original framers had not in view, and which bear no resemblance to those which gained them general recognition, is a very fruitful source of the most stupendous blunders.²¹

²¹ 'A great multitude of people are continually talking of the Law of Nature; and then they go on giving you their sentiments about

Another objection arising in the habit just mentioned, is that female suffrage would introduce strife and heart-burning into families. This is an assumption against all available experience. A vote has not this effect in the case of father and son, or husband and father-in-law, or husband and brother-in-law, and it seems to be forgotten that educating woman as a being having the same feelings, the same virtues, the same weaknesses, and the same aspirations as man, will probably make her at least as tolerant as man, who does not, as a rule, coerce, or wish to coerce, the members of his own family. In all propositions which are at variance with experience, the *onus probandi* lies with the objectors, who must adduce something different from unsupported assertions.

We have now gone through our list of objections:²² for the assertion that women do not

what is right, and what is wrong ; and these sentiments, you are to understand, are so many chapters and sections of the Law of Nature.

‘Instead of the phrase, Law of Nature, you have sometimes Law of Reason, Right Reason, Natural Justice, Natural Equity, Good Order. Any of them will do equally well. This latter is most used in politics. The three last are much more tolerable than the others, because they do not very explicitly claim to be anything more than phrases : they insist but feebly upon the being looked upon as so many positive standards of themselves, and seem content to be taken, upon occasion, for phrases expressive of the conformity of the thing in question to the proper standard, whatever that may be.’—*Bentham*.

²² It has been urged that if woman is given the franchise she must be admitted to parliament. But this is a *non sequitur*. So many new considerations are imported into the second case, that it is impos-

demand the suffrage, though it contains considerable truth, has no weight as an objection. Mrs. Mill well observes: 'The vast population of Asia do not desire or value, probably would not accept, political liberty, nor the savages of the forest, civilisation; which does not prove that either of those things are undesirable for them, or that they will not at some future time enjoy it.' Obviously the argument founded on the content of women

sible to deduce the one from the other. The opponents of this admission, however, might plead a precedent: for the clergy of the Church of England who have the franchise, have not the privilege of sitting in parliament. The 'Contemporary Review' shows that many of the objections which sound most plausible, might be brought against priestly enfranchisement if the privilege were now for the first time to be conferred:—

'We should be told that clergymen had no business with politics; that it was their province to attend to spiritual matters; and that they ought to confine themselves to their proper sphere; that if they were permitted to participate in political affairs, it would deteriorate from the sanctity of their character, and be a hindrance in the discharge of their special duties; that the passions roused by political contests were inconsistent with that spirit of meekness and holiness which we look for in preachers of the Gospel; that if clergymen were allowed to vote, the next demand would be that they should sit in the House of Commons; with many other objections of a similar character, which it does not need a very lively fancy to suggest. But all these imaginary evils are found not to exist practically. Clergymen are not hindered in the performance of their spiritual duties by their participation in political power, and it is no deterioration from the sacredness of their calling, to give them a voice in mundane affairs. They would feel it to be unjust were they deprived of the right to hold political opinions, which would be implied by the exclusion of all persons of their class from the franchise, but they do not appear to regard it as any hardship that the exigencies of their special vocation are thought to render it expedient that they should not sit in the House of Commons.'

would equally apply to the women all over Africa, who are perfectly content, though they are slaves; and to those of Hindostan, who mingle personal indecencies with law and religion.

No habit, indeed, is more easily acquired than that of subserviency. The fulsome and slavish praise which writers, particularly in the seventeenth century, lavished on their patrons, the degrading ceremonies which waited on monarchs, and the tyranny which men of all ages and of all countries have endured, are all examples of this weakness of humanity. But let not acquiescence be too much counted on. The political dependence of women has been tacitly assumed, not rigorously demonstrated. The opinions and practices of our forefathers, have been handed down from generation to generation, rather as a creed to be believed, than as a proposition to be proved. In such cases the awakening is sudden. A few experiments of Toricelli overturned an opinion which had been held from the days of Aristotle. A moment, and what no man could be found to dispute, no man could be found to affirm. Signs of some such awakening are thickening around us. A man, eminent for his learning, his depth of thought, his contempt of ridicule, his nobleness of aim, his fixedness of purpose, has been returned

to parliament; returned while plainly avowing the right of women to representation. By him, on March 7, 1866, a petition signed by 1,500 ladies was presented to parliament.²³ On March 9 of the present year, Mr. Ewart and Mr. Russell Gurney presented petitions from many thousands of the inhabitants of Dumfries, Oxford, and Cambridge. And on April 5, Mr. Mill again presented a petition, this time with 3,000 signatures. It is a singular fact, which bears upon the present subject, by showing how quickly strong feelings may take the place of indifference, that, in the year 1830, only three petitions were presented to the House of Commons on the question of reform, and that in 1832 reform was carried to save revolution. That the time is approaching when a hard struggle


²³ 'It may appear, at first sight, as though in proportion to the millions of Englishwomen who live happily under our laws, or who groan under all the miseries of wife-beating and other social evils, without a thought of how their condition might be affected by legislation, fifteen hundred women are too small a number to be worthy of a moment's consideration. But if we reflect a little on the peculiar position of women, and their usual ways of thinking—on their habitual reticence on all subjects which they are accustomed to consider as beyond their own sphere, their timidity and dread of exposing their names to public observation, their deference even to the most unreasonable prejudices of those who have any claim on their affections, their clinging to old associations, and their regard for the opinion of all who are even remotely connected with them—we shall see reason to think that these fifteen hundred ladies, who have not hesitated to affix their names to a public document, and to pronounce a decided opinion, open to the controversy and criticism of all the world, must represent an extraordinarily important phase of thought.'—*Westminster Review*.

will be begun for the destruction of the political dependence of women, we are deeply grateful to believe. The characteristics of the age point to such a consummation. The spread of education among both sexes, among the people, who have always been the lever of every great social revolution, the rise of the cheap press, the progress of the arts which every day tend to lessen mere household duties, the gradual diminution of physical force, and the levelling spirit which is abroad, and which needs only just guidance to scatter every obstacle, all move in the same direction. And in enfranchising women, let us inaugurate a nobler era in the history of the human race. Let the strong no longer tyrannise over the weak ; but let man and woman, united by identity of interest, by a common nature, and a tangible respect for honour and religion, fight out the battle of life, side by side.

Selfishness, we believe, is the root, whatever nourishing tendrils there may be, of nearly all the evils, which complacent statesmen, availing themselves of high authority, declare that 'flesh is heir to.' We repudiate the heirship. We do not believe that one half of mankind was born to exercise unlimited power over the other half, nor that a fraction of the human race was born to

grow fat and prosper on the leanness and poverty of the immense mass. On the contrary, we believe that comfort and happiness, neither of which can exist without self-reliance and self-respect, are within the reach of all, if the strong and the rich will relax oppressive laws, and breathe a more liberal spirit into future legislation. Then, and not till then, we shall have laws which are without favour and without affection. Then, and not till then, we shall see a more equal distribution of happiness. Then, and not till then, we shall be near realising the quaint fancy of the father of poets, that on Jove's high throne there are two urns, the one of evil and the other of good, from which he impartially distributes ills and blessings to all mankind.





THE
SOCIAL & POLITICAL
DEPENDENCE OF WOMEN.

LONDON
PRINTED BY SPOTTISWOODE AND CO.
NEW-STREET SQUARE

THE
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL DEPENDENCE
OF
WOMEN.

Ἴσως δὴ, εἶπον, παρὰ τὸ ἔθος γελοῖα ἂν φαίνοιτο πολλὰ περὶ τὰ νῦν
λεγόμενα, εἰ πράξεται ἢ λέγεται. PLATO.

'The intellectual and moral differences between the sexes, seem to me to be entirely the result of *education*; using that word in its most extensive sense, to comprehend not merely the instruction received from teachers, but the habits of mind imposed by situation, or by the physical organisation of the animal frame.'

DUGALD STEWART.

'Asserting the rights which women in common with men ought to contend for, we have not attempted to extenuate their faults; but to prove them to be the natural consequence of their education and station in society.'

MARY WOLLSTONECRAFT.

'Parmi les progrès de l'esprit humain les plus importants pour le bonheur général, nous devons compter l'entière destruction des préjugés, qui ont établi entre les deux sexes une inégalité de droits funeste à celui même qu'elle favorise. On chercheroit en vain des motifs de la justifier, par les différences de leur organisation physique, par celle qu'on voudroit trouver dans la force de leur intelligence, dans leur sensibilité morale. Cette inégalité n'a eu d'autre origine que l'abus de la force, et c'est vainement qu'on a essayé depuis, de l'excuser par des sophismes.'

CONDORCET.



LONDON:
LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO.
1867.

The right of translation is reserved.

232. e. 43.



PREFACE.

IN WRITING on a subject which the late Mrs. J. S. Mill has treated with a breadth of view, felicity of illustration, and closeness of reasoning, worthy of her great reputation, we have been obliged to touch much of the ground cultivated by her genius. Whenever we have done so, we have ventured to adopt her language; and though we are happy to feel that her influence has had much to do in strengthening our convictions, we are not aware that we have made any direct use of her labour without acknowledgment. As, however, we quote from Mrs. Mill at some length on two or three occasions; as these quotations do not give any adequate idea of the essay from which they are taken; and as every one, in common with Mr. Mill, must feel a pride in the reputation of this most distinguished woman, we take the liberty to subjoin, with some omissions, the paragraph with which Mr.

Mill prefaces the essay on the 'Enfranchisement of Women,' in the second volume of his 'Dissertations, &c.' Any one who has not mastered and made that essay his own, is without the advantage of some of the best materials out of which to form an opinion:—

' It is proper to state that she (Mrs. Mill) never regarded it (the essay) as a complete discussion of the subject which it treats of; and, highly as I estimate it, I would rather it remained unacknowledged, than that it should be read with the idea that even the faintest image can be found in it of a mind and heart which in their union of the rarest, and what are deemed the most conflicting excellences, were unparalleled in any human being that I have known or read of. . . . So elevated was the general level of her faculties, that the highest poetry, philosophy, oratory, or art, seemed trivial by the side of her, and equal only to expressing some part of her mind. And there is no one of those modes of manifestation in which she could not easily have taken the highest rank, had not her inclinations led her for the most part to content herself with being the inspirer, prompter, unavowed coadjutor of others. . . . Had she

lived to write out all her thoughts on this great question, she would have produced something as far transcending in profundity the present essay, as, had she not placed a rigid restraint on her feelings, she would have excelled it in fervid eloquence. Yet nothing which even she could have written on any subject, would have given an adequate idea of the depth and compass of her mind. As during life she continually detected, before any one else had seemed to perceive them, those changes of times and circumstances which ten or twelve years later became subjects of general remark, so I venture to prophesy that if mankind continue to improve, their spiritual history for ages to come will be the progressive working out of her thoughts, and realisation of her conceptions.'

THE
SOCIAL AND POLITICAL DEPENDENCE
OF
W O M E N.

brings inflation, until at last these scribblers regard themselves as compounds of wit and wisdom, and

* 'It is a terrible fancy—that of papa arguing his daughters out of the Yellow ranks into the Blue, or giving his wife a choice between independence and pin-money. As we have said, it is nearly impossible to treat this subject with much gravity.'—*Standard*.

'Families and household matters would be neglected during election time, and the husband and brother would be fortunate if they could obtain a hot dinner on those exciting occasions.'—*Observer*.

ascribe to their own cleverness what is in fact due to the prejudice and ignorance which they address. Others say, that though ridicule is a most effective weapon they generously lay it aside, partly in deference to the great men who have made the ridiculous tenet an article of their creed, and partly on account of the inherent strength of their objections and arguments.* They seem to be utterly unaware that ridicule never was and never will be demonstration. Ridicule is sometimes useful, but it is only in bringing to bear on those whom nobler feelings do not influence, the force which other people's opinions usually exercise. But, unfortunately, in this, its only use, it is as easily and as often wielded in a bad as in a good cause. Observe, then, either the ignorance or

* ' Though ridicule is not only a most effective but a perfectly fair weapon to employ when serious argument would be wholly thrown away, there are occasions on which its use is to be strongly deprecated. We take leave to say that the discussion as to the propriety of extending the electoral franchise to women is one of them. All the more because the laugh can be easily raised, should we guard ourselves against inopportune indulgence in extravagant humour.'—*Daily Telegraph*.

' There is yet another motive for treating the question with sobriety and candour rather than with invidious levity. . The most conspicuous advocate of a female franchise in this country is one of its most illustrious and independent thinkers.'—*Daily Telegraph*.

' It is not very easy to speak with perfect gravity of a scheme which, perpetuating the disfranchisement of married ladies who are not separated from their husbands, and of young ladies who are not orphans, admits to the polling-booth a mixed multitude of widows and those whom, for want of a more respectful term, we must needs call old maids.'—*Times*.

disingenuousness of these writers. In the one case, what are we to think of those who have never discovered that in the various processes of induction and deduction, ridicule is entirely and absolutely useless; or in the other case, of those who pretend that in abandoning a worthless weapon which they know, like the ill-directed boomerang of the Indian, will recoil on themselves, they are influenced by the most high-minded motives? Others again, whose mathematical knowledge cannot embrace the sixth proposition of Euclid's first book, seem to think that ridicule and the principle *reductio ad absurdum* are synonymous.* If this were so, the Elements would indeed be one of the most satirical works extant; a proposition which even a writer who owes Mr. Mill a small, much less 'a deep debt of gratitude,' † would scarcely attempt to support.

But it is argued that the tendency to produce laughter is in itself a proof of fallacy.‡ In truth, however, the tendency is only a proof of novelty. Locke says that the sense of humour lies

* 'We have abstained from anything like banter or any available *reductio ad absurdum*.'—*Daily Telegraph*.

† *Daily Telegraph*.

‡ 'A novelty which is absolutely certain to be rejected is in itself little adapted to command popular respect; nor can grave politicians be expected to devote more than cursory attention to a scheme which, at least in the present generation, will raise no practical issue.'—*Saturday Review*.

wherever resemblance and incongruity produce an agreeable fancy. Addison says that wit must add surprise to delight, and that novelty is an essential part of it. Nothing is ludicrous in the idea of the enfranchisement of women—in their political equality—except its novelty; and if it provokes laughter and ridicule, it only incurs the same penalty as every new idea opposed to preconceived notions. New ideas radically different from existing opinions are generally treated as absurd, and often for no other reason than their newness.

A large number of the errors, and want of success, in physical inquiries among the Greeks, may be traced to this mental infirmity. Aristotle assumed that motion in a circle was the perfect kind of motion, and thence inferred that such was the motion of the heavenly bodies, since it would be absurd to suppose that they could have any other than the perfect motion. The doctrine of the four elements was proved in a somewhat similar way. The belief in antipodes was long rejected, because it assumed the absurdity that men could exist with their heads continually downwards. Copernicus, though supported by a bishop, a cardinal, and two of the most learned astronomers of the age, dared not give his discoveries to the world till eleven years after they were made. His opinions

the age, dared not give his discoveries to the world till eleven years after they were made. His opinions meanwhile getting noised abroad, he was satirised on the stage at Elburg. The persecution of Galileo in his old age, is one of the most melancholy events in the progress of learning. His doctrines were branded as heretical and absurd; and who so branded them? The inquisitors and others whose ignorance astonished him. Harvey's and Jenner's discoveries were met with ridicule and contempt. And, more recently, a writer in the 'Edinburgh Review' earned an unenviable notoriety, by ridiculing the notion that men would ever travel at the rate of twenty or thirty miles an hour. In social matters, the fashion of waltzing was hailed as absurd and indecent; smoking as ridiculous; and the fashions in dress and manners of preceding generations often appear ludicrous to the next, though custom may alone save their own fashions from appearing even more absurd. A century ago, opinions which are now considered axiomatic would have brought their disciples to a lunatic asylum. In fact, in religion, in philosophy, in everything which has engaged the attention of man, from the discovery of worlds to the invention of a new mode, the difficulty is not to find anything which has been received with

We regret we cannot yet dismiss those much relied on weapons in the quiver of our opponents, ridicule and novelty. It is true that they have no real force, but they are so often used by those who pretend to, and who to some extent do, supply the public with opinions, that they must be met at some sacrifice to ourselves, and at the risk of boring the reader. The sole meaning, if it has any meaning, of such a sentence as the one which follows, is that the enfranchisement of women is undesirable because it is new, because it interferes with things as they are now arranged:—‘Wherever Christianity has penetrated, there exists, as it were, a tacit concordat guaranteeing to the weaker sex the protection and deference of the stronger, upon one condition only; that condition is the political dependence of women, and it is a condition the breach of which might involve consequences far beyond our powers of prediction.’* Now such an argument which assumes the point at issue, and which threatens some awful or ridiculous consequences if the old system is abandoned and any innovation permitted, has been used in support of every injustice or absurdity which time, aided by selfishness, ignorance, or superstition, has fostered in the habits or political systems of past ages.

* ‘Times.’

aided by selfishness, ignorance, or superstition, has fostered in the habits or political systems of past ages.

It was a superstitious, bigoted, persecuting belief in the infallible wisdom of current opinion, founded, so to say, more on established custom than on rational conviction, which led to the death of Socrates, because he introduced new deities, and corrupted the youth; which led to the persecution of the Christians by Herod, by Nero, by Adrian, by Marcus Aurelius, and by many others, periodically, in different parts of the world, up to the time of Constantine the Great; which led to the bloody wars of the Crusades; to the massacres of Stockholm, St. Bartholomew, and of the Protestants during the Irish Rebellion in 1641; to the banishment of Cornelius Agrippa; to the burning of Huss and Jerome of Prague for heresy; to the burning of Savonarola for preaching against the crimes of the clergy; to the burning of Michael Servetus at Geneva; the persecution of Kepler, of Raleigh, of Selden, of Cranmer, and of Ridley. It was this same obedience to the influence of custom, to the authority of 'law and usage,' to which Mrs. Mill refers in these words:—'In the ancient world the same fact was alleged, with equal truth, in behalf of slavery. It might have

been alleged in favour of the mitigated form of slavery, serfdom, all through the Middle Ages. It was urged against freedom of industry, freedom of conscience, freedom of the press; none of these liberties were thought compatible with a well-ordered state, until they had proved their possibility by actually existing as facts.'

The 'novelty' ¹ objection, then, is of no force; for it only repeats the old assertion, that whatever always has been, always must be.² If we wished

¹ Plato said that there is no natural difference between the sexes, except in strength; and that they should both equally participate in the government of the state. The idea, then, can scarcely be called new, notwithstanding the constant protestations of certain writers:—

Κινδυνεύομεν γούν ἄκοντες ἀντιλογίας ὑπτεσθαι. Πῶς; Τὸ τὴν ἄλλην φύσιν ὅτι οὐ τῶν αὐτῶν δεῖ ἐπιτηδευμάτων τυγχάνειν πάνυ ἀνδρείως τε καὶ ἐριστικῶς κατὰ τὸ ὄνομα διώκομεν. . . .

Ἄλλ' ἔτι οἰησόμεθα δεῖν τὰ αὐτὰ ἐπιτηδεύειν τοὺς τε φύλακας ἡμῖν καὶ τὰς γυναῖκας αὐτῶν. . . .

Οὐδὲν ἄρα ἐστίν, ὦ φίλε, ἐπιτήδευμα τῶν πόλιν διοικούντων γυναικὸς διότι γυνή, οὐδ' ἀνδρὸς διότι ἀνήρ, ἀλλ' ὁμοίως διεσπαρμέναι αἱ φύσεις ἐν ἀμφοῖν τοῖν ζώοις, καὶ πάντων μὲν μετέχει γυνή ἐπιτηδευμάτων κατὰ φύσιν, πάντων δὲ ἀνήρ, ἐπὶ πᾶσι δὲ ἀσθενέστερον γυνή ἀνδρός. . . .

Καὶ γυναικὸς ἄρα καὶ ἀνδρὸς ἡ αὐτὴ φύσις εἰς φυλακὴν πόλεως. . . .

"Ἔστι δὲ τι πόλει ἄμεινον ἢ γυναικᾶς τε καὶ ἀνδρας ὡς ἀρίστους ἐγγίγνεσθαι; Οὐκ ἔστιν.—*Plato*.

² 'There is not one single source of human happiness against which there have not been uttered the most lugubrious predictions, —turnpike roads, navigable canals, inoculation, hops, tobacco, the reformation, the revolution. There are always a set of worthy and moderately gifted men, who bawl out death and ruin upon every valuable change which the varying aspect of human affairs absolutely and imperiously requires. It would be extremely useful to make a collection of the hatred and abuse that all those changes have experienced, which are now admitted to be marked improvements in our condition. Such a history might make folly a little more modest and suspicious of its own decisions.'—*Sydney Smith*.

to apply a doctrine which had been tried and discarded, it might, indeed, be urged that, unless our boasted civilisation and progress are but a vain conceit, the fact of a doctrine being approved by one age and abandoned by another, affords a presumption of its fallacy. The fact, however, that a doctrine is a novelty, or is said to be a novelty, merely makes investigation necessary. The objection, nevertheless, brings into view the reason why the custom is so firmly rooted.³ We think that Mrs. Mill's explanation is conclusive:— 'There is no difficulty in understanding why the subjection of women has been a custom. No other explanation is needed than physical force. That those who were physically weaker should have been made legally inferior, is quite conformable to the mode in which the world has been governed. Until very lately, the rule of physical strength was the general law of human affairs. Throughout history, the nations, races, classes, which found themselves the strongest, either in muscles, in riches, or in military discipline, have conquered and held in subjection the rest. If, even in the most improved nations, the law of the sword is at last discountenanced as

³ 'Il n'est pas étonnant qu'en tout pays l'homme se soit rendu maître de la femme, tout étant fondé sur la force.'—*Voltaire*.

chical despotism. It is only now getting rid of hereditary feudal nobility. It is only now getting rid of disabilities on the ground of religion. It is only beginning to treat any *men* as citizens, except the rich and a favoured portion of the middle class. Can we wonder that it has not yet done as much for women? As society was constituted until the last few generations, inequality was its very basis; association grounded on equal rights scarcely existed; to be equals was to be enemies; two persons could hardly co-operate in anything, or meet in any amicable relation, without the law's appointing that one of them should be the superior of the other. Mankind have outgrown this state, and all things now tend to substitute, as the general principle of human relations, a just equality, instead of the dominion of the strongest. But of all relations, that between men and women being the nearest and most intimate, and connected with the greatest number of strong emotions, was sure to be the last to throw off the old rule and receive the new; for in proportion to the strength of a feeling is the tenacity with which it clings to the forms and circumstances with which it has even accidentally become associated.'

So much, then, for the two objections which are on the threshold of the subject, or rather which

receive the new; for in proportion to the strength of a feeling is the tenacity with which it clings to the forms and circumstances with which it has even accidentally become associated.'

So much, then, for the two objections which are on the threshold of the subject, or rather which are always put there, in the teeth of experience and history, to bar any advance into the heart of the subject.* The real issues appear to be these: on the one hand it is asserted that political power is as much the inalienable right of woman as of man; that the enormous sexual inequalities, legal and social, under which she labours are entirely due to her exclusion; and that her enfranchisement, both as an act of justice and expediency, is imperatively necessary. On the other hand, it is affirmed that the dependence of woman is founded in social laws which lie far deeper than any political laws; that this enfranchisement would be pernicious in the highest degree; that it would involve consequences far beyond the powers of prediction; that woman does not desire it; that her instinct is against it; that man's use of a monopoly of political power has not pressed heavily or unjustly on the other sex; and that no feminine

* 'The idea is barred by immemorial precedent and universal practice.'—*Standard*.

We beg to preface our examination of the several issues just stated, with a protest. Bentham says, 'If a man who calls for the right of suffrage to be given to any one human being, calls for its being refused to any other human being, it lies upon him to give a particular reason for such refusal.' Of course, if this proposition is sound, we need, in order to completely establish the claims of woman to the franchise, only refute the various objections which are urged against her admission. There is no need to show why she should have a vote; it is sufficient to answer objections. The truth, too, of Bentham's aphorism will probably be admitted. That it is so practically, recent reform debates

'Our objections are—firstly, that the vote is not necessary to her, and, secondly, that she does not want it.'—*Standard*.

'The hardship, if any exists, is confined to women under very special, and we trust temporary, circumstances.'—*Observer*.

'Allowing that men exercise the power, have they in general made an unmanly and selfish use of it? Here, again, women, if consulted, would answer, No.'—*Standard*.

'We are not aware, moreover, that any considerable number of women desire to possess the right which Mr. Mill is so anxious that they should have.'—*Observer*.

'Of course, if feminine interests are neglected by male legislators, or if the work of government would be materially advanced by the personal aid of ratepaying widows and spinsters, the fact of their inability to discharge other duties of male citizens would not be conclusive against their enfranchisement. The former proposition, however, needs much stronger evidence for its support than has yet been produced, while the latter is supported by no evidence whatever.'—*Times*.

'It is the glory of Christianity, and the crowning triumph of Christian civilisation, to have created and consecrated moral safeguards against the abuse of this superiority.'—*Times*.

prove. Every speaker (Mr. Lowe perhaps excepted), when arguing for the entire or partial exclusion of any class, feels bound to give reasons. Some talk of ignorance and drunkenness; others express confidence in the process of 'natural selection;' others grow eloquent over the magical virtue of 'a balance of classes;' but all concur in giving reasons, good, bad, or indifferent, to justify the exclusion. No one says, 'the fact that A is a human being gives him no claim to political representation, even though no reason can be shown for his exclusion;' yet on this ground alone can Bentham's dictum be disputed. Whether the franchise be considered 'a right' or 'a privilege,' is immaterial; such discussions usually degenerate into mere verbal disputes. Cause must be shown why a privilege is bestowed on one human being and withheld from another, just as reasons must be brought to prove why a right should be denied to one human being and granted to another. The advocates, therefore, of female enfranchisement have no edifice of their own to erect; they have only to demolish the building of their opponents.

Waiving, however, the advantage which Bentham's dictum would seem to give, we shall consider the question independently. In the course of the inquiry, objections will necessarily arise and be considered; but we shall postpone until

and withheld from another, just as reasons must be brought to prove why a right should be denied to one human being and granted to another. The advocates, therefore, of female enfranchisement have no edifice of their own to erect; they have only to demolish the building of their opponents.

Waiving, however, the advantage which Bentham's dictum gives, we shall consider the question independently. In the course of the inquiry, objections will necessarily arise and be considered; but we shall postpone until the end a brief examination of what we consider the chief objections.

Men and women are equally concerned in the good government of the country. In all legislation which relates to the repression of physical violence, women are even the more deeply concerned, because of their inferior bodily strength;⁴ in all other legis-

⁴ Every one admits the physical inferiority of the female sex, notwithstanding that in Arabia, where most of the outdoor work is performed by women, they are stouter and larger-limbed than the men. But physical is no proof of intellectual inferiority. Analogy points the other way. The extinct races of gigantic animals were of a lower organisation than any races now existing. Of existing races, the strongest have not usually the most intelligence, and the females, though generally smaller than the males, are not less intelligent. Man indeed, for his weight, is physically one of the weakest of animals; and we believe that the majority of great men—poets, statesmen, philosophers, and even warriors—have been physically weak men. The period, too, at which athletic exercises are most pursued and enjoyed, is not when the intellectual powers are at their zenith. The intellect continues to improve after

lation equally concerned. Since, then, women have at least the same right to happiness, so far as just and impartial laws can secure it, as men, and since their number not only equals but is supposed to be even greater than that of men, the sole ground on which the one sex, with any plea of justification, could assume the exclusive government of the state, would be that of advantage to the other. But this plea is only that universal apology for the assumption of authority—the benefit of those who are to be enslaved—which has so deeply stained the pages of history. Combined with avarice, it is the foundation of the most debasing and brutal of all institutions, slavery. *À priori*, then, it should be regarded with extreme suspicion.

be inferior to men—inferior in mental power, in the same proportion that you are inferior in bodily strength.’ There is yet another popular method of proving woman’s intellectual inferiority—comparing the long list of great women with the even longer list of great men. But, notwithstanding women have accomplished so much in the teeth of incessant persecution, and notwithstanding thousands of almost equally great names could be adduced from both sexes, any argument drawn from the comparison would be utterly worthless, because women, always the victims of physical force, have been persistently shut out from men’s opportunities. A fine, so to say, has been levied on them. They have had to pay the heaviest social penalties, and not only the ordinary difficulties, but special difficulties created by strong men, and which meet only women, they have had to surmount. Depend on it, centuries hence, our oppressive treatment of women will not more amaze posterity than we are amazed at the custom of the Babylonians to make every native woman sit in the temple of Venus, and have intercourse with a stranger; or at the practice of Lycurgus, Socrates, Cato, and other great Greeks and Romans, to lend their wives to their friends.

so far as just and impartial laws can secure it, as men, and since their number not only equals but is even greater than that of men, the sole ground on which the one sex, with any plea of justification, could assume the exclusive government of the state, would be that of advantage to the other. But this plea is only that universal apology for the assumption of authority—the benefit of those who are to be enslaved—which has so deeply stained the pages of history. Combined with avarice, it is the foundation of the most debasing and brutal of all institutions—slavery. *À priori*, then, it should be regarded with extreme suspicion. The only grounds which could be urged to justify it are:—

1. That past experience and the present condition of women show such an assumption of power to be beneficial to them.

2. That superiority in intellect gives men the right of arbitrary sway.

3. That superiority in morality gives men the right of arbitrary sway.

If the first proposition be false, mere superiority in intellect or in morality constitutes no sound claim to exclusive power. But even the demonstration of all the three propositions to the complete satisfaction of every man, is no suffi-

stronger; for each proposition is utterly untenable.

Let us examine them *seriatim*.

1. The entire history of woman is one of slavery and oppression. Among the Hebrews, Assyrians, Babylonians, Persians, &c., she was treated little better than we treat our horses and dogs. She was confined and secluded, and polygamy reigned supreme. Monarchs vied in the magnificence of their seraglios, and ancient history is full of heroes and of kings whose future has been marred and wisdom corrupted by indulgence in the grossest sensuality. The Egyptians were the most just in their conduct towards woman. They neither degraded her by polygamy, nor kept her in confinement. She might succeed to property, or even to the throne, in default of male issue. Among the early Greeks, who received a great deal of their civilisation from the East, particularly from the Egyptians, her condition was in some respects improved. Nevertheless, she was not admitted into general society, nor allowed to appear much in public, and she lived entirely secluded in apartments at the back or upper part of the house.

The truth is that both the Greeks and Romans treated woman as a creature with very little more

intelligence than a dog. They made her perform all the domestic offices; even so far as conducting the men to bed, undressing them, and drying and perfuming them after the bath. The law, too, treated her practically as a slave; for without the consent of her perpetual guardian, she could neither make a will, discharge any public function, nor manage her own property. The Romans, however, gradually gave her greater freedom, and at length a share in religious solemnities. But throughout all the various phases of rigorous austerity and unbounded licentiousness which mark Roman history, she was constantly treated as an inferior being, designed only to minister to man's pleasure.

The manners and customs of the East have remained stationary for many centuries. In Asia and in Turkey the horrors of polygamy still continue; but in all Europe, except Mahometan Turkey, Christianity has been instrumental in effecting a gradual change, which though differing in many particulars, has been of a similar character all over the continent. Sufficient however for our present purpose, which is to show how man, especially in this country, has wielded his power over woman, will be a few facts concerning her status in Britain from the earliest times.

The manners and customs of the East have remained stationary for many centuries. In Asia and in Turkey the horrors of polygamy still continue; but throughout Europe, except in Mahometan Turkey, Christianity has been instrumental in effecting a gradual change, which, though differing in many particulars, has been similar in its general character. Sufficient, however, for our present purpose, which is to show how man, especially in this country, has wielded his power over woman, will be a few facts concerning her status in Britain from the earliest times.

Very little is known of her condition among the earliest inhabitants.⁵ She was probably a slave, the usual fate of the weak among savage tribes. There is, however, evidence for believing that she was not always treated without some consideration, and the names of two queens, Cartisamunda and Boadicea, have come down to us. During the administration and after the departure of the Romans, her condition was wretched. But among the Anglo-Saxons her position was considerably raised, though she seems to have had less independence among the chiefs and landowners

⁵ Their marriage customs seem to have been peculiar:—‘*Uxores habent deni duodenique inter se communes, et maxime fratres cum fratribus, parentesque cum liberis; sed qui sunt ex his nati, eorum habentur liberi, quo primum virgo quæque deducta est.*’—CÆSAR.

than among the agricultural class. For while, in case of separation, the wives of the last divided equally with the husband the goods and chattels which they had jointly possessed, the wives of the first received only the smaller share. Both married and single women had the power to possess, to inherit, and to will away money or lands. They could bring actions, and their persons and property were protected by special enactments. Still the fragmentary records of those times prove that they were often the victims of oppression and outrage.

The introduction of Christianity, about the year 600, was very powerful in ameliorating woman's condition. Concubinage, hitherto permitted, was forbidden, and a religion which taught equality for man and woman in the next world would obviously foster equality in this. Convents and monasteries quickly sprung up, and kept alight some glimmering of knowledge. King Alfred, who, according to tradition, imbibed his love of learning and of literature from Queen Judith, dispelled the almost universal darkness. Under his wise and benignant sway civilisation moved onward. The Saxon ladies seem to have been both eager in studious pursuits and industrious in manual employments. Of woman's position among the

days of the lust-eaten and splenetic Solomon to the dawn of chivalry, writers had poured forth their abuse and contempt on her. Nothing was too bad. Without civil rights, with few occupations, and despised and ill-treated by men, her condition was truly miserable. But with chivalry arose new ideas concerning woman. Those who before had sung of heroes and of kings, and had mentioned woman only to traduce her, now sung of beauty and of love. Hyperbolic and extravagant praise took the place of jeering and contempt. She, who had before been looked upon as a mere instrument to man's pleasures, was now set above mortality. The same spirit which induced Petrarch to compare his mistress to Jesus Christ was abroad. The ambition of the knight was to die in her defence, and the ambition of the poet to immortalise her in his verse. Of course practice did not accord with such preposterous precept, but assuredly chivalry had great influence in raising the status of woman, notwithstanding it was the theory of her imbecility applied to the fullest extent. Then, as now, she was considered utterly helpless in all affairs of the state, and even to take care of her own interests; then, as now, her occupations were those which men disdain; and then, as now, she was com-

was abroad. The ambition of the knight was to die in her defence, and of the poet to immortalise her in verse. Of course practice did not accord with such preposterous precept, but assuredly chivalry had great influence in raising the status of woman, notwithstanding it was the theory of her imbecility applied to the fullest extent. Then, as now, she was considered utterly helpless in all affairs of the state, and even to take care of her own interests; then, as now, her occupations were those which men disdain; and then, as now, she was compensated for her helplessness by adulation, and a sort of sentimental idolism.

The next event which had any considerable influence on woman's position was the Reformation. The energies of the nation, which had to some extent lain dormant, were aroused, and the blow which was struck at authority made easier any departure from custom or manners. The gradual rise of the middle class, the progress of arts and sciences which gradually superseded household manufactures, the Wars of the Roses, the Revolution, and other events which stand in history, also necessarily influenced her condition; and while her character, employment, and condition have varied with the changing times;

and highly civilised communities, they are strictly in a state of personal slavery. Even in those countries where they are best treated, the laws are generally unfavourable to them, with respect to almost all the points in which they are the most deeply interested.'

Dismissing her past history, which is useful in showing that man has at all events been consistent in his oppression, we come to consider what are her present grievances, legal and social. We speak of *social* as well as legal inequalities, because it is clear that those who possess absolute power in making the laws, who have arrogated to themselves all government, all religious offices, all professions, and all wealth, will obtain a paramount influence in shaping the social institutions of the country.

The law does not recognise rape by a husband upon his wife; that is to say, he may always constrain her to his desire by physical force.

All the personal property which belongs to a woman before marriage, or which accrues after marriage, becomes vested in the husband. Of her real property, though the husband cannot dispose of it or will it away, he receives the profits during her life, irrespective of her wishes.

...ness a married woman has no authority.

influence in shaping the social institutions of the country.

The law does not recognise rape by a husband upon his wife; that is to say, he may always constrain her to his desire by physical force.⁶

A man can obtain a divorce from his wife on the ground of adultery; but a woman cannot obtain a divorce from her husband unless the adultery is coupled with cruelty.

All the personal property which belongs to a woman before marriage, or which accrues after marriage, becomes vested in the husband. Of her real property, though the husband cannot dispose of it or will it away, he receives the profits during her life, irrespective of her wishes.⁷

In business a married woman has no authority. She can neither buy nor sell, for she has neither goods nor money; nor can she make a contract

⁶ Her body is placed at the will and mercy of the stronger party. In considering the operation of such a law, the thousands of cases where the husband is a brute—perhaps a wife-beater, or a trader on his wife's prostitution—must be brought into prominent view. It is not desired that rape should be treated identically in all cases. It is only desired, that a *moral right* in the husband to the person of his wife, irrespective of her wishes, should not be recognised; and that any assault (using that word in its legal sense) committed in order to effect his object, should be equally punishable with all other assaults.

⁷ 'Grievances of less magnitude than the law of the property of married women, when suffered by parties less inured to passive submission, have provoked revolutions.'—*Mr. Mill: speech in the House of Commons, May 20, 1867.*

without her husband's consent, except for necessaries. A promissory note or bill of exchange drawn, or endorsed, or accepted by her is voidable by plea of coverture. True, these regulations are sometimes said to be made in order to relieve her of care and responsibility. But surely they who advance this plea forget that the chief use or object of laws is to prevent the strong and tyrannical from domineering over the weak. If nothing could induce man to commit robbery, whether theft were punishable by a fine's imprisonment, or death, or a seat in the House of Lords, would be immaterial. So if all men and all women were kind and just, if all husbands and wives loved one another, and each cared for the other's happiness as much as his own, or more, all power might be safely invested in either the one or the other; though even in the rare case where this identity of interest and affection happens to be complete the present law is bad: for, in the first place, the power of the one and the subservience of the other are calculated to destroy the good qualities on which the identity is founded; in the second, the state of the law will surely foster the opinion that business is the duty of the man alone, and is unbecoming in the woman; and, in the third, when the talents of the woman (no uncommon circumstance) are superior to the

man's, they remain fallow, and the incapacity of the man brings ruin alike to husband, wife, and children.

The picture of Utopia, however, in which the woman's deprivations are represented as tender mercies, is utterly unlike the terrible reality. Our method of protecting 'defenceless woman,' of guarding the being who we say is weak in body and in mind, is to place her almost as completely at man's mercy as the slave at his master's. If a father dies intestate, the eldest son inherits the real estate to the exclusion of the daughters. Opinion follows the law—the opinion of man—and fathers rarely divide their property equally among sons and daughters.

But, shameful as are these legal injustices, custom is quite as unjust.

The universities and professions are inexorably closed to woman; and the single aim of her education appears to be to make her pleasing and agreeable to man. From numerous trades and employments she is virtually shut out, sometimes through the prejudice of employers, and sometimes through the combinations of trades-unions.⁸ Hence pecu-

⁸ We do not mean any attack on trades-unions. They are composed of men who, if not so completely as women, have still been excluded from any power in making the laws, and they are necessary

niary distress is more common to women in every rank than to men.

Our notions of chastity could not possibly be more disgraceful. In this civilised and Christian land, the vice which is visited with the direst punishment in the one sex, is practically treated as venial in the other. The crime, however, of illicit intercourse is precisely equal in the man and in the woman. It is a sin equally shared, whether considered as an offence against morality or the interests of society. Volumes have been written on the evils of prostitution, but it appears to be generally forgotten that if one sex is more chaste than the other, prostitution is a necessity, and its extent will vary as the amount of that excess. In a country where want is unknown, prostitution, in the sense of letting out the body for hire, should be unknown, or at least almost confined to the rich man's mistress. But in the sense of a woman having intercourse with several men, the result seems, at all events in the present state of society, inevitable. What, then, do we do in England? Do we encourage it or discountenance it,

in self-defence. No doubt they have no special dispensation enabling them to avoid mistakes; but, on the whole, their effect has been most beneficial. Already they have raised the rate of wages and shortened the hours of labour, and we wish them all success in a further reform in this direction.

not in words, but in act and in law? These are indisputably the facts:—we begin by confining the education and knowledge of woman,⁹ and then, by keeping from her all resources within herself, either to derive amusement or independence, make her accessible to the arts of the seducer; we next contract the sphere of her employment, rendering it difficult for her to get an honest living; we next expel from all association, and almost from all hope of reformation, the woman who has once fallen, while her seducer goes unpunished, legally and socially; and we end by founding a few inadequate institutions to eradicate the sin which we have done all we can to encourage in its basest and most degrading form.¹⁰ Clerks will sometimes rob their employers, and tradesmen their customers; but the existence of a class that lives by stealing, and practises robbery as a profession, is chiefly due to

⁹ 'What has become of the endowments which the bounty of our ancestors destined for the education, not of one sex only, but of both indiscriminately? I am told, by one of the highest authorities on the subject, that in the majority of the endowments the provision made is not for boys, but for education generally; in one great endowment, Christ's Hospital, it is expressly for both: that institution now maintains and educates 1,100 boys, and exactly 26 girls.'—*Mr. Mill: speech in the House of Commons, May 20, 1867.*

¹⁰ The Hindoos punished the crime of adultery with the most awful severity, even to burning the offender alive, but the punishment varied with the caste of the transgressor; and the Brahmins, *who made the law*, got off with the loss of their hair. This is exactly our method. We, who make the laws, must not suffer by them.

bad legislation. And so it is with prostitution. Wives will sometimes be unfaithful to their husbands, husbands to their wives, and unlawful commerce will take place between the sexes; but the regular prostitution of the body is owing to the iniquitous social and legal enactments under which we live. Hitherto men have always made the laws, and wherever these laws affect women—women who have never been represented—they are grossly unjust. Unless the present vicious, unquiet, and demoralising state of society is a necessity, the remedy, we submit, obvious, radical, and complete, is the enfranchisement of women. (*Vide* Appendix A.)

Nothing more clearly shows the unjust state of things than the law regarding seduction. A man under the promise of marriage seduces a woman. Her ruin and the birth of a child are the consequences. What social penalties fall upon the seducer? None. His friends, those to whom the destiny of more than half of the species is entrusted, continue to receive him, and the scoundrel has been even known to *boast* of his success without a protest from lips or from a horsewhip. Society's doors remain open to him, and matrons and their daughters still receive him with nods, and becks, and wreathed smiles. What are the legal

penalties? For the maintenance of his child he may be compelled to pay half-a-crown a week for thirteen years; the law here, as often happens, favouring the rich man. For the seduction, the girl herself has no remedy, but she may bring an action for breach of promise, and her master or employer who loses her services may obtain damages for such loss. And this is all. Yet nothing is more certain than that this is a case of false pretences. If a man, hungry and starving, obtains half-a-crown under false pretences, he is liable to five years' penal servitude; but for the dastardly act of robbing a girl under false pretences of what society tells her she ought to value more than life, no punishment is awarded.

So far, then, as a just use of arbitrary power gives a claim to its possession, man's pretensions are flimsy indeed.

2. And what is the argument of superior intellect worth? Granting for a moment that woman is inferior—what then? One of the fundamental maxims of representative government is that a person may be perfectly competent to *choose* a representative, though utterly unfitted to *be* a representative. The mental difference between him who is competent to legislate and him who is fit to choose a representative, may be enormous; but surely

the most arrogant assumption would not assert that this intellectual disparity exists between the sexes. But unless it does exist, the superiority argument—which superiority we totally deny¹¹—is absolutely and literally worthless. Half the oppression under which nations have groaned has been sought to be justified by the plea of superior intellect. That plea is the foundation of despotism, of slavery, and of all tyranny, by a strong over a weak race. Moreover, are its advocates willing to push the doctrine to its legitimate conclusion, the perpetual sway of intellect? Are they ready and willing to submit to the yoke of their intellectual superiors? If they are not, there will be

¹¹ ‘An excellent passage,’ (we quote Mrs. Mill) ‘on this part of the subject, from one of Sydney Smith’s contributions to the “Edinburgh Review,” we will not refrain from quoting:—“A great deal has been said of the original difference of capacity between men and women, as if women were more quick and men more judicious—as if women were more remarkable for delicacy of association, and men for stronger powers of attention. All this, we confess, appears to us very fanciful. That there is a difference in the understandings of the men and the women we every day meet with, everybody, we suppose, must perceive; but there is none surely which may not be accounted for by the difference of circumstances in which they have been placed, without reference to any conjectural difference of original conformation of mind. As long as boys and girls run about in the dirt, and trundle hoops together, they are both precisely alike. If you catch up one half of these creatures, and train them to a particular set of actions and opinions, and the other half to a perfectly opposite set, of course their understandings will differ, as one or the other sort of occupation has called this or that talent into action. There is surely no occasion to go into any deeper or more abstruse reasoning, in order to explain so very simple a phenomenon.”’

no difference of opinion as to either their consistency, intelligence, or honesty.

But what proof have we of woman's inferiority? The *ipsi diximus* of men. They say that she is inferior. They take all power into their own hands; they train her from infancy to believe in her inferiority; they narrow the range of her studies; and then, forsooth, when they have done everything to produce that inferiority which they start by assuming, they coolly and arrogantly assert that all is done for her own good. Having made her entirely dependent for her daily bread; having taught her to think it her highest aim to be united to one of the 'lords of the creation;' having educated her to minister to their wants, to gratify their passions, to add to their comforts—in short, to live to pander to their selfishness, they crown all by telling their deluded victim that she has a weak mind, and that her 'sphere'¹² is submission to and

¹² 'When a prejudice, which has any hold on the feelings, finds itself reduced to the unpleasant necessity of assigning reasons, it thinks it has done enough when it has re-asserted the very point in dispute, in phrases which appeal to the pre-existing feeling. Thus, many persons think they have justified the restrictions on women's field of action, when they have said that the pursuits from which women are excluded are *unfeminine*, and that the *proper sphere* of women is not politics or publicity, but private and domestic life. We deny the right of any portion of the species to decide for another portion, or any individual for another individual, what is and what is not their "proper sphere." The proper sphere for all human beings is the largest and highest which they are able to attain to. What this is cannot be ascertained without complete liberty of choice.'—*Mrs. Mill*.

attendance on man. It is time to throw aside these flimsy sophisms. If ever the lust for power sought to gratify itself under the profession of virtue and mercy, it is in the conduct which these sophisms are meant to justify. Every age has its faults; and deeds which the best and wisest have done in past ages would be shunned now by the bad. But there are few things more odious, more calculated to promote the worst vices of man and woman, more sure to breed selfishness in the one and subserviency in the other, than the political dependence of woman. Let her reflect on what this dependence means. It means that her position, as a sex, is at the absolute will and disposal of another. Everything concerning her education, her subsistence, her employment, her religion, all are his. Body and soul, she is at his mercy. He may lend her to his friends as they did in Sparta. He may degrade her to the harem as they do in Turkey. In a word, there is nothing between her and slavery but man's will. True, he finds, as in the treatment of the 'other inferior animals,' that kindness brings more comfort and satisfaction to himself than harshness. But this fact, which does not lessen her defencelessness, is of very slight and partial influence. No one can look round the world and see vice rampant on every side, happy

marriages the exception, the brutal treatment of woman divulged in our police and divorce courts, social and legal inequalities pressing her on every side, artificial difficulties thrown up between her and her bread, prostitution stalking abroad without shame—no one can see these things without believing that men have tyrannically used the power which they have tyrannically seized. Talk of Utopian philosophy! Why, the idea that men are so good, so just, nay so perfect, that the destinies of one half of the human race may be entrusted to their charge, flies more in the face of experience, and relies more on the virtue of erring humanity, than the most extreme doctrine of Fourier or Saint Simon.¹³

¹³ The frequent assertion that sexual influence is sufficient to secure the just treatment of women, we have shown to be contrary to all experience. No one denies that women already have political influence—great influence. Demosthenes truly said, that many a woman had overturned in a day the year's work of a statesman. Montesquieu said, that a government should be judged not by the men at the head of affairs, but by the women who sway the men. And Pope Clement XIV. said, that husbands have often received the credit and honour due to the sagacity of their wives. Still we have shown, by pointing out the anomalies and inequalities of woman's past and present condition, that, in treating her as a class, sexual influence has been very slight, almost nothing. And if history proves it to be totally unable to cope with self-interest and love of power, the enfranchisement of women seems to follow. But there are also important moral considerations involved. What *kind* of influence ought women to have? Ought it to be a 'backstairs' influence? Ought it to be the influence of fawnings and frownings, caresses and cajolery? Or ought it to be an influence direct and open—an influence put under the guidance of conscience, and the control of responsibility?

Where wealth abounds, the present system produces narrow and little-minded women, who, satiated with every bodily luxury, intolerant to their sex, and sycophants to their husbands, go through life in self-satisfied godliness and content. Where there is poverty, we have the governess seeking a wretched livelihood amid contumely and contempt; the seamstress working at her coffin and her grave; the betrayed girl abandoned both by her seducer and humanity; and the prostitute ministering to man in all his glory.

But assume that men are the noble, disinterested, magnanimous beings they are represented; suppose that the world is a poetical creation, like the Swerga or the Domdaniel cavern, and that wars have died out, armies are disbanded, and righteousness and peace have kissed each other—what then? Still the political dependence of women would be neither just nor expedient. In the words of Mrs. Mill, ‘the miserable expediences which are advanced as excuses for so grossly partial a dispensation would not be sufficient, even if they were real, to render it other than a flagrant injustice. While, far from being expedient, we are firmly convinced that the division of mankind into two castes, one born to rule over the other, is in this case, as in all cases, an unqualified mischief; a source of perversion

and demoralisation, both to the favoured class and to those at whose expense they are favoured; producing none of the good which it is the custom to ascribe to it, and forming a bar, almost insuperable while it lasts, to any really vital improvement, either in the character or in the social condition of the human race.' And we may add, that man's treatment of woman, individually, might be everything to be desired, and yet be utterly unjust in legislation; and such must also be the admission of those who believe in her happy social condition, but who see, they confess, so much to regret in her legal inequalities.¹⁴ The truth is, that if she is capable of managing her own affairs—in other words, is fit to assume the duties and offices of a responsible being—inferiority of intellect would be an additional reason for giving her representation; for the more inferior she is, the more she requires just laws to protect her.

3. Does superior morality give man a right to supreme power? Even if the superiority were a fact, instead of an assumption which perhaps the woman has the greater claim to, it would be no justification whatever. It would only be some

¹⁴ 'Trop de puissance déprave la bonté, altère toutes les jouissances de la délicatesse; les vertus et les sentimens ne peuvent résister d'une part à l'exercice du pouvoir, de l'autre à l'habitude de la crainte.'—*Madame de Staël*.

guarantee that the power would not be used without any conscience. Hitherto we have had neither the guarantee nor the conscience, and we believe that an infusion of a higher morality into the legislature, far more than a higher intellect, is that which is wanting. To trace every injustice or imperfection in the laws to selfishness would be to ignore alike history and human nature. But nothing is more true than that past legislation has been, and that present legislation is, more impeded by selfishness than by any other one thing. We mean by selfishness the different modifications of self-interest, and the passions commonly classed as selfish. When we mark the marvellous success which has attended man's investigation of the material universe, how beautifully the knowledge he has gradually built up has been used in satisfying his wants, and how intricate and refined are the processes by which he has achieved so much, we are filled with wonder and admiration. But if we turn our gaze into the field of social phenomena, how different is the view! There we see opinion conflicting with opinion, the strong tyrannising over the weak, vice rampant and shameless, toil and ignorance and privation the lot of the vast majority of the human race, and more than one half of humanity in forced

subordination to the other half; yet the system under which these things exist is lauded as the noblest development of which mankind is capable. Broadly and advisedly we say, intending to enter into particulars directly, that the miserable failure is less owing to inherent difficulties than to intense selfishness. It is the selfishness and immorality of the ruling classes which create half the problems it is the business of the legislature to solve, or rather to apply the solution.

Take the English House of Commons. Though that House is not the place for philosophical discoveries, it always contains many men of the highest administrative and practical ability. But social philosophy is immeasurably in advance of its practical application; and the reason is the low moral tone of the great mass of members of parliament.¹⁵ A man who, blinded by his interests or his passions,

¹⁵ Mr. Bright, in his last speech at Birmingham, expresses an opinion which is common to every one who knows anything of the House of Commons. The only fault to be found with it, is that it does not do full justice to the selfishness, sordidness, and corruption which taint an assembly containing so many noble-hearted and large-minded men. Mr. Bright says: 'This House of Commons, I will undertake to say, is by far the most corrupt that has been elected and assembled since the Reform Bill. I am not able to say what it has cost to seat these 658 members in that house, but if I said it had cost them and their friends a million of money I should be a long way under the mark. I believe it has cost more to seat those 658 men there than it has cost to seat all the members of all the other representative and legislative assemblies in the world that are now in existence in the different countries of the globe. And, without a man's intending to be corrupt, this state

does what is wrong, believing it to be right, commits a moral, not an intellectual, error. This self-blinding runs through all the relations of life, and the legislator does not escape its influence. Strong and weak minds are alike affected by it; the first blind themselves with clever, the last with shallow, sophisms. He, then, who knowingly sacrifices the interests of the community to what he believes to be his own interests, and he who, through laziness, or passion, or want of study, holds wrong convictions, equally commit a moral error. And all these errors may be justly urged against the House of Commons.

We speak of the rank and file, without whose consent the few great and illustrious men are powerless. Without learning,¹⁶ without special

of things makes him inevitably corrupt.' And again: 'There are many members who pay always from 1,000*l.* to 15,000*l.* for their election; and although there are men in the House of Commons who are too honest, I believe, to be swayed by that consideration, still there are great numbers, I am satisfied, who are willing to take almost any kind of measure on any subject from any government, rather than go back to their constituents with the chance, first of all, of not coming back to the house at all, and with the certainty that if they stand a contest they must lessen the balance at their bankers by several thousand pounds; and so they were willing to tolerate a Tory government and Tory reform bill, to break up the Liberal party, to do anything whatever, as they say, to settle the question in the House of Commons' style and fashion in this parliament and during the present session, and not as the great body of the people wish it to be settled; and they are ready to bear all this rather than have a dissolution of parliament.'

¹⁶ There are 292 university men in the House of Commons, and of

training, without deep convictions, without any superior ability for politics or anything else,—without, in fact, any recommendation, but an ancient name, or a large *Nisi Prius* practice, or a large business inherited from a shrewd father, they enter Parliament, double their subscriptions to local charities, send presents of game to their chief supporters, and settle down into very contented, very respectable, very ordinary members of parliament, generally voting with their party, never absent from an important division, (except when a dissolution threatens,) and ready to make any sacrifice—even to risking a revolution—in order to be off to their shooting in September. When will the public come to see, that to assume the functions of a legislator from personal motives, or without special qualifications, is to commit an abominable crime?

These views concerning the real impediments to good government are borne out by the actual legislation of the last fifty years.

The opposition to Catholic Emancipation could

these only 45 are honour men. To say that they who have taken honours are necessarily better educated than they who have not been to a university, would be preposterous; but, as a general rule, if a man takes his ordinary degree, but does not pass in honours, it is a sign of intellectual laziness or intellectual incapacity. We take the figures from the recent 'Essays on Reform.'

not have arisen from pure intellectual blindness. The denial of the rights of private judgment in matters of religion, is only one of the many forms in which bigoted intolerance has gratified itself; and the passing of the Relief Bill was due more to the higher moral standard which the nation, or at least a portion of it, had reached, than to the discovery of powerful arguments of which preceding generations had not the benefit.

The absurdities and anomalies of the representative system had been recognised long before the Reform Bill of 1832. A petition was presented in 1793, by a society associated for the purpose of obtaining parliamentary reform, in which the inequalities that then existed, and continued to exist until 1832, were ably pointed out.¹⁷ But the House of Commons neither opposed it at the beginning of the agitation through any intellectual blindness, nor in the end yielded it through any superior enlightenment. Selfishness made them oppose it; fear forced them to pass it.

The abolition of slavery throughout the British dominions cannot be too highly praised. But will any one assert that the same influence produced it and the belief in the *Principia*? The *Principia*

¹⁷ A copy of the petition is given in the appendix to 'Molesworth's History of the Reform Bill.'

was the product of pure reason: the freedom of slaves, of an improved morality.

To discover that the bulk of the women employed in factories were in a dreadful state of vice and degradation, and that to take a child of six years and compel it to work for fourteen or fifteen hours a day among depraved men and women, would lead to its moral and physical ruin, was scarcely an intellectual feat. The facts were notorious; and to trace their consequences would surely not require any very abstruse process of reasoning. Yet the wonderful discovery was not made until 1833, when the labour of children was limited to nine hours a day. In 1844, fresh inquiries were instituted, which led to an Act limiting the employment of women (applicable only to weaving manufactories and one or two others) to twelve hours a day. Seven years more were required to prove that the Act might be judiciously extended. In 1851 the hours were reduced to ten, and the application of the Act still further widened. Yet another nine years, and by the Acts of 1860 and 1861 the measure was again made more extensive. How long it will take to discover that the measure admits of still wider application, is a problem which we should despair of seeing solved if it still depended upon the action of parliament, and

not upon the gentle pressure of the people.¹⁸ But it is even more difficult to tell how much longer our boasting and boasted Christian statesmen and legislators—‘the saviours of the nation not yet saved!’—who, feeding on the fat of the land, yet fighting for its loaves and fishes,—growing eloquent in the praise of the working-man, yet refusing all trust in him,—drinking, gambling, dissipating, travelling, enjoying *ad nauseam*, yet blind to the wretchedness around them,—it is indeed difficult to tell how much longer they will declare and insist that our institutions are perfect, our greatness pre-eminent, and our parliament a faithful reflex of the feelings, opinions, and wants of a prosperous and happy people.

The repeal of the Corn Laws in 1846 was only embodying in practical legislation what Adam Smith clearly demonstrated in 1776. It may be said that the overthrow of Protection was a triumph of intellect. No doubt. But the discovery of the true theory was not made in the House of Commons. All that the House did was gradually and unwillingly to adopt it in the course of about ninety years. This delay is the more inexcusable, the more degrading, the more selfish,

¹⁸ The ‘Factory Acts Extension Bill,’ brought in by Mr. Walpole this session, has been postponed.

because the difficulty, generally speaking, after discoveries are made, is not to follow the reasoning by which they are demonstrated, but to fail to follow it.¹⁹

If legislators had taken the same pains to master the principles of legislation as lawyers do those of law, and physicians those of medicine, it is impossible to conceive how much more rapid the progress of the country, material and intellectual, would have been. But it is certain that if the House of Commons did not comprehend the truth of Adam Smith's doctrine for ninety years, it was solely through a moral blindness.

It is sometimes argued that progress, to be sure, must be slow. But slowness cannot, *per se*, be good. Slowness which arises from the careful examination of both sides of a question, and which is patient in the collection of data, is not only commendable, but essential. But the slowness which proceeds from ignorance, or selfishness, or mental inactivity—and this is the only slowness which

¹⁹ This statement is not true of all discoveries. For instance, during several years after the publication of Newton's theory of gravity, nothing was produced which showed that Englishmen had mastered, or could retrace, the Newtonian investigations. But, applied to political discoveries, the statement is true in the vast majority of cases, certainly in that one which led to the abolition of Protection, and in the others which are referred to here as forming a proof of the main proposition.

requires ninety years to apply an obvious truth—is pernicious and immoral.

The disclosures of which Mr. Hardy's Act is the result, we owe to the press. That the Act does not go further, we owe to the prejudice—we should say, to the immorality—of parliament. The system of gang-labour in the eastern counties has been going on for about fifty years, though now it may be said to be doomed. The press and the people, not the House of Commons, have said it. Again, to solve the problems with which we now have to deal—as, for instance, reform, education, land tenure in Ireland, the Irish Church—are we waiting for a Bacon or a Bentham to enlighten us? Rather, have we not plenty of knowledge both inside and outside the legislature? Is it not the fact, that what we lack is a higher moral tone in our representatives?

The political dependence of woman, then, cannot be justified on any one of the three only plausible grounds—a superior morality, a superior intelligence, or a proper use of exclusive power in the past, in its influences on both men and women.

But if we have proved so much, we have proved much more. If we have shown a lax morality to pervade the House of Commons, we have

furnished an irrefutable reason for the enfranchisement of woman.

In the first place, she has no security whatever that her interests will not be ignored—in fact, they are ignored. That she is sufficiently represented or protected by her husband, her father, or her brothers, all history refutes; and Lord Brougham did not exaggerate when he said that ‘there must be a total reconstruction of the law before women can have justice.’ In the second place, if a low morality is the great bane of our legislature, then infusion of new blood, so to say, might be beneficial. The last consideration, though comparatively of little weight, should be conclusive with those who insist that the mission of woman is to raise, to elevate, to exalt man, to breathe into him a purer morality, and to counteract or destroy evil influences.

The demand that woman should be directly represented, that she should be permitted to look after her own interests, does not necessarily imply that no dependence is to be placed in the honesty of man. It merely means that every one has special interests of his own to look after, interests which no one understands so well as himself; and which sometimes are so various and important that he has literally no time to examine, much

less to advocate or agitate, even if he has the inclination, the interests of other people. This multitude of interests is especially observable in the House of Commons. There we have complaints, both loud and deep, of the pressure of business and the neglect of various interests. This point, indeed, is so important that we will state it in another form.

We believe, and we will give an instance directly, that many measures which would scarcely affect the bulk of the community, but which would very largely benefit some class or classes, are not brought forward and passed, solely because no one will be at the trouble to get up the subjects with which they deal. That great lever, self-interest, is absent. The arguments which establish results can be easily and expeditiously mastered in comparison with the time and labour necessary to discover them. Thus, an ordinary mind may master in a few hours the proof of a problem which had puzzled the world for centuries, and which to the genius that solved it was the work of a life. It is the same in politics. The Factory Act of 1844 is an illustration. Though the evil was serious and palpable, it had attracted little attention, and this obviously through want of adequate representation

obviously through want of adequate representation of the sufferers; for when, through the exertions of a few philanthropic men, among whom the name of Shaftesbury deserves especial honour, inquiries were set on foot and the evil in all its magnitude and ramifications proved, the bill was passed in spite of the strenuous opposition of the manufacturers whose interests seemed to be invaded. And herein is a conclusive answer to one of the weakest of the many weak objections brought against the enfranchisement of women, viz. that 'unless any class is coherent enough to give a virtually collective vote, and to make its collective influence felt by its representatives, it cannot produce any collective effect; its atoms are scattered, and their force is lost.' *

But if these words are meant to be taken as they are printed, without qualification—that is to say, if it is an argument applying to a female suffrage based on the same conditions as man's, it is not only weak but utterly preposterous; for it assumes that a very large addition of voters, all of one class, to the present electoral roll, would have no weight in returning half a dozen out of 658 members of parliament; an assumption in direct contradiction to all experience of the

* Letter of S. D. C. in 'Spectator.'

action of people bound together by a common tie of interest.¹⁵

But it is denied that 'a sex constitutes a "class" or "an order" in the only sense which is material for the purpose of the argument.'* Now, the only essential condition in order that a set of things may constitute a 'class' or an 'order,' is that they should all possess in common some mark or marks which are not possessed by things in general. The mark which decides whether a set of individuals should be considered as a class, with reference to the possession of the franchise, seems to be an identity of interests, not necessarily opposed to the rest of the community, but which affects their own welfare in a much greater degree. When we want to establish a further resemblance, we add some qualifying epithet, such as in the old phrases, the 'working classes,' the 'monied classes,' &c. If this be a true definition of the political use of the word class, it follows that women do form a class, and that, therefore, whatever can be universally predicated of classes may be predicated of woman. But the great bulk of our opponents are constantly reiterating that all classes of the

¹⁵ The answer would, if possible, be even more conclusive, if Mr. Mill had induced the House of Commons and the country to accept Mr. Hare's system of personal representation.

* 'Times.

are constantly reiterating that all classes of the community should be represented : the inevitable conclusion is that women should be enfranchised.

It will be observed that we have not yet demanded the enfranchisement of women on the principles of the constitution. But we do so now. The origin of the British Constitution is hidden amidst the general obscurity of our early history, consequent on frequent invasions and changes of rulers. And, indeed, whether the *Wittena Gemote* or the *Commune Concilium Regni* recognised, in theory, the payment of taxes as a title to representation, is of little importance in connection with women's present claims. Of very different significance, however, is the fact, that since the reign of Edward III., when the Commons was acknowledged as a governing body in the state, the principle, good or bad, of our representative system has been the representation of property, and not of persons.²¹ We find that, in theory, certain conditions, having little or no connection with personal qualities, have been always taken to constitute a qualification for a vote; but that, in

²¹ The Universities, where the degree of Master of Arts, added to an annual payment of two guineas, confers a vote, form a partial exception.

practice, these conditions have been entirely ignored in all those cases, and only in those cases, when they vest the voting power in the person of a woman. The consequence has been, and still is, that the direct political influence of property, whether in houses or lands, is often held in abeyance, and solely because the owner or tenant, who, under all circumstances, has to pay every demand on the lands or tenements, happens to be a woman.

Such is the anomaly, so completely at variance with the principles of the constitution, which keeps women from the enjoyment of political rights or privileges. If it is either just or expedient to continue the exclusion, reasons must be adduced in its justification; for when the injustice has been pointed out, and its destruction demanded, the *onus probandi* lies with those who would perpetuate it. Taxation and representation may not now be co-extensive, even in the case of men; but the principle of our representative system, whatever it is, should be applied equally to both sexes.²²

²² 'And it is not only the general principles of justice that are infringed, or at least set aside, by the exclusion of women, merely as women, from any share in the representation; that exclusion is also repugnant to the particular principles of the British Constitution. It violates one of the oldest of our constitutional maxims—a doctrine

community should be represented: the inevitable conclusion is that women should be represented.

It will be observed that we have not yet demanded the enfranchisement of women on the principles of the constitution. But we do so now. Her abstract right arising from those principles is so clear that we have assumed it. The *onus probandi* lies with those who would withhold that right. We simply state, as a fact, that women who hold property are entitled to exercise the rights which the law vests in property, and that to withhold them is a flagrant usurpation.

To recapitulate. We have tried to establish—

First, that the only ground which could plausibly be urged for an assumption of exclusive power by man would be the benefit of woman herself.

Secondly, that this proposition, if established, is not sufficient justification without her free and full consent.

Thirdly, that the three grounds on which her political dependence could alone be defended—her past and present condition, her inferiority of intellect in a degree greater than that between the worst and the best of the present electors, and her similar inferiority in morality—are completely opposed to facts.

Fourthly, that the universal law of self-interest,

which has had such an extraordinary illustration in the history of English legislation, renders her enfranchisement an absolute necessity whenever justice and expediency have their legitimate influence.

And, fifthly, that the equality of woman is required by the principles of the constitution.

And now, having gone to what we consider the root of the subject, which is whether one half of the human race has a particle of right to hold the other half in a state of forced subjection, and having answered many incidental objections, we come to consider those which remain, or rather the chief of those which have come under our notice.

The three objections which Mrs. Mill truly says are generally urged with the greatest confidence, and which we will not curtail, either in Mrs. Mill's statement or in her replies, are these:—

‘First, the incompatibility of active life with maternity and with the cares of a household; secondly, its alleged hardening effect on the character; and, thirdly, the inexpediency of making an addition to the already excessive pressure of competition in every kind of professional or lucrative employment.

‘The first, the maternity argument, is usually laid most stress upon; although, it needs hardly be said, this reason, if it be one, can apply only to

another which is incompatible with it. No one proposes to exclude the male sex from parliament because a man may be a soldier or sailor in active service, or a merchant whose business requires all his time and energies. Nine-tenths of the occupations of men exclude them *de facto* from public life as effectually as if they were excluded by law; but that is no reason for making laws to exclude even the nine-tenths, much less the remaining tenth. The reason of the case is the same for woman as for man. There is no occasion to make provision by law that a woman shall not carry on the active details of a household, or of the education of children, and at the same time practise a profession, or be elected to parliament. Where incompatibility is real, it will take care of itself; but there is gross injustice in making the incompatibility a pretence for the exclusion of those in whose case it does not exist. And these, if they were free to choose, would be a very large proportion. The maternity argument deserts its supporters in the case of single women, a large and increasing class of the population; a fact which, it is not irrelevant to remark, by tending to diminish the excessive competition of numbers, is calculated to assist greatly the prosperity of all. There is no inherent reason or necessity that all

proportion. The maternity argument deserts its supporters in the case of single women, a large and increasing class of the population; a fact which, it is not irrelevant to remark, by tending to diminish the excessive competition of numbers, is calculated to assist greatly the prosperity of all. There is no inherent reason or necessity that all women should voluntarily choose to devote their lives to one animal function and its consequences. Numbers of women are wives and mothers only because there is no other career open to them, no other occupation for their feelings or their activities. Every improvement in their education, and enlargement of their faculties, everything which renders them more qualified for any other mode of life, increases the number of those to whom it is an injury and an oppression to be denied the choice. To say that women must be excluded from active life because maternity disqualifies them for it, is in fact to say that every other career should be forbidden them in order that maternity may be their only resource.

‘ But, secondly, it is urged that to give the same freedom of occupation to women as to men would be an injurious addition to the crowd of competitors by whom the avenues to almost all kinds of employment are choked up, and its remuneration depressed. This argument, it is to be observed, does not reach

the political question. It gives no excuse for withholding from women the rights of citizenship. The suffrage, the jury-box, admission to the legislature and to office, it does not touch. It bears only on the industrial branch of the subject. Allowing it, then, in an economical point of view, its full force ; assuming that to lay open to women the employments now monopolised by men would tend, like the breaking down of other monopolies, to lower the rate of remuneration in those employments ; let us consider what is the amount of this evil consequence, and what the compensation for it. The worst ever asserted, much worse than is at all likely to be realised, is that, if women competed with men, a man and a woman could not together earn more than is now earned by the man alone. Let us make this supposition, the most unfavourable supposition possible ; the joint income of the two would be the same as before, while the woman would be raised from the position of a servant to that of a partner. Even if every woman, as matters now stand, had a claim on some man for support, how infinitely preferable is it that part of the income should be of the woman's earning, even if the aggregate sum were but little increased by it, rather than that she should be compelled to stand aside in order that men may be the sole earners,

and the sole dispensers of what is earned. Even under the present laws respecting the property of women, a woman who contributes materially to the support of the family cannot be treated in the same contemptuously tyrannical manner as one who, however she may toil as a domestic drudge, is a dependant on the man for subsistence.* As for the depression of wages by increase of competition, remedies will be found for it in time. Palliatives might be applied immediately; for instance, a more rigid exclusion of children from industrial employment, during the years in which they ought to be working only to strengthen their bodies and minds for after life. Children are necessarily dependent, and under the power of others; and their labour, being not for themselves, but for the gain of their parents, is a proper subject for legislative regulation. With respect to the future, we neither believe that improvident multiplication, and the consequent excessive difficulty of gaining a subsistence, will always continue, nor that the division of mankind

* 'The truly horrible effect of the present state of the law among the lowest of the working population, is exhibited in those cases of hideous maltreatment of their wives by working men, with which every newspaper, every police report teems. Wretches unfit to have the smallest authority over any living being, have a helpless woman for their household slave. These excesses could not exist if women both earned and had the right to possess a part of the income of the family.'

into capitalists and hired labourers, and the regulation of the reward of labourers mainly by demand and supply, will be for ever, or even much longer, the rule of the world. But so long as competition is the general law of human life, it is tyranny to shut out one half of the competitors. All who have attained the age of self-government have an equal claim to be permitted to sell whatever kind of useful labour they are capable of, for the price it will bring.

‘The third objection to the admission of women to political or professional life, its alleged hardening tendency, belongs to an age now past, and is scarcely to be comprehended by people of the present time. There are still, however, persons who say that the world and its avocations render men selfish and unfeeling; that the struggles, rivalries, and collisions of business and of politics make them harsh and unamiable; that if half the species must unavoidably be given up to these things, it is the more necessary that the other half should be kept free from them; that to preserve women from the bad influences of the world is the only chance of preventing men from being wholly given up to them.

‘There would have been plausibility in this argument when the world was still in the age of

violence, when life was full of physical conflict, and every man had to redress his injuries or those of others by the sword or by the strength of his arm. Women, like priests, by being exempted from such responsibilities, and from some part of the accompanying dangers, may have been enabled to exercise a beneficial influence. But in the present condition of human life we do not know where those hardening influences are to be found, to which men are subject and from which women are at present exempt. Individuals nowadays are seldom called upon to fight hand to hand, even with peaceful weapons; personal enmities and rivalries count for little in worldly transactions; the general pressure of circumstances, not the adverse will of individuals, is the obstacle men now have to make head against. That pressure, when excessive, breaks the spirits, cramps and sours the feelings, not less of women than of men, since they suffer certainly not less from its evils. There are still quarrels and dislikes, but the sources of them are changed. The feudal chief once found his bitterest enemy in his powerful neighbour, the minister or courtier in his rival for place; but opposition of interest in active life, as a cause of personal animosity, is out of date; the enmities of the present day arise not from

great things but small, from what people say of one another, more than from what they do; and if there are hatred, malice, and all uncharitableness, they are to be found among women fully as much as among men. In the present state of civilisation, the notion of guarding women from the hardening influences of the world, could only be realised by secluding them from society altogether. The common duties of common life, as at present constituted, are incompatible with any other softness in women than weakness. Surely weak minds in weak bodies must ere long cease to be even supposed to be either attractive or amiable.'

It is difficult to add to these observations. Such statements as that the possession of the franchise would tend to harden the character and demoralise the mind might of course be multiplied *ad infinitum*. People might insist that the act of voting would shorten their lives, or give their noses a deep blue tinge, or produce some other horrible effect. But it will require something more than dogmatic assertion or mere empty declamation to prove that what is generally admitted to have a humanising effect on man, to render him less wrapt up in his own affairs, and to enlarge the circle of his sympathies, will have a precisely opposite effect on woman. Besides, recent election

the pressure of competition, seems to be capable of still stronger statement; for the opening of all employments to women would not tend, *per se*, to increase population. But if the number of workers is increased, and not the number of sharers, there must be a larger amount of produce to distribute among the same number of persons, and consequently a considerable economic gain to the nation; results which are inevitable unless, by admitting woman's labour, man's would become less productive, or woman's—more startling assertion still—would be entirely without return. (*Vide* Appendix B.)

The maternity argument touches the question, what is the proper sphere of woman? which is not the inquiry before us. We have only to consider whether man has a right to assume, directly by seizing all political power, and indirectly by getting under his control all the social laws, the function of determining that sphere. The maternity argument, as applied to the possession of the franchise, means that the duties of a mother preclude her from forming an intelligent opinion and giving an intelligent vote. But, obviously, this argument applies, if it applies at all, no less to the lawyer, the merchant, the clergyman, the mechanic. Besides, no such questions are asked in admitting

lawyer, the merchant, the clergyman, the mechanic. Besides, no such questions are asked in admitting any other class to the franchise, and it is most unfair to subject woman's claims to these extra gratuitous tests.

But can it mean that the periods of confinement would sometimes interfere with the exercise of her vote? This consideration, indeed, may be a reason for giving her *two* votes, but surely a something which tends to diminish a power which should be possessed in its entirety, is no reason for withholding it altogether. The objection, too, is completely met by introducing voting papers. And it is instructive to notice that the exception taken to voting papers is founded on the fear of fraud and corruption; that is to say, fear of the very low moral condition of those who exercise arbitrary power over more than a half of the species.

Another objection, a very constant objection, to the enfranchisement of woman is that she does not and cannot understand politics. This opens a large question. But here, too, the first and obvious remark is that it is monstrous to require of woman what we do not require of other classes before enfranchising them. The only reply we have seen worthy of notice is that the same test

could not be applied to woman as to man, because in the case of men the present test, though not a direct political test, affords an assumption verified by fact, that about 70 per cent. of the enfranchised possess political knowledge; while in the case of women it would not insure more than about 10 per cent.* All this is equivalent to saying that political knowledge must be a *sine quâ non* of enfranchisement. But the political knowledge of the great bulk of the people of this country is the result, not the cause, of enfranchisement. If, then, women know nothing about a subject which they have both the capacity and industry to understand, but concerning which they have not the power practically to apply those qualities; while others who have no advantage over them, except in having that power, are acquainted with it; and if this knowledge is found to increase when that power is bestowed, the conclusion is, that so long as women have no vote, so long will women have no political knowledge, but that, give them votes, and they will

* The following is the original statement, which appeared in an able article in the *Spectator*:—‘The possession of a house of a given rental is not like a political examination,—it is not a *proof* of political capacity, but only, in the case of perhaps 60 or 70 per cent. of ordinary householders, a *presumption* verified by fact. But if applied to women it would probably not be a presumption at all, for the cases in which it would succeed would probably be 9 or 10 per cent., instead of a respectable majority of the whole number.’

convenient generality. When applied to 69 out of the 70 per cent., it merely means that they sometimes read the daily newspapers, take a hasty glance at the articles and the parliamentary debates, and that their opinions have no deeper foundation than a popular or an unpopular newspaper. This kind of knowledge demands neither ability nor industry, and the truth is, that to be able to look after the interests of your own class—a subject on which few people are ignorant—or to choose fit representatives, does not require more than a very limited capacity or limited political knowledge.²³ The motive, however, for acquiring knowledge, political status, man has, but woman has not.

Moreover, our opponents' argument would introduce a totally new doctrine into our electoral system. This would be no argument with us, but it

²³ 'Le peuple est admirable pour choisir ceux à qui il doit confier quelque partie de son autorité. Il n'a à se déterminer que par des choses qu'il ne peut ignorer, et des faits qui tombent sous les sens. Il sait très-bien qu'un homme a été souvent à la guerre, qu'il y a eu tel ou tel succès : il est donc très-capable d'élire un général. Il sait qu'un juge est assidu, que beaucoup de gens se retirent de son tribunal contents de lui, qu'on ne l'a pas convaincu de corruption : en voilà assez pour qu'il élise un préteur. Il a été frappé de la magnificence ou des richesses d'un citoyen : cela suffit pour qu'il puisse choisir un édile. Toutes ces choses sont des faits dont il s'instruit mieux dans la place publique qu'un monarque dans son palais. Mais saura-t-il conduire une affaire, connoître les lieux, les occasions, les moments, en profiter ? Non, il ne le saura pas.'—*Montesquieu*.

may be with those who oppose female suffrage because it would effect a social revolution. The only personal tests which any statesman advocates are honesty and general intelligence. If these are present, he assumes that the rest will come in due season. Even the educational franchise does not demand political knowledge as a test of fitness, though, of course, the argument in that case rests on different grounds.

But it is not surprising that writers, who, without a single reason, begin by assuming one of the points in dispute, though not the point on which we are now at issue, and assert that politics are not woman's 'sphere,' very frequently add that woman does not and cannot understand politics.²⁴ This objection we should leave to fall of itself, if it did not suggest certain collateral issues. One is that the study of politics has nothing in common with any other study. This is tacitly assumed when it is said that woman's 'instinct' is against the possession and exercise of

²⁴ We could easily prove the very extraordinary capacity of women for politics. We should be willing to stake the whole controversy on that single issue. Without going as far back as Zenobia, we might adduce a long list of women, who, in every age and country, have had a large, often a pre-eminent, influence on national progress; and an influence not arising out of intrigue, but entirely from political capacity. But our present object is only to prove the capacity of women for exercising the suffrage. We are content, therefore, to point out the anomaly of her dependent position in this country, where a woman has parochial votes, where a woman is eligible to be Grand Constable, Grand

the franchise.* Within certain limits, it is true that special faculties, or rather a special development of certain faculties, are necessary to extraordinary success in some one subject; but to get a moderate knowledge of any subject does not require a peculiar turn of mind. Identical mental processes may be, nay, to a certain extent, must be, employed on all subjects, politics or cookery; and it is a glaring *petitio principii* to say that women would have the slightest difficulty in acquiring sufficient political knowledge to exercise an intelligent vote. Besides, the objection all along assumes that political knowledge is very generally distributed among men, than which nothing can be more untrue. The theorems which are the very

Chamberlain, Champion of England, &c.; where a woman is the reigning Sovereign, and where a woman was the greatest Sovereign who ever sat on the English throne. A great living statesman says, 'Queen Elizabeth is the greatest of English, perhaps of all modern, Sovereigns. In a period remarkable for long and sanguinary wars, she made her name respected abroad, without a waste of blood or treasure; and, in a time of great political ferment, she maintained the most absolute authority at home, without any loss of the affections of her people. She obtained glory without conquest, and unlimited power without odium.'—*The English Government and Constitution: Earl Russell.*

* 'It is a just instinct which has prevented the vast majority of educated ladies from claiming, immediately or prospectively, the fatal gift of electoral power; and it will be an evil day for English homes when Parliament shall be induced to confer it upon them.'—*Times.*

'We may be assured that if ever there was such a thing as a sound prejudice, the prejudice against a franchise for women in England is a sound one.'—*London Review.*

alphabet of every one who has anything like a sound knowledge of the science of politics—even such a knowledge as a good lawyer or a good doctor has of his profession—are daily outraged by the paid writers who profess to instruct the present voters. The large majority of the articles in the daily and weekly newspapers clearly prove one thing, that they are written by men whose opinions on the philosophy of politics, or any abstruse problem in the whole range of political economy—trades-unions, strikes, population, pauperism, &c.—are utterly worthless. But the bulk of the people—the men to whom, too often, the newspaper is the only teacher—know even less than the majority of public writers, though their knowledge may not really be less reliable.²⁵

²⁵ The progress of political knowledge is likely to continue slow when leading articles are so often mere unhealthy *réchauffés* of the facts and reasonings of others. The facts are mis-stated, and the reasonings perverted. Nor is it conducive to political progress that while the speeches in Parliament of Mr. This and my Lord That are printed *verbatim*, those of Mr. Mill are cut down and printed in the third person. Yet this is what the 'Times' has done almost invariably with Mr. Mill's speeches, notably with his splendid speech on Reform last session, and with his recent elaborate speeches on Personal Representation and the Enfranchisement of Women—the two last subjects being those on which Mr. Mill (with the exception, perhaps, of Mr. Hare on one of them) is not only the greatest authority in parliament or in the country, but is the greatest authority living. Those who read the 'Times' solely for its reports—and these are probably nearly all its educated readers, except such as regard it as a pretty reliable though ever-changing barometer of the political and

Be this as it may, however, we repeat that it is most unjust to say that woman must understand politics before getting the franchise, because this test is not applied to man. As a man's faculties and time are limited, he devotes his attention to the things which directly concern him. The men best informed in politics are those engaged in political life; the next, the middle classes, who hold the chief power; the next, the enfranchised lower classes; and the last, the unenfranchised classes. Political knowledge seems to vary with political power, and assuming that the unenfranchised—those whom we now contemplate enfranchising—are, as a class, superior in political knowledge to women, it is because, first, every man is able to get a vote by slightly improving his position; and, secondly, because he is not surrounded by persons who constantly tell him that politics are above his comprehension. It is this constant reiteration of woman's

immoral atmosphere—were not more disappointed than angered at such extraordinary suppressions.

It is an easy and natural transition to say, that the key to much of the opposition to the enfranchisement of women, as well as to every other proposition which rests on ground lying out of the beaten track, is furnished in the following foot-note at page 183 of Mr. De Morgan's 'Formal Logic':—'Many minds, and almost all uneducated ones, can hardly retain an intermediate state. Put it to the first comer, what he thinks on the question, whether there be volcanoes on the unseen side of the moon larger than those on our side?—the odds are that, though he has never thought of the question, he has a pretty stiff opinion in three seconds.'

unfitness for politics which is the most effective of the many specious devices by which man persuades woman of her inferiority. Nearly two thousand years ago, Cicero, and nearly three hundred years before him, Aristotle, treated the fitness of merchants for politics with a no less supreme contempt. 'Affairs of the state,' quoth they, 'are for rich men, nobles, kings—men who do no business—not for merchants, farmers, mechanics; *these* are too busy with their own affairs.' All which is as much as to say, that the proper sphere of merchants, like the proper sphere of women, cannot include politics!

The training begins early. Boys learn, or rather they are supposed to learn, Greek, and Latin, and Mathematics; but girls are told that these subjects are of immense difficulty and priceless value, though utterly beyond their capacity.* The girls naturally believe those whom they have been taught to respect, and, having no means of testing man's pretensions, fall into the further error of

* 'A girl of eighteen has usually learnt all that it is possible to teach her: a boy of eighteen is on the very frontier of knowledge.'—*Globe*.

'There are points beyond which the female intellect obstinately refuses to go. Mathematical science furnishes a good test. Some female students never get beyond the rule of three; others, conquering the mysteries of arithmetic, take to geometry, and are baffled at the threshold of Euclid's fifth book: and we should very much like to meet the lady who understood the fundamental principle of the calculus.'—*Globe*.

believing that men generally have a very fair if not a profound knowledge of them. The tasks, they are informed, which should occupy girls, should be neither so hard nor so important. Years roll on. The boys, grown into young men, 'talk politics' furiously, much to the astonishment and admiration of their sisters. Whence comes all this knowledge, so ready on the tongue? Whence but out of those huge volumes with the funnily-shaped letters? The young men talk on glibly enough, but of politics they usually know about as much as they do of Chinese, or if they are a little above the average, as they do of the Latin and the Greek which, through no fault of theirs, but through another little 'custom' of man, they have only touched with the tips of their fingers. The girls, however, who have been carefully preserved from learning even the meaning of the word politics, believe that the one brother's adoration of the Constitution and Mr. Disraeli, between whom he seems to see some startling connection; and the other's vehement rants about Brutus, and Timoleon, and the Rights of Man, are each perfect in its kind,—the one the very essence of profound reasoning, the other of noble eloquence.

Meanwhile the girls are brought up with the one idea, 'marriage,' continually dangled before their

minds. Their whole training is directed to fit them to become the wife of one of the 'nobler sex.' Once a wife, and 'to endeavour'—we quote Mrs. Ellis, a popular and most amiable writer of the school of subserviency—'to endeavour before every other earthly thing, and next to the salvation of her soul, to obtain and keep her husband's confidence,' (no mention being made of the corresponding duty of the husband,) is to be the end-all and the be-all of her life.²⁶

²⁶ These are a few of the doctrines of a school whose disciples are numbered by hundreds of thousands. We still quote Mrs. Ellis:—'It is unquestionably the inalienable right of all men, whether ill or well, rich or poor, wise or foolish, to be treated with deference, and made much of in their own houses.' 'The love of woman appears to have been created solely to minister; that of man to be ministered unto.' 'If his taste is for neatness and order, for the absence of servants, and for perfect quiet, it would be absolute cruelty to such a man to find his house in confusion, and to have to call in servants to clear this thing and the other away after his return.' 'In the case of a highly gifted woman, even where there is an equal or superior degree of talent possessed by her husband, nothing can be more injudicious, or more fatal to her happiness, than an exhibition even of the least disposition to presume upon such gifts. Let her husband be once subjected to a feeling of jealousy of her importance, which, without the strictest watchfulness, will be liable to arise, and her force of mind and her free agency are alike destroyed for the remainder of her life.'

Good God! what a picture of man's intense selfishness and egotism, drawn by one of his most enthusiastic devotees! Mrs. Ellis, however, when she subdues feeling, and looks at the facts before her, seems to be almost of our opinion:—

'Men who have been thus educated by foolish and indulgent mothers; who have been placed at public schools, where the influence, the character, and the very name of woman was a by-word for contempt; who have been afterwards associated with sisters who were capricious, ignorant, and vain—such men are very unjustly blamed

Of course to men no such ideas are addressed. They however, like women, have their 'characteristics;' and riotousness and libertinism, provided always they are sown with the usual crop of 'wild oats,' are practically held very venial transgressions. If the prodigal at last condescends, (meanwhile assisting to keep up prostitution, vitiating his offspring, and inflicting other injuries on society,) to choose one of the inferior sex for his wife, and, in return for her entire subservience to him, and for her valuing his life 'next to the salvation of her soul,' treats her kindly and keeps the marriage vow, he goes down to the grave with the reputation of being a model husband, and an excellent man!

The assertion, that the equality of woman is one man's crotchet, Mr. Mill's, is common enough, though there is no argument in it, unless it be implied in the word crotchet.* But an opinion

for being selfish, domineering, and tyrannical to the other sex. In fact, *how should they be otherwise? It is a common thing to complain of the selfishness of men, but I have often thought, on looking candidly at their early lives, and reflecting how little cultivation of the heart is blended with what is popularly called the best education, the wonder should be that men are not more selfish still.*

So according to the testimony of a hostile witness, the present system, which the 'Times' believes it would be fatal to upset, necessarily produces women who are weak and subservient, and men who are selfish and tyrannical.

* 'Perhaps a paradox is not absolutely condemned by the circumstance that only a single member can be found to propose or to support

which was held by Plato, by Voltaire, by Burke, Condorcet, Sydney Smith, Dugald Stewart, and Bentham, and which is maintained by a host of distinguished contemporary men and women, cannot be called one man's crotchet or one man's idea.²⁷

It has been said that representation without taxation is unreasonable; that since married women are not taxed, they are not entitled to representation; and that if it be replied that they are taxed through their husbands, the answer is that they are also represented through their husbands.

The assertion that married women are not taxed, can only mean that they do not possess property. Then the argument amounts to this:—the law made by man arbitrarily withholds from married women the power of possessing property:

it. Mr. Mill has, in exotic phrase, "the courage of his opinions," including several opinions besides the dogma of indiscriminate suffrage.'—*Saturday Review*.

²⁷ Pitt, Coleridge, and some European statesmen and thinkers of the last century, opposed universal suffrage on the ground that it necessarily led to the enfranchisement of women. Talleyrand could not reconcile the exclusion of women on any abstract principles; and M. de Tocqueville expresses himself to the same effect. The petitions which have been presented to parliament for the enfranchisement of women, though containing many of the most eminent names in science, literature, and art, are by no means adequate evidence either of the extent or character of the opinion throughout the country.

Nor have electoral rights always and everywhere been denied to women. In the modern state of Victoria they were granted by an oversight, and afterwards withdrawn; but they have been partially, and we hope permanently, ceded in Austria and Sweden.

those should not be represented who do not possess property: therefore, married women should not be represented. Thus, one act of spoliation is urged as a justification for another. When all human beings are allowed to hold property, representation without taxation may be unreasonable; but to exclude married women from holding property, (all share in making the law being denied her,) and then, on the ground of that exclusion, to refuse her political power, is, practically, to say that two wrongs make a right. But, even supposing it be just to prevent married women from holding property, the premise that representation without taxation is unreasonable, must be rigorously demonstrated, not coolly assumed. Besides, if the law had been made to exclude men, the same argument, *mutatis mutandis*, might be applied. This habit, among certain writers, of taking common phrases and using them in cases which their original framers had not in view, and which bear no resemblance to those which gained them general recognition, is a fruitful source of the most stupendous blunders.²⁸

²⁸ 'A great multitude of people are continually talking of the Law of Nature; and then they go on giving you their sentiments about what is right, and what is wrong; and these sentiments, you are to understand, are so many chapters and sections of the Law of Nature.

'Instead of the phrase, Law of Nature, you have sometimes Law of

Another objection arising in the habit just mentioned, is that female suffrage would introduce strife and heartburning into families. This is an assumption against all available experience. A vote has not this effect in the case of father and son, or husband and father-in-law, or husband and brother-in-law; and it seems to be forgotten that educating woman as a being having the same feelings, the same virtues, the same weaknesses, and the same aspirations as man, will probably make her at least as tolerant as man, who does not, as a rule, coerce, or wish to coerce, the members of his own family. In all propositions which are at variance with experience, the *onus probandi* lies with the objectors, who must adduce something different from unsupported assertions.

We have now gone through our list of objections:²⁹ for the assertion that women do not

Reason, Right Reason, Natural Justice, Natural Equity, Good Order. Any of them will do equally well. This latter is most used in politics. The three last are much more tolerable than the others, because they do not very explicitly claim to be anything more than phrases: they insist but feebly upon the being looked upon as so many positive standards of themselves, and seem content to be taken, upon occasion, for phrases expressive of the conformity of the thing in question to the proper standard, whatever that may be.—*Bentham*.

²⁹ It has been urged that if the franchise is given to woman, she must also be admitted to parliament. But this is a *non sequitur*. So many new considerations are imported into the second case, that it is impossible to deduce the one from the other. The opponents of this admission, however, might plead a precedent: for the clergy of

demand the suffrage, though it contains considerable truth, has no weight as an objection. Mrs. Mill well observes: 'The vast population of Asia do not desire or value, probably would not accept, political liberty, nor the savages of the forest, civilisation; which does not prove that either of those things are undesirable for them, or that they will not at some future time enjoy it.' Obviously, the argument founded on the contentedness of women would equally apply to the women all over

the Church of England, who have the franchise, have not the privilege of sitting in parliament. The 'Contemporary Review' shows that many of the objections which sound most plausible, might be brought against priestly enfranchisement if the privilege were now for the first time to be conferred:—

'We should be told that clergymen had no business with politics; that it was their province to attend to spiritual matters; and that they ought to confine themselves to their proper sphere; that if they were permitted to participate in political affairs, it would deteriorate from the sanctity of their character, and be a hindrance in the discharge of their special duties; that the passions roused by political contests were inconsistent with that spirit of meekness and holiness which we look for in preachers of the Gospel; that if clergymen were allowed to vote, the next demand would be that they should sit in the House of Commons; with many other objections of a similar character, which it does not need a very lively fancy to suggest. But all these imaginary evils are found not to exist practically. Clergymen are not hindered in the performance of their spiritual duties by their participation in political power, and it is no deterioration from the sacredness of their calling, to give them a voice in mundane affairs. They would feel it to be unjust were they deprived of the right to hold political opinions, which would be implied by the exclusion of all persons of their class from the franchise, but they do not appear to regard it as any hardship that the exigencies of their special vocation are thought to render it expedient that they should not sit in the House of Commons.'

Africa, who are perfectly contented, though they are slaves; and to those of Hindostan, who mingle personal indecencies with law and religion.³⁰

No habit, indeed, is more easily acquired than that of subserviency. The fulsome and slavish praise which writers, particularly in the seventeenth century, lavished on their patrons, the degrading ceremonies which waited on monarchs, and the tyranny which men of all ages and of all countries have endured, are all examples of this weakness of humanity. But let not acquiescence be too much counted on. The political dependence of women has been tacitly assumed, not rigorously demonstrated. The opinions and practices of our forefathers, have been handed down from generation to generation, rather as a creed to be believed, than as a proposition to be proved. In such cases the awakening is sudden. A few experiments of Torricelli overturned an opinion which

³⁰ 'Men must have a rare power of self-delusion, if they suppose that leading questions put to the ladies of their family or of their acquaintance will elicit their real sentiments, or will be answered with complete sincerity by one woman in ten thousand. No one is so well schooled as most women are in making a virtue of necessity; it costs little to disclaim caring for what is not offered; and frankness in the expression of sentiments which may be displeasing, and may be thought uncomplimentary to their nearest connections, is not one of the virtues which a woman's education tends to cultivate, and is, moreover, a virtue attended with sufficient risk, to induce prudent women usually to reserve its exercise for cases in which there is a nearer and more personal interest at stake.'—*Mr. Mill: speech in the House of Commons, May 20, 1867.*

had been held from the days of Aristotle. A moment, and what no man could be found to dispute, no man could be found to affirm. Signs of some such awakening are thickening around us. A man, eminent for his learning, his depth of thought, his contempt of ridicule, his nobleness of aim, his fixedness of purpose, has been returned to parliament; returned while plainly avowing the right of women to representation. By him, on March 7, 1866, a petition signed by 1,500 ladies was presented to parliament.³¹ On March 28 of the present year, Mr. Henry A. Bruce presented a petition signed by upwards of 3,000 persons. And on April 5, Mr. Mill again presented a petition, this time with more than 3,000 signatures. (*Vide Appendix C.*) It is a singular fact, which bears upon the

³¹ 'It may appear, at first sight, as though in proportion to the millions of Englishwomen who live happily under our laws, or who groan under all the miseries of wife-beating and other social evils, without a thought of how their condition might be affected by legislation, fifteen hundred women are too small a number to be worthy of a moment's consideration. But if we reflect a little on the peculiar position of women, and their usual ways of thinking—on their habitual reticence on all subjects which they are accustomed to consider as beyond their own sphere, their timidity and dread of exposing their names to public observation, their deference even to the most unreasonable prejudices of those who have any claim on their affections, their clinging to old associations, and their regard for the opinion of all who are even remotely connected with them—we shall see reason to think that these fifteen hundred ladies, who have not hesitated to affix their names to a public document, and to pronounce a decided opinion, open to the controversy and criticism of all the world, must represent an extraordinarily important phase of thought.'—*Westminster Review*.

present subject, by showing how quickly strong feelings may take the place of indifference, that in the year 1830, only three petitions were presented to the House of Commons on the question of reform, and that in 1832 reform was carried to save revolution. That the time is approaching when a hard struggle will be begun for the destruction of the political dependence of women, we are deeply grateful to believe. The characteristics of the age point to such a consummation. The spread of education among both sexes, among the people, who have always been the lever of every great social revolution, the rise of the cheap press, the progress of the arts which every day tend to lessen mere household duties, the gradual diminution of physical force as a source of social and political power, and the levelling spirit which is abroad, and which needs only just guidance to scatter every obstacle, all move in the same direction. And in enfranchising women, let us inaugurate a nobler era in the history of the human race. Let the strong no longer tyrannise over the weak ; but let man and woman, united by identity of interest, by a common nature, and a tangible respect for honour and religion, fight out the battle of life, side by side.

Selfishness, we believe, is the root, whatever

nourishing tendrils there may be, of nearly all the evils which complacent statesmen, availing themselves of high authority, declare that 'flesh is heir to.' We repudiate the heirship. We do not believe that one half of mankind was born to exercise unlimited power over the other half, nor that a fraction of the human race was born to grow fat and prosper on the leanness and poverty of the immense mass. On the contrary, we believe that comfort and happiness, neither of which can exist without self-reliance and self-respect, are within the reach of all, if the strong and the rich will relax oppressive laws, and breathe a more liberal spirit into future legislation. Then, and not till then, we shall have laws which are without favour and without affection. Then, and not till then, we shall see a more equal distribution of happiness. Then, and not till then, we shall be near realising the quaint fancy of the father of poets, that on Jove's high throne there are two urns, the one of evil and the other of good, from which he impartially distributes ills and blessings to all mankind.

APPENDIX A.



IT IS IMPOSSIBLE to exaggerate the importance of this part of the question, (*vide* page 29); for if the enfranchisement of women is the destruction of prostitution, an argument is adduced for a female suffrage than which none can be of more weight. In our use of the words 'vicious state of society,' we had special reference to prostitution; and what we have to prove is, that the political equality of the sexes, leading ultimately to their social and legal equality, would destroy the evil.

The inquiry involves these two distinct questions:—First, what condition of society is incompatible with prostitution; and, secondly, what changes, or measures of reform, will secure that condition?

1. The necessary and sufficient condition for the destruction of prostitution, and the one alone necessary and sufficient, is the equal chastity of the sexes.¹ At

¹ We do not wish to qualify but to explain this statement. If one sex is more chaste than the other, prostitution is a necessity, and its extent will change with the amount of the excess. This is an arithmetical truth. The converse of the proposition, the statement in the text, is not of necessity true; that is to say, it is possible to conceive a state of things in which the equal chastity of the two sexes would not destroy prostitution—just as it is possible to conceive the people of Brobdingnag as tall as church-steeple, and taking ten yards at every stride, or the inhabitants of Laputa, with their heads reclining to the right or to the left, bumping against every post, and in imminent danger every moment of falling over a precipice through sheer inadvertence. But the one conception is as ideal as the other, and quite as improbable.

present, putting aside the classes lowest in the social scale, and abandoned women, the bulk of women is chaste, and the bulk of men is unchaste. Hence the vice which flaunts in our streets. Ill-regulated passions, and consequent unchastity, can be affected only by the gradual growth of moral civilisation, which may be defined to be the mastery of the mind over the desires. But the licence and impunity from social ostracism possessed by the one sex, society may revoke at its pleasure.² Why does it not do so? Why does it punish in one sex an act which it allows to go free in the other? Is it not because all power in the social and political sphere is monopolised by the sex which arrogates to itself an exclusive licence and immunity? We pause for a reply—for any other explanation of the injustice under which women have laboured for three thousand years; laboured without exception of colour or country, and without the respite of a single year.

2. The second question, (assuming that the condition incompatible with prostitution is the equal chastity of the sexes,) is, what changes or reforms, social or political, or both, will secure that condition?

² It has been urged that Nature, by throwing the act of child-bearing exclusively on woman, has stamped a distinction between the crime in the two sexes. But this assertion, which is neither more nor less than disguised Mahometanism, confounds the laws of nature with the institutions made by men. No doubt nature has thrown a certain amount of trouble, pain, and danger exclusively on the woman, though the amount, in our state of society, is very abnormal; but the balance is adjusted by the maternal functions, which are also solely hers—functions which are a source of pleasure and of comfort to her. It is impossible to deduce from such an arrangement, that the shame, ruin, and support of a child, consequent on an illicit act mutually shared, should fall almost exclusively, as they do now, on only one of the parties to it, and that party the one which has to endure all the immediate bodily suffering.

Theoretically, it has always been taught, that chastity is a duty no less incumbent on man than on woman. The ministers of all sects of the Christian religion teach—a teaching professedly accepted by society—that the dictates alike of our intellect and conscience condemn unchastity as a sin of equal weight in both sexes. How is it then that, *practically*, this teaching is contravened in favour of men? Is it not, we again ask, because women are altogether shut out from any share in shaping those legal, and therefore those social enactments, which combine to treat the vice gently or roughly according as it appears in one sex or the other? We submit, that if one set of persons inflict an evil on another set of persons,—the last set being entirely in the power of the first set,—and if the evil-doers do not attempt to deny or justify the evil, then a sudden accession of power making the power of both sexes equal, would inevitably destroy the evil. The want of chastity among men is an evil to women. But women are completely in the power of men,—men who, while they admit the equality of duty in the two sexes, violate the admission in practice, and thence make prostitution a necessity. The conclusion is, that give women equal power and the evil will cease, though, from its age and strength, the process of cure may be the gradual work of generations.

So far our argument has been rested on general grounds. But what specific measures of social and legal reform would follow the enfranchisement of women? Well, we believe that fathers and mothers would become as careful of the chastity of their sons as of their daughters. The same horror of unchastity would be instilled into the minds of boys as of girls; and the same practical care would be taken to protect both sexes. The doors of society would

be as closely shut to the dissolute man as to the dissolute woman. Mothers would as soon think of marrying their daughters to a rake as their sons to a wanton. Greater efforts would be made to reclaim those who had fallen, and to discover and punish common brothel-keepers, and others who cater more secretly for the depraved appetites of the idle rich. Return to a virtuous life would be facilitated. Seduction under promise of marriage would be made a criminal offence, punishable by a long term of imprisonment or penal servitude. Illegitimate children would acquire the same rights as legitimate children. They would be entitled, until the age of twenty-one years, to the supply of necessaries—necessaries being interpreted according to the means and station of the father. They would inherit, in case of intestacy, equally with the other children, and would be on an equality with them in every respect.

It is through these and other reforms resulting from woman's influence, that we anticipate the change we have asserted. Temptations, and liability to falling in both sexes, would be diminished. Men would be put under the influence of a higher morality. The well-disposed would be inspired by a deeper sense of their duties and responsibilities; the vicious by a dread of either the social, pecuniary, or penal consequences of their transgressions. Women also, by the very improvement in men, by the expansion of their minds consequent on a liberal education, by an active life devoted to real work, would be infinitely less exposed to temptation; while the opening of professions and employments to them would weaken, if not destroy, that arch-tempter, want. In short, were men equally chaste with women, prostitution, in anything at all approaching its present proportions, must cease, if only because prostitutes would starve.

But the only way to secure the equal chastity of the sexes, is by a complete change in our social and legal enactments, and the only way to effect this change is by the enfranchisement of women—two propositions which we have tried to establish, (or rather to indicate the lines of thought which will lead to their establishment,) *extra* the other propositions which form the basis of the work.

APPENDIX II.

THE FEMALE SUFFRAGE MOVEMENT HAS BEEN THE
ONE OF THE GREAT QUESTIONS OF THE DAY
SINCE THE REVOLUTION OF 1848. THE FEMALE
SUFFRAGE MOVEMENT HAS BEEN THE ONE OF THE
GREAT QUESTIONS OF THE DAY SINCE THE
REVOLUTION OF 1848. THE FEMALE SUFFRAGE
MOVEMENT HAS BEEN THE ONE OF THE GREAT
QUESTIONS OF THE DAY SINCE THE REVOLUTION
OF 1848. THE FEMALE SUFFRAGE MOVEMENT
HAS BEEN THE ONE OF THE GREAT QUESTIONS
OF THE DAY SINCE THE REVOLUTION OF 1848.

* When, where, everywhere,
Now my friends, arise!

*Falling reproach on the Procrastination of all female intel-
lect, a governess-ship, what field is left open to a woman
of any education? Seek an answer in any great town.*

*A young lady, Miss Garrett, from a pressure of necessity, but
from an honorable desire to employ her activity in alleviating human
suffering, studied the medical profession. Having duly qualified her-
self, she, with an energy and perseverance which cannot be too highly
praised, knocked successively at all the doors through which, by law,
access is obtained into the medical profession. Having found all other
doors fast shut, she fortunately discovered one which had accidentally
been left ajar. The Society of Apothecaries, it seems, had forgotten
to shut out those who they never thought would attempt to come in,
and through this narrow entrance this young lady found her way into
this profession. But so objectionable did it appear to this learned
body that women should be the medical attendants even of women,
that the narrow wicket through which Miss Garrett entered has been*

Go to Manchester, to Liverpool, to Birmingham, to Bristol. Go to the metropolis of the richest nation in the world—the nation which also claims to be the most highly civilised; go to London. There put the question to the thousands of governesses out of place; to the thousands of seamstresses whose pallid cheeks and blistered fingers bear testimony alike to their poverty and their suffering; to the thousands of prostitutes who tread the pavements of the West-end, or roll along its crowded thoroughfares under the temporary shelter of a brougham. Penetrate into the heart of the City. Put the question to the thousands of poor creatures who have arrived at the ‘last scene of all;’ who, *sans* brougham, *sans* friends, *sans* bread, and *sans* everything which the virtuous and the unvirtuous alike value, tread the dirt and filth of Shore-ditch and the Seven Dials to the grave. You will then find out—if not the field open to women—at least the ultimate sphere of a vast portion of the unfortunate creatures whom we educate in weakness, shut out from almost every profitable employment, seduce without punishment, and abandon under the sanction of the law.²

It would be a sad prospect for the future of society, if

closed after her, and no second Miss Garrett will be allowed to pass through it. And this is *instar omnium*. No sooner do women show themselves capable of competing with men in any career, than that career, if it be lucrative or honourable, is closed to them. A short time ago, women might be Associates of the Royal Academy; but they were so distinguishing themselves, they were assuming so honourable a place in their art, that this privilege also has been withdrawn. This is the sort of care taken of women’s interests by the men who so faithfully represent them!—*Mr. Mill: speech in the House of Commons, May 20, 1867.*

² The subjoined statistical information, extracted from page 22 of the ‘Seventh Annual Report of the Midnight Meeting Movement for Fallen Women,’ is a striking confirmation of these views. All the assigned causes of fall may, we believe, be directly connected with

such a state of things could exist—if such a preponderance of suffering on the part of women as now presses them down in every country, civilised or uncivilised, were possible among a community which adjusted its social and national laws to affect equally both sexes. Happily, however, a great part of the evils which afflict mankind can be directly and distinctly traced to vicious institutions. In England, for example, we see activity, energy, perseverance, ability,—in short, every quality which, in man, secures respect, comfort, dignity, independence,—in women so hampered by artificial restrictions, that they are utterly unable to move. Strong though they be, they are not strong enough. The intolerance of custom, like the Old Man of the Sea, clings a dead-weight. The grasp is unrelaxing. Tighter and tighter it closes. Lower and lower sinks the victim, who however anxious and able to conquer ordinary difficulties, is unable to rise under so heavy a burden.

Such is the position of woman. She is held back from earning her independence. The universities are closed to her. The professions are closed to her. And so are all those employments which experience has proved to be the most profitable. But these restrictions are unmitigated evils which oppress not alone single women who have no

the present system, while several of them (especially those numbered 1, 3, and 4) must be so connected :

‘ Number of fallen women admitted to homes in 1866 . . . 252

Assigned Causes of Fall.

1. Breach of promise of marriage	76
2. Inebriety or bad company	70
3. Destitution	42
4. Gaiety, love of dress, &c.	24
5. Depravity of employers	11
6. Various causes	12
7. Family severity	17
	<hr/> 252

married brother or aged father on whom to depend : they oppress the whole sex.

One illustration will suffice. So long as women, unless they marry, are mostly dependent, and so long as they are unable, unless as governesses, to even inadequately provide for themselves, so long will they continue to marry for the sake of an establishment of some sort. But give scope to their energies and talents, permit them to become active and useful, do away with restrictions, and open up to them paths to remunerative labour, and there will be more marriages of the heart without any sacrifice of prudence. Many men who have more than enough to support themselves are anxious to marry. But they feel, without perhaps having any very clear notion of the circumstances under which marriage may be entered into with a proper regard to contingent responsibilities, that they cannot support a being who, on the present system, is utterly unable to assist them ; and who, unless the natural goodness of her disposition has triumphed over the influence of her education, is necessarily indolent and apathetic, if not absolutely lazy. The case would be very different if women were accustomed before marriage to get their own living. Then, not only would habits of thrift, and industry, and perseverance be obtained--habits of inestimable value in every sphere, and not least in the married ; not only would quickness in adapting means to an end be the result of an education whose corner-stone was self-reliance ; but the wives would be able, notwithstanding their other duties, to contribute their portion, if the smaller one, to the fund necessary to meet the common wants of husband, wife, and children—a true labour of love, and a noble one.

APPENDIX C.



SINCE this passage was written, (*vide* page 76,) a proposition has been made in the House of Commons, to extend the suffrage to women. On May 20, Mr. Mill brought forward his amendment. The government had put a specific proposition before the house, and Mr. Mill only sought to apply that proposition to women on precisely the same terms as to men. He therefore proposed to substitute the word 'person' for the word 'man' in the several clauses of the reform bill. His amendment did not introduce the element of sex. It simply said, 'Whatever conditions are attached to the possession of the franchise, leave to operate impartially and without interference; make no exceptions; bestow the suffrage, whether on man or woman, wherever those conditions exist which you say constitute a qualification.'

Mr. Mill's speech was not exhaustive only perhaps because his subject, like all subjects, is inexhaustible. Besides, though the real issue is narrow, there are so many mis-named corollaries, that to satisfy the demands of all cavillers, as distinguished from objectors, would be to bury the subject under a mass of irrelevant matter. None the less, Mr. Mill's speech may be regarded as conclusive under the criticism of his opponents, Mr. Karlake, Mr. Laing, Mr. Onslow, and Lord Galway. Indeed, we feel bound to admit, that the unexpectedly large minority of

seventy-nine, including six pairs, which supported the motion, was partly owing to the almost incredible weakness and silliness of the opposition speeches. Whether the enfranchisement of women be, as we believe, just and expedient, or, as the *Morning Herald* insists—of course without condescending to argue the question—abhorrent to all humanity except a few splenetic individuals, it is certain that none of the opposition speeches added a single fact, argument, objection, or consideration of any kind, to the fund of information previously possessed.

We subjoin a list of the Minority which supported Mr. Mill's motion; and also a list, complete we believe, of the Petitions presented to parliament for the enfranchisement of women in the course of the present session:

MINORITY.

Allen, W. S.	Hibbert, J. T.	Peel, J.
Amberley, Viscount	Hodgkinson, G.	Peto, Sir S. M.
Baines, E.	Holden, I.	Platt, J.
Barnes, T.	Hughes, T.	Pollard-Urquhart, W.
Barrow, W. H.	Hurst, R. H.	Power, Sir J.
Bass, M. T.	Jackson, W.	Pritchard, J.
Bazley, T.	Jervoise, Sir J. C.	Rearden, D. J.
Beach, W. W. B.	King, Hon. P. J. L.	Robartes, T. J. A.
Biddulph, M.	Labouchere, H.	Robertson, D.
Blake, J. A.	Langton, W. G.	Stansfeld, J.
Bowyer, Sir G.	Leatham, W. H.	Stock, O.
Bright, J.	Lefevre, G. J. S.	Talbot, C. R. M.
Cowen, J.	Liddell, Hon. H. G.	Taylor, P. A.
Dalglish, R.	Lusk, A.	Watkin, E. W.
Denman, Hon. G.	M'Kenna, J. N.	Whatman, J.
Eykin, R.	M'Laren, D.	White, J.
Fawcett, H.	Maguire, J. F.	Whitworth, B.
Goldsmid, Sir F. H.	Moore, C.	Wyld, J.
Gorst, J. E.	Morgan, Hon. Major	Wyndham, Hon. P.
Grant, A.	Morrison, W.	Yorke, J. R.
Gridley, Capt. H. G.	O'Beirne, J. L.	Young, R.
Hadfield, G.	O'Donoghue, The	
Harvey, R. B.	Oliphant, L.	Tellers
Hay, Lord J.	Onslow, G. J. H.	
Hay, Lord W. M.	Padmore, R.	Mill, J. S.
Henderson, J.	Parry, T.	Gurney, R.

PAIRS ON MR. MILL'S AMENDMENT.

For.	Against.
Mr. E. James . . .	Mr. J. Goldsmid
Mr. G. O. Trevelyan . . .	Mr. R. P. Dawson
Mr. T. B. Horsfall . . .	Mr. G. Moffatt
Gen. Forester . . .	Mr. B. Osborne
Sir John Gray . . .	Lord Claude J. Hamilton.

PETITIONS PRESENTED TO PARLIAMENT IN 1867 FOR THE
ENFRANCHISEMENT OF WOMEN.

On March 28, a petition signed by 3,559 persons ;

On the same day, a petition from Dumfries, signed by 34 persons ;

On April 5, a petition signed by 3,161 persons ;

On the same day, a petition signed by 1,605 unmarried women and widows possessing the legal qualification of an elector ;

On April 11, a petition from Edinburgh, signed by 2,849 persons, including 800 women possessing the property qualification ;

On the same day, a petition from Manchester, signed by 246 women fulfilling all the conditions required from parliamentary electors ; also a supplementary petition from Manchester, signed by 4,200 persons ;

On the same day, a petition from Hastings, signed by 54 persons ;

On July 25, a petition from Colchester, signed by 129 persons.

LONDON

PRINTED BY SPOTTISWOODE AND CO.
NEW-STREET SQUARE

GENERAL LIST OF WORKS

PUBLISHED BY

Messrs. LONGMANS, GREEN, READER, and DYER.

ARTS, MANUFACTURES, &c.....	12	MISCELLANEOUS and POPULAR META-	
ASTRONOMY, METEOROLOGY, POPULAR		PHYSICAL WORKS.....	6
GEOGRAPHY, &c.	7	MUSICAL PUBLICATIONS	11
BIOGRAPHY AND MEMOIRS	3	NATURAL HISTORY and POPULAR	
CHEMISTRY, MEDICINE, SURGERY, and		SCIENCE.....	7
the ALLIED SCIENCES	9	POETRY and THE DRAMA.....	17
COMMERCE, NAVIGATION, and MERCAN-		RELIGIOUS AND MORAL WORKS	13
TILE AFFAIRS	19	RURAL SPORTS, &c.....	18
CRITICISM, PHILOLOGY, &c.....	4	TRAVELS, VOYAGES, &c.	15
FINE ARTS and ILLUSTRATED EDITIONS	11	WORKS OF FICTION	16
HISTORICAL WORKS.....	1	WORKS OF UTILITY and GENERAL	
INDEX	21—24	INFORMATION	19
KNOWLEDGE for the YOUNG	20		

Historical Works.

Lord Macaulay's Works. Complete and uniform Library Edition. Edited by his Sister, Lady TREVELYAN. 8 vols. 8vo. with Portrait, price £5 5s. cloth, or £8 8s. bound in tree-calf by Rivière.

The History of England from the Fall of Wolsey to the Death of Elizabeth. By JAMES ANTHONY FROUDE, M.A. late Fellow of Exeter College, Oxford. VOLS. I. to X. in 8vo. price £7 2s. cloth.

VOLS. I. to IV. the Reign of Henry VIII. Third Edition, 54s.

VOLS. V. and VI. the Reigns of Edward VI. and Mary. Second Edition, 28s.

VOLS. VII. & VIII. the Reign of Elizabeth, VOLS. I. & II. Fourth Edition, 28s.

VOLS. IX. and X. the Reign of Elizabeth. VOLS. III. and IV. 32s.

The History of England from the Accession of James II. By Lord MACAULAY.

LIBRARY EDITION, 5 vols. 8vo. £4.

CABINET EDITION, 8 vols. post 8vo. 48s.

PEOPLE'S EDITION, 4 vols. crown 8vo. 16s.

Revolutions in English History.

By ROBERT VAUGHAN, D.D. 3 vols. 8vo. 45s.

VOL. I. Revolutions of Race, 15s.

VOL. II. Revolutions in Religion, 15s.

VOL. III. Revolutions in Government, 15s.

An Essay on the History of the English Government and Constitution, from the Reign of Henry VII. to the Present Time. By JOHN EARL RUSSELL. Fourth Edition, revised. Crown 8vo. 6s.

The History of England during the Reign of George the Third. By the Right Hon. W. N. MASSEY. Cabinet Edition, 4 vols. post 8vo. 24s.

The Constitutional History of England, since the Accession of George III. 1760—1860. By Sir THOMAS ERSKINE MAY, K.C.B. Second Edit. 2 vols. 8vo. 33s.

Brodie's Constitutional History of the British Empire from the Accession of Charles I. to the Restoration. Second Edition. 3 vols. 8vo. 36s.

Historical Studies. I. On Precursors of the French Revolution; II. Studies from the History of the Seventeenth Century; III. Leisure Hours of a Tourist. By HERMAN MERIVALE, M.A. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Lectures on the History of England. By WILLIAM LONGMAN. VOL. I. from the Earliest Times to the Death of King Edward II. with 6 Maps, a coloured Plate, and 53 Woodcuts. 8vo. 15s.

- History of Civilization in England and France, Spain and Scotland.** By HENRY THOMAS BUCKLE. Fifth Edition of the entire work, complete in 3 vols. crown 8vo. price 24s. cloth; or 42s. bound in tree-calf by Rivière.
- The History of India, from the Earliest Period to the close of Lord Dalhousie's Administration.** By JOHN CLARK MARSHMAN. 3 vols. crown 8vo. [Nearly ready.]
- Democracy in America.** By ALEXIS DE TOCQUEVILLE. Translated by HENRY REEVE, with an Introductory Notice by the Translator. 2 vols. 8vo. 21s.
- The Spanish Conquest in America, and its Relation to the History of Slavery and to the Government of Colonies.** By ARTHUR HELPS. 4 vols. 8vo. £3. VOLS. I. & II. 28s. VOLS. III. & IV. 16s. each.
- History of the Reformation in Europe in the Time of Calvin.** By J. H. MERLE D'AUBIGNÉ, D.D. VOLS. I. and II. 8vo. 28s. VOL. III. 12s. and VOL. IV. price 16s. VOL. V. in the press.
- Library History of France, in 5 vols. 8vo.** By EYRE EVANS CROWE. VOL. I. 14s. VOL. II. 15s. VOL. III. 18s. VOL. IV. 18s.
- Lectures on the History of France.** By the late Sir JAMES STEPHEN, LL.D. 2 vols. 8vo. 24s.
- The History of Greece.** By C. THIRLWALL, D.D. Lord Bishop of St. David's. 8 vols. fcp. with Vignette-titles, 28s.
- The Tale of the Great Persian War, from the Histories of Herodotus.** By GEORGE W. COX, M.A. late Scholar of Trin. Coll. Oxon. Fcp. 7s. 6d.
- Greek History from Themistocles to Alexander, in a Series of Lives from Plutarch.** Revised and arranged by A. H. CLOUGH. Fcp. with 44 Woodcuts, 6s.
- Critical History of the Language and Literature of Ancient Greece.** By WILLIAM MURE, of Caldwell. 5 vols. 8vo. £3 9s.
- History of the Literature of Ancient Greece.** By Professor K. O. MÜLLER. Translated by the Right Hon. Sir GEORGE CORNEWALL LEWIS, Bart. and by J. W. DONALDSON, D.D. 3 vols. 8vo. 36s.
- History of the City of Rome from its Foundation to the Sixteenth Century of the Christian Era.** By THOMAS H. DYER, LL.D. 8vo. with 2 Maps, 15s.
- History of the Romans under the Empire.** By CHARLES MERIVALE, B.D. Chaplain to the Speaker. Cabinet Edition, with Maps, complete in 8 vols. post 8vo. 48s.
- The Fall of the Roman Republic: a Short History of the Last Century of the Commonwealth.** By the same Author. 12mo. 7s. 6d.
- The Conversion of the Roman Empire; the Boyle Lectures for the year 1864, delivered at the Chapel Royal, Whitehall.** By the same. 2nd Edition. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- The Conversion of the Northern Nations; the Boyle Lectures for 1865.** By the same Author. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- Critical and Historical Essays** contributed to the *Edinburgh Review*. By the Right Hon. Lord MACAULAY.
LIBRARY EDITION, 3 vols. 8vo. 36s.
CABINET EDITION, 4 vols. post 8vo. 24s.
TRAVELLER'S EDITION, in 1 vol. 21s.
POCKET EDITION, 3 vols. fcp. 21s.
PEOPLE'S EDITION, 2 vols. crown 8vo. 8s.
- History of the Rise and Influence of the Spirit of Rationalism in Europe.** By W. E. H. LECKY, M.A. Third Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. 25s.
- The History of Philosophy, from Thales to the Present Day.** By GEORGE HENRY LEWES. Third Edition, partly rewritten and greatly enlarged. In 2 vols. VOL. I. *Ancient Philosophy*; VOL. II. *Modern Philosophy*. [Nearly ready.]
- History of the Inductive Sciences.** By WILLIAM WHEWELL, D.D. F.R.S. late Master of Trin. Coll. Cantab. Third Edition. 3 vols. crown 8vo. 24s.
- Egypt's Place in Universal History; an Historical Investigation.** By C. C. J. BUNSEN, D.D. Translated by C. H. COTTRELL, M.A. With many Illustrations. 4 vols. 8vo. £5 8s. VOL. V. is nearly ready, completing the work.
- Maunder's Historical Treasury; comprising a General Introductory Outline of Universal History, and a Series of Separate Histories.** Fcp. 10s.
- Historical and Chronological Encyclopædia, presenting in a brief and convenient form Chronological Notices of all the Great Events of Universal History.** By B. B. WOODWARD, F.S.A. Librarian to the Queen. [In the press.]

History of the Christian Church, from the Ascension of Christ to the Conversion of Constantine. By E. BURTON, D.D. late Regius Prof. of Divinity in the University of Oxford. Eighth Edition. Fcp. 3s. 6d.

Sketch of the History of the Church of England to the Revolution of 1688. By the Right Rev. T. V. SHORT, D.D. Lord Bishop of St. Asaph. Seventh Edition. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

History of the Early Church, from the First Preaching of the Gospel to the Council of Nicæa, A.D. 325. By the Author of 'Amy Herbert.' Fcp. 4s. 6d.

History of Wesleyan Methodism. By GEORGE SMITH, F.A.S. Fourth Edition, with numerous Portraits. 3 vols. crown 8vo. 7s. each.

The English Reformation. By F. C. MASSINGBERD, M.A. Chancellor of Lincoln. Fourth Edit. revised. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

Biography and Memoirs.

Life and Correspondence of Richard Whately, D.D. late Archbishop of Dublin. By E. JANE WHATELY, Author of 'English Synonymes.' With 2 Portraits. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.

Extracts of the Journals and Correspondence of Miss Berry, from the Year 1783 to 1852. Edited by Lady THERESA LEWIS. Second Edition, with 3 Portraits. 3 vols. 8vo. 42s.

The Diary of the Right Hon. William Windham, M.P. From 1783 to 1809. Edited by Mrs. H. BARING. 8vo. 18s.

Life of the Duke of Wellington. By the Rev. G. R. GLEIG, M.A. Popular Edition, carefully revised; with copious Additions. Crown 8vo. with Portrait, 5s.

Life of the Duke of Wellington, partly from M. BRIALMONT, partly from Original Documents (Intermediate Edition). By Rev. G. R. GLEIG, M.A. 8vo. with Portrait, 15s.

Brialmont and Gleig's Life of the Duke of Wellington (the Parent Work). 4 vols. 8vo. with Illustrations, £2 14s.

Life of Robert Stephenson, F.R.S. By J. C. JEAFFRESON, Barrister-at-Law; and WILLIAM POLE, F.R.S. Member of the Institution of Civil Engineers. With 2 Portraits and 17 Illustrations on Steel and Wood. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.

History of my Religious Opinions. By J. H. NEWMAN, D.D. Being the Substance of Apologia pro Vitâ Suâ. Post 8vo. 6s.

Father Mathew: a Biography. By JOHN FRANCIS MAGUIRE, M.P. Popular Edition, with Portrait. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Rome; its Rulers and its Institutions. By the same Author. New Edition in preparation.

Letters and Life of Francis Bacon, including all his Occasional Works. Collected and edited, with a Commentary, by J. SPEDDING, Trin. Coll. Cantab. Vols. I. and II. 8vo. 24s.

Some Account of the Life and Opinions of a Fifth-Monarchy Man, chiefly extracted from the Writings of JOHN ROGERS, preacher. Edited by the Rev. EDWARD ROGERS, M.A. Student of Christ Church, Oxford. Crown 4to.

[*Nearly ready.*]

Life of Amelia Wilhelmina Sieveking, from the German. Edited, with the Author's sanction, by CATHERINE WINKWORTH. Post 8vo. with Portrait, 12s.

Mozart's Letters (1769-1791), translated from the Collection of Dr. LUDWIG NOHL by Lady WALLACE. 2 vols. post 8vo. with Portrait and Facsimile, 18s.

Beethoven's Letters (1790-1826), from the Two Collections of Drs. NOHL and VON KÖCHEL. Translated by Lady WALLACE. 2 vols. post 8vo. Portrait, 18s.

Felix Mendelssohn's Letters from Italy and Switzerland, and *Letters from 1833 to 1847,* translated by Lady WALLACE. With Portrait. 2 vols. crown 8vo. 5s. each.

Recollections of the late William Wilberforce, M.P. for the County of York during nearly 30 Years. By J. S. HARFORD, F.R.S. Second Edition. Post 8vo. 7s.

Memoirs of Sir Henry Havelock, K.C.B. By JOHN CLARK MARSHMAN. Second Edition. 8vo. with Portrait, 12s. 6d.

Essays in Ecclesiastical Biography. By the Right Hon. Sir J. STEPHEN, LL.D. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 14s.

Biographies of Distinguished Scientific Men. By FRANÇOIS ARAGO. Translated by Admiral W. H. SMYTH, F.R.S. the Rev. B. POWELL, M.A. and R. GRANT, M.A. 8vo. 18s.

Vicissitudes of Families. By Sir BERNARD BURKE, Ulster King of Arms. FIRST, SECOND, and THIRD SERIES. 3 vols. crown 8vo. 12s. 6d. each.

Maunder's Biographical Treasury. Thirteenth Edition, reconstructed and partly rewritten, with above 1,000 additional Memoirs, by W. L. R. CATES. Fcp. 10s. 6d.

Criticism, Philosophy, Polity, &c.

The Institutes of Justinian; with English Introduction, Translation, and Notes. By T. C. SANDARS, M.A. Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition. 8vo. 15s.

The Ethics of Aristotle with Essays and Notes. By Sir A. GRANT, Bart. M.A. LL.D. Director of Public Instruction in the Bombay Presidency. Second Edition, revised and completed. 2 vols. 8vo. price 28s.

On Representative Government. By JOHN STUART MILL, M.P. Third Edition. 8vo. 9s. crown 8vo. 2s.

On Liberty. By the same Author. Third Edition. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d. crown 8vo. 1s. 4d.

Principles of Political Economy. By the same. Sixth Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. 30s. or in 1 vol. crown 8vo. 5s.

System of Logic, Ratiocinative and Inductive. By the same. Sixth Edition. 2 vols. 8vo. 25s.

Utilitarianism. By the same. 2d Edit. 8vo. 5s.

Dissertations and Discussions. By the same Author. 2 vols. 8vo. 24s.

Examination of Sir W. Hamilton's Philosophy, and of the Principal Philosophical Questions discussed in his Writings. By the same. Second Edition. 8vo. 14s.

The Elements of Political Economy. By HENRY DUNNING MACLEOD, M.A. Barrister-at-Law. 8vo. 16s.

A Dictionary of Political Economy; Biographical, Bibliographical, Historical, and Practical. By the same Author. Vol. I. royal 8vo. 30s.

Lord Bacon's Works, collected and edited by R. L. ELLIS, M.A. J. SPEDDING, M.A. and D. D. HEATH. VOLS. I. to V. *Philosophical Works*, 5 vols. 8vo. £4 6s. VOLS. VI. and VII. *Literary and Professional Works*, 2 vols. £1 16s.

Bacon's Essays, with Annotations. By R. WHATELY, D.D. late Archbishop of Dublin. Sixth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Elements of Logic. By R. WHATELY, D.D. late Archbishop of Dublin. Ninth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d. crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

Elements of Rhetoric. By the same Author. Seventh Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d. crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.

English Synonymes. Edited by Archbishop WHATELY. 5th Edition. Fcp. 3s.

Miscellaneous Remains from the Common-place Book of RICHARD WHATELY, D.D. late Archbishop of Dublin. Edited by E. JANE WHATELY. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Essays on the Administrations of Great Britain from 1783 to 1830. By the Right Hon. Sir G. C. LEWIS, Bart. Edited by the Right Hon. Sir E. HEAD, Bart. 8vo. with Portrait, 15s.

By the same Author.

Inquiry into the Credibility of the Early Roman History, 2 vols. 30s.

On the Methods of Observation and Reasoning in Politics, 2 vols. 28s.

Irish Disturbances and Irish Church Question, 12s.

Remarks on the Use and Abuse of some Political Terms, 9s.

The Fables of Babrius, Greek Text with Latin Notes, PART I. 5s. 6d. PART II. 3s. 6d.

An Outline of the Necessary Laws of Thought: a Treatise on Pure and Applied Logic. By the Most Rev. W. THOMSON, D.D. Archbishop of York. Crown 8vo. 5s. 6d.

The Elements of Logic. By THOMAS SHEDDEN, M.A. of St. Peter's Coll. Cantab. 12mo. 4s. 6d.

- Analysis of Mr. Mill's System of Logic.** By W. STEBBING, M.A. Second Edition. 12mo. 3s. 6d.
- The Election of Representatives,** Parliamentary and Municipal; a Treatise. By THOMAS HARE, Barrister-at-Law. Third Edition, with Additions. Crown 8vo. 6s.
- Speeches on Parliamentary Reform.** By the Right Hon. B. DISRAELI, M.P. Chancellor of the Exchequer. 1 vol. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]
- Speeches of the Right Hon. Lord MACAULAY,** corrected by Himself. Library Edition, 8vo. 12s. People's Edition, crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- Lord Macaulay's Speeches on Parliamentary Reform in 1831 and 1832.** 16mo. 1s.
- A Dictionary of the English Language.** By R. G. LATHAM, M.A. M.D. F.R.S. Founded on the Dictionary of Dr. S. JOHNSON, as edited by the Rev. H. J. TODD, with numerous Emendations and Additions. Publishing in 36 Parts, price 3s. 6d. each, to form 2 vols. 4to. VOL. I. in Two Parts, now ready.
- Thesaurus of English Words and Phrases,** classified and arranged so as to facilitate the Expression of Ideas, and assist in Literary Composition. By P. M. ROGET, M.D. 18th Edition, crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Lectures on the Science of Language,** delivered at the Royal Institution. By MAX MÜLLER, M.A. Taylorian Professor in the University of Oxford. FIRST SERIES, Fifth Edition, 12s. SECOND SERIES, 18s.
- Chapters on Language.** By F. W. FARRAR, M.A. F.R.S. late Fellow of Trin. Coll. Cambridge. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- The Debater; a Series of Complete Debates,** Outlines of Debates, and Questions for Discussion. By F. ROWTON. Fcp. 6s.
- A Course of English Reading,** adapted to every taste and capacity; or, How and What to Read. By the Rev. J. PYCROFT, B.A. Fourth Edition, fcp. 5s.
- Manual of English Literature,** Historical and Critical: with a Chapter on English Metres. By THOMAS ARNOLD, M.A. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Southey's Doctor,** complete in One Volume. Edited by the Rev. J.W. WARTER, B.D. Square crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- Historical and Critical Commentary on the Old Testament; with a New Translation.** By M. M. KALISCH, Ph. D. VOL. I. *Genesis*, 8vo. 18s. or adapted for the General Reader, 12s. VOL. II. *Exodus*, 15s. or adapted for the General Reader, 12s.
- A Hebrew Grammar, with Exercises.** By the same. PART I. *Outlines with Exercises*, 8vo. 12s. 6d. KEY, 5s. PART II. *Exceptional Forms and Constructions*, 12s. 6d.
- A Latin-English Dictionary.** By J. T. WHITE, D.D. of Corpus Christi College, and J. E. RIDDLE, M.A. of St. Edmund Hall, Oxford. Imp. 8vo. pp. 2,128, price 42s.
- A New Latin-English Dictionary,** abridged from the larger work of *White and Riddle* (as above), by J. T. WHITE, D.D. Joint-Author. 8vo. pp. 1,048, price 18s.
- The Junior Scholar's Latin-English Dictionary,** abridged from the larger works of *White and Riddle* (as above), by J. T. WHITE, D.D. surviving Joint-Author. Square 12mo. pp. 662, price 7s. 6d.
- An English-Greek Lexicon,** containing all the Greek Words used by Writers of good authority. By C. D. YONGE, B.A. Fifth Edition. 4to. 21s.
- Mr. Yonge's New Lexicon, English and Greek,** abridged from his larger work (as above). Square 12mo. 8s. 6d.
- A Greek-English Lexicon.** Compiled by H. G. LIDDELL, D.D. Dean of Christ Church, and R. SCOTT, D.D. Master of Balliol. Fifth Edition, crown 4to. 31s. 6d.
- A Lexicon, Greek and English,** abridged from LIDDELL and SCOTT'S *Greek-English Lexicon*. Eleventh Edition, square 12mo. 7s. 6d.
- A Sanskrit-English Dictionary,** The Sanskrit words printed both in the original Devanagari and in Roman letters; with References to the Best Editions of Sanskrit Authors, and with Etymologies and Comparisons of Cognate Words chiefly in Greek, Latin, Gothic, and Anglo-Saxon. Compiled by T. BENFEY. 8vo. 52s. 6d.
- A Practical Dictionary of the French and English Languages.** By L. CONTANSEAU. 11th Edition, post 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Contanseau's Pocket Dictionary,** French and English, abridged from the above by the Author. New Edition. 18mo. price 3s. 6d.
- New Practical Dictionary of the German Language; German-English, and English-German.** By the Rev. W. L. BLACKLEY, M.A., and Dr. CARL MARTIN FRIEDLÄNDER. Post 8vo. 14s.

Miscellaneous Works and Popular Metaphysics.

- Recreations of a Country Parson.**
By A. K. H. B. FIRST SERIES, with 41 Woodcut Illustrations from Designs by R. T. Pritchett. Crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.
- Recreations of a Country Parson.**
SECOND SERIES. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- The Commonplace Philosopher in Town and Country.** By the same Author. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- Leisure Hours in Town; Essays** Consolatory, Æsthetical, Moral, Social, and Domestic. By the same. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- The Autumn Holidays of a Country Parson; Essays** contributed to *Fraser's Magazine* and to *Good Words*. By the same. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- The Graver Thoughts of a Country Parson,** SECOND SERIES. By the same. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- Critical Essays of a Country Parson,** selected from Essays contributed to *Fraser's Magazine*. By the same. Post 8vo. 9s.
- Sunday Afternoons at the Parish Church** of a University City. By the same. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.
- A Campaigner at Home.** By SHIRLEY, Author of 'Thalatta' and 'Nugæ Criticæ.' Post 8vo. with Vignette, 7s. 6d.
- Studies in Parliament: a Series of Sketches** of Leading Politicians. By R. H. HUTTON. (Reprinted from the *Pall Mall Gazette*.) Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- Lord Macaulay's Miscellaneous Writings.**
LIBRARY EDITION, 2 vols. 8vo. Portrait, 21s.
PEOPLE'S EDITION, 1 vol. crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- The Rev. Sydney Smith's Miscellaneous Works;** including his Contributions to the *Edinburgh Review*. People's Edition, 2 vols. crown 8vo. 8s.
- Elementary Sketches of Moral Philosophy,** delivered at the Royal Institution. By the same Author. Fcp. 6s.
- The Wit and Wisdom of the Rev. SYDNEY SMITH:** a Selection of the most memorable Passages in his Writings and Conversation. 16mo. 5s.
- Epigrams, Ancient and Modern:** Humorous, Witty, Satirical, Moral, and Panegyrical. Edited by Rev. JOHN BOOTH, B.A. Cambridge. Second Edition, revised and enlarged. Fcp. 7s. 6d.
- The Folk-Lore of the Northern Counties** of England and the Borders. By WILLIAM HENDERSON. With an Appendix on Household Stories by the Rev. S. BARING-GOULD. Crown 8vo. with Coloured Frontispiece, 9s. 6d.
- From Matter to Spirit: the Result of Ten Years' Experience in Spirit Manifestations.** By SOPHIA E. DE MORGAN. With a Preface by Professor DE MORGAN. Post 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- Essays selected from Contributions to the *Edinburgh Review*.** By HENRY ROGERS. Second Edition. 3 vols. fcp. 21s.
- Reason and Faith, their Claims and Conflicts.** By the same Author. New Edition, revised and extended, and accompanied by several other Essays, on related subjects. Crown 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- The Eclipse of Faith; or, a Visit to a Religious Sceptic.** By the same Author. Eleventh Edition. Fcp. 5s.
- Defence of the Eclipse of Faith,** by its Author. Third Edition. Fcp. 3s. 6d.
- Selections from the Correspondence of R. E. H. Greyson.** By the same Author. Third Edition. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Fulleriana, or the Wisdom and Wit of THOMAS FULLER,** with Essay on his Life and Genius. By the same Author. 16mo. 2s. 6d.
- Occasional Essays.** By CHANDOS WREN HOSKYNS, Author of 'Talpa, or the Chronicles of a Clay Farm,' &c. 16mo. 5s. 6d.
- An Essay on Human Nature;** showing the Necessity of a Divine Revelation for the Perfect Development of Man's Capacities. By HENRY S. BOASE, M.D. F.R.S. and G.S. 8vo. 12s.
- The Philosophy of Nature; a Systematic Treatise** on the Causes and Laws of Natural Phenomena. By the same Author. 8vo. 12s.
- The Secret of Hegel: being the Hegelian System** in Origin, Principle, Form, and Matter. By JAMES HUTCHISON STIRLING. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.
- An Introduction to Mental Philosophy,** on the Inductive Method. By J. D. MORELL, M.A. LL.D. 8vo. 12s.
- Elements of Psychology,** containing the Analysis of the Intellectual Powers. By the same Author. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Sight and Touch: an Attempt to Disprove the Received (or Berkeleian) Theory of Vision. By THOMAS K. ABBOTT, M.A. Fellow and Tutor of Trin. Coll. Dublin. 8vo. with 21 Woodcuts, 5s. 6d.

The Senses and the Intellect. By ALEXANDER BAIN, M.A. Prof. of Logic in the Univ. of Aberdeen. Second Edition. 8vo. 15s.

The Emotions and the Will, by the same Author. 8vo. 15s.

On the Study of Character, including an Estimate of Phrenology. By the same Author. 8vo. 9s.

Time and Space: a Metaphysical Essay. By SHADWORTH H. HODGSON. 8vo. pp. 588, price 16s.

The Way to Rest: Results from a Life-search after Religious Truth. By R. VAUGHAN, D.D. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Hours with the Mystics: a Contribution to the History of Religious Opinion. By ROBERT ALFRED VAUGHAN, B.A. Second Edition. 2 vols. crown 8vo. 12s.

The Philosophy of Necessity; or, Natural Law as applicable to Mental, Moral, and Social Science. By CHARLES BRAY. Second Edition. 8vo. 9s.

The Education of the Feelings and Affections. By the same Author. Third Edition. 8vo. 3s. 6d.

On Force, its Mental and Moral Correlates. By the same Author. 8vo. 5s.

Christianity and Common Sense. By Sir WILLOUGHBY JONES, Bart. M.A. Trin. Coll. Cantab. 8vo. 6s.

Astronomy, Meteorology, Popular Geography, &c.

Outlines of Astronomy. By Sir J. F. W. HERSCHEL, Bart, M.A. Eighth Edition, revised; with Plates and Woodcuts. 8vo. 18s.

Arago's Popular Astronomy. Translated by Admiral W. H. SMYTH, F.R.S. and R. GRANT, M.A. With 25 Plates and 358 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 8vo. £2 5s.

Saturn and its System. By RICHARD A. PROCTOR, B.A. late Scholar of St. John's Coll. Camb. and King's Coll. London. 8vo. with 14 Plates, 14s.

The Handbook of the Stars. By the same Author. Square fcp. 8vo. with 3 Maps. price 5s.

Celestial Objects for Common Telescopes. By T. W. WEBB, M.A. F.R.A.S. With Map of the Moon, and Woodcuts. 16mo. 7s.

A General Dictionary of Geography, Descriptive, Physical, Statistical, and Historical; forming a complete Gazetteer of the World. By A. KEITH JOHNSTON, F.R.S.E. 8vo. 31s. 6d.

M'Culloch's Dictionary, Geographical, Statistical, and Historical, of the various Countries, Places, and principal Natural Objects in the World. Revised Edition, with the Statistical Information throughout brought up to the latest returns. By FREDERICK MARTIN. 4 vols. 8vo. with coloured Maps, £4 4s.

A Manual of Geography, Physical, Industrial, and Political. By W. HUGHES, F.R.G.S. Prof. of Geog. in King's Coll. and in Queen's Coll. Lond. With 6 Maps. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

Hawaii: the Past, Present, and Future of its Island-Kingdom: an Historical Account of the Sandwich Islands. By MANLEY HOPKINS, Hawaiian Consul-General, &c. Second Edition, revised and continued; with Portrait, Map, and 8 other Illustrations. Post 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Maunder's Treasury of Geography, Physical, Historical, Descriptive, and Political. Edited by W. HUGHES, F.R.G.S. With 7 Maps and 16 Plates. Fcp. 10s. 6d.

Physical Geography for Schools and General Readers. By M. F. MAURY, LL.D. Fcp. with 2 Charts, 2s. 6d.

Natural History and Popular Science.

The Elements of Physics or Natural Philosophy. By NEIL ARNOTT, M.D. F.R.S. Physician Extraordinary to the Queen. Sixth Edition, rewritten and completed. 2 Parts, 8vo. 21s.

Volcanos, the Character of their Phenomena, their Share in the Structure and Composition of the Surface of the Globe, &c. By G. POULETT SCROPE, M.P. F.R.S. Second Edition. 8vo. with Illustrations, 15s.

Rocks Classified and Described.

By BERNHARD VON COTTA. An English Edition, by P. H. LAWRENCE (with English, German, and French Synonyms), revised by the Author. Post 8vo. 14s.

* * Lithology, or a Classified Synopsis of the Names of Rocks and Minerals, also by Mr. LAWRENCE, adapted to the above work, may be had, price 5s. or printed on one side only (interpagated blank), for use in Cabinets, price 7s.

Sound: a Course of Six Lectures delivered at the Royal Institution of Great Britain. By Professor JOHN TYNDALL, LL.D. F.R.S. 1 vol. crown 8vo.
[*Nearly ready.*]

Heat Considered as a Mode of Motion. By Professor JOHN TYNDALL, LL.D. F.R.S. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. with Woodcuts, 12s. 6d.

A Treatise on Electricity, in Theory and Practice. By A. DE LA RIVE, Prof. in the Academy of Geneva. Translated by C. V. WALKER, F.R.S. 3 vols. 8vo. with Woodcuts, £3 13s.

The Correlation of Physical Forces. By W. R. GROVE, Q.C. V.P.R.S. Fifth Edition, revised by the Author, and augmented by a Discourse on Continuity. 8vo.

Manual of Geology. By S. HAUGHTON, M.D. F.R.S. Fellow of Trin. Coll. and Prof. of Geol. in the Univ. of Dublin. Second Edition, with 66 Woodcuts. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

A Guide to Geology. By J. PHILLIPS, M.A. Prof. of Geol. in the Univ. of Oxford. Fifth Edition. Fcp. 4s.

A Glossary of Mineralogy. By H. W. BRISTOW, F.G.S. of the Geological Survey of Great Britain. With 486 Figures. Crown 8vo. 12s.

The Elements: an Investigation of the Forces which determine the Position and Movements of the Ocean and Atmosphere. By WILLIAM LEIGHTON JORDAN. VOL. I. royal 8vo. with 13 maps, price 8s.

Phillips's Elementary Introduction to Mineralogy, re-edited by H. J. BROOKE, F.R.S. and W. H. MILLER, F.G.S. Post 8vo. with Woodcuts, 18s.

Van Der Hoeven's Handbook of Zoology. Translated from the Second Dutch Edition by the Rev. W. CLARK, M.D. F.R.S. 2 vols. 8vo. with 24 Plates of Figures, 60s.

The Comparative Anatomy and

Physiology of the Vertebrate Animals. By RICHARD OWEN, F.R.S. D.C.L. 3 vols. 8vo. with upwards of 1,200 Woodcuts. VOLS. I. and II. price 21s. each, now ready. VOL. III. in the Spring.

The First Man and His Place in

Creation, considered on the Principles of Common Sense from a Christian Point of View; with an Appendix on the Negro. By GEORGE MOORE, M.D. M.R.C.P.L. &c. Post 8vo. 8s. 6d.

The Lake Dwellings of Switzer-

land and other Parts of Europe. By Dr. F. KELLER, President of the Antiquarian Association of Zürich. Translated and arranged by J. E. LEE, F.S.A. F.G.S. Author of 'Isca Silurum.' With several Woodcuts and nearly 100 Plates of Figures. Royal 8vo. 31s. 6d.

Homes without Hands: a Description

of the Habitations of Animals, classed according to their Principle of Construction. By Rev. J. G. WOOD, M.A. F.L.S. With about 140 Vignettes on Wood (20 full size of page). Second Edition. 8vo. 21s.

The Harmonies of Nature and

Unity of Creation. By Dr. G. HARTWIG, 8vo. with numerous Illustrations, 18s.

The Sea and its Living Wonders. By the same Author. Third Edition, enlarged. 8vo. with many Illustrations, 21s.

The Tropical World. By the same Author. With 8 Chromoxylographs and 172 Woodcuts. 8vo. 21s.

Manual of Corals and Sea Jellies.

By J. R. GREENE, B.A. Edited by J. A. GALBRAITH, M.A. and S. HAUGHTON, M.D. Fcp. with 39 Woodcuts, 5s.

Manual of Sponges and Animalculæ;

with a General Introduction on the Principles of Zoology. By the same Author and Editors. Fcp. with 16 Woodcuts, 2s.

Manual of the Metalloids. By J. APJOHN,

M.D. F.R.S. and the same Editors. 2nd Edition. Fcp. with 38 Woodcuts, 7s. 6d.

Sketches of the Natural History

of Ceylon. By Sir J. EMERSON TENNENT, K.C.S. LL.D. With 82 Wood Engravings. Post 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Ceylon. By the same Author. 5th Edition;

with Maps, &c. and 90 Wood Engravings. 2 vols. 8vo. £2 10s.

The Wild Elephant, its Structure and

Habits, with the Method of Taking and Training it in Ceylon. By the same Author. Fcp. 8vo. with Illustrations.

- A Familiar History of Birds.** By E. STANLEY, D.D. late Lord Bishop of Norwich. Fcp. with Woodcuts, 3s. 6d.
- Kirby and Spence's Introduction to Entomology, or Elements of the Natural History of Insects.** Crown 8vo. 5s.
- Maunder's Treasury of Natural History, or Popular Dictionary of Zoology.** Revised and corrected by T. S. COBBOLD, M.D. Fcp. with 900 Woodcuts, 10s.
- The Elements of Botany for Families and Schools.** Tenth Edition, revised by THOMAS MOORE, F.L.S. Fcp with 154 Woodcuts, 2s. 6d.
- The Treasury of Botany, or Popular Dictionary of the Vegetable Kingdom; with which is incorporated a Glossary of Botanical Terms.** Edited by J. LINDLEY, F.R.S. and T. MOORE, F.L.S. assisted by eminent Contributors. Pp. 1,274, with 274 Woodcuts and 20 Steel Plates. 2 Parts, fcp. 20s.
- The British Flora; comprising the Phænogamous or Flowering Plants and the Ferns.** By Sir W. J. HOOKER, K.H. and G. A. WALKER-ARNOTT, LL.D. 12mo. with 12 Plates, 14s. or coloured, 21s.
- The Rose Amateur's Guide.** By THOMAS RIVERS. New Edition. Fcp. 4s.
- The Indoor Gardener.** By Miss MALING. Fcp. with Frontispiece, 5s.
- Loudon's Encyclopædia of Plants; comprising the Specific Character, Description, Culture, History, &c. of all the Plants found in Great Britain.** With upwards of 12,000 Woodcuts. 8vo. 42s.
- Loudon's Encyclopædia of Trees and Shrubs; containing the Hardy Trees and Shrubs of Great Britain scientifically and popularly described.** With 2,000 Woodcuts. 8vo. 50s.
- Bryologia Britannica; containing the Mosses of Great Britain and Ireland, arranged and described.** By W. WILSON. 8vo. with 61 Plates, 42s. or coloured, £4 4s.
- Maunder's Scientific and Literary Treasury; a Popular Encyclopædia of Science, Literature, and Art.** New Edition, thoroughly revised and in great part rewritten, with above 1,000 new articles, by J. Y. JOHNSON, Corr. M.Z.S. Fcp. 10s. 6d.
- A Dictionary of Science, Literature, and Art.** Fourth Edition, re-edited by the late W. T. BRANDE (the Author) and GEORGE W. COX, M.A. 3 vols. medium 8vo. price 63s. cloth.
- Essays on Scientific and other subjects, contributed to Reviews.** By Sir H. HOLLAND, Bart. M.D. Second Edition. 8vo. 14s.
- Essays from the Edinburgh and Quarterly Reviews; with Addresses and other Pieces.** By Sir J. F. W. HERSCHEL, Bart. M.A. 8vo. 18s.

Chemistry, Medicine, Surgery, and the Allied Sciences.

- A Dictionary of Chemistry and the Allied Branches of other Sciences.** By HENRY WATTS, F.C.S. assisted by eminent Contributors. 5 vols. medium 8vo. in course of publication in Parts. VOL. I. 31s. 6d. VOL. II. 26s. VOL. III. 31s. 6d. and VOL. IV. 24s. are now ready.
- A Handbook of Volumetrical Analysis.** By ROBERT H. SCOTT, M.A. T.C.D. Post 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- Elements of Chemistry, Theoretical and Practical.** By WILLIAM A. MILLER, M.D. LL.D. F.R.S. F.G.S. Professor of Chemistry, King's College, London. 3 vols. 8vo. £2 13s. PART I. CHEMICAL PHYSICS, Third Edition, 12s. PART II. INORGANIC CHEMISTRY, 21s. PART III. ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, Third Edition, 24s.
- A Manual of Chemistry, Descriptive and Theoretical.** By WILLIAM ODLING, M.B. F.R.S. PART I. 8vo. 9s.
- A Course of Practical Chemistry, for the use of Medical Students.** By the same Author. Second Edition, with 70 new Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Lectures on Animal Chemistry Delivered at the Royal College of Physicians in 1865.** By the same Author. Crown 8vo. 4s. 6d.
- The Toxicologist's Guide: a New Manual on Poisons, giving the Best Methods to be pursued for the Detection of Poisons.** By J. HORSLEY, F.C.S. Analytical Chemist. Post 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Arts, Manufactures, &c.

- Drawing from Nature**; a Series of Progressive Instructions in Sketching, from Elementary Studies to Finished Views, with Examples from Switzerland and the Pyrenees. By GEORGE BARNARD, Professor of Drawing at Rugby School. With 18 Lithographic Plates and 108 Wood Engravings. Imp. 8vo. 25s.
- Gwilt's Encyclopædia of Architecture.** New Edition, revised, with alterations and considerable Additions, by WYATT PAPWORTH. With above 350 New Engravings and Diagrams on Wood by O. JEWITT, and upwards of 100 other Woodcuts. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]
- Tuscan Sculptors, their Lives, Works, and Times.** With 45 Etchings and 28 Woodcuts from Original Drawings and Photographs. By CHARLES C. PERKINS. 2 vols. imp. 8vo. 63s.
- The Grammar of Heraldry:** containing a Description of all the Principal Charges used in Armory, the Signification of Heraldic Terms, and the Rules to be observed in Blazoning and Marshalling. By JOHN E. CUSSANS. Fcp. with 196 Woodcuts, 4s. 6d.
- The Engineer's Handbook;** explaining the Principles which should guide the young Engineer in the Construction of Machinery. By C. S. LOWNDES. Post 8vo. 5s.
- The Elements of Mechanism.** By T. M. GOODEVE, M.A. Prof. of Mechanics at the R. M. Acad. Woolwich. Second Edition, with 217 Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 6s. 6d.
- Ure's Dictionary of Arts, Manufactures, and Mines.** Re-written and enlarged by ROBERT HUNT, F.R.S., assisted by numerous Contributors eminent in Science and the Arts. With 2,000 Woodcuts. 3 vols. 8vo. [Nearly ready.]
- Treatise on Mills and Millwork.** By W. FAIRBAIRN, C.E. F.R.S. With 18 Plates and 322 Woodcuts. 2 vols. 8vo. 32s.
- Useful Information for Engineers.** By the same Author. FIRST, SECOND, and THIRD SERIES, with many Plates and Woodcuts. 3 vols. crown 8vo. 10s. 6d. each.
- The Application of Cast and Wrought Iron to Building Purposes.** By the same Author. Third Edition, with 6 Plates and 118 Woodcuts. 8vo. 16s.
- Iron Ship Building, its History and Progress,** as comprised in a Series of Experimental Researches on the Laws of Strain; the Strengths, Forms, and other conditions of the Material; and an Inquiry into the Present and Prospective State of the Navy, including the Experimental Results on the Resisting Powers of Armour Plates and Shot at High Velocities. By W. FAIRBAIRN, C.E. F.R.S. With 4 Plates and 130 Woodcuts, 8vo. 18s.
- Encyclopædia of Civil Engineering,** Historical, Theoretical, and Practical. By E. CRESY, C.E. With above 3,000 Woodcuts. 8vo. 42s.
- The Practical Mechanic's Journal:** An Illustrated Record of Mechanical and Engineering Science, and Epitome of Patent Inventions. 4to. price 1s. monthly.
- The Practical Draughtsman's Book of Industrial Design.** By W. JOHNSON, Assoc. Inst. C.E. With many hundred Illustrations. 4to. 28s. 6d.
- The Patentee's Manual:** a Treatise on the Law and Practice of Letters Patent for the use of Patentees and Inventors. By J. and J. H. JOHNSON. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- The Artisan Club's Treatise on the Steam Engine,** in its various Applications to Mines, Mills, Steam Navigation, Railways, and Agriculture. By J. BOURNE, C.E. Seventh Edition; with 37 Plates and 546 Woodcuts. 4to. 42s.
- A Treatise on the Screw Propeller,** Screw Vessels, and Screw Engines, as adapted for purposes of Peace and War; illustrated by many Plates and Woodcuts. By the same Author. New and enlarged Edition in course of publication in 24 Parts, royal 4to. 2s. 6d. each.
- Catechism of the Steam Engine,** in its various Applications to Mines, Mills, Steam Navigation, Railways, and Agriculture. By J. BOURNE, C.E. With 199 Woodcuts. Fcp. 9s. The INTRODUCTION of 'Recent Improvements' may be had separately, with 110 Woodcuts, price 3s. 6d.
- Handbook of the Steam Engine,** by the same Author, forming a KEY to the Catechism of the Steam Engine, with 67 Woodcuts. Fcp. 9s.

The Art of Perfumery ; the History and Theory of Odours, and the Methods of Extracting the Aromas of Plants. By Dr. PIESSE, F.C.S. Third Edition, with 53 Woodcuts. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Chemical, Natural, and Physical Magic, for Juveniles during the Holidays. By the same Author. Third Edition, enlarged with 38 Woodcuts. Fcp. 6s.

Talpa ; or, the Chronicles of a Clay Farm. By C. W. HOSKYNs, Esq. With 24 Woodcuts from Designs by G. CRUIK-SHANK. Sixth Edition. 16mo. 5s. 6d.

History of Windsor Great Park and Windsor Forest. By WILLIAM MENZIES, Resident Deputy Surveyor. With 2 Maps and 20 Photographs. Imp. folio, £8 8s.

Loudon's Encyclopædia of Agriculture: Comprising the Laying-out, Improvement, and Management of Landed Property, and the Cultivation and Economy of the Productions of Agriculture. With 1,100 Woodcuts. 8vo. 31s. 6d.

Loudon's Encyclopædia of Gardening: Comprising the Theory and Practice of Horticulture, Floriculture, Arboriculture, and Landscape Gardening. With 1,000 Woodcuts. 8vo. 31s. 6d.

Loudon's Encyclopædia of Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture and Furniture. With more than 2,000 Woodcuts. 8vo. 42s.

Bayldon's Art of Valuing Rents and Tillages, and Claims of Tenants upon Quitting Farms, both at Michaelmas and Lady-Day. Eighth Edition, revised by J. C. MORTON. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

Religious and Moral Works.

An Exposition of the 39 Articles, Historical and Doctrinal. By E. HAROLD BROWNE, D.D. Lord Bishop of Ely. Seventh Edition. 8vo. 16s.

The Pentateuch and the Elohistie Psalms, in Reply to Bishop Colenso. By the same. Second Edition. 8vo. 2s.

Examination-Questions on Bishop Browne's Exposition of the Articles. By the Rev. J. GORLE, M.A. Fcp. 3s. 6d.

The Acts of the Apostles ; with a Commentary, and Practical and Devotional Suggestions for Readers and Students of the English Bible. By the Rev. F. C. COOK, M.A., Canon of Exeter, &c. New Edition, 8vo. 12s. 6d.

The Life and Epistles of St. Paul. By W. J. CONYBEARE, M.A. late Fellow of Trin. Coll. Cantab. and J. S. HOWSON, D.D. Principal of Liverpool Coll.

LIBRARY EDITION, with all the Original Illustrations, Maps, Landscapes on Steel, Woodcuts, &c. 2 vols. 4to. 48s.

INTERMEDIATE EDITION, with a Selection of Maps, Plates, and Woodcuts. 2 vols. square crown 8vo. 31s. 6d.

PEOPLE'S EDITION, revised and condensed, with 46 Illustrations and Maps. 2 vols. crown 8vo. 12s.

The Voyage and Shipwreck of St. Paul ; with Dissertations on the Ships and Navigation of the Ancients. By JAMES SMITH, F.R.S. Crown 8vo. Charts, 10s. 6d.

Fasti Sacri, or a Key to the Chronology of the New Testament ; comprising an Historical Harmony of the Four Gospels, and Chronological Tables generally from B.C. 70 to A.D. 70 ; with a Preliminary Dissertation and other Aids. By THOMAS LEWIN, M.A. F.S.A. Imp. 8vo. 42s.

A Critical and Grammatical Commentary on St. Paul's Epistles. By C. J. ELLICOTT, D.D. Lord Bishop of Gloucester and Bristol. 8vo.

Galatians, Third Edition, 8s. 6d.

Ephesians, Third Edition, 8s. 6d.

Pastoral Epistles, Third Edition, 10s. 6d.

Philippians, Colossians, and Philemon, Third Edition, 10s. 6d.

Thessalonians, Second Edition, 7s. 6d.

Historical Lectures on the Life of Our Lord Jesus Christ : being the Hulsean Lectures for 1859. By the same Author. Fourth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

The Destiny of the Creature ; and other Sermons preached before the University of Cambridge. By the same. Post 8vo. 5s.

The Broad and the Narrow Way ; Two Sermons preached before the University of Cambridge. By the same. Crown 8vo. 2s.

The Greek Testament ; with Notes, Grammatical and Exegetical. By the Rev. W. WEBSTER, M.A. and the Rev. W. F. WILKINSON, M.A. 2 vols. 8vo. £2 4s.

VOL. I. the Gospels and Acts, 20s.

VOL. II. the Epistles and Apocalypse, 24s.

- Rev. T. H. Horne's Introduction** to the Critical Study and Knowledge of the Holy Scriptures. Eleventh Edition, corrected, and extended under careful Editorial revision. With 4 Maps and 22 Woodcuts and Facsimiles. 4 vols. 8vo. £3 13s. 6d.
- Rev. T. H. Horne's Compendious Introduction** to the Study of the Bible, being an Analysis of the larger work by the same Author. Re-edited by the Rev. JOHN AYRE, M.A. With Maps, &c. Post 8vo. 9s.
- The Treasury of Bible Knowledge;** being a Dictionary of the Books, Persons, Places, Events, and other Matters of which mention is made in Holy Scripture; intended to establish its Authority and illustrate its Contents. By Rev. J. AYRE, M.A. With Maps, 15 Plates, and numerous Woodcuts. Fcp. 10s. 6d.
- Every-day Scripture Difficulties** explained and illustrated. By J. E. PRESCOTT, M.A. VOL. I. *Matthew* and *Mark*; VOL. II. *Luke* and *John*. 2 vols. 8vo. 9s. each.
- The Pentateuch and Book of Joshua** Critically Examined. By the Right Rev. J. W. COLENSO, D.D. Lord Bishop of Natal. People's Edition, in 1 vol. crown 8vo. 6s. or in 5 Parts, 1s. each.
- The Pentateuch and Book of Joshua** Critically Examined. By Prof. A. KUENEN, of Leyden. Translated from the Dutch, and edited with Notes, by the Right Rev. J. W. COLENSO, D.D. Bishop of Natal. 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- The Church and the World: Essays** on Questions of the Day. By various Writers. Edited by Rev. ORBY SHIPLEY, M.A. Second Edition, revised. 8vo. 15s.
- The Formation of Christendom.** PART I. By T. W. ALLIES. 8vo. 12s.
- Christendom's Divisions; a Philosophical Sketch** of the Divisions of the Christian Family in East and West. By EDMUND S. FFOULKES, formerly Fellow and Tutor of Jesus Coll. Oxford. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Christendom's Divisions, Part II.** *Greeks and Latins*, being a History of their Dissentions and Overtures for Peace down to the Reformation. By the same Author. [Nearly ready.]
- The Life of Christ**, an Eclectic Gospel, from the Old and New Testaments, arranged on a New Principle, with Analytical Tables, &c. By CHARLES DE LA PRYME, M.A. Revised Edition. 8vo. 5s.
- The Hidden Wisdom of Christ** and the Key of Knowledge; or, History of the Apocrypha. By ERNEST DE BUNSEN. 2 vols. 8vo. 28s.
- The Temporal Mission of the Holy Ghost;** or, Reason and Revelation. By the Most Rev. Archbishop MANNING. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. 8s. 6d.
- Essays on Religion and Literature.** Edited by the Most Rev. Archbishop MANNING. 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Essays and Reviews.** By the Rev. W. TEMPLE, D.D. the Rev. R. WILLIAMS, B.D. the Rev. B. POWELL, M.A. the Rev. H. B. WILSON, B.D. C. W. GOODWIN, M.A. the Rev. M. PATTISON, B.D. and the Rev. B. JOWETT, M.A. 12th Edition. Fcp. 5s.
- Mosheim's Ecclesiastical History.** MURDOCK and SOAMES's Translation and Notes, re-edited by the Rev. W. STUBBS, M.A. 3 vols. 8vo. 45s.
- Bishop Jeremy Taylor's Entire Works:** With Life by BISHOP HEBER. Revised and corrected by the Rev. C. P. EDEN, 10 vols. £5 5s.
- Passing Thoughts on Religion.** By the Author of 'Amy Herbert.' New Edition. Fcp. 5s.
- Thoughts for the Holy Week,** for Young Persons. By the same Author. Third Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 2s.
- Self-examination before Confirmation.** By the same Author. 32mo. 1s. 6d.
- Readings for a Month Preparatory to Confirmation** from Writers of the Early and English Church. By the same. Fcp. 4s.
- Readings for Every Day in Lent,** compiled from the Writings of Bishop JEREMY TAYLOR. By the same. Fcp. 5s.
- Preparation for the Holy Communion;** the Devotions chiefly from the works of JEREMY TAYLOR. By the same. 32mo. 3s.
- Principles of Education drawn** from Nature and Revelation, and Applied to Female Education in the Upper Classes. By the same. 2 vols. fcp. 12s. 6d.
- The Wife's Manual;** or, Prayers, Thoughts, and Songs on Several Occasions of a Matron's Life. By the Rev. W. CALVERT, M.A. Crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.
- Lyra Domestica; Christian Songs** for Domestic Edification. Translated from the *Psaltery and Harp* of C. J. P. SPITTA, and from other sources, by RICHARD MASSIE. FIRST and SECOND SERIES, fcp. 4s. 6d. each.

Spiritual Songs for the Sundays and Holidays throughout the Year. By J. S. B. MONSELL, LL.D. Vicar of Egham. Fourth Edition. Fcp. 4s. 6d.

The Beatitudes: Abasement before God: Sorrow for Sin; Meekness of Spirit; Desire for Holiness; Gentleness; Purity of Heart; the Peace-makers; Sufferings for Christ. By the same. Third Edition. Fcp. 3s. 6d.

Lyra Sacra; Hymns, Ancient and Modern, Odes, and Fragments of Sacred Poetry. Edited by the Rev. B. W. SAVILE, M.A. Third Edition, enlarged. Fcp. 5s.

Lyra Germanica, translated from the German by Miss C. WINKWORTH. FIRST SERIES, Hymns for the Sundays and Chief Festivals; SECOND SERIES, the Christian Life. Fcp. 3s. 6d. each SERIES.

Hymns from Lyra Germanica, 18mo. 1s.

The Chorale Book for England; a complete Hymn-Book in accordance with the Services and Festivals of the Church of England: the Hymns translated by Miss C. WINKWORTH; the Tunes arranged by Prof. W. S. BENNETT and OTTO GOLDSCHMIDT. Fcp. 4to. 12s. 6d.

Congregational Edition. Fcp. 2s.

Lyra Eucharistica; Hymns and Verses on the Holy Communion, Ancient and Modern; with other Poems. Edited by the Rev. ORBY SHIPLEY, M.A. Second Edition. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

Lyra Messianica; Hymns and Verses on the Life of Christ, Ancient and Modern; with other Poems. By the same Editor. Second Edition, enlarged. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

Lyra Mystica; Hymns and Verses on Sacred Subjects, Ancient and Modern. By the same Editor. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

The Catholic Doctrine of the Atonement; an Historical Inquiry into its Development in the Church: with an Introduction on the Principle of Theological Developments. By H. N. OXENHAM, M.A. formerly Scholar of Balliol College, Oxford. 8vo. 8s. 6d.

From Sunday to Sunday; an Attempt to consider familiarly the Weekday Life and Labours of a Country Clergyman. By R. GEE, M.A. Fcp. 5s.

Our Sermons: an Attempt to consider familiarly, but reverently, the Preacher's Work in the present day. By the same Author. Fcp. 6s.

Paley's Moral Philosophy, with Annotations. By RICHARD WHATELY, D.D. late Archbishop of Dublin. 8vo. 7s.

Travels, Voyages, &c.

Ice Caves of France and Switzerland; a narrative of Subterranean Exploration. By the Rev. G. F. BROWNE, M.A. Fellow and Assistant-Tutor of St. Catherine's Coll. Cambridge, M.A.C. With 11 Woodcuts. Square crown 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Village Life in Switzerland. By SOPHIA D. DELMARD. Post 8vo. 9s. 6d.

How we Spent the Summer; or, a Voyage en Zigzag in Switzerland and Tyrol with some Members of the ALPINE CLUB. From the Sketch-Book of one of the Party. Third Edition, re-drawn. In oblong 4to. with about 300 Illustrations, 15s.

Beaten Tracks; or, Pen and Pencil Sketches in Italy. By the Authoress of 'A Voyage en Zigzag.' With 42 Plates, containing about 200 Sketches from Drawings made on the Spot. 8vo. 16s.

Map of the Chain of Mont Blanc, from an actual Survey in 1863—1864. By A. ADAMS-REILLY, F.R.G.S. M.A.C. Published under the Authority of the Alpine Club. In Chromolithography on extra stout drawing-paper 28in. x 17in. price 10s. or mounted on canvas in a folding case, 12s. 6d.

Transylvania, its Products and its People. By CHARLES BONER. With 5 Maps and 43 Illustrations on Wood and in Chromolithography. 8vo. 21s.

Explorations in South-west Africa, from Walvisch Bay to Lake Ngami and the Victoria Falls. By THOMAS BAINES, F.R.G.S. 8vo. with Maps and Illustrations, 21s.

Vancouver Island and British Columbia; their History, Resources, and Prospects. By MATTHEW MACFIE, F.R.G.S. With Maps and Illustrations. 8vo. 18s.

- History of Discovery in our Australasian Colonies, Australia, Tasmania, and New Zealand, from the Earliest Date to the Present Day.** By WILLIAM HOWITT. With 3 Maps of the Recent Explorations from Official Sources. 2 vols. 8vo. 20s.
- The Capital of the Tycoon; a Narrative of a 3 Years' Residence in Japan.** By Sir RUTHERFORD ALCOCK, K.C.B. 2 vols. 8vo. with numerous Illustrations, 42s.
- Florence, the New Capital of Italy.** By C. R. WELD. With several Engravings on Wood, from Drawings by the Author. Post 8vo.
- The Dolomite Mountains.** Excursions through Tyrol, Carinthia, Carniola, and Friuli in 1861, 1862, and 1863. By J. GILBERT and G. C. CHURCHILL, F.R.G.S. With numerous Illustrations. Square crown 8vo. 21s.
- A Lady's Tour Round Monte Rosa;** including Visits to the Italian Valleys. With Map and Illustrations. Post 8vo. 14s.
- Guide to the Pyrenees,** for the use of Mountaineers. By CHARLES PACKE. With Maps, &c. and Appendix. Fcp. 6s.
- A Guide to Spain.** By H. O'SHEA. Post 8vo. with Travelling Map, 15s.
- Christopher Columbus; his Life, Voyages, and Discoveries.** Revised Edition, with 4 Woodcuts. 18mo. 2s. 6d.
- Captain James Cook; his Life, Voyages, and Discoveries.** Revised Edition, with numerous Woodcuts. 18mo. 2s. 6d.
- The Alpine Guide.** By JOHN BALL, M.R.I.A. late President of the Alpine Club. Post 8vo. with Maps and other Illustrations.
- Guide to the Eastern Alps.** [Just ready.]
- Guide to the Western Alps,** including Mont Blanc, Monte Rosa, Zermatt, &c. price 7s. 6d.
- Guide to the Oberland and all Switzerland,** excepting the Neighbourhood of Monte Rosa and the Great St. Bernard; with Lombardy and the adjoining portion of Tyrol. 7s. 6d.
- Humboldt's Travels and Discoveries in South America.** Third Edition, with numerous Woodcuts. 18mo. 2s. 6d.
- Narratives of Shipwrecks of the Royal Navy between 1793 and 1857,** compiled from Official Documents in the Admiralty by W. O. S. GILLY; with a Preface by W. S. GILLY, D.D. 3d Edition, fcp. 5s.
- A Week at the Land's End.** By J. T. BLIGHT; assisted by E. H. RODD, R. Q. COUCH, and J. RALFS. With Map and 96 Woodcuts. Fcp. 6s. 6d.
- Visits to Remarkable Places:** Old Halls, Battle-Fields, and Scenes illustrative of Striking Passages in English History and Poetry. By WILLIAM HOWITT. 2 vols. square crown 8vo. with Wood Engravings, 25s.
- The Rural Life of England.** By the same Author. With Woodcuts by Bewick and Williams. Medium 8vo. 12s. 6d.

Works of Fiction.

- Atherstone Priory.** By L. N. COMYN. 2 vols. post 8vo. 21s.
- Ellice: a Tale.** By the same. Post 8vo. 9s. 6d.
- Stories and Tales by the Author** of 'Amy Herbert,' uniform Edition, each Tale or Story complete in a single volume.
- | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| AMY HERBERT, 2s. 6d. | KATHARINE ASHTON, 3s. 6d. |
| GERTRUDE, 2s. 6d. | MARGARET PERCIVAL, 5s. |
| EARL'S DAUGHTER, 2s. 6d. | LANETON PARSON-AGE, 4s. 6d. |
| EXPERIENCE OF LIFE, 2s. 6d. | URSULA, 4s. 6d. |
| CLEVE HALL, 3s. 6d. | |
| IVORS, 3s. 6d. | |
- A Glimpse of the World.** By the Author of 'Amy Herbert.' Fcp. 7s. 6d.
- The Six Sisters of the Valleys:** an Historical Romance. By W. BRAMLEY-MOORE, M.A. Incumbent of Gerrard's Cross, Bucks. Fourth Edition, with 14 Illustrations. Crown 8vo. 5s.
- Gallus; or, Roman Scenes of the Time of Augustus:** with Notes and Excursuses illustrative of the Manners and Customs of the Ancient Romans. From the German of Prof. BECKER. New Edit. Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.
- Charicles; a Tale illustrative of Private Life among the Ancient Greeks:** with Notes and Excursuses. From the German of Prof. BECKER. New Edition, Post 8vo. 7s. 6d.

Icelandic Legends. Collected by JON. ARNASON. Selected and Translated from the Icelandic by GEORGE E. J. POWELL and E. MAGNUSSON. SECOND SERIES, with Notes and an Introductory Essay on the Origin and Genius of the Icelandic Folk-Lore, and 3 Illustrations on Wood. Crown 8vo. 21s.

The Warden : a Novel. By ANTHONY TROLLOPE, Crown 8vo. 2s. 6d.

Barchester Towers : a Sequel to 'The Warden.' By the same Author. Crown 8vo. 3s. 6d.

Tales from Greek Mythology. By GEORGE W. COX, M.A. late Scholar of Trin. Coll. Oxon. Second Edition. Square 16mo. 3s. 6d.

Tales of the Gods and Heroes. By the same Author. Second Edition. Fcp. 5s.

Tales of Thebes and Argos. By the same Author. Fcp. 4s. 6d.

The Gladiators : a Tale of Rome and Judæa. By G. J. WHYTE MELVILLE. Crown 8vo. 5s.

Digby Grand, an Autobiography. By the same Author. 1 vol. 5s.

Kate Coventry, an Autobiography. By the same. 1 vol. 5s.

General Bounce, or the Lady and the Locusts. By the same. 1 vol. 5s.

Holmby House, a Tale of Old Northamptonshire. 1 vol. 5s.

Good for Nothing, or All Down Hill. By the same. 1 vol. 6s.

The Queen's Maries, a Romance of Holyrood. By the same. 1 vol. 6s.

The Interpreter, a Tale of the War. By the same Author. 1 vol. 5s.

Poetry and The Drama.

Goethe's Second Faust. Translated by JOHN ANSTER, LL.D. M.R.I.A. Regius Professor of Civil Law in the University of Dublin. Post 8vo. 15s.

Tasso's Jerusalem Delivered, translated into English Verse by Sir J. KINGSTON JAMES, Kt. M.A. 2 vols. fcp. with Facsimile, 14s.

Poetical Works of John Edmund Reade ; with final Revision and Additions. 3 vols. fcp. 18s. or each vol. separately, 6s.

Moore's Poetical Works, Cheapest Editions complete in 1 vol. including the Autobiographical Prefaces and Author's last Notes, which are still copyright. Crown 8vo. ruby type, with Portrait, 6s. or People's Edition, in larger type, 12s. 6d.

Moore's Poetical Works, as above, Library Edition, medium 8vo. with Portrait and Vignette, 14s. or in 10 vols. fcp. 3s. 6d. each.

Moore's Lalla Rookh, Tenniel's Edition, with 68 Wood Engravings from Original Drawings and other Illustrations. Fcp. 4to. 21s.

Moore's Irish Melodies, Maclise's Edition, with 161 Steel Plates from Original Drawings. Super-royal 8vo. 31s. 6d.

Miniature Edition of Moore's Irish Melodies, with Maclise's Illustrations, (as above) reduced in Lithography. Imp. 16mo. 10s. 6d.

Southey's Poetical Works, with the Author's last Corrections and copyright Additions. Library Edition, in 1 vol. medium 8vo. with Portrait and Vignette, 14s. or in 10 vols. fcp. 3s. 6d. each.

Lays of Ancient Rome ; with *Ivry* and the *Armada*. By the Right Hon. LORD MACAULAY. 16mo. 4s. 6d.

Lord Macaulay's Lays of Ancient Rome. With 90 Illustrations on Wood, Original and from the Antique, from Drawings by G. SCHARF. Fcp. 4to. 21s.

Miniature Edition of Lord Macaulay's Lays of Ancient Rome, with Scharf's Illustrations (as above) reduced in Lithography. Imp. 16mo. 10s. 6d.

Poems. By JEAN INGELOW. Twelfth Edition. Fcp. 8vo. 5s.

Poems by Jean Ingelow. A New Edition, with nearly 100 Illustrations by Eminent Artists, engraved on Wood by the Brothers DALZIEL. Fcp. 4to. 21s.

Poetical Works of Letitia Elizabeth Landon (L.E.L.) 2 vols. 16mo. 10s.

Playtime with the Poets : a Selection of the best English Poetry for the use of Children. By a LADY. Crown 8vo. 5s.

- Bowdler's Family Shakspeare**, cheaper Genuine Edition, complete in 1 vol. large type, with 36 Woodcut Illustrations, price 14s. or, with the same ILLUSTRATIONS, in 6 pocket vols. 3s. 6d. each.
- Arundines Cami**, sive Musarum Cantabrigiensium Lusur Canori. Collegit atque edidit H. DRURY. M.A. Editio Sexta, curavit H. J. HODGSON, M.A. Crown 8vo. price 7s. 6d.
- The Æneid of Virgil** Translated into English Verse. By JOHN CONINGTON, M.A. Corpus Professor of Latin in the University of Oxford. Crown 8vo. 9s.
- The Iliad of Homer Translated** into Blank Verse. By ICHABOD CHARLES WRIGHT, M.A. late Fellow of Magdalen Coll. Oxon. 2 vols. crown 8vo. 21s.
- The Iliad of Homer in English** Hexameter Verse. By J. HENRY DART, M.A. of Exeter College, Oxford; Author of 'The Exile of St. Helena, Newdigate, 1838.' Square crown 8vo. price 21s. cloth.
- Dante's Divine Comedy**, translated in English Terza Rima by JOHN DAYMAN, M.A. [With the Italian Text, after Brunetti, interpaged.] 8vo. 21s.

Rural Sports, &c.

- Encyclopædia of Rural Sports**; a Complete Account, Historical, Practical, and Descriptive, of Hunting, Shooting, Fishing, Racing, &c. By D. P. BLAINE. With above 600 Woodcuts (20 from Designs by JOHN LEECH). 8vo. 42s.
- Notes on Rifle Shooting**. By Captain HEATON, Adjutant of the Third Manchester Rifle Volunteer Corps. Fcp. 2s. 6d.
- Col. Hawker's Instructions** to Young Sportsmen in all that relates to Guns and Shooting. Revised by the Author's Son. Square crown 8vo. with Illustrations. 18s.
- The Rifle, its Theory and Practice**. By ARTHUR WALKER (79th Highlanders), Staff, Hythe and Fleetwood Schools of Musketry. Second Edition. Crown 8vo. with 125 Woodcuts, 5s.
- The Dead Shot, or Sportsman's Complete Guide**; a Treatise on the Use of the Gun, Dog-breaking, Pigeon-shooting, &c. By MARKSMAN. Fcp. with Plates, 5s.
- Hints on Shooting, Fishing, &c.** both on Sea and Land and in the Fresh and Saltwater Lochs of Scotland. By C. IDLE, Esq. Second Edition. Fcp. 6s.
- A Book on Angling**: being a Complete Work on every branch of Angling practised in Great Britain. By FRANCIS FRANCIS. With numerous Explanatory Plates, coloured and plain, and the largest and most reliable List of Salmon Flies ever published. Post 8vo.
- The Art of Fishing on the Principle of Avoiding Cruelty**: being a brief Treatise on the Most Merciful Methods of Capturing Fish; describing certain approved Rules in Fishing, used during 60 Years' Practice. By the Rev. O. RAYMOND, LL.B. Fcp. 8vo.
- Handbook of Angling**: Teaching Fly-fishing, Trolling, Bottom-fishing, Salmon-fishing; with the Natural History of River Fish, and the best modes of Catching them. By EPHEMERA. Fcp. Woodcuts, 5s.
- The Fly-Fisher's Entomology**. By ALFRED RONALDS. With coloured Representations of the Natural and Artificial Insect. Sixth Edition; with 20 coloured Plates. 8vo. 14s.
- The Cricket Field**; or, the History and the Science of the Game of Cricket. By JAMES PYCROFT, B.A. 4th Edition. Fcp. 5s.
- The Cricket Tutor**; a Treatise exclusively Practical. By the same. 18mo. 1s.
- Cricketana**. By the same Author. With 7 Portraits of Cricketers. Fcp. 5s.
- Youatt on the Horse**. Revised and enlarged by W. WATSON, M.R.C.V.S. 8vo. with numerous Woodcuts, 12s. 6d.
- Youatt on the Dog**. (By the same Author.) 8vo. with numerous Woodcuts, 6s.
- The Horse-Trainer's and Sportsman's Guide**: with Considerations on the Duties of Grooms, on Purchasing Blood Stock, and on Veterinary Examination. By DIGBY COLLINS. Post 8vo. 6s.
- Blaine's Veterinary Art**: a Treatise on the Anatomy, Physiology, and Curative Treatment of the Diseases of the Horse, Neat Cattle, and Sheep. Seventh Edition, revised and enlarged by C. STEEL, M.R.C.V.S.L. 8vo. with Plates and Woodcuts, 18s.
- On Drill and Manœuvres of Cavalry**, combined with Horse Artillery. By Major-Gen. MICHAEL W. SMITH, C.B. commanding the Poonah Division of the Bombay Army. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

The Horse's Foot, and how to keep it Sound. By W. MILES, Esq. 9th Edition, with Illustrations. Imp. 8vo. 12s. 6d.

A Plain Treatise on Horse-shoeing. By the same Author. Post 8vo. with Illustrations, 2s. 6d.

Stables and Stable Fittings. By the same. Imp. 8vo. with 13 Plates, 15s.

Remarks on Horses' Teeth, addressed to Purchasers. By the same. Post 8vo. 1s. 6d.

The Dog in Health and Disease. By STONEHENGE. With 70 Wood Engravings. New Edition. Square crown 8vo. 10s. 6d.

The Greyhound. By the same Author. Revised Edition, with 24 Portraits of Greyhounds. Square crown 8vo. 21s.

The Ox, his Diseases and their Treatment; with an Essay on Parturition in the Cow. By J. R. DOBSON, M.R.C.V.S. Crown 8vo. with Illustrations, 7s. 6d.

Commerce, Navigation, and Mercantile Affairs.

The Commercial Handbook of France; Furnishing a detailed and comprehensive account of the Trade, Manufactures, Industry, and Commerce of France at the Present Time. By FREDERICK MARTIN. With Maps and Plans, including a Coloured Map showing the Seats of the Principal Industries. Crown 8vo.

Banking, Currency, and the Exchanges: a Practical Treatise. By ARTHUR CRUMP, Bank Manager, formerly of the Bank of England. Post 8vo. 6s.

The Theory and Practice of Banking. By HENRY DUNNING MACLEOD, M.A. Barrister-at-Law. Second Edition, entirely remodelled. 2 vols. 8vo. 30s.

A Dictionary, Practical, Theoretical, and Historical, of Commerce and Commercial Navigation. By J. R. M'CU-LOCH. New Edition in preparation.

Practical Guide for British Ship-masters to United States Ports. By PIERRE-REPONT EDWARDS, Her Britannic Majesty's Vice-Consul at New York. Post 8vo. 8s. 6d.

A Manual for Naval Cadets. By J. M'NEIL BOYD, late Captain R.N. Third Edition; with 240 Woodcuts, and 11 coloured Plates. Post 8vo. 12s. 6d.

The Law of Nations Considered as Independent Political Communities. By TRAVERS TWISS, D.C.L. Regius Professor of Civil Law in the University of Oxford. 2 vols. 8vo. 30s. or separately, PART I. *Peace*, 12s. PART II. *War*, 18s.

A Nautical Dictionary, defining the Technical Language relative to the Building and Equipment of Sailing Vessels and Steamers, &c. By ARTHUR YOUNG. Second Edition; with Plates and 150 Woodcuts. 8vo. 18s.

Works of Utility and General Information.

Modern Cookery for Private Families, reduced to a System of Easy Practice in a Series of carefully-tested Receipts. By ELIZA ACTON. Newly revised and enlarged; with 8 Plates, Figures, and 150 Woodcuts. Fcp. 7s. 6d.

On Food and its Digestion; an Introduction to Dietetics. By W. BRINTON, M.D. Physician to St. Thomas's Hospital, &c. With 48 Woodcuts. Post 8vo. 12s.

Wine, the Vine, and the Cellar. By THOMAS G. SHAW. Second Edition, revised and enlarged, with Frontispiece and 31 Illustrations on Wood. 8vo. 16s.

A Practical Treatise on Brewing; with Formulæ for Public Brewers, and Instructions for Private Families. By W. BLACK. Fifth Edition. 8vo. 10s. 6d.

How to Brew Good Beer: a complete Guide to the Art of Brewing Ale, Bitter Ale, Table Ale, Brown Stout, Porter, and Table Beer. By JOHN PITT. Revised Edition. Fcp. 4s. 6d.

The Billiard Book. By Captain CRAWLEY, Author of 'Billiards, its Theory and Practice,' &c. With nearly 100 Diagrams on Steel and Wood. 8vo. 21s.

- Whist, What to Lead.** By CAM. Third Edition. 32mo. 1s.
- Short Whist.** By MAJOR A. The Sixteenth Edition, revised, with an Essay on the Theory of the Modern Scientific Game by PROF. P. Fcp. 3s. 6d.
- Two Hundred Chess Problems,** composed by F. HEALEY, including the Problems to which the Prizes were awarded by the Committees of the Era, the Manchester, the Birmingham, and the Bristol Chess Problem Tournaments; accompanied by the SOLUTIONS. Crown 8vo. with 200 Diagrams, 5s.
- The Cabinet Lawyer;** a Popular Digest of the Laws of England, Civil, Criminal, and Constitutional. 22nd Edition, entirely recomposed, and brought down by the AUTHOR to the close of the Parliamentary Session of 1866. Fcp. 10s. 6d.
- The Philosophy of Health;** or, an Exposition of the Physiological and Sanitary Conditions conducive to Human Longevity and Happiness. By SOUTHWOOD SMITH, M.D. Eleventh Edition, revised and enlarged; with 113 Woodcuts. 8vo. 15s.
- Hints to Mothers on the Management** of their Health during the Period of Pregnancy and in the Lying-in Room. By T. BULL, M.D. Fcp. 5s.
- The Maternal Management of Children** in Health and Disease. By the same Author. Fcp. 5s.
- Notes on Hospitals.** By FLORENCE NIGHTINGALE. Third Edition, enlarged; with 13 Plans. Post 4to. 18s.
- The Executor's Guide.** By J. C. HUDSON. Enlarged Edition, revised by the Author, with reference to the latest reported Cases and Acts of Parliament. Fcp. 6s.
- Hudson's Plain Directions for Making** Wills. Fcp. 2s. 6d.
- The Law relating to Benefit** Building Societies; with Practical Observations on the Act and all the Cases decided thereon, also a Form of Rules and Forms of Mortgages. By W. TIDD PRATT, Barrister. 2nd Edition. Fcp. 3s. 6d.
- C. M. Willich's Popular Tables** for Ascertaining the Value of Lifehold, Leasehold, and Church Property, Renewal Fines, &c.; the Public Funds; Annual Average Price and Interest on Consols from 1781 to 1861; Chemical, Geographical, Astronomical, Trigonometrical Tables, &c. Post 8vo. 10s.
- Thomson's Tables of Interest,** at Three, Four, Four and a Half, and Five per Cent., from One Pound to Ten Thousand and from 1 to 365 Days. 12mo. 3s. 6d.
- Maunder's Treasury of Know-**ledge and Library of Reference: comprising an English Dictionary and Grammar, Universal Gazetteer, Classical Dictionary, Chronology, Law Dictionary, Synopsis of the Peerage, useful Tables, &c. Fcp. 10s. 6d.

Knowledge for the Young.

- The Stepping Stone to Knowledge:** Containing upwards of 700 Questions and Answers on Miscellaneous Subjects, adapted to the capacity of Infant Minds. By a MOTHER. 18mo. price 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to Geography:** Containing several Hundred Questions and Answers on Geographical Subjects. 18mo. 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to English History:** Containing several Hundred Questions and Answers on the History of England. 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to Bible Know-**ledge: Containing several Hundred Questions and Answers on the Old and New Testaments. 18mo. 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to Biography:** Containing several Hundred Questions and Answers on the Lives of Eminent Men and Women. 18mo. 1s.
- Second Series of the Stepping** Stone to Knowledge: containing upwards of Eight Hundred Questions and Answers on Miscellaneous Subjects not contained in the FIRST SERIES. 18mo. 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to French Pronun-**ciation and Conversation: Containing several Hundred Questions and Answers. By Mr. P. SADLER. 18mo. 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to English Gram-**mar: containing several Hundred Questions and Answers on English Grammar. By Mr. P. SADLER. 18mo. 1s.
- The Stepping Stone to Natural History:** VERTEBRATE OR BACKBONED ANIMALS. PART I. *Mammalia*; PART II. *Birds, Rep-*tiles, *Fishes*. 18mo. 1s. each Part.

INDEX.

ABBOTT on Sight and Touch	7	BRODIE'S (Sir C. B.) Works.....	10
ACTON'S Modern Cookery	19	Autobiography.....	10
ALCOCK'S Residence in Japan.....	16	BROWNE'S Ice Caves of France and Switzer-	15
ALLIES on Formation of Christianity	14	land	15
Alpine Guide (The)	16	Exposition 39 Articles	13
APJOHN'S Manual of the Metalloids.....	8	Pentateuch	13
ARAGO'S Biographies of Scientific Men	4	BUCKLE'S History of Civilization	2
Popular Astronomy	7	BULL'S Hints to Mothers	20
ARNOLD'S Manual of English Literature....	5	Maternal Management of Children..	20
ARNOTT'S Elements of Physics	7	BUNSEN'S Ancient Egypt.....	2
Arundines Cami	18	BUNSEN on Apocrypha	14
Atherstone Priory	16	BURKE'S Vicissitudes of Families	4
Autumn Holidays of a Country Parson	6	BURTON'S Christian Church	3
AYRE'S Treasury of Bible Knowledge	14		
		Cabinet Lawyer.....	20
BACON'S Essays, by WHATELY	4	CALVERT'S Wife's Manual	14
Life and Letters, by SPEDDING....	3	Campaigner at Home.....	6
Works.....	4	CATS and FARLIE'S Moral Emblems.....	11
BAIN on the Emotions and Will.....	7	Chorale Book for England	15
on the Senses and Intellect.....	7	CLOUGH'S Lives from Plutarch	2
on the Study of Character	7	COLENZO (Bishop) on Pentateuch and Book	14
BAINES'S Explorations in S.W. Africa	15	of Joshua.....	14
BALL'S Guide to the Central Alps.....	12	COLLINS'S Horse Trainer's Guide.....	18
Guide to the Western Alps	12	COLUMBUS'S Voyages.....	16
Guide to the Eastern Alps	16	Commonplace Philosopher in Town and	6
BARNARD'S Drawing from Nature	12	Country	6
BAYLDON'S Rents and Tillages	13	CONINGTON'S Translation of Virgil's Æneid	18
Beaten Tracks	15	CONTANSEAU'S Two French and English	5
BECKER'S <i>Charicles</i> and <i>Gallus</i>	16	Dictionaries	5
BEETHOVEN'S Letters	3	CONYBEARE and HOWSON'S Life and Epistles	13
BENFEY'S Sanskrit-English Dictionary	5	of St. Paul	13
BERRY'S Journals	3	COOK'S Acts of the Apostles.....	13
BLACK'S Treatise on Brewing.....	19	Voyages	16
BLACKLEY and FRIEDLANDER'S German		COPLAND'S Dictionary of Practical Medicine	10
and English Dictionary	5	COX'S Tales of the Great Persian War	2
BLAINE'S Rural Sports.....	18	Tales from Greek Mythology.....	17
Veterinary Art.....	18	Tales of the Gods and Heroes.....	17
BLIGHT'S Week at the Land's End	16	Tales of Thebes and Argos	17
BOASE'S Essay on Human Nature	6	CRAWLEY'S Billiard Book	19
Philosophy of Nature	6	CRESY'S Encyclopædia of Civil Engineering	11
BONER'S Transylvania	15	Critical Essays of a Country Parson.....	6
BOOTH'S Epigrams.....	6	CROWE'S History of France.....	2
BOURNE on Screw Propeller	12	CRUMP on Banking, &c.	19
BOURNE'S Catechism of the Steam Engine..	12	CUSSANS'S Grammar of Heraldry.....	12
Handbook of Steam Engine	12		
Treatise on the Steam Engine....	12	DART'S Iliad of Homer	18
BOWDLER'S Family SHAKSPEARE.....	18	D'AUBIGNE'S History of the Reformation in	2
BOYD'S Manual for Naval Cadets.....	19	the time of CALVIN	2
BRAMLEY-MOORE'S Six Sisters of the Valleys	16	DAYMAN'S Dante's Divina Commedia.....	18
BRANDE'S Dictionary of Science, Literature,		Dead Shot (The), by MARKSMAN	18
and Art	9	DE LA RIVE'S Treatise on Electricity	8
BRAY'S (C.) Education of the Feelings.....	7	DELMARD'S Village Life in Switzerland....	15
Philosophy of Necessity.....	7	DE LA PRYME'S Life of Christ	14
On Force.....	7	DE MORGAN on Matter and Spirit	6
BRINTON on Food and Digestion	19	DE TOCQUEVILLE'S Democracy in America	2
BRISTOW'S Glossary of Mineralogy	8	DISRAELI'S Speeches on Reform	5
BRODIE'S Constitutional History	1	DOBSON on the Ox	19

DUNCAN and MILLARD on Classification, &c. of the Idiotic.....	11	HOOKE and WALKER-ARNOTT's British Flora.....	9
DYER's City of Rome.....	2	HOPKINS's Hawaii.....	7
EDWARDS's Shipmaster's Guide	19	HORNÉ's Introduction to the Scriptures ...	14
Elements of Botany	9	—— Compendium of the Scriptures ..	14
Ellice, a Tale.....	16	HORSLEY's Manual of Poisons	9
ELLICOTT's Broad and Narrow Way.....	13	HOSKYN'S Occasional Essays	6
—— Commentary on Ephesians	13	—— Talpa	18
—— Destiny of the Creature.....	13	How we Spent the Summer.....	15
—— Lectures on Life of Christ	13	HOWITT's Australian Discovery	16
—— Commentary on Galatians	13	—— Rural Life of England	16
—— Pastoral Epist.	13	—— Visits to Remarkable Places	16
—— Philippians,&c.	13	HUDSON's Directions for Making Wills ...	20
—— Thessalonians	13	—— Executor's Guide.....	20
ENGEL's Introduction to National Music ..	11	HUGHES's (W.) Manual of Geography.....	7
Essays and Reviews	14	HULLAH's History of Modern Music	11
—— on Religion and Literature, edited by MANNING	13	—— Transition Musical Lectures	11
FAIRBAIRN's Application of Cast and Wrought Iron to Building.....	12	—— Part Music.....	11
—— Information for Engineers ..	12	—— Sacred Music	11
—— Treatise on Mills & Millwork	12	HUMBOLDT's Travels in South America....	16
FAIRBAIRN on Iron Ship Building	11	HUMPHREYS' Sentiments of Shakspeare....	11
FARRAR's Chapters on Language	5	HUTTON's Studies in Parliament	6
FROULKES's Christendom's Divisions.....	14	Hymns from <i>Lyra Germanica</i>	14
FRANCIS's Fishing Book	18	INGELOW's Poems	17
FROUDE's History of England.....	1	Icelandic Legends, SECOND SERIES.....	17
GEE's Our Sermons	15	IDLK's Hints on Shooting	18
—— Sunday to Sunday	15	JAMESON's Legends of the Saints and Mar- tyrs	11
GILBERT and CHURCHILL's Dolomite Moun- tains	16	—— Legends of the Madonna	11
GILLY's Shipwrecks of the Navy	16	—— Legends of the Monastic Orders	11
GOETHE's Second Faust, by Anster.....	17	JAMESON and EASTLAKE's History of Our Lord	11
GOODEVE's Elements of Mechanism.....	12	JOHNSON's Patentee's Manual	12
GORLE's Questions on BROWNE's Exposition of the 39 Articles	13	—— Practical Draughtsman.....	12
GRANT's Ethics of Aristotle	4	JOHNSTON's Gazetteer, or General Geo- graphical Dictionary	7
Graver Thoughts of a Country Parson	6	JONES's Christianity and Common Sense ..	7
GRAY's Anatomy.....	10	JORDAN's Elements	8
GREENE's Corals and Sea Jellies	8	KALISCH's Commentary on the Bible.....	5
—— Sponges and Animalculæ	8	—— Hebrew Grammar.....	5
GROVE on Correlation of Physical Forces ..	8	KELLER's Lake Dwellings of Switzerland ..	8
GWILT's Encyclopædia of Architecture	12	KESTEVEN's Domestic Medicine	10
Handbook of Angling, by EPHEMERA.....	18	KIRBY and SPENCE's Entomology	9
HARE on Election of Representatives	5	KUENEN on Pentateuch and Joshua.....	14
HARLEY and BROWN's Histological Demon- strations	10	Lady's Tour round Monte Rosa	16
HARTWIG's Harmonies of Nature.....	8	LANDON's (L. E. L.) Poetical Works.....	17
—— Sea and its Living Wonders....	8	LATHAM's English Dictionary	5
—— Tropical World	8	LAWRENCE on Rocks	8
HAUGHTON's Manual of Geology	8	LECKY's History of Rationalism	2
HAWKER's Instructions to Young Sports- men	18	Leisure Hours in Town	6
HEATON's Notes on Rifle Shooting	18	LEWES's Biographical History of Philosophy	2
HEALEY's Chess Problems	20	LEWIN's Fasti Sacri	13
HELPS's Spanish Conquest in America	2	LEWIS on Early Roman History	4
HENDERSON's Folk-Lore	6	—— on Irish Disturbances	4
HERSCHEL's Essays from Reviews	9	—— on Observation and Reasoning in Politics.....	4
—— Outlines of Astronomy	7	—— on Political Terms.....	4
HEWITT on the Diseases of Women	10	LEWIS's Essays on Administrations.....	4
HODGSON's Time and Space.....	7	—— Fables of BABRIUS.....	4
HOLLAND's Essays on Scientific Subjects ..	9	LIDDELL and SCOTT's Greek-English Lexicon	5
HOLMES's System of Surgery	10	—— Abridged ditto	5
		Life of Man Symbolised	11
		LINDLEY and MOORE's Treasury of Botany	9

LONGMAN'S Lectures on History of England	1	MILLER'S Elements of Chemistry	9
LOUDON'S Encyclopædia of Agriculture	13	MONSELL'S Spiritual Songs	15
Gardening	13	Beatitudes.....	15
Plants	9	MONTGOMERY on Pregnancy	10
Trees and Shrubs	9	MOORE'S Irish Melodies	11, 17
Cottage, Farm, and Villa Architecture	13	Lalla Rookh	17
LOWNDES'S Engineer's Handbook	12	Journal and Correspondence	3
LYRA DOMESTICA	14	Poetical Works.....	17
Eucharistica.....	15	(Dr. G.) First Man	8
Germanica	11, 15	MORELL'S Elements of Psychology	6
Messianica	15	Mental Philosophy.....	6
Mystica.....	15	MOSHEIM'S Ecclesiastical History	14
Sacra	15	MOZART'S Letters	3
		MÜLLER'S (Max) Lectures on the Science of Language	5
		(K. O.) Literature of Ancient Greece.....	2
MACAULAY'S (Lord) Essays	2	MURCHISON on Continued Fevers	19
History of England	1	MUR'S Language and Literature of Greece	2
Lays of Ancient Rome.....	17		
Miscellaneous Writings	6	New Testament illustrated with Wood Engravings from the Old Masters	11
Speeches	5	NEWMAN'S History of his Religious Opinions	3
Works	1	NIGHTINGALE'S Notes on Hospitals	20
MACFARREN'S Lectures on Harmony	11		
MACLEOD'S Elements of Political Economy	4	ODLING'S Animal Chemistry	9
Dictionary of Political Economy	4	Course of Practical Chemistry....	9
Theory and Practice of Banking	19	Manual of Chemistry	9
MCCULLOCH'S Dictionary of Commerce	18	O'SHEA'S Guide to Spain	16
Geographical Dictionary	7	OWEN'S Comparative Anatomy and Physiology of Vertebrate Animals	8
MACFIE'S Vancouver Island	15	OXENHAM on Atonement	15
MAGUIRE'S Life of Father Mathew	3		
Rome and its Rulers	3	PACKE'S Guide to the Pyrenees	16
MALING'S Indoor Gardener	9	PAGET'S Lectures on Surgical Pathology ..	10
MANNING on Holy Ghost	14	PEREIRA'S Elements of Materia Medica	10
MARSHMAN'S History of India	2	Manual of Materia Medica.....	10
Life of Havelock	3	PERKINS'S Tuscan Sculptors	12
MARTIN'S Commercial Handbook of France	19	PHILLIPS'S Guide to Geology	8
MASSEY'S History of England	1	Introduction to Mineralogy....	8
MASSINGBERD'S History of the Reformation	3	PIESSE'S Art of Perfumery	13
MAUNDER'S Biographical Treasury	4	Chemical, Natural, and Physical Magic	13
Geographical Treasury	7	PITT on Brewing	19
Historical Treasury	2	Playtime with the Poets	17
Scientific and Literary Treasury	9	Practical Mechanic's Journal	12
Treasury of Knowledge.....	20	PRATT'S Law of Building Societies	20
Treasury of Natural History ..	9	PRESCOTT'S Scripture Difficulties	14
MAURY'S Physical Geography	7	PROCTOR'S Handbook of the Stars	7
MAY'S Constitutional History of England ..	1	Saturn	7
MELVILLE'S Digby Grand	17	PYCROFT'S Course of English Reading	5
General Bounce	17	Cricket Field	18
Gladiators	17	Cricket Tutor.....	18
Good for Nothing	17	Cricketana	18
Holmby House	17		
Interpreter	17	RAYMOND on Fishing without Cruelty	18
Kate Coventry.....	17	READE'S Poetical Works	17
Queen's Maries	17	Recreations of a Country Parson	6
MENDELSSOHN'S Letters	3	REILLY'S Map of Mont Blanc	15
MENZIES' Windsor Great Park	13	RIVERS'S Rose Amateur's Guide	9
MERIVALE'S (H.) Historical Studies	1		
(C.) Fall of the Roman Republic	2		
Romans under the Empire	2		
Boyle Lectures	2		
MILES on Horse's Foot and Horse Shoeing ..	19		
on Horses' Teeth and Stables	19		
MILL on Liberty	4		
on Representative Government	4		
on Utilitarianism.....	4		
MILL'S Dissertations and Discussions	4		
Political Economy	4		
System of Logic.....	4		
Hamilton's Philosophy	4		

ROGERS's Correspondence of Greyson.....	6	TASSO's Jerusalem, by JAMES	17
———Eclipse of Faith.....	6	TAYLOR's (Jeremy) Works, edited by EDEN	14
———Defence of ditto.....	6	TENNENT's Ceylon.....	8
———Essays from the <i>Edinburgh Review</i>	6	———Natural History of Ceylon....	8
———Fulleriana.....	6	———Wild Elephant.....	8
———Reason and Faith.....	6	THIRLWALL's History of Greece.....	2
———(E.) Fifth-Monarchy Man.....	3	THOMSON's (Archbishop) Laws of Thought	4
ROGET's Thesaurus of English Words and		———(J.) Tables of Interest.....	20
Phrases.....	5	———Conspectus, by BIRETT	10
RONALDS's Fly-Fisher's Entomology.....	18	TODD's Cyclopædia of Anatomy and Physio-	
ROWTON's Debater.....	5	logy.....	10
RUSSELL on Government and Constitution.	1	———and BOWMAN's Anatomy and Phy-	
		siology of Man.....	10
SANDARS's Justinian's Institutes.....	4	TROLLOPE's Barchester Towers.....	17
SCOTT's Handbook of Volumetrical Analysis	9	———Warden.....	17
———Lectures on the Fine Arts.....	11	TWISS's Law of Nations.....	19
SCROPE on Volcanos.....	7	TYNDALL's Lectures on Heat.....	8
SEWELL's Amy Herbert.....	16	———Lectures on Sound.....	8
———Cleve Hall.....	16		
———Earl's Daughter.....	16	URE's Dictionary of Arts, Manufactures, and	
———Experience of Life.....	16	Mines.....	12
———Gertrude.....	16		
———Glimpse of the World.....	16	VAN DER HOEVEN's Handbook of Zoology	8
———History of the Early Church.....	3	VAUGHAN's (R.) Revolutions in English	
———Ivors.....	16	History.....	1
———Katharine Ashton.....	16	———(R. A.) Hours with the Mystics	7
———Laneton Parsonage.....	16	———Way to Rest.....	7
———Margaret Percival.....	16		
———Passing Thoughts on Religion... ..	14	WALKER on the Rifle.....	18
———Preparation for Communion.....	14	WATSON's Principles and Practice of Physic	10
———Principles of Education.....	14	WATTS's Dictionary of Chemistry.....	9
———Readings for Confirmation.....	14	WEBB's Objects for Common Telescopes..	7
———Readings for Lent.....	14	WEBSTER & WILKINSON's Greek Testament	13
———Examination for Confirmation..	14	WELD's Florence.....	16
———Stories and Tales.....	16	WELLINGTON's Life, by BRIALMONT and	
———Thoughts for the Holy Week.....	14	GLEIG	3
———Ursula.....	16	———by GLEIG	3
SHAW's Work on Wine.....	19	WEST on Children's Diseases.....	9
SHEDDEN's Elements of Logic.....	4	WHATELY's English Synonymes.....	4
SHIPLEY's Church and the World.....	14	———Life and Correspondence.....	3
SHORT Whist.....	20	———Logic.....	4
SHORT's Church History.....	3	———Remains.....	4
SIEVEKING's (AMELIA) Life, by WINK-		———Rhetoric.....	4
WORTH	3	———Paley's Moral Philosophy....	15
SMITH's (SOUTHWOOD) Philosophy of Health	20	WHEWELL's History of the Inductive Sci-	
———(J.) Paul's Voyage and Shipwreck	13	ences.....	2
———(G.) Wesleyan Methodism.....	3	Whist , what to lead, by CAM	20
———(SYDNEY) Miscellaneous Works..	6	WHITE and RIDDLE's Latin-English Dic-	
———Moral Philosophy.....	6	tionaries.....	5
———Wit and Wisdom.....	6	WILBERFORCE (W.) Recollections of, by	
SMITH on Cavalry Drill and Manœuvres... ..	18	HARFORD	3
SOUTHEY's (Doctor).....	5	WILLICH's Popular Tables.....	20
———Poetical Works.....	17	WILSON's Bryologia Britannica.....	9
STANLEY's History of British Birds.....	9	WINDHAM's Diary.....	3
STEBBING's Analysis of MILL's Logic.....	5	WOOD's Homes without Hands.....	8
STEPHEN's Essays in Ecclesiastical Bio-		WOODWARD's Historical and Chronological	
graphy.....	4	Encyclopædia.....	2
———Lectures on History of France	2	WRIGHT's Homer's Iliad.....	18
STEPHENSON's Life, by JEAFFRESON and			
POLE	3	YONGE's English-Greek Lexicon.....	5
Stepping-Stone (The) to Knowledge, &c. ..	20	———Abridged ditto.....	5
STIRLING's Secret of Hegel.....	6	YOUNG's Nautical Dictionary.....	19
STONEHENGE on the Dog.....	19	YOUATT on the Dog.....	18
———on the Greyhound.....	19	———on the Horse.....	18
STRANGE on Sea Air.....	10		
———Restoration of Health.....	11		
Sunday Afternoons at the Parish Church... ..	6		



